Vol 10 tab A

SPEECH

AMA NATIONAL LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE

PHOENIX, AZ

FEBRUARY 25, 1990

C. EVERETT KOOP, MD

I AM DELIGHTED TO HAVE BEEN ASKED TO ADDRESS THIS DISTINGUISHED GROUP OF LEADERS IN AMERICAN MEDICINE. YOU ARE THE MOVERS AND SHAKERS.

THAT'S GOOD.

WE NEED SOME THINGS -- AND PEOPLE-- MOVED AND SHAKEN.

IT STILL SURPRISES ME, BUT I HAVE BECOME A RECOGNIZABLE FELLOW. WALKING ALONG THE STREET IN WASHINGTON, IN THE NEW YORK SUBWAY. ON THIS TRIP - AFTER HEARING AD NAUSEAM ABOUT SAFE CIGARETTES AND SAFE SEX - A MAN OFFERED TO CUT ME IN ON HIS INVENTION FOR SAFE HAMBURGERS. PEOPLE COME UP TO ME: "HI, DOC!", OR "KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK!" OR, "I KNOW YOU! YOU'RE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL. KEEP AFTER

THOSE TOBACCO COMPANIES."

IF MY PLANE IS DELAYED, I OFTEN END UP HOLDING OFFICE HOURS IN THE AIRPORT WAITING AREA. "YOU ARE THE ONE WHO FINALLY MADE ME STOP SMOKING!" OR EVEN, "SAY, I HATE TO BOTHER YOU, BUT I'VE GOT THIS PAIN IN MY ELBOW..."

ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS I'VE BEEN RECOGNIZED BY A STRANGER, AND THEN BOTH PLEASED AND SADDENED BY SOMEONE SAYING TO ME, "I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR MAKING ME PROUD, ONCE AGAIN,

TO BE A DOCTOR."

I'M PLEASED, OF COURSE, BECAUSE I'VE GIVEN MY LIFE TO THIS PROFESSION, AND IT HAS BEEN GOOD TO ME.

BUT I'M SADDENED TO HEAR FROM SO MANY OF MY COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE LOST THE PRIDE, THE JOY OF BEING A PHYSICIAN. IF YOU WANT TO KEEP A FINGER ON THE PULSE OF THE NATION, -- READ THE PRESIDENT'S MAIL. SECOND BEST IS THE SURGEON GENERAL'S MAIL, ESPECIALLY IF THE PUBLIC THINKS HE IS THEIR FAMILY DOCTOR, AND THE MEDICAL PROFESSION TAKES PRIDE IN WHAT HE IS DOING.

MY MAIL HAS CONVINCED ME THAT THE PUBLIC IS VERY CRITICAL OF DOCTORS AND THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM THAT SURROUNDS THEM. AND DOCTORS NO LONGER LIKE THEIR PATIENTS.

I HAPPEN TO THINK IT ALL BEGAN WHEN DOCTORS LET

THEMSELVES BE CALLED PROVIDERS, AND PATIENTS BECAME

CONSUMERS.

WE NEED TO GET AWAY FROM THE CONSUMER-PROVIDER

MENTALITY.

WE NEED TO RESTORE THE DOCTOR-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP. EACH OF US.

"CONSUMER" BRINGS TO MIND SOMEONE SHOPPING FOR GROCERIES OR CHECKING OUT THE FEATURES OF A NEW CAR.

"PROVIDER", ON THE OTHER HAND, SOUNDS LIKE A GARAGE ATTENDANT PUMPING GAS.

IF THE PATIENT THINKS OF HIMSELF PRIMARILY AS A

CONSUMER,

GETTING THE MOST FOR HIS MONEY, HE AUTOMATICALLY PUTS THE DOCTOR IN THE ROLE OF THE SELLER, GETTING THE MOST FOR HIS TIME.

IF THE DOCTOR IS PRIMARILY CONCERNED WITH COLLECTING HIS FEE,

HE AUTOMATICALLY AROUSES THE CONSUMER MENTALITY IN HIS PATIENT.

THE NEXT TIME PEOPLE REFER TO YOU AS A "HEALTHCARE PROVIDER", CORRECT THEM.

REMIND THEM YOU ARE A DOCTOR, AND THAT YOU WORK, NOT WITH CONSUMERS, BUT WITH PEOPLE WHO ARE <u>YOUR</u> PATIENTS.

RECENTLY, ONE OF MY FRIENDS, QUITE ACCUSTOMED TO SPENDING HIS TIME WITH OTHER DOCTORS, FOUND HIMSELF WITH A GROUP OF LAWYERS INSTEAD ----YES, THE TWO CAN GET TOGETHER!

THE ATTORNEYS WERE CONGRATULATING THEMSELVES ON WHAT THEY WERE ABLE TO DO FOR THEIR FELLOW CITIZENS. IT HAD BEEN A LONG TIME SINCE MY FRIEND HAD HEARD DOCTORS SPEAK IN THAT VEIN.

IF LAWYERS CAN FEEL GOOD ABOUT WRITING A WILL, CAN'T WE FEEL PROUD ABOUT POSTPONING ITS USE?

AMERICAN MEDICINE IS AT A CROSSROADS.

I HOPE YOU KNOW WHAT THE STAKES ARE.

TEN YEARS FROM NOW YOU WILL BE DOING ONE OF TWO THINGS:

(AND WHEN I SAY <u>YOU</u>, I AM ADDRESSING THE PHYSICIANS OF AMERICA. YOU ARE THE LEADERSHIP.)

EITHER YOU WILL BE WORKING WITH THE PUBLIC TO FREE US ALL FROM A HASTILY IMPOSED NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE, OR,

YOU WILL BE PRACTICING MEDICINE IN A WAY VERY DIFFERENT THAN YOU ARE DOING NOW, AS PART OF THE BEST SYSTEM OF MEDICAL CARE IN THE WORLD, BUT ONLY AFTER A DECADE OF PAINFUL AND DIFFICULT CHANGE. THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH YOUR INCOME.

PLEASE DISABUSE YOURSELF OF THIS IDEA. THE SOONER DOCTORS REALIZE THIS, THE BETTER.

THEY MUST PUT THE BOTTOM LINE ON THE BOTTOM OF THEIR LIST OF PRIORITIES.

IF DOCTORS PAY ATTENTION TO HIGH QUALITY AND HIGH EFFICIENCY IN THEIR MEDICAL PRACTICE, IN MOST INSTANCES THE BOTTOM LINE WILL TAKE CARE OF ITSELF. I KNOW ORGANIZED MEDICINE DOES NOT CONSIDER ITSELF A

BUT WE DO COMBINE FOR COMMON PURPOSES.

AND WE CAN LEARN FROM WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO UNIONS.

FOR FAR TOO LONG THEIR ONLY CONCERNS WERE INCOME, WORKING CONDITIONS, AND BENEFITS.

MEANWHILE QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY WERE IGNORED.

NOW, BELATEDLY, THEY ARE SCRAMBLING TO ADDRESS QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY. THE AMERICAN AUTO INDUSTRY AFFORDS A GOOD EXAMPLE.

I IMAGINE THAT MANY DOCTORS WHO USED TO DRIVE BUICKS AND CADILLACS NOW SIT BEHIND THE WHEEL OF CAMRYS AND MAXIMAS. I KNOW WE DON'T LIKE TO HEAR --OR VOICE-- CRITICISM OF OUR PROFESSION.

WE USED TO FEEL GOOD ABOUT OURSELVES AND OUR HEALTH CARE SYSTEM.

NO LONGER.

IN A WORD --WE HAVE BIG PROBLEMS.

SOMETIMES I USED TO WONDER IF THERE SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ANOTHER SURGEON GENERAL'S WARNING: "WARNING! THE AMERICAN HEALTH CARE SYSTEM CAN BE HAZARDOUS TO YOUR HEALTH! TO BEGIN WITH, THIS IS A TIME IN WHICH WE HAVE VERY HIGH EXPECTATIONS FOR MEDICINE AND HEALTH.

WE'VE PUT A GREAT DEAL OF FAITH INTO NEW TECHNOLOGIES, NEW PHARMACEUTICALS, NEW SURGICAL PROCEDURES, AND SO ON, AND WE <u>CONTINUE</u> TO HAVE FAITH IN WHAT I LIKE TO CALL THE MAGIC OF MEDICINE.

THE PUBLIC ROUTINELY EXPECTS MIRACLES TO HAPPEN -- EVEN THOUGH THE REAL WORLD OF MEDICINE ISN'T ALWAYS ABLE TO DELIVER. WE HAVE THAT SITUATION RIGHT NOW WITH AIDS.

FOR THE PAST 8 YEARS, SCIENTISTS AND CLINICIANS HAVE BEEN WORKING AROUND-THE-CLOCK TO UNDERSTAND AND CONQUER THE DISEASE OF AIDS. BUT IT STILL REMAINS SOMEWHAT OF A MYSTERY AND I DOUBT THAT WE'LL GET FULL CONTROL OVER THE AIDS VIRUS BEFORE THE TURN OF THE CENTURY. BUT, AS FAR AS THE GENERAL PUBLIC IS CONCERNED, THE AIDS SITUATION IS THE EXCEPTION AND NOT THE RULE. THE AMERICAN PEOPLE STILL MAINTAIN HIGH HOPES FOR WHAT MEDICINE AND HEALTH CARE CAN DO FOR THEM.

BUT I THINK IT'S ALSO BECOMING CLEAR THAT THOSE HIGH EXPECTATIONS ARE FAST OUT-RUNNING OUR ABILITY TO <u>PAY</u> FOR THEM.

IN OTHER WORDS, WE HAVE A CLEAR GAP IN OUR SOCIETY TODAY BETWEEN WHAT WE WOULD <u>LIKE</u> TO SEE HAPPEN IN HEALTH CARE ... AND WHAT CAN <u>REALISTICALLY</u> HAPPEN IN HEALTH CARE. MANY OF OUR GREAT EXPECTATIONS COME FROM OUR ABIDING FAITH IN EVER-IMPROVING MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY. BUT NOW, I BELIEVE THE PUBLIC WONDERS IF MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY MIGHT BE A <u>MIXED BLESSING</u>.

THANKS TO AN EXPLOSION OF NEW KNOWLEDGE IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OVER THE PAST SEVERAL DECADES, WE KNOW HOW TO DO MANY NEW AND FASCINATING THINGS. BUT KNOWING <u>HOW</u> TO DO SOMETHING HAS NEVER BEEN ENOUGH.

PEOPLE ALSO WANT TO KNOW <u>WHY</u> ... OR <u>WHY NOT</u>? AND TODAY, AS THE COST OF OUR MAGIC TECHNOLOGY SOARS, THEY ARE ASKING "WHY?" MORE OFTEN AND MORE INSISTENTLY.

IN REGARDS TO PROLONGING LIFE, FOR EXAMPLE, BOTH THE LAY PUBLIC AND THE MEDICAL PROFESSION ARE EVEN NOW DEBATING THE WISDOM OF USING SO-CALLED "EXTRAORDINARY" MEASURES TO SAVE OR PROLONG THE LIVES OF PERSONS PROFOUNDLY TRAUMATIZED OR TERMINALLY ILL. FOR MANY PEOPLE WHO MUST DECIDE THE FATE OF LOVED ONES, HIGH-TECH MEDICINE SOMETIMES ACTS LIKE A FRIEND ... AND SOMETIMES IT ACTS LIKE AN ENEMY.

HENCE, SOME PEOPLE ARE TURNING TO LEGAL INSTRUMENTS LIKE THE SO-CALLED "LIVING WILL" AND THE "DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY" TO PROTECT THEMSELVES FROM RUNAWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY, IN THE EVENT THEY ONE DAY HAVE A TERMINAL ILLNESS OR INJURY. HENCE, IN MANY REAL-LIFE SITUATIONS, TECHNOLOGY IS A MIXED BLESSING ... AT BEST ... AND CAN BE A CURSE, AT THE WORST.

IS OUR SOCIETY STILL READY AND WILLING TO DELIVER HIGH-QUALITY, TECHNOLOGY-INTENSIVE MEDICAL CARE TO EVERYONE, REGARDLESS OF COST? I'D HAVE TO SAY THE ANSWER I GET AS I TRAVEL AROUND THE COUNTRY IS, "PROBABLY NOT." WHAT WE HAVE, THEN, IS A <u>RISE</u> IN THE NEW TECHNOLOGIES AVAILABLE TO PHYSICIANS ...

BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, A <u>DECLINE</u> IN THEIR SIGNIFICANCE FOR A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER OF PATIENTS.

IN ONE OF HIS PLAYS, GEORGE BERNARD SHAW ASKED WHY WE PAY DOCTORS TO TAKE A LEG <u>OFF</u> BUT WE DON'T PAY THEM TO KEEP A LEG <u>ON</u>. NOW, ALMOST 80 YEARS HAVE PASSED AND WE <u>STILL</u> HAVEN'T COME UP WITH A GOOD ANSWER.

OUR TECHNOLOGY-DRIVEN REIMBURSEMENT SYSTEM ---

WHETHER BY GOVERNMENT OR OUT-OF-POCKET -- IS STILL PREDICATED ON TAKING THE LEG <u>OFF</u>.

THE STRUGGLE BETWEEN OUR ASPIRATIONS AND OUR RESOURCES HAS COME AT THE WORST POSSIBLE TIME, A TIME WHEN DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS ARE RUNNING AGAINST US.

IN A CLIMATE OF SCARCITY AMERICANS WILL HAVE TO WORK OUT AN EQUITABLE SHARING OF NEEDED MEDICAL RESOURCES BETWEEN ONE POPULATION GROUP THAT IS GROWING -- THAT IS, THE ELDERLY, PEOPLE OVER THE AGE OF 65 -- AND THE POPULATION GROUP THAT IS COMPARATIVELY SHRINKING --THAT IS, CHILDREN UNDER THE AGE OF 18. OVER THE PAST 8 YEARS I'VE DEALT WITH ADVOCATES FOR CHILDREN AND I'VE DEALT WITH ADVOCATES FOR THE ELDERLY. THEY ARE BOTH VERY DEDICATED AND VERY PERSUASIVE GROUPS. AND BOTH WILL BE QUITE RIGHTLY COMPETING FOR A LARGER PIECE OF A SMALLER PIE.

THIS HAS CHILLING ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS, AND WE MUST GUARD AGAINST LETTING OUR ETHICS BE DETERMINED BY OUR ECONOMICS,

AND NOT THE OTHER WAY AROUND.

WHEN I OR OTHER PEOPLE TALK LIKE THIS, OUR CRITICS COME BACK AT US AND SAY THAT THINGS REALLY AREN'T THAT BAD ... THAT ALL WE NEED TO DO IS PUT A REIMBURSEMENT CAP ON THIS ... OR CHANGE THE ELIGIBILITY REGULATIONS FOR THAT ... OR CUT BACK A LITTLE HERE ... OR PRUNE BACK A LITTLE THERE. DURING 8 YEARS AS YOUR SURGEON GENERAL, I'VE LISTENED TO THESE DEBATES AND I'VE THOUGHT ABOUT THE TRUE HUMAN COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT KIND OF A PATCHWORK APPROACH. AND TODAY I'M MORE CONVINCED THAN EVER THAT OUR WHOLE

MAKING A NUMBER OF VERY MAJOR CORRECTIONS.

HEALTH CARE SYSTEM NEEDS TO BE STUDIED WITH AN EYE TO

NOW, I CAN ALREADY HEAR THE CRITICS SAYING,

"WAIT A MINUTE, DR. KOOP. THE SYSTEM AIN'T BROKE, SO DON'T FIX IT."

TO WHICH I WOULD REPLY, "YOU'RE WRONG. THE SYSTEM <u>IS</u> BROKEN ... AND IT <u>MUST BE</u> FIXED."

BAND-AIDS WON'T DO.

HOSPITAL COSTS ARE STILL CLIMBING ... AND NO ONE CAN PROVE TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE THAT THE <u>QUALITY</u> OF HOSPITAL-BASED CARE IS UNIFORMLY GOING UP AS WELL. ON THE CONTRARY, OUR PEOPLE COMPLAIN THAT THEY ARE PAYING MORE AND MORE FOR MEDICAL CARE, AND ARE GETTING LESS AND LESS.

WORSE STILL, AS THE COST OF HOSPITAL-BASED CARE INCREASES, SOME HOSPITALS THEMSELVES ARE TRYING TO NARROW THEIR PATIENT POOL ... FOR EXAMPLE, ELIMINATING THE NEED TO PROVIDE IN-PATIENT MEDICAL CARE FOR POOR AND DISADVANTAGED AMERICANS. I SAY THERE'S SOMETHING TERRIBLY WRONG WITH A SYSTEM OF HEALTH CARE THAT SPENDS MORE AND MORE MONEY TO SERVE FEWER AND FEWER PEOPLE.

AND WE HAVE MUCH THE SAME PROBLEM IN RESPECT TO PHYSICIAN SERVICES AND FEES.

32

I KNOW THAT MANY OF YOU, MY FRIENDS AND COLLEAGUES IN MEDICAL PRACTICE, ARE TRYING TO DO WHAT YOU CAN TO INCREASE THE QUALITY OF CARE YOU DELIVER <u>WITHOUT</u> INCREASING THE COSTS.

I KNOW YOU ARGUE THAT YOU HAVE LITTLE OR NO CONTROL OVER SOME OF HE INFLATIONARY THINGS YOU DO.

AND THAT'S TRUE.

I'VE BEEN THERE - I PRACTICED SURGERY FOR 40 YEARS - SO IT'S NOT JUST GIVING YOU THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT.

BUT THE FACT STILL REMAINS THAT PHYSICIAN FEES <u>ARE</u> GOING UP, AND THEY <u>DO</u> ADD TO A BURDEN ON THE PUBLIC THAT IS BECOMING INSUPPORTABLE.

AND, AGAIN -- AS WITH HOSPITAL-BASED CARE -- THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE NOT BEEN ASSURED, IN ANY RATIONAL AND MEASURABLE WAY,

THAT THE HIGHER <u>COSTS</u> OF A PHYSICIAN'S CARE WILL IN FACT BUY THEM A PROPORTIONATELY HIGHER <u>QUALITY</u> OF SUCH CARE. BEFORE I GO ANY FURTHER, LET ME SAY THAT IN GENERAL I SUPPORT THE CONCEPT OF A LAISSEZ-FAIRE MARKETPLACE AND I BELIEVE IN A FREELY COMPETITIVE ECONOMY.

NOW, HAVING SAID THAT, LET ME GO ON TO SAY THAT THE HEALTH CARE MARKETPLACE <u>IS</u> LAISSEZ-FAIRE ... BUT IT'S NOT <u>FREELY</u> COMPETITIVE AND, HENCE, IT HAS VIRTUALLY NO MODERATING CONTROLS WORKING ON BEHALF OF THE CONSUMER,

THAT I STILL PREFER TO CALL, THE PATIENT.

IN MOST OTHER AREAS OF OUR ECONOMY, THE MARKETPLACE DOES EXERCISE SOME CONTROL OVER ARBITRARY RISES IN CHARGES TO THE CONSUMER. THERE REALLY IS COMPETITION. HERE AND THERE IT MIGHT BE RATHER THIN ... BUT IT DOES EXIST AND IT DOES PROVIDE SOME ASSURANCE THAT INEFFECTIVE, UNCOMPETITIVE, HIGH-COST, LOW-QUALITY ENTERPRISES WILL FAIL.

BUT IN HEALTH CARE, RIGHT ACROSS THE BOARD, PRICES HAVE GONE UP <u>IRRESPECTIVE</u> OF THE QUALITY OF CARE BEING DELIVERED OR OF ANY OTHER MARKETPLACE CONTROL.

TRY AS THEY MIGHT, I DON'T SEE THE MEDICAL PROFESSION ACHIEVING MUCH SUCCESS IN SELF-REGULATION. GRANTED, IT'S NO SIMPLE TASK. BUT, UNTIL THE PURCHASING PUBLIC "BUYS RIGHT"-- AS WALTER MCCLURE PUTS IT-- THE MARKET CANNOT CHANGE.

PHYSICIANS CAN HELP PUT THE BRAKES ON SOME GENERAL EXPENDITURES, BUT THERE ARE <u>VERY FEW</u> PHYSICIANS WHO CAN HONESTLY AND EFFECTIVELY CONTROL EVEN THE <u>DELIVERY</u> OF SERVICE -- MUCH LESS CONTROL THE <u>COSTS</u> OF THAT SERVICE --WHILE CARING FOR A SPECIFIC, INDIVIDUAL PATIENT AT THE BEDSIDE. WE SEEM TO HAVE, THEREFORE, A SYSTEM OF HEALTH CARE THAT'S DISTINGUISHED BY A VIRTUAL ABSENCE OF SELF-REGULATION ON THE PART OF THE PROVIDERS OF THAT HEALTH CARE -- THAT IS, HOSPITALS AND PHYSICIANS -- AND DISTINGUISHED AS WELL BY THE ABSENCE OF SUCH NATURAL MARKETPLACE CONTROLS AS COMPETITION IN REGARD TO PRICE, QUALITY, OR SERVICE.

WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF SUCH A SYSTEM ANYWAY?

OUR PROBLEMS HAVE RESULTED IN A THREE-TIER FRAMEWORK

OF HEALTH CARE.

WE'VE ALWAYS SAID WE NEVER WANTED EVEN A <u>TWO-</u>TIER SYSTEM.

BUT WE HAVE IT ... AND A THIRD TIER, ALSO.

IN THE FIRST TIER ... THE BOTTOM TIER ... ARE UPWARDS OF PERHAPS 30 MILLION AMERICANS -- ABOUT 12 PERCENT OF THE POPULATION -- WHO FALL BETWEEN THE CRACKS AND HAVE <u>NO</u> HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE ... NO HIGH OPTIONS ... NO LOW OPTIONS ... NO OPTIONS AT ALL.

THEY'RE NOT OLD ENOUGH FOR MEDICARE AND NOT POOR

ENOUGH FOR MEDICAID.

WHAT, THEN, DOES THIS "HEALTH CARE SYSTEM" OF OURS DO FOR THE UNINSURED?

IN THE VAST MAJORITY OF CASES THE ANSWER IS ... VERY LITTLE ... OR NOTHING.

AND THEY ARE SUFFERING THE CONSEQUENCES. AS YOU KNOW, STUDY AFTER STUDY INDICATES THE CORRELATION BETWEEN NO MEDICAL INSURANCE AND INCREASING HEALTH PROBLEMS.

THE HEALTH PROBLEMS OF THE LOWEST TIER,

IF IGNORED BY SOCIETY NOW, WILL BE BORNE BY SOCIETY LATER.

THEN WE HAVE A SECOND TIER.

THIS TIER RECEIVES A NARROW RANGE OF BASIC MEDICAL AND HEALTH SERVICES WITH MORE OR LESS FIXED LEVELS OF REIMBURSEMENT.

THIS IS LOW-OPTION COVERAGE ... MEDICARE AND MEDICAID COVERAGE ... WITH THE PATIENT PAYING MANY COSTS OUT-OF-POCKET OR WITH THE HELP OF SOME FORM OF SUPPLEMENTAL INSURANCE, WHICH IS -- IN MY BOOK -- JUST ANOTHER KIND OF OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENSE. FINALLY, WE HAVE THE THIRD TIER, THE TOP TIER.

THE PEOPLE IN THIS TIER RECEIVE A FULL RANGE OF MEDICAL AND HEALTH SERVICES. THEY ARE COVERED BY HIGH-OPTION HEALTH INSURANCE AND ALSO HAVE A FEW DOLLARS LEFT OVER TO PAY THE 15 OR 20 PERCENT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ACTUAL BILL FROM THE DOCTOR AND THE CHECK FROM THE INSURANCE COMPANY. BUT BUSINESS IS FINALLY COMING AROUND TO UNDERSTAND THAT IT CANNOT CONTINUE TO BURY INFLATED COSTS OF HEALTH CARE IN THE PRICE-TAGS OF THEIR GOODS AND SERVICES.

SINCE 1984 THE AVERAGE PREMIUMS FOR EMPLOYER-PROVIDED HEALTH INSURANCE HAVE APPROXIMATELY DOUBLED... TO \$3,117 PER YEAR,

AND HAVE RISEN FROM 8 PERCENT OF BUSINESS PAYROLL COSTS TO 13.6 PERCENT LAST YEAR. BUSINESSES CAN'T ABSORB THESE COSTS AND ALSO EXPECT TO BE COMPETITIVE.

AMERICAN BUSINESSMEN AND LABOR LEADERS ARE FINALLY COMING TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THIS MEANS. THERE IS A "HEALTH BENEFITS SURCHARGE", IF YOU WILL,ON EVERY MANUFACTURED PRODUCT. FOR EXAMPLE, ON EVERY CAR THAT GENERAL MOTORS MANUFACTURES IN THIS COUNTRY, IT AMOUNTS TO WELL OVER \$600 PER CAR.

IN CONTRAST, CARS MADE AT THE NEW NISSAN PLANT IN TENNESSEE , THE "HEALTH BENEFITS SURCHARGE" IS ONLY SIXTY DOLLARS PER CAR.

THE GENERAL MOTORS HEALTH PLAN IS A GENEROUS ONE, AND IT COVERS RETIRED EMPLOYEES AS WELL AS ACTIVE WORKERS. NISSAN, ON THE OTHER HAND, OFFERS A LIMITED PLAN THAT DOES NOT EVEN PROVIDE MATERNITY BENEFITS OR PEDIATRIC CARE FOR ITS ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. THIS SITUATION, THIS DISPARITY BETWEEN RESOURCES AND ASPIRATIONS, THIS SENSE OF COSTS OUT OF CONTROL, HAS PLACED AMERICAN MEDICINE UNDER THE GUN. MOMENTUM IS BUILDING FOR RESTRUCTURING THE FINANCING AND DELIVERY OF HEALTHCARE IN THE UNITED STATES.

EVEN BUSINESS LEADERS WHO CRINGE AT THE THOUGHT OF GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION ARE ASKING FOR A SYSTEM OF NATIONAL HEALTH CARE AS A SOLUTION TO RISING INSURANCE COSTS. A SURPRISING AND VERY SIGNIFICANT EVENT TOOK PLACE AT THE BEGINNING OF LAST SUMMER.

TWO GROUPS, UNLIKELY PARTNERS IN THIS SORT OF ISSUE, EACH CALLED FOR A NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE. THE FIRST WAS ONE OF THE MAJOR AUTOMOBILE MANUFACTURERS, AND THE OTHER WAS <u>THE HERITAGE FOUNDATION</u>, A MOST

CONSERVATIVE BODY.

NEVER BEFORE HAVE THERE BEEN SO MANY VOICES CLAMORING FOR RADICAL REFORM OF THE AMERICAN HEALTHCARE SYSTEM.

IN CONGRESS, IN LABOR, IN BUSINESS, IN PHYSICIANS' OFFICES PEOPLE AGREE: SOMETHING MUST BE DONE. RECENTLY I'VE NOTICED A STRANGE INTEREST IN THE CANADIAN SYSTEM.

EVERYWHERE I GO PEOPLE SAY TO ME, "WE NEED THE CANADIAN SYSTEM." SO I SAY, "TELL ME, WHAT IS IT YOU LIKE ABOUT THE CANADIAN SYSTEM.?"

THEY ALWAYS ANSWER, "I DON'T REALLY KNOW, BUT IT'S A GOOD SYSTEM."

THE GROWING INFATUATION WITH FOREIGN NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICES IS BASED MORE UPON DISSATISFACTION WITH OUR SYSTEM THAN UPON UNDERSTANDING OF ANOTHER ONE. BUT IF WE DON'T HEED THE CALL, THE AMA LOGO MAY BE REPLACED BY THE MAPLE LEAF. IF WE DON'T OFFER SOMETHING BETTER, WE WILL GET A GOVERNMENT CONTROLLED MEDICAL SYSTEM, AND LOSE FOREVER THE PRESENT <u>POTENTIAL</u> FOR THE BEST SYSTEM POSSIBLE.

MOST AMERICANS DO NOT REALIZE THAT ANY NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE, IS BASED UPON <u>PLANNED SCARCITY</u>. **EXPERIENCE THE WORLD OVER HAS SHOWN THAT WHEN**

GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC CONTROLS ARE APPLIED TO HEALTH, THEY PROVE --IN TIME-- TO BE DETRIMENTAL.

EVENTUALLY THERE IS AN EROSION OF QUALITY, PRODUCTIVITY, INNOVATION, AND CREATIVITY.

THIS IS ESPECIALLY TRUE OF RESEARCH.

THEN, LACK OF RESPONSIVENESS TO PATIENTS.

FINALLY, RATIONING AND WAITING IN LINES.

AMERICANS DO NOT PATIENTLY QUE UP FOR ANYTHING,

ESPECIALLY FOR MEDICAL CARE.

THE MAJORITY HAS BECOME ACCUSTOMED TO AVAILABLE CARE, IF NOT ACCESSIBLE CARE. WE ARE IN A PERIOD OF TIGHT FINANCIAL CONSTRAINTS, AND IF YOU READ THE LIPS OF THE PRESIDENT -- NO NEW TAXES.

IF THAT WERE NOT SO, I THINK CONGRESS WOULD TAKE THE FIRST STEPS TOWARD A GOVERNMENT-CONTROLLED NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE ALMOST IMMEDIATELY. WE'D HAVE IT AS A RESULT OF CONGRESSIONAL FRENZY, AND IT WOULD SEEM MARVELOUS AT THE BEGINNING. BUT DISSATISFACTION WOULD COME UNTIL YOU COULDN'T WAIT TO CHANGE IT AGAIN. THERE IS A BETTER WAY.

AS I EXPLAINED TO THE PRESIDENT BEFORE HIS ELECTION, AS I HAVE WRITTEN IN EDITORIALS IN <u>NEWSWEEK</u> AND OTHER JOURNALS, AS I HAVE SAID FROM MANY PODIUMS ACROSS THIS LAND,....

IF WE DO THINGS IN A FOCUSED AND DELIBERATE WAY, WE CAN HAVE EXCELLENT AND AFFORDABLE MEDICINE WITHOUT THE FURTHER INTRUSION OF THE GOVERNMENT INTO THE DELIVERY OF HEALTH CARE.

AND THE MONEY SAVED BY INCREASED EFFICIENCY, ECONOMISTS TELL ME, WOULD TAKE CARE OF THOSE CURRENTLY WITHOUT ACCESS A MARKET-BASED STRATEGY MUST ADDRESS THE FORCES DRIVING COSTS UPWARD WHILE AT THE SAME TIME ATTACKING BARRIERS TO ACCESS.

WE HAVE THE PARADOX OF TOO <u>MUCH</u> CARE AND TOO <u>LITTLE</u> CARE FOR DIFFERENT SEGMENTS OF SOCIETY AT THE SAME TIME.

AS HIGH-TECH MEDICINE GROWS OUT OF CONTROL, UNBRIDLED BY INFORMED PURCHASERS, MANY PEOPLE ARE DENIED BASIC PREVENTIVE AND PRIMARY CARE. TWO THIRDS OF OUR POPULATION - ABOUT 160 MILLION AMERICANS ARE COVERED BY EMPLOYER-PURCHASED HEALTH INSURANCE. EMPLOYERS AND WORKERS TOGETHER MUST IDENTIFY THE LEADERSHIP TO BRING HEALTHCARE COST UNDER CONTROL.

SUCH A NATIONAL ALLIANCE HAS BEEN FORMED AND IS GROWING.

AS THIS REFORM IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS TAKING PLACE THERE MUST BE FURTHER JOINING OF FORCES WITH GOVERNMENT - AT FEDERAL AND STATE LEVELS - WHERE MEDICARE AND MEDICAID ARE ADMINISTERED, IF WE ARE TO RESTRUCTURE THE ENTIRE SYSTEM OF PURCHASING AND PROVIDING HEALTHCARE. THEN, INSTEAD OF REWARDING POOR QUALITY AND INEFFICIENCY -- WITH DOLLARS, AS WE NOW DO,-- HIGH QUALITY, AND EFFICIENCY WILL BE REWARDED WITH PATIENTS. THE PATIENTS WILL COME FROM THE POOR QUALITY, INEFFICIENT SYSTEMS WHICH WILL HAVE TO IMPROVE OR PERISH.

WE WILL NEED - AND THEY ARE BEING DEVELOPED - TOOLS TO MEASURE MEDICAL NECESSITY, APPROPRIATENESS, EFFECTIVENESS AND OF COURSE OUTCOMES, QUALITY, AND EFFICIENCY ARE DIFFICULT IF NOT IMPOSSIBLE TO MEASURE. FOR THOSE WITHOUT ACCESS, THE GOAL IS UNIVERSAL COVERAGE TO BE ACHIEVED THROUGH COMPREHENSIVE REFORMS OF GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS FOR THE POOR AND UNINSURED COMBINED WITH RISK POOLING. MEANWHILE INTERIM STEPS INCLUDE MEDICAID EXPANSION, UNDER EXISTING LAW, AND TAX INCENTIVES TO ENCOURAGE SMALL BUSINESS INSURANCE COVERAGE. THESE LATTER ELEMENTS ARE THE ONLY ONES THAT REQUIRE PUBLIC POLICY REFORMS. THE OPPORTUNITY IS NOW.

THE TIME IS SHORT.

THE STAKES ARE HIGH.

THE ALTERNATIVES UNDESIRABLE.

IT REMAINS TO BE SEEN WHETHER OR NOT THE AMERICAN MEDICINE, THE AMA, SEIZES THIS ONE AND ONLY OPPORTUNITY.

WE ALL NEED TO BE A PART OF THE EFFORT.

BUT THERE IS NO QUICK FIX.

FROM HERE TO THERE COULD TAKE A DECADE, BUT WE'D IMPROVE YEAR BY YEAR ALONG THE WAY.

OF COURSE, MEDICINE IS NOT ALONE IN ITS RAPIDLY RISING

COSTS. BUT OUR PRICES MAKE PEOPLE ANGRY.

RECENTLY, I ASKED THE CONTRACTOR BUILDING MY NEW HOUSE TO MOVE THE OUTLET FOR AN OVERHEAD LIGHT 18 INCHES --BEFORE THE CEILING WAS FINISHED. THE CONTRACTOR WANTED TO CHARGE ME \$450! WHEN PEOPLE HEAR THAT, THEY MAY LAUGH KNOWINGLY, OR GROAN IN SYMPATHY,

BUT THEY DON'T GET ANGRY.

THE SAME IS TRUE FOR THE ESCALATING COSTS IN PRIVATE EDUCATION. ALTHOUGH NO ONE IS HAPPY ABOUT THE RISING COST OF A COLLEGE EDUCATION, IT DOES NOT CREATE THE SAME ANGER OR RESENTMENT AS THE RISING MEDICAL COSTS. STUDENTS PAY THE PRICE FOR PRIVATE HIGHER EDUCATION BECAUSE THEY FEEL THEY ARE GETTING QUALITY IN RETURN, EVEN THOUGH THE EXPECTATIONS IN EDUCATION ARE LOWER THAN IN HEALTH, AND THE FAILURES MORE FREQUENT. THE STUDENT-TEACHER RELATIONSHIP HAS NOT BECOME ONE OF

CONSUMER-PROVIDER.

THAT IS BECAUSE STUDENTS DO NOT SEE TEACHERS AS THE DIRECT BENEFICIARIES OF THE RISING COSTS, THE WAY PATIENTS SEE DOCTORS. A HIGH QUALITY EDUCATION, STUDENTS AND PROFESSOR ALIKE WILL SAY, IS SOMETHING YOU CAN'T EVALUATE IN DOLLARS AND

CENTS ALONE.

PATIENTS USED TO FEEL THE SAME ABOUT RESTORED HEALTH. BUT NOW THEY ARE ANGRY.

PART OF THE ANGER, THE DISSATISFACTION MAY BE

UNAVOIDABLE.

NO ONE WANTS TO BE SICK,

AND TO HAVE TO PAY FOR IT MAKES IT WORSE.

BUT PART OF THE ANGER IS OF OUR OWN MAKING.

NOT LONG AGO I READ AN ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER OF MY OLD HOMETOWN, PHILADELPHIA, WHICH POINTED OUT THAT WHILE THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA MIGHT BE A TRIFLE OVERPAID AT \$220,000 A YEAR, FIVE PROFESSORS OF SURGERY MADE BETWEEN \$440,000 AND \$620,00. THE REPORTER LOST SIGHT OF THE FACT THAT HE WAS WRITING ABOUT THE SALARIES OF COLLEGE PRESIDENTS AND TOOK OFF ON DOCTORS' INCOMES INSTEAD. HE OBVIOUSLY WANTED THE PUBLIC TO HAVE A HARD TIME SWALLOWING THAT. THE PUBLIC HAS A HARD TIME SWALLOWING THAT. IF WE COULD SEPERATE INCOME FROM THE PUBLIC'S PERCEPTION OF DOCTORS, A LOT OF THE PUBLIC HOSTILITY WOULD DISAPPEAR, EVEN THOUGH DOCTORS DON'T MAKE AS MUCH AS TOP CORPORATE EXECUTIVES, ENTERTAINERS, AND ATHLETES. BUT THE PUBLIC IS MORE CRITICAL OF DOCTORS. THAT SEPERATION ISN'T POSSIBLE, BUT I KNOW A LOT COULD BE DONE TO LEAD THE PATIENT TO BELIEVE HE IS GETTING HIGH-QUALITY, HIGH-EFFICIENCY, CONSIDERATE, SENSITIVE CARE FOR HIS MONEY, INSTEAD OF THE PATIENT'S PRESENT BELIEF THAT HE IS PAYING MORE AND MORE FOR LESS AND LESS.

LET ME SAY IT AGAIN, THAT THE RESTORATION OF THE DOCTOR-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP IS MOST ESSENTIAL.

MANY THINGS WOULD HAVE TO CHANGE IN ORDER THAT IT BE RESTORED, BUT ONCE RESTORED, MANY OTHER THINGS WOULD FALL INTO PLACE.

DOCTORS AND PATIENTS MUST STOP VIEWING EACH OTHER AS AN ECONOMIC THREAT. WE CAN'T HAVE PATIENTS WONDERING IF DOCTORS MAKE BEDSIDE OR EMERGENCY ROOM DECISIONS ON CARE BASED UPON INSURANCE COVERAGE.

WE CAN'T HAVE DOCTORS WONDERING IF THE PATIENT ON THE EXAMINING TABLE WILL NEXT MEET HIM IN COURT, SURROUNDED BY MALPRACTICE LAWYERS. I AM DEEPLY SADDENED WHEN DOCTORS TELL ME THAT THIS NEW ADVERSARY RELATIONSHIP HAS MADE THEM <u>DISLIKE</u> THEIR PATIENTS.

AND THAT THEIR PATIENTS DISLIKE THEM.

I AM MORE THAN SADDENED WHEN A PHYSICIAN BRAGS THAT HE TALKED HIS SON OR DAUGHTER OUT OF GOING TO MEDICAL SCHOOL. I NEED NOT EXPLAIN IN GREAT DETAIL TO THIS GROUP THE SORRY RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RISING COSTS AND THE MALPRACTICE MESS.

REFORM IS IMPERATIVE, BUT IT MAY BE IMPOSSIBLE IN THE FACE OF ENTRENCHED INTERESTS, DOCTORS PROTECTING DOCTORS, LAWYERS DEFENDING

LAWYERS.

PERHAPS A BLUE-RIBBON PANEL OF <u>RETIRED</u> ATTORNEYS AND PHYSICIANS, MEN AND WOMEN WITHOUT A PERSONAL FINANCIAL STAKE IN THE SYSTEM, COULD SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST BY ADJUDICATING CLAIMS,

DECIDING WHETHER OR NOT THE CASE SHOULD GO TO COURT.

EVEN THOUGH THE TORT SYSTEM IN CANADA AND THE UNITED KINGDOM IS DIFFERENT FROM OURS, IT IS NOT POSSIBLE IN EITHER OF THOSE COUNTRIES FOR A CONTINGENCY FEE TO TEMPT THE LEGAL PROFESSION. SOMETIMES, FOR EXAMPLE, AFTER A MAJOR ILLNESS IN A PREMATURE NEWBORN, THE CHILD IS RESTORED TO THE FAMILY, NOTHING WENT WRONG, BUT THE FAMILY IS NOW IMPOVERISHED. WE HAVE TO FIND A WAY TO FOR THOSE FAMILIES TO COVER THEIR CATASTROPHE IS SOME WAY OTHER THAN SUING A DOCTOR FOR IMAGINED GRIEVANCES IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FOR THE CHILD'S FUTURE AND TO CLEAR THE FAMILY DEBT. I'M SURE THAT BOTH THE DOCTOR AND THE PATIENT WOULD PREFER TO HAVE THAT OLD RELATIONSHIP OF TRUST THEY USED TO HAVE.

UNLESS WE RESTORE THE DOCTOR-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP, WE HAVE LOST OUR WAY COMPLETELY.

IT <u>CAN</u> BE RESTORED.

BUT IT WILL TAKE COMMITMENT BY PEOPLE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE STETHOSCOPE.

THERE ARE OTHER THINGS WE CAN DO, AS DOCTORS,

EACH DAY WE PRACTICE.

I AM AWARE, OF COURSE, ABOUT THE DIFFERENCES WITHIN OUR PROFESSION. "THE MEDICAL PROFESSION" IS NOT MONOLITHIC. AMONG THE DIFFERENCES I NOTE IS ONE ALONG THE LINES OF GENERATIONS. I BELIEVE THE PHYSICIANS OF MY GENERATION HAVE A STRONGER SENSE OF THE "ART" OF MEDICINE, AND TEND TO GIVE LESS VENERATION TO THE "SCIENCE" OF MEDICINE. MAYBE WE CONDUCT OURSELVES THAT WAY BECAUSE WHEN WE WERE FIRST STARTING IN PRACTICE, THE SCIENTIFIC UNDERPINNING FOR OUR PRACTICE WAS, TO BE HONEST, RATHER MARGINAL. BUT WHAT'S THE REAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STATEMENT THAT "PREVIOUS GENERATIONS OF DOCTORS PRACTICED THE ART, RATHER THAN THE SCIENCE, OF MEDICINE?

PRIMARILY, I BELIEVE IT MEANS THAT WE SAW MEDICINE AS A <u>RELATIONAL</u> ENTERPRISE. WE ASKED THE KINDS OF QUESTIONS THAT REFLECTED CONCERNS ABOUT RELATIONSHIPS:

HOW DID WE REACT TO PATIENTS?

HOW DID WE TREAT THEM?

HOW DID THEY RESPOND TO US?

DID WE <u>CARE</u> ABOUT THEM?

DID WE CARE ABOUT THEIR FAMILIES?

WE HAD TO BE <u>PEOPLE-ORIENTED</u> BECAUSE, WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF C.A.T. SCANS OR N.M.R.s, JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING WE LEARNED ABOUT OUR PATIENT CAME FROM THE TAKING OF GOOD HISTORIES . . . THROUGH SENSITIVE DEALINGS WITH FAMILY MEMBERS.

FOR ME THAT WAS THE <u>FUN</u> OF MEDICINE. EVERY PATIENT WAS A CHALLENGE. TODAY, YOU HAVE ALL THAT TECHNOLOGY TO HELP YOU OUT. IF A PATIENT IS UNCOMMUNICATIVE FOR ANY REASON --INCLUDING THE PATIENT'S AGE --YOU'RE NOT TERRIBLY UPSET BECAUSE YOU'LL GET MOST OF THE INFORMATION YOU WANT FROM A VARIETY OF MACHINES, LABORATORIES, AND TECHNICIANS. NO DOUBT THESE DIAGNOSES ARE SPEEDY AND ACCURATE. THE SCIENTIFIC ADVANCES IN MEDICINE IN THE LAST GENERATION ARE MAGNIFICENT. THEY HAVE PROLONGED MANY LIVES. WE HAVE ALL MADE FULL USE OF THE ADVANCES IN MEDICAL SCIENCE FOR OUR PATIENTS

AND OURSELVES.

BUT THE SCIENCE OF MEDICINE SHOULD NOT ECLIPSE THE ART OF MEDICINE.

IN OUR SCIENTIFIC PROGRESS SOMETHING MAY HAVE BEEN LOST . . . SOMETHING VERY IMPORTANT TO THE CONTINUED STRENGTH OF THE MEDICAL PROFESSION: THE RELATIONAL BOND BETWEEN PHYSICIAN AND PATIENT.

A FRIEND OF MINE WENT TO HER PHYSICIAN'S OFFICE

RECENTLY, AND AFTER A BRIEF HISTORY WAS TAKEN, WAS TOLD,

"I'LL SEE YOU NEXT WEEK."

THE PATIENT ASKED,

"AREN'T YOU GOING TO EXAMINE ME NOW."

THE DOCTOR RESPONDED,

"NOT UNTIL THE TESTS COME BACK. MY NURSE WILL TELL YOU ABOUT THEM." I UNDERSTAND THAT SOME OF TODAY'S BUZZ-WORDS AMONG MEDICAL STUDENTS ARE "CARING", "COMPASSION", "DIGNITY", "HUMANE"

AND THOSE ARE CERTAINLY SOME OF THE MOST BEAUTIFUL WORDS IN OUR VOCABULARY.

BUT I'M AFRAID THEY CO-EXIST WITH SOME OTHER MODERN BUZZ-WORDS LIKE "DAMAGE CONTROL", "DEFENSIVE MEDICINE", "MALPRACTICE" ... TERMS THAT ARE AMONG THE UGLIEST IN THE LANGUAGE.

THEY CONVEY THE IDEA THAT THE PATIENT AND DOCTOR ARE ADVERSARIES.

INSTEAD, WE MUST VIEW OUR PATIENTS AS HUMAN BEINGS, AS ALLIES,

WORKING WITH US IN THE STRUGGLE AGAINST DISEASE.

THIS INCLUDES PREVENTION AS WELL AS TREATMENT AND REHABILITATION.

THE DENTISTS HAVE DONE A MUCH BETTER JOB IN THIS THAN WE HAVE, JOINING WITH THEIR PATIENTS IN PREVENTIVE DENTAL HABITS,

EVEN THOUGH THIS HAS THE EFFECT IN SOME WAYS OF WORKING THEMSELVES OUT OF A JOB.

MOST AMERICANS REALLY FEEL THEIR DENTIST WANTS THEM TO HAVE FEWER CAVITIES.

THEY DON'T VIEW THEIR RELATIONSHIP WITH THEIR DOCTOR IN THE SAME WAY. FOR EXAMPLE, IF OVER THE LAST DECADE, DOCTORS HAD QUIZZED THEIR PATIENTS ABOUT SMOKING, AND THEN HAD GIVEN SOUND ADVICE, WE MIGHT ENJOY THAT SAME ALLIANCE IN PREVENTION.

AFTER ALL, IT HAS BEEN KNOWN FOR MANY YEARS THAT THE MOST LIKELY CAUSE OF SMOKING CESSATION IS FOR A DOCTOR TO LOOK HIS OR HER PATIENT IN THE EYE AND TELL HIM, "SMOKING IS GOING TO KILL YOU." AND I HAVE NOT EVEN MENTIONED THE LIVES SAVED.

TREATING OUR PATIENTS LIKE ALLIES IN THE FIGHT AGAINST THEIR DISEASE MEANS BEING CLEARER AND MORE COMPLETE ABOUT INFORMED CONSENT.

THAT MAY MEAN TELLING MORE ABOUT WHAT WE KNOW IN SOME CASES, SHARING OUR UNCERTAINTIES IN OTHERS.

EARLY IN MY OWN PEDIATRIC SURGICAL PRACTICE, I DETERMINED THAT I WOULD MAKE MY PATIENTS' PARENTS ALLIES WITH ME AGAINST THEIR CHILD'S SURGICAL PROBLEM. I'VE SAT DOWN AND TALKED WITH THE PARENTS OF MY TINY PATIENTS.

WE'VE SWEATED OUT THE HOURS TOGETHER IN RECOVERY. WE'VE BEEN ON THE PHONE TOGETHER WITH COMMUNITY SERVICES AND VOLUNTARY AGENCIES TO SEE WHAT KIND OF HELP WILL BE OUT THERE WHEN THE FAMILY TAKES ITS BABY HOME. HAS IT BEEN WORTH IT? YES, IT HAS. . . ON MANY LEVELS. FOR ONE THING, I'VE GOTTEN TO KNOW DOZENS OF COURAGEOUS, GENEROUS, COMPASSIONATE FAMILIES.

I MAY HAVE HELPED THEIR CHILDREN OVERCOME SOME DISABILITY . . . BUT <u>THEY</u> ALL HELPED <u>ME</u> OVERCOME PESSIMISM, DEFEATISM, FRUSTRATION, AND DISCOURAGEMENT . . . FEELINGS THAT ARE COMMON ENOUGH AMONG HARD-WORKING PHYSICIANS.

I DID THIS SIMPLY BECAUSE I THOUGHT IT WAS GOOD MEDICAL PRACTICE, BUT IT ALSO HAD THE UNFORSEEN DIVIDEND OF HAVING NO ONE SUE ME FOR 39 YEARS WHEN I WAS IN PRACTICE. OF COURSE WE'LL BE DISAPPOINTED NOW AND THEN. THERE ARE AMONG PATIENTS THE SAME PERCENTAGE OF CHUMPS, CHEATS, FOOLS, AND BLOW-HARDS AS THERE ARE AMONG DOCTORS, OR IN THE POPULATION IN GENERAL. AND, DEPENDING ON YOUR PARTICULAR PRACTICE, YOU JUST MIGHT DRAW MORE THAN YOUR SHARE OF DEADBEATS AND MALCONTENTS.

BUT IT'S STILL NO EXCUSE FOR DISCARDING FROM YOUR ARMAMENTARIUM THE KEY ELEMENTS OF THE ART OF MEDICINE:

THE ELEMENT OF PERSONAL ATTENTION AND INTEREST THE ELEMENT OF TRUE CARING THE ELEMENT OF SINCERE HUMAN FEELING AND THE ELEMENT OF GENEROSITY OF SPIRIT.

WE ALSO MUST DO BETTER IN POLICING OUR OWN PROFESSION.

AS I SAID EARLIER, FOR A VARIETY OF COMPLEX REASONS, THE NORMAL COMPETITION OF THE MARKETPLACE DOES NOT ALWAYS OPERATE IN MEDICINE TO GET RID OF THE BAD APPLES.

I KNOW A SURGEON IN A CITY WHERE ABOUT 40 SURGEONS DO A SPECIFIC OPERATIVE PROCEDURE. 16 OF THESE DO MOST OF THEM. THE SURGEON IN QUESTION HAS MORE SUITS AGAINST HIM AT PRESENT THAN THE OTHER 15. <u>THEY</u> SHOULD DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT. HE COULD TEACH ANATOMY, RUN A DIAGNOSTIC CLINIC, DO ADMINISTRATION, BUT NOT OPERATE -AT LEAST THAT OPERATION.

THE POWER LIES IN THIS: "IF YOU DON'T, WE'LL BE THE EXPERT WITNESSES AGAINST YOU, NEXT TIME AROUND."

AS PHYSICIANS, AS WELL AS CITIZENS, WE NEED TO DO SOMETHING FOR THOSE AMERICANS WHO, UNDER OUR PRESENT SYSTEM, ARE DENIED ACCESS TO REASONABLE CARE.

WHILE WE WAIT FOR NATIONAL OR EVEN STATE LEGISLATIVE SOLUTIONS, WE CAN DO OUR PART BY REVITALIZING THE PRACTICE OF OFFERING FREE CARE TO APPROPRIATE PATIENTS.

I'M DISTURBED WHEN I READ THOSE ADVERTISEMENTS IN A

COUNTY MEDICAL SOCIETY BULLETIN, PLEADING WITH DOCTORS

MANY REASONS THER DINT - 1765 NOT ME THEIR FAULT

IN MY DAY --I GUESS I SOUND, AND LOOK, LIKE AN OLD-TIMER --I FOUND MYSELF EXTRAORDINARILY FORTUNATE IF I GOT PAID FOR 40% OF WHAT I DID.

BUT I WAS HAPPY IN MY PRACTICE, MY PATIENTS APPRECIATED WHAT I DID, AND I CERTAINLY ENJOYED WHAT I DID FOR THEM.

BUT ONCE ENTITLEMENTS CAME ALONG, DOCTORS WHO HAD BEEN VERY HAPPY TO PERFORM A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF FREE SERVICE BEGAN TO FEEL THAT THEY HAD TO BE PAID FOR EVERYTHING.

I SEE NO REASON WHY CERTAIN FREE CLINICS COULD NOT OPERATE UNDER LAWS THAT FORBID LITIGATION. GIVING, CHARITY, HAS ALWAYS BEEN PART OF OUR CALLING. WE OUGHT TO FIND WAYS TO DO IT WITHOUT PENALTY.

FINALLY, IN ADDITION TO ALL WE MUST DO, WE NEED TO MAKE CLEAR WHAT WE <u>CANNOT</u> DO.

IN A MODERN SOCIETY, THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE HAS BECOME COMPLICATED.

IT INVOLVES NOT ONLY DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT, BUT ALSO THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HEALTH AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS. INCREASINGLY PEOPLE LOOK TO MEDICINE TO SOLVE THESE DEEPER PROBLEMS, PROBLEMS THAT ARE BEYOND THE ABILITY OF MEDICINE OR DOCTORS TO SOLVE.

DOCTORS CANNOT ELIMINATE THE POVERTY FROM WHICH PATIENTS COME; THEY CANNOT KEEP PATIENTS' CHILDREN OFF DRUGS;

THEY CANNOT BRING BACK THE HUSBAND WHO HAS DESERTED THEIR PATIENT... A YEAR OR TWO AGO I WAS ASKED TO TAKE GRAND ROUNDS IN PEDIATRICS AT A MAJOR TEACHING HOSPITAL. WHEN I WAS FINISHED LISTENING TO THREE CASES, HAVING

DONE THE BEST I COULD WITH THE PROBLEMS, I HAD TO REMIND THE RESIDENT STAFF WHEN THE AUDIENCE LEFT THAT I WOULD NOT HAVE GOTTEN AWAY WITH PRESENTING THOSE THREE PATIENTS WHEN I WAS IN THEIR POSITION BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT STRICTLY MEDICAL PROBLEMS:-- WHAT THEY HAD PRESENTED TO ME WERE SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROBLEMS THAT HAD COME TO THE HOSPITAL BECAUSE THE PATIENT HAD AN ILLNESS.

FINALLY, LET ME REMIND EACH OF YOU, THAT IN THIS CRITICAL HOUR FOR AMERICAN MEDICINE, EACH OF YOU, EACH OF US, CARRIES THE ENTIRE PROFESSION ON HIS OR HER SHOULDERS.

NOT LONG AGO, AFTER I HAD FINISHED AN APPEARANCE ON CBS AND WAS ABOUT TO DO A PIECE FOR NATIONAL PUBLIC RADIO IN CONNECTION WITH <u>CRITICAL CARE WEEK</u>, I WAS SPEAKING WITH TWO YOUNG WOMEN, BOTH BRIGHT, KNOWLEDGEABLE, ARTICULATE HEALTH REPORTERS.

I ASKED THEM EACH THE SAME QUESTION:

"ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH YOUR HEALTH CARE?"

ONE SAID SHE WAS;

ONE SAID SHE WASN'T.

I ASKED WHY.

THE ONE WHO SAID SHE WAS SATISFIED SAID, "MY DOCTOR LISTENS TO ME, AND HE TELLS ME WHAT THE PROBLEMS ARE, WHAT HE'LL DO, AND I HAVE A LOT OF CONFIDENCE IN HIM."

THE ONE WHO WAS DISSATISFIED SAID, "I'M FURIOUS AT MY DOCTOR.

IN ORDER TO HAVE SOME SURGERY DONE, I SAW HIM 7 TIMES IN 2 WEEKS, HAD VARIOUS TESTS AND CONSULTATIONS, AND WHEN I CALLED HIM TO ASK A QUESTION, HE SAID, 'NOW REMIND ME WHO YOU ARE AND WHY I KNOW YOU." I SAID TO THEM BOTH,

"IN OTHER WORDS, WHEN I ASKED YOU 'ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH YOUR <u>HEALTH CARE</u>?',

ONE OF YOU SAID "YES", ONE SAID "NO",

BUT WHAT YOU REALLY WERE SAYING IS THAT ONE OF YOU LIKED YOUR <u>DOCTOR</u> AND ONE OF YOU DIDN'T. ONE HAD CONFIDENCE IN YOUR DOCTOR, ONE DID NOT." THEREFORE, MY MESSAGE TO THE DOCTORS IN AMERICA IS: WHEN YOU ARE DEALING WITH A PATIENT,

YOU ARE REPRESENTING ALL OF AMERICAN MEDICINE, YOU ARE REPRESENTING AMERICAN HEALTH CARE.

THIS IS SUNDAY MORNING - AND I FEEL UP TO DELIVERING A SERMON - AND IT WOULD BE BETTER THAN THE TWO I HEARD PARTS OF BEFORE COMING HEAR THIS MORNING.

I WON'T - BUT I WILL GIVE YOU THE TITLE - YOU KNOW ENOUGH TO FILL IN THE REST. "THE LEADER AS SERVANT." WE HAVE MUCH TO DO, BUT LET'S NOT LOSE OUR <u>POSITIVE</u> ENERGY.

THE MESSAGE WE HAVE TO SHARE WITH OURSELVES AND WITH THE AMERICAN PEOPLE IS A <u>POSITIVE</u> ONE. WE DON'T NEED THE PAST TENSE,... NOSTALGIA ABOUT "THE GOOD OLD DAYS"; NOR DO WE NEED SOME FUTURISTIC MANIFESTO PROMISING

WHAT WE INTEND TO DO.

WE NEED CLEAR AND PERSISTENT AFFIRMATION OF THE MANY

GOOD THINGS WE DO,

DAY IN AND DAY OUT,

TO MAKE OUR SYSTEM OF MEDICINE --ONCE WE TAKE THINGS IN HAND -- POTENTIALLY THE BEST IN THE WORLD. I HAVE NEVER REGRETTED GOING INTO MEDICINE.

I'D DO IT AGAIN TOMORROW.

AND I TELL THAT TO ANY YOUNGSTERS WHO ARE CONSIDERING IT.

OURS IS A CALLING.

IT IS NOT A BUSINESS.

.

WE COULD HAVE MADE MONEY DOING OTHER THINGS.

WE CHOSE MEDICINE BECAUSE IT COMBINED A QUEST FOR KNOWLEDGE WITH A WAY TO SERVE, TO SAVE LIVES, AND TO ALLEVIATE SUFFERING.

WE HAVE TO CONVINCE THE PUBLIC WE STILL MEAN IT. YOU'LL HAVE TO TAKE STEPS INDIVIDUALLY AND COLLECTIVELY.

THANK YOU

##