

Exhibit 300 FY2008

FY2008 Exhibit 300

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I. A. 1. Date of Submission:

2006-09-06

I. A. 2. Agency:

005

I. A. 3. Bureau:

84

I. A. 4. Name of this Capital Asset:

(short text - 250 characters)

FNS FSP Store Tracking and Redemption System (STARS II)

I. A. 5. Unique ID: (For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

005-84-01-41-01-0210-00-301-093

I. A. 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Operations and Maintenance

I. A. 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2001 or earlier

I. A. 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this, closes in part or in whole, an identified agency performance gap:

(long text - 2500 characters)

STARS II is FNS' primary automated support for the retailer management functions for the Food Stamp Program. It utilizes a multi-tier, web-based architecture with over 800 FNS users nationwide. Its centralized database houses information on over 180,000 active (400,000 total) retailers and maintains associated status tracking, redemption, and compliance activity. STARS II supports the Food Stamp Program (FSP) mission by tracking and monitoring three critical areas: 1) store authorizations and re-authorizations; 2) Food Stamp Program food coupon/electronic benefit redemption activities, including accounting support for the FSP redemption account; and 3) regulatory violations by stores and associated administrative actions (including maintenance of case histories) related to enforcement of related penalties. STARS II completed a post-implementation review in 2005.

I. A. 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

yes

I. A. 9. a. If "yes", what was the date of this approval?

2006-09-06

I. A. 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

yes

I. A. 11. Contact information of Project Manager?

I. A. 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

yes

I. A. 12. a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

yes

I. A. 12. b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

I. A. 12. b. 1. If "yes", is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

I. A. 12. b. 2. If "yes", will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

I. A. 12. b. 3. If "yes", is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

I. A. 13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

yes

I. A. 13. a. If "yes", check all that apply:

Expanded E-Government
Eliminating Improper Payments

I. A. 13. b. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s).
(medium text - 500 characters)

This initiative is a continuing component of the Agency's E-Gov plan. It will eventually support public interaction via online store applications for Food Stamp Program participation, records update, etc. It supports PMA goals for eliminating improper payments in its role supporting Program monitoring and oversight responsibilities. The system is integrated with the USDA's e-Authentication services and Web standards. Wherever feasible, the system will use Agency shared-service initiatives.

I. A. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

(For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

yes

I. A. 14. a. If "yes", does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

no

I. A. 14. b. If "yes", what is the name of the PARTed Program?

(short text - 250 characters)

Food Stamp Program

I. A. 14. c. If "yes", what PART rating did it receive?

Effective

I. A. 15. Is this investment for information technology? (see section 53 for definition)

yes

I. A. 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the

mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).

Level 2

I. A. 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per OMB's PM Guidance):

(1) - The project manager assigned for this investment has been validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (2) - The project manager assigned for this investment is in the process of being validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (3) - The project manager assigned for this investment is not validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (4) - The qualifications for the project manager named have not been evaluated.; (5) - No project manager is currently assigned for this investment.; (6) - N/A -- This is not an IT investment.

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

I. A. 18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

no

I. A. 19. Is this a financial management system?

no

I. A. 19. a. If "yes", does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

I. A. 19. a. 1. If "yes" which compliance area?

(short text - 250 characters)

I. A. 19. a. 2. If "no", what does it address?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. A. 19. b. If "yes", please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. A. 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

I. A. 20. a. Hardware

0

I. A. 20. b. Software

0

I. A. 20. c. Services

100

I. A. 20. d. Other

0

I. A. 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

n/a

I. A. 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

I. A. 22. a. Name

(short text - 250 characters)

Sara Bradshaw

I. A. 22. b. Phone Number

I. A. 22. c. Title

(short text - 250 characters)

FNS FOIA/PA Officer

I. A. 22. d. Email

(short text - 250 characters)

Sara.Bradshaw@FNS.USDA.gov

I. A. 23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

no

Section B: Summary of Funding

I. B. 1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table.

All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

	PY-1 Spending Prior to 2006	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008					
Planning	0	0	0	0					
Acquisition	24.204	0	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	24.204	0	0	0					
Operations & Maintenance	0.119	5.834	5.043	5.135					
TOTAL	24.323	5.834	5.043	5.135					
Government FTE Costs	1.716	0.814	0.82	0.834					
Number of FTE represented by cost	9.355	8.64	8.42	8.37					

I. B. 2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

I. B. 2. a. If "yes", How many and in what year?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. B. 3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

(long text - 2500 characters)

No changes anticipated.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy

I. C. 1. Complete the table for all contracts and/or task orders in place or planned for this investment:

(Character Limitations: Contract or Task Order Number - 250 Characters; Type of Contract/Task Order - 250 Characters; Name of

I. C. 2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

(long text - 2500 characters)

N/A

I. C. 3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

yes

I. C. 3. a. Explain Why:

(medium text - 500 characters)

Section 508 compliance is a government-wide requirement. Therefore, contract support for this initiative requires such compliance where it applies.

I. C. 4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

yes

I. C. 4. a. If "yes", what is the date?

2006-08-04

I. C. 4. b. If "no", will an acquisition plan be developed?**I. C. 4. b. 1. If "no", briefly explain why:**

(medium text - 500 characters)

Section D: Performance Information

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

I. D. 1. Table 1

(Character Limitations: Strategic Goal(s) Supported - 250 Characters; Performance Measure - 250 Characters; Actual/baseline (from Previous Year) - 250 Characters; Planned Performance Metric (Target) - 250 Characters; Performance Metric Results (Actual) - 250 Characters; Measurement Indicator - 250 Characters; Baseline - 250 Characters; Planned Improvement to the Baseline - 250 Characters; Actual Results - 250 Characters)

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
2004	USDA Strategic Goal 4: Improve the Nation's Nutrition and Health, and FNS Strategic Goal #2: Improved Stewardship of Federal Funds.	Maintain 95% compliance with deliverable schedule	95% of system development deliverables completed on time	Number of on time deliverables as a percent of total scheduled deliverables	95% or better record maintained
2004	USDA Strategic Goal 4: Improve the Nation's Nutrition and Health, and FNS Strategic Goal #2: Improved Stewardship of Federal Funds.	Fully 508-compliant system redesign	Legacy system non-compliance with Section 508 requirements	Full Section 508 compliance	508 compliance built into the new system design

I. D. 2. Table 2

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2005	Mission and	Operations and	Annual contract	\$2 million annual	Reduce contract	Initial 3 year maintenance

	Business Results	Maintenance Costs	maintenance costs	maintenance costs	costs by 40%	contract averages \$950 thousand annually (48% reduction)
2005	Customer Results	Timeliness	Average time required to enter a store application	42 minutes average per application (legacy system)	25% reduction in entry time	Approximately 32 minutes average (24% reduction)
2005	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Data retrieval time	2-3 day turnaround for data retrieval	Same day processing	Immediate on-line data retrieval
2005	Technology	Reliability	Unnecessary user timeouts	Four average daily timeouts per user due to inefficient navigation features	Zero time outs due to system inefficiencies	Data is accessible via online data searches
2006	Mission and Business Results	Service Efficiency	Critical defects management	Critical defects corrected within 48 hours	Maintain 48-hour standard	100% of critical defects corrected within 48 hours
2006	Customer Results	Timeliness	Average time required to enter a store application	32 minutes average per application	30 minute average per application	25 minute average per application
2006	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Data retrieval time	Same day turnaround for data retrieval	Maintain Same day processing	Same day turnaround for data retrieval
2006	Technology	Reliability	Unnecessary user timeouts	Zero average daily timeouts per user due to inefficient navigation features	Maintain zero average timeouts	Zero timeouts reported
2006	Technology	Reliability	Six Sigma system uptime standard of 99.96%	No prior baseline established	Establish measured baseline	99.6% baseline established
2007	Mission and Business Results	Service Efficiency	Critical defects management	Critical defects corrected within 48 hours	Maintain 48-hour standard	
2007	Customer Results	Timeliness	Average time required to enter a store application	25 minutes average per application	Maintain 30 minute or less average	
2007	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Data retrieval time	Same day turnaround for data retrieval	Maintain Same day processing	
2007	Technology	Reliability	Unnecessary user timeouts	Zero average daily timeouts per user due to inefficient navigation features	Maintain zero average timeouts	
2007	Technology	Reliability	Six Sigma system uptime standard of 99.96%	99.6% uptime	99.7% uptime	
2008	Mission and Business Results	Service Efficiency	Critical defects management	Critical defects corrected within 48 hours	Maintain 48-hour standard	
2008	Customer Results	Timeliness	Average time required to enter a store application	30 minutes average per application	Maintain 30 minute average per application entered	
2008	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Data retrieval time	Same day turnaround for data retrieval	Maintain Same day processing	
2008	Technology	Reliability	Unnecessary user timeouts	Zero average daily timeouts per user due to inefficient navigation features	Maintain zero average timeouts	
2008	Technology	Reliability	Achieve Six Sigma system uptime standard of 99.96%	99.7% uptime	99.8% uptime	
2009	Mission and Business Results	Service Efficiency	Critical defects management	Critical defects corrected within 48 hours	Maintain 48-hour standard	
2009	Customer Results	Timeliness	Average time required to enter a store application	30 minutes average per application	Maintain 30 minute average per application entered	
2009	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Data retrieval time	Same day turnaround for data retrieval	Maintain Same day processing	
2009	Technology	Reliability	Unnecessary user timeouts	Zero average daily timeouts per user due to inefficient navigation features	Maintain zero average timeouts	
2009	Technology	Reliability	Achieve Six Sigma system uptime standard of 99.96%	99.7% uptime	99.8% uptime	

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I. F. 1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

I. F. 1. a. If "no", please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

no

I. F. 2. a. If "yes", provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. F. 2. b. If "no" please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

The agency Transition Strategy is currently under development. The functionality of this system will be incorporated into that strategy when complete.

I. F. 3. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

FEA SRM Component - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM. FEA Service Component Reused - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission. Internal or External Reuse? - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government. Funding Percentage - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service. (Character Limitations: Agency Component Name - 250 Characters; Agency Component Description - 500 Characters)

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused - Component Name	FEA Service Component Reused - UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
Activity Tracking	Monitor food stamp redemption activity	Tracking and Workflow	Process Tracking	Process Tracking	005-84-01-41-01-0210-00-301-093	No Reuse	7
Case Management	Retailer authorization management for program participation	Tracking and Workflow	Case Management	Case Management	005-84-01-41-01-0210-00-301-093	No Reuse	17
Program Management Support	Management of the food stamp redemption process.	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management	Program / Project Management	005-84-01-41-01-0210-00-301-093	No Reuse	10
Access to Program Information	Staff access to Food Stamp Redemption information	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	Information Retrieval	005-84-01-41-01-0210-00-301-093	No Reuse	17
Reporting	Management and status reports	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	Standardized / Canned	005-84-01-41-01-0210-00-301-093	No Reuse	18
Data Edit	Review and editing features	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation	Extraction and Transformation	005-84-01-41-01-0210-00-301-093	No Reuse	17
Data Repository	Redemption activity data repository	Data Management	Loading and Archiving	Loading and Archiving	005-84-01-41-01-0210-00-	No Reuse	8

					301-093		
Fraud Detection	Generation of displays indicating potential incidents of fraud and abuse	Search	Pattern Matching	Pattern Matching	005-84-01-41-01-0210-00-301-093	No Reuse	5

I. F. 4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component - Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications. Service Specification - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate. (Character Limitations: Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) - 250 characters)

FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	
Program / Project Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Program / Project Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Extranet	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Program / Project Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Program / Project Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	
Program / Project Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Supporting Network Services	
Program / Project Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	

Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Modeling	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Modeling	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Wide Area Network (WAN)	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Program / Project Management	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	
Extraction and Transformation	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Extraction and	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	

Transformation				
Extraction and Transformation	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	
Extraction and Transformation	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Extraction and Transformation	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Extraction and Transformation	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
Extraction and Transformation	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Extraction and Transformation	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Program / Project Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Program / Project Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Program / Project Management	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Program / Project Management	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	
Program / Project Management	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Transformation	
Program / Project Management	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	

I. F. 5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

no

I. F. 5. a. If "yes", please describe.

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

no

I. F. 6. a. If "yes", does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

I. F. 6. a. 1. If "yes", provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).

(medium text - 500 characters)

PART III: FOR "OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE" INVESTMENTS ONLY (STEADY-STATE)

Part III should be completed only for investments which will be in "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in FY 2008, i.e., selected the "Operations and Maintenance" choice in response to Question 6 in Part I, section A above.

Section A: Risk Management

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investments life-cycle. Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

III. A. 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

III. A. 1. a. If "yes", what is the date of the plan?

2003-06-16

III. A. 1. b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

no

III. A. 1. c. If "yes", describe any significant changes:

(medium text - 500 characters)

III. A. 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

III. A. 2. a. If "yes", what is the planned completion date?

III. A. 2. b. If "no", what is the strategy for managing the risks?

(long text - 2500 characters)