

David Shively <shive1dd@cmich.edu>
12/21/2003 09:59:47 AM

Please respond to shive1dd@cmich.edu

Record Type: Record

To: Mabel E. Echols OMB_Peer_Review/OMB/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: OMB Peer Review Rules

I am a teacher/researcher who works in the area of air quality in the lower troposphere. My comments are in regard the the OMB bulletin and proposal for peer review of federally funded research and science that impacts public policy and regulation. The bulletin and its recommendations are fundamentally flawed. It will violate the basic tenets of science - objectivity, transparency, and methodological rigor. Furthermore, the exclusion of federally funded scientists - many of whom represent the best and brightest in America - from serving as reviewers is particularly egregious. These are the same individuals who compete for Nobel Prizes, sit on the National Academy of Sciences, and who mentor all other scientists. Please reconsider your recommendations.

Sincerely,

--

David Shively, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor
Department of Geography
Central Michigan University
Mount Pleasant, MI 48859
Voice: 989-774-7596
Email: david.shively@cmich.edu