Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial Aberdeen Plant Materials Center 1996 Progress Report Loren St. John, Assistant Manager

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial is to compare plants native to northern China with plant materials currently being used or tested in the western United States. Inter-Center strain trials were established at Bridger, Montana, Pullman, Washington, and Aberdeen, Idaho Plant Materials Centers during the spring of 1994 to allow comparison of the plant materials over a broad and ecologically diverse area and to identify plant adaptation and performance. This report describes the progress of the Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial at the Aberdeen Plant Materials Center during the third year of evaluation.

For a detailed description of the project site characteristics and methods see the Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial - 1994 Progress Report.

1996 EVALUATIONS AND DISCUSSION

Precipitation during the Fall of 1995 was less than normal and winter precipitation was above normal. Spring and Summer precipitation was below normal and summer temperatures were above normal and hot winds were common. The inter-center strain trial was not irrigated during 1996. The following summarizes precipitation data during the 1996 crop year which was collected at the University of Idaho Aberdeen Research and Extension Center:

Month	Preci	pitation	Normal		
	(in.)	(mm.)	(in.)	(mm.)	
October 1995	0.21	5.3	0.62	15.7	
November	0.52	13.2	0.78	19.8	
December	1.32	33.5	0.91	23.1	
January 1996	0.93	23.6	0.77	19.6	
February	1.50	38.1	0.54	13.7	
March	0.86	21.8	0.63	16.0	
April	0.38	9.7	0.75	19.1	
May	1.98	50.3	1.22	31.0	
June	0.02	0.5	1.11	28.2	
July	0.24	6.1	0.26	6.6	
August	0.00	0.0	0.47	11.9	
September	1.20	30.5	0.55	14.0	
Total	9.16	232.6	8.61	218.7	

Weed control of the shrub and legume block was accomplished by hand hoeing as needed during the growing season. All plots of 9075986 *Melissitus ruthenicus* were winter-killed, so the plots were fallowed to control weeds. The grass plots were well established and only minimal weed control was needed.

On July 23, plant height, vigor and forage production data were collected from all plots with the exception of the shrub plots in which forage production data was not collected. On September 24, percent stand and regrowth data were collected. Table 1 summarizes

the data. Plant height, vigor and percent stand data were collected by the same procedure as used in previous years.

Forage samples were collected by hand clipping a 60 x 200 cm frame centered on the middle 2 rows of each plot for plots with 30 cm row spacing and a 120 x 200 cm frame was used on plots with 60 cm row spacing (all legume plots and 'Bozoisky' Russian wildrye have 60 cm row spacing and all other grass plots have 30 cm row spacing). Forage samples were bagged for air drying unless the volume of material was too large in which case, the total sample was weighed and a small "grab" sample from the plot was bagged, weighed to determine wet weight, and then allowed to air dry to determine dry forage weights. All samples were allowed to dry until September 4, when they were weighed and data was converted to dry matter yield.

Height of the grasses ranged from 18.3 cm for 9075982 *Puccinellia tenuifolia* to 146.0 cm for 9058211 *Elymus exelsus*. Three accessions with the best vigor ratings (1.3) were 9075983 *Leymus chinensis*, 9058211 *Elymus exelsus*, and 'Bozoisky' Russian wildrye.

Dry matter forage ranged from 0.125 MT/ha for 9075982 *Puccinellia tenuifolia* to 6.110 MT/ha for 'P-27' Siberian wheatgrass (MT/ha x 0.446 = ton (U.S.)/acre). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means separation using Duncan's Multiple Range Test were completed for the dry matter forage production data and is also shown on Table 1.

Percent stand and regrowth was evaluated on September 24. Percent stand ranged from 7.7 percent for 9075982 *Puccinellia tenuifolia* to 94.7 percent for 'Rosana' western wheatgrass. Regrowth ranged from 2.7 cm for 9075982 *Puccinellia tenuifolia* and 9058215 *Roegneria pendulina* to 29.3 cm for Bozoisky.

Height of the legumes at the July 23 evaluation ranged from 48.0 cm for 'Spredor III' alfalfa to 91.7 cm for 9057946 *Astragalus adsurgens*.. The best vigor rating (2.3) was for 'Lutana' cicer milkvetch.

There was no significant difference in forage production from the legume accessions as shown on Table 1. The shrubs were not sampled for dry matter yield.

Percent stand ranged from 66.7 percent for 9057946 *Astragalus adsurgens* to 80.3 percent for Spredor III. Spredor III also had the greatest regrowth of the legume accessions.

9057950 *Ceratoides arborescens* was the tallest, most vigorous and had the best stand of the shrub accessions.

The grass and legume plots were mowed to a stubble height of 5 cm in late October to remove current years' growth. We plan to continue evaluating the trial for the next 2 years.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write the USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenues, SW. Washington, D.C., 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

 Table 1

 Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial, Field 21 Fish and Game Farm

 Summary of 1996 Evaluation

Accession	Genus and Species	Plant Height (cm)	<u>1</u> / Vigor	<u>2</u> / Dry Matter Yield MT/ha	Percent Stand	Regrowth (cm)
7 Recession	Evaluation Date	7/23/96	7/23/96	7/23/96	9/24/96	9/24/96
		Gra	sses		<i>y</i> , <u>-</u> , , <i>y</i> <u>o</u>	<i>,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
P-27	Agropyron fragile ssp. sibiricum	72.7	2.0	6.110 a	61.0	14.7
9075983	Leymus chinensis	74.3	1.3	5.423 ab	91.0	18.3
9058207	Agropyron desertorum	67.3	3.0	4.850 abc	61.0	12.0
9069758	Achnatherum splendens	73.0	2.0	4.761 abcd	54.7	28.7
9058211	Elymus exelsus	146.0	1.3	4.591 abcd	67.7	15.0
9058209	Agropyron sibiricum	63.7	2.7	4.000 bcde	65.3	14.3
Rosana	Pascopyrum smithii	69.0	2.0	3.949 bcde	94.7	15.7
Schwendimar	Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus	86.0	3.7	3.893 bcde	51.0	17.7
9057959	Elymus tangutorum	87.3	3.0	3.858 bcdef	62.7	12.0
Hycrest	Agropyron cristatum X desertorum	66.7	3.3	3.852 bcdef	64.3	15.0
Bozoisky	Psathyrostachys juncea	133.0	1.3	3.846 bcdef	82.7	29.3
Critana	Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus	67.0	2.7	3.747 bcdef	84.3	13.3
9058210	Elymus dahuricus	112.3	2.3	3.555 bcdef	77.0	17.7
9058206	Agropyron cristatum	64.0	2.3	3.398 bcdef	52.7	14.3
Bannock	Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus	82.1	2.3	3.393 bcdef	84.3	16.7
9075984	Elymus cylindricus X dahuricus	114.3	3.7	2.868 cdefg	78.7	15.3
9058213	Elymus tangutorum	95.3	3.7	2.701 cdefgh	53.0	10.7
9075985	Elymus purpuraristatus	91.3	3.7	2.602 cdefgh	69.3	14.3
9057957	Elymus excelsus	86.0	2.7	2.595 cdefgh	72.0	15.3
9057956	Elymus excelsus	102.7	2.7	2.569 cdefgh	57.7	16.7
9075990	Agropyron sibiricum	66.3	3.7	2.527 defghi	47.0	12.7
9058214	Roegneria ciliaris	71.3	4.3	2.259 efghij	67.7	13.0
Pryor	Elymus trachycaulus ssp. trachycaulus	90.7	4.0	2.250 efghij	77.7	7.7
9075989	Hordeum brevisubulatum	72.0	3.7	2.166 efghij	88.7	16.0
9057954	Elymus purpurascens	68.7	5.0	2.004 efghij	44.3	21.7
9058212	Elymus nutans	60.7	4.7	1.986 efghij	69.3	13.3
9075955	Elymus cylindricus	111.3	1.7	1.847 efghij	73.7	17.7
9075991	Agropyron mongolicum	71.0	4.3	1.756 efghij	38.7	11.3
Lodorm	Nassella viridula	47.3	5.0	1.583 fghij	62.0	18.0
Goldar	Pseudoroegneria spicata ssp. spicata	70.0	5.3	1.000 ghij	28.7	12.0
9057962	Agropyron mongolicum	71.3	5.0	0.930 ghij	25.3	13.0

Table 1 Chinese Inter-Center Strain Trial, Field 21 Fish and Game Farm Summary of 1996 Evaluation

					<u>2</u> /			
				<u>1</u> /	Dry Matter	Yield		
Accession	Genus and Species		Plant Height (cm)	Vigor	MT/h	a	Percent Stand	Regrowth (cm)
		Evaluation Date	7/23/96	7/23/96	7/23/96		9/24/96	9/24/96
			Grasses	continued.				
9057958	Elymus nutans		59.7	5.0	0.916	ghij	55.3	5.0
9058217	Stipa grandis		44.0	3.7	0.903	ghij	40.0	13.0
9058208	Agropyron mongolicum		62.0	5.7	0.597	ghij	26.0	14.0
9058215	Roegneria pendulina		31.3	7.3	0.444	hij	23.0	2.7
540441	Leymus arenarius		27.3	7.0	0.278	ij	11.0	10.0
9057963	Puccinellia chinempoensis		35.3	7.7	0.236	j	27.7	3.3
9005491	Puccinellia nuttalliana		33.3	8.3	0.167	j	26.0	0.0
9075982	Puccinellia tenuifolia		18.3	8.3	0.125	j	7.7	2.7
				Mean	2.578			
				CV	44.43	%		
				LSD	1.862			
			Legumes	& Shrubs				
9057988	Astragalus adsurgens		63.3	3.3	9.340 a		69.7	9.0
9057946	Astragalus adsurgens		91.7	2.7	6.958 a		66.7	8.0
Spredor III	Medicago sativa		48.0	3.0	6.626 a		80.3	22.3
Lutana	Astragalus cicer		52.7	2.3	6.302 a		71.0	10.7
9075986	Melissitus ruthenicus $\frac{3}{2}$							
				Mean	7.307			
				CV	33.29 %			
				LSD	4.579			
9057950	Ceratoides arborescens		140.7	2.0	NA		83.3	NA
9063535	Krascheninnikovia lanata		54.0	3.0	NA		78.3	NA
9067481	Krascheninnikovia lanata 4	/						

1/ Vigor rated 1-9, 1 Best 9 Worst.

 $\frac{2}{M}$ Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different as determined by Duncan's Multiple Range Test, P=0.05. MT/ha x 0.446 = ton (U.S.)/acre

 $\underline{3}$ / This accession was removed from test because of severe winterkill.

 $\underline{4}$ / This accession did not emerge after planting resulting in no data.