


Honeywell
P.O. Box 1053
Morristown, NJ 07962-1053

Sent Via Overnight Mail 9/24/01

September 24, 2001

Dr. C.W. Jameson
National Toxicology Program
Report on Carcinogens
79 Alexander Drive
Building 4401, Room 3118
P.O. Box 12233
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709



Re: National Toxicology Program; Call for Public Comment on 16 Substances, Mixtures and Exposure Circumstances Proposed for Listing in the Report on Carcinogens, Eleventh Edition; 66 Fed. Reg. 38430 (July 24, 2001)

Dear Dr. Jameson:

Honeywell International Inc. submits this letter in response to the National Toxicology Program's (NTP) call for comments on the proposal to list a number of substances in the Eleventh Edition of the *Report on Carcinogens (RoC)*. That notice lists naphthalene as one of the substances for which NTP is considering listing.

Honeywell urges NTP not to list naphthalene as a carcinogen in the *RoC*. Naphthalene does not meet the criteria for listing in the *RoC*, for all of the reasons stated in the comments separately submitted by the Naphthalene Panel of the American Chemistry Council (Panel). As discussed more fully in the Panel's comments:

- The NTP mouse bioassay upon which NTP bases the proposed *RoC* listing provides insufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in the test animals for consideration under NTP's criteria, and any tumorigenic effect, if present in that study, would not be relevant to humans. Accordingly, the study does not show, as required by NTP's *RoC* listing criteria, that there is any increased incidence of malignant or a combination of malignant and benign tumors in "multiple species."
- The NTP rat bioassay, upon which the proposed *RoC* listing also is based, does not meet the standard for listing in the *RoC* because it does not indicate an increased incidence of malignant or a combination of malignant and benign tumors at multiple tissue sites, does not indicate an increased

Dr. C.W. Jameson
September 24, 2001
Page 2

incidence of tumors to an unusual degree, and the observed increase in tumors represents a response that likely is not relevant to humans.

- The weight-of-the-evidence indicates that naphthalene is not genotoxic, and there is no other corroborative evidence that would support a listing in the *RoC*.

For all of these reasons, NTP should not list naphthalene in the *RoC*. Moreover, Honeywell agrees with the Panel that if NTP nevertheless concludes that naphthalene warrants further consideration for listing, NTP should defer any such further consideration by the RG2 Committee until after the International Agency for Research on Cancer issues a monograph following its upcoming review of naphthalene.

Honeywell appreciates NTP's consideration of its comments.

Sincerely yours,

Signature

Samuel A. Visnic
Manager – Technical & Environmental Services
Honeywell
Commercial Roofing Systems