

Exhibit 300 FY2008

FY2008 Exhibit 300

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I. A. 1. Date of Submission:

2006-09-11

I. A. 2. Agency:

005

I. A. 3. Bureau:

68

I. A. 4. Name of this Capital Asset:

(short text - 250 characters)

International Funds Control Reporting System (IFCRS)

I. A. 5. Unique ID: (For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129

I. A. 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Mixed Life Cycle

I. A. 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2004

I. A. 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this, closes in part or in whole, an identified agency performance gap:

(long text - 2500 characters)

The Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) initiated the International Funds Control Reporting System (IFCRS) to replace its existing system, Momentum Financials (Momentum). FAS primary financial system is the Foundation Financials Information System (FFIS) selected by the USDA Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) for use by USDA Agencies. FFIS was implemented by FAS in Oct. 02. FAS acquired Momentum in Oct. 98 because the accounting system in use was not Y2K compliant. The OCFO approved the procurement of Momentum, using the existing USDA contract vehicle. It was also decided to use the existing FAS development support contract to develop the FAS Reporting System separately because Momentum provided limited reports that did not meet the unique requirements of FAS necessitated by Department of State (State) data and Agency for International Development (AID) Project Agreements. Since FAS' accounting needs differ from other USDA agencies due to the overseas element, the OCFO staff and FAS agreed that it would be more cost effective for FAS to keep Momentum and write interfaces for the data transfer to/from FFIS, than to enhance FFIS to meet FAS unique needs. FAS has now verified that FFIS provides the majority of the data provided by Momentum, so it was most cost effective to eliminate the redundant Momentum system, and develop IFCRS to supplement the data provided by FFIS and to meet FAS unique requirements. The final product includes the existing FAS Reporting System. Momentum was eliminated in Sept. 2004. The continued operation of IFCRS contributes to FAS and USDA's mission, strategic goals, and objectives in two important ways. First, it enables FAS and USDA to meet its fiduciary responsibilities, including accountability for U.S. tax dollars, and to comply with several legislative and regulatory mandates. Second, by increasing the quality of financial information, IFCRS supports better decision-making for improved financial management. The IFCRS investment aligns with the FEA BRM Financial Management LOB, and its sub-functions including accounting, budget and finance, payments, collections and receivables, asset and liability management, and reporting and information. Implementation and development activities to integrate IFCRS into the OCFO's Financial Management Modernization Initiative (FMMI) are scheduled for FY07-FY08. This supports ongoing compliance with federal financial management laws, regulations and systems requirements.

I. A. 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

yes

I. A. 9. a. If "yes", what was the date of this approval?

2006-09-06

I. A. 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

yes

I. A. 11. Contact information of Project Manager?

I. A. 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

no

I. A. 12. a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

no

I. A. 12. b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

no

I. A. 12. b. 1. If "yes", is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

no

I. A. 12. b. 2. If "yes", will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

yes

I. A. 12. b. 3. If "yes", is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

yes

I. A. 13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

yes

I. A. 13. a. If "yes", check all that apply:

Financial Performance

I. A. 13. b. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s).

(medium text - 500 characters)

IFCRS directly supports the Government-wide initiative number 3, Improved Financial Performance. Without accurate and timely financial information, it is not possible to accomplish the Presidents agenda to secure the best performance and highest measure of accountability for the American people. IFCRS enables FAS and USDA to meet its fiduciary responsibilities, including accountability for U.S. tax dollars, and to comply with several legislative and regulatory mandates.

I. A. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

(For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

no

I. A. 14. a. If "yes", does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

I. A. 14. b. If "yes", what is the name of the PARTed Program?

(short text - 250 characters)

I. A. 14. c. If "yes", what PART rating did it receive?

I. A. 15. Is this investment for information technology? (see section 53 for definition)

yes

I. A. 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).

Level 2

I. A. 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per OMB's PM Guidance):

(1) - The project manager assigned for this investment has been validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (2) - The project manager assigned for this investment is in the process of being validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (3) - The project manager assigned for this investment is not validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (4) - The qualifications for the project manager named have not been evaluated.; (5) - No project manager is currently assigned for this investment.; (6) - N/A -- This is not an IT investment.

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

I. A. 18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

no

I. A. 19. Is this a financial management system?

yes

I. A. 19. a. If "yes", does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

yes

I. A. 19. a. 1. If "yes" which compliance area?

(short text - 250 characters)

CFO Act of 1990

I. A. 19. a. 2. If "no", what does it address?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. A. 19. b. If "yes", please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

(long text - 2500 characters)

International Funds Control Reporting System (IFCRS)

I. A. 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

I. A. 20. a. Hardware

0

I. A. 20. b. Software

1

I. A. 20. c. Services

80

I. A. 20. d. Other

19

I. A. 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

n/a

I. A. 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

I. A. 22. a. Name

(short text - 250 characters)

Maureen Quinn

I. A. 22. b. Phone Number

I. A. 22. c. Title

(short text - 250 characters)

Privacy Officer

I. A. 22. d. Email

(short text - 250 characters)

maureen.quinn@fas.usda.gov

I. A. 23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

yes

Section B: Summary of Funding

I. B. 1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table.

All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

	PY-1 Spending Prior to 2006	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008					
Planning	0	0	0	0					
Acquisition	2.788	0.137	0.21	0.25					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	2.788	0.137	0.21	0.25					
Operations & Maintenance	3.673	0.025	0.20	0.16					
TOTAL	6.461	0.162	0.41	0.41					
Government FTE Costs	0.357	0.112	0.12	0.14					
Number of FTE represented by cost	3.57	1.1	1.0	1.1					

I. B. 2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

I. B. 2. a. If "yes", How many and in what year?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. B. 3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

(long text - 2500 characters)

FY07 and FY08 summary of spending is reduced due to the Department's delay of FMMI integration and eTravel integration due to priority changes. FAS expected to be integrating with the USDA eTravel system in FY06 and beginning development to integrate with the USDA Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) Financial Management Modernization Initiative (FMMI) in FY07. Further development after integration with FMMI was planned for FY08. The Department delayed eTravel implementation until FY07, and FMMI integration is also delayed. This resulted in less effort for FMMI development and implementation needed in FY07 and FY08.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy

I. C. 1. Complete the table for all contracts and/or task orders in place or planned for this investment:

(Character Limitations: Contract or Task Order Number - 250 Characters; Type of Contract/Task Order - 250 Characters; Name of CO - 250 Characters; CO Contact Information - 250 Characters)

I. C. 2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

(long text - 2500 characters)

This is a general support contract, contractor provides only portion of the work related to IFCRS. The contract requires that the contractor provide accomplishments against the baseline. The Government tracks EVM. FAS is recompeting this contract for FY07 and it does include the EVMS clause.

I. C. 3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

yes

I. C. 3. a. Explain Why:

(medium text - 500 characters)

Section 508 requirements are specified in the statement of work and the contract. Program management will monitor and ensure compliance.

I. C. 4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

yes

I. C. 4. a. If "yes", what is the date?

2006-08-11

I. C. 4. b. If "no", will an acquisition plan be developed?

yes

I. C. 4. b. 1. If "no", briefly explain why:

(medium text - 500 characters)

N/A

Section D: Performance Information

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

I. D. 1. Table 1

(Character Limitations: Strategic Goal(s) Supported - 250 Characters; Performance Measure - 250 Characters; Actual/baseline (from Previous Year) - 250 Characters; Planned Performance Metric (Target) - 250 Characters; Performance Metric Results

(Actual) - 250 Characters; Measurement Indicator - 250 Characters; Baseline - 250 Characters; Planned Improvement to the Baseline - 250 Characters; Actual Results - 250 Characters)

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
2000	Obtain a Y2K compliant Financial Management System By Year 2000	Major development completed and system useable 1/1/2000	Non Y2k compliant Financial Management System	100	100
2001	Integrate with USDA/OCFO FFIS system	System is integrated with FFIS	System not integrated with FFIS	90	100
2002	Financial Reporting enhanced to include FFIS formats	Financial Reporting includes FFIS formats	Financial Reporting does not include FFIS formats	100	100
2003	System integrated with FFIS and in steady-state maintenance	System in steady-state	System not in steady-state	100	100
2004	Provide a comprehensive, integrated International Funds Control Reporting System to supplement FFIS and meet FAS? unique requirements	International Funds Control Reporting System development is in progress.	Legacy, non-comprehensive system in steady-state	100	100
2005	Provide a comprehensive, integrated International Funds Control Reporting System to supplement FFIS and meet FAS? unique requirements	% IFCRS development, testing, data transfer, reports completed	50% completed	90	100
2005	Current and accurate data	Reporting data up-to-date	1 week behind	90	100
2005	Project is on schedule and within Budget	Project is on schedule and within Budget	50% of funds have been spent, within 50% of schedule	90	100
2005	One cohesive system; one contract for support	One cohesive system; one contract for support	Multiple, non-integrated legacy systems; two contracts	1	1

I. D. 2. Table 2

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2006	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Accurate financial information, accessible in a timely fashion	Reporting data is one week old	Reporting data is current	Reporting data is current
2006	Customer Results	Timeliness	Reduction of staff time required to enter, process, and report financial data	75% data processing time spent fixing errors	Staff time reduced to 25% due to data error reductions	Staff time reduced to 25% due to data error reductions
2006	Processes and Activities	Support Costs	Reduction in IT staff time required to support contracts	2.5 FTEs	Staff time reduced 50%	1.5 FTEs
2006	Technology	Overall Costs	Integrated Application running on common hardware, using common software tools	Non-integrated application; Multiple hardware and software platforms and tools	Integrated Application running on common hardware, using common software tools.	Integrated Application running on common hardware, using common software tools.
2006	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Integration with USDA Integrated Acquisition System (IAS) by end of FY06	50%	90%	100%
2006	Processes and Activities	Reporting and Information	Development for loading prior years State data	75%	100%	100%
2006	Technology	Support Costs	Automate GenJ transmit/receive process	25%	90%	100%
2007	Customer Results	Funds Control	Development for Budget Screens and Processes	4 accountants provide info to customers	System automatically provides info for customers	
2007	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Integrated eTravel	Not integrated	90% Integrated	
2007	Processes and Activities	Financial Management	Additional reports available	Limited reports	Project tracking reports available	
2007	Mission and Business Results	Information Systems Security	Certification & Accreditation completed by end of FY07	FY04 C&A completed by end of FY04	FY07 C&A completed by end of FY07	
2008	Mission and Business Results	Integration	IFCRS integrated with FMMI	not integrated	50% Integrated with FMMI	

2008	Customer Results	Reporting and Information	Data accurate	not complete	100% of data loaded	
2008	Customer Results	Reporting and Information	Data current	1 week behind	Reporting data is current	
2008	Technology	Data Storage	Database converted to SQL Server, EA compliant	Sybase, non-EA compliant	Database converted to SQL	
2008	Processes and Activities	Errors	Data error rate reduced	50%	80%	
2008	Technology	Compliance	User interface web enabled	client/server	web enabled user interface	
2009	Mission and Business Results	Reporting and Information	Data accurate	not completely integrated	completely integrated with FMFI	
2009	Customer Results	User Satisfaction	data accurate and reliable	all reports not yet available	all reports available	
2009	Processes and Activities	Support Costs	Manual processes performed by contractors automated	manual processes performed by contractors	automated processes performed by Government staff	
2009	Technology	Operations and Maintenance Costs	Operation and maintenance costs reduced	operation and maintenance costs higher because of manual processes, historical data loading	automated processes, historical data loaded to date	

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I. F. 1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

I. F. 1. a. If "no", please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

yes

I. F. 2. a. If "yes", provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

(medium text - 500 characters)

International Funds Control Reporting System (IFCRS)

I. F. 2. b. If "no" please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 3. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table.

For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

FEA SRM Component - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM. FEA Service Component Reused - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission. Internal or External Reuse? - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government. Funding Percentage - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service. (Character Limitations: Agency Component Name - 250 Characters; Agency Component Description - 500 Characters)

Agency	Agency Component	FEA SRM	FEA SRM	FEA Service	FEA Service	Internal	BY Funding
--------	------------------	---------	---------	-------------	-------------	----------	------------

Component Name	Description	Service Type	Component	Component Reused - Component Name	Component Reused - UPI	or External Reuse?	Percentage
Access Control	IFCRS limits access to State Department, AID Project Agreements and documents in FFIS modifications to authorized users	Management of Processes	Change Management	Change Management	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Funds Management	IFCRS provides the management of Project Agreements between FAS and AID	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management	Program / Project Management	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Ad Hoc Reporting	IFCRS provides Ad Hoc reporting to authorized users to track primarily training and travel budget and expenditure information using parameters	Reporting	Ad Hoc	Ad Hoc	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Standard and Canned Reporting	IFCRS provides standardized/canned reporting to authorized users to track primarily training and travel budget and expenditure information	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	Standardized / Canned	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Data receipt/transmittal	IFCRS supports the transmittal of State Department and AID Project Agreement data to FFIS, and retrieval of FFIS data for reporting purposes	Data Management	Data Exchange	Data Exchange	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Data Mart	IFCRS provides Data Mart functions by providing a small set of FFIS data for reporting purposes	Data Management	Data Mart	Data Mart	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Data Integrity	IFCRS provides the ability to modify erroneous State Department data and correct erroneous records contained in FFIS	Data Management	Data Cleansing	Data Cleansing	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Data transformation	IFCRS provides the method for transforming State data to a format compatible with FFIS, and extraction of reporting data from FFIS	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation	Extraction and Transformation	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Data loading	IFCRS provides an interface to load external State Department and AID Project Agreement data to FFIS	Data Management	Loading and Archiving	Loading and Archiving	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Billing	IFCRS provides the method to authorize payment of invoices and billings for AID reimbursable agreements	Financial Management	Billing and Accounting	Billing and Accounting	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Credit card accounting	IFCRS provides authorization and recording of overseas Credit card transactions	Financial Management	Credit / Charge	Credit / Charge	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Disbursements	IFCRS provides the authorization and payment of employee and program participant payments for overseas projects	Financial Management	Expense Management	Expense Management	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Payments	IFCRS provides the authorization for FFIS to pay FAS expenditures	Financial Management	Payment / Settlement	Payment / Settlement	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Receivables	IFCRS provides the authorization for FFIS to bill for FAS receivables	Financial Management	Debt Collection	Debt Collection	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
Audits	IFCRS provides the ability to examine State data, compare transactions, and verify the validity of FAS data in FFIS	Financial Management	Auditing	Auditing	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
CurrCurrency Translation	IFCRS provides the ability to translate foreign currency rates in State Department data received	Financial Management	Currency Translation	Currency Translation	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1

Account Management	IFCRS provides both on-line electronic and hard copy reporting of Financial data	Financial Management	Customer / Account Management	Customer / Account Management	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1
On Line Query	IFCRS provides on-line query functionality based on user entered parameters to retrieve a variety of financial information retrieved from FFIS	Search	Query	Query	005-68-01-01-01-0082-00-402-129	No Reuse	1

I. F. 4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component - Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications. Service Specification - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate. (Character Limitations: Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) - 250 characters)

FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
Change Management	Service Access and Delivery	Business Logic	Supporting Security Services	
Program / Project Management	Service Interface and Integration	Access Channels	Supporting Network Services	
Ad Hoc	Component Framework	Access Channels	Reporting and Analysis	
Standardized / Canned	Component Framework	Support Platforms	Reporting and Analysis	
Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Data Exchange	
Data Mart	Service Access and Delivery	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Data Cleansing	Component Framework	Data Management	Data Types / Validation	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Data Transformation	
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Delivery	Business Logic	Legislative / Compliance	
Credit / Charge	Service Access and Delivery	Business Logic	Legislative / Compliance	
Expense Management	Service Access and Delivery	Business Logic	Legislative / Compliance	
Payment / Settlement	Service Access and Delivery	Business Logic	Legislative / Compliance	
Debt Collection	Service Access and Delivery	Business Logic	Legislative / Compliance	
Auditing	Service Access and Delivery	Business Logic	Legislative / Compliance	
Currency Translation	Service Access and Delivery	Business Logic	Legislative / Compliance	
Customer / Account Management	Service Access and Delivery	Business Logic	Legislative / Compliance	
Query	Service Access and Delivery	Presentation / Interface	Web Browser	

I. F. 5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

no

I. F. 5. a. If "yes", please describe.
(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

no

I. F. 6. a. If "yes", does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

I. F. 6. a. 1. If "yes", provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).
(medium text - 500 characters)

PART II: PLANNING, ACQUISITION AND PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

Part II should be completed only for investments which in FY2008 will be in "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments, i.e., selected one of these three choices in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments, and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments, to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

II. A. 1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project?

yes

II. A. 1. a. If "yes", provide the date the analysis was completed?

2004-01-20

II. A. 1. b. If "no", what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?

II. A. 1. c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

(long text - 2500 characters)

II. A. 2. Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:

(Character Limitations: Alternative Analyzed - 500 characters; Description of Alternative - 500 Characters)

Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Cost Estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits Estimate
Alternative 1: Develop new International Funds Control Reporting System to supplement FFIS and meet FAS' unique requirements.	Will provide more efficient reporting capabilities that meet FAS' unique needs due to State Department data. Will eliminate annual license fee, most third-party software costs, and reduce resource costs for enhancements, upgrades and maintenance. The software will be owned by the Government, and developed on the current FAS platform using the standard tools. Upon implementation, one contractor will provide support.	5000000	10000000

II. A. 3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?

(medium text - 500 characters)

Alt 1 - IFCRS was chosen. Efficient reporting capabilities that meet FAS' needs; eliminate annual license fee, most third-party software costs, and reduce resource costs; eliminate maintaining two contracts; Govt will own the software. Risk costs: alt 1: \$10,000; alt 2: \$100,000; alt 3: \$200,000. Alt 2 upgraded Momentum system not meet FAS' needs for State Dept. and AID data, and would require modification. Alt 3 COTS package would need to be modified to meet FAS' unique needs.

II. A. 4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?

(long text - 2500 characters)

If Alternative 1 is implemented, the FAS will achieve the following benefits: o Elimination of \$150,000 annually in license fees o Elimination of most of the third-party software costs o Reduction in the cost of resources for maintaining the system By eliminating the high costs associated with licensing, third-party software costs, maintaining the existing system and implementing the new IFCRS, we have estimated a cost savings of \$2,902,870.68 for Fiscal years 2004-2008.o The payback period is 2 years. The ROI for FY04 is 23.98, and FY05 -93.14 because of development costs. FY06 ROI is 218.31 because IFCRS development will be complete, and move into the operational and maintenance steady state phase. FY07 and FY08 and beyond the ROI is over 100.o The stakeholder benefit with the new IFCRS will eliminate the cost and use of multiple systems.

Section B: Risk Management

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

II. B. 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

II. B. 1. a. If "yes", what is the date of the plan?

2006-08-16

II. B. 1. b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

yes

II. B. 1. c. If "yes", describe any significant changes:

(long text - 2500 characters)

The IFCRS risk assessment was re-evaluated due to the recent security breaches in the Federal Government. Also, the USDA OCIO/Cybersecurity office has implemented EPA's Assert tool which follows NIST 800-26 standards. The IFCRS system categorizations and self assessments were completed and entered July 2006. Certification and Accreditation was completed in September 2004 and will be done again in FY07 on the required 3 year cycle. The risk management plan was updated August 2006 to reflect the risks identified during the review.

II. B. 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

II. B. 2. a. If "yes", what is the planned completion date?

II. B. 2. b. If "no", what is the strategy for managing the risks?

(long text - 2500 characters)

II. B. 3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

(long text - 2500 characters)

Quantifiable risks are included in the life cycle cost estimate, and appropriate lag time is included in the schedule to provide flexibility