INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial is to compare plants native to Inner Mongolia with plant materials currently being used or tested in the western United States. Inter-Center strain trials were established at Bridger, Montana, Pullman, Washington, and Aberdeen, Idaho Plant Materials Centers during the spring of 1994 to allow comparison of the plant materials over a broad and ecologically diverse area and to identify plant adaptation and performance. This report describes the progress of the Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial at the Aberdeen Plant Materials Center during the fifth and final year of evaluation.

For a detailed description of the project site characteristics and methods see the Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial - 1994 Progress Report.

1998 EVALUATIONS AND DISCUSSION

Precipitation during the 1998 crop year was 145 percent of normal. Precipitation during the months of January, February, July and September was much above normal. The inter-center strain trial was not irrigated during 1998. The following summarizes precipitation data during the 1998 crop year which was collected at the University of Idaho Aberdeen Research and Extension Center:

```
Month Precipitation
                     Normal
(in.) (mm.) (in.) (mm.)
October 1997
               1.19 30.2 0.62 15.7
November 0.31 7.9 0.78 19.8
December 0.51 12.9 0.91 23.1
               2.00 50.8 0.77 19.6
January 1998
February 1.52 38.6 0.54 13.7
March 0.63 16.0 0.63 16.0
April 0.16 4.1 0.75 19.1
    0.96 24.4 1.22 31.0
May
June 1.76 44.7 1.11 28.2
July 1.04 26.4 0.26 6.6
          0.03 0.8 0.47 11.9
August
September 2.41 61.2 0.55 14.0
Total 12.52 318.0 8.61 218.7
```

Weed control of the shrub and legume block was accomplished by hand hoeing as needed during the growing season. The grass plots were well established and only minimal weed control was needed.

On July 22, plant height, vigor and forage production data were collected from all plots with the exception of the shrub plots in which forage production data was not collected. On September 24, percent stand and regrowth data were collected. Table 1 summarizes the data. Evaluation data was collected by the same procedure as used in previous years. Forage samples were allowed to dry until August 20, when they were weighed and data was converted to dry matter yield.

Height of the grasses ranged from 10.3 cm for 540441 Leymus arenarius to 92.0 cm for 9075984 Elymus dahuricus. 'Rosana' western wheatgrass had the best vigor rating (1.3). There were seven accessions rated 9.0 (worst).

Dry matter forage ranged from 0.166 MT/ha for 9058214 Elymus ciliaris to 5.012 MT/ha for 'P-27' Siberian wheatgrass (MT/ha x 0.446 = ton (U.S.)/acre). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means separation using Duncan's Multiple Range Test were completed for the dry matter forage production data and is also shown on Table 1.

Percent stand and regrowth was evaluated on September 24. Percent stand ranged from 0.3 percent for 9057958 Elymus nutans to 95.0 percent for 9075983 Leymus chinensis. Regrowth ranged from 2.7 cm for 9058214 Elymus ciliaris to 36.0 cm for 9069758 Achnatherum splendens.

Height of the legumes during the July 22 evaluation ranged from 16.0 cm for 9075988 Astragalus adsurgens to 46.7 cm for 'Spredor III' alfalfa. Spredor III and 'Lutana' cicer milkvetch had the best vigor ratings (3.3).

Dry matter yield ranged from 0.615 MT/ha for 9057946 Astragalus adsurgens to 4.605 MT/ha for Lutana cicer milkvetch. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was completed for the dry matter forage production data and failed to show any significant differences for the legume accessions. The shrubs were not sampled for dry matter yield.

Percent stand ranged from 55.0 percent for 9075988 Astragalus adsurgens to 61.5 percent for 9057946 Astragalus adsurgens. Accession number 9057946 had the greatest regrowth of the legume accessions.

9057950 Ceratoides arborescens was the tallest, most vigorous and had the best stand of the shrub accessions.

On October 1, Ouyang Haihong, Tong Yanan, Shen Xihuan and Yu Jing Zhong from the Peoples Republic of China and Dan Ogle, Plant Materials Specialist reviewed the trial as part of a western regional plant materials tour for the Chinese scientists.

1998 is the last year of evaluations. A summary of evaluation data and final report will be completed.

Table 1 Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial, Field 21 Fish and Game Farm Summary of 1998 Evaluation 2/ 1/ Dry Matter Yield Accession Genus and Species Plant Height (cm) Vigor MT/ha Percent Stand Regrowth (cm) 7/22/98 9/24/98 Evaluation Date 7/22/98 7/22/98 9/24/98 Grasses P-27 Agropyron fragile ssp. sibiricum 71.7 2.7 5.012 a 56.0 16.0 9075983 Leymus chinensis 49.7 1.7 4.012 ab 95.0 15.7 9058209 Agropyron sibiricum 66.3 2.3 3.596 abc 70.0 12.0 9069758 Achnatherum splendens 71.7 2.3 3.596 abc 49.3 36.0 Bannock Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus 69.7 1.7 2.943 bcd 93.3 12.0 Critana Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus 49.3 3.3 2.638 bcde 68.3 9.3 Bozoisky Psathyrostachys juncea 81.0 2.0 2.524 bcde 78.7 17.0 2.499 Rosana Pascopyrum smithii 42.3 1.3 bcdef 94.3 14.0 9058207 58.0 3.3 2.430 bcdef 58.7 Agropyron desertorum 13.7 9057957 64.7 3.0 2.221 bcdefg 59.3 12.7 Elymus excelsus 63.3 4.0 2.207 bcdefg Hycrest Agropyron cristatum X desertorum 58.3 15.7 Schwendimar Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus 54.3 4.3 2.180 bcdefg 60.0 17.0 9057959 Elymus tangutorum 40.7 5.0 1.833 cdefgh 28.3 13.7 9058210 Elymus dahuricus 83.7 3.0 1.791 59.3 17.3 cdefgh 1.736 9058211 90.3 3.3 cdefgh 51.0 15.7 Elymus exelsus 9058206 Agropyron cristatum 40.0 5.7 1.708 defgh 20.3 9.7 9075989 Hordeum brevisubulatum 48.3 3.3 1.430 defgh 79.3 11.7 9057955 Elymus dahuricus 76.7 3.7 1.319 defqh 61.7 15.0 9058213 Elymus tangutorum 47.3 1.236 defqh 36.7 16.0 5.0 55.0 12.3 9075984 defqh Elymus dahuricus 92.0 3.7 1.208 Pseudoroegneria spicata ssp. spicata 5.3 1.138 Goldar 42.0 defqh 18.3 6.0 defgh Pryor Elymus trachycaulus ssp. trachycaulus 61.0 3.3 1.111 43.3 12.3 9057956 Elymus excelsus 66.3 4.0 0.889 efqh 45.0 14.3 9075985 Elymus purpuraristatus 58.3 5.3 0.847 efgh 56.7 9.7 9075991 Agropyron mongolicum 0.611 23.3 7.3 fqh 6.7 4.0 9057954 Roegneria purpurascens 56.3 5.7 0.444 23.3 gh 6.7 9075990 Agropyron fragile ssp. sibiricum 47.0 4.7 0.430 gh 13.3 4.3 9058212 Elymus nutans 19.3 7.0 0.208 h 30.0 8.3 9058214 Elymus ciliaris 13.3 7.7 0.166 h 30.0 2.7 Lodorm Nassella viridula 30.0 5.7 0.000* 16.7 6.0 9057963 Puccinellia chinampoensis 0.0 9.0 0.000* 0.0 0.0

Table 1 continued. Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial, Field 21 Fish and Game Farm Summary of 1998 Evaluation 2/ 1/ Dry Matter Yield Accession Genus and Species Plant Height (cm) Vigor MT/ha Percent Stand Regrowth (cm) Evaluation Date 7/22/98 9/24/98 9/24/98 7/22/98 7/22/98 Grasses continued. 9057962 Agropyron mongolicum 0.0 9.0 0.000* 0.0 0.0 9057958 Elymus nutans 0.0 9.0 0.000* 0.3 0.0 9058217 Stipa grandis 0.0 9.0 0.000* 0.0 0.0 9058208 Agropyron mongolicum 20.0 7.7 0.000* 1.0 0.0 9058215 Elymus pendulina 0.0 9.0 0.000* 3.3 0.0 540441 Leymus arenarius 10.3 7.3 0.000* 0.0 5.0 9005491 Puccinellia nuttalliana 0.0 9.0 0.000* 0.0 0.0 9075982 Puccinellia tenuifolia 0.0 9.0 0.000* 0.0 0.0 Mean 1.384 CV 51.24 % 1.559 LSD Legumes & Shrubs Lutana Astragalus cicer 36.0 3.3 4.605 60.3 9.0 9075988 Astragalus adsurgens 16.0 5.7 1.099 55.0 8.0 Spredor III Medicago sativa 46.7 3.3 0.998 56.7 5.7 9057946 Astragalus adsurgens 0.615 61.5 21.0 27.3 4.7 9075986 Medicago ruthenicus 3/ Mean 1.463 CV 110.00 % 9057950 Ceratoides arborescens 174.0 1.3 NA 80.0 NA 9063535 Krascheninnikovia lanata 66.0 3.7 54.0 NA NA 9067481 Krascheninnikovia lanata 4/ 1/ Vigor rated 1-9, 1 Best 9 Worst. 2/ Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different as determined by Duncan's Multiple Range Test, P = 0.05. Accessions marked with an * were not included in the analysis of variance. Analysis of variance of the legume accessions failed to show any significant differences.

 $MT/ha \ge 0.446 = ton (U.S.)/acre$

3/ This accession was removed from test because of severe winterkill. 4/ This accession did not emerge after planting resulting in no data.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write the USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenues, SW. Washington, D.C., 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.