Water Quality Concerns Affecting Adoption of Best Management Practices in Colorado

Troy Bauder CC and Reagan Waskom



Introduction



rrigation water management, pest management, and rrigation water management. However, our current knowledge of producer adoption



One of the objectives of this project was to understand the barriers and opportunities for change and to understand how producers prioritized water quality and puantity concerns.

Methodology

 Survey instruments were mailed, written puestionnaires with a total of 48 questions.
 Survey method followed modified procedures described by Dillman (1978) including:
 Focus groups of cooperating producers to review

instrument. • Reminder postcards sent three and ten days following the initial mailing of the survey. The USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service hailed the survey to a portion of their database.

 Sample was limited to those producers who irrigated any crops, and had at least 16 ha of crop land.
 Mailed to 3,300 addresses across the state in Nov. 2001

 The survey data were analyzed using PROBIT analysis (SAS, 1990) with logistic regression to identify water quality concerns that significantly influenced producer adoption.



Survey Response

Over 1,300 usable responses were returned for a 40% response.

The farms sampled by the survey represented approximately 200,000 of Colorado's 1.2 million ha of irrigated land.









Water, equipment costs, and time were most frequently cited imitations on management decisions.

The time and labor limitations are consistent with the number of producers having an off-farm job.

A small minority of producers reported that lack of information imited decision making on their farms.



Input suppliers (chemical, seed) are the most frequently used information sources for crop production information.

Results suggest educational programming should be targeted at the primary producer information sources to multiply impacts and putcomes.



As expected in a semi-arid state, water quantity dominated irrigating producers' concerns about water.

After weed seeds, a higher percentage of respondents indicated salinity and sediment as the top water quality concerns on their farm.

Water quality concerns that are the focus of many outreach programs (nitrate, fertilizers, or pacteria/pathogens) were listed as important by approximately one-third of respondents.

Real vs. Perceived WQ Concerns

Table 1. Comparison of known water quality problems from different regions of Colorado to respondents

Respondent Concern									
ļ	Region	Known or Potential Water Quality Problems	for Known Problem	Respondent Highest Water Quality Concern					
s	South Platte	Nitrate	19	Weed seeds (47%)					
E	astern Plains	Nitrate	21	Nitrate (21%)					
ļ	rkansas Valley	Salinity	58	Salinity (58%)					
s	an Luis Valley	Nitrate	11	Weed seeds (32%)					
h	ountains	Metals	5	Weed seeds (44%)					
١	Vestern Slope	Selenium	10	Weed seeds (50%)					
Ī	The Arkansas \	he Arkansas Valley was the only region where an identified water quality problem was widely							
	recognized by the	maiority of respondents.							

recognized by the majority of respondents.

Respondents in the Eastern Plains were concerned about nitrate, a potential water quality problem due to animal feeding and intensive farming in the area, but nitrate is not a widely found in the area's water.

Table 2. Results of PROBIT analyses of water guality regenerity regressed against selected BMPs.											
	Irrigation Water Testing		Soil Testing		Paid Crop Consulting		Keep Nutrient Records				
er Quality Icern	Impact on Adoption	Pr>ChiSa	Impact on Adoption	Pr>ChiSa	Impact on Adoption	Pr>ChiSa	Impact on Adoption	Pr>ChiSo			
nity	(+)		(+)	NS	(-)	NS	+	+			
ate	(+)		(+)		(+)		(+)	NS			
ulations	(+)	NS	(+)		(+)		(+)				
als	(-)	NS	t	t	t	t	+	+			
an runoff	(-)	NS	t	t	t	t	+	+			
teria	(+)	NS	+	+	+	+	+	+			
ilizer	(+)	NS	(+)	NS	(+)	•	(+)	NS			
ure Runoff	+	+	(-)	•	t	t	(-)	NS			
vi Soorie		+	+		(4)		+				

Concern about nitrate and regulations influenced BMP adoption more often than other BMPs tested.

Summary

Dutreach models suggest that building avareness about the water quality problem to be addressed is the itst step towards influencing change. Survey results from Colorado suggest that adoption of contain nutrient management BMPs was affected by producers' water quality concerns. However, other factors such as producer characteristics and cropping system had a larger influence over whether producers adopted BMPs. Barriers to change seem to be associated with water, cost, time or labor as opposed to lack of normation.