Revised - March 7, 2006

2005-2006 No Child Left Behind - Blue Ribbon Schools Program

U.S. Department of Education

Cover Sheet Type of School: (C	Check all that apply) X Elementary Middle	High K-12Charter
Name of Principal Mrs. Donna Hoo	Ver Mrs., Dr., Mr., Other) (As it should appear in the official re	poordo)
(Specify: Ms., Miss, N	Mrs., Dr., Mr., Other) (As it should appear in the official re	ecords)
Official School Name <u>Tarpon Spr</u> (As it s	rings Fundamental Elementary School should appear in the official records)	
School Mailing Address 400 E. Har	rrison Street_ ress is P.O. Box, also include street address)	
Tarpon Springs	Florida_	346894599
City	State	Zip Code+4 (9 digits total)
County Pinellas	State School Code Number*	<u>524661</u>
Telephone (727) 943-5508	Fax (727) 942-5443	
Website/URL www.tarponfund-es.p	oinellas.k12.fl.us E-mail hooverd@pcs	sb.org
I have reviewed the information in the certify that to the best of my knowled;	nis application, including the eligibility requestion ge all information is accurate.	quirements on page 2, and
	Date	
(Principal's Signature)		
Name of Superintendent* <u>Dr. Clayto</u> (Specif	on Wilcox fy: Ms., Miss, Mrs., Dr., Mr., Other)	
District Name Pinellas	_Tel. <u>(727) 586</u>	-1818
I have reviewed the information in the certify that to the best of my knowled	is application, including the eligibility requestions accurate.	quirements on page 2, and
	Date	
(Superintendent's Signature)		
Name of School Board President/Chairperson Mrs. Carol J (Specif	. Cook Fy: Ms., Miss, Mrs., Dr., Mr., Other)	
I have reviewed the information in t certify that to the best of my knowled	this package, including the eligibility require it is accurate.	uirements on page 2, and
	Date	

2005-2006 Application Page 1 of 24

PART I - ELIGIBILITY CERTIFICATION

The signatures on the first page of this application certify that each of the statements below concerning the school's eligibility and compliance with U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights (OCR) requirements is true and correct.

- 1. The school has some configuration that includes grades K-12. (Schools with one principal, even K-12 schools, must apply as an entire school.)
- 2. The school has not been in school improvement status or been identified by the state as "persistently dangerous" within the last two years. To meet final eligibility, the school must meet the state's adequate yearly progress requirement in the 2005-2006 school year.
- 3. If the school includes grades 7 or higher, it has foreign language as a part of its core curriculum.
- 4. The school has been in existence for five full years, that is, from at least September 2000 and has not received the 2003, 2004, or 2005 *No Child Left Behind Blue Ribbon Schools Award.*
- 5. The nominated school or district is not refusing the OCR access to information necessary to investigate a civil rights complaint or to conduct a district-wide compliance review.
- 6. The OCR has not issued a violation letter of findings to the school district concluding that the nominated school or the district as a whole has violated one or more of the civil rights statutes. A violation letter of findings will not be considered outstanding if the OCR has accepted a corrective action plan from the district to remedy the violation.
- 7. The U.S. Department of Justice does not have a pending suit alleging that the nominated school, or the school district as a whole, has violated one or more of the civil rights statutes or the Constitution's equal protection clause.
- 8. There are no findings of violations of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act in a U.S. Department of Education monitoring report that apply to the school or school district in question; or if there are such findings, the state or district has corrected, or agreed to correct, the findings.

PART II - DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

All data are the most recent year available.

DISTRICT (Questions 1-2 not applicable to private schools)

- 1. Number of schools in the district: 82 Elementary schools
 - 22 Middle schools
 - 0 Junior high schools
 - 16 High schools
 - 6 Other
 - <u>126</u> TOTAL
- 2. District Per Pupil Expenditure: \$6,167
 - Average State Per Pupil Expenditure: \$6,483

SCHOOL (To be completed by all schools)

- 3. Category that best describes the area where the school is located:
 - [] Urban or large central city
 - Suburban school with characteristics typical of an urban area
 - [X] Suburban
 - [] Small city or town in a rural area
 - [] Rural
- 4. 15 Number of years the principal has been in her/his position at this school.
 - _____ If fewer than three years, how long was the previous principal at this school?
- 5. Number of students as of October 1 enrolled at each grade level or its equivalent in applying school only:

Grade	# of	# of	Grade	Grade	# of	# of	Grade
	Males	Females	Total		Males	Females	Total
PreK				7			
K	14	26	40	8			
1	17	28	45	9			
2	20	26	46	10			
3	24	22	46	11			
4	27	29	56	12			
5	31	24	55	Other			
6							
		ТОТ	AL STUDEN	TS IN THE AP	PLVING S	CHOOL ->	288

[Throughout the document, round numbers to avoid decimals.] Racial/ethnic composition of 89 % White the students in the school: 6 % Black or African American 2 % Hispanic or Latino 3 % Asian/Pacific Islander % American Indian/Alaskan Native **100% Total** Use only the five standard categories in reporting the racial/ethnic composition of the school. Student turnover, or mobility rate, during the past year: 1 % [This rate should be calculated using the grid below. The answer to (6) is the mobility rate.] **(1)** Number of students who transferred to the school after October 1 until the 4 end of the year. **(2)** Number of students who transferred from the 3 school after October 1 until the end of the year. **(3)** Total of all transferred students [sum of rows 7 (1) and (2)] **(4)** Total number of students in the school as of 288 October 1 **(5)** Total transferred students in row (3) .01 divided by total students in row (4) **(6)** Amount in row (5) multiplied by 100 1 Limited English Proficient students in the school: __0_% _____1___Total Number Limited English Proficient Number of languages represented: 1 Specify languages: Japanese

If this method does not produce an accurate estimate of the percentage of students from low-income families or the school does not participate in the federally-supported lunch program, specify a more accurate estimate, tell why the school chose it, and explain how it arrived at this estimate.

6 %

18

Students eligible for free/reduced-priced meals:

Total number students who qualify:

10.	Students receiving special education service		otal Number of Students Served					
	Indicate below the number of students with Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.							
	AutismOrthopedic ImpairmentDeafness6_ Other Health Impaired							
11.	Indicate number of full-time and part-time s		er of Staff					
		Full-time	Part-Time					
	Administrator(s) Classroom teachers	13						
	Special resource teachers/specialists	3	6_					
	Paraprofessionals Support staff		9					
	Total number	17_	<u>15</u>					
12.	Average school student-"classroom teacher students in the school divided by the FTE of							
13.	Show the attendance patterns of teachers and defined by the state. The student drop-off restudents and the number of exiting students the number of exiting students from the number of entering students; multiply by 10 100 words or fewer any major discrepancy middle and high schools need to supply drop	ate is the difference from the same of the entering to to get the percentage of the difference of the	cohort. (From the same cohort, subtract students; divide that number by the centage drop-off rate.) Briefly explain in pout rate and the drop-off rate. Only					

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Daily student attendance	95%	96%	96%	96%	96%
Daily teacher attendance	96%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Teacher turnover rate	5%	5%	15%	5%	15%
Student dropout rate (middle/high)	%	%	%	%	%
Student drop-off rate (high school)	%	%	%	%	%

rates.

PART III - SUMMARY

Established in 1980, Tarpon Springs Fundamental Elementary School was one of the first fundamental schools in Pinellas County, Florida. The doctrine of fundamental policy is that all teachers, parents, and students must sign a commitment letter agreeing to abide by all established school board policies, attend monthly PTA meetings, attend three parent conferences, adhere to a more stringent dress code, support a four night-a-week homework policy (parents sign the homework nightly), and provide transportation to and from school. Even with these additional requirements, we have a waiting list for each grade level we service. All Pinellas County elementary children are encouraged to enroll through our district's Choice Program. Student registration is awarded by a blind computer lottery held before parents have to make their final school choice for the new school year. Students not selected by the lottery are held in a waiting list and are contacted when an opening becomes available. Our school has a very stable population; over 90% percent of our kindergarteners graduate from our school as fifth graders.

Our students, parents, staff and community are united in helping us pursue our school mission - "to maintain our structured, nurturing learning environment in order to encourage optimum achievement for all students." Faithfulness to this mission is demonstrated by the extraordinary parental participation in our volunteer program. While we have less than 160 families in our school, over 8,000 volunteer hours were logged in last year's records. These volunteers were curriculum mentors, teacher assistants, library media aides, cafeteria or clinic helpers, program developers, food preparers for teacher workshops, technology "gophers," and workers on various committees. They served the school in direct student contact as well as in easing the detail load for teachers so that teachers could spend more time with students. They raised money for programs, developed programs, and helped implement programs under the instruction of our professional staff. Through their help and the help of our nine local businesses, we are able to inspire our students to succeed to their highest potential. Our community partners are also integral to our students success: The Citizen's Alliance for Progress and Cops and Kids work with us to provide remediation for our students who attend their after-school programs; the YMCA provides before and after-school care along with extra curricular programs such as yoga, dance, and Spanish instruction; the Tarpon Springs Chamber of Commerce honors students of the month by hosting a monthly luncheon; Carrabbas Restaurants supplement gift certificate purchases for staff recognition; the Tarpon Springs Police Department authored a grant allowing us to have a resource officer on campus; and Entenmann's Bakery donates food items for various student and staff events.

The Tarpon Springs Fundamental staff takes these community resources and high parental involvement and uses these strengths to create an environment where students can receive exactly what they need in order to thrive. Students are monitored with performance diagnosis throughout their stay at our school. If they are under performing, the data will show deficiencies and a cadre of professionals will pinpoint the problem(s) and devise strategies to help the child overcome learning challenges. But, concern is not limited only to those not succeeding. All students are monitored to be sure they are motivated to achieve to the best of their abilities.

Tarpon Springs Fundamental Elementary believes that to be successful in educating our population we must also create an opportunity for them to learn to live harmoniously by promoting teamwork, focusing on responsibility and mutual respect, and by demonstrating to students that they must take responsibility for their own learning. To promote these tenets we give the students opportunities to work on committees to help the less fortunate (collections for hurricane victims, food banks at Thanksgiving, toy drives for Christmas, etc.), provide them with real life problems to solve (care of the landscape around the school, growing a garden, etc.), inspire them to be risk-takers, and encourage them to ask questions when they do not understand.

While Tarpon Springs Fundamental has maintained an "A" grade by the rigorous Florida grading system, we do not hold this as our highest honor. That distinction is given when our many returning students come back to us with success stories, particularly the ones that come through our halls as newly certified teachers.

PART IV – INDICATORS OF ACADEMIC SUCCESS

1. Assessment Results in Reading and Math:

Our state website providing information about Florida's educational assessment system may be found at: http://www.firn.edu/doe/sas/fcat.htm

The Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) is part of Florida's overall plan to increase student achievement by implementing higher standards. The FCAT, administered to students in Grades 3-11, contains two basic components:

- 1. Criterion-referenced tests (CRT) which measure selected benchmarks in mathematics, reading, science, and writing from the Sunshine State Standards (SSS); and
- 2. Norm-referenced tests (NRT) in reading and mathematics which measure individual student performance against national norms.

The Sunshine State Standards portion of the FCAT measures the curriculum benchmarks established by the Florida State Board of Education. The assessment includes performance-based questions in grades 3 through 5 which require students to write responses or explain a solution for solving a mathematical problem. FCAT scores are reported in five Achievement Levels. If a student's Achievement Level improves from one year to the next, that student has clearly made progress. A student also makes progress by scoring within the same Achievement Level for two years in a row. This is because the content assessed at the higher grade is more difficult. Students who score at Levels 3, 4, or 5 are performing at or above expectations and meet the requirements of the Sunshine State Standards. Students who score in Level 1 and Level 2 are performing below expectations and need additional instruction in the content assessed at his or her grade. The Stanford Achievement Test 10, published by Harcourt Assessment, is the currently used norm-referenced test (NRT) to compare the performance of Florida students to the performance of students across the nation. This battery of tests is delivered to Florida students in early March each year. Using the information from these tests, Florida public schools are graded using a scale of "A" to "F". Tarpon Springs Fundamental has consistently scored "A" for five of the six years the test has been used. Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP), as required by No Child Left Behind, has also been achieved by our school since its implementation.

Tarpon Springs Fundamental has consistently scored above both state and county levels since the inception of the FCAT. The table below provides the percent of students at our school scoring 3 and above in FCAT reading and mathematics assessments.

Grade	Reading Scores					Math Scores				
Level	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
3	NA	84	91	89	96	NA	89	83	87	91
4	79	80	97	91	95	NA	76	92	88	93
5	NA	84	89	93	94	75	66	81	77	92

NA indicates the test was not given for that year or grade level, or achievement levels had not been established.

Tarpon Springs Fundamental does not have enough students in any of the No Child Left Behind subgroups to comprise a group large enough to gather data. 100% of our 3-5 grade students took the FCAT assessment, but only our white student population was reported in the NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress report. Looking at all of our scores, the results indicate all 9 students at Level 1 or Level 2 in 2004 and all 7 students in 2005 made 10% gain in both reading and math. We are particularly impressed with the gain made by all students in their math scores.

2. Using Assessment Results:

Our assessment action plan begins in April for the following school year. Teachers, specialists, and administrators meet for cross grade level discussions of individual students. This series of meetings help the present teacher provide continuity for the child's next teacher. During these discussions, the present teacher reviews the status (academic, maturity, and special needs levels) of each of her students to both of the teachers in the next grade. This information, as well as all the assessment information generated over the preceding year, is passed on to the new teacher. The upper level teacher will then have a basis for planning individual student expectations for the next school year. During this time period the faculty is busy putting the final touches on its School Improvement Plan. The plan reflects activities the staff feels should be modified to enhance academic achievement for the upcoming school year.

Over the course of the school year, the students are formally assessed in reading, writing and math five times. This data is used to generate spreadsheets and graphs for each student, as well as class and grade level reports. The teachers use this information as they meet in a professional learning community format to discuss individual, class and grade level data. Then each grade level devises an action plan and reports it to the whole faculty. Based on these results, specialists and grade level teachers meet weekly to discuss individual students and make any necessary modifications to the daily curriculum delivery schedules needed to promote success.

Our administrator monitors the students scoring in the lower 35% on the FCAT assessment as well as those students showing academic problems in grades K-2. A service matrix is devised so that an Academic Improvement Plan can be created for each of these students. Additional testing is administrated to these students. Some examples of assessments used include: Diagnostic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS), Diagnostic Assessment of Reading (DAR), and the Early Readiness Diagnostic Assessment (ERDA). These tests provide a more specific description of learning impediments, helping to pinpoint the problems experienced by our lower 35% so that early remediation can keep these students on track.

3. Communicating Assessment Results:

Florida schools receive data on FCAT scores just before the end of the school year. This data sheet reports the student's individual scores, comparing them to last year's test results and to the state norm. The report shows how the student did on each component within the test. Our scores are posted on the state FCAT website, the Pinellas County Schools website, and in the local newspapers. Parents receive individual printouts of their child's scores with information that demonstrates how to judge the child's success on the tests.

Over the course of the school year assessments are shared with the school population in a variety of manners. Progress reports are distributed to the children's parents three times during the school year. Midterm reports are provided within each term. Parents have three mandatory formal conferences with teachers during the year, but teachers are available daily for follow-up discussions. During the school year parents are required to attend eight PTA meetings. During these meetings parents are exposed to informative sessions related to the school's curriculum, services available for students, methods of helping students with learning at home, demonstration of curriculum delivery, and comparative assessment data. This information allows them to understand how their child is progressing within the school's curriculum. Graphs and charts are posted in the classrooms that monitor student achievement. Students are able to see their progress, write goals, and build portfolios. Students at all grade levels are responsible for planning a student-led conference where they present to their parents their portfolio work, progress reports, and projects, then explain how their work reflects the grade they received. This format has been very successful, as both parent and child come to a better understanding of expectations when they have to verbalize them to each other.

Communication between parents and teachers takes place daily through planners sent home with the evening's homework assignment. Teachers and parents are free to make any concerns known as this

tool passes between them. On Fridays, a communication envelope travels home and returns on Monday. This is a vehicle that allows more specific and lengthy weekly messages between parents and teachers.

4. Sharing Success:

Tarpon Springs Fundamental teachers are a very active group within our district. They attend curriculum meetings where they share best practices used in our classrooms, give presentations for parental groups, and attend training and articulation meetings with other fundamental school faculties. Our teachers and specialists welcome beginning, intermediate, and final interns into their classrooms each year so that the expertise displayed in their classroom will be duplicated by future professionals in the field. Not only do they attend district and state conferences, they also participate by providing break out sessions and presenting papers. Most of our teachers have long standing memberships in a variety of professional organizations, many of them having served as officers and committee chairs. Teachers also serve as mentors in the district's beginning teacher program, lead professional learning committees, and compile lists of successful learning strategies to be shared with other teachers. Our principal attends the district's monthly Principal's Meeting where ideas are freely shared.

Our school's School Improvement Plan is posted on the state website allowing other schools to see how Tarpon Springs Fundamental plans for success. Our plan details the strategies we will employ for the next school year and we welcome other schools to take our ideas and build on them.

Our school is also open for visitation. Parents have advertised our success throughout the community, resulting in many inquiries for visits from outside groups. The latest call has been from a school in Nashville, Tennessee. The principal and two teachers arrived in December to visit our facility and talk to our instructors. Our staff welcomes all chances for collaboration, for any contact with other educators will only result in good ideas and practices to be developed for everyone involved.

PART V – CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

1. Curriculum:

The Florida State Legislature has mandated that all public school curriculums be delivered through the specific benchmarks provided in the Sunshine State Standards. Teachers are required to adhere to these benchmarks and document evidence of having taught them by citing them in their daily lesson plans. Pinellas County Schools provides teachers with a website that not only organizes the standards, but also indicates our district's Essential Learnings. These Essential Learnings are aligned with the Sunshine State Standards, Pinellas County Grade Level Expectations, and Pinellas County's Strategic Directions and Goals. The Essential Learnings encompass and prioritize all student achievement and require that students be proficient in reading, writing, mathematics, and science. They are identified by grade level and include common assessments that are administered five times a year to monitor student growth and mastery. This assessment framework provides remedial activities for students with low scores as well as opportunities for students who have demonstrated mastery.

Language arts: Our curriculum delivery demands a 90 minute, uninterrupted period each day for the teaching of reading. The teachers use this time to teach phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. Writing is taught during the writing workshop section of the school day. The writer's workshop period is divided into several components: instructional, where teachers provide direct instruction through a mini-lesson; quiet writing and conferencing time with individual students, where students practice what has been taught by composing, revising, and editing their work; and sharing time, which is when students share their work in pairs or with the entire class. Our district has further fine-tuned writing instruction by introduction units of study. These units provide teachers with instructional teaching points to enhance student writing skills.

Math: Our curriculum focuses on five content strands: (1) Number sense, concepts and operations; (2) Measurement – understanding units and processes of measurement and applying techniques, tools, and formulas to determine measurements; (3) Geometry and special sense – analyzing characteristics of two and three-dimensional shape; (4) Algebraic thinking – understanding patterns, relations, and functions; and (5) Data analysis and probability – collecting, organizing and displaying relevant data to answer questions. Focusing on higher level questioning, per Bloom's Taxonomy, encourages students to apply what they have learned on a more advanced level as they learn to be competent and competitive mathematicians.

Social Studies: Our curriculum includes the study of patriotism, history, current events, heritage, heroes, citizenship, and geography. Through social studies, students learn to clarify, think critically, read for detail and main idea, explain ideas, predict, summarize, describe, retell and support ideas. Our Multi-Cultural Club allows students to participate in projects that explore other cultures through speakers, movies, and games. Our character education activities teach students to hold class meetings to solve interrelationship problems equitably and to develop personal characteristics of honesty, motivation, respect, and responsibility.

Science: Effective science learning enables our students to connect and apply science concepts and processes to everyday events. Students learn science by being actively engaged in the following ways: quantitative and qualitative observations, investigation of thoughtful questions, designing and conducting experiments and other types of investigations, collecting and organizing data, making logical predictions and offering reasonable explanations, exploring possible conclusions, and communicating their understanding.

The Arts: Our art and music curriculum incorporates the Florida Sunshine State Standards in the Arts. Both art and music curriculums stress skills and technique instruction, cultural and historical connections, and aesthetic and critical analysis. Our students receive 40 minutes of art and 20 minutes of music instruction once a week from teachers who are certified and highly qualified in their subject areas. Our students participate in two musical performances a year that showcase our 4th and 5th grade choruses, our violin class, and the instrumental talents of all of our students. Art students participate in weekly art displays located throughout the school building. At the end of the year the art instructor displays art work covering the entire school year at a Parents Celebrate Art night.

2. **Reading:**

The reading program at Tarpon Springs Fundamental insures student learning by using direct and indirect instruction, large group instruction, small group instruction, individual instruction, and by incorporating technology resources and skills. Our teachers use a balanced literacy program for at least 90 uninterrupted minutes a day. This program has five components: (1) *WordWork*, a method of teaching phonics and other principles of word construction, provides students with instruction and practice to foster word recognition and meaning. (2) *Read Aloud*, where the teacher chooses favorite texts and passages to read to students, provides one of the critical factors in students becoming successful and fluent readers. (3) *Shared Reading*, or students reading to students, allows teachers to show students how to read and then support them in the reading process. (4) *Guided Reading*, where students read challenging text, encourages discussion and reflection and gives the teacher a vehicle for teaching more about the reading process. (5) *Independent Reading*, where students select their own reading materials, gives daily, extended periods of reading time to develop the persistence and stamina needed for optimum performance.

The tools our teachers use for delivery of this instruction includes the Harcourt Brace textbook series *Trophies*, Scholastic's computer software *Reading Counts!*, Lexiled classroom libraries (so students can select appropriately leveled books), and FCAT Explorer (state website providing FCAT practice). Students are provided a print rich environment, including classroom word walls, reading centers, cooperative learning techniques, and assistance in building reading endurance.

Classroom teachers and specialists meet weekly to evaluate student performance data in order to

insure that instruction challenges or remediates individual students as necessary. Specific student needs are addressed as differentiating instruction plans are developed for providing for each student's needs.

3. Mathematics:

Students in all grades, kindergarten through 5th, practice skills and concepts in each of the five content strands. The curriculum has a spiral design so students receive continued exposure to critical content at increasing levels of challenge as they progress through the grades. There are five standards that describe how the content is taught: (1) Problem Solving or engaging in tasks for which the solution method is not known in advance; (2) Reasoning and Proof or learning to think analytically; (3) Communication or sharing ideas and clarifying understanding; (4) Representation or understanding ways in which mathematical ideas are represented; and (5) Connections or understanding how mathematical ideas interconnect and build on one another. To facilitate math curriculum delivery, our teachers use the 8-step Continuous Improvement Model as well as the Essential Learnings Calendar and Common Assessments. Teachers model reasoning and problem solving skills and strategies as well as provide manipulatives for student use during instruction. Instruction includes the use of math journals and technology programs (Harcourt Math on-line resources, Harcourt Math electronic assessments and networked software including Destination Math and FCAT Explorer). Supplemental student support and challenge is provided with the use of Every Day Counts Calendar Math, Roads to Reasoning and continuous implementation of Harcourt Math components. The textbook used by the students is Harcourt Brace's Math.

To guide mathematics instruction and to document that all students are achieving to their potential, teachers use Pinellas County's Common Assessment, Harcourt electronic assessments, and FCAT 2005 mathematics data. As the results of these evaluations are received (Common Assessments are received three times a year, FCAT once a year, and Harcourt electronic assessment data is ongoing at the teacher's professional desecration based on specific needs), teachers are able to monitor all students' progress, adapt instruction to meet all students' needs, and evaluate the success of the instruction of our mathematics objectives.

4. Instructional Methods:

Based on the Plan-Do-Study-Act of the Continuous Improvement Model, our teachers strive to meet the individual student's needs in all areas of the curriculum. They have at their fingertips best practices, research-based strategies, scientifically based teaching methods, and problem solving skills lessons ready to assist students through the Sunshine State Standards and the Essential Learnings for their grade level. The teachers must follow the county's pacing calendars for reading, math, and science, but they may employ any teaching method that meets their student's learning style to meet that goal. Students are tested at the beginning of the year to see how they best process information and then the teachers use this information to choose methods of curriculum delivery.

Classroom teachers have a variety of technology to deliver curriculum. They have wireless SchoolPads that allow them to operate their computers from any part of the room. Visual information (movies, TV broadcasts, DVDs, computer programs, or overhead projections) are projected through a ceiling mounted LCD projector. This insures that all students have a clear and close-up view of the information presented. Each teacher has a laptop computer for curriculum delivery and three computers for student access to FCAT Explorer, Reading Counts!, the internet, and various networked, curriculum based software. Teachers and students also have access to two computer labs, one located in the media center with 30 workstations, and another on a rolling cart with 29 laptops that may be used in any of the classrooms.

Teachers on the same grade level collaboratively plan instructional units, delivery methods and resources. Their classrooms are open to one another at all times, so students can be leveled across the

grade as different subjects are taught. Using an inclusion model, specialists are encouraged to enter classrooms as teacher instruction is taking place so that additional assistance may be offered to students who need extra assistance and motivation. Each classroom has a small group reading table; a specialist's servicing area, a classroom library, learning centers, and a gathering area to give large group instruction in an intimate, focused manner. Our teachers have tailored their instructional methods, our facility, and our technology tools to suit the individual child's learning needs.

5. **Professional Development:**

Our school's professional development program is based on the annual School Improvement Plan and on the teacher's Individual Professional Development Plan. As the School Improvement Plan is developed, new ideas and strategies are discussed by the staff for use in the following year. To be sure that all teachers are implementing new ideas efficiently, a schedule of workshops is developed for the upcoming school year. The workshops are delivered in the three days before teachers are required to return to school from the summer, during the six half days provided for school improvement planning over the course of the school year, during extended teacher preparation periods on Thursdays or Fridays, during morning faculty meetings, or on the three days after schools close for the summer. Topics included during these sessions include technology integration instruction, best practices support, Essential Learnings training, Lexile and Sunshine State Reader integration strategies, county based trainers offering trainings about county directives, core curriculum training, and teacher assessment trainings. Teachers use this time to evaluate new directions in education in order to apply them to our system of curriculum delivery, as well as to use the data from student assessment to integrate change into their classrooms.

Workshop content delivery is provided by county trainers, outside consultants, and our own teachers. Specialists and other teachers research new methods by reading current journals, attending workshops and conferences, and through contact with other schools. The body of knowledge gathered is then shared across our faculty. This diverse training helps our teachers be cognizant of county directives as well as new ideas surfacing in the field of education. Benefits form this training come in many forms. Our teachers are in constant communication on curriculum related topics, which keeps our educational goals forefront in their minds. Staff members work in cooperative learning teams to do book studies. Over the past two years our faculty has read *Professional Learning Communities at Work* by DuFour, *Art of Teaching*, by Calkins, *The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People* by Covey, and *Classroom Discussions Using Math Talk to Help Students Learn* by Chapin. Using cooperative learning groups as a means of information delivery, builds mutual respect and dialog within groups of colleagues. When teachers have open communication, they will find a wider variety of methods to solve problems, particularly ones dealing with student achievement. By learning together, our staff has created a learning environment that has accelerated student achievement.

Subject	Reading	Grade	3	_ Test:	Third Grade Reading FCAT SSS
Edition/Pub	lication Vear	2001 - Ne	w edition	n each ve	ar

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES*					
% At or Above Meets State Standards*	96	89	91	84	*
% At Exceeds State Standards*	64	59	52	56	*
Number of students tested	45	46	46	46	46
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	100	88	92	88	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	69	62	52	61	*
Number of students tested	39	42	42	41	40
2.Not Free and Reduced Lunch					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	100	89	94	88	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	74	61	52	59	*
Number of students tested	39	43	43	41	*
STATE SCORES					+
% At or Above Meets State Standards	67	65	63	60	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	34	32	30	28	*

^{*}Data not gathered during this testing period

Subject	Reading	Grade	4	Test:	Fourth Grade Reading FCAT SSS
	-				· ·

Edition/Publication Year: 2001 – New edition each year

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES*					
% At or Above Meets State Standards*	95	91	97	80	79
% At Exceeds State Standards*	67	69	77	44	43
Number of students tested	56	56	55	56	56
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	94	92	98	84	80
% At Exceeds State Standards	67	72	78	47	46
Number of students tested	51	50	51	49	50
2.Not Free and Reduced Lunch					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	94	92	98	84	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	69	74	77	51	*
Number of students tested	51	50	48	49	*
STATE SCORES					+
% At or Above Meets State Standards	71	69	60	55	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	37	34	29	27	*

^{*}Data not gathered during this testing period

Subject:	Reading	Grade	5	Test:	Fifth Grade	Reading	FCAT SSS
-	_						
Edition/Pu	blication Year:	2001 – New e	dition ea	ch year	•		

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES*					1
% At or Above Meets State Standards*	94	93	89	84	*
% At Exceeds State Standards*	66	65	59	52	*
Number of students tested	51	57	56	56	56
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					+
1.White					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	96	92	90	84	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	64	68	61	53	*
Number of students tested	45	51	49	49	50
2.Not Free and Reduced Lunch					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	96	92	91	88	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	67	65	62	58	*
Number of students tested	45	49	52	47	*
STATE SCORES					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	66	59	58	53	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	33	28	25	23	*

^{*}Data not gathered during this testing period

Subject_	Math	Grade _	3	Test:	Third Grade Math FCAT SSS
-					•

Edition/Publication Year: $\underline{2001 - \text{New edition each year}}$

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES*					
% At or Above Meets State Standards*	91	87	83	89	**
% At Exceeds State Standards*	47	48	50	54	**
Number of students tested	45	46	46	46	46
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White					1
% At or Above Meets State Standards	95	86	84	93	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	51	48	48	56	*
Number of students tested	39	42	42	41	40
2.Not Free and Reduced Lunch					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	97	87	84	93	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	54	52	51	56	*
Number of students tested	39	43	43	41	*
STATE SCORES					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	68	64	63	59	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	34	30	29	25	*

^{*}Data not gathered during this testing period

Subject _	Math	Grade	4	Test:	Fourth Grade Math FCAT SSS
Edition/F	Publication Year:	2001 - New ed	tion each	ı vear	

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES*					1
% At or Above Meets State Standards*	93	88	92	76	*
% At Exceeds State Standards*	65	49	54	35	*
Number of students tested	56	56	55	56	56
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	92	90	92	80	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	65	46	55	37	*
Number of students tested	51	50	51	49	50
2.Not Free and Reduced Lunch					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	94	90	92	80	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	67	48	59	39	*
Number of students tested	51	50	48	49	*
STATE SCORES					+
% At or Above Meets State Standards	64	63	54	51	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	27	26	20	19	*

^{*}Data not gathered during this testing period

Subject	Math	Grade	5	Test:	Fifth Grade Math FCAT SSS

Edition/Publication Year: $\underline{2001 - \text{New edition each year}}$

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES*					
% At or Above Meets State Standards*	92	77	81	66	75
% At Exceeds State Standards*	64	58	38	41	39
Number of students tested	56	57	56	56	56
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White				1	
% At or Above Meets State Standards	93	83	82	70	80
% At Exceeds State Standards	64	61	39	43	44
Number of students tested	45	51	49	49	50
2.Not Free and Reduced Lunch					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	93	81	81	71	*
% At Exceeds State Standards	64	59	41	43	*
Number of students tested	45	49	52	47	*
STATE SCORES					
% At or Above Meets State Standards	57		52	48	48
% At Exceeds State Standards	30		28	25	26

^{*}Data not gathered during this testing period

Grade 5 rest <u>Reading FCAT NRT</u>
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), norm-referenced test (NRT) – The reading comprehension and mathematics problem solving portions of the Stanford Achievement Test Series, Ninth Edition is a Customized Secure Form; revised and modified annually for Florida, selected to be administered to Florida students, in grades 3-10.
Edition/Publication Year: <u>Stanford Achievement Test Series Ninth Edition</u> , <u>Customized Secure Form revised and modified annually for Florida</u> .
Publisher: Harcourt Educational Measurement
Scores are reported here as (check one): NCEs Scaled scores Percentiles X

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES					
Total Score	73	84	81	81	79
Number of students tested	45	46	46	46	46
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White	77	82	81	84	80
Number of students tested	39	42	42	41	40
2Not Free/Reduced Lunch	78	84	81	80	*
Number of students tested	39	43	43	42	*

^{*} Data not gathered during this testing period

Grade	4	Test	Reading	FCAT NRT				
compre Ninth E	hension dition	n and math is a Custon	ematics pro nized Secur	blem solving	L .	Stanfo	ord Achievem	e reading ent Test Series, da, selected to be
			Stanford A		<u>Γest Series Nin</u>	th Edi	tion, Customi	zed Secure Form.
Publish	er: <u>Ha</u>	rcourt Edu	cational Me	asurement				
Scores	are rep	orted here a	as (check or	ne): NCEs	_ Scaled score	es	_ Percentiles	X

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES					
Total Score	77	80	87	80	80
Number of students tested	56	56	54	56	56
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White	77	81	88	81	82
Number of students tested	51	50	50	49	50
2Not Free/Reduced Lunch	78	80	88	81	*
Number of students tested	50	49	47	49	*

^{*} Data not gathered during this testing period

Grade _	5	_ Test	Reading	FCAT NRT					
comprel Ninth E	nension dition is	and math a Custon	ematics pro nized Secur	blem solving p		Stanfo	rd Achiever	ne reading ment Test Serie ida, selected to	
			Stanford A		Cest Series Nin	th Edit	tion, Custom	nized Secure Fo	<u>orm</u>
Publish	er: <u>Har</u>	court Edu	cational Me	asurement					
Scores a	ire repo	rted here	as (check or	ne): NCEs	_ Scaled score	es	_ Percentiles	s <u>X</u>	

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-200
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES					
Total Score	82	83	79	74	80
Number of students tested	51	57	56	56	56
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White	84	85	80	77	82
Number of students tested	45	51	49	49	50
2Not Free/Reduced Lunch	84	83	79	77	*
Number of students tested	45	49	52	47	*

^{*} Data not gathered during this testing period

Grade	3	Test	Math	FCAT NRT				
comprel Ninth E	nension dition is	and mathe a Custom	ematics p nized Sec	nt Test (FCAT), problem solving ure Form; revis n grades 3-10.	portions of th	ne Stanford	Achievemer	nt Test Series,
		ion Year: lified ann		d Achievement Florida.	Test Series N	inth Edition	n, Customize	ed Secure Form
Publishe	er: <u>Harc</u>	ourt Educ	ational N	<u>Measurement</u>				

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES					
Total Score	80	80	78	81	75
Number of students tested	45	46	46	46	46
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White	83	79	78	83	77
Number of students tested	39	42	42	41	40
2Not Free/Reduced Lunch	85	81	79	80	*
Number of students tested	39	43	43	42	*

Scores are reported here as (check one): NCEs____ Scaled scores ____ Percentiles X

^{*} Data not gathered during this testing period

Grade	4	_ Test	Math	FCAT NRT				
compre Ninth E	hension Edition i	and math	ematics prized Sec	problem solving	portions of the	Stanf	st (NRT) – The reading ford Achievement Te ually for Florida, sele	st Series,
		ation Year dified ann			Test Series Nir	nth Ed	lition, Customized Se	cure Form.
Publish	er: <u>Har</u>	court Edu	cational l	Measurement				
Scores	are repo	orted here	as (check	one): NCEs	Scaled scor	es	Percentiles X	

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES					
Total Score	87	82	86	81	82
Number of students tested	56	56	54	56	56
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White	87	82	87	82	82
Number of students tested	51	50	50	49	50
2Not Free/Reduced Lunch	88	82	87	83	*
Number of students tested	50	49	47	49	*

^{*} Data not gathered during this testing period

Grade _	5	_ Test	Math	FCAT NRT	<u>[</u>					
comprel Ninth E	nensior dition i	n and math is a Custor	ematics p	ont Test (FCA problem solvi cure Form; re- in grades 3-10	ing po	ortions of	the Stanf	ord Achie	evement To	est Series,
		ation Year odified ann		rd Achieveme Florida.	ent Te	est Series	Ninth Ed	ition, Cus	stomized S	ecure Form.
Publishe	er: Hai	rcourt Edu	cational l	Measurement	:					
Scores a	re repo	orted here	as (check	one): NCEs	<u>. </u>	Scaled so	cores	_ Percent	tiles <u>X</u>	

	2004-2005	2003-2004	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001
Testing month	March	March	March	March	March
SCHOOL SCORES					
Total Score	82	89	80	83	77
Number of students tested	51	57	56	56	56
Percent of total students tested	100	100	100	100	100
Number of students alternatively assessed	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of students alternatively assessed	100	100	100	100	100
SUBGROUP SCORES					
1.White	84	90	82	84	80
Number of students tested	45	51	49	49	50
2.Not Free/Reduced Lunch	84	90	83	85	*
Number of students tested	45	49	52	47	*

^{*} Data not gathered during this testing period