Foodservice Operator's Preparedness for Bioterrorism

Gary "Lee" Frantz, Ph.D., FMP College of Family & Consumer Sciences South Dakota State University

Joan Hegerfeld, M.Ed. Heidi Weinkauf, M.S. Alyssa Bechen, B.S

Review

 Foodservice preparedness for terrorism is a concern

- Push to strengthen food defense
- Government & industry have role
 - Farm to table

Definition

- Food Defense (formally Food Security) is the prevention of deliberate contamination of food with the intent to cause harm or disruption
 - (Rasco & Bledsoe, 2005; NRA, 2003)

Public Foodservice Health Safety Roles

CDC
USDA/FSIS
NRA
FDA

CDC

• Research & education on:

- Prevention & preparedness
- Detection & surveillance
- Laboratory, response & communication
 - (Khan, Morse & Lillibridge, 2000)

Needs

- Primary prevention program
 needed to avert terrorism

 (Hintch, 2004))
- Foodservice operators need to prepare
 - (Blank, 2002)

Many Threat Factors

NBC agents

- Personnel screening
- Handling of visitors
- Handling of mail/ packages/receiving
- A security plan
- Training
- Physical security
- Laboratory safety

- Storage & inventory of hazardous chemicals
- Identification systems
- Restricted access areas
- Security of air, water and gases
- Security of computers and finished products

o(Draughon, 2002; Rasco & Bledsoe, 2005).

Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED)

Natural surveillance
Outside activity
Territorial measures
Property looks occupied
Activity support
Place is busy

• (Witherspoon, 2004)

USDA/FSIS Plan

Outside security
Interior security
Safe receiving
Personnel security

Literature/Problem

 Government agency and foodservice owner/operator confusion on protection responsibility exists

(Foxwell, 2003 and Blank, 2002)

- Numerous guidelines have been developed
- Foodservice owner/operators are not adapting guidelines

(Hintch, 2004 and Draughon, 2002)

National Restaurant Association (NRA)

Threat is across food chain
 Focus on

- Specific food item
- Process
- Company or business

• (NRA, 2003)



o (NRA, 2003)

Hypothesis/Questions

- Do commercial and non-commercial foodservice operators know and understand the threat of food terrorism?
- 2. What operation protection measures do they currently have in place?
- 3. What management contingency plans are in place for food defense?

Survey Methodology

Survey Methodology

- IRB approved
- Adapted with permission:
 - Food Security: An Introduction[©] (2003), The National Restaurant Association Education Foundation
- Questions (Yes, No, Don't Know):
 - 1-14 Human Resource Elements
 - 15-40 Interior Elements of Facility
 - 41-49 Exterior Elements of Facility
- Demographics

Validity

Adapted professional checklist
Peer review

- Homeland security
- SME/author in food defense
- Extension food safety specialist
- State health inspector
- Pre-test
 - 40 school foodservice managers

Methodology

- o Database
 - 3,000 South Dakota Foodservice Establishments
 - Random county proportional
 - o 1,000 operation sample
- Sampling
 - Mail out survey
 - Post card follow-up
 - Incentive packet for respondents
- Statistics
 - Frequency
 - o ANOVA

Incentive Packet

- Employee Food Safety Video
- Refrigeration/Food
 Dial Thermometers
- Employee Food Safety Fact Sheets
- Security Guideline Brochures
- Retail Food Safety Pamphlets



Results/Response

1,000 Surveys	n	%
Returned Undeliverable	55	5.5

945 Surveys	n	%
Returned	117	12.3
Usable	114	12.1

Results/Demographics

Restaurant Type	n	%
Commercial Full Service	44	38.6
Commercial QSR	32	28.1
Commercial Convenience	4	3.5
School	1	.9
Correctional	1	.9
Contract On-site	1	.9
Other	28	24.6

Results/Demographics

Average Check	n	%
\$9.99 or less	46	40.4
\$10.00 to \$19.99	37	32.5
\$20.00 to \$29.00*	8	7.0
\$30.00 or higher	16	14.0

*.99 questionnaire gap

Results/Demographics

<u>Management</u>	n	%
Owner	85	64.6
Single-Unit	15	13.2
Multi-Unit Manager	5	4.4

Results/Preparedness

<u>Item</u>	n	%

No food protection plan 80 72.7 (includes don't know)

Unprepared to respond 65 58.6 to terrorism (includes don't know)

Results/ANOVA

\circ Significance at a=.05

- Interior elements by familiarity with NRA Food Security pamphlet
- Human, Interior, Exterior elements by preparedness to respond
- Human, Interior, Exterior
 elements by having a plan in place

Results/Ranking

o See handout



 Implementation of food defense educational materials for foodservice managers has value

 Operators prioritize interior vice human resource or external

Conclusions

- Trust in employees hired
- Terrorism is low priority
- More training and resources needed to:
 - control deliveries and access to back of house
- Fairly good operator control
 - Tampering, water testing, internal access controls



 Existing food defense educational materials need to be used and implemented

Boundaries/Limitations

South Dakota
Power

Return rate of 12.4%

Future Research

 Measure effects of training materials on preparedness – Longitudinal Study