# TECHNICAL NOTES

## COFFEEVILLE PLANT MATERIALS CENTER

NO. 1

Coffeeville, Mississippi

1989

#### INITIAL EVALUATION OF TRAILING WILDBEAN

# <u>Abstract</u>

Thirty-two accessions of trailing wildbean (Strophostyles spp,) were evaluated from 1985 through 1988 for soil improvement and conservation purposes. On the basis of appearance or vigor, ten accessions were selected for advanced studies, Three of these were obtained through the National Plant Materials Center (PMC); the remainder were collected in Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas. As a group, the wildbeans showed sufficient variation for selection of a superior cultivar for conservation application.

### Introduction

Trailing wildbean, Strophostyles helvola (L.) Elliott is an annual herbaceous warm-season legume. It occurs on alluvial and sandy soils from Florida to Texas, northward to Minnesota and eastward to Quebec. It is a nitrogen-fixing plant, and thus contributes to the growth of associated plants. According to Graham (1941), this species has good volunteering characteristics and the seeds are used by gamebirds. The rapid growth of this plant makes it potentially useful for erosion control on disturbed sites within forests, such as temporary logging roads and log loading areas. The capacity to fix nitrogen and the use by gamebirds would be additional benefits.

# Materials and Methods

The plant materials assembled at the Coffeeville PMC for this initial evaluation trial came as field collections of seed from the Coffeeville PMC service area and from other PMCs. A total of 32 accessions were assembled, including 22 of Strophostyles helvola, one of S. leiosperma, three of S. umbellata, and six shown only as Strophostyles sp. Eight accessions were collected in Arkansas, three in Louisiana, and ten in Mississippi; five were received from the Knox City (TX) PMC and six came from the National PMC at Beltsville, Maryland.

The test plot at the Coffeeville PMC was <code>Oaklimeter</code> silt loam, 0-2 percent slope, Each year <code>8-24-24</code> fertilizer at a rate of 300 pounds per acre was added to this plot. For evaluation years <code>1985-87</code>, this plot was thoroughly plowed and each accession was seeded approximately 1.5 on deep in <code>rows 6M</code> long X <code>2M</code> apart. Planting dates were <code>05/16/85</code>, <code>03/31/86</code>, and <code>04/13/87</code>. In <code>1988</code>, seeds from the <code>1987</code> crop were allowed to reseed each respective row. The area was cultivated and hand weeded as necessary to control weeds, and a four-foot wide neutral strip between plots was maintained to prevent accessions from growing together.

Plant evaluations were made following procedures shown in the National Plant Materials Manual (USDA, 1984). Factors relating to vigor, stand, foliage abundance, and seed production were of primary importance.

Height and width were measured in centimeters, and all other ratings were subjective on a scale of 1-9 with 1 best and 9 poorest,

### Results and Discussion

Data for evaluations of all accessions from 1985 through 1988 are in Table I. These were analyzed statistically in a method similar to that used in the initial evaluation of Indiangrass (Coffeeville PMC, 1985) and other species.

Because of the vining habit of **Strophostyles**, criteria such as height and width used for mean separation **for other ini**tial evaluations were of questionable value. Neither were the subjective evaluations for resistance to environmental factors (disease, insect, heat, and drought) or seed production valuable because means for the majority of the accessions were not significantly different. Only with vigor was **it** possible to cull out fewer than half of the accessions using the Duncan's multiple range test. For this analysis, early season (VIG-1) and late season vigor (VIG-2) data were first averaged for each year as in earlier reports (Coffeeville PMC, 1985), but this did not exclude as many accessions as did the product of VIG-1 and VIG-2. Outstanding accessions selected by the latter method were the following:

<u>Accession</u>	<u>Species</u>	<u>Origin</u>
434455 9008290 9013735 9017145 9017146 9021718 9021719 9028588 9028592 9028599	S. helvola S. umbellata S. helvola	Washington Co, MS Colorado Co, TX National PMC National PMC National PMC Washington Co, MS Crittenden Co, AR Yalobusha Co. MS Franklinton, LA Yalobusha Co. MS

Even without evaluation data, accessions of the less-robust S. leiosperma could have been easily excluded as contenders. The remainder, variously named, exhibited differences, but no one accession was evidently superior. Criteria for selection of a conservation plant are seed production and ability to adapt or thrive under rigorous conditions. Both of these criteria may be tested in the normal plant materials evaluation process (USDA, 1984). Seed production may be determined quantitatively in advanced evaluations, and adaptation may be determined in a series of plantings with climatic and soil differences.

#### Conclusions

The analyses showed the assembly to be easily separated into two groups:

1) a small group of very poor performers that could be culled easily and

2) a larger group of good performers with none clearly outstanding.

However, the larger group showed enough variation to indicate that an improved cultivar could be selected. Empirical data are needed to determine the best seed producer, and a series of **plantings** at different locations is needed to determine the range of adaptation.

# References

Coffeeville PMC. 1985, Technical Notes No. 4. Initial Evaluation of Indiangrasses.

Graham, Edward L., 1941. Legumes for Erosion Control and Wildlife. U.S. Government Printing Office, Misc. Publication 412.

USDA. 1984. National Plant Materials Manual. Title 190.

TABLE I.

INITIAL EVALUATIONS FOR TRAILING WILD BEAN AT COFFEEVILLE PMC

ACCESSION	YR	PCT STD	FOL I		FOL <sub>I</sub> ,	`85	ΥIG	2	pRE	SIST	ΈN(	 G₹	MATURE	. <b></b> -	SEE FT	Ви
434 ,464	85 86 87	100 100 100 100	4 3 2	I 1 1	30 21 20	274 150 183	3 4 3 4	4 2 3	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1		10/10/85 10/06/86 09/22/88	353	2 1 1	3
	Ave.	100	3	1	24	202	4	3	1	1	1	1	10/03	4	1	e de la companya de l
434,455	85 86 87 88	100 80 100 100	5 3 1 1	1 1 1	40 25 28	213 150 275	3322	2 2	1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1		10/04/85 10/06/86 09/25/87	204	1 1	1
***************************************		.79	.am.		,844, 24	'mi' 9 'ma'	'14'	,44,	4	4	4	4	4 25 225	- 12	4	4
9,008,290	85 86 87 88	100 100 100 100	1 3 2 2	1 1 2	40 26 25	274 110 183	2 3 3 2	1 3 2 2	1 2 1	1 1 2	1 1	1 1 1	10/04/85 09/07/86 09/25/87	1 5 5	1 1 1	3
NN BK 24 NO RD DE GO ON ON ON ON	Ave	. 100	2	1	30	189	2	2	1	1.	1	1	09/22	4	1	3
9,008,293	85 86 87 88	100 100 100 75	2	1 1 1	35 26 25	274 120 213	3333	3 2 3	1 1 1	1 1 3	1 1 1	1 1 1	10/10/85 10/15/86 09/25/87	255	1 1	3
was now then had mad not then done that then	Ave	. 94	2	1	29	202	3	3	1	2	1	1	10/07	4	1	2
9,012,601	85 86 87 88	100	5	3 1	35 10 20	274 140 275	4 4 4	4 4 3	1 3	1 1 2	1	1	10/04/85 10/06/86 09/18/87		1	3
NAME AND ADDRESS A	 Ave	. 100	) 4	2.	22	230	4	4	2	1	1	1	09/29	2	1	2
9,012,602		100	) 4	2 3 2	35 20 23	183 120 183	2 4 2 3	4 4 2	1 2	1 2	1	1	10/04/85 10/06/86 09/22/87	. 4	) 3	3
NAME AND THE STATE AND STA	Ave			2	26	162	3	3	2	1	1	2	10/01	4	+ 2	: 2
9,013,735		5 100 5 100 7 100	) 3 ) 3	3 2 2	23 40 20 23	244 140 183	3	2		1 1	1	1	10/04/85 10/10/86 09/18/87	<b>'</b>	1. 2 4 1 3 1	
MR QUE ON DEE MA AND DEE NO DEE N	AV			2	26	189	3	2	1	1	1	2	10/01	_	3	1 3

(Cont.)
INITIAL EVALUATIONS FOR TRAILING WILD DMAN AT COFFEEVILLE PMC Ň

	9,021,7			9,021,7			9,017,1		f ill		9,017,1				9,017,1				•,017 1	: : :		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	9.017.1	CESSI	1 1 1 1 1
     	718	)     <b> </b>	 	17	) 	 	4 ©	<b>&gt;</b>	I I		4 0	<b>&gt;</b>	I I		47	Þ	i i		P 0	; ; ; <2		(	1 4 1 D	Z · ~	I Z
	. 0 4 6 01 . 0 0 0 0		88		< ⊕	. 00 00 . 00 7	0 00 10-01	< •	: 00 : 00	87	0 0 0 0	< 8	1 00 ( 1 00 -	7 (v (b) (b)	Ø		. (Q (			Ø 1	ω ο ω ~	10-( 00-(		70	IA
100		100	100	100	17	70	ON ON	<i>٥</i>	4 4	7	# 0 0 0	o O		000	100	100		300	100	100	100	808	- 1	PCT BTD	
1 10	0 α α α α α α α α α α α α α α α α α α	ω	NN	ω 4	<b>0</b> ≻	i   0-	40	<b>G</b> -	i 0	OI (	4 D	ω	1 1 4 1 1	νω	ω	N	· (	υω	ω	N	NA	ω (	Ú i	A TO	<b> </b>
! 	   µµµ 	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<b>1</b>	<b>سر</b> سو	N	i i	<b>⊢</b> (i)		! ! !	<u></u> 1	⊢ N	61	! ! +	عو مـ	ω	N	: ! #	ميو م	ω	N I	-	) مبر ه		IAGE	i Z
	 		N N				N	ω	ι ι μ ι ω	5 6			ι ι ι Ν ( ι ω <i>:</i>				   N     0 (				ယ္မ		1 1 1	크린	1 刀
ı	1 2 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12	210	I N	274 130	() () ()		ω A	<b>0</b>	i i	<u>ц</u>	Ф 4 И С	19 19 19	1 (	2 C 67 G	-1	196	(	  	7	161		101 10.1	1 4	I A G E	TRAIL
i I N	ιωω⊢ω	4	σω	N 4	О. I	04	n N	4	i Oi	4	4 C	ω	ι ω (	υω	4	ω	ω	υω	ω	ωΙ	Ŋω	) ω (	ו נו	<u>- &lt; :</u>	I NO
i I N	100-	ω	NN	4	7	4	0	Œ	: O	OI (	<b>)</b> .	ω	141	4 ¢		N i	H- [	ψ		N I	NN	iω	1	N	Ξ
 	I I + +	<b>1</b> 44	<u></u>	μ.	<b>3</b> (	i		Ŋ	1 <i>1</i> /3	•	., <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		i i +	. ,	<u>-</u> -	j-4			<u>,_</u>	N I	,	· (i) +	- 1	U U U	ıU
I I	 	<b></b>		<b>}-</b> *	N	N		Ŋ	i N	ŧ	<u>مو زي</u>		i i N +	- ب	<u> </u>	<u></u> į	-	4 9~4	<del></del>	<u>ب</u> ا	N H	ء سوا،	- I	SIS	
<u> </u>	1	} }		<u>r</u>	jt	•		ş	<b>!</b>		ـــ ـــ	⊭	] 		<b></b>	j	3-			<u>ب</u> !			-	I T A	! ! ≥
   N 	ı – w	<u></u>		•	<u> </u>	μ.			i 			<b>j.</b> 4	i M) r			1 I	<u>_</u>			N i	ω μ	هبو .	i	H H H	0
10/22	0/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/0	10/02	Ø/00/	10/04/85	10/04		10/04/85	09/20		9/00/ 100/	10/04/85	09/27		10/06/86	0/04/	09/20	7/10/	09/17/86	0/04/	09/23	81/E	- 1 t		M M M	
. 1/3 !	1 10101-	ω	4	ω μ	7	I I	7	OA I	i :	ω -	4 (A			3 G-	(a)	(i)	ú	4 (	ω	1 4	7.3	C18. (		2	-
 	مربوس ا	<u></u>	-	<u></u>	<u>ا</u> سو	i I	<u>,</u>	<b>1</b> -4-		} }-		N	· .	-ω		<u>н</u> !	<b>;</b>		<b>}</b> -	N i		ω -	. !		กี้
i I N	ı ω <b>⊬</b>	إنس		<u></u>	~ !		<del>, .</del>	N I	i i i	N (	مب ن	N i	i	ω	<del></del>	N I		ω	<del></del>	N I		ω <b>-</b>	- I	ĘÜİ	

	ITIA	EVA	LUAT	IONS	FOR 1	TRAIL:	ING	WIL	ו מ_	3EAI	N AT	. Ct	OFFEEVILL	GE 1 E PI	AC.	
ACCESSION	YR	PCT STD		IAGE	FOL:	AGE WD	V:	IG 2	RI DI		BTAN HE	DR	MATURE DATE	AM	SEI FI	ΩΞ Νυ
9,021,719	95 86 97 99	100 100 85 100	1 2 6 2	1 1 4	45 15 26	274 120 183	3 3 4 3	1 3 2	1 1 1	1 1 1 2	1 1 1	1 1 1	10/10/85 10/06/86 09/25/87	2 5 4	1 1 1	1
	Ave	. 96	3	2	29	192	3	2	1	1	1	1	10/04	4	1	7
9,021,720	85 84 87 88	0 75 95	3	2	31 28	200	0 2 3	2 3	1	1 2	1	1 1	09/25/87	4	1	
office court nevel names patter bears taken copied copies design	Ave	. 42	3		30	200	5	2	1	2	1	1	09/25	4	1	
9,028,013	85 86 87 88	100 90 70 80	3 4 3	2 1 1	40 31 <b>28</b>	2 7 80 122	4 3 4 3	3 2 3 3 3	2 1 1 1	1 1 1 2	1 1 1	1 1 2	10/04/85 10/15/86 09/25/87	GGR	1 1 1	2
	Ave	. 85	3	1	32	159	3	3	1	1	1	1.	10/05	4	1	4
'3,028, 293	85 86 87 88	100 58 60	5 7 4 3	1	8 10 6 15	183 48 170	5 7 4 4	0 3 2	1 1 -	1 1 1	1	'3 1 1	09/15/95	3 2	1 1	3
	Ave	.  54	s	1	10	133	a	5	1.	1	1	4	09/12	2	1	3
9,028,331	85 86 87 88	0 100 100	23	1	41 25	175	0	2 3	1	1 2	1	1 2	09/18/87	3	1	
(M) NO 100 LL (M) GA GA GA GA GA GA	A∨e	. 67	2	1	33	175	5	2	1	2	1	2	09/18	3	1	NW 999
9,028,588	85 86 87 88	75 100 100 100	i	3 1 1	50 38 20	274 155 244	4 2 3 4	1 1 3	1 1 1	1 1 1 2	1 1 1	1 1 2	10/04/85 10/06/86 10/02/87		1 1 1	1
	A∨e	. 94	2	2	36	224	2	1	1	1	1	1	10/04	3	1	1
9,028,588	85 86	0					0									
	Ave	. 0	1996 6565 8988		100° 1001 4000 4000		10	***					val dee dee deé dee hee			

TABLE (Cont.)
INITIAL EVALUATIONS FOR TRAILING ELD BEAN AT COFFEEVIII O Z

ACCESSION		1000   TO   TO   TO   TO   TO   TO   TO						HHHH   NO   E		M   W   M   W   M   M   M   M   M   M			MATINE VI 0/0/0/0/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10	<u>σ</u> <u>ξ</u> ω4ω :		i cell
9,028,596		NW 9 0	(4 to to	10 W 01		н N i		oror ⊢ ı	N !	F F [	1	I	10/05 11/14/85 09/18/87	N N W	<u> </u>	н н Ы I
9,028 8999		1000	i no 4044	ומ משא	1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	000 A 01 i	1 10 0004	ω σ!	-N- N:	M 1	ا ایر مرموس	I ~~4	10/16 10/04/86 10/06/86 10/23/87	ωωω ηι	I مو مومومو	0 H H
9,028,600		100	ι ω 4 το !	- ω - ¦	10	φ 11 0 1 Μ ω 7 1	on w w !	N I	μ ω μ i	ا بر بر بر	!	N I	10/01 10/04/85		ω <b>-</b> - i	<b>⊢</b> N N
9,029,008	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1000	(d) (v)	H N	1000 1000 1000	007	OONN	044 		N HH		ω μ	0/04/ 0/10/ 9/22/	<b>以取4</b>	سر سر سر	H () H
9,029,009		100 100 100	<b>ω 400</b> Ε	1 01 444 1 01 444	 		0 4DW4	1 1 4 4 4 4 1 D	ا سر سرسرسر	N 1	ا مر سرسر	N via	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 4404	14 444	+ 01 + - 10 +
9,029,010		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	4 i www.i	·		1	4 0010 40001	4 1 0001	I HHH I H	444441   H		1 W P P		Pa- Ι ω	· * i +	1
I	A : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 :	100	i ω ι <b>ν</b> (	1 10 1	2 9 1 1	1 10 1	i w k		* I * I	. i	I + I	ί . Ιωι <b>ω</b> ι	10	I id I	1 4	I 1 I N I

TABLE I (Cont.)
PAGE NO.
INITIAL EVALUATIONS FOR TRAILING WILD BEAN AT COFFEEVILLE PMC

ACCESSION	YR	PCT		IAGE	FOL:	AGE	VI	G	Ri	ESIS	IATE	NCE	MATURE		SEI	ΞD
		STD	ABN	UNI	HT	MD	1	2	DI		HE		DATE	AM		UN
1000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000	-41	*** *** ***	300 500 666	196 866 866	***************************************		2000 MON	MH 100	***	***	***	***		0000 anns	***	100 1011
9,045,274	85	75	- 4	3		122	4		1	1	1		10/04/85	2	1	1
	86	7	Э	1	15	80	5	3	1	1	1	1	10/15/86	色	1	1
	87	80			15	135	3	4					09/18/87	-		_
	88	80	4		23		3	4		4		3				
	**** ****			***	**, *** *** ***	*** *** ***			***				**** **** *** *** *** ***			***
	Ave.	60	4	Z	18	112	4	4	1	2	1	2	10/02	4	1	1.
9,045,275	85	75	3	3		183	4		. 1	1	1		10/04/85	2	1	1
	86	90	3	1	45	120	3	3	1	1	1	1	10/06/86	4	1	ā
	87	85	4	2	15	152	Э	4			-	_	09/18/87	з	1	1
	88	40			15		4	4		2		1	W 1 7 W W 1 7 W 1	-	**	**
and not			***	***		***						***				***
	Ave.	72	3	2	25	152	4	4	1	1	1	1	09/28	3	1	2
9,045,277	85	100	8	, et			5	4	1	1	1		10/04/85	3	1	
	86	5	5	1	15	40	5	7	1	1	1	1	10/06/86	9	1	
	87	5	6	1	5	90	7	6		_	-	_	10/15/87	•	-	
	88	15	6		15	•	5	6		1		3	W W F W W F W 1			
		****			*** *** *** ***			***	***			***			***	***
	Ave.	31	6	2	12	65	6	6	1	1	1	2	10/08	6	1	

NOTE: Value of LEE- (Q) converted to 10 to obtain averages for foliage abundance (ABN) and uniformity (UNI); vigor (VIG); resistance to disease (DI), insects (IN), heat (HE), and drought (DR); and seed amount (AM), fill (FI), and uniformity (UN) to avoid lowering the average to make the evaluation look better than it was.