NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM Technical Report Series No. 374

WHITH O THINK WHITH O

TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS

STUDIES OF

GLYCIDOL

(CAS NO. 556-52-5)

IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(GAVAGE STUDIES)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

NTP TECHNICAL REPORT

ON THE

TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS

STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

(CAS NO. 556-52-5)

IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(GAVAGE STUDIES)

Richard Irwin, Ph.D., Study Scientist

NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

March 1990

NTP TR 374

NIH Publication No. 90-2829

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

CONTENTS

PAGE

ABST	RACT	3
EXPL	ANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY	6
CONT	RIBUTORS	7
PEER	REVIEW PANEL	8
SUMM	ARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS	9
I.	INTRODUCTION	11
п.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	15
III.	RESULTS	23
	RATS	24
	MICE	41
	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY	55
IV.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	57
v.	REFERENCES	61

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY	
	OF GLYCIDOL	65
APPENDIX B	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE	
	STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	105
APPENDIX C	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY	
	OF GLYCIDOL	135
APPENDIX D	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE	
	STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	165
APPENDIX E	SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM	197
APPENDIX F	INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN	
	NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	201
APPENDIX G	CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION, ANALYSIS, AND DOSE PREPARATION OF	
	GLYCIDOL FOR THE TOXICOLOGY STUDIES	207
APPENDIX H	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF GLYCIDOL	213
APPENDIX I	AUDIT SUMMARY	227

1



GLYCIDOL

CAS No. 556-52-5

 $C_3H_6O_2$

Molecular weight 74.1

Synonyms: 2,3-epoxy-1-propanol

ABSTRACT

Glycidol is a viscous liquid that is used as a stabilizer in the manufacture of vinyl polymers, as an additive for oil and synthetic hydraulic fluids, and as a diluent in some epoxy resins. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies were conducted by administering glycidol (94% pure, containing 1.2% 3methoxy-1,2-propanediol, 0.4% 3-chloro-1,2-propanediol, 2.8% diglycidyl ether, and 1.1% 2,6-dimethanol-1,4-dioxane) in water by gavage to groups of F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice of each sex for 16 days, 13 weeks, or 2 years. Genetic toxicology studies were conducted in *Salmonella typhimurium*, Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, *Drosophila melanogaster*, and the bone marrow of male B6C3F₁ mice.

Sixteen-Day Studies: Glycidol doses for groups of five rats or five mice of each sex ranged from 37.5 to 600 mg/kg; vehicle controls received distilled water. All rats that received 600 mg/kg died between days 3 and 13. Edema and degeneration of the epididymal stroma, atrophy of the testis, and granulo-matous inflammation of the epididymis occurred in males that received 300 mg/kg.

All mice that received 600 mg/kg and two males and two females that received 300 mg/kg died by day 4 of the studies. Focal demyelination in the medulla and thalamus of the brain occurred in all female mice that received 300 mg/kg.

Thirteen-Week Studies: Doses for groups of 10 rats ranged from 25 to 400 mg/kg, and doses for groups of 10 mice ranged from 19 to 300 mg/kg; vehicle controls received distilled water. All rats that received 400 mg/kg died by week 2; three males and one female that received 200 mg/kg died during weeks 11-12. Final mean body weights of male rats that received 50, 100, or 200 mg/kg were 96%-85% that of vehicle controls; final mean body weights of female rats receiving the same doses were 94%-89% that of vehicle controls. Sperm count and sperm motility were reduced in male rats that received 100 or 200 mg/kg. Necrosis of the cerebellum, demyelination in the medulla of the brain, tubular degeneration and/or necrosis of the kidney, lymphoid necrosis of the thymus, and testicular atrophy and/or degeneration occurred in rats that received 400 mg/kg.

All mice that received 300 mg/kg died by week 2; deaths of mice that received 150 mg/kg occurred during weeks 4-8 for males and weeks 1-5 for females. Mean body weights of chemically exposed mice surviving to the end of the studies were generally 90%-94% those of vehicle controls. Sperm count and sperm motility were reduced in dosed male mice. Compound-related histopathologic lesions included demyelination of the brain in males and females that received 150 or 300 mg/kg, testicular atrophy in males at all doses, and renal tubular cell degeneration in male mice that received 300 mg/kg.

Based on reduced survival, reduced weight gain, and histopathologic lesions in the brain and kidney in rats that received 200 or 400 mg/kg and on reduced survival and histopathologic lesions of the

brain in mice that received 150 or 300 mg/kg, doses selected for the 2-year studies of glycidol were 37.5 and 75 mg/kg for rats and 25 and 50 mg/kg for mice.

Body Weights and Survival in the Two-Year Studies: Mean body weights of chemically exposed male rats generally ranged from 80% to 94% of those of vehicle controls, and mean body weights of chemically exposed female rats were from 90% to 97% of those of vehicle controls. Mean body weights of chemically exposed male mice were similar to those of vehicle controls; mean body weights of chemically exposed female mice were 79%-95% of those of vehicle controls. Virtually all male and female rats that received glycidol died or were killed in a moribund condition as a result of the early induction of neoplastic disease (final survival--male: vehicle control, 16/50; low dose, 0/50; high dose, 0/50; female: 28/50; 4/50; 0/50). Survival of vehicle control male rats was lower than that usually observed; however, specific causes of deaths could not be determined. The survival of male mice and low dose female mice was similar to that of vehicle controls; survival of female mice that received 50 mg/kg was lower than that of vehicle controls after week 101 (final survival--male: 33/50; 25/50; 27/50; female: 29/50; 27/50; 17/50).

Nonneoplastic and Neoplastic Effects in the Two-Year Studies: Chemical-related nonneoplastic lesions in both rats and mice included hyperkeratosis and epithelial dysplasia of the forestomach. Fibrosis of the spleen was also present in rats of each sex, and cysts of the preputial gland and kidney were present in male mice.

Exposure to glycidol induced dose-related increases in the incidences of neoplasms in numerous tissues in both rats and mice (see facing table). In male rats, mesotheliomas arising in the tunica vaginalis and frequently metastasizing to the peritoneum were considered the major cause of early death. Early deaths in female rats were associated with the presence of mammary gland neoplasms.

Genetic Toxicology: Glycidol was mutagenic in a variety of in vitro and in vivo short-term tests. Mutagenic activity was observed in S. typhimurium strains TA97, TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 exposed to glycidol with and without exogenous metabolic activation. Glycidol was positive in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation in the mouse lymphoma assay for induction of trifluorothymidine resistance in L5178Y/TK cells; it was not tested with activation. In cytogenetic tests with CHO cells, glycidol induced both sister chromatid exchanges and chromosomal aberrations in the presence and absence of exogenous metabolic activation. Glycidol induced sex-linked recessive lethal mutations and reciprocal translocations in the germ cells of male D. melanogaster exposed by feeding. The incidence of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes was increased in the bone marrow of male B6C3F₁ mice administered glycidol by intraperitoneal injection.

Conclusions: Under the conditions of these 2-year gavage studies, there was *clear evidence of carcinogenic activity*^{*} of glycidol for male F344/N rats, based on increased incidences of mesotheliomas of the tunica vaginalis; fibroadenomas of the mammary gland; gliomas of the brain; and neoplasms of the forestomach, intestine, skin, Zymbal gland, and thyroid gland. There was *clear evidence of carcinogenic activity* for female F344/N rats, based on increased incidences of fibroadenomas and adenocarcinomas of the mammary gland; gliomas of the brain; neoplasms of the oral mucosa, forestomach, clitoral gland, and thyroid gland. There was *clear evidence of carcinogenic activity* for male B6C3F₁ mice, based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the harderian gland, forestomach, skin, liver, and lung. There was *clear evidence of carcinogenic activity* for female B6C3F₁ mice, based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the harderian gland, uterus, subcutaneous tissue, and skin. Other neoplasms that may have been related to the administration of glycidol were fibrosarcomas of the glandular stomach in female rats and carcinomas of the urinary bladder and sarcomas of the epididymis in male mice.

^{*}Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 6.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on pages 9-10.

Site/Neoplasm		Male			Female	
RATS	Veh. Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	Veh. Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Tunica vaginalis/peritoneum	2/40	24/50	20/47			
Mesothelloma Memmery gland	3/49	34/50	39/41			
Fibroadenoma	3/45	8/39	7/17	14/49	32/46	29/44
Adenocarcinoma	0/40	0/00		1/50	11/48	16/48
Brain						
Glioma	0/46	5/50	6/30	0/49	4/46	4/46
Oral mucosa						
Papilloma or carcinoma				1/46	3/37	7/26
Forestomach						
Papilloma or carcinoma	1/46	2/50	6/32	0/47	4/38	11/30
Intestine	0.44		4.07			
Adenomatous polyp or adenocarcinom	ia 0/47	1/50	4/37			
Skin						
Sebaceous gland adenoma, basal cell t	umor,	5/41	4/18			
Zymbal gland	0/40	0/41				
Carcinoma	1/49	3/50	6/48			
Clitoral gland	1/40	0,00	0/10			
Adenoma, adenocarcinoma, or carcino	ma			5/49	9/47	12/45
Thyroid gland						
Follicular cell adenoma or carcinoma	1/46	4/42	6/19	0/49	1/38	3/35
Hematopoietic system						
Leukemia				13/49	14/44	20/41
MAR			50 . 1		05	50
MICE	Veh. Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg	ven. Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Harderian gland (b)						
Adenoma or adenocarcinoma	8/46	12/41	22/44	4/46	11/43	17/43
Mammary gland						
Adenoma, fibroadenoma, or adenocar	cinoma			2/50	6/50	15/50
Forestomach						
Squamous cell papilloma or carcinom	a 1/50	2/50	10/50			
Uterus				0.17.0	2/50	0.00
Carcinoma or adenocarcinoma				0/50	3/50	3/50
Subcutaneous tissue				0/50	9/50	0/50
Sarcoma or fibrosarcoma				0/50	3/50	9/30
Skin	- 0/F0	0/50	4/50	0/50	0/50	2/50
Jivon	a 0/50	0/50	4/30	0/00	0/00	2/00
Adenoma or carcinoma	24/50	31/50	35/50			
Lung	24/00	31/00	00/00			
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma or						
carcinoma	13/50	11/50	21/50			

NEOPLASMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE ADMINISTRATION OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) A blank space indicates that the tumor incidence at that site and in that sex was not increased by chemical exposure. Tumor incidence is expressed as the number of tumor-bearing animals divided by the number of animals alive in each group at the time the first tumor was observed in any of the three groups.

(b) The denominators for the incidence of harderian gland tumors are the actual number of harderian glands available for microscopic examination.

EXPLANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY

The National Toxicology Program describes the results of individual experiments on a chemical agent and notes the strength of the evidence for conclusions regarding each study. Negative results, in which the study animals do not have a greater incidence of neoplasia than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a chemical is carcinogenic for laboratory animals under the conditions of the study and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. Other organizations, such as the International Agency for Research on Cancer, assign a strength of evidence for conclusions based on an examination of all available evidence including: animal studies such as those conducted by the NTP, epidemiologic studies, and estimates of exposure. Thus, the actual determination of risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in laboratory animals tory animals requires a wider analysis that extends beyond the purview of these studies.

Five categories of evidence of carcinogenic activity are used in the Technical Report series to summarize the strength of the evidence observed in each experiment: two categories for positive results ("Clear Evidence" and "Some Evidence"); one category for uncertain findings ("Equivocal Evidence"); one category for no observable effects ("No Evidence"); and one category for experiments that because of major flaws cannot be evaluated ("Inadequate Study"). These categories of interpretative conclusions were first adopted in June 1983 and then revised in March 1986 for use in the Technical Reports series to incorporate more specifically the concept of actual weight of evidence of carcinogenic activity. For each separate experiment (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following quintet is selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to either potency or mechanism.

- Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a dose-related (i) increase of malignant neoplasms, (ii) increase of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms, or (iii) marked increase of benign neoplasms if there is an indication from this or other studies of the ability of such tumors to progress to malignancy.
- Some Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of neoplasms (malignant, benign, or combined) in which the strength of the response is less than that required for clear evidence.
- Equivocal Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a marginal increase of neoplasms that may be chemically related.
- No Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemically related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate Study of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of carcinogenic activity.

When a conclusion statement for a particular experiment is selected, consideration must be given to key factors that would extend the actual boundary of an individual category of evidence. This should allow for incorporation of scientific experience and current understanding of long-term carcinogenesis studies in laboratory animals, especially for those evaluations that may be on the borderline between two adjacent levels. These considerations should include:

- The adequacy of the experimental design and conduct;
- Occurrence of common versus uncommon neoplasia;
- Progression (or lack thereof) from benign to malignant neoplasia as well as from preneoplastic to neoplastic lesions;
- Some benign neoplasms have the capacity to regress but others (of the same morphologic type) progress. At present, it is impossible to identify the difference. Therefore, where progression is known to be a possibility, the most prudent course is to assume that benign neoplasms of those types have the potential to become malignant;
- Combining benign and malignant tumor incidences known or thought to represent stages of progression in the same organ or tissue;
- Latency in tumor induction;
- Multiplicity in site-specific neoplasia;
- Metastases;
- Supporting information from proliferative lesions (hyperplasia) in the same site of neoplasia or in other experiments (same lesion in another sex or species);
- The presence or absence of dose relationships;
- The statistical significance of the observed tumor increase;
- The concurrent control tumor incidence as well as the historical control rate and variability for a specific neoplasm;
- Survival-adjusted analyses and false positive or false negative concerns;
- Structure-activity correlations; and
- In some cases, genetic toxicology.

CONTRIBUTORS

The NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Glycidol is based on 13week studies that began in March 1980 and ended in May 1980 and on 2-year studies that began in July 1981 and ended in July 1983 at Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute (Miami, FL)

National Toxicology Program (Evaluated Experiment, Interpreted Results, and Reported Findings)

Richard Irwin, Ph D, Study Scientist

John R Bucher, Ph D Scot L Eustis, D V M , Ph D Joseph K Haseman, Ph D James Huff, Ph D

(Discipline Leaders and Principal Contributors)

Jack Bishop, Ph D Douglas W Bristol, Ph D R Chhabra, Ph D R Griesemer, D V M, Ph D C W Jameson, Ph D G N Rao, D V M, Ph D B A Schwetz, D V M, Ph D Douglas Walters, Ph D

NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Rats on 10/15/87)

Steven Stefanski, D V M (Chair) (NTP) Darrell Bigner, M D, Ph D (Duke University) Michael Elwell, D V M, Ph D (NTP) Pam Hill, Ph D (Program Resources, Inc) Micheal Jokinen, D V M (NTP)

William MacKenzie, D V M Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc
James MacLachlan, Ph D North Carolina State University
Margarita McDonald, D V M, Ph D (NTP)

(Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Mice on 7/19/88)

Paul Hildebrandt, D V M (Chair) (PATHCO, Inc)
Scot L Eustis, D V M, Ph D (NTP)
Takanori Harada, D V M, Ph D (Institute of Environmental Toxicology, Japan)
Micheal Jokinen, D V M (NTP)
Richard Long, D V M, D A C V P
IIT Research Institute

William MacKenzie, D V M Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc
A W Macklin, D V M (Burroughs Wellcome Laboratories)
Margarita McDonald, D V M , Ph D (NTP)

Principal Contributors at Papanicolaou Research Institute (Conducted Studies and Evaluated Tissues)

F Bock, Ph D William MacKenzie, D V M F Ahmed, Ph D

Principal Contributor at Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (Provided Pathology Quality Assurance)

Margarita McDonald, D V M, Ph D

Principal Contributors at Carltech Associates, Inc. (Contractor for Technical Report Preparation)

William D Theriault, Ph D Abigail C Jacobs, Ph D John Warner, M S Naomi Levy, B A

PEER REVIEW PANEL

The members of the Peer Review Panel who evaluated the draft Technical Report on glycidol on June 27, 1989, are listed below. Panel members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, Panel members have five major responsibilities: (a) to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted, (b) to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate, (c) to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly, (d) to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and (e) to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenicity and other observed toxic responses.

National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee

Robert A. Scala, Ph.D. (Chair) Senior Scientific Advisor, Medicine and Environmental Health Department Research and Environmental Health Division, Exxon Biomedical Sciences East Millstone, NJ

Michael A. Gallo, Ph.D. Professor, Director of Toxicology Department of Environmental and Community Medicine, UMDNJ - Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Piscataway, NJ Frederica Perera, Dr. P.H. Division of Environmental Sciences School of Public Health Columbia University New York, NY

Ad Hoc Subcommittee Panel of Experts

John Ashby, Ph.D. Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC Central Toxicology Laboratory Alderley Park, England

Robert H. Garman, D.V.M. (Principal Reviewer) Bushy Run Laboratories Export, PA Consultants in Veterinary Pathology Murrysville, PA

Lois Swirsky Gold, Ph.D. University of California Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Berkeley, CA

Curtis D. Klaassen, Ph.D. Professor, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology University of Kansas Medical Center Kansas City, KS William Lijinsky, Ph.D. Director, Chemical Carcinogenesis Frederick Cancer Research Facility Frederick, MD

Barbara McKnight, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Assistant Professor Department of Biostatistics University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Franklin E. Mirer, Ph.D. Director, Health and Safety Department International Union, United Auto Workers, Detroit, MI

Paul M. Newberne, D.V.M., Ph.D.* Professor, Mallory Institute of Pathology Boston, MA

James A. Popp, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Head, Department of Experimental Pathology and Toxicology Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology Research Triangle Park, NC

*Unable to attend

SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

On June 27, 1989, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of glycidol received public review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts. The review meeting was held at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC.

Dr. R.D. Irwin, NIEHS, introduced the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of glycidol by reviewing the experimental design, results, and proposed conclusions (clear evidence of carcinogenic activity for male and female rats and mice).

Dr. Irwin noted that because survival of chemically exposed rats was reduced by the early and widespread onset of neoplasia, the usual convention of expressing tumor incidence might underestimate the true incidence that would have occurred in the absence of such reduced survival. Therefore, tumor analyses for rats were based on the effective number of rats in each group, i.e., the number of rats alive at the time that the first rat died or was killed in a moribund condition with the tumor at a particular site.

Dr. Popp, a principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions. He also agreed with the approach described by Dr. Irwin based on the effective number of animals and suggested that the rationale could be highlighted better in the Materials and Methods section. He asked for an explanation of the poor survival in vehicle control rats. Dr. Irwin indicated that there was no ready explanation and this would be noted in the Report.

Dr. McKnight, the second principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions. She thought the tumor sites supporting the level of evidence should be listed in the conclusions. She said that the statement that exposure to the chemical accelerated the development of advanced stages of mononuclear cell leukemia should either be given more support or omitted. Dr. Irwin agreed and said that the effect on leukemia would be given less emphasis.

Dr. Garman, the third principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions. He expressed concern about the brevity of the histopathology portion of the Report in that the sections dealing with microscopic lesions were restricted primarily to statements of lesion frequencies. Dr. S. Eustis, NIEHS, responded that extensive histologic descriptions had not been prepared because the studies were overwhelmingly positive and a large percentage of the neoplasms were malignant. He said that brief histologic descriptions would be added.

Dr. Gold suggested adding more details as to which target sites support the evaluation of clear evidence of carcinogenic activity and which do not. Dr. J. Huff, NIEHS, explained that the NTP philosophy was to arrive at an overall conclusion for each study. Dr. Ashby suggested that assignment of a level of evidence to each tumor site might be helpful in examining carcinogenic mechanisms. Dr. Gold asked that estimates of worker exposure from the National Occupational Exposure Survey be added to the Report.

In comments from the audience, Dr. Donald McFee, representing Occusafe, a private consulting firm, suggested that there be some discussion on the possible effects of the 6% impurities, especially a-chlorohydrin and diglycidyl ether. Mr. Ralph Johnson, Vice President of Environmental Affairs, Dixie Chemical Company, the sole domestic manufacturer of glycidol, commented on human exposure

SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS

studies that they had conducted at all customer sites. He said that the studies indicated that about 70 persons were exposed annually at concentrations not exceeding 2 ppm.

Dr. Popp moved that the Technical Report on glycidol be accepted with the conclusions as written for male and female rats and mice, clear evidence of carcinogenic activity. Dr. Garman seconded the motion, which was accepted unanimously by the Panel.

I. INTRODUCTION



GLYCIDOL

CAS No. 556-52-5

$C_3H_6O_2$

Molecular weight 74.1

Synonyms: 2,3-epoxy-1-propanol

Glycidol is a colorless, viscous liquid soluble in both water and organic solvents. The threshold limit value time-weighted average for glycidol is 25 ppm (ACGIH, 1988). At 25° C, the vapor pressure of glycidol is 0.9 mm mercury. The carbon atom in the 2 position is chiral; thus, glycidol exists as two enantiomers, differing with respect to the projection of the hydroxymethyl group above or below the plane of the epoxide ring (March, 1978).

The primary use for glycidol is as a stabilizer in the manufacture of vinyl polymers; however, it is also used as an intermediate in the production of pharmaceuticals, as an additive for oil and synthetic hydraulic fluids, and as a diluent in some epoxy resins. The glycidol structure is present in two commercially important groups of derivatives, glycidyl ethers and glycidyl esters, neither of which is prepared directly from glycidol. Glycidyl ethers are prepared on a commercial scale in a closed system by addition of the appropriate alcohol to epichlorohydrin in the presence of a catalyst. The end product is a mixed ether, one component of which is the glycidyl group. Glycidyl esters are prepared by reacting the sodium salt of the appropriate carboxylic acid with epichlorohydrin. Both types of derivatives are used almost exclusively as diluents in epoxy resins. Over 10 million pounds of glycidyl compounds, the majority of which are glycidyl ethers and glycidyl esters, are produced or imported into the United States annually (Fed. Regist., 1983).

The scheme illustrated in Figure 1 shows the known and proposed metabolic reactions of glycidol. Because of the reactivity of epoxides, in solution glycidol can undergo several spontaneous reactions involving nucleophilic attack at the a or β carbon (March, 1978); at neutral pH and 37° C, glycidol slowly hydrolyzes to glycerol; in 0.1 M hydrochloric acid, the hydrolysis to glycerol (97.2%) and a-chlorohydrin (3-chloro-1,2-propanediol) (2.8%) occurs rapidly, with a half-life of 10 minutes. At pH 6, glycidol does not readily react with glutathione; however, at pH 7 or 8, the reaction to form S-(2,3-dihydroxypropyl)glutathione occurs readily. Glycidol may also directly alkylate various cellular components (Jones, 1975).

The major urinary metabolites isolated from rats administered glycidol by intraperitoneal injection are S-(2,3-dihydroxypropyl)glutathione, S-(2.3-dihydroxypropyl)cysteine, and β -chlorolactic acid. The latter compound was identified as the only radioactive urinary metabolite of glycidol isolated from rats administered [³⁶Cl]saline for 3 days before glycidol administration (Jones and O'Brien, 1980). The same urinary metabolites are found after a-chlorohydrin administration, suggesting that glycidol is converted to a-chlorohydrin by direct reaction with hydrochloric acid in the stomach. a-Chlorohydrin may then be converted to the glutathione metabolite by the action of glutathione transferase or oxidized to β -chlorolactate by the successive action of alcohol dehydrogenase and aldehyde dehydrogenase. The conversion of glycidol to glycerol by epoxide hydrase has been observed with rat liver microsomal preparations (Patel et al., 1980). The oxidation of glycidol to glycidaldehyde has not been observed, but glycidaldehyde is a potential metabolite formed by the action of alcohol dehydrogenase.



FIGURE 1. METABOLIC PATHWAYS FOR GLYCIDOL

a-Chlorohydrin reduces sperm motility and causes infertility in male rats, perhaps as a result of the uptake of and phosphorylation of achlorohydrin by sperm (Mohri et al., 1975; Chulavatnatol et al., 1977; Jones, 1978). Phosphorylated a-chlorohydrin is an inhibitor of triose phosphate isomerase and glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase, and as a result of its accumulation, glycolysis is inhibited, reducing the ATP concentration and hence motility of affected sperm. Glycidol causes similar antifertility when administered to male rats (Jackson et al., 1970); this has been attributed to conversion to a-chlorohydrin, as suggested by metabolic studies.

Glycidol has been evaluated for teratogenicity in both rats and mice. Intra-amniotic injection of glycidol into pregnant Sprague Dawley rats on day 13 of gestation caused embryolethality and induced malformation in a significant number of fetuses (Slott and Hales, 1985). No evidence of teratogenicity was observed in a study in which pregnant CD®-1 mice received 100, 150, or 200 mg/kg glycidol by gavage during days 6-15 of gestation (Marks et al., 1982).

Glycidol has produced positive results in several tests of genotoxicity. A number of reports have documented the induction of gene mutations in Salmonella typhimurium base substitution strains TA100 and TA1535 with or without S9 activation (McCann et al., 1975; Wade et al., 1979; Simmon et al., 1979; Thompson et al., 1981; Kaplan et al., 1982; Mamber et al., 1984). Glycidol induced gene mutations in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Izard, 1973), S. pombe (Heslot, 1962; Migliore et al., 1982), and Neurospora crassa (Kolmark and Giles, 1955) in the absence of exogenous activation. In tests with mammalian cells, glycidol induced unscheduled DNA synthesis in human W138 cells and mouse L5178Y/TK cells in the absence of S9 (Thompson et al., 1981) and induced chromosomal aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in human lymphocytes in the absence of S9 (Norppa et al., 1981). Glycidol administered to Wistar rats by intraperitoneal injection induced chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow cells of both males and females (Thompson and Gibson, 1984).

The dermal carcinogenicity of glycidol has been evaluated in a study in which a 5% solution in acetone was applied to the backs of female ICR/Ha Swiss mice, three times per week for 2 years (Van Duuren et al., 1967). Glycidol application produced no visible reaction on the skin; however, similar application of a 10% solution of glycidaldehyde to 41 mice induced the formation of papillomas in 6 and carcinomas in 3. In studies conducted by the National Toxicology Program (NTP) in rats and mice, diglycidyl resorcinol ether, a glycidol derivative, administered by gavage in corn oil for 2 years induced hyperkeratosis, hyperplasia, and neoplasms of the forestomach in both species (NTP, 1986); in 2-year inhalation studies, 1,2-epoxybutane induced papillary adenomas of the nasal cavity in male and female rats and alveolar/bronchiolar neoplasms in male rats (NTP, 1988). In inhalation studies, ethylene oxide induced neoplasms of the lung and harderian gland in both male and female mice (NTP, 1987).

Glycidol and certain representative glycidyl ethers and esters were selected for carcinogenicity evaluation by NTP because of the potential for widespread human exposure to glycidol and the glycidyl group associated with the presence of these compounds in epoxy resins.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF GLYCIDOL CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES TWO-YEAR STUDIES Study Design Source and Specifications of Animals Animal Maintenance Clinical Examinations and Pathology

Statistical Methods

PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF GLYCIDOL

Glycidol was obtained in one lot (lot no. 1536A) from the Dixie Chemical Company (Houston, TX). Purity and identity analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO) (Appendix G). Chemical identity was confirmed by infrared and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

Glycidol was found to be 94% pure, as determined by elemental analysis, Karl Fischer water analysis, titration of the epoxide function, and gas chromatography.

Impurities in the glycidol study material were identified and quantitated by gas chromatography and combined gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Impurities with peak areas greater than or equal to 0.1% relative to the major peak area were as follows: methanol, approximately 0.1%; 3-methoxy-1,2-propanediol, 1.2%; 3-chloro-1,2-propanediol (a-chlorohydrin), 0.4%; diglycidyl ether, 2.8%; and 2,6-dimethanol-1,4-dioxane, 1.1%.

The identity of the chemical at the study laboratory was confirmed by infrared spectroscopy. The stability of the study material was monitored by epoxide titration and gas chromatographic analysis. No notable degradation occurred over the course of the studies.

CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES

The 2-week stability of glycidol at 20 mg/ml in water stored at room temperature and 5° C was determined by the analytical laboratory. The water solutions were diluted with acetonitrile and analyzed by gas chromatography with a 10% Carbowax 20M column and a flame ionization detector. The water solutions were found to be unstable when stored at room temperature. Storage at 5° C resulted in minimal loss (2%) after 7 days, but a significant loss (5%) was observed after 14 days.

Studies conducted by the study laboratory indicated that dose mixtures (2.54 and 15.4 mg/ml) lost 0.2%-0.3% glycidol per day during storage at $3^{\circ}-6^{\circ}$ C. Additional losses occurred while the solutions were held for about 3 hours at room temperature during the dosing period. Losses averaged 2.7% after 7 days of storage and animal-room exposure. During the 13-week studies, glycidol/distilled water mixtures were stored at 2°-5° C for no longer than 9 days. During the 2-year studies, the dose mixtures were stored at 3°-6° C for no longer than 11 days.

During the 2-year studies, the dose mixtures were analyzed every 1 or 2 months, and concentrations varied from 89% to 113% of the target concentration (Table G2). For glycidol, it is estimated that the mixtures were formulated within $\pm 10\%$ of the target concentrations approximately 97% (61/63) of the time throughout the studies. Results of periodic referee analysis of dose mixtures by an independent laboratory were generally lower than those observed by the study laboratory, probably due in part to the instability of the chemical in water under the conditions of shipment and storage (Table G3).

SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Male and female F344/N rats and $B6C3F_1$ mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories and observed for 19 (rats) or 20 (mice) days before the studies began. The rats were 7 weeks old when placed on study, and the mice were 8 weeks old.

Groups of five rats and five mice of each sex were administered 0, 37.5, 75, 150, 300, or 600 mg/kg glycidol in distilled water by gavage on 14 days over a 16-day period. Animals were housed five per cage. Water and feed were available ad libitum. Further experimental details are summarized in Table 1.

The rats and mice were observed twice per day and weighed once per week. A necropsy was performed on most animals. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 1.

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Thirteen-week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated administration of glycidol and to determine the doses to be used in the 2-year studies.

TABLE 1.	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	AND MATERIALS AND	METHODS IN THE	GAVAGE STUDIES OF
		GLYCIDOL		

Sixteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	······································	
Size of Study Groups 5 males and 5 females of each species	10 males and 10 females of each species	50 males and 50 females of each species
Doses 0, 37.5, 75, 150, 300, or 600 mg/kg glycidol in distilled water by gavage; dose volrats: 5 ml/kg; mice: 10 ml/kg	Rats0, 25, 50, 100, 200, or 400 mg/kg glycidol in distilled water by gavage; mice0, 19, 38, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg (mice received 125% of the nominal doses during wk 2); dose volrats: 5 ml/kg; mice: 10 ml/kg	Rats0, 37.5, or 75 mg/kg glycidol in distilled water by gavage; mice0, 25, or 50 mg/kg; dose volrats: 5 ml/kg; mice: 10 ml/kg
Date of First Dose 11/19/79	3/3/80	Rats7/20/81; mice8/3/81
Date of Last Dose 12/4/79	5/30/80	Rats7/8/83; mice7/22/83
Duration of Dosing 5 d/wk on 14 d over 16 d	5 d/wk for 13 wk	5 d/wk for 103 wk
Type and Frequency of Observation Observed 2 \times d; weighed 1 wk before dosing and on d 8 and 16	On Observed $2 \times d$; rats weighed at start of study and $1 \times wk$ thereafter; mice weighed on d 3 and $1 \times wk$ thereafter	Observed 2 \times d; weighed initially, 1 \times wk for 12 wk, and 1 \times mo thereafter
Necropsy, Histologic Examinations Necropsy performed on all vehicle con- trols, all rats, and the following mice that lived to the end of the studies: 2 males and 3 females in the 300 mg/kg groups and 4 males and 3 females in the 150 mg/kg groups. Histologic exams performed on all rats in the 300 mg/kg groups and on all mice on which necropsies were performed. Tissues examined include: adrenal glands, bone marrow, brain, colon, duodenum, esophagus, eyes, external and middle ear, gallbladder (mice), harderian gland, heart, ileum, jeju- num, kidneys, liver, lungs, mammary gland, mandibular and mesenteric lymph nodes, nasal cavity, pancreas, parathyroids, pituitary gland, rectum, salivary glands, sciatic nerve, seminal vesicles/prostate/testes or ovaries/ uterus, skin, spinal cord, spleen, stomach, thigh muscle, thymus, thy- roid gland, and trachea	s, and Supplemental Studies Necropsy performed on all animals. Histologic exams performed on all ve- hicle controls, all mice dying before the end of the studies, all rats in the 200 and 400 mg/kg groups, and all mice in the 150 and 300 mg/kg groups. His- tologic exams performed on brain from rats in the 100 mg/kg groups and mice in the 75 mg/kg groups and on testes from rats in the 25, 50, and 100 mg/kg groups and from mice in the 19, 38, and 75 mg/kg groups. Sperm count and mo- tility analysis performed for male vehicle controls and rats in the 25, 100, and 200 mg/kg groups and mice in the 19, 75, and 150 mg/kg groups (on 5 animals per group)	Necropsy and histologic exams performed on all animals; tissues examined include: adre- nal glands, brain, cecum, colon, costochondral junction, duodenum, esophagus, eyes, gall- bladder (mice), gross lesions, harderian gland, heart and aorta, ileum, jejunum, kidneys, liver, lungs and bronchi, mammary gland, nasal cavity and turbinates, pancreas, parathyroids, pituitary gland, prostate/seminal vesicles/testes/epididymis/ tunica vaginalis/scrotal sac or ovaries/ uterus, rectum, salivary glands, skin, spleen, sternebrae, stomach, thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, and urinary bladder
Strain and Species F344/N rats; B6C3F ₁ mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F $_1$ mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F $_1$ mice
Animal Source Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Kingston, NY)

Sixteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTE	NANCE	······································
Study Laboratory Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute	Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute	Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute
Method of Animal Identification Ear notch, toe clip	Ink mark, ear clip, toe clip	Ear notch, toe clip
Fime Held Before Study Rats19 d; mice20 d	18 d	Rats19 d; mice25 d
Age When Placed on Study Rats7 wk; mice8 wk	Rats7 wk; mice8 wk	Rats8 wk; mice9 wk
Age When Killed 10 wk	Rats21 wk; mice22 wk	Rats112 wk; mice113-114 wk
Necropsy Dates 12/5/79	6/2/80-6/9/80	Rats7/18/83; mice8/1/83-8/3/83
Method of Animal Distribution Animals distributed to weight classes and then assigned to cages by one table of random numbers and to groups by another table of random numbers	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies
F eed Purina Lab Chow® (Ralston Purina Co., St. Louis, MO); available ad ibitum	NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration (Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA); available ad libitum	Same as 13-wk studies
Bedding Sani Chips, hardwood pine (Pinewood Products Company)	Same as 16-d studies	Beta Chips (Northeastern Products, Inc., Warrensburg, NY)
Water Automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Waterford, WI); available ad libitum	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies
Cages Polycarbonate (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, NJ)	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies
Cage Filters Cerex® spun-bonded nylon Monsanto Co., St. Louis, MO)	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies
Animals per Cage 5	5	5
Other Chemicals on Study in the S None	Same Room None	None
Animal Room Environment Temp74°-76° F; hum50%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 15-18 room air changes/h	Temp72°-76° F; hum40%-60%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 10-15 room air changes/h	Temp68°-80° F; hum30%-75%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 10-15 room air changes/h

TABLE 1. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF
GLYCIDOL (Continued)

. .

Four-week-old male and female F344/N rats and 5-week-old male and female $B6C3F_1$ mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, observed for 18 days, distributed to weight classes, and then assigned to cages according to a table of random numbers. Cages were assigned to dosed and vehicle control groups according to another table of random numbers.

Groups of 10 rats of each sex were administered 0, 25, 50, 100, 200, or 400 mg/kg glycidol in distilled water by gavage, 5 days per week for 13 weeks. Groups of 10 mice of each sex were administered 0, 19, 38, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg according to the same schedule. Because of a dosemixing error, mice received 125% of the nominal doses during week 2. Rats and mice were housed five per cage in polycarbonate cages. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Further experimental details are summarized in Table 1.

Animals were observed two times per day; moribund animals were humanely killed. Individual animal weights were recorded once per week. At the end of the 13-week studies, survivors were killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 1.

The general morphology of sperm, percentage of motile sperm, and number of sperm per sample were determined according to the method of Wyrobek and Bruce (1975) at the time of the scheduled kill for five male rats in the 0, 25, 100, and 200 mg/kg groups and for five male mice in the 0, 19, 75, and 150 mg/kg groups.

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Study Design

Groups of 50 rats of each sex were administered 0, 37.5, or 75 mg/kg glycidol in distilled water by gavage, 5 days per week for 103 weeks. Groups of 50 mice of each sex were administered 0, 25, or 50 mg/kg according to the same schedule.

Source and Specifications of Animals

The male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 (C57BL/6N, female \times C3H/HeN MTV⁻, male) mice used in these studies were produced under strict barrier conditions at Charles River Breeding Laboratories. Breeding stock for the foundation colonies at the production facility originated at the National Institutes of Health Repository. Animals shipped for study were progeny of defined microflora-associated parents that were transferred from isolators to barrier-maintained rooms. Rats were shipped to the study laboratory at 4-5 weeks of age and mice at 5-6 weeks. Rats were quarantined at the study facility for 19 days and mice for 25 days. Thereafter, a complete necropsy was performed on five animals of each sex and species to assess their health status. The rats were placed on study at 8 weeks of age and the mice at 9 weeks. The health of the animals was monitored during the course of the studies according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix E).

A quality control skin grafting program has been in effect since early 1978 to monitor the genetic integrity of the inbred mice used to produce the hybrid $B6C3F_1$ study animal. In mid-1981, data were obtained that showed incompatibility between the NIH C3H reference colony and the C3H colony from a Program supplier. In August 1981, inbred parental lines of mice were further tested for genetic integrity via isozyme and protein electrophoresis profiles that demonstrate phenotype expressions of known genetic loci.

The C57BL/6N mice were homogeneous at all loci tested. Eighty-five percent of the C3H mice monitored were variant at one to three loci, indicating some heterogeneity in the C3H line from this supplier. Nevertheless, the genome of this line is more homogeneous than that of randomly bred stocks.

Male mice from the C3H colony and female mice from the C57BL/6N colony were used as parents

for the hybrid $B6C3F_1$ mice used in these studies. The influence of the potential genetic nonuniformity in the hybrid mice on these results is not known, but results of the studies are not affected because concurrent controls were included in each study.

Animal Maintenance

Animals were housed five per cage. Feed (Appendix F) and water were available ad libitum. Cages were not rotated during the studies. Further details of animal maintenance are given in Table 1.

Clinical Examinations and Pathology

All animals were observed two times per day. Body weights were recorded once per week for the first 12 weeks of the studies and once per month thereafter. Mean body weights were calculated for each group. Animals found moribund and those surviving to the end of the studies were humanely killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals; however, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and is not necessarily equal to the number of animals that were placed on study.

During necropsy, all organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissues examined are listed in Table 1.

When the pathology evaluation was completed by the laboratory pathologist and the pathology data entered into the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System, the slides, paraffin blocks, and residual formalin-fixed tissues were sent to the NTP Archives. The slides, blocks, and residual wet tissues were audited for accuracy of labeling and animal identification and for thoroughness of tissue trimming. The slides, individual animal necropsy records, and pathology tables were sent to an independent pathology quality assessment laboratory. The individual animal records and pathology tables were compared for accuracy, slides and tissue counts were verified, and histotechnique was evaluated. All tissues with a tumor diagnosis, all potential target tissues, and all tissues from a randomly selected 10% of the animals were re-evaluated microscopically by a quality assessment pathologist. Potential target organs and tissues were the forestomach, brain, and spleen for male and female rats; tunica vaginalis and Zymbal gland for male rats; mammary gland and clitoral gland for female rats; liver, lung, and harderian gland for male and female mice; lung and teeth for male mice; and mammary gland for female mice. Nonneoplastic lesions were evaluated for accuracy and consistency of diagnosis in the potential target organs, in the randomly selected 10% of animals, and in tissues with unusual incidence patterns or trends. Tissues are generally not evaluated in a "blind" fashion (i.e., without knowledge of dose group) unless the lesions in question are subtle.

The quality assessment report and slides were submitted to a Pathology Working Group (PWG) Chairperson, who reviewed microscopically all potential target tissues and any other tissues for which there was a disagreement in diagnosis between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists. Representative examples of potential chemical-related nonneoplastic lesions and neoplasms and examples of disagreements in diagnosis between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists were shown to the PWG. The PWG, which included the laboratory pathologist, the quality assessment pathologist, and other pathologists experienced in rodent toxicology, examined the tissues without knowledge of dose group or previously rendered diagnoses. When the consensus diagnosis of the PWG differed from that of the laboratory pathologist, the diagnosis was changed to reflect the opinion of the PWG. This procedure has been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). The final pathology data represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Group. For subsequent analysis of pathology data, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type are combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

Statistical Methods

Survival Analyses: The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals were censored from the survival analyses at the time they were found to be missing or dead from other than natural causes; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test for a doserelated trend. When significant survival differences were detected, additional analyses using these procedures were carried out to determine the time point at which significant differences in the survival curves were first detected. All reported P values for the survival analysis are two-sided.

Calculation of Incidence--Mice: The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site to the number of animals in which that site was examined. In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which the site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) prior to histologic sampling, or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence -- Mice: The majority of tumors in this study were considered to be incidental to the cause of death or not rapidly lethal. Thus, the primary statistical method used was an incidental tumor analysis, which assumed that the diagnosed tumors were discovered as the result of death from an unrelated cause and thus did not affect the risk of death. In this approach, the proportions of tumorbearing animals in dosed and vehicle control groups were compared in each of five time intervals: weeks 0-52, weeks 53-78, weeks 79-92, week 93 to the week before the terminal-kill period, and the terminal-kill period. The denominators of these proportions were the number of animals actually examined for tumors during the time interval. The individual time interval comparisons were then combined to obtain a single overall result.

In addition to incidental tumor analysis, alternative methods of statistical analysis were used, and the results of these tests are summarized in Appendixes C and D. These include the life table test (Cox, 1972; Tarone, 1975), appropriate for rapidly lethal tumors, and the Fisher exact test and the Cochran-Armitage trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979), procedures based on the overall proportion of tumor-bearing animals. Tests of significance include pairwise comparisons of each dosed group with vehicle controls and a test for an overall dose-response trend. Continuity-corrected tests were used in the analysis of tumor incidence, and reported P values are one-sided. The procedures described above also were used to evaluate selected nonneoplastic lesions. (For further discussion of these statistical methods, see Haseman, 1984.)

Calculation of Incidence--Rats: Because early deaths from mesotheliomas or mammary gland neoplasms reduced the number of male or female rats at risk for development of neoplasms at other sites, the usual convention of expressing tumor incidence (the number of animals with tumors at a site divided by the total number of animals in which this site was examined) may underestimate the tumor incidence that would have been observed in the absence of early deaths. This would be especially true for tumors that were not rapidly lethal and/or developed later in the study. In an effort to express tumor incidence in terms of the "effective" number of animals actually at risk, all tumor incidences for rats were expressed as the number of tumorbearing animals at a particular site divided by the number of animals alive in each group at the time the first tumor was observed at that site in any of the three (vehicle control, low dose, or high dose) groups.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence--Rats: Because of poor survival (196/200 dosed rats died before the end of the studies), the statistical approach outlined above for mice was not used for rats. For example, the incidental tumor test lacked power because, in each time interval, there were too few animals at risk in some groups for meaningful comparisons (e.g., the 93- to 104-week time interval included 29 male rat vehicle controls but only 2 low dose males and no high dose males). The life table test was also misleading in some instances, since many tumors were not rapidly lethal; thus, life table analysis could exaggerate the significance of certain neoplastic effects. Consequently, the primary tumor analyses for rats were the Cochran-Armitage trend and Fisher exact tests based on the "effective" number of animals, as described above, a procedure recommended by Gart et al. (1979). For those few tumors considered to be rapidly lethal (e.g., mesotheliomas in male rats), the results of life table analyses are also given. The results of these tests are summarized in Appendixes A and B. Tests of significance include pairwise comparisons of each dosed group with vehicle controls and a test for an overall dose-response

trend. Continuity-corrected tests were used in the analysis of tumor incidence, and reported P values are one-sided. The procedures described above also were used to evaluate selected nonneoplastic lesions. (For further discussion of these statistical methods, see Haseman, 1984.)

Historical Control Data: Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for evaluation, there are certain instances in which historical control data can be helpful in the overall assessment of tumor incidence. Consequently, control tumor incidences from the NTP historical control data base (Haseman et al., 1984, 1985) are included for those tumors appearing to show compound-related effects.

III. RESULTS

RATS

SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

MICE

SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

GENETIC TOXICOLOGY

SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All rats that received 600 mg/kg died before the end of the studies (Table 2). Final mean body weights of male rats that received 150 or 300 mg/kg were 10% or 21% lower than that of the vehicle controls. The final mean body weights of dosed and vehicle control female rats were similar. Edema and degeneration of the epididymal stroma was observed in 4/5 males in the 300 mg/ kg group; atrophy of the testis and granulomatous inflammation of the epididymis were seen in the fifth animal.

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

All rats that received 400 mg/kg died by week 2; 3/10 males and 1/10 females that received 200 mg/kg also died before the end of the studies (Table 3). Final mean body weights of rats that received 50, 100, or 200 mg/kg were 9%, 4%, or 15% lower than that of the vehicle controls for males and 6%, 7%, or 11% lower for females. Based on a qualitative grading scale of 0-4, sperm motility of chemically exposed male rats was reduced relative to that of vehicle controls (Table 4). The number of sperm in semen from the cauda epididymis was 4% that of the vehicle controls at 200 mg/kg, 30% at 100 mg/kg, and 64% at 25 mg/kg. Compound-related histologic lesions in the 400 mg/kg groups included necrosis of the granular cell layer of the cerebellum, demyelination in the medulla of the brain, tubular cell degeneration and/or necrosis of the kidney, lymphoid necrosis of the thymus, and testicular atrophy and/or degeneration (Table 5).

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of reduced body weights and survival at 200 and 400 mg/kg, histologic lesions of the brain, kidney, and thymus at 400 mg/kg, and brain lesions at 200 mg/kg, doses selected for rats for the 2-year studies were 37.5 and 75 mg/kg glycidol, administered in water by gavage 5 days per week.

		Mean	Body Weights	Final Weight Relative	
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)
ALE				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
0	5/5	120 ± 9	226 ± 6	$+106 \pm 7$	
37.5	5/5	123 ± 7	216 ± 9	$+93 \pm 5$	96
75	5/5	124 ± 5	215 ± 5	$+91 \pm 3$	95
150	5/5	119 ± 6	204 ± 11	$+85 \pm 6$	90
300	5/5	122 ± 6	178 ± 8	$+56 \pm 3$	79
600	(d) 0/5	122 ± 5	(e)	(e)	(e)
EMALE					
0	5/5	93 ± 3	139 ± 3	$+46 \pm 3$	
37.5	5/5	95 ± 4	134 ± 5	$+39 \pm 5$	96
75	5/5	94 ± 2	143 ± 4	$+49 \pm 4$	103
150	5/5	96 ± 5	140 ± 5	$+44 \pm 3$	101
300	5/5	94 ± 3	134 ± 3	$+40 \pm 3$	96
600	(f) 0/5	94 ± 3	(e)	(e)	(e)

TABLE 2. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE SIXTEEN-DAY GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial group mean body weight \pm standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors \pm standard error of the mean

(d) Day of death: 3,10,10,11,11

(e) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group.

(f) Day of death: 6,8,9,12,13

Survival (a)	<u>Mean</u> Initial (b)	<u>Body Weights</u> Final	(grams) Change (c)	Final Weight Relative to Vehicle Controls (percent)
<u></u>				
10/10	141 ± 6	361 ± 5	$+220 \pm 8$	
10/10	142 ± 7	344 ± 8	$+202 \pm 5$	95
10/10	141 ± 6	330 ± 9	$+189 \pm 6$	91
10/10	139 ± 5	345 ± 6	$+206 \pm 6$	96
(d) 7/10	143 ± 7	306 ± 10	$+163 \pm 11$	85
(e)0/10	140 ± 5	(f)	(f)	(f)
10/10	127 ± 6	213 ± 5	$+86 \pm 6$	
10/10	129 ± 6	208 ± 4	$+79 \pm 4$	98
10/10	130 ± 5	200 ± 4	$+70 \pm 6$	94
10/10	130 ± 4	198 ± 4	$+68 \pm 4$	93
(g) 9/10	129 ± 6	189 ± 6	$+62 \pm 7$	89
(h) 0/10	130 ± 4	(f)	(f)	(f)
	Survival (a) 10/10 10/10 10/10 10/10 (d) 7/10 (e) 0/10 10/10 10/10 10/10 10/10 10/10 10/10 (g) 9/10 (h) 0/10	Mean Survival (a) Initial (b) 10/10 141 ± 6 10/10 142 ± 7 10/10 141 ± 6 10/10 139 ± 5 (d) 7/10 143 ± 7 (e) 0/10 140 ± 5 10/10 127 ± 6 10/10 129 ± 6 10/10 130 ± 5 10/10 130 ± 4 (g) 9/10 129 ± 6 (h) 0/10 130 ± 4	Mean Body Weights Survival (a) Initial (b) Final 10/10 141 ± 6 361 ± 5 10/10 142 ± 7 344 ± 8 10/10 141 ± 6 330 ± 9 10/10 139 ± 5 345 ± 6 (d) 7/10 143 ± 7 306 ± 10 (e) 0/10 127 ± 6 213 ± 5 10/10 129 ± 6 208 ± 4 10/10 130 ± 5 200 ± 4 10/10 130 ± 6 189 ± 6 (b) 0/10 130 ± 4 (f)	Mean Body Weights (grams)Survival (a)Mean Body Weights (grams)Initial (b)FinalChange (c)10/10141 ± 6361 ± 5 $+220 \pm 8$ 10/10142 ± 7344 ± 8 $+202 \pm 5$ 10/10141 ± 6330 ± 9 $+189 \pm 6$ 10/10139 ± 5345 ± 6 $+206 \pm 6$ (d) 7/10143 ± 7306 ± 10 $+163 \pm 11$ (e) 0/10127 ± 6213 ± 5 $+86 \pm 6$ 10/10129 ± 6208 ± 4 $+79 \pm 4$ 10/10130 ± 5200 ± 4 $+70 \pm 6$ 10/10130 ± 4198 ± 4 $+68 \pm 4$ (g) 9/10129 ± 6189 ± 6 $+62 \pm 7$ (h) 0/10130 ± 4(f)(f)

TABLE 3. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial group mean body weight ± standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors \pm standard error of the mean

(d) Week of death: 11,12,12

(e) Week of death: 1,1,1,1,1,2,2,2,2,2

(f) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group.

(g) Week of death: 11

(h) Week of death: all 1

TABLE 4. SPERM COUNT AND MOTILITY FOR MALE RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

Dose (mg/kg)	Sperm Count (b) (×10 ⁻⁷)	Motility (c)	
 0	13.6 ± 4.00	3.4	
25	$*8.7 \pm 1.80$	3.0	
100	**4.1 ± 2.02	2.0	
200	**0.6 ± 0.49	0.2	

(a) For groups of five animals; P values vs. vehicle controls by Dunnett's test (Dunnett, 1955).
(b) Mean sperm count per cauda epididymis ± standard deviation

(c) Motility based on a 0-4 rating scale

*P<0.05

**P<0.01

TABLE 5. INCIDENCE AND SEVERITY OF SELECTED NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS IN THETHIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL (a)

Dose (mg/kg)	Cerebellar Necrosis	Brain Demyelination	Renal Tubular Cell Degeneration/ Necrosis	Thymic Lymphoid Necrosis	Testicular Atrophy
MALE		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		······································	
0	0/10	0/10	0/6	0/6	2/10(1.5)
100	0/10	0/10			3/9(2.3)
200	2/10(3.0)	5/10(1.0)	0/8	0/8	**10/10(3.7)
400	**10/10(3.1)	6/10 (1.0)	*6/10 (1.8)	2/9(2.5)	**9/10(3.1)
FEMALE					
0	0/10	0/10	0/6	0/6	
100	0/10				
200	*4/10(2.3)	0/10	0/6	1/7(3.0)	
400	**9/10 (3.9)	6/10 (1.0)	**10/10 (3.9)	**9/10 (3.8)	

(a) Number observed/number examined; number in parentheses is the mean severity in animals with the lesion (1 = minimal; 2 = mild; 3 = moderate; 4 = marked).

P < 0.05 for the incidence in dosed groups vs. that in vehicle controls by Fisher exact test

**P < 0.01 for the incidence in dosed groups vs. that in vehicle controls by Fisher exact test

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of high dose male rats were 6%-16% lower than those of vehicle controls between weeks 1 and 44 and 11%-20% lower thereafter (Table 6 and Figure 2). Mean body weights of low dose male rats were 5%-9% lower than those of vehicle controls between weeks 12 and 56 and 10%-18% lower thereafter. Mean body weights of high dose female rats were 3%-9% lower than those of vehicle controls throughout most of the studies, and mean body weights of low dose female rats were generally 6%-10% lower after week 24. No compound-related clinical signs were observed.

Weeks	Vehicle Control			37.5 mg/kg			75 mg/kg		
on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of vehicle controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of vehicle controls)	No. of Survivors	
MALE	<u> </u>				<u> </u>				
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 21\\ 28\\ 32\\ 36\\ 41\\ 48\\ 52\\ 60\\ 64\\ 68\\ 72\\ 76\\ 80\\ 88\\ 89\\ 96\\ 100\\ 104 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 143\\ 204\\ 232\\ 254\\ 274\\ 291\\ 305\\ 316\\ 329\\ 340\\ 343\\ 351\\ 362\\ 406\\ 419\\ 423\\ 428\\ 439\\ 428\\ 439\\ 448\\ 456\\ 456\\ 456\\ 456\\ 456\\ 456\\ 477\\ 478\\ 483\\ 476\\ 484\\ 486\\ 476\\ 469\\ 462\\ 467\\ 463\\ 452\\ 458\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	146 205 230 251 268 295 305 315 326 330 336 344 405 401 402 405 401 402 405 411 418 415 419 431 427 428 429 436 429 436 429 437 428 429 436 429 437 428 429 436 429 437 428 429 436 429 437 428 429 436 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 429 437 44 437 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 44 437 437	$102 \\ 100 \\ 99 \\ 99 \\ 98 \\ 97 \\ 97 \\ 97 \\ 97 \\ 96 \\ 96 \\ 96 \\ 96$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 144\\ 171\\ 204\\ 230\\ 253\\ 279\\ 291\\ 308\\ 319\\ 323\\ 331\\ 332\\ 355\\ 376\\ 386\\ 401\\ 400\\ 413\\ 415\\ 406\\ 405\\ 416\\ 427\\ 421\\ 412\\ 406\\ 380\\ 387\\ 401\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\$	$ \begin{array}{c} 101\\ 84\\ 88\\ 88\\ 91\\ 92\\ 91\\ 92\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 92\\ 93\\ 93\\ 92\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 91\\ 92\\ 91\\ 92\\ 91\\ 89\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	
Mean for week	s 300 i		200.7	07		976 9	00		
17-52 56-104	431.4 471.5		400.8 417.5	93 89		395.1 408.6	92 87		
FEMALE									
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 17 21 24 28 32 36 41 44 48 52 60 64 68 72 76 80 84 88 92 96 100 104 Week for the formula for the formula formula for the formula formula for the formula formula for the formula formula for the formula formula for the formula formula for the formula formula formula formula formula formula formula formula formula formu	128 143 155 165 174 179 184 190 191 195 196 198 202 207 215 219 229 229 229 229 229 229 229 229 229	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	$\begin{array}{c} 130\\ 144\\ 155\\ 163\\ 171\\ 176\\ 183\\ 186\\ 189\\ 191\\ 192\\ 195\\ 199\\ 204\\ 205\\ 210\\ 213\\ 225\\ 227\\ 228\\ 233\\ 239\\ 247\\ 257\\ 267\\ 270\\ 270\\ 270\\ 270\\ 270\\ 270\\ 270\\ 27$	$\begin{array}{c} 102\\ 101\\ 100\\ 99\\ 98\\ 98\\ 97\\ 97\\ 97\\ 97\\ 97\\ 97\\ 97\\ 96\\ 95\\ 94\\ 93\\ 93\\ 93\\ 93\\ 93\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	133 146 156 166 180 189 189 192 192 196 200 201 208 212 227 234 234 231 234 235 244 235 244 259 268 277 278 283 290 294 303 303	$\begin{array}{c} 93\\ 94\\ 95\\ 95\\ 95\\ 97\\ 96\\ 97\\ 96\\ 97\\ 96\\ 97\\ 95\\ 95\\ 95\\ 93\\ 92\\ 93\\ 92\\ 93\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 92\\ 93\\ 95\\ 95\\ 95\\ 95\\ 95\\ 95\\ 95\\ 96\\ 94\\ 94\\ 96\\ 100\\ 97\\ \cdots\\ \cdots\\$	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & 50 \\ & 5$	
1-12 17-52 56-104	181.0 233.3 298.7		177.0 218.3 278.2	98 94 93		173.5 217.8 279.9	96 93 94		

TABLE 6. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL



FIGURE 2. GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED GLYCIDOL IN WATER BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female rats administered glycidol at the doses used in these studies and for vehicle controls are shown in Table 7 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 3. Survival of male rats (low dose after week 75, high dose after week 60) and female rats (low dose after week 84, high dose after week 64) was significantly lower than that of the vehicle controls.

Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the statistically significant or biologically noteworthy changes in the incidences of rats with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the tunica vaginalis, mammary gland, forestomach, glandular stomach, brain, oral mucosa, Zymbal gland, small intestine, large intestine, thyroid gland, hematopoietic system, skin, clitoral gland, nasal cavity, spleen, and liver.

Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, individual animal tumor diagnoses, statistical analyses of primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in at least one animal group, and historical control incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendixes A and B for male and female rats, respectively.

TABLE 7. SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
MALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural deaths Moribund kills Animals surviving until study termination Killed accidentally Mean survival (weeks)	1 33 16 0 92	5 45 0 0 82	3 46 0 1 66
Survival P values(b)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural deaths Moribund kills Animals surviving until study termination Mean survival (weeks)	8 14 28 97	14 32 4 85	11 39 0 78
Survival P values (b)	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

(a) Termination period: week 104

(b) The result of the life table trend test is in the vehicle control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the vehicle controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 3. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED GLYCIDOL IN WATER BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Tunica Vaginalis: Mesotheliomas and malignant mesotheliomas in male rats occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences in dosed male rats were significantly greater than those in vehicle controls (Table 8). All mesotheliomas were observed in the tunica vaginalis, the serosal membrane covering the testis and epididymis, which is an extension of the visceral peritoneum into the scrotum. Most of the malignant mesotheliomas extended into the peritoneal cavity to involve the serosal membranes of abdominal organs. The mesotheliomas consisted of single or multiple layers of pleomorphic mesothelial cells arranged in complex papillary and/or glandlike formations with variable amounts of hyalinized collagenous stroma. The malignant tumors exhibited greater pleomorphism and atypia, invasion of the subjacent organs, and implantation metastases on the serosal surfaces of abdominal organs. Mesotheliomas were not observed in female rats.

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Mesothelioma, NOS	999 (1 ⁻¹ -1)		
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	10/50 (20%)	8/50 (16%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/47 (0%)	10/50 (20%)	8/41 (20%)
Terminal Rates	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		65	60
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.004		
Fisher Exact Test		P<0.001	P = 0.001
Malignant Mesothelioma			
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	24/50 (48%)	31/50 (62%)
Effective Rates (b)	3/49 (6%)	24/50(48%)	31/47 (66%)
Terminal Rates	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	86	65	49
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P<0.001	P<0.001
All Mesothelioma (c)			
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	34/50 (68%)	39/50 (78%)
Effective Rates (b)	3/49 (6%)	34/50 (68%)	39/47 (83%)
Terminal Rates	0/16 (0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	86	65	49
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test Fisher Exact Test	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001

TABLE 8. MESOTHELIOMAS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table A3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

(c) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 4/300 (1% \pm 2%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 47/1,596 (3% \pm 3%)

Mammary Gland: Fibroadenomas and adenocarcinomas in female rats occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences in chemically exposed female rats were significantly greater than those in vehicle controls (Table 9). Fibroadenomas in male rats occurred with a significant positive trend; the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls. The fibroadenomas were lobulated masses consisting of tubular or glandlike formations of epithelium separated by varying but generally abundant amounts of collagenous stroma. The adenocarcinomas were composed of pleomorphic and/or epithelial cells with heterogenous growth patterns. Some of the adenocarcinomas occurred within or adjacent to fibroadenomas.

TABLE 9.	MAMMARY GLA	ND TUMOR	S IN R	RATS IN	THE	TWO-YEAR	GAVAGE	STUDIES	OF
				GLYCID	OL (a)			

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
MALE			
Fibroadenoma (b)			
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	8/50 (16%)	7/50 (14%)
Effective Rates (c)	3/45 (7%)	8/39 (21%)	7/17 (41%)
Terminal Rates	1/16 (6%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	92	78	73
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.060	P = 0.003
FEMALE			
Fibroadenoma (d)			
Overall Rates	14/50 (28%)	32/50 (64%)	29/50 (58%)
Effective Rates (c)	14/49 (29%)	32/46 (70%)	29/44 (66%)
Terminal Rates	10/28 (36%)	4/4 (100%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	87	68	64
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P<0.001	P<0.001
Adenocarcinoma (e)			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	11/50(22%)	16/50 (32%)
Effective Rates (c)	1/50 (2%)	11/48 (23%)	16/48 (33%)
Terminal Rates	0/28(0%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	91	79	56
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.001	P<0.001
Fibroadenoma or Adenocarcinoma (f)			
Overall Rates	14/50 (28%)	34/50 (68%)	37/50(74%)
Effective Rates (c)	14/50 (28%)	34/48(71%)	37/48(77%)
Terminal Rates	10/28 (36%)	4/4(100%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	87	68	56
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P<0.001	P<0.001

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table A3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence of fibroadenomas or adenocarcinomas (combined) for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 13/300 (4% \pm 2%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 49/1,596 (3% \pm 3%)

(c) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

(d) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 80/299 (27% \pm 10%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 520/1,643 (32% \pm 12%)

(e) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 5/299 (2% \pm 2%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 49/1,643 (3% \pm 2%)

(f) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 84/299 (28% \pm 10%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 552/1,643 (34% \pm 12%)

Forestomach: Hyperkeratosis and epithelial dysplasia were observed at increased incidences in dosed rats (hyperkeratosis--male: vehicle control, 3/50; low dose, 13/50; high dose, 15/50; female: 1/50; 16/50; 13/50; dysplasia--male: 0/50; 10/50; 8/50; female: 0/50; 12/50; 10/50). Ulcers were observed at an increased incidence in high dose male rats (1/50; 1/50; 7/50). Squamous cell papillomas in males and females, squamous cell carcinomas in females, and squamous cell papillomas or carcinomas (combined) in males and females occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences of squamous cell papillomas and squamous cell papillomas or carcinomas (combined) in high dose males and females and of squamous cell carcinomas in high dose females were significantly greater than those in vehicle controls (Table 10).

Glandular Stomach: Fibrosarcomas were seen in 2/41 high dose female rats. The historical incidence of glandular stomach sarcomas in female F344/N rats is 0/295 for water gavage vehicle controls and 1/1,623 (<0.1%) for untreated controls.

Brain: Gliomas occurred in dosed male and female rats but not in vehicle controls (Table 11). Glial tumors are uncommon in F344 rats and have occurred with incidences of approximately 1% in untreated historical controls. The gliomas occurred with a significant positive trend in males, and the incidences in both high and low dose groups were significantly greater than that in vehicle controls. Although the incidences in dosed females were not statistically significant, they exceeded the highest incidence previously observed in a historical control group.

The gliomas generally were located in the cerebral cortex, corpus striatum, or thalamus. One was located in the medulla oblongata and another in the cerebellum. Most consisted of astrocytes, but several consisted of oligodendroglia.

Oral Mucosa: The incidences of squamous cell papillomas of the mouth or tongue in female rats occurred with a significant positive trend; the incidence in high dose female rats was significantly greater than that in vehicle controls, and one other high dose female had a squamous cell carcinoma (Table 12). Squamous cell papillomas or carcinomas (combined) of the mouth or tongue were seen in 3/50 vehicle control, 2/50 low dose, and 5/50 high dose male rats.

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
MALE			
Papilloma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	5/50 (10%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/46 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	5/32(16%)
Terminal Rates	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		85	64
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.003		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.521	P = 0.010
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)
Papilloma or Carcinoma (c)			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)	6/50 (12%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/46 (2%)	2/50 (4%)	6/32 (19%)
Terminal Rates	1/16 (6%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	85	64
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.007		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.532	P = 0.017
FEMALE			
Papilloma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	8/50 (16%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/47 (0%)	4/38(11%)	8/30 (27%)
Terminal Rates	0/28 (0%)	1/4(25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		84	77
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.036	P<0.001
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/43 (0%)	0/31 (0%)	3/18(17%)
Terminal Rates	0/28 (0%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation			85
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.006		
Fisher Exact Test		(d)	P = 0.023
Papilloma or Carcinoma (e)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	11/50 (22%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/47 (0%)	4/38 (11%)	11/30 (37%)
Terminal Rates	0/28(0%)	1/4 (25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		84	77
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.036	P<0.001

TABLE 10. FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table A3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

(c) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls: 0/293; historical incidence for untreated controls (mean \pm SD): 5/1,581 ($0.3\% \pm 0.9\%$)

(d) No P value is presented because no tumors were observed in the 37.5 mg/kg and vehicle control groups.

(e) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 1/295 (0.3% \pm 0.8%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 3/1,623 (0.2% \pm 0.6%)
	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
MALE			
Glioma (b)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	6/50 (12%)
Effective Rates (c)	0/46 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	6/30 (20%)
Terminal Rates	0/16 (0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		70	65
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.002		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.035	P = 0.003
FEMALE			
Glioma (d)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50(8%)
Effective Rates (c)	0/49(0%)	4/46 (9%)	4/46(9%)
Terminal Rates	0/28(0%)	1/4(25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		79	64
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.052		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.051	P = 0.051

TABLE 11. BRAIN TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table A3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) H:storical incidence of glial cell tumors for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 2/300 (0.7% \pm 1%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 14/1,590 (0.9% \pm 1%)

(c) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

(d) Historical incidence of glial cell tumors for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 1/298 (0.3% \pm 0.8%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 19/1,628 (1% \pm 2%)

TABLE 12. MOUTH/TONGUE LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Focal Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	6/50 (12%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/46 (2%)	3/37 (8%)	6/26(23%)
Terminal Rates	1/28(4%)	0/4 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	79	79
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.004		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.230	P = 0.008
Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma	a (c)		
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	7/50(14%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/46(2%)	3/37 (8%)	7/26(27%)
Terminal Rates	1/28(4%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	79	79
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.230	P = 0.003

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table B3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

(c) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 2/299 (0.7% \pm 1%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 4/1,643 (0.2% \pm 0.7%)

Zymbal Gland: Cysts were observed at an increased incidence in high dose male rats (vehicle control, 0/50; low dose, 1/50; high dose, 8/50). Carcinomas in male rats occurred with a significant positive trend (Table 13). Zymbal gland carcinomas were seen in 1/50 vehicle control, 1/50 low dose, and 2/50 high dose female rats.

Intestine--Small Intestine: Mucinous adenocarcinomas of the small intestine were seen in one low dose and two high dose male rats. The historical incidence of adenocarcinomas of the small intestine in male F344/N rats is 0/283 for water gavage vehicle controls and 5/1,557 (0.3%) for untreated controls.

Intestine--Large Intestine: Adenomatous polyps of the large intestine were seen in one high dose male rat and one high dose female rat; an adenocarcinoma was seen in a second high dose male rat. The historical incidence of adenocarcinomas of the large intestine in male F344/N rats is 0/300 for water gavage vehicle controls and 2/1,541 (0.1%) for untreated controls. Adenomatous polyps were not seen in any of the historical controls. No neoplasms of the large intestine were seen in 299 female water gavage vehicle control F344/N rats or in 1,601 female untreated controls.

Thyroid Gland: Follicular cell carcinomas and follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in male and female rats occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences of follicular cell carcinomas and follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in high dose male rats were significantly greater than those in vehicle controls (Table 14).

TABLE 13. ZYMBAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF
GLYCIDOL (a)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Carcinoma (b)			••••
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	6/50 (12%)
Effective Rates (c)	1/49 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	6/48(13%)
Terminal Rates	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	92	83	47
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.033		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.316	P = 0.053

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table A3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence of adenomas or carcinomas (combined) for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 3/300 (1% \pm 1%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 19/1,596 (1% \pm 2%)

(c) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
MALE			
Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	2/50(4%)	5/50 (10%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/46 (2%)	2/42(5%)	5/19 (26%)
Terminal Rates	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	101	85	71
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.003		
Fisher Exact Test	1 0.000	P = 0.466	P = 0.007
Adapama or Carsinoma (a)			
Quanali Potez	1/50 (90%)	A 150 (90L)	6/50 (1901)
Effective Deter (b)	1/30 (2%)	4/49 (100)	6(10(1270))
Effective Rates (b)	1/46 (2%)	4/42(10%)	0/19(32%)
Terminal Rates	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	101	85	71
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		-
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.153	P = 0.002
FEMALE			
Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	2/49 (4%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	0/50(0%)	1/50 (2%)	0/49 (0%)
Carcinoma		0/50/000	3(40,60)
Divergin Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	3/49(6%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/49 (0%)	0/38(0%)	3/35 (9%)
Terminal Rates	0/28(0%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation			73
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.022		
Fisher Exact Test		(d)	P = 0.069
Adenoma or Carcinoma (e)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50(2%)	3/49 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/49(0%)	1/38 (3%)	3/35 (9%)
Terminal Rates	0/28(0%)	1/4 (25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		104	73
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.034	-	-
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.437	P = 0.069

TABLE 14. THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table A3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

(c) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 6/293 (2% \pm 3%); historical incidence for untreated controls: $20/1,576(1\% \pm 2\%)$

(d) No P value is presented because no tumors were observed in the 37.5 mg/kg and vehicle control groups. (e) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 10/292 (3% \pm 3%); historical incidence for untreated controls: $16/1,612(1\% \pm 1\%)$

Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear cell leukemia in female rats occurred with a significant positive trend; the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls (Table 15). Mononuclear cell leukemia was seen in 25/50 vehicle control, 33/50 low dose, and 21/50 high dose male rats.

Skin: Squamous cell papillomas and sebaceous gland adenomas, basal cell tumors, or sebaceous gland adenocarcinomas (combined) in male rats occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences of squamous cell papillomas in high dose males, basal cell tumors in low dose males, and sebaceous gland adenomas, basal cell tumors, or sebaceous gland adenocarcinomas (combined) in dosed male rats were significantly greater than those in vehicle controls (Table 16). A squamous cell papilloma, a squamous cell carcinoma, and a basal cell tumor were seen in three different high dose female rats. None was observed in vehicle controls.

Clitoral Gland: Adenomas and adenomas, adenocarcinomas, or carcinomas (combined) in female rats occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences in the high dose group were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls (Table 17).

Nasal Cavity: Squamous cell carcinomas were seen in one low dose and one high dose male rat and in one high dose female rat; an adenocarcinoma was seen in a second high dose male rat. The historical incidence of squamous cell neoplasms of the nasal cavity for male F344/N rats is 0/300 for water gavage vehicle controls and 1/1,596 (< 0.1%) for untreated controls. The historical incidence of squamous cell neoplasms of the nasal cavity for female F344/N rats is 0/299 for water gavage vehicle controls and 0/1,643 for untreated controls.

Spleen: Fibrosis was observed at increased incidences in dosed rats (male: vehicle control, 13/50; low dose, 34/50; high dose, 28/50; female: 3/50; 14/49; 20/50).

Liver: Coagulative necrosis was observed at increased incidences in dosed male rats (male: vehicle control, 1/50; low dose, 7/50; high dose, 8/50; female: 3/50; 2/50; 6/50).

 TABLE 15. HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE

 STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Mononuclear Cell Leukemia (b)			<u></u>
Overall Rates	13/50 (26%)	14/50(28%)	20/50 (40%)
Effective Rates (c)	13/49 (27%)	14/44(32%)	20/41 (49%)
Terminal Rates	6/28 (21%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	75	68	67
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.006	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.020		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.370	P = 0.025

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table B3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence of leukemia for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 75/299 (25% \pm 15%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 324/1.643 (20% \pm 8%)

(c) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Squamous Cell Papilloma (b)			
Overall Rates	0/50(0%)	3/50(6%)	3/50 (6%)
Effective Rates (c)	0/46(0%)	3/48 (6%)	3/26 (12%)
Terminal Rates	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		86	68
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.026		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.129	P = 0.044
Basal Cell Tumor			
Overall Rates	0/50(0%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50(4%)
Effective Rates (c)	0/45(0%)	4/41 (10%)	2/18(11%)
Terminal Rates	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		88	72
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.040		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.048	P = 0.078
Sebaceous Gland Adenoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Sebaceous Gland Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)
Sebaceous Gland Adenoma, Basal Cell T	umor, or Sebaceous Glan	d Adenocarcinoma	u (d)
Overall Rates	0/50(0%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)
Effective Rates (c)	0/45(0%)	5/41(12%)	4/18 (22%)
Terminal Rates	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		88	72
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.003		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.022	P = 0.005

TABLE 16. SKIN TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table A3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence of papillomas or carcinomas (combined) for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 13/300 (4% \pm 2%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 31/1,596 (2% \pm 2%)

(c) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

(d) Historical incidence of benign or malignant tumors (combined) for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 5/300 (2% \pm 1%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 31/1,596 (2% \pm 2%)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	7/50 (14%)	7/50 (14%)
Effective Rates (b)	3/47 (6%)	7/38 (18%)	7/30 (23%)
Terminal Rates	3/28 (11%)	2/4 (50%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	88	77
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.025		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.085	P = 0.036
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	5/50(10%)
Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Adenoma, Carcinoma, or Adenocarcinoma (c)			
Overall Rates	5/50 (10%)	9/50 (18%)	12/50(24%)
Effective Rates (b)	5/49 (10%)	9/47 (19%)	12/45 (27%)
Terminal Rates	4/28 (14%)	3/4 (75%)	0/0
Week of First Observation Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	100 P = 0.027	60	68
Fisher Exact Test	1 -0.027	P = 0.171	P = 0.035

TABLE 17. CLITORAL GLAND LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table B3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

(c) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 22/299 (7% \pm 5%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 115/1,643 (7% \pm 5%)

SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All mice that received 600 mg/kg died within 4 days; 3/5 males and 2/5 females that received 300 mg/kg also died before the end of the studies (Table 18). Other deaths were gavage related. Final mean body weights of male mice were similar to that of vehicle controls. Final mean body weights of female mice that received 150 or 300 mg/kg were 7% or 8% lower than that of vehicle controls. Females that received 600 mg/kg and males and females that received 150 mg/kg had diarrhea. Inactivity and ruffled hair coats were observed for 2/5 males and 2/5 females that received 600 mg/kg and 3/5 males and 2/5 females that received 300 mg/kg. Focal demyelination in the medulla and thalamus of the brain was present in all female mice that received 300 mg/kg.

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

All mice that received 300 mg/kg died by the

second week: 4/10 males and 3/10 females that received 150 mg/kg also died before the end of the studies (Table 19). Final mean body weights of chemically exposed mice were 6%-10% lower than those of vehicle controls except for males that received 38 mg/kg. Male and female mice that received 300 mg/kg were lethargic. The sperm count for chemically exposed males was 50% that for the vehicle controls at 150 mg/kg, 57% at 75 mg/kg, and 70% at 19 mg/kg (Table 20). Based on a qualitative grading scale of 0-4, sperm motility of chemically exposed male mice was reduced relative to that of vehicle controls. Compound-related lesions were observed in the medulla and thalamus (demyelination), testis (atrophy and/or degeneration), and kidney (tubular cell degeneration) (Table 21).

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of reduced survival and brain lesions, doses selected for mice for the 2-year studies were 25 and 50 mg/kg glycidol, administered in water by gavage 5 days per week.

Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Mean Initial (b)	Body Weights Final	(grams) Change (c)	Final Weight Relative to Vehicle Controls (percent)
MALE			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
0 37.5 75 150 300 600 FEMALE	5/5 4/5 4/5 4/5 (d) 2/5 (e) 0/5	$\begin{array}{c} 25.9 \pm 1.0 \\ 25.1 \pm 1.3 \\ 25.2 \pm 0.9 \\ 25.3 \pm 0.8 \\ 26.0 \pm 1.0 \\ 25.5 \pm 0.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.0 \pm 0.8 \\ 28.9 \pm 1.3 \\ 29.9 \pm 0.7 \\ 28.9 \pm 1.0 \\ 29.6 \pm 1.1 \\ (f) \end{array}$	$+4.1 \pm 0.5 +3.7 \pm 0.4 +4.0 \pm 0.4 +3.7 \pm 0.5 +2.2 \pm 2.5 (f)$	96.3 99.7 96.3 98.7 (f)
0 37.5 75 150 300 600	5/5 5/5 2/5 3/5 (g) 3/5 (h) 0/5	$18.8 \pm 0.3 \\ 18.6 \pm 0.3 \\ 19.0 \pm 0.6 \\ 18.7 \pm 0.4 \\ 18.7 \pm 0.4 \\ 18.8 \pm 0.5$	$22.2 \pm 0.4 21.1 \pm 0.2 21.9 \pm 0.3 20.7 \pm 0.3 20.5 \pm 1.5 (f)$	$\begin{array}{c} +3.4 \pm 0.4 \\ +2.5 \pm 0.3 \\ +3.5 \pm 0.2 \\ +2.3 \pm 0.3 \\ +1.8 \pm 0.8 \\ (f) \end{array}$	95.0 98.6 93.2 92.3 (f)

TABLE 18. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE SIXTEEN-DAY GAVAGESTUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group; all deaths at 150 mg/kg or below were gavage related.

(b) Initial group mean body weight \pm standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors \pm standard error of the mean

(d) Day of death: 4,4,11

(e) Day of death: 1,1,1,2,4

(f) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group.

(g) Day of death: 3,4

(h) Day of death: 1,2,3,3,3

		Mean	Body Weights	Final Weight Relative	
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)
ALE			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
0	10/10	29.4 ± 0.7	39.4 ± 1.1	$+10.0 \pm 0.6$	
19	(d) 8/10	28.8 ± 0.5	36.5 ± 0.6	$+8.2 \pm 0.5$	92.6
38	10/10	29.6 ± 0.9	41.4 ± 1.6	$+11.8 \pm 0.9$	105.1
75	10/10	28.6 ± 0.7	37.1 ± 0.8	$+8.5 \pm 0.7$	94.2
150	(e) 6/10	28.1 ± 0.7	36.6 ± 0.8	$+7.7 \pm 0.6$	92.9
300	(f) 0/10	$(g) 25.3 \pm 0.4$	(h)	(h)	(h)
EMALE					
0	10/10	21.7 ± 0.3	29.7 ± 0.6	$+8.0 \pm 0.6$	
19	10/10	21.9 ± 0.5	27.9 ± 0.8	$+6.0 \pm 0.5$	93.9
38	10/10	21.3 ± 0.3	27.0 ± 0.5	$+5.7 \pm 0.3$	90.9
75	10/10	22.1 ± 0.6	27.5 ± 0.9	$+5.4 \pm 0.4$	92.6
150	(i) 7/10	(i) 22.2 ± 0.4	27.0 ± 1.2	$+4.8 \pm 0.7$	90.9
300	(k) 0/10	(1) 19.9 ± 0.8	(h)	(h)	(h)

TABLE 19. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Predosing body weights lost by laboratory; data presented are group mean body weight after 2 days of dosing \pm standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on those animals surviving to the end of the study. (c) Mean body weight change of the survivors ± standard error of the mean

(d) Week of death: 4,4

(e) Week of death: 4,5,6,8

(f) Week of death: 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2

(g) Mean of five animals surviving at the time of weighing (h) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group.

(i) Week of death: 1,1,5

 $(j)\ Mean \ of \ nine \ animals \ surviving \ at the time \ of \ weighing$

(k) Week of death: 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2,2

(1) Mean of seven animals surviving at the time of weighing

TABLE 20. SPERM COUNT AND MOTILITY FOR MALE MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

Dose (mg/kg)	Sperm Count (b) $(\times 10^{-7})$	Motility (c)
0	3.0 ± 1.02	3.6
19	2.1 ± 0.45	3.2
75	$*1.7 \pm 0.37$	2.8
150	$**1.5 \pm 0.50$	1.6

(a) For groups of five animals; P values vs. vehicle controls by Dunnett's test (Dunnett, 1955).

(b) Mean sperm count per cauda epididymis \pm standard deviation

(c) Motility based on a 0-4 rating scale

*P<0.05

**P<0.01

Dose (mg/kg)	Brain Demyelination	Testicular Atrophy/ Degeneration	Renal Tubular Cell Degeneration	
IALE				
0	0/10	2/10 (1.0)	0/10	
75	0/10	3/9 (1.3)		
150	*5/10 (1.8)	5/10(1.6)	0/10	
300	1/10 (1.0)	1/10 (2.0)	*4/10	
EMALE				
0	0/10		0/10	
75	0/10			
150	3/10 (1.0)		0/10	
300	**6/10(1.7)		0/10	

TABLE 21. INCIDENCE AND SEVERITY OF SELECTED NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) Number observed/number examined; number in parentheses is the mean severity in animals with the lesion (1 = minimal; 2 = mild; 3 = moderate; 4 = marked).

*P<0.05 for the incidence in dosed groups vs. that in vehicle controls by Fisher exact test

**P < 0.01 for the incidence in dosed groups vs. that in vehicle controls by Fisher exact test

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of chemically exposed male mice were generally similar to or higher than those of vehicle controls (Table 22 and Figure 4). Mean body weights of high dose female mice were 9%-13% lower than those of vehicle controls from weeks 56 to 88 and 12%-21% lower thereafter, and mean body weights of low dose female mice were 5%-14% lower after week 28. No compound-related clinical signs were observed.

Weeks	Vehicle	Control		25 mg/kg			50 mg/kg	
on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of vehicle controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of vehicle controls)	No. of Survivors
MALE								
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\cdot 10\\ 112\\ 120\\ 20\\ 24\\ 32\\ 32\\ 32\\ 32\\ 40\\ 449\\ 526\\ 60\\ 68\\ 776\\ 81\\ 88\\ 997\\ 100\\ 104 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27.6\\ 28.4\\ 29.6\\ 31.4\\ 33.0\\ 34.8\\ 35.1\\ 36.6\\ 38.0\\ 40.9\\ 43.1\\ 9\\ 45.3\\ 9\\ 45.3\\ 44.8\\ 46.1\\ 45.6\\ 46.8\\ 46.1\\ 46.5\\ 46.8\\ 46.1\\ 46.5\\ 45.0\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 27.8\\ 29.5\\ 30.4\\ 31.5\\ 32.3\\ 33.5\\ 34.9\\ 35.9\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 41.9\\ 44.2\\ 46.6\\ 46.4\\ 46.4\\ 46.4\\ 47.9\\ 47.7\\ 48.5\\ 48.3\\ 47.9\\ 47.7\\ 46.3\\ 46.1\\ 44.5\\ 48.3\\ 47.9\\ 47.7\\ 46.3\\ 46.3\\ 46.1\\ 5.3\\ 46.3\\ 46.1\\ 5.3\\ 0\end{array}$	$101 \\ 104 \\ 103 \\ 103 \\ 103 \\ 103 \\ 104 \\ 102 \\ 103 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 104 \\ 104 \\ 102 \\ 105 \\ 106 \\ 104 \\ 103 \\ 104 \\ 103 \\ 101 \\ 104 \\ 103 \\ 101 \\ 104 \\ 103 \\ 101 \\ 104 \\ 103 \\ 101 \\ 104 \\ 103 \\ 101 \\ 104 \\ 103 \\ 102 \\ 103 \\ 101 $	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	$\begin{array}{c} 27.6\\ 29.5\\ 29.8\\ 30.3\\ 32.1\\ 32.5\\ 32.5\\ 33.9\\ 35.8\\ 35.8\\ 35.8\\ 35.8\\ 37.4\\ 44.0\\ 45.7\\ 46.7\\ 46.9\\ 46.9\\ 46.9\\ 48.4\\ 49.3\\ 49.9\\ 49.5\\ 49.5\\ 49.5\\ 49.5\\ 49.6\\ 48.8\\ 43.8\\$	$100 \\ 104 \\ 101 \\ 99 \\ 102 \\ 103 \\ 98 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 102 \\ 102 \\ 102 \\ 104 \\ 108 \\ 106 \\ 105 \\ 105 \\ 103 \\ 105 \\ 102 \\ 100 \\ $	50 50 50 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49
Mean for weeks	;							
$1-12 \\ 16-52 \\ 56-104$	$32.8 \\ 43.3 \\ 45.7$		33.9 44.8 46.8	103 103 102		33.1 45.3 47.7	101 105 104	
FEMALE								
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9.10\\ 111\\ 12\\ 16\\ 20\\ 24\\ 28\\ 36\\ 40\\ 44\\ 49\\ 52\\ 56\\ 64\\ 68\\ 72\\ 76\\ 81\\ 85\\ 88\\ 92\\ 97\\ 100\\ 104\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.1\\ 21.7\\ 22.6\\ 23.5\\ 24.3\\ 25.2\\ 26.4\\ 26.4\\ 27.0\\ 28.5\\ 31.2\\ 28.5\\ 31.2\\ 32.3\\ 33.9\\ 33.9\\ 37.0\\ 37.1\\ 40.4\\ 42.1\\ 42.1\\ 44.5\\ 47.0\\ 48.8\\ 50.7\\ 51.1\\ 52.8\\ 53.5\\ 54.6\\ 53.5\\ 54.6\\ 53.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.8\\ 21.1\\ 22.4\\ 23.3\\ 24.3\\ 24.7\\ 24.9\\ 25.5\\ 25.7\\ 26.1\\ 26.8\\ 27.9\\ 30.1\\ 31.0\\ 32.2\\ 32.6\\ 33.4\\ 34.4\\ 34.4\\ 43.5\\ 44.5\\ 45.9\\ 46.5\\ 47.1\\ 48.8\\ 49.3\\ 50.4\\ 51.2\\ 47.6\\ 48.9\\ 49.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 99\\ 97\\ 99\\ 99\\ 98\\ 100\\ 98\\ 97\\ 97\\ 97\\ 97\\ 97\\ 99\\ 99\\ 98\\ 96\\ 95\\ 96\\ 95\\ 93\\ 94\\ 92\\ 91\\ 92\\ 91\\ 95\\ 93\\ 94\\ 92\\ 91\\ 91\\ 91\\ 91\\ 91\\ 92\\ 91\\ 91\\ 92\\ 91\\ 92\\ 91\\ 92\\ 91\\ 92\\ 91\\ 92\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 86\\ 90\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 86\\ 90\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 96\\ 90\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94\\ 94$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.9\\ 21.6\\ 22.7\\ 23.6\\ 24.2\\ 24.2\\ 24.2\\ 25.7\\ 26.5\\ 28.3\\ 29.8\\ 31.1\\ 32.6\\ 31.1\\ 32.6\\ 31.1\\ 32.6\\ 34.4\\ 45.1\\ 37.3\\ 38.9\\ 90.5\\ 42.8\\ 45.6\\ 46.0\\ 46.1\\ 46.1\\ 48.4\\ 46.1\\ 48.4\\ 46.1\\ 48.4\\ 45.1\\ 42.4\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 99\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 99\\ 98\\ 98\\ 98\\ 98\\ 99\\ 98\\ 99\\ 99\\ 96\\ 96\\ 95\\ 99\\ 99\\ 96\\ 95\\ 92\\ 93\\ 111\\ 92\\ 91\\ 96\\ 91\\ 91\\ 96\\ 91\\ 93\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 88\\ 88\\ 87\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90$	50 50 59 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49
1-12 16-52 56-104	24.8 35.9 51.9		24.4 33.8 47.2	98 94 91		$24.7 \\ 34.5 \\ 45.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 100\\ 96\\ 87\end{array}$	

TABLE 22. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIESOF GLYCIDOL



FIGURE 4. GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED GLYCIDOL IN WATER BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female mice administered glycidol at the doses used in these studies and for vehicle controls are shown in Table 23 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 5. The survival of the high dose group of female mice was significantly lower than that of the vehicle controls after week 101. No other significant differences were observed between any groups of either sex.

Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the statistically significant or biologically noteworthy changes in the incidences of mice with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the harderian gland, mammary gland, subcutaneous tissue, skin, forestomach, liver, lung, circulatory system, hematopoietic system, uterus, urinary bladder, small intestine, epididymis, preputial gland, kidney, spleen, and adrenal gland.

Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, individual animal tumor diagnoses, statistical analyses of primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in at least one animal group, and historical control incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendixes C and D for male and female mice, respectively.

TABLE 23. SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
MALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural deaths Moribund kills Animals surviving until study termination Mean survival (weeks)	1 16 33 97	0 25 25 95	4 19 27 93
Survival P values(b)	0.226	0.144	0.254
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural deaths Moribund kills Animals surviving until study termination Killed accidentally Mean survival (weeks)	3 18 29 0 97	5 18 27 0 96	5 27 17 1 91
Survival P values (b)	0.022	0.659	0.024

(a) Termination period: week 104

(b) The result of the life table trend test is in the vehicle control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the vehicle controls are in the dosed columns.

46



FIGURE 5. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED GLYCIDOL IN WATER BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Harderian Gland: Adenomas in males and females and adenocarcinomas in males occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences in the high dose groups of males and females were significantly greater than those in vehicle controls (Table 24). Adenomas of the harderian gland were circumscribed masses composed of columnar epithelium arranged in complex papillary formations with a delicate fibrovascular stroma. The adenocarcinomas were generally larger than the adenomas and exhibited some invasion of the adjacent soft tissues. They exhibited pleomorphism and atypia of the epithelium, often with areas of solid growth.

TABLE 24.	HARDERIAN	GLAND	LESIONS	IN	MICE	IN	THE	TWO-YEAR	GAVAGE	STUDIES	OF
				G	LYCIE	OL	. (a)				

MALE Hyperplasia Overall Rates 0/46 (0%) 0/41 (0%) 3/44 (7%) Adenoma Overall Rates 7/46 (15%) 10/41 (24%) 16/44 (36%) Terminal Rates 7/36 (15%) 10/41 (24%) 16/44 (36%) Week of First Observation 96 93 73 Incidental Tumor Tests P=0.010 P=0.184 P=0.018 Adenocarcinoma Overall Rates 1/33 (3%) 0/25 (0%) 4/27 (15%) Overall Rates 1/33 (3%) 0/25 (0%) 4/27 (15%) Yd4 (16%) Terminal Rates 1/33 (3%) 0/25 (0%) 4/27 (15%) Yd4 (16%) Week of First Observation 104 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests P=0.013 P=0.397 P=0.037 Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) Overall Rates 7/33 (21%) 8/25 (32%) 14/27 (52%) Overall Rates 7/33 (21%) 8/25 (32%) 14/27 (52%) Yd4 (5%) Overall Rates 7/33 (21%) 8/25 (32%) 14/27 (52%) Yd4 (5%) Overall Rates 1/46 (2%) 1/2/41 (29%)		Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Hyperplasia Overall Rates0/46 (0%)0/41 (0%)3/44 (7%)Adenoma $(10/41 (24\%) + 16/44 (36\%))$ Terminal Rates $10/41 (24\%) + 16/44 (36\%)$ 11/27 (41%)Overall Rates $7/46 (15\%) + 0.010$ $8/25 (32\%) + 11/27 (41\%)$ 96Meek of First Observation9693Overall Rates $1/46 (2\%) + 2/41 (5\%) + 0.0184$ Overall Rates $1/46 (2\%) + 2/41 (5\%) + 0.0184$ Overall Rates $1/46 (2\%) + 2/41 (5\%) + 0.0184$ Overall Rates $1/46 (2\%) + 2/41 (5\%) + 0.0134$ Terminal Rates $1/46 (2\%) + 2/24 (50\%) + 0.0134$ Overall Rates $1/33 (33\%) + 0.025 (0\%) + 0.027 + 0.0037$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) $-0.012 + 0.013 + 0.0297 + 0.0037$ Overall Rates $7/33 (21\%) + 8/25 (32\%) + 14/27 (15\%) + 0.001 + 0.001 + 0.001$ Ferminal Rates $7/33 (21\%) + 8/25 (32\%) + 14/27 (15\%) + 0.001 + 0.001$ FEMALE $-0.011 + 0.001 + 0.001 + 0.001 + 0.001$ Hyperplasia Overall Rates $3/22 (10\%) + 5/27 (19\%) + 11/17 (65\%) + 0.001 + 0.078 + 0.001 + 0.001 + 0.078 + 0.001 + 0.001 + 0.078 + 0.001 + 0.001 + 0.078 + 0.001 + 0.0078 + 0.001 + 0.001 + 0.078 + 0.001 + 0.0077 + 0.0078 + 0.001 + 0.0077 + 0.007 + 0.0077 + 0.0071 + 0.0077 + 0.0071 + 0.0077 + 0.0071 + 0.0077 + 0.0071$	MALE			
Overall Rates 0/46 (0%) 0/41 (0%) 3/44 (7%) Adenoma 0 verall Rates 7/46 (15%) 10/41 (24%) 16/44 (36%) Terminal Rates 6/33 (18%) 8/25 (32%) 11/27 (41%) Week of First Observation 96 93 73 Incidental Tumor Tests P=0.010 P=0.184 P=0.018 Adenocarcinoma 0 Verall Rates 1/46 (2%) 2/41 (5%) 7/44 (16%) Terminal Rates 1/33 (3%) 0/25 (0%) 4/27 (15%) 72 Incidental Tumor Tests P=0.013 P=0.397 P=0.037 Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) 0 Verall Rates 8/46 (17%) 12/41 (29%) 22/44 (50%) Overall Rates 7/33 (21%) 8/25 (32%) 14/27 (52%) Week of First Observation 96 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Hyperplasia			
Adenoma $0^{Verall Rates}$ $7/46 (15\%)$ $10/41 (24\%)$ $16/44 (36\%)$ Terminal Rates $6/33 (18\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $11/27 (41\%)$ Week of First Observation 96 93 73 Incidental Tumor Tests $P=0.010$ $P=0.184$ $P=0.018$ Adenocarcinoma $0^{Verall Rates}$ $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/41 (5\%)$ $7/44 (16\%)$ Overall Rates $1/33 (3\%)$ $0/25 (0\%)$ $4/27 (15\%)$ $7/44 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates $1/33 (3\%)$ $0/25 (0\%)$ $4/27 (15\%)$ $7/44 (16\%)$ Meek of First Observation 104 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests $P=0.013$ $P=0.397$ $P=0.037$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) $0^{Verall Rates}$ $7/33 (21\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $14/27 (52\%)$ Week of First Observation 96 83 72 $10/42 (12\%)$ $10/42 (12\%)$ $10/42 (12\%)$ $10/42 (12\%)$ $10/42 (12\%)$ $10/42 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$ $10/43 (12\%)$	Overall Rates	0/46 (0%)	0/41 (0%)	3/44(7%)
Overall Rates 7/46 (15%) $10/41 (24\%)$ $16/44 (36\%)$ Terminal Rates $6/33 (18\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $11/27 (41\%)$ Week of First Observation 96 93 73 Incidental Tumor Tests $P=0.010$ $P=0.184$ $P=0.018$ Adenocarcinoma $Verall Rates$ $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/41 (5\%)$ $7/44 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates $1/33 (3\%)$ $0/25 (0\%)$ $4/27 (15\%)$ $7/44 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates $1/33 (3\%)$ $0/25 (0\%)$ $4/27 (15\%)$ $7/44 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates $1/33 (3\%)$ $0/25 (0\%)$ $4/27 (15\%)$ $7/44 (16\%)$ Meek of First Observation 104 83 72 10.037 Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) 0 0 $22/44 (50\%)$ $14/27 (52\%)$ Overall Rates $7/33 (21\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $14/27 (52\%)$ $14/27 (52\%)$ Meek of First Observation 96 83 72 10.011 $92 = 0.001$ FEMALE Hyperplasia $72 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ $75 = 88$ 88 <	Adenoma			
Terminal Rates $6/33 (18\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $11/27 (41\%)$ Week of First Observation 96 93 73 Incidental Tumor Tests $P=0.010$ $P=0.184$ $P=0.018$ Adenocarcinoma $V=0.184$ $P=0.018$ Overall Rates $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/41 (5\%)$ $7/44 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates $1/33 (3\%)$ $0/25 (0\%)$ $4/27 (15\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests $P=0.013$ $P=0.397$ $P=0.037$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) $Overall Rates$ $8/46 (17\%)$ $12/41 (29\%)$ $22/44 (50\%)$ Overall Rates $7/33 (21\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $14/27 (52\%)$ Week of First Observation 96 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests $P<0.001$ $P=0.115$ $P=0.001$ FEMALE $P<0.001$ $P=0.115$ $P=0.001$ FEMALE $V=2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Adenoma $V=2/93 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P<0.001$ $P=0.078$ $P<0.001$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Overall Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $6/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P<0.001$ $P=0.047$ $P<0.001$	Overall Rates	7/46 (15%)	10/41(24%)	16/44 (36%)
Week of First Observation Incidental Tumor Tests96 $P=0.010$ 9373 $P=0.018$ Adenocarcinoma74 $P=0.018$ $P=0.018$ $P=0.018$ Overall Rates1/46 (2%)2/41 (5%)7/44 (16%)Owerall Rates1/33 (3%)0/25 (0%)4/27 (15%)Week of First Observation1048372 $P=0.037$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) Overall Rates2/41 (29%)2/2/44 (50%)Overall Rates8/46 (17%)12/41 (29%)2/2/44 (50%)Terminal Rates7/33 (21%)8/25 (32%)14/27 (52%)Week of First Observation968372 $P=0.001$ FEMALEP<0.001P=0.115P=0.001FemaleHyperplasia Overall Rates1/46 (2%)2/43 (5%)3/43 (7%)Overall Rates4/46 (9%)10/43 (23%)16/43 (37%)Overall Rates4/46 (9%)10/43 (23%)16/43 (37%)Adenoma Overall Rates968888 88 Incidental Tumor TestsP<0.001P=0.078P<0.001Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) Overall Rates0/46 (0%)1/43 (2%)1/43 (2%)Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) Overall Rates3/29 (10%)6/27 (22%)11/17 (65%)Week of First Observation968888 88 Incidental Tumor Tests96.001P=0.04776.001	Terminal Rates	6/33 (18%)	8/25 (32%)	11/27(41%)
Incidental Tumor Tests $P = 0.010$ $P = 0.184$ $P = 0.018$ Adenocarcinoma	Week of First Observation	96	93	73
Adenocarcinoma Verall Rates 1/46 (2%) 2/41 (5%) 7/44 (15%) Terminal Rates 1/33 (3%) 0/25 (0%) 4/27 (15%) Week of First Observation 104 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests P=0.013 P=0.397 P=0.037 Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) Verall Rates 8/46 (17%) 12/41 (29%) 22/44 (50%) Terminal Rates 7/33 (21%) 8/25 (32%) 14/27 (52%) Week of First Observation 96 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.010	P = 0.184	P=0.018
Overall Rates1/46 (2%)2/41 (5%)7/44 (16%)Terminal Rates1/33 (3%)0/25 (0%)4/27 (15%)Week of First Observation1048372Incidental Tumor TestsP=0.013P=0.397P=0.037Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) $P=0.013$ P=0.397P=0.037Overall Rates8/46 (17%)12/41 (29%)22/44 (50%)Terminal Rates7/33 (21%)8/25 (32%)14/27 (52%)Week of First Observation968372Incidental Tumor TestsP<0.001	Adenocarcinoma			
Terminal Rates 1/33 (3%) 0/25 (0%) 4/27 (15%) Week of First Observation 104 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests P=0.013 P=0.397 P=0.037 Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) 0verall Rates 8/46 (17%) 12/41 (29%) 22/44 (50%) Overall Rates 7/33 (21%) 8/25 (32%) 14/27 (52%) Week of First Observation 96 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Overall Rates	1/46(2%)	2/41(5%)	7/44(16%)
Week of First Observation Incidental Tumor Tests1048372Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) Overall Rates $P = 0.013$ $P = 0.397$ $P = 0.037$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) Overall Rates $7/33 (21\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $14/27 (52\%)$ Week of First Observation968372Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.001$ FEMALE $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.001$ Hyperplasia Overall Rates $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Adenoma Overall Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation958888Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.078$ $P < 0.001$ Adenoma Overall Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation Overall Rates958888Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.078$ $P < 0.001$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) Overall Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) Week of First Observation Perunal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $6/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation Perunal Rates $95 - 88$ 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.047$ $P < 0.001$	Terminal Rates	1/33 (3%)	0/25(0%)	4/27(15%)
Incidental Tumor Tests $P=0.013$ $P=0.397$ $P=0.037$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) Overall Rates $3/46 (17\%)$ $12/41 (29\%)$ $22/44 (50\%)$ Terminal Rates $7/33 (21\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $14/27 (52\%)$ Week of First Observation 96 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests $P<0.001$ $P=0.115$ $P=0.001$ FEMALEHyperplasia Overall Rates $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Adenoma 0 0 $10/43 (23\%)$ $16/43 (37\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P<0.001$ $P=0.078$ $P<0.001$ Adenoma 0 $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) Overall Rates $0/46 (9\%)$ $11/43 (26\%)$ $17/43 (40\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $6/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P<0.001$ $P=0.047$ $P<0.001$	Week of First Observation	104	83	72
Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b) 0 verall Rates $8/46 (17\%)$ $12/41 (29\%)$ $22/44 (50\%)$ Terminal Rates $7/33 (21\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $14/27 (52\%)$ Week of First Observation 96 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.001$ FEMALE Hyperplasia $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.001$ Adenoma $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Adenoma 0 verall Rates $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/43 (5\%)$ $16/43 (37\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.078$ $P < 0.001$ Adenocarcinoma $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) $0/46 (0\%)$ $11/43 (26\%)$ $17/43 (40\%)$ Overall Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $6/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 86 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.047$	Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.013	P=0.397	P = 0.037
Overall Rates $8/46 (17\%)$ $12/41 (29\%)$ $22/44 (50\%)$ Terminal Rates $7/33 (21\%)$ $8/25 (32\%)$ $14/27 (52\%)$ Week of First Observation 96 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.001$ FEMALEHyperplasiaOverall Rates $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Adenoma 0 $10/43 (23\%)$ $16/43 (37\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.078$ $P < 0.001$ Adenoma $0 \sqrt{46} (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) $0/46 (9\%)$ $11/43 (26\%)$ $17/43 (40\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $6/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.047$ $P < 0.001$	Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (b)			
Terminal Rates7/33 (21%)8/25 (32%) $14/27 (52\%)$ Week of First Observation968372Incidental Tumor TestsP<0.001	Overall Rates	8/46(17%)	12/41(29%)	22/44(50%)
Week of First Observation 96 83 72 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.001$ FEMALE Hyperplasia $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Adenoma 0 verall Rates $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Adenoma 0 verall Rates $4/46 (9\%)$ $10/43 (23\%)$ $16/43 (37\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.078$ $P < 0.001$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Overall Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $6/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $9 < 0.001$ $P < 0.047$ $P < 0.001$	Terminal Rates	7/33 (21%)	8/25 (32%)	14/27(52%)
Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.001$ FEMALEHyperplasia Overall Rates $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ AdenomaOverall Rates $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Menoma 0 verall Rates $4/46 (9\%)$ $10/43 (23\%)$ $16/43 (37\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.078$ $P < 0.001$ Adenocarcinoma $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Overall Rates $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) $0/46 (9\%)$ $11/43 (26\%)$ $17/43 (40\%)$ Overall Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $6/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.047$ $P < 0.001$	Week of First Observation	96	83	72
FEMALE Hyperplasia Overall Rates 1/46 (2%) 2/43 (5%) 3/43 (7%) Adenoma $Verall Rates$ 4/46 (9%) 10/43 (23%) 16/43 (37%) Overall Rates 4/46 (9%) 10/43 (23%) 16/43 (37%) Terminal Rates 3/29 (10%) 5/27 (19%) 11/17 (65%) Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.115	P = 0.001
Hyperplasia Overall Rates1/46 (2%) $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Adenoma V V V V V Overall Rates $4/46 (9\%)$ $10/43 (23\%)$ $16/43 (37\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.078$ $P < 0.001$ Adenocarcinoma Overall Rates $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) V V $11/43 (26\%)$ $17/43 (40\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $6/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.047$ $P < 0.001$	FEMALE			
Overall Rates $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/43 (5\%)$ $3/43 (7\%)$ Adenoma 0 0 0 0 $10/43 (23\%)$ $16/43 (37\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.078$ $P < 0.001$ Adenocarcinoma $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Overall Rates $0/46 (0\%)$ $11/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) 0 $0/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $9 < 0.001$ $P = 0.047$ $P < 0.001$	Hyperplasia			
Adenoma Verall Rates 4/46 (9%) $10/43 (23\%)$ $16/43 (37\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $5/27 (19\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.078$ $P < 0.001$ Adenocarcinoma Overall Rates $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ $1/43 (2\%)$ Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) V V $11/43 (26\%)$ $17/43 (40\%)$ Terminal Rates $3/29 (10\%)$ $6/27 (22\%)$ $11/17 (65\%)$ Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.047$ $P < 0.001$	Overall Rates	1/46 (2%)	2/43 (5%)	3/43 (7%)
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} Overall Rates & 4/46 (9\%) & 10/43 (23\%) & 16/43 (37\%) \\ Terminal Rates & 3/29 (10\%) & 5/27 (19\%) & 11/17 (65\%) \\ Week of First Observation & 95 & 88 & 88 \\ Incidental Tumor Tests & P<0.001 & P=0.078 & P<0.001 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Adenoma			
Terminal Rates $3/29(10\%)$ $5/27(19\%)$ $11/17(65\%)$ Week of First Observation958888Incidental Tumor TestsP<0.001	Overall Rates	4/46 (9%)	10/43 (23%)	16/43 (37%)
Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Terminal Rates	3/29 (10%)	5/27 (19%)	11/17 (65%)
Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001 P=0.078 P<0.001 Adenocarcinoma Overall Rates 0/46 (0%) 1/43 (2%) 1/43 (2%) Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) Overall Rates 4/46 (9%) 11/43 (26%) 17/43 (40%) Terminal Rates 3/29 (10%) 6/27 (22%) 11/17 (65%) Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001 P=0.047 P<0.001	Week of First Observation	95	88	88
Adenocarcinoma Overall Rates 0/46 (0%) 1/43 (2%) 1/43 (2%) Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) Overall Rates 4/46 (9%) 11/43 (26%) 17/43 (40%) Terminal Rates 3/29 (10%) 6/27 (22%) 11/17 (65%) Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.078	P<0.001
Overall Rates 0/46 (0%) 1/43 (2%) 1/43 (2%) Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) Overall Rates 4/46 (9%) 11/43 (26%) 17/43 (40%) Terminal Rates 3/29 (10%) 6/27 (22%) 11/17 (65%) Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Adenocarcinoma			
Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c) 11/43 (26%) 17/43 (40%) Overall Rates 3/29 (10%) 6/27 (22%) 11/17 (65%) Terminal Rates 3/29 (10%) 6/27 (22%) 11/17 (65%) Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Overall Rates	0/46(0%)	1/43(2%)	1/43 (2%)
Overall Rates 4/46 (9%) 11/43 (26%) 17/43 (40%) Terminal Rates 3/29 (10%) 6/27 (22%) 11/17 (65%) Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c)			
Terminal Rates 3/29 (10%) 6/27 (22%) 11/17 (65%) Week of First Observation 95 88 88 Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001	Overall Rates	4/46 (9%)	11/43 (26%)	17/43 (40%)
Week of First Observation958888Incidental Tumor TestsP<0.001	Terminal Rates	3/29 (10%)	6/27 (22%)	11/17 (65%)
Incidental Tumor Tests P<0.001 P=0.047 P<0.001	Week of First Observation	95	88	88
	Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.047	P<0.001

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table C3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence of adenomas or carcinomas (combined) for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 22/350 (6% \pm 4%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 67/1,692 (4% \pm 3%)

(c) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 12/350 (3% \pm 4%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 51/1,689 (3% \pm 3%)

Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinomas in female mice occurred with a significant positive trend; the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls (Table 25).

Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcomas and sarcomas or fibrosarcomas (combined) in female mice

occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences in the high dose group were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls (Table 26). Subcutaneous tissue fibromas, sarcomas, or fibrosarcomas (combined) were seen in 11/50 vehicle control, 4/50 low dose, and 4/50 high dose male mice.

TABLE 25.	MAMMARY	GLAND	LESIONS	IN	FEMALE	MICE	IN	THE	TWO-YEAR	GAVAGE	STUDY	OF
					GLYCIDC)L (a)						

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Hyperplasia			· ···
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Fibroadenoma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adenocarcinoma (b)			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	5/50 (10%)	15/50 (30%)
Terminal Rates	0/29(0%)	1/27(4%)	3/17(18%)
Week of First Observation	95	85	55
Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.072	P = 0.001
Adenoma, Fibroadenoma, or Adenoca	arcinoma (c)		
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	6/50 (12%)	15/50 (30%)
Terminal Rates	1/29 (3%)	1/27 (4%)	3/17 (18%)
Week of First Observation	95	85	55
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.001	P = 0.078	P = 0.003

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table D3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 2/350 (0.6% \pm 1%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 33/1.689 (2% \pm 2%)

(c) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 4/350 (1% \pm 2%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 35/1,689 (2% \pm 2%)

TABLE 26. SUBCUTANEOUS TISSUE TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Sarcoma			<u> </u>
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	6/50 (12%)
Terminal Rates	0/29 (0%)	0/27(0%)	2/17 (12%)
Week of First Observation		96	80
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.007	P = 0.383	P = 0.023
Fibrosarcoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	2/50(4%)	3/50 (6%)
Sarcoma or Fibrosarcoma (b)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	9/50(18%)
Terminal Rates	0/29(0%)	0/27(0%)	2/17(12%)
Week of First Observation		70	80
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.003	P = 0.132	P = 0.005

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table D3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 6/350 (2% \pm 2%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 40/1,689 (2% \pm 3%)

Skin: Four squamous cell papillomas occurred in high dose male mice; this incidence was significant relative to that in the vehicle controls (Table 27). A squamous cell papilloma was seen in one high dose female mouse, and a squamous cell carcinoma was seen in a second high dose female mouse.

Forestomach: Epithelial hyperplasia was observed at increased incidences in high dose mice (male: vehicle control, 2/50; low dose, 4/50; high dose, 8/50; female: 3/50; 1/50; 10/50) (Table 28). Squamous cell papillomas and squamous cell papillomas or carcinomas (combined) in male mice occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences in the high dose group were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls. Squamous cell papillomas were seen in the forestomach of 3/50 vehicle control, 1/50 low dose, and 4/50 high dose female mice.

TABLE 27. SKIN LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50(2%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma (b)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)
Terminal Rates Week of First Observation	0/33 (0%)	0/25 (0%)	3/27 (11%) 90
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.010	(c)	P = 0.047

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table C3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence of papillomas or carcinomas (combined) for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 2/350 (0.6% \pm 2%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 9/1,692 (0.5% \pm 1%)

(c) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 25 mg/kg and vehicle control groups.

TABLE 28. FORESTOMACH LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Epithelial Hyperplasia	······································		
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)	*8/50(16%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	9/50 (18%)
Terminal Rates	0/33 (0%)	0/25(0%)	5/27 (19%)
Week of First Observation		83	71
Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.367	P = 0.003
Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50(2%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma (b)			
Overall Rates	1/50(2%)	2/50(4%)	10/50(20%)
Terminal Rates	1/33 (3%)	0/25(0%)	5/27(19%)
Week of First Observation	104	83	71
Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.593	P = 0.005

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table C3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 8/331 (2% \pm 2%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 10/1,645 (0.6% \pm 1%)

*P<0.05 vs. vehicle controls

Liver: Hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) occurred with significant positive trends in males; the incidences in the high dose group were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls (Table 29). The incidence of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) was marginally increased in high dose female mice.

Lung: Incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar carcinomas and alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) were increased in chemically exposed male mice (Table 30). Alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) were seen in 6/50 vehicle control, 10/50 low dose, and 8/50 high dose female mice.

Circulatory System: Hemangiomas or hemangiosarcomas (combined) in female mice occurred with a significant positive trend by the life table test; however, the incidence in the high dose group was not significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls (Table 31).

TABLE 29.	HEPATOCELLULAR	TUMORS IS	N MICE	IN THE	TWO-YEAR	GAVAGE	STUDIES OF
			GLYCE	DOL (a)			

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
MALE			
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	18/50 (36%)	16/50(32%)	30/50 (60%)
Terminal Rates	11/33 (33%)	10/25(40%)	21/27(78%)
Week of First Observation	72	83	73
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.004	P = 0.380 N	P = 0.008
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	10/50 (20%)	17/50(34%)	8/50 (16%)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)			
Overall Rates	24/50 (48%)	31/50(62%)	35/50 (70%)
Terminal Rates	15/33 (45%)	15/25 (60%)	21/27(78%)
Week of First Observation	72	55	52
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.018	P = 0.204	P = 0.017
FEMALE			
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%)	10/50 (20%)
Terminal Rates	6/29 (21%)	3/27 (11%)	5/17 (29%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	83
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.054	P = 0.272N	P = 0.081
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	5/50(10%)	4/50 (8%)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (c)			
Overall Rates	9/50 (18%)	7/50 (14%)	14/50(28%)
Terminal Rates	9/29 (31%)	5/27 (19%)	6/17 (35%)
Week of First Observation	104	84	78
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.051	P = 0.377 N	P = 0.062

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table C3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 106/347 (31% \pm 6%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 494/1,678 (29% \pm 8%)

(c) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 29/348 (8% \pm 5%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 163/1,683 (10% \pm 4%)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Alveolar Epithelium Hyperplasia Overall Rates	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates	6/50 (12%)	6/50 (12%)	8/50 (16%)
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	7/50 (14%)	5/50 (10%)	14/50(28%)
Terminal Rates	5/33 (15%)	4/25(16%)	11/27(41%)
Week of First Observation	98	79	76
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.023	P = 0.431 N	P = 0.044
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcin	oma (b)		
Overall Rates	13/50 (26%)	11/50(22%)	21/50(42%)
Terminal Rates	10/33 (30%)	7/25(28%)	15/27 (56%)
Week of First Observation	76	79	73
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.027	P = 0.456N	P = 0.045

TABLE 30. LUNG LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table C3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 65/347 (19% \pm 8%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 277/1,684 (16% \pm 7%)

TABLE 31. CIRCULATORY SYSTEM TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Hemangioma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)
Hemangiosarcoma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Hemangioma or Hemangiosarcoma (b)			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)
Terminal Rates	0/29(0%)	0/27(0%)	3/17(18%)
Week of First Observation	100	66	85
Life Table Tests	P = 0.028	P = 0.285	P = 0.037
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.096	P = 0.169	P = 0.083

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table D3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 8/350 (2% \pm 1%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 48/1,689 (3% \pm 3%)

Hematopoietic System: The incidence of lymphomas in low dose male mice was significantly greater than that in vehicle controls (Table 32). bined) occurred with a significant positive trend (Table 33); these are uncommon neoplasms in female $B6C3F_1$ mice, and their presence at increased incidences in dosed females is considered to be associated with chemical exposure.

Uterus: Carcinomas or adenocarcinomas (com-

TABLE 32. HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

ymphoma, All Malignant (b) Overall Rates Terminal Rates Week of First Observation	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg		
Lymphoma, All Malignant (b)			- <u>-</u>		
Overall Rates	5/50 (10%)	12/50 (24%)	7/50 (14%)		
Terminal Rates	3/33 (9%)	6/25(24%)	2/27(7%)		
Week of First Observation	89	93	54		
Life Table Tests	P = 0.229	P = 0.021	P = 0.294		
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.392	P = 0.076	P = 0.485		

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table C3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence of lymphoma or leukemia (combined) for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 42/350 (12% \pm 6%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 196/1,692 (12% \pm 6%)

TABLE 33. UTERINE GLANDULAR TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF GLYCIDOL (a)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg				
Carcinoma	,,,,,,_,_,_,_,_,_,_,_,_	· · · · · ·	<u>-</u>				
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50(0%)	1/50 (2%)				
Adenocarcinoma							
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)				
Carcinoma or Adenocarcinoma (b)							
Overall Rates	0/50(0%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)				
Terminal Rates	0/29(0%)	3/27(11%)	2/17(12%)				
Week of First Observation		104	97				
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.046	P = 0.107	P = 0.078				

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table D3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence for water gavage vehicle controls (mean \pm SD): 1/347 (0.3% \pm 0.8%); historical incidence for untreated controls: 4/1,675 (0.2% \pm 0.7%)

Urinary Bladder: Transitional cell carcinomas were seen in one low dose and one high dose male mouse; no urinary bladder neoplasms have been seen in 350 male water gavage vehicle control $B6C3F_1$ mice or in 1,647 untreated controls.

Small Intestine: Adenomatous polyps were seen in two low dose female mice, and an adenocarcinoma was seen in one high dose female mouse. The historical incidence of small intestine adenomatous polyps or adenocarcinomas (combined) in female $B6C3F_1$ mice is 1/330 (0.3%) for water gavage vehicle controls and 6/1,608 (0.4%) for untreated controls.

Epididymis: Sarcomas were seen in 2/50 high dose male mice; the historical incidence of epididymal sarcomas in $B6C3F_1$ mice is 0/350 in water gavage vehicle controls and 1/1,692 (<0.1%) in untreated controls.

Preputial Gland: The incidence of cysts in high dose male mice was greater than that in vehicle controls (vehicle control, 1/50; low dose, 0/50; high dose, 7/50).

Kidney: Cysts were observed at increased incidences in dosed male mice (male: vehicle control, 4/50; low dose, 11/50; high dose, 9/50; female: 2/50; 4/50; 2/50).

Spleen: Hyperplasia of the red pulp was observed at increased incidences in dosed female mice (male: vehicle control, 10/50; low dose, 4/50; high dose, 7/50; female: 2/50; 9/50; 16/50).

Adrenal Gland: Focal hyperplasia of the adrenal cortex was observed at increased incidences in dosed male mice (male: vehicle control, 0/50; low dose, 4/50; high dose, 6/50; female: 0/50; 2/50; 1/50). Glycidol was mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA97, TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 when tested in a preincubation protocol with doses of 1-10,000 μ g/plate both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley or Syrian hamster liver S9 (Canter et al., 1986; Table H1). When tested for induction of trifluorothymidine resistance in mouse lymphoma cells, glycidol gave positive responses at doses as low as 1.25 nl/ml in the absence of S9: it was not tested with S9 (Table H2). Sister chromatid exchanges were induced in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells treated with glycidol at concentrations of 1.1-150 µg/ml both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9; no cell cycle delay was observed, and strongly positive responses were recorded at all doses (Table H3). Glycidol (12.5-400 µg/ml) was a strong inducer of chromosomal aberrations in CHO cells both with and without S9 metabolic activation at all doses tested; due to glycidol-induced cell cycle delay, the incubation time before cell harvest was extended (Table H4). Glycidol induced both sex-linked recessive lethal mutations and reciprocal translocations in the germ cells of male Drosophila melanogaster fed a solution containing 1,230 ppm glycidol (Tables H5 and H6). The incidence of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs) in the bone marrow of male B6C3F1 mice administered two intraperitoneal injections of glycidol at 24-hour intervals was significantly increased over that in vehicle controls; in both trials, the incidence of micronucleated PCEs in the high dose animals (150 $mg/kg \times 2$) was approximately three times the incidence in the vehicle controls (Table H7). The experimental procedures and results are presented in Appendix H.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Glycidol (2,3-epoxypropanol) is a viscous, watersoluble liquid used as a stabilizer in the manufacture of vinyl polymers, as an additive for oil and synthetic hydraulic fluids, and as a diluent in some epoxy resins. The glycidol moiety is present in glycidyl esters and glycidyl ethers, a large class of commercially important derivatives that are widely used in epoxy resins. Because of the potential for human exposure to these compounds suggested by their pattern of use, glycidol and representative glycidyl esters and glycidyl ethers were evaluated in short-term toxicology studies and in 2-year toxicology and carcinogenicity studies.

During short-term studies, exposure to the top doses of glycidol reduced survival in both rats and mice. Focal demyelination of the brain occurred in mice but not in rats in the 16-day studies; consequently, doses selected for the 13-week studies in mice were lower than those selected for rats. Exposure to glycidol for 13 weeks caused cerebellar necrosis and demyelination of the medulla, renal tubular cell degeneration, and thymic lymphoid necrosis in rats and caused demyelination of the medulla and thalamus and renal tubular cell degeneration in mice. A doserelated reduction in sperm count and sperm motility and an increase in the incidence and severity of testicular atrophy occurred in chemically exposed male rats and mice at the higher doses. Based on these results, doses selected for the 2-year studies of mice were lower than those selected for rats.

Exposure to glycidol for 2 years induced doserelated increases in the incidences of neoplasms in numerous tissues in both rats and mice. Many animals with neoplasms were killed in a moribund condition, and virtually all (196/200) exposed rats died before the end of the 2-year studies. The most prominent lesion in male rats was mesothelioma, arising in the tunica vaginalis and frequently metastasizing into the peritoneal cavity, with the formation of large inguinal masses. Mesotheliomas occurred in 6% of vehicle control, 68% of low dose, and 83% of high dose male rats and were responsible for the deaths of chemically exposed males in which the tumor developed. The first male rat to die from mesothelioma was a high dose male, killed in a moribund condition at week 49, in which the neoplasm had metastasized into the peritoneal cavity. The first vehicle control male rat to die with mesothelioma was killed in a moribund condition at week 86. Thus, exposure to glycidol caused a marked, dose-related increase in the incidence of this neoplasm.

Survival of female rats was reduced by the killing of moribund animals, primarily because of neoplasms of the mammary gland. The combined incidences of fibroadenomas and adenocarcinomas were 28% in vehicle control, 71% in low dose, and 77% in high dose female rats. The first female rat to die with a mammary gland neoplasm was a high dose female that was killed in a moribund condition at week 56 with an adenocarcinoma. The first vehicle control female to die with a mammary gland neoplasm was killed in a moribund condition at week 87 with a fibroadenoma: however, this animal also had mononuclear cell leukemia, which may have been the cause of the moribund condition. The only vehicle control female with a mammary gland adenocarcinoma died at week 91. Therefore, chemical exposure caused marked dose-related increases in the incidences of mammary gland fibroadenomas and adenocarcinomas in female rats. Mononuclear cell leukemia may have also contributed to the early deaths of female rats, since many of the females dying after week 67 had advanced disease. The incidence of leukemia was dose related and significantly increased in high dose females and was therefore considered to be chemically related.

The dose-related increases in the incidences of neoplasms of the mammary gland, brain, thyroid gland, and forestomach in males and females; of the tunica vaginalis/peritoneum, skin, intestine, and Zymbal gland in males; and of the oral mucosa, clitoral gland, and hematopoietic system (mononuclear cell leukemia) in females are considered clear evidence of the carcinogenic activity of glycidol in F344/N rats. In addition, fibrosarcomas were found in the glandular stomach of two high dose females. These are uncommon neoplasms at this site in female F344/N rats, having never been observed in 295 water gavage vehicle control animals and having been found in only 1/1,623 untreated control animals in other NTP studies. Because of their low incidence and the absence of any indication of a chemical effect in the glandular stomach of low dose animals in the current study, however, it was not possible to conclude that these neoplasms were associated with chemical exposure.

Incidences of harderian gland neoplasms were increased in chemically exposed mice of each sex. The incidences of adenomas or adenocarcinomas (combined) were 17% in vehicle control, 29% in low dose, and 50% in high dose male mice and 9% in vehicle control, 26% in low dose, and 40% in high dose female mice. The incidences of adenomas, fibroadenomas, or adenocarcinomas (combined) of the mammary gland in female mice were markedly increased, occurring in 4% of vehicle control, 12% of low dose, and 30% of high dose animals. In addition, neoplasms of the forestomach, liver, and lung were increased in male mice, and neoplasms of the uterus and subcutaneous tissue were increased in female mice. The dose-related increase in the incidence of neoplasms in each of these tissues is considered clear evidence of the carcinogenicity of glycidol in mice of each sex.

Transitional cell carcinomas were present in the urinary bladder of one low dose and one high dose male mouse, and epididymal sarcomas were present in two high dose male mice. These are uncommon neoplasms in male $B6C3F_1$ mice, and although they were present only in chemically exposed males, their low incidences and the absence of nonneoplastic lesions indicative of chemical-related effects on the urinary bladder or epididymis make it difficult to judge their association with exposure to glycidol.

At the doses used in the current studies, there were few indications of chemically related toxicity. Weight gain by some groups of exposed animals was moderately lower than that by vehicle controls; however, this was more likely attributable to the presence of neoplastic disease in these animals than to chemical toxicity. Moreover, with the exception of the forestomach, there was a notable absence of nonneoplastic histologic lesions attributable to chemical exposure in tissues in which chemically induced neoplasms occurred. In particular, regenerative and/or hyperplastic responses indicative of repair of toxic insult were not present and therefore did not influence neoplasm development in these tissues.

The results of the current studies are comparable to those of other long-term studies with alkylating agents. In long-term inhalation studies conducted with F344 rats, exposure to ethylene oxide at doses ranging from 33 to 300 ppm caused increased incidences of brain gliomas and mononuclear cell leukemia in male and female rats and mesotheliomas of the peritoneum, often involving the tunica vaginalis, in males (Lynch et al., 1984; Garman et al., 1985). In another study, male F344 rats were administered N-nitroso-N-ethylhydroxyethylurea (HENU-II), N-nitroso-N-hydroxyethyl-ethylurea (HENU-I), nitrosoethylurea (NEU), or nitrosohydroxyethylurea (NHU) by gavage in corn oil/ethyl acetate for 31 weeks and then were maintained, without being dosed, until all chemically exposed animals were dead (total duration, 80 weeks) (Lijinsky et al., 1985). All four compounds caused increased incidences of neoplasms at multiple sites, including papillomas or carcinomas of the forestomach; carcinomas of the lung, colon, thyroid gland, and Zymbal gland; and mesotheliomas of the peritoneum. In addition, HENU-I and HENU-II induced gliomas in the brain and neoplastic nodules or hepatocellular carcinomas.

Glycidol is structurally similar to ethylene oxide but guite different from the alkyl nitrosoureas. When viewed as alylating agents, however, the epoxides and nitrosoureas produce similar alkylated products with an appropriate nucleophile; these products would include ethylated (NEU, HENU-I), hydroxyethylated (ethylene oxide, NHU, HENU-II), and 1,2-dihydroxypropylated or 1,3-dihydroxyisopropylated (glycidol) adducts. In solution, glycidol readily alkylates deoxyadenosine at the 1 or N^6 position, deoxyguanosine at the 1 and 7 position, deoxycytosine at the 3 position, and thymidine at the 3 position to form 1,2-dihydroxypropyl adducts (Hemminki et al., 1980; Djuric and Sinsheimer, 1984; Hemminki and Lax, 1986; Djuric et al., 1986). In highly polymerized calf thymus DNA, glycidol reacts preferentially with guanine residues, although the products of this reaction have not been identified (Djuric et al., 1986). There has been no report of simple epoxides alkylating the O^6 of guanine, either in solution or in intact DNA. a-Chlorohydrin, a metabolite formed in the stomach by the reaction of chloride with glycidol, was unreactive toward deoxyguanosine in solution (Hemminki and Lax, 1986); its reactivity with other bases and DNA has not been reported.

The experimental and tabulated data for the NTP Technical Report on glycidol were examined for accuracy, consistency, completeness, and compliance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations. As summarized in Appendix I, the audit revealed no major problems with the conduct of the studies or with collection and documentation of the experimental data. No discrepancies were found that influenced the final interpretation of the results of these studies.

Under the conditions of these 2-year gavage studies, there was *clear evidence of carcinogenic activity*^{*} of glycidol for male F344/N rats, based on increased incidences of mesotheliomas of the tunica vaginalis; fibroadenomas of the mammary gland; gliomas of the brain; and neoplasms

of the forestomach, intestine, skin, Zymbal gland, and thyroid gland. There was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity for female F344/N rats, based on increased incidences of fibroadenomas and adenocarcinomas of the mammary gland; gliomas of the brain; neoplasms of the oral mucosa, forestomach, clitoral gland, and thyroid gland; and leukemia. There was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity for male $B6C3F_1$ mice, based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the harderian gland, forestomach, skin, liver, and lung. There was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity for female B6C3F₁ mice, based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the harderian gland, mammary gland, uterus, subcutaneous tissue, and skin. Other neoplasms that may have been related to the administration of glycidol were fibrosarcomas of the glandular stomach in female rats and carcinomas of the urinary bladder and sarcomas of the epididymis in male mice.

^{*}Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 6.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on pages 9-10.

V. REFERENCES

V. REFERENCES

1. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) (1988) Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices for 1988-1989. Cincinnati, OH: ACGIH.

2. Armitage, P. (1971) Statistical Methods in Medical Research. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 362-365.

3. Boorman, G.A.; Montgomery, C.A., Jr.; Eustis, S.L.; Wolfe, M.J.; McConnell, E.E.; Hardisty, J.F. (1985) Quality assurance in pathology for rodent carcinogenicity studies. Milman, H.; Weisburger, E., Eds.: Handbook of Carcinogen Testing. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Publications, pp. 345-357.

4. Canter, D.A.; Zeiger, E.; Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Mortelmans, K.; Speck, W. (1986) Comparative mutagenicity of aliphatic epoxides in Salmonella. Mutat. Res. 172:105-138.

5. Chulavatnatol, M.; Hasibaun, I.; Yindepit, S.; Erksittikul, T. (1977) J. Reprod. Fertil. 50:137.

6. Clive, D.; Johnson, K.O.; Spector, J.F.S.; Batson, A.G.; Brown, M.M.M. (1979) Validation and characterization of the L5178Y/TK $^{+/-}$ mouse lymphoma mutagen assay system. Mutat. Res. 59:61-108.

7. Cox, D.R. (1972) Regression models and life tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. B34:187-220.

8. Djuric, Z.; Sinsheimer, J.E. (1984) Characterization and quantitation of 3-alkylthymidines from reactions of mutagenic propylene oxides with thymidine. Chem. Biol. Interact. 52:243-253.

9. Djuric, Z.; Hooberman, B.H.; Rosman, L.; Sinsheimer, J.E. (1986) Reactivity of mutagenic propylene oxides with deoxynucleosides and DNA. Environ. Mutagen. 8:369-383.

10. Dunnett, C.W. (1955) A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 50:1096-1122.

11. Federal Register (Fed. Regist.) (1983) 40 CFR part 799. Fed. Regist. 48:57562. 12. Galloway, S.M.; Bloom, A.D.; Resnick, M.; Margolin, B.H.; Nakamura, F.; Archer, P.; Zeiger, E. (1985) Development of a standard protocol for in vitro cytogenetic testing with Chinese hamster ovary cells: Comparison of results for 22 compounds in two laboratories. Environ. Mutagen. 7:1-51.

13. Garman, R.H.; Snellings, W.M.; Maronpot, R.R. (1985) Brain tumors in F344 rats associated with chronic inhalation exposure to ethylene oxide. Neurotoxicology 6:117-138.

14. Gart, J.J.; Chu, K.C.; Tarone, R.E. (1979) Statistical issues in interpretation of chronic bioassay tests for carcinogenicity. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 62:957-974.

15. Haseman, J.K. (1984) Statistical issues in the design, analysis and interpretation of animal carcinogenicity studies. Environ. Health Perspect. 58:385-392.

16. Haseman, J.K.; Huff, J.; Boorman, G.A. (1984) Use of historical control data in carcinogenicity studies in rodents. Toxicol. Pathol. 12:126-135.

17. Haseman, J.K.; Huff, J.; Rao, G.N.; Arnold, J.; Boorman, G.A.; McConnell, E.E. (1985) Neoplasms observed in untreated and corn oil gavage control groups of F344/N rats and (C57BL/6N \times C3H/HeN)F₁ (B6C3F₁) mice. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 75:975-984.

18. Hemminki, K.; Lax, M. (1986) Stability of 7alkyldeoxyguanosines of trichloropropylene oxide, epichlorohydrin, and glycidol. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 59:80-85.

19. Hemminki, K.; Paasivirta, J.; Kurkirinne, T.; Virkki, L. (1980) Alkylation products of DNA bases by simple epoxides. Chem.-Biol. Interact. 30:259-270.

20. Heslot, H. (1962) A quantitative study of biochemical reversions induced in the yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe by radiations and radiomimetic substances. Abh. Dtsch. Akad. Wiss. Berlin Kl. Med. 1:193-228. 21. Izard, G. (1973) Mutagenic effects of acrolein and its two epoxides, glycidol and glycidal in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. C.R. Acad. Sci. D276:3037-3040.

22. Jackson, H.; Campbell, I.S.C.; Jones, A.R. (1970) Is glycidol an active intermediate in the antifertility action of alpha-chlorhydrin in male rats? Nature 226:86-87.

23. Jones, A.R. (1975) The metabolism of 3chloro-, 3-bromo- and 3-iodopropan-1,2-diol in rats and mice. Xenobiotica 5:155-165.

24. Jones, A.R. (1978) The anti-fertility actions of a a-chlorohydrin in the male. Life Sci. 23:1625.

25. Jones, A.R.; O'Brien, R.W. (1980) Metabolism of three active analogues of the male antifertility agent α -chlorohydrin in the rat. Xenobiotica 10:365-370.

26. Kaplan, E.L.; Meier, P. (1958) Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 53:457-481.

27. Kaplan, D.L.; Cornell, J.H.; Kaplan, A.M. (1982) Biodegradation of glycidol and glycidyl nitrate. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 43:144-150.

28. Kastenbaum, M.A.; Bowman, K.O. (1970) Tables for determining the statistical significance of mutation frequencies. Mutat. Res. 9:527-549.

29. Kolmark, G.; Giles, N.H. (1955) Comparative studies of monoepoxides as inducers of reverse mutations in Neurospora. Genetics 40:890-902.

30. Lijinsky, W.; Singer, G.M.; Kovatch, R.M. (1985) Similar carcinogenic effects in rats of 1ethyl-1-nitroso-3-hydroxyethylurea and 1hydroxyethyl-1-nitroso-3-ethylurea. Carcinogenesis 6:641-643.

31. Lynch, D.W.; Lewis, T.R.; Moorman, W.J.; Burg, J.R.; Groth, D.H.; Khan, A.; Ackerman, L.J.; Cockrell, B.Y. (1984) Carcinogenic and toxicologic effects of inhaled ethylene oxide and propylene oxide in F344 rats. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 76:69-84. 32. Mamber, S.W.; Bryson, V.; Katz, S.E. (1984) Evaluation of the *Escherichia coli* K12 inductest for detection of potential chemical carcinogens. Mutat. Res. 130:141-151.

33. March, G. (1978) Advanced Organic Chemistry. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

34. Margolin, B.H.; Collings, B.J.; Mason, J.M. (1983) Statistical analysis and sample-size determinations for mutagenicity experiments with binomial responses. Environ. Mutagen. 5:705-716.

35. Marks, T.A.; Gerling, F.S.; Staples, R.E. (1982) Teratogenic evaluation of epichlorohydrin in the mouse and rat and glycidol in the mouse. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 9:87-96.

36. Maronpot, R.R.; Boorman, G.A. (1982) Interpretation of rodent hepatocellular proliferative alterations and hepatocellular tumors in chemical safety assessment. Toxicol. Pathol. 10:71-80.

37. McCann, J.; Choi, E.; Yamasaki, E.; Ames, B.N. (1975) Detection of carcinogens as mutagens in the *Salmonella*/microsome test: Assay of 300 chemicals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 72:5135-5139.

38. McConnell, E.E.; Solleveld, H.A.; Swenberg, J.A.; Boorman, G.A. (1986) Guidelines for combining neoplasms for evaluation of rodent carcinogenesis studies. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 76:283-289.

39. Migliore, L.; Rossi, A.M.; Loprieno, N. (1982) Mutagenic action of structurally related alkene oxides on *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*: The influence, 'in vitro', of mouse-liver metabolizing system. Mutat. Res. 102:425-437.

40. Mohri, H.; Suter, D.A.I.; Brown-Woodman, P.D.C.; White, I.G.; Ridley, D.D. (1975) Identification of the biochemical lesion produced by a-chlorohydrin in spermatozoa. Nature 255:75-77.

41. Myhr, B.; Bowers, L.; Caspary, W.J. (1985) Assays for the induction of gene mutations at the thymidine kinase locus in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells in culture. Prog. Mutat. Res. 5:555-568. 42 National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1976) Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small Rodents NCI Technical Report No 1 U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 65 p

43 National Institutes of Health (NIH) (1978) Open Formula Rat and Mouse Ration (NIH-07) Specification NIH-11-1335 US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

44 National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1986) Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Diglycidyl Resorcinol Ether (Technical Grade) in F344/N Rats and $B6C3F_1$ Mice NTP Technical Report No 257 US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 222 p

45 National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1987) Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Ethylene Oxide in $B6C3F_1$ Mice NTP Technical Report No 326 US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC 114 p

46 National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1988) Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of 1,2-Epoxybutane in F344/N Rats and B6C3F₁ Mice NTP Technical Report No 329 US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC 176 p

47 Norppa, H, Hemminkı, K, Sorsa, M, Vaino, H (1981) Effect of monosubstituted epoxides on chromosome aberrations and SCE in cultured human lymphocytes Mutat Res 91 243-250

48 Patel, J M, Wood, J C, Leibman, K C (1980) The biotransformation of allyl alcohol and acrolein in rat liver and lung preparations Drug Metab Dispos 8 305-308 49 Sadtler Standard Spectra IR No 15764, NMR No 18790M Philadelphia: Sadtler Research Laboratories

50 Simmon, VF, Rosenkranz, HS., Zeiger, E, Poirier, LA (1979) Mutagenic activity of chemical carcinogens and related compounds in the intraperitoneal host-mediated assay J Natl Cancer Inst 62 911-918

51 Slott, V L , Hales, B F (1985) Teratogenicity and embryolethality of acrolein and structurally related compounds in rats Teratology 32 65-72

52 Tarone, R E (1975) Tests for trend in life table analysis Biometrika 62 679-682

53 Thompson, E D, Gibson, D P (1984) A method for determining the maximum tolerated dose for acute *in vivo* cytogenetic studies Food Chem Toxicol. 22 665-676

54 Thompson, E D, Coppinger, W J, Piper, C E, McCarroll, N, Oberly, T J, Robinson, D (1981) Mutagenicity of alkyl glycidyl ethers in three short-term assays Mutat Res 90 213-231

55 Van Duuren, B L, Langseth, L, Goldschmidt, B M, Orris, L (1967) Carcinogenicity of epoxides, lactones, and peroxy compounds VI Structure and carcinogenic activity J Natl Cancer Inst 39 1217-1228

56 Wade, M J, Moyer, J W, Hine, C H (1979) Mutagenic action of a series of epoxides Mutat Res 66 367-371

57 Wyrobek, A J, Bruce, W R (1975) Chemical induction of sperm abnormalities in mice Proc Natl Acad Sci. USA 72 4425-4429

58 Zimmering, S, Mason, JM, Valencia, R, Woodruff, RC (1985) Chemical mutagenesis testing in *Drosophila* II Results of 20 coded compounds tested for the National Toxicology Program Environ Mutagen 7 87-100

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

		PAGE
TABLE A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	67
TABLE A2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	70
TABLE A3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	82
TABLE A4a	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MESOTHELIAL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	89
TABLE A4b	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	90
TABLE A4c	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF BRAIN GLIAL CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	91
TABLE A4d	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	92
TABLE A4e	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ZYMBAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	93
TABLE A4f	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TUMORS OF THE SMALL INTESTINE IN MALE F344/N RATS	94
TABLE A4g	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TUMORS OF THE LARGE INTESTINE IN MALE F344/N RATS	95
TABLE A4h	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	96
TABLE A4i	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	97
TABLE A4j	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM BASAL CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	98
TABLE A4k	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF NASAL CAVITY TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	98
TABLE A5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	99

	Vehicle (Control	37.5 1	ng/kg	75 mg/kg					
Animals initially in study	50		50		50					
Animals necropsied	50		50		50					
Animals examined histopathologically	50		50		50					
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM										
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)					
Squamous cell papilloma			3	(6%)	3	(6%)				
Basal cell tumor			4	(8%)	2	(4%)				
Sebaceous adenoma			1	(2%)	1	(2%)				
Koratogoanthoma	1	(90)	4	(90)	1	(2%)				
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(2%)	(50)	(8%)	(50)	(2%)				
Fibroma	2	(4%)	307	(1%)	(00)	(8%)				
Fibrosarcoma	3	(4%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)				
Lipoma	1	(2%)	-		-	(= / . /				
Neurofibroma			1	(2%)						
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				·····						
#Nasal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)					
Squamous cell carcinoma	(007		1	(2%)	1	(2%)				
Adenocarcinoma, NOS			1	(2.0)	1	(2%)				
#Lung/bronchiole	(50)		(50)		(50)					
Papilloma, NOS					1	(2%)				
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)					
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic			1	(2%)						
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic			1	(2%)						
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)				
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)				
Nourilomoma, malignant			1	(2%)						
Neurnemoma, mangnant			1	(2%)						
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM										
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)					
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type		(50%)		(000)	1	(2%)				
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	25	(50%)	33	(66%)	21	(42%)				
Sarcoma NOS	(00)	(401)	(50)		(50)					
Fibroma	2	(4%)			1	(20c)				
Fibrosarcoma					1	(2%)				
#Lymph node	(50)		(49)		(50)					
Mesothelioma, metastatic			1	(2%)						
Osteosarcoma, metastatic			1	(2%)						
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(50)		(50)					
Mast cell sarcoma			1	(2%)						
#Thymus	(46)		(47)		(43)					
Mesothelioma, metastatic	1	(2%)								
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM										
#Spleen	(50)		(50)		(50)					
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)						
*Skeletal muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)					
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)								
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM										
*Mouth	(50)		(50)		(50)					
Squamous cell papilloma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)				
Squamous cell carcinoma	1	(2%)								

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle Contr	ol 37.5 r	ng/kg	75 mg/kg					
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)		<u></u>			<u></u>				
*Tongue	(50)	(50)		(50)					
Squamous cell papilloma	1 (2%)		2	(4%)				
Squamous cell carcinoma		, 1	(2%)	$\overline{2}$	(4%)				
#Liver	(50)	(50)	(= 10)	(50)					
Neoplastic nodule	1 (2%))		1	(2%)				
Hepatocellular carcinoma		, 1	(2%)	-	(1)				
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic		-		1	(2%)				
#Pancreas	(50)	(48)		(50)					
Fibrosarcoma		1	(2.%)	(00)					
#Forestomach	(50)	(50)	(2,0)	(50)					
Squamous cell nanilloma	(00)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)				
Squamous cell carcinoma	1 19%) 1	(2%)	2	(10)				
#Small intestine	(50)	, (50)	(270)	(50)	(-1/07				
Mucinous adenocarcinoma	(50)	1	(20/-)	1007	(19-)				
Machious adenocarcinoma Mesothelioma, invasive		I	(270)	2	(97)				
#Joinnum	(50)	(50)		(50)	(270)				
Mucinous adenocarcinoma	1007	(30)		(00)	(9%)				
#Colon	(50)	(50)		(50)	(4/01				
Adapacarcinoma NOS	(50)	(30)		(00)	(90)				
Adenomatous polyn NOS				1	(270)				
#Cocum	(50)	(50)		(50)	(270)				
Sarcoma NOS	(30)	(00)	(90-)	(50)					
		1	(2%)						
URINARY SYSTEM									
#Kidney	(50)	(50)		(50)					
Tubular cell adenoma		1	(2%)	2	(4%)				
Sarcoma, NOS	1 (2%)							
#Urinary bladder	(50)	(50)		(49)					
Transitional cell papilloma		1	(2%)						
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM									
#Anterior pituitary	(50)	(50)		(50)					
Carcinoma NOS	(88)	1	(2%)	(00)					
Adenoma NOS	8 (16)	T な) 7	(1.4%)	9	(1%)				
#Adronal contox	(50)	(50)	(1470)	(50)	4707				
# Adrema NOS	(30)	(00)		(50)					
#Adrenal madulla	(50)) (EQ)		(50)					
# Adrenai meduna	(50)	(00)	(901)	(50)	(90)				
Pheochromocytoma Dhaaala amaart	13 (26	<i>(0</i>) 4	(8%)	1	(2%)				
#Thuroid	(2%	1 (EO)	(2%)	1501					
Follioulan coll a demonstra	(00)	(00)	(10-)	(00)	(101)				
Follicular cell adenoma	1 (00)) Z	(4%)	2	(4%) (100')				
Fonicular cell carcinoma	1 (2%	$\frac{1}{2}$	(41%) (60()	5	(10%)				
	2 (4%	, 3	(10)%)	3	(0%)				
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM									
*Mammary gland	(50)	(50)		(50)					
Fibroadenoma	3 (6%) 8	(16%)	7	(14%)				
*Preputial gland	(50)	(50)		(50)					
Adenoma, NOS	5 (10)	%) 7	(14%)	1	(2%)				
Adenocarcinoma NOS	5 (10	76)		4	(8%)				
#Testis	(50)	(50)		(50)	.0.01				
Interstitial cell tumor	46 (92)	%) 50	(100%)	49	(98%)				
NERVOUS SUCTEM					- <u>.</u>				
NERVOUS SYSTEM									
#Brain/meninges	(50)	(50)		(50)					
Granular cell tumor, NOS	2 (4%)		1	(2%)				

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
NERVOUS SYSTEM (Continued) #Brain Glioma, NOS	(50)	(50) 5 (10%)	(50) 6 (12%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Ear Fibrosarcoma *Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	(50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%)	(50) (50) 3 (6%)	(50) (50) 6 (12%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM *Bone Osteosarcoma *Skeletal muscle Neurilemoma, invasive	(50) (50)	(50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%)	(50) (50)
BODY CAVITIES *Peritoneal mesothelium Mesothelioma, metastatic *Pleura Mesothelioma, metastatic *Pericardium Mesothelioma, metastatic *Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant	(50) 2 (4%) (50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%) (50) 3 (6%)	(50) 19 (38%) (50) (50) (50) 10 (20%) 24 (48%)	$(50) \\ 28 (56\%) \\ (50) \\ (50) \\ (50) \\ 8 (16\%) \\ 31 (62\%) \\ (50$
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Adipose tissue Sarcoma, NOS	1		,,,,,,
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY Animals initially in study Natural death Moribund sacrifice Terminal sacrifice Dosing accident	50 1 33 16	50 5 45	50 3 46 1
TUMOR SUMMARY Total animals with primary tumors** Total primary tumors Total animals with benign tumors Total animals with malignant tumors Total animals with malignant tumors Total animals with secondary tumors## Total secondary tumors Total animals with tumors uncertain benign or malignant Total uncertain tumors	$ \begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 136 \\ 46 \\ 85 \\ 36 \\ 48 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ \end{array} $	$50 \\ 197 \\ 50 \\ 103 \\ 48 \\ 84 \\ 23 \\ 25 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 $	49 190 49 91 48 89 28 30 10 10

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

TABLE A2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDY OF GLYCIDOL: VEHICLE CONTROL

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 6	0 1 5	0 2 7	0 2 3	${0 \\ 3 \\ 2}$	0 0 8	0 4 1	0 4 8	0 0 2	0 0 1	0 0 5	0 3 7	0 2 6	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$	0 1 6	0 4 6	0 3 3	0 3 5	0 1 1	0 3 9	0 4 5	0 0 4	0 4 0	0 1 7	0 1 9
WEEKS ON STUDY	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\\ 0\end{array}$	0 5 3	0 5 6	0 6 3	0 7 1	0 7 4	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fiorosarcoma Lipoma	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ + X X	+ +	++	+ +
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar (arcinoma Trai hea Nasal cavity	+ + +	+++++	++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	++++++	++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+	+ + +	† + •	+ + + +	+ + + +
HEMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Soleen Sarcoma NOS Lymph nodes Thymus Mesothelioma, metastatic	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++-	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+++++	++++++	+++++	+ + + + +	+++++++	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + X	+ + + +	+++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell rarcinoma Salivary gland Liver Neopiastic nodule Bile duct Pancreas Esophagiis Stomach Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine Large intestine	N ++ ++ ++ ++	Z ++ ++++ ++	N X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ ++++++	N X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	×+++++ ×	N ++ +++ ++	X ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++ ++	X ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++	Z ++ +++ ++	N ++ +++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ +++ ++	N ++ +++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ ×+ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Z ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Sarcoma, NOS Urnary bladder	++	+++	+++	++	+ +	+++	+++	+	+ +	+++	+	++	++	+++	+	+	+ +	+ +	++	+	+	+	+ +	+	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenai Adenoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid Foli-rular cell carcinoma C cell adenoma Parathyroid	++++	++++++	+++++	+ + +	++++++	++++++	+++++	+ + +	+ X + + X +	++++++	+++++++	+ + +	++++++	++++++	+ X + +	+ + X + X +	+ + + +	+ + X +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X +	+ * X +	+ + X +	++++	+ × X + +

Tissue examined microscopically Required tissue not examined microscopically Tumor incidence Necropy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination Animal missexed + - X N S

No tissue information submitted C Necropsy, no histology due to orotocol A Autolysis M Animal missing B No necropsy performed
2 2 2 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 5 8 1 4 6 8 2 4 9
1 1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
- + + + + + + + + + + 50
N N N N N N N N 2 - + + + + + + 49 - + + + + + + 49 - + + + + + + 50
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c} + + + + + + + + + + + + + \\ + + + + + $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
N 1201

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

* Animals necropsied

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 6	0 1 5	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{array} $	0 2 3	0 3 2	0 0 8	0 4 1	0 4 8	0 0 2	0 0 1	0 0 5	0 3 7	0 2 6	0 2 1	0 1 6	0 4 6	0 3 3	0 3 5	0 1 1	0 3 9	0 4 5	0 0 4	0 4 0	0 1 7	0 1 9
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 2 0	0 5 3	0 5 6	0 6 3	0 7 1	0 7 4	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 4
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Testis Interstitual cell tumor Prostate Preputal/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+ + N	N + N	+ + + N	+ + * N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X N	N + X + N X	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N X	+ + X + N X	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ X + X + X N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N X	+ + X + N	+ + X + N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Granular cell tumor, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	, x	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Ear Fibrosarcoma Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	+ N X	N N	N N	N N	N N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Hemangiosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Mesothelioma, metastatic Pericardium Mesothelioma, metastatic Peritoneum Mesothelioma, metastatic Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, malignant	N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N	N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N X + X	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N X + X	N N N +	N N N +
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear celi Adipose tusue Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 5 0	0 3 0	0 4 3	0 0 3	0 2 9	0 0 7	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 4 7	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\\ 2\end{array}$	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 8	0 2 0	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 8	0 3 1	0 3 4	0 3 6	0 3 8	0 4 2	0 4 4	0 4 9	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 1	$1 \\ 0 \\ 2$	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+ + X + N	+ + X + N X	+ + X + N X	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ X + X + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N X	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N X	+ + X + N	+ X + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N X	+ + X + N	+ + X N	+ + X + N X	*50 3 50 46 50 *50 5 5 5						
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Granular cell tumor, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Ear Fibrosarcoma Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N N	N N	N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	+ X N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	*50 1 *50 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Hemangiosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Mesothelioma, metastatic Pericardium Mesothelioma, metastatic Peritoneum Mesothelioma, metastatic Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, malignant	N N N +	N N N +	N X N X N X N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N +	N N N	*50 1 *50 1 *50 2 *50 3
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell Adipose tissue Sarroma, NOS	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X X	N	N	N X	N	N X	*50 25 1

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

* Animals necropsied

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF GLYCIDOL: 37.5 mg/kg

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 4	0 2 9	0 1 5	0 0 1	0 2 5	0 0 5	0 4 3	0 0 7	0 2 6	0 3 7	0 3 3	0 3 5	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 4 4	0 2 0	0 4 8	0 3 2	0 0 6	0 2 4	0 0 9	0 4 6	0 1 8	0 0 8	0 4 9
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 5	0 6 5	0 6 9	0 6 9	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 2	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Basal cell tumor Sebaceous adenoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma Neurofibroma	+	+	+	N N	+	+	+	+	N N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+ X +	+	+	+ + X	+ X +	+	+	+++
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Naurolamoma majungant	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nasal cavity Squamous cell carcinoma	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangrosarcoma Lymph nodes Mesothelioma, metastatic Osteosarcoma, metastatic	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+++	+ + +	+++++	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + + X	++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	++++++	+++++	+ + +
Thymus CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	+	-	+	+			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma	N	N	N	 N	N		N	N N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Sahvary gland Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma	++	+ +	++	+	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Bile duct Pancreas Fibrosarcoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	++	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	++++	+	+	++++	+	+++	+	+++	+	+++	+	++	+	+
Esopinagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Mast cell sarcoma	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+
Small intestine Mucinous adenocarcinoma Large intestine Sarcoma, NOS	+	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+	+ X +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +						
URINARY SYSTEM Kıdney Tubular cell adenoma Urınary bladder Transitional cell papilloma	+++	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	++

.

TABLE A2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL	L TUMOR PATHOLOGY	OF MALE	RATS: 37.5 mg/kg
		(Continue	d)	

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 5 0	0 3 6	0 4 2	0 0 3	0 4 5	0 2 8	0 0 2	0 3 0	0 3 9	0 4 1	0 1 1	0 1 9	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 3 8	0 1 6	0 4 0	0 0 4	0 3 4	0 2 3	0 1 7	0 3 1	0 4 7	0 1 0	0 2 7	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 7	0 9 8	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Basai cell tumor Sebaceous adenoma	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	* x	+	* X	*50 3 4 1
Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma Neurofibroma	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	x + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	4 *50 2 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	+	+	÷	x x	+ X	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+ X	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	50 1 1 3 2 1
Neurilemoma, malignant Trachea Nasal cavity Squamous cell carcinoma	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	А + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 50 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangrosarcoma Lymph nodes Mesothelioma, metastatic Osteosarcoma, metastatic Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	++++++	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + + X +	+++++++	++++++	+++++++	++++++	+ + X +	++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+ + + +	+++++	++++++	+ + + +	50 50 1 49 1 1 47
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Saluvary gland Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	л + +	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ + X	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 50
Bile duct Pancreas Fibrosarcoma Esophagus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	++++	++++++	++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + X +	++++++	50 48 1 50
Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Mast cell scarcema	+	+ X	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1
Small intestine Mucinous adenocarcinoma Large intestine Sarcoma, NOS	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	50 1 50 1
URINARY SYSTEM Kıdney Tubular cell adenoma Urınary biadder Transıtıonai cell papılloma	+++	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	50 1 50 1

* Animals necropsied

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 37.5 mg/kg
(Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 4	0 2 9	0 1 5	0 0 1	0 2 5	0 0 5	0 4 3	0 0 7	0 2 6	0 3 7	0 3 3	0 3 5	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} $	0 2 2	0 4 4	0 2 0	0 4 8	0 3 2	0 0 6	0 2 4	0 0 9	0 4 6	0 1 8	0 0 8	0 4 9
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 5	0 6 5	0 6 9	0 6 9	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 2	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 4
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Ptuutary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+ Xs	+	+	+
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+
Tayroid Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	++	++	++	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	+	++	+	+	+	++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland _Fibroadenoma	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	N	+	+	+	+
Testis Interstitual cell tumor Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS	X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	± X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N X	+ X + N X
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Glioma, NOS	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbai gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Muscle Neurilemoma, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Pertoneum Mesothelioma, metastatic Tunica vaginalis Mesotheloma NOS	N X +	N + x	N +	N + X	N X +	N X +	N +	N + X	N X +	N +	N X +	N +	N + X	N +	N +	N +	N X +	N +	N X +	N +	N +	N X +	N X +	N +	N X +
Mesothelioma, malgnant	x				x	x			X		x	X	А		X	X	x		x			x	X		X
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 5 0	0 3 6	0 4 2	0 0 3	0 4 5	0 2 8	0 0 2	0 3 0	0 3 9	0 4 1	0 1 1	0 1 9	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 3 8	0 1 6	0 4 0	0 0 4	0 3 4	0 2 3	0 1 7	0 3 1	0 4 7	0 1 0	0 2 7	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 7	0 9 8	TISSUES TUMORS
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+ X	* X	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+ x	+ X	+	50 1 7
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	50 4 1
Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma C cell adenoma	+	x	x	+	+	+ X	+	x X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x	+	+ X	+	2 2 3
Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	48
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Testis	N +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	* X +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	+ X +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	++	* X +	+ +	*50 8 50
Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Preputal/chtoral gland Adenoma, NOS	X + N	X + N	X + N	¥ + N	X + N	X + N X	X + N	X + N	X + N	X + N	X + N	X + N X	X + N X	X + N	X + N	X + N	X + N	X + N	X + N X	X + N	x + N	X + N	X + N	X + N X	X + N	50 50 *50 7
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Glioma, NOS	+	* X	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	50 5
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Muscle Neurilemoma, invasive	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N X	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Mesothelioma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	*50
Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant	+ X	+	*	+ X	+	* X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	* X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	* x	+ X	+	* X	* X	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	*50 10 24
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	*50 33

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 37.5 mg/kg (Continued)

* Animals necropsied

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF GLYCIDOL: 75 mg/kg

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 5	0 1 3	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 3 9	0 2 9	0 1 8	0 4 5	0 1 5	0 1 2	0 3 0	0 2 6	0 3 3	0 2 0	0 5 0	0 0 1	0 3 6	0 3 5	0 0 4	0 4 6	0 1 6	0 4 0	0 4 7	0 0 3	0 1 0
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 4	0 4 4	0 4 7	0 4 9	0 5 0	0 5 2	0 5 6	0 5 7	0 5 8	0 6 0	0 6 0	0 6 0	0 6 0	0 6 1	0 6 2	0 6 2	0 6 3	0 6 3	0 6 4	0 6 4	0 6 5	0 6 5	0 6 6	0 6 6	0 6 8
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Basal cell tumor Sebaceous adenoma Sebaceous adenoma Sebaceous adenoma Karatacarathoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Papilloma, NOS Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Trachea Nasal cavity Squamous cell carcinoma Adenocarcinoma, NOS	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +						
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Fibroma	 + +	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	 +
Lymph nodes Thymus	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ -	+ -	+ +	++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X
Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	++	+	++	+ + X
Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + ¥	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +						
Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine Mucinous adenocarcinoma Mesotheloma invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	@ x	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	÷	* x
Large intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adenomatous polyp, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Urnary bladder	++++	+	+	+	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+	++	+	+ +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	×	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma Folicular cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* * +	+	+	+	r	+	+	+	+
C cell adenoma Parathyroid	+		+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

@ Multiple occurrence of morphology

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 8	0 3 8	0 3 4	0 4 2	0 0 7	0 0 2	0 0 8	0 4 1	0 4 3	0 2 3	0 4 9	0 1 1	0 3 1	0 0 6	0 3 7	0 2 7	0 3 2	0 4 8	0 4 4	0 2 5	0 2 1	0 0 9	0 2 2	0 1 4	0 2 4	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 9	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Basal cell tumor Sebaceous adenoma Sebaceous adenocarcinoma	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	* X	+	*50 3 2 1 1
Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	* X	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	X +	+	+	* X	+	1 *50 4 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Papilloma, NOS Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 2
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity Squamous cell rarcinoma Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	$2 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 1 \\ 1$
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	+ + X	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	49 50 1 1
Lymph nodes Thymus	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 43
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ł	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodula	X + +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	2 50 50
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous ceil papilloma	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + X	+ + + +	+ + + + + X	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + X	X + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + X	1 50 50 50 50 50 50
Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine Mucinous adenocarcinoma Mesothelioma, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Х +	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\50\\2\\1\end{bmatrix}$
Large intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adenomatous polyp, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Unnary bladder	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ X +	+	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	+ +	50 2 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	++	++	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+ +	+	++	+	+	++	++	50 1 50
Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma C cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	X +	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x +	x +	+	X X X +	-	X +	x +	2 5 3 47

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 75 mg/kg (Continued)

* Animals necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 5	0 1 3	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 3 9	0 2 9	0 1 8	0 4 5	0 1 5	0 1 2	0 3 0	0 2 6	0 3 3	0 2 0	0 5 0	0 0 1	0 3 6	0 3 5	0 0 4	0 4 6	0 1 6	0 4 0	0 4 7	0 0 3	0 1 0
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 4	0 4 4	0 4 7	0 4 9	0 5 0	0 5 2	0 5 6	0 5 7	0 5 8	0 6 0	0 6 0	0 6 0	0 6 0	0 6 1	0 6 2	0 6 2	0 6 3	0 6 3	0 6 4	0 6 4	0 6 5	0 6 5	0 6 6	0 6 6	0 6 8
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Testis Interstital cell tumor Prostate Preputal/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	N + N	+ + X+ N	+ + X+ N	+ + X + N	N + X N X	N + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X+ N	+ + X+ N	+ + X + N	+ + X+ N	+ + X N X	+ + X + N	+ + X+ N	+ + X + N	+ + X+ N	+ + X + N	+ + X+ N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X+ N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Granular cell tumor, NOS Glioma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Careinoma, NOS	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Pertoneum Mesothelioma, metastatic Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant	N +	N +	N +	N X + X	N +	N X + X	N + X	N + X	N X + X	N X + X	N + X	N X + X	N X + X	N + X	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	N + X	N +	N X + X	N + X	N X + X	N +
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 75 mg/kg(Continued)

TABLE A2.	INDIVIDUAL AN	NIMAL TU	MOR PAT	THOLOGY	OF	MALE	RATS:	75 m	ıg/kg
				(Continued	l)				

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 8	0 3 8	0 3 4	0 4 2	0 0 7	0 0 2	0 0 8	0 4 1	0 4 3	0 2 3	0 4 9	0 1 1	0 3 1	0 0 6	0 3 7	0 2 7	0 3 2	0 4 8	0 4 4	0 2 5	0 2 1	0 0 9	0 2 2	0 1 4	0 2 4	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 9	TISSUES
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fybroadenoma Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X N	+ + + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ X + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ X + X + N X	+ + X + N	+ X + X + N	+ X + X + N X	+ X + X + N	+ * * N	+ + X + N	+ x + x + N	+ + X + N	+ x + x + x + N x	*50 7 50 49 50 *50 1 4
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brann Granular cell tumor, NOS Ghoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+ X	* x	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	50 1 6
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 6
BODY CAVITIES Pertoneum Mesothelioma, metastatic Tunca vagnalis Mesothelioma, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant	N + X	N + X	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	N + X	N X + X	N + X	N +	N + X	N +	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	N +	N +	N X + X	N +	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	N X + X	*50 28 *50 8 31
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Leukemia, mononuclear ceil	N	N X X	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X	-N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	*50 1 21

* Animals necropsied

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma			<u></u>
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/46(0%)	3/48 (6%)	3/26(12%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	0,20(0,0)	86	68
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P = 0.004
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.012	P = 0.080	P = 0.168
Cochran Armitage Trand Test (d)	P=0.026	1 -0.000	1 = 0.105
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.020	P=0.129	P = 0.044
Skin: Basal Cell Tumor			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50(4%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/45(0%)	$\frac{4}{41}(10\%)$	2/18(11%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	0,10(0,0)	88	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P-0.003	P = 0.042
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.157	P = 0.179	P = 0.742
Cochran Armitago Trand Tost (d)	P = 0.107	r = 0.172	1 = 0.142
Fisher Exact Test (d)	F = 0.040	P = 0.048	P = 0.078
Skin: Sebaceous Gland Adenoma or Bas	al Cell Tumor		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	3/50 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/45 (0%)	5/41 (12%)	3/18 (17%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16 (0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		88	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P = 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.032	P = 0.116	P = 0.168
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.011	P-0.022	P-0.021
Fisher Exact Test (u)		F = 0.022	F = 0.021
Skin: Sebaceous Gland Adenoma, Basal	Cell Tumor, or Sebaceous	Gland Adenocarc	inoma
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/45 (0%)	5/41(12%)	4/18 (22%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		88	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.023	P = 0.116	P = 0.153
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.003		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.022	P = 0.005
Skin: Keratoacanthoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50(2%)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/44 (2%)	$\frac{4}{33}(12\%)$	1/9(11%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	99	76	77
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.003	P = 0.007	P = 0.146
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.493	P = 0.267	P = 0.896
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.110	1 -0.201	1 0.000
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.110	P = 0.103	P = 0.313
Subautonoous Tissues Fibrance			
Our aneous rissue: ribroma	0/50/100	9/50/12	150,000
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)
Effective Rates (b)	2/46 (4%)	2/42 (5%)	4/19 (21%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16 (0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	83	85	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.334	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.136	P = 0.673 N	P = 0.251
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.040		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.657	P = 0.055

Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Neurofib Overall Rates (a) Effective Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	roma 2/50 (4%) 2/46 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	
Overall Rates (a) Effective Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	2/50 (4%) 2/46 (4%)	3/50(6%)	
Effective Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	2/46 (4%)		4/50 (8%)
Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation		3/42(7(%))	4/19 (21%)
Week of First Observation	0/16 (0%)	0/0	0/0
	83	85	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.131	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.115	P = 0.609	P = 0.251
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.456	P = 0.055
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)
Effective Rates (b)	3/46 (7%)	2/46 (4%)	1/20 (5%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/16(6%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	91	82	70
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.089	P = 0.286	P = 0.334
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.638	P = 0.673 N	P = 0.819N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.476N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.41011	P = 0.500 N	P = 0.648 N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosar	coma		
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)	5/50 (10%)
Effective Rates (b)	4/46 (9%)	4/46 (9%)	5/20 (25%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/16 (6%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	83	82	70
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.115	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.153	P = 0.641 N	P = 0.305
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.078		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.643	P = 0.087
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Neurofibro	ma, or Fibrosarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	5/50 (10%)	5/50 (10%)
Effective Rates (b)	4/46 (9%)	5/46 (11%)	5/20 (25%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/16(6%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	83	82	70
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.045	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.130	P = 0.581	P = 0.305
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.072		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.012	P = 0.500	P = 0.087
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/46 (2%)	3/48 (6%)	2/26 (8%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16 (0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	103	74	68
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.003	P = 0.012	P = 0.094
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.263	P = 0.157	P = 0.742
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.203		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.200	P = 0.325	P = 0.294
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or C	arcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50(8%)
Effective Rates (h)	2/46 (4%)	5/48 (10%)	4/26 (15%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/16 (6%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	103	74	68
Life Table Tests (d)		P<0.001	P = 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.001	P = 0.074	P = 0.542
Cochran Armitage Trand Test (d)	P = 0.099	1 - 0.074	1 - 0.040
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.002	P = 0.935	P-0 190

Hematopoistic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia 21/50 (69%) 23/50 (69%) 21/50 (42%) Effective Rates (b) 25/50 (50%) 33/50 (66%) 21/44 (45%) 21/44 (45%) Iterminal Rates (c) 716 (44%) 05 56 56 Water Table 72 (64) P=0.011 95 56 56 Incidental Tamor Test (d) P=0.333 P=0.164 P=0.114 Cohran Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.334N P=0.116 P=0.417N Torque: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma 0/50 (2%) 1/50 (2%) 4/50 (8%) Overall Rates (a) 1/46 (2%) 1/48 (2%) 4/48 (2%) 4/28 (15%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 102 91 68 1/6 (16%) 0/0 0/0 Incidental Tumor Test (d) P=0.026 P=0.742N P=0.054 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Oreal Cavity (Mouth or Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma 0/76 (0%) 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 <		Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Overall Rates}(a) & 2250 (69\%) & 33(50 (69\%) & 21/50 (42\%) \\ 2550 (42\%) & 27/6 (44\%) & 33(50 (69\%) & 21/44 (43\%) \\ \hline Terminal Rates (a) & 7/16 (44\%) & 00 \\ \text{Weak of First Observation} & 63 \\ 65 & 56 \\ \text{Life Table Tests}(a) & P = 0.343 \\ \text{P = 0.16} & P = 0.011 \\ \text{P = 0.016} & P = 0.011 \\ \text{Cochran-Armitage Trend Test}(a) & P = 0.334 \\ P = 0.16 & P = 0.114 \\ \text{Cochran-Armitage Trend Test}(a) & P = 0.334 \\ \text{P = 0.116} & P = 0.116 \\ \text{Cochran-Armitage Trend Test}(a) & P = 0.334 \\ \text{P = 0.116} & P = 0.0116 \\ \text{Cochran-Armitage Trend Test}(a) & P = 0.343 \\ \text{Overall Rates}(a) & 1/50 (2\%) & 1/50 (2\%) & 4/50 (8\%) \\ \text{Effective Rates}(b) & 1/46 (2\%) & 1/50 (2\%) & 4/26 (15\%) \\ \text{Terminal Rates}(c) & 0/16 (0\%) & 00 \\ \text{Weak of First Observation} & 102 \\ \text{P = 0.338} & P = 0.011 \\ \text{Incidental Tumor Test}(a) & P = 0.368 \\ \text{Cochran-Armitage Trend Test}(d) & P = 0.368 \\ \text{P = 0.742N} & P = 0.054 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \textbf{Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma \\ Overall Rates(a) & 2/26 (4\%) & 1/50 (2\%) & 3/50 (6\%) \\ \text{Cochran-Armitage Trend Test}(d) & P = 0.015 \\ \text{P = 0.742N} & P = 0.054 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \textbf{Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma \\ Overall Rates(a) & 2/26 (4\%) & 1/50 (2\%) & 3/50 (6\%) \\ \text{Cochran-Armitage Trend Test}(d) & P = 0.614 \\ P = 0.048M & P = 0.246 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \end{tabular} \\ \end{tabular} \\ \end{tabular} \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \end{tabular}$	Hamatonoiatia System: Mononuclear Call Lauk	ramia	······	
Overall Rates (a) $25/38 (52\%)$ $03/50 (66\%)$ $21/44 (43\%)$ Definition Rates (a) $7/6 (44\%)$ 000 000 000 Weak of First Observation $9/6 (000)$ $9/6 (000)$ $9/6 (000)$ Indicata fumor Test (d) $P=0.343$ $P=0.164$ $P=0.114$ Cohran Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.394N$ $P=0.116$ $P=0.417N$ Torgue: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma 0000 000 000 Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $4/50 (18\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $1/48 (2\%)$ $4/26 (15\%)$ Terminal Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/48 (2\%)$ $2/6 (15\%)$ Terminal Rates (a) $P=0.001$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.011$ Inicidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.0026$ $P=0.692$ $P=0.543$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Terminal Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$	Overall Retector)	95/50 (50%)	33/50 (66%)	21/50 (12%)
	Effective Potes(a)	25/30 (50 %)	33/50 (66%)	21/00(42.0) 21/44(48%)
Immunication $(7,6)$ (4.8.9) 0.00 0.00 Week of First Observation 63 65 56 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.001$ $P < 0.001$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.334$ $P = 0.114$ $P = 0.014$ Cohran-Armitag Trend Test (d) $P = 0.334$ $P = 0.116$ $P = 0.117$ Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $(50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $4/50 (3\%)$ Chran-Armitag Trend Test (d) $P = 0.166$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.011$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.026$ $P = 0.742N$ $P = 0.054$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma $0.750 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $1/48 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Terminal Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $1/48 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Cohran-Armitag Trend Test (d) $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.595$ $P = 0.077$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.016$	Effective Rates (b)	20/40(32%)	0/0	21/44(4070)
Week of Pirst Observation 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 64 $P=0.011$ Life Table rests (d) $P=0.041$ $P=0.011$ $P=0.011$ $P=0.011$ Cachera-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.041$ $P=0.0116$ $P=0.0116$ $P=0.0116$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $4/50 (3\%)$ $4/50 (3\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of Pirst Observation 102 91 63 $P=0.011$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.016$ $P=0.038$ $P=0.011$ $P=0.054$ Cachera-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.036$ $P=0.0421$ $P=0.054$ $P=0.054$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma $O(20)$ $0/6$ $0/6$ $0/6$ Overall Rates (a) $2/60 (4\%)$ $1.50 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $3/26 (12\%)$ Terminal Rates (a) $2/60 (4\%)$ $1.60 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $3/26 (12\%)$ Terminal Rates	Terminal Rates (C)	(/16(44%)	0/0	0/0
Late Table Lets table P<0.001 P<0.014 P<0.014 P<0.014 P<0.014 P<0.014 P<0.014 P<0.014 P<0.014 P<0.016 P<0.011 P<0.016 P<0.016 P<0.016 P<0.016 P<0.016 P<0.016 P<0.016 P<0.016 P<0.011 P<0.026 P<0.011 P<0.026 P<0.028<	week of First Observation	63	60 D 10 001	00 D 10 001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.394N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.116$ $P=0.417N$ Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $V50(2\%)$ $I/50(2\%)$ $4/50(8\%)$ Overail Rates (a) $1/6(2\%)$ $I/60(2\%)$ $4/26(15\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16(0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 102 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.018$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.011$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.026$ $P=0.742N$ $P=0.054$ Overail Rates (a) $2/50(4\%)$ $1/50(2\%)$ $3/50(6\%)$ Overail Rates (a) $2/46(4\%)$ $1/48(2\%)$ $3/26(12\%)$ Terminal Rates (a) $P=0.016$ $P=0.055$ $P=0.077$ Terminal Rates (a) $P=0.016$ $P=0.595$ $P=0.077$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.016$ $P=0.453N$ $P=0.457N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test.(d) $P=0.016$ $P=0.453N$ $P=0.457N$ Coderad Armitage Trend Test.(d) $P=0.016$ $P=0.453N$ $P=0.457N$	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.343	P = 0.164	P = 0.114
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.116$ $P=0.117$ Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $1/48 (2\%)$ $4/26 (15\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 102 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.026$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.011$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.758$ $P=0.692$ $P=0.543$ Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.768$ $P=0.692$ $P=0.543$ Overall Rates (a) $0/26 (14\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/60$ Overall Rates (a) $0/46 (4\%)$ $1/48 (2\%)$ $3/26 (12\%)$ $750 (6\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/26 (14\%)$ $0/46 (2\%)$ $3/26 (12\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $3/26 (12\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/20 (2\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $5/60 (10\%)$ $5/60 (10\%)$ Terminal Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/60 (10\%)$ </td <td>Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)</td> <td>P = 0.394N</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.394N		
Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma Overall Rates (a) 1/50 (2%) 1/50 (2%) 4/50 (5%) Effective Rates (b) 1/46 (2%) 1/48 (2%) 4/26 (15%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 102 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.038 P=0.011 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.026 P=0.742N P=0.054 Overall Rates (a) 2/50 (4%) 1/50 (2%) 3/50 (6%) Overall Rates (a) 2/50 (4%) 1/48 (2%) 3/26 (12%) Overall Rates (a) 2/50 (4%) 1/48 (2%) 3/26 (12%) Overall Rates (a) 2/50 (4%) 1/48 (2%) 3/26 (12%) Vereil Rates (a) 2/60 (4%) 1/48 (2%) 3/26 (12%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.018 P=0.484N P=0.457N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.203 P=0.484N P=0.246 Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma Overall Rates (a) 3/5	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.116	P = 0.417 N
Overall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%) $1/450$ (2%) $4/26$ (15%) Effective Rates (b) $0/16$ (0%) $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 102 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.011$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.026$ $P = 0.742N$ $P = 0.054$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.026$ $P = 0.742N$ $P = 0.054$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50$ (4%) $1/50$ (2%) $3/50$ (6%) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.742N$ $P = 0.054$ Oreall Rates (a) $2/50$ (4%) $1/50$ (2%) $3/50$ (6%) Effective Rates (b) $2/46$ (4%) $1/48$ (2%) $3/26$ (6%) Effective Rates (b) $2/46$ (4%) $1/48$ (2%) $3/26$ (6%) Effective Rates (b) $2/46$ (4%) $1/45$ (2%) $3/26$ (6%) Effective Rates (b) $2/46$ (4%) $1/45$ (2%) $3/26$ (6%) Effective Rates (b) $3/50$ (6%) $2/50$ (4%) $5/50$ (10%) Coreara Armitage Tre	Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinor	na		
Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $1/46 (2\%)$ $1/46 (2\%)$ $4/26 (15\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0\%) 0/0 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 102 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.011 P=0.338 P=0.011 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.026 P=0.742N P=0.054 Overall Rates (a) 2/50 (4\%) 1/50 (2\%) 3/50 (6\%) Overall Rates (a) 2/60 (4%) 1/50 (2\%) 3/26 (12\%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0\%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Lincidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.614N P=0.453N P=0.47N Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.434N P=0.453N P=0.426 Overall Rates (a) 3/50 (6\%) 2/50 (4\%) 5/50 (10\%) Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.000 P=0.484N P=0.246 Overall Rates (a) 3/50 (6\%) 2/50 (4\%) 5/50 (10\%) Overall Rates (a) 3/50 (6\%) 2/50 (4\%) 5/	Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)
Terminal Rates(c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 102 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) P<0.001	Effective Rates (b)	1/46(2%)	1/48(2%)	4/26 (15%)
Week of First Observation 102 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.001 P=0.338 P=0.011 Indidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.158 P=0.692 P=0.543 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.026 P=0.742N P=0.054 Overall Rates (a) 2/50 (4%) 1/50 (2%) 3/50 (6%) Effective Rates (b) 2/46 (4%) 1/48 (2%) 3/26 (12%) Terminal Rates (a) 2/50 (4%) 1/50 (2%) 3/50 (6%) Uverail Rates (a) 2/46 (4%) 1/48 (2%) 3/26 (12%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.016 P=0.555 P=0.077 Indidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.614N P=0.484N P=0.467N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.020 P=0.0461N P=0.2246 Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma Overail Rates (a) 2/50 (4%) 5/50 (10%) Overail Rates (b) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%)	Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.388$ $P = 0.011$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.386$ $P = 0.692$ $P = 0.543$ Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.026$ $P = 0.742N$ $P = 0.054$ Ovar II Rates (a) $2/5014\%$ $1/50(2\%)$ $3/50(6\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $2/4614\%$ $1/48(2\%)$ $3/26(12\%)$ Overall Rates (c) $0/160\%$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.595$ $P = 0.077$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.614N$ $P = 0.453N$ $P = 0.457N$ Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.203$ $P = 0.484N$ $P = 0.246$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $O/0$ $O/0$ $O/0$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50(6\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $5/50(10\%)$ $5/50(10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $3/48(6\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $5/50(10\%)$ $5/50(10\%)$ It fable Tests (d) $P = 0.050$ $P = 0.438$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	Week of First Observation	102	91	68
	Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.338	P = 0.011
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.026$ Pisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.026$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50$ (4%) $1/50$ (2%) $3/50$ (6%) Effective Rates (b) $2/64$ (4%) $1/48$ (2%) $3/26$ (12%) Overall Rates (a) $0/16$ (0%) $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.453N$ $P = 0.457N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.203$ $P = 0.448N$ $P = 0.246$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $P = 0.484N$ $P = 0.246$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $P = 0.484N$ $P = 0.246$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $2/50$ (4%) $5/50$ (10%) Effective Rates (b) $3/48$ (6%) $2/50$ (4%) $5/50$ (10%) Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $2/50$ (4%) $5/50$ (10%) Effective Rates (b) $3/48$ (6%) $2/50$ (4%) $5/50$ (10%) Cohran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.205$	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.158	P = 0.692	P = 0.543
Control P=0.742N P=0.054 Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma 0verall Rates (a) 2/50 (4%) 1/50 (2%) 3/50 (6%) Effective Rates (b) 2/46 (4%) 1/48 (2%) 3/26 (12%) 0/0 Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.016 P=0.595 P=0.077 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.016 P=0.453N P=0.457N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.0203 P=0.484N P=0.246 Overall Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (14%) 5/50 (10%) Effective Rates (b) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/50 (10%) Derail Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/44 (11%) Terminal Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/50 (10%) Effective Rates (b) 3/48 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/50 (10%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.000N P=0.283N P	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.026		
Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma Overall Rates (a) $2/50.4\%$ $1/50.(2\%)$ $3/50.(6\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $2/46.4\%$ $1/48.(2\%)$ $3/26.(12\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16.(0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.016$ $P=0.453N$ $P=0.457N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.203$ $P=0.484N$ $P=0.246$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $0/00$ $0/0$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50.(6\%)$ $2/50.(4\%)$ $5/44.(11\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16.(0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.200N$ $P=0.283N$ $P=0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.200N$ $P=0.283N$ $P=0.167N$ Corearlan-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.234$ $P=0.480N$ $P=0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50.0\%$ $1/50.(2\%)$ </td <td>Fisher Exact Test (d)</td> <td></td> <td>P = 0.742N</td> <td>P = 0.054</td>	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.742N	P = 0.054
Overall Rates (a) Overall Rates (b) 2/50 (4%) 1/50 (2%) 3/50 (6%) Effective Rates (b) 2/46 (4%) 1/48 (2%) 3/26 (12%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.016 P=0.555 P=0.077 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.614N P=0.453N P=0.457N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.203 Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.246 Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma Overall Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/40 (10%) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.203 Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.246 Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma Overall Rates (a) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 56 89 68 1/160 Neek of First Observation P=0.234 Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.024 P=0.309 P Neek of First Observation Neek of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.028 P=0.631 P=0.139	Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Sourmous Cal	l Panilloma		
Oreal facts if $200(420)$ 1/30(230) 3/30(100) Effective Rates (b) 2/46 (4%) 1/48 (2%) 3/26 (12%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.016 P=0.595 P=0.077 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.614N P=0.453N P=0.457N Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.203 Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.203 Fisher Exact Test (d) Develop (4%) 5/50 (10%) 5/50 (10%) Effective Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/44 (11%) Terminal Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/44 (11%) Terminal Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/50 (10%) Effective Rates (b) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 56 89 68 116 160 (2%) 5/50 (10%) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.005 P=0.488 P=0.048N P=0.309 Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma 0/50 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/50 (10%) 5/50 (10%) <td>Overall Potes (a)</td> <td>2/50 (1%)</td> <td>1/50(9%)</td> <td>3/50 (6%)</td>	Overall Potes (a)	2/50 (1%)	1/50(9%)	3/50 (6%)
Electron Rates (c) 2401420) 1760220) 37201220) Terminal Rates (c) 016020) 000 000 Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.595$ $P = 0.077$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.614N$ $P = 0.453N$ $P = 0.457N$ Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.203$ $P = 0.484N$ $P = 0.246$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $0/00$ $0/00$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50(6\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $5/50(10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $3/48(6\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $5/44(11\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16(6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.005$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50(0\%)$ $1/50(2\%)$ $5/50(10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50(0\%)$ $1/50(2\%)$ $5/50(10\%)$ $5/50(10\%)$	Effective Rates (h)	2/30(4.90) 2/46(4.90)	1/18 (2%)	3/26 (12%)
Terminal Rates(1) 0.16 (0%) 0.0 0.0 Week of First Observation 74 91 68 Life Table Tests(d) P=0.016 P=0.595 P=0.077 Incidental Tumor Tests(d) P=0.614N P=0.453N P=0.457N Cochran Armitage Trend Test(d) P=0.203 P=0.484N P=0.246 Overall Rates(a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/50 (10%) Overall Rates(a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/44 (11%) Terminal Rates(a) 3/50 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/44 (11%) Terminal Rates(a) 3/48 (6%) 2/50 (4%) 5/44 (11%) Terminal Rates(a) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests(d) P=0.005 P=0.488 P=0.044 Incidental Tumor Tests(d) P=0.501N P=0.234 P=0.167N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test(d) P=0.234 P=0.480N P=0.309 Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma 0/50 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/50 (10%) Life Table Tests(a) 0/66 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/32 (16%)	Transford Potes (b)	2/40(4%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation $(4$ 91 00 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.595$ $P = 0.077$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.614N$ $P = 0.453N$ $P = 0.457N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.203$ $P = 0.484N$ $P = 0.246$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or CarcinomaOverall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $3/48 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/44 (11\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.005$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.283N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Fisher Exact Test (c) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%$	$\operatorname{Terminal Rates}(c)$	0/10(0%)	0/0	0/0
Life lable lests (d) $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.017$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.614N$ $P = 0.453N$ $P = 0.457N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.203$ $P = 0.484N$ $P = 0.246$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma 0 0 0 Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $3/48 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/44 (11\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.005$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$	week of First Observation	$^{/4}$ D = 0.01C	91 D_0 F0F	D = 0.077
Incidental lumor fests (a) $P = 0.614N$ $P = 0.433N$ $P = 0.437N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.203$ $P = 0.484N$ $P = 0.246$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $3/48 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/44 (11\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.483N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Meek of First Observation 85 64 1.16 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0$	Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.016	P = 0.595	P = 0.077
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.203$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.484N$ $P=0.246$ Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $3/50.6\%$ $2/50.14\%$ $5/50.10\%$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50.6\%$ $2/50.4\%$ $5/44.11\%$ Defective Rates (b) $3/48.6\%$ $2/50.4\%$ $5/44.11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16.0\%$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.234$ $P=0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.234$ $P=0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.234$ $P=0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50.0\%$ $1/50.2\%$ $5/50.(10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50.0\%$ $1/50.2\%$ $5/50.(10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $0/46.0\%$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.023$ $P=0.631$ $P=0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.003$ $P=0.521$ $P=0.010$ Fisher Exact Test (d) <t< td=""><td>Incidental Tumor Tests (d)</td><td>P = 0.614 N</td><td>P = 0.453 N</td><td>P = 0.457 N</td></t<>	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.614 N	P = 0.453 N	P = 0.457 N
Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $3/48 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/44 (11\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.005$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.283N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0'50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0'50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 $16 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.203	P = 0.484N	P = 0.246
Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $3/48 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/44 (11\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.005$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.233N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 1.16 Table Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P < 0.001$ <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $3/48 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/44 (11\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.005$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.283N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $0/00$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.017$ Fisher	Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous Cel	ll Papilloma or Carc	inoma	
Effective Rates (b) $3/48 (6\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $5/44 (11\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.005$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.238N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ </td <td>Overall Rates (a)</td> <td>3/50 (6%)</td> <td>2/50 (4%)</td> <td>5/50(10%)</td>	Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	5/50(10%)
Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) P = 0.005 P = 0.488 P = 0.044 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P = 0.500N P = 0.283N P = 0.167N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P = 0.234 P = 0.480N P = 0.309 Fisher Exact Test (d) P = 0.234 P = 0.480N P = 0.309 Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma 0/50 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/50 (10%) Overall Rates (a) 0/50 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/32 (16%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 85 64 1/50 (2%) 5/32 (16%) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P = 0.028 P = 0.631 P = 0.139 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P = 0.003 P = 0.521 P = 0.010 Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma 0/0 0/0 0/0 Overall Rates (a) 1/50 (2%) 2/50 (4%) 6/50 (12%) Effective Rates (b) 1/46 (2%) 2/50 (4%) 6/32 (19%) Effective Rates	Effective Rates (b)	3/48 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	5/44(11%)
Week of First Observation 56 89 68 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.005$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.283N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.283N$ $P = 0.167N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.406$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Fisher Exact (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ 2	Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.005$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.044$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.283N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Verall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Week of First Observation	56	89	68
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.283N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (a) $0/60 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$	Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.005	P = 0.488	P = 0.044
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.234$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.309$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $P = 0.480N$ $P = 0.309$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $0/46 (0\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $5/32 (16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16 (0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $O/0$ $O/0$ $O/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Overall Rates (c) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ 7	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.500 N	P = 0.283N	P = 0.167 N
P = 0.480N P = 0.309 Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma Overall Rates (a) 0/50 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/50 (10%) Effective Rates (b) 0/46 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/32 (16%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) P < 0.001	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.234		
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma Overall Rates (a) 0/50 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/50 (10%) Effective Rates (b) 0/46 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/32 (16%) Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) P < 0.001	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.480 N	P = 0.309
Forestoniation of each raphining 0/50 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/50 (10%) Overall Rates (a) 0/46 (0%) 1/50 (2%) 5/32 (16%) Effective Rates (b) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) P < 0.001	Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Effective Rates (b) $0/46(0\%)$ $1/50(2\%)$ $5/32(16\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/16(0\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.406$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50(2\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $6/50(12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46(2\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $6/32(19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16(6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Overall Rates (a)	0/50(0%)	1/50(2%)	5/50(10%)
Terminal Rates (c) 0/16 (0%) 0/0 0/0 Week of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) P<0.001	Effective Bates (h)	0/46 (0%)	1/50(2%)	5/32(16%)
Werk of First Observation 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) P < 0.001	Terminal Rates (a)	0/16 (0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation 04 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.406$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Week of First Observation	0/10(0/0)	85	64
Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.406$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.631$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	week of First Observation	D <0.001	D-0.406	D < 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.031$ $P = 0.139$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $P = 0.521$ $P = 0.010$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.400	P = 0.120
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.003$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.003$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $P=0.521$ $P=0.010$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.018$ $P=0.392$ $P=0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.007$ $P=0.532$ $P=0.017$	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.028	P = 0.631	P = 0.139
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.142$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.003	P = 0.521	P = 0.010
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.142$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Lisher Brace Lese (a)			
Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.142$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Ca	rcinoma	0.00	
Effective Rates (b) $1/46 (2\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $6/32 (19\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/16 (6\%)$ $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.142$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Overall Rates (a)	1/50(2%)	2/50 (4%)	6/50 (12%)
Terminal Rates (c) $1/16$ (6%) $0/0$ $0/0$ Week of First Observation 104 85 64 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.142$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Effective Rates (b)	1/46 (2%)	2/50 (4%)	6/32 (19%)
Week of First Observation1048564Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.142$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Terminal Rates (c)	1/16 (6%)	0/0	0/0
Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.142$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Week of First Observation	104	85	64
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.018$ $P = 0.392$ $P = 0.125$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ $P = 0.532$ $P = 0.017$	Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.142	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.007$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.007$	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.018	P = 0.392	P = 0.125
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.532$ $P=0.017$	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.007		
	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.532	P = 0.017

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>		
Overall Rates (e)	8/50 (16%)	7/50 (14%)	2/50(4%)
Effective Rates (b)	8/47 (17%)	7/50 (14%)	2/36 (6%)
Terminal Rates (c)	5/16(31%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	83	70	62
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.004	P = 0.005	P = 0.215
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.596	P = 0.298	P = 0.716N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.088N	- 0.200	
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.448N	P = 0.104 N
Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Ca	ircinoma		
Overall Rates (e)	8/50 (16%)	8/50 (16%)	2/50 (4%)
Effective Rates (b)	8/47 (17%)	8/50 (16%)	2/36 (6%)
Terminal Rates (c)	5/16(31%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	83	70	62
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.002	P = 0.002	P=0.215
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.540	P = 0.002	P = 0.210 P = 0.716N
Cookean Armitage Tread Test (3)	r = 0.040	F - 0.220	r -0./101
Coonran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.098N	D-0 FEAN	D=0.104N
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.554 N	P = 0.104 N
Adrenal Medulla: Pheochromocytoma	10/50 (80%)	450 (97)	1/50 (90)
Overall Rates (e)	13/50 (26%)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)
Effective Rates (b)	13/47 (28%)	4/50 (8%)	1/34 (3%)
Terminal Rates (c)	6/16 (38%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	91	69	63
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.134	P = 0.134	P = 0.435
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.139N	P = 0.379N	P = 0.677 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.001 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.011 N	P = 0.003 N
Adrenal Medulla: Pheochromocytoma or	Malignant Pheochromocy	toma	
Overall Rates (e)	14/50 (28%)	5/50(10%)	1/50 (2%)
Effective Rates (b)	14/47 (30%)	5/50 (10%)	1/34 (3%)
Terminal Rates (c)	6/16 (38%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	89	69	63
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.098	P = 0.087	P = 0.459
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.102N	P = 0.306 N	P = 0.563 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P < 0.001 N	1 0100011	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 <0.00110	P = 0.013 N	P = 0.002N
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	2/50(4%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	2/45 (4%)	3/41(7%)	3/18(17%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	83	87	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.132	P < 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.159	P = 0.609	P = 0.385
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.098	1 = 0.005	1 = 0.500
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.000	P = 0.455	P=0.136
Thuroid Glandy Follicular Call Carcinoma			
Avanall Patag (a)	1/50 (901)	9/50 (10)	5/50 (100)
Overall Rates (e)	1/00(2%)	2/00 (4%)	5/50(10%)
Enective Rates(D)	1/46 (2%)	2/42(5%)	5/19(26%)
terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	101	85	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.051	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.034	P = 0.474	P = 0.139
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.003		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.466	P = 0.007

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma or Car	rcinoma		
Overall Rates (e)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	6/50 (12%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/46 (2%)	4/42(10%)	6/19 (32%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	101	85	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.006	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.018	P = 0.227	P = 0.125
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001	1 -0.221	1 - 0.120
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 <0.001	P=0.153	P = 0.002
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	8/50 (16%)	7/50(14%)
Effective Rates (b)	3/45(7%)	8/39 (21%)	7/17(41%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/16(6%)	0/00 (21 /2)	0/0
Week of First Observation	67	78	73
Life Table Tests (d)	92 P-0.001	P~0.001	P~0.001
Incidental Tumor Tosts (d)	P=0.001	P = 0.001	P = 0.042
Cochron Annitone Theory I Tests (d)	P = 0.009	F = 0.082	P = 0.043
Coorran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.001	D 0.000	D 0.000
Fisher Exact Test(d)		P=0.060	P = 0.003
Preputial Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	5/50 (10%)	7/50(14%)	1/50 (2%)
Effective Rates (b)	5/44 (11%)	7/35 (20%)	1/10(10%)
Terminal Rates (c)	2/16(13%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	91	84	75
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P = 0.209
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.206	P = 0.124	P = 0.819N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.419		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.227	P = 0.694N
Preputial Gland: Adenocarcinoma			
Överall Rates (e)	5/50 (10%)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)
Effective Rates (b)	5/49 (10%)	0/50(0%)	4/46 (9%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/16 (6%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	84	0/0	50
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.019	D-0.225N	B-0.004
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.012	P = 0.325 N	r = 0.004
Cochaen America no Trans d Track (1)	P = 0.434	P = 0.090 N	P = 0.331
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.447 N		D 054131
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.027 N	$P = 0.541 \mathrm{N}$
Preputial Gland: Adenoma, Adenocarcinoma, o	r Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (e)	10/50 (20%)	7/50 (14%)	5/50(10%)
Effective Rates (b)	10/49 (20%)	7/50(14%)	5/46 (11%)
Terminal Rates (c)	3/16(19%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	84	84	50
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.010	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.175	P = 0.527	P = 0.372
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.124N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.282N	P = 0.161 N
Testis: Interstitial Cell Tumor			
Overall Rates (e)	46/50 (92%)	50/50 (100%)	49/50 (98%)
Effective Rates (b)	46/49 (94%)	50/50 (100%)	49/49 (100%)
Terminal Rates (c)	16/16 (100%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	71	65	44
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.003	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037	0.000	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	0.001	P = 0.117	P = 0.121

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Brain: Glioma			
Overall Rates (e)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	6/50 (12%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/46(0%)	5/50 (10%)	6/30 (20%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		70	65
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.002	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.042	P = 0.048	P = 0.416
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.002		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 0.002	P = 0.035	P=0.003
Zymbal Gland: Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (e)	1/50(2%)	3/50 (6%)	6/50 (12%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/49 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	6/48 (13%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	92	83	47
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.069	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.074	P = 0.559	P = 0.183
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.033		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.316	P = 0.053
Tunica Vaginalis: Mesothelioma, NOS			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	10/50 (20%)	8/50 (16%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/47 (0%)	10/50 (20%)	8/41 (20%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation		65	60
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.158	P = 0.037	P = 0.324
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.004		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P = 0.001
Tunica Vaginalis: Malignant Mesothelioma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	24/50(48%)	31/50 (62%)
Effective Rates (b)	3/49 (6%)	24/50 (48%)	31/47 (66%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	86	65	49
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P<0.001
Tunica Vaginalis: All Mesothelioma (f)			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	34/50(68%)	39/50 (78%)
Effective Rates (b)	3/49 (6%)	34/50(68%)	39/47 (83%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16(0%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	86	65	49
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P<0.001
All Sites: Benign Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	46/50 (92%)	50/50(100%)	49/50 (98%)
Effective Rates (b)	46/49 (94%)	50/50 (100%)	49/49 (100%)
Terminal Rates (c)	16/16 (100%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	71	65	44
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.003	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.117	P = 0.121

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	36/50 (72%)	48/50 (96%)	48/50 (96%)
Effective Rates (b)	36/49 (73%)	48/50 (96%)	48/48 (100%)
Terminal Rates (c)	10/16(63%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	56	65	47
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.012	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.002	P<0.001
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	48/50 (96%)	50/50 (100%)	49/50 (98%)
Effective Rates (b)	48/49 (98%)	50/50 (100%)	49/49 (100%)
Terminal Rates (c)	16/16 (100%)	0/0	0/0
Week of First Observation	56	65	44
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.012	P = 0.236	P = 0.022
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.269		- 310
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.495	P = 0.500

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined grossly at the site

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of tumors in any of the three groups

(c) Observed tumor incidence in animals killed at the end of the study

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage trend and Fisher exact tests were based on animals surviving to the first occurrence of the tumor in any of the three groups. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group than in vehicle controls is indicated by (N).

(e) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined microscopically at the site

(f) All mesotheliomas were observed in the tunica vaginalis; most of those diagnosed as malignant also appeared in other organs, especially the peritoneal mesothelium.

Study	Incidence of Mesotheliomas in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle C	ontrols	
Iodinated glycerol (b) Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c) Chlorpheniramine maleate (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c) Methyl carbamate (e)	1/50 2/50 0/50 (d) 1/50 0/50 0/50	
TOTAL SD (f)	4/300 (1.3%) 1.63%	
Range (g) High Low	2/50 0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Controls		
TOTAL SD (f)	(h) 47/1,596 (2.9%) 2.65%	
Range(g) High Low	5/50 0/50	

TABLE A4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MESOTHELIAL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute

(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Malignant mesothelioma (e) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(f) Standard deviation

(g) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals. (h) Includes 11 malignant mesotheliomas

TABLE A4b.	HISTORICAL	INCIDENCE	OF	' FORESTOMACI	H	SQUAMOUS	CELL	TUMORS	IN	MALE
				F344/N RATS ((a))				

Study	Incidence of Papillomas or Carcinomas in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle	e Controls	
Iodinated glycerol (b) Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c) Chlorpheniramine maleate (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c) Methyl carbamate (d)	0/49 0/50 0/50 0/48 0/46 0/50	
TOTAL SD(e)	0/293 0.00%	
Range (f) High Low	0/50 0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Control	bls	
TOTAL SD(e)	(g) 5/1,581 (0.3%) 0.92%	
Range (f) High Low	2/49 0/50	

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc. (e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(g) Includes three squamous cell papillomas and two squamous cell carcinomas

Study	Incidence in Controls						
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle Controls							
Iodinated glycerol (b) Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (d) Chlorpheniramine maleate (d) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (d) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (d) Methyl carbamate (f)	(c) 1/50 0/50 0/50 (e) 1/50 0/50 0/50						
TOTAL SD (g)	2/300 (0.7%) 1.03%						
Range(h) High Low	1/50 0/50						
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Controls	5						
TOTAL SD (g)	(i) 14/1,590 (0.9%) 1.43%						
Range (h) High Low	2/50 0/50						

TABLE A4c. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF BRAIN GLIAL CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute

(c) Glioma, NOS

(d) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(e) Astrocytoma (f) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(g) Standard deviation
(h) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(i) Includes 3 gliomas, NOS, 10 astrocytomas, and 1 oligodendroglioma

	Incider	nce in Controls	
Study	Fibroadenoma	Fibroadenoma or Adenocarcinoma	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage V	ehicle Controls		
Iodinated glycerol (b)	3/50	3/50	
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	2/50	2/50	
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	3/50	3/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	2/50	2/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	2/50	2/50	
Methyl carbamate (d)	1/50	1/50	
TOTAL	13/300 (4.3%)	13/300 (4.3%)	
SD(e)	1.51%	1.51%	
Range (f)			
High	3/50	3/50	
Low	1/50	1/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated C	Controls		
	(g) 47/1,596 (2.9%)	(g,h) 49/1,596 (3.1%) 3.03%	
50(6)	3.01%	0.00 %	
Range (f)			
High	6/49	6/49	
Low	0/50	0/50	
20.0	0,00	0.00	

TABLE A4d. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories
(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.
(e) Standard deviation

(b) Name and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(g) Includes two adenomas, NOS
(h) Includes one carcinoma, NOS, and one adenocarcinoma, NOS

Study	Incidence of Adenomas or Carcinomas in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle	Controls	
Iodinated glycerol (b) Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (d) Chlorpheniramine maleate (d) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (d) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (d) Methyl carbamate (e) TOTAL SD (g)	(c) 1/50 0/50 (c) 1/50 (c) 1/50 (f) 1/50 3/300 (1.0%) 1.10%	
Range(h) High Low	1/50 0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Control	s	
TOTAL SD (g)	(i) 19/1,596 (1.2%) 1.82%	
Range (h) High Low	4/50 0/50	

TABLE A4e. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ZYMBAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute

(c) Carcinoma

(d) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(e) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc. (f) Adenoma

(g) Standard deviation

(h) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(i) Includes 1 papillary adenoma, 11 carcinomas, NOS, and 7 squamous cell carcinomas

Study	Incidence of Adenocarcinomas in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle C	ontrols	
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/50	
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	0/49	
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	0/49	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	0/48	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	0/45	
Methyl carbamate (d)	0/42	
TOTAL	0/283	
SD(e)	0.00%	
Range (f)		
High	0/50	
Low	0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Controls		
TOTAL	(g) 5/1.557 (0.3%)	
SD(e)	0.77%	
Range (f)		
High	1/44	
Low	0/50	

TABLE A4f. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TUMORS OF THE SMALL INTESTINE IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories
(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals. (g) Includes one carcinoma, NOS, and one mucinous adenocarcinoma; no benign tumors have been observed.

Study	Incidence of Adenocarcinomas in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle C	ontrols	
Iodinated glycerol (b) Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c) Chlorpheniramine maleate (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c) Methyl carbamate (d)	0/50 0/50 0/50 0/50 0/50 0/50	
TOTAL SD (e)	0/300 0.00%	
Range (f) High Low	0/50 0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Controls		
TOTAL SD (e)	(g) 2/1,541 (0.1%) 0.50%	
Range (f) High Low	1/49 0/50	

TABLE A4g. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TUMORS OF THE LARGE INTESTINE IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute (c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(g) Mucinous adenocarcinomas; no benign tumors have been observed.

		Incidence in Controls				
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage	Vehicle Controls	erenne ere re eenne min oo olde Kikano h				
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/49	0/49	0/49			
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	3/50	1/50	4/50			
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	0/50	1/50	1/50			
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c) 0/47	0/47	0/47			
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c) 0/47	1/47	1/47			
Methyl carbamate (d)	0/50	0/50	0/50			
TOTAL	3/293 (1.0%)	3/293 (1.0%)	6/293 (2.0%)			
SD (e)	2.45%	1.12%	3.10%			
Range (f)						
High	3/50	1/47	4/50			
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50			
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated	Controls					
TOTAL	g) 11/1,576 (0.7%)	9/1,576(0.6%)	(g) 20/1,576 (1.3%)			
SD(e)	1.36%	0.92%	1.63%			
Range (f)						
High	2/44	1/49	3/50			
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50			

TABLE A4h. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute (c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc. (e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
 (g) Includes one cystadenoma, NOS, and one papillary cystadenoma, NOS

		s	
Study	Papilloma	Carcinoma	Papilloma or Carcinoma
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	hicle Controls		
Iodinated glycerol (b) Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	2/50 (d) 2/50	0/50 0/50	2/50 (d) 2/50
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	3/50 (d) 1/50	1/50 0/50	4/50 1/50
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c) Methyl carbamate (e)	3/50 1/50	0/50	3/50 1/50
TOTAL SD (f)	12/300 (4.0%) 1.79%	1/300 (0.3%) 0.82%	13/300 (4.3%) 2.34%
Range (g) High Low	3/50 1/50	1/50 0/50	4/50 1/50
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ontrols		
TOTAL SD (f)	(d) 21/1,596 (1.3%) 1.50%	10/1,596 (0.6%) 1.08%	(d) 31/1,596 (1.9%) 1.81%
Range(g) High Low	2/ 4 9 0/50	2/ 49 0/50	3/49 0/50

TABLE A4i. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORSIN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute (c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Includes one papilloma, NOS (e) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(f) Standard deviation

(g) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE A4j. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM BASAL CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

		Incidence in Co	ntrols
Study	Benign	Malignant	Benign or Malignant
listorical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	ehicle Controls		
odinated glycerol(b)	(c) 1/50	0/50	(c) 1/50
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (d)	0/50	(e) 1/50	(e) 1/50
Chlorpheniramine maleate (d)	(f) 1/50	0/50	(f) 1/50
<pre>Fetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (d)</pre>	0/50	0/50	0/50
fetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (d)	(c) 1/50	0/50	(c) 1/50
Methyl carbamate (g)	(f) 1/50	0/50	(f) 1/50
TOTAL	4/300 (1.3%)	1/300 (0.3%)	5/300(1.7%)
SD(h)	1.03%	0.82%	0.82%
Range (i)			
High	1/50	1/50	1/50
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated C	ontrols		
TOTAL	(j) 21/1,596 (1.3%)	(k) 10/1,596 (0.6%)	(j,k) 31/1,596 (1.9%
SD(h)	1.95%	1.07%	2.31%
Range (i)			
High	3/50	2/50	4/50
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50

(c) Basal cell tumor

(d) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(e) Sebaceous adenocarcinoma

(f) Trichoepithelioma

(g) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(h) Standard deviation

(i) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
 (j) Includes 11 basal cell tumors, 5 trichoepitheliomas, 1 adnexal adenoma, and 4 sebaceous adenomas

(k) All malignant tumors observed were basal cell carcinomas.

.

TABLE A4k. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF NASAL CAVITY TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

	Number Examined	Number of Tumors	Diagnosis
Historical Incidence for All Wate	er Gavage Vehicle Controls		
	300	0	
Overall Historical Incidence for	Untreated Controls		
	1,596	1 (<0.1%)	Squamous cell carcinoma

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks; no adenomas or adenocarcinomas have been observed.

	Vehicle (Control	37.5 1	ng/kg	75 m	g/kg
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Animals necropsied	50		50		50	
	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)	(0~)	(50)	(0~)	(50)	
Inflammation acute	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplastic nodule	1	(2%)	-		-	
Hyperkeratosis			1	(2%)		
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematoma, NOS Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
RESDIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Nasal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	5	(10%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, acute suppurative					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic	9	(18%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	9	(18%)
Inflammation suppurative	(50)		(50)	(29)	(50)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic diffuse	4	(8%)	-		3	(6%)
#Lung/bronchus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Bronchiectasis	5	(10%)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, suppurative	6	(12%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
# Lung Atelectasis	(50)	(8%)	(50)	(9%)	(50)	
Edema, NOS		(070)	1	(2707	1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	2	(4%)	5	(10%)	1	(2%)
Hemorrhage, chronic					1	(2%)
Pneumonia, aspiration					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	9	(60)	1	(2%)	e	(19%)
Inflammation chronic focal	5 6	(0%)	5	(10%) (12%)	9	(12%)
Hyperplasia, adenomatous	v	(12,0)	1	(2%)	Ũ	(10,07
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
						(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	/EA1		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosis	(00)	(26%)	(50)	(68%)	(50)	(56%)
Hemosiderosis	1	(2%)	•••		4	(8%)
Angiectasis					1	(2%)
Metaplasia, NOS		(a			4	(8%)
Hematopolesis	1	(2%)	(50)	(4%)	(50)	
Atrophy. focal	(00)		(60)		(50)	(4%)
#Lymph node	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, acute					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	•	(40)			1	(2%)
Angieciasis Hyperplasia lymphoid	2	(4%)			2 1	(4%) (9%)
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2707
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	30	(60%)	17	(34%)	14	(28%)
· · · · · · · ·						

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle (Control	37.5 1	mg/kg	75 m	g/kg
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Thymus	(46)		(47)		(43)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)	()		(10)	
Multiple cysts	1	(2%)				
Angiectasis	1	(2%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute					1	(2%)
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS			3	(6%)	1	(2%)
#Myocardium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS	48	(96%)	48	(96%)	47	(94%)
#Myocardium/left ventricle	(50)	(00,0)	(50)	(00,07	(50)	(01/0/
Hypertrophy, NOS			(00)		1	(2%)
#Mitral valve	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2/0)
Endocardiosis	(00)		1	(2%)	(007	
*Arterv	(50)		(50)	4 70 1	(50)	
Periarteritis	(00)	(97)	(00)		(00)	(901.)
#Liver	(50)	(8%)	(50)		(50)	(2%)
Thrombooid NOS	(50)		(50)	(00)	(50)	
#Advoval contou	(50)		(70)	(2%)	(50)	
#Aurenai cortex	(50)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
	1	(2%)				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mouth	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation acute	(00)		(50)		(00)	(90)
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	(270)
Inflammation chronic focal	(00)	(90)	(50)		(50)	(90)
Hyperplasia enithelial	1	(2/0)			1	(270)
#Saliyary gland	(40)		(50)		(50)	(2%)
	(49)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)		00	0	(1~)
			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	-
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Degeneration, cystic			1	(2%)		
Degeneration, lipoid			2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Necrosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
Necrosis, coagulative	1	(2%)	7	(14%)	8	(16%)
Amyloidosis	1	(2%)				
Basophilic cyto change	13	(26%)	3	(6%)	3	(6%)
Eosinophilic cyto change	1	(2%)				
Clear cell change	14	(28%)			15	(30%)
Pleomorphism					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	6	(12%)	8	(16%)	9	(18%)
Angiectasis	7	(14%)	21	(42%)	15	(30%)
Nodular regeneration		·		(2%)	10	
#Periportal bile duct	(50)		(50)	. = . = .	(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	7	(14%)	14	(28%)	5	(10%)
#Liver/centrilobular	(50)		(50)	.20 /01	(50)	
Inflammation, acute	(00/		(007		1	(206)
Degeneration NOS	1	(9%)	1	(906)	1	(20)
#Liver/nerinertal	1	(270)	1	2701	(50)	(270)
Inflormation share in	(50)		(50)		(50)	001
#Hianmation, chronic #Livor/Kupffer coll	/E01				1	(2%)
Pigmontation NOC	(50)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
rigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)				

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE
TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Co	ontrol	37.5 r	ng/kg	75 mg	g/kg
IGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Pancreas	(50)		(48)		(50)	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic	2 (4%)			1	(2%)
Atrophy, NOS	1 (2%)				
Hyperplasia, focal			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
*Pharynx	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1 (2%)				
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute diffuse					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	2 (4%)				
Erosion	1 ((2%)	1	(2%)		
Amvloidosis	1 (2%)				
Hyperplasia, focal	1 (2%)				
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
Ulcer, acute					2	(4%)
Inflammation, acute diffuse					2	(4%)
Ulcer, chronic	1 ((2%)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	1 ((2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperkeratosis	3 (6%)	13	(26%)	15	(30%)
Dysplasia, epithelial	•		10	(20%)	8	(16%)
#Small intestine	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Diverticulum	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial					1	(2%)
Metaplasia, osseous					1	(2%)
#Colon	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
RINARY SYSTEM	(50)		(50)			
#Kidney	(50)	00	(50)		(50)	
Persistent embryonic structure	1 0	(2%)	0			
Cyst, NOS	2 ((4%)	2	(4%)		
Inflammation, acute focal	~ ~		1	(2%)		
Nephropathy	37 ((74%)	27	(54%)	25	(50%)
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, NOS	1 ((2%)	I	(2%)		
Pigmentation, NOS	2 ((4%)				
Hemoglobin pigment	1	(2%)				
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)		(50)	00
inflammation, chronic	-				1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)				
#Urinary bladder	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Edema, NOS					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	1 -	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, focal			1	(2%)		
Erosion			1	(2%)		
NDOCRINE SYSTEM		a	<u> </u>	. <u> </u>		
#Pituitary intermedia	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Colloid cyst			1	(2%)		
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Colloid cyst	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	•		U	
Hyperplasia, focal	8	(16%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)
	•		•		•	

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE
TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle (Control	37.5 r	ng/kg	75 m	g/kg
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Colloid cyst	1	(2%)				
Degeneration, lipoid	3	(6%)			1	(2%)
Hypertrophy, focal			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, focal	3	(6%)	9	(18%)	2	(4%)
Angiectasis			2	(4%)		
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal	11	(22%)	12	(24%)	9	(18%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Ultimobranchial cyst			1	(2%)		
Follicular cyst, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell	11	(22%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell			4	(8%)	1	(2%)
#Parathyroid	(47)		(48)		(47)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	3	(6%)				
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	<u></u>					
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)			,	
Hematoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)				
*Preputial gland	(50)	(=,	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	4	(8%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, chronic	-	(2)	-		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Hyperkeratosis			-	(= /0 /	1	(2%)
#Prostate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cvst. NOS	1	(2%)	(00)		1	(2%)
Inflammation, NOS	5	(10%)	6	(12%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic	-	(10)07	•		ĩ	(2%)
*Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
#Testis	(50)		(50)		(50)	()
Necrosis, coagulative	(00)		(00)		2	(4%)
*Enididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)	(1,0)
Mineralization	(00)	(2.%)	(00)		(00)	
Degeneration, hydropic	•	(2,0)	1	(2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM	<u>-</u>			<u></u>		
#Brain/ependyma	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Edema, NOS	(00)		(007		1	(2%)
*Choroid plexus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	,50,				3	(6%)
Hemorrhage	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	0	
Malacia	Ũ	. = . = .	-		1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS					ī	(2%)
*Spinal cord	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS					·	
*Eve	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage, chronic	,				1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	-	
	-		-			

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS (Continued)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
*Eye/retina	(50)	(50)	(50)
Degeneration, NOS		1 (2%)	
*Eve/crystalline lens	(50)	(50)	(50)
Cataract		1 (2%)	
*Harderian gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, chronic	1 (2%)		
*Zymbal gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Dilatation/ducts	1 (2%)		
Cyst, NOS		1 (2%)	8 (16%)
*Eustachian tube	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, chronic			1 (2%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*Bono	(50)	(50)	(50)
Everteeur	(30)	(30) 1 (2%)	(007
EXOSIOSIS		1 (270)	
BODY CAVITIES			
*Tunica vaginalis	(50)	(50)	(50)
Hyperplasia, NOS		2 (4%)	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS		,	
Adinoso tissuo			
Homorrhago	2		1
	3	9	1
Nearogia fat	2		,

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE
TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

		PAGE				
TABLE B1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	107				
TABLE B2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	110				
TABLE B3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	118				
TABLE B4a	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	123				
TABLE B4b	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF GLANDULAR STOMACH SARCOMAS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	123				
TABLE B4c	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF BRAIN GLIAL CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	124				
TABLE B4d	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ORAL CAVITY SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	125				
TABLE B4e	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	126				
TABLE B4f	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TUMORS OF THE LARGE INTESTINE IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	126				
TABLE B4g	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	127				
TABLE B4h	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	128				
TABLE B4i	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF CLITORAL GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	129				
TABLE B4j	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF NASAL CAVITY TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	129				
T'ABLE B5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	130				
	Vehicle Co	ontrol	37.5 1	ng/kg	75 m	g/kg
---	---------------	--------------	----------	---------	--------	--------------
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Animals necropsied	50		50		50	
Animals examined histopathologically	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma					1	(2%)
Squamous cell carcinoma					1	(2%)
Basal cell tumor					1	(2%)
Sebaceous adenoma	1 (0	~ \	1	(2%)		
Keratoacanthoma	1 (2	%)	(50)		(50)	
Fibrana	(50)	<i>a</i> ()	(00)	(601)	(50)	
Fibrosarcoma	1 (2	90)	ა 1	(10%)	9	(101)
Rhabdomyosarcoma			1	(270)	2 1	(90%)
					± 	(2-%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Nasal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma			(***)		1	(2%)
#Trachea	(50)		(50)		(49)	(0.01)
#Lung	(50)		(50)			(2%)
#Lung Adapagarajagang NOS metastatia	(50)		(50)	(901)	(50)	(10)
Alvealar/bronchielar adonama	1 (9	<i>a</i> ()	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	1 (2	70)			1	(2%)
HEMATODOLETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Leukemia mononuclear cell	13 (2	6%)	14	(28%)	20	(10%)
#Lymph node	(50)	0.07	(50)	(20 /07	(50)	(40 /0)
Fibrosarcoma, invasive			1	(2%)	(00)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM		·				
			<u> </u>			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	. 					
Squamous coll non-illomo	(50)		(50)		(50)	1901
Squamous cell papilionia					1	(2%)
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2%)
Squamous cell papilloma	1 /2	01-)	(30)	(67)	(50)	(100.)
#Salivary gland	(48)	-101	(50)	(0%)	(49)	(10%)
Sarcoma NOS	(40)		1	(27)	(43)	
Fibrosarcoma, invasive			1	(2%)		
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	\ - -,				1	(2%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosarcoma					2	(4%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma			4	(8%)	8	(16%)
Squamous cell carcinoma					3	(6%)
#Colon	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenomatous polyp, NOS					1	(2%)

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle	Control	37.5 1	ng/kg	75 mg	g/kg
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Tubular cell adenocarcinoma					1	(2%)
#Urinary bladder	(48)		(50)		(50)	
Neurilemoma			1	(2%)		
NDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Adenoma, NOS	18	(36%)	14	(28%)	6	(12%)
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Pheochromocytoma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Follicular cell adenoma			1	(2%)		
Follicular cell carcinoma	_	(100)	-		3	(6%)
U-cell adenoma	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Fibrosarcoma, invasive			1	(2%)		
#Parathyrold	(47)	(00)	(48)		(45)	
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)	11	(22%)	16	(32%)
Fibroadenoma	14	(28%)	32	(64%)	29	(58%)
*Clitoral gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)
Adenoma, NOS	3	(6%)	7	(14%)	7	(14%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Uterus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS					1	(2%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive					1	(2%)
Fibroma			1	(2%)		
Fibrosarcoma			1	(2%)	~	
Leiomyosarcoma	10	(00%)		(100)	2	(4%)
Endometrial stromal polyp	19	(38%)	21	(42%)	14	(28%)
Endometrial stromal sarcoma				(0 , 0)	1	(2%)
Deciduoma			1	(270)	1	(9%)
#Overv	(50)		(50)		(50)	(270)
Adenocarcinoma NOS	(00)		(00)		(50)	(2.%)
Granulosa cell tumor			2	(4%)	1	(270)
IFRUCIE SVETEM			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
HDrain HDrain	(FA)					
Glioma NOS	(50)		(50)	(90%)	(50)	(80%)
			. 4	(8%)	4	(8%)
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
*Ear	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosarcoma, invasive			1	(2%)		
Zymbal gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Canada NOS	-	(0 %)		(0 m)	-	

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
*Bone	(50)	(50)	(50)
Fibrosarcoma, invasive		1 (2%)	
*Vertebral column	(50)	(50)	(50)
Neurilemoma, malignant	1 (2%)		
*Skeletal muscle	(50)	(50)	(50)
Neurilemoma, invasive	1 (2%)		
BODY CAVITIES			
*Thoracic cavity	(50)	(50)	(50)
Fibrosarcoma			1 (2%)
*Mediastinum	(50)	(50)	(50)
Neurilemoma			1 (2%)
*Mesentery	(50)	(50)	(50)
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive			1 (2%)
None			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	8	14	11
Moribund sacrifice	14	32	39
Terminal sacrifice	28	4	
TUMOR SUMMARY			
Total animals with primary tumors**	43	43	47
Total primary tumors	87	129	152
Total animals with benign tumors	38	41	36
Total benign tumors	67	91	81
Total animals with malignant tumors	19	25	40
Total malignant tumors	20	35	71
Total animals with secondary tumors##	1	2	5
Total secondary tumors	1	6	6
Total animals with tumors			
uncertain benign or malignant		3	
Total uncertain tumors		3	

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

TABLE B2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF FEMA	LE RATS	IN THE	TWO-YEAR
		GAVAGE	STUDY	OF GLYCIDOL	.: VEHICI	LE CONTR	ROL	

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 6	0 1 0	0 2 0	0 0 7	0 3 5	0 4 6	0 0 3	0 0 1	0 1 1	0 5 0	0 0 6	0 1 5	0 2 7	0 1 8	0 3 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 2 4	0 4 3	0 4 4	0 1 4	0 4 8	0 3 6	0 0 2	0 0 4	0 0 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 5 7	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 0	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 6	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+++	++	+ +	+ +	++	* *	+ +	+	N N	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	N N	+ +	+ +	N N	N N	+ + X	N N	+ +	+ +	, + , +	++	++++
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea Nasal cavity	++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+++++	++++++	++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++++++	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N +++++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N +++++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N ++++++++	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N +++++++++	N ++++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N +++++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
U RINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++++	+ +	+++	++++++	++++	++++	++++	++	++++	++++	+ + +	 + +	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma Thyroid C cell adenoma Deroth undid	+ + + +	+ X + +	+++	++++	* * +	++++++	++++++	+++++	+++++++	+ X +	+ X +	+ X + +	+++++++	+ + +	++++++	++++++	++++++	+ X + +	+++++++	+++++++	+ X + +	+ + +	++++++	+ X + +	+ + + X
Adenoma, NOS		·	τ		т 	· ·	т 	τ 	-	x	т	+	· ·	т 	т —						T	·	+		
Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma NOS	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ X N	+ N	+ X X N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N X	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ X N
Uterus Adenocarcinoma, NOS Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+++	+	++	+	++	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	+ X +	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	+	+ X +	++	+ X +	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+ X +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenoma gland Adenoma, NOS Zymbai gland Carcinoma, NOS	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N X	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Neurlemoma, malignant Muscle Neurlemoma, invasive	+ X N X	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N

Tissue examined microscopically
 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 Tumor incidence
 N Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 Animal missexed

No tissue information submitted
 C. Necropsy, no histology due to protocol
 Autolysis
 M. Animal missing
 B: No necropsy performed

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 3	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 0	${0 \\ 3 \\ 2}$	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 5	0 4 7	0 4 9	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM										·							+				 					*50
Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 *50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea Nasal cavity	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	50 1 50 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+ ++ ++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + + +	+++++++	+ + + +	+++++++	+++++++	++++++	+++++++	+++++	++++++	+++-	++++++	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + + +	49 50 50 46
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++++++++	N ++++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N ++++++++	N +++++++++	Z + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Z +++++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*50 1 48 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
U RINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+	50 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Adenocarcinoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma Thyroid C ceil adenoma Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	++++++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X + +	+ X + + X +	++++++	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + + + +	+ X + X + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ X + + X +	+ + *	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	++++	+ + + +	+ X + X + +	50 18 50 1 1 1 1 50 5 47 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputial/cltoraraj gland	+ N	+ X N	+ X N	+ N	+ X N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ X N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ N	*50 1 14 *50
Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Uterus Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	x +	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	2 3 50 1
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+	+	X +	+	+	X +	+	+	X +	+	X +	+	+	X +	X +	X +	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	X +	+	19 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardeman gland Adenoma, NOS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N X N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	*50 1 *50 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Neurilemoma, malignant	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Muscle Neurilemoma, invasive	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 13

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

* Animals necropsied

																-									
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 7	0 2 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 0 1	0 1 1	0 0 8	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{array}$	0 4 9	0 2 7	0 0 4	0 5 0	0 3 4	0 2 6	0 3 5	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 4 1	0 4 0	0 4 7	0 1 8	0 0 7	0 3 7	0 2 1	0 4 6	0 4 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 3 1	0 3 4	0 5 7	0 6 0	0 6 4	0 6 4	0 6 7	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 7 0	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 8
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Sebaceous adenoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	++	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ + X	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	N N	+	+++	+	++	++
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Trachea Nasal cavity	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + + +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Fibrosarcoma, invasive Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +		+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma, Inyasive	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N X +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +
Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + X + +	++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder Neunlemoma	++	+++	, + +	+++	, + +	+++	+ + +	, + +	, + +	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	, + +	+++	++	+++	, + +	 + +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C cell adenoma Fibrosarcoma, invasive Parathyroid	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+++++	+++++++	+++++	+ X + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ X + +	++++	+++++	+ + + + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + + +	+++++++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputal/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenome, NOS	N N	И	N N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ N	+ X N	+ N	+ X N	N N	+ X X N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ X N	+ N	+ X N	+ X X N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ X X N
Adencoarrinoma, NOS Uterus Fibroma Fibroma Endometrial stromal polyp Granular cell tumor, NOS	+	+ X	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+ X	÷	+	+ X	+ X	x x	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+
Ovary Granulosa cell tumor	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
NERVOUS SISTEM Brain Ghoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Ear Fibrosarcoma, invasive Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	+ N X	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N						
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Fibrosarcoma, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL: 37.5 mg/kg

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 2	0 0 9	0 2 9	0 3 6	0 4 4	0 3 8	0 4 5	0 3 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array} $	${ 0 \\ 3 \\ 1 }$	0 2 5	0 1 0	0 0 3	0 1 5	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 2 8	0 1 4	0 4 8	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 2	0 1 6	0 1 9	0 3 9	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Sebaceous adenoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	N N	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	* *	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	*50 1 *50 3 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Trachea Nasal cavity	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	50 1 50 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Fibrosarcoma, invasive Thymus	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + X +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+++++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	50 49 50 1 46
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	t	t	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma, invasive Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N X + + + + + +	N + ++++	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + +++++	N + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	*50 3 50 1 50 50 50 50 50
Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	++++	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	• + +	, + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	х + +	+ +	+ +	• + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	• + +	X + +	+ +	4 50 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder Neurilemoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+++	+++	+ + X	+++	++	++	+++	++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	+ +	50 50 1
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Foilicular cell adenoma C cell adenoma Fibrosarcoma, invasive Parathyroid	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+++++	+ X + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ X + +	+ + + + x +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + + + x +	++++++	+++++	+ + X +	++++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	++++++	+ + X +	++++++	++++++	+ X + +	50 14 50 1 50 1 50 1 2 1 48
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarennoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputal/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus Fibroma Fibrosarcoma Endometrial stromal polyp Granular cell tumor, NOS Ovary Granular cell tumor	+ X N +	+ X N X + X + X +	+ X X N X + +	+ X N +	+ X N + X +	+ X N X + X + X +	+ X N +	+ X N + X X +	+ N +	+ X N + X + X	+ X X N X + X + X +	+ X N +	+ X N +	+ X X N + X +	+ X N +	+ X N + X +	+ X N + X +	+ X N + X +	+ X N X + X +	+ X N + X +	+ X N X +	+ X X X +	+ X N +	+ XX + +	+ X N X +	$\begin{array}{c} *50\\ 11\\ 32\\ *50\\ 1\\ 7\\ 1\\ 50\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 21\\ 1\\ 1\\ 50\\ 2 \end{array}$
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Ear Fibrosarcoma, invasive Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N N	N N	X N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	X N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	*50 1 *50 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Fibrosarcoma, invasive	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	*50 14

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 37.5 mg/kg(Continued)

* Animals necropsied

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL: 75 mg/kg

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3 6	0 4 7	0 3 1	0 2 1	0 2 9	0 4 9	0 1 1	0 1 9	0 3 8	0 4 4	0 3 7	0 2 6	0 4 5	0 0 1	0 3 5	0 3 0	0 3 4	0 0 5	0 0 8	0 2 7	0 4 8	0 0 7	0 2 3	0 0 6	0 4 1
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 3 4	0 4 5	0 5 6	0 5 6	0 5 8	0 6 0	0 6 4	0 6 4	0 6 4	0 6 7	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 7 8	0 7 8	0 7 9
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM																									
Skin Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Basai cell tumor Subcutaneous tissue	+	+	+	+	+ +	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	* *
Fibrosarcoma Rhabdomyosarcoma																									
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Adeoocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Fibrosarcoma, invasive Nasal cavity	++++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + X +	+ + +	++++++	+ -+ +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + + +
Squamous cell carcinoma																х									
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ + +	++++++	+ + + +	+++++	++++++	++++-	+ + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + +	+ + + -	++++++	+ + + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + + -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	и +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	N + +	и ++	N + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N ++	N ++	N ++	N ++	N ++	N + +	N + +	N + +	N ++	N ++	И ++	N + +	N ++	N ++
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + +	N + + + + +	N + + + + +	N + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ +	N + + + + +	N ++ ++	N + + + + +	N + + + + +	N ++ ++	N + + + + +	N + + + + +	N + + + + +	N ++ ++ +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Salvary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	х ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ + ++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	X ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	Z ++ ++++	N ++ ++++X	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++	N ++ ++++
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavty Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Fibrosarcoma Small intestine Large intestine Large intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	Z ++ ++++ ++	X ++ +++ ++	X ++ +++ ++	N +++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N ++ +++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ +++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	Z ++ + ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	X ++ ++++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++	N ++ +++ ++	N ++ ++++X ++	N ++ ++++ + + X	N ++ ++++ ++	Z ++ +++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Fibrosarcoma Small intestine Large intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma	N +++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	X ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ + ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++	N +++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ +++ + X ++ + + .	N ++ + ++ ++ + + + + + + X + X + X + X	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ .	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Fibrosarcoma Small intestine Large intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	X ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +++++++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	X ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ + ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++	N +++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++X ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	X ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Salvary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Fibrosarcoma Small intestine Large intestine Large intestine Adeaomatous polyp, NOS URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adeaoma, NOS Adrenal	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N ++ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N +++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N +++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	X ++ +++ ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++ + + + + + + +	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++++ X ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Fibrosarcoma Small intestine Large intestine Large intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenoa, NOS Adenoarcinoma, NOS Adenoarcinoma Thyrod	N + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ + ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++++ ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ +++ ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +++ +++++++++++++	N ++ +++X ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	X ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	X ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	X ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	N ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++

ANIMÁL NUMBER	0 4 2	0 3 3	0 1 5	0 4 0	0 2 8	0 2 0	0 0 2	0 5 0	0 0 4	0 4 3	0 4 6	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 0 9	0 0 3	0 1 4	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 2 2	0 2 5	0 1 8	0 3 9	0 1 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 1 3	0 2 4	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 7 9	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 91 3	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 6	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Basal cell tumor	+ X	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1 1
Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma Rhabdomyosarcoma	+	+	+	N	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	*50 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	50 2 1
Trachea Fibrosarcoma, invasive Nasal cavity Squamous cell carcinoma	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	49 1 50 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes	++++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+ + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++++	++++++	50 50 50
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DICESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilioma Squamous cell carcinoma	N X	N	N	N	N	N V	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 6
Salivary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+	+	+	+		+	+ +	49 50
Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ + + +	+ + + + + *	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ ++++ + X	+ + +	X + + + + + X	+ + + + X	+ + + + X	+ + + X	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + X	+ + + + X	+ + + + X	+ + + X	+ + +	1 50 49 50 50 8 3
Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Fibrosarcoma Small intestine Large intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ ++++ ++	+ +++ ++	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + +	+ + + + + + X + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + X + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +++ ++	+ + + + + + X + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	X + + + + + X + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + X + + +	+ + + + + X + + +	, ++++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + + + X + +	+ + + + + X + +	+ +++ + + X ++	+ + + + X + +	+ + + +	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 50 \\ 49 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 1 \end{array} $
Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Fibrosarcoma Small intestine Large intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	+ ++++ ++ ++ ++	+ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ ++ + ++ X ++ + +	+ +++ ++ ++ ++	+ + + + * X + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +++ ++ ++ ++	+ ++++ X +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++ ++ ++ ++ ++	X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++X ++ + + +	+ + + + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++X ++ + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ ++ + + X ++ + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + +	1 50 49 50 50 8 3 2 50 50 1 50 1 50
Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Fibrosarcoma Small intestine Large intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adenoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + X + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + * X + + + + + + * X + + + + + * * * *	++++ + + + + + +	++++ ++ ++ ++ ++	+ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + X + + + + X	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + X + + + X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 50 \\ 49 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 50 \\ 1 \\ 50 \\ 1 \\ 50 \\ 49 \\ 6 \\ 50 \\ 2 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 40$
Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Bile duct Pancreas Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Fibrosarcoma Small intestine Large intestine Large intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urnary bladder ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adenoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular celi carcinoma C cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	+ ++++ ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ ++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	+ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ ++++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	X + + + + + + + + + + -	++++ X ++ + + + + + + X +	++++ X ++ + + + + + + + +	++++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	· + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + X + + + X + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 50\\ 49\\ 50\\ 50\\ 8\\ 3\\ 2\\ 50\\ 1\\ 50\\ 1\\ 50\\ 49\\ 6\\ 50\\ 2\\ 1\\ 2\\ 49\\ 3\\ 1\\ 45\\ \end{array} $

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 75 mg/kg (Continued)

* Animals necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3 6	0 4 7	0 3 1	0 2 1	0 2 9	0 4 9	0 1 1	0 1 9	0 3 8	0 4 4	0 3 7	0 2 6	0 4 5	0 0 1	0 3 5	0 3 0	0 3 4	0 0 5	0 0 8	0 2 7	0 4 8	0 0 7	0 2 3	0 0 6	0 4 1
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 3 4	0 4 5	0 5 6	0 5 6	0 5 8	0 6 0	0 6 4	0 6 4	0 6 4	0 6 7	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 7 8	0 7 8	0 7 9
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputal/cutoral gland	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	* x N	+ N	+ N	+ X N	+ X X N	N N	+ N	+ N	* X N	+ X N	+ N	+ X N	+ X N	+ N	+ X N	+ X X N	+ X N	+ N	+ X N	+ X N	+ N
Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Uterus Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	х +	+	+ X	+	+	х +	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+
Leiomyosarcoma Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma Deciduoma Ovary Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+ x	+	x +	+	+	+	+	X +	+	X +	х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Ghoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Fibrosarcoma Mediastinum Neurilemoma Mesentery Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N X N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N X N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 75 mg/kg
(Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 2	0 3 3	0 1 5	0 4 0	0 2 8	0 2 0	0 0 2	0 5 0	0 0 4	0 4 3	0 4 6	0 3 2	0 0 9	0 0 3	0 1 4	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 2 2	0 2 5	0 1 8	0 3 9	0 1 0	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 2 4	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 7 9	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 6	TISSUES TUMORS
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarenoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputal/chtoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Uterus Adenoma, NOS	+ X N X +	+ X N +	+ N +	+ X N +	+ X N X +	+ X N +	+ X N +	+ X N X +	+ X N +	+ X N +	+ X N +	+ X N +	+ X N X +	+ X N X +	+ X N +	+ X N + X	+ X N X +	+ X N +	+ X N +	+ X N +	+ X N +	+ X N +	+X X N X +	+ X N X +	+ X N +	*50 16 29 *50 5 7 50 1 2
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive Leiomyosarcoma Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma Deciduoma Ovary Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	x +	+	+	x +	+	x +	x +	+	X +	X X +	+	X +	x +	х +	X X +	+	+	÷	+	x +	x +	+	+	+	1 2 14 1 1 50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Glioma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	50 4
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Fibrosarcoma Mediastinum Neurilemoma Mesentery Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	И И И	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	и и и	N N N	N N N	N N N X	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	*50 1 *50 1 *50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	*50 20

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 75 mg/kg
(Continued)

* Animals necropsied

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/49 (2%)	3/44 (7%)	0/41 (0%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/28(0%)	1/4(25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	100	67	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.413	P = 0.085	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.353N	P = 0.368	P = 0.617N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.436N	1 -0.000	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.43011	P=0.269	P = 0.544N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosar	coma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	4/50(8%)	2/50(4%)
Effective Rates (h)	1/49 (2%)	4/44 (9%)	2/41(5%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/28 (0%)	1/4 (25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	100	67	84
Life Toble Tests (d)	D=0.025	D-0.022	$D \rightarrow 0.05C$
Incidental Turney Tests (d)	F = 0.035	F = 0.033 D = 0.961	P = 0.000
Incidental lumor lests (d)	P=0.548	P = 0.261	P = 0.627
Cochran-Armitage Irend Test (d)	P = 0.331	D	D 0 400
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.149	P = 0.433
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell I	Leukemia		
Overall Rates (a)	13/50 (26%)	14/50 (28%)	20/50 (40%)
Effective Rates (b)	13/49(27%)	14/44 (32%)	20/41 (49%)
Terminal Rates (c)	6/28 (21%)	0/4 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	75	68	67
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.006	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.086	P = 0.502N	P = 0.133
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.020		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.370	P = 0.025
Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	5/50(10%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/46 (2%)	3/37 (8%)	5/26(19%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/28(4%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	79	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.061	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.084	P = 0.318	P = 0.147
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.004	1 -0.010	1-0:141
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.012	D-0.990	P = 0.021
risher Exact rest (u)		r = 0.230	1 = 0.021
Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous	Cell Papilloma	0/50/07	0/50/10~
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50(6%)	6/30 (12%) 6/96 (99%)
LHECTIVE RATES (D)	1/46 (2%)	3/37 (8%)	6/26 (23%)
reminal Rates (c)	1/28 (4%)	0/4 (0%)	0/0
week of First Observation	104	79	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.061	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.032	P = 0.318	P = 0.062
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.004		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.230	P = 0.008
Oral Cavity (Mouth or Tongue): Squamous	Cell Papilloma or Carc	inoma	
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	7/50 (14%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/46 (2%)	3/37 (8%)	7/26 (27%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/28 (4%)	0/4 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	79	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.061	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.017	P = 0.318	P = 0.042
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.001		

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	8/50 (16%)
Effective Bates (b)	0/47(0%)	4/38 (11%)	8/30 (27%)
Terminal Pates (b)	0/98/00/0	$\frac{1}{4}(950)$	0/0
	0/28(0%)	1/4 (20%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	D	84	11
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.007	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.053	P = 0.004
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.036	P<0.001
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/43(0%)	0/31(0%)	3/18(17%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/28(0%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	0,2010,0,		85
L ifo Table Tests (d)	P = 0.004	(1)	P = 0.011
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.004	(1)	P = 0.011
Incidental lumor lests (d)	P = 0.084	(1)	P = 0.301
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.006		D
Fisher Exact Test (d)		(1)	P = 0.023
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Car	cinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50(0%)	4/50 (8%)	11/50(22%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/47 (0%)	4/38 (11%)	11/30 (37%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/28(0%)	1/4 (25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		84	77
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.007	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P < 0.001	P = 0.053	P = 0.002
Coobran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001	1 = 0.000	1 = 0.002
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 < 0.001	P=0.036	P<0.001
Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Oursell Bates (=)	19/50 (900)	14(50 (99.00)	C/FO (1997)
Overall Rates (g)	18/50 (36%)	14/50 (28%)	6/50 (12%)
Effective Rates (b)	18/49 (37%)	14/47 (30%)	6/45 (13%)
Terminal Rates (c)	11/28 (39%)	1/4 (25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	75	60	76
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.018	P = 0.030	P = 0.049
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.044N	P = 0.452 N	P = 0.063 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.008 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.00011	P = 0.207 N	P = 0.008 N
risher Exact rest(u)		1 -0.50714	r = 0.0081
Adrenal Cortex: Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma	0/50 / 407 >		0.000
Overall Rates (g)	2/50 (4%)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	2/43 (5%)	0/31 (0%)	3/18 (17%)
Terminal Rates (c)	2/28(7%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104		85
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.653 N	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.039	P = 0.707 N	P = 0.086
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.133	- 0110111	- 0.000
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.100	P = 0.334 N	P = 0.147
Tisher Exact rest(u)		1 -0.03410	1 -0.147
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma	F (FO) (100)	0/50 . 1	1 (10 (00))
Overail Rates (g)	5/50(10%)	2/50 (4%)	1/49 (2%)
Effective Rates (b)	5/38 (13%)	2/20(10%)	1/6(17%)
Terminal Rates (c)	4/28 (14%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	101	96	92
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.104	P = 0.465	P = 0.145
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.543 N	P = 0.549N	P = 0.748N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.612	. 0.04011	1 - 0,14014
Fisher Exact Test (d)	0.014	P = 0.542 N	P = 0.600
I ISHOL HAGU LOSU(U)		1 -0.04014	1 - 0.003

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDYOF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (g)	0/50 (0%)	0/50(0%)	3/49 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/49(0%)	0/38(0%)	3/35 (9%)
Terminal Bates (a)	0/28(0%)	0/00(0,0)	0/0
Week of First Observation	0/28(0%)	0/4 (0 %)	72
	D = 0.010	(5)	70 D=0.000
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.010	(1)	P = 0.032
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.141	(1)	P = 0.430
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.022		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		(f)	P = 0.069
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma or C	arcinoma		
Overall Rates (g)	0/50 (0%)	1/50(2%)	3/49 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/49(0%)	1/38(3%)	3/35 (9%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/28 (0%)	1/4(25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		104	73
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.166	P = 0.032
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.042	P = 0.128	P = 0.430
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.034	1 -0.120	1 - 0.400
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.034	D = 0.427	P = 0.060
Fisher Exact Test (d)		F = 0.457	r = 0.009
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	14/50(28%)	32/50 (64%)	29/50 (58%)
Effective Rates (b)	14/49(29%)	32/46 (70%)	29/44 (66%)
Terminal Rates (c)	10/28 (36%)	4/4 (100%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	87	68	64
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 (0,001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Mammany Cland: Adapagangingma			
Overall Potes (a)	1/50 (977)	11/50 (990)	10/50 (2001)
Effective Deter (b)	1/50 (2%)	11/30(22%) 11/49(99%)	16/30 (32%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/50 (2%)	11/48 (23%)	16/48 (33%)
Ierminal Rates (C)	0/28(0%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
week of First Observation	91	79	56
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.007	P = 0.033	P = 0.027
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.001	P<0.001
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma or Adenoca	rcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	14/50 (28%)	34/50 (68%)	37/50 (74%)
Effective Rates (b)	14/50 (28%)	34/48 (71%)	37/48 (77%)
Terminal Rates (c)	10/28 (36%)	4/4(100%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	87	68	56
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	B<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran Armitago Trond Tost (d)		1 <0.001	1 <0.001
Fisher Freet Test (d)	1 < 0.001	B < 0.001	P < 0.001
Fisher Exact Test(d)		P<0.001	P<0.001
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (g)	3/50 (6%)	7/50(14%)	7/50(14%)
Effective Rates (b)	3/47 (6%)	7/38 (18%)	7/30(23%)
Terminal Rates (c)	3/28 (11%)	2/4 (50%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	88	77
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.015	P = 0.040	P-0.031
Coobron Armitago Trond Toot (d)	P = 0.015	F - 0.049	r - 0.031
Fisher Fuset Test (d)	r = 0.025		D 0.000
risher Exact Test(a)		P=0.085	P = 0.036

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY
OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
Clitoral Gland: Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (g)	2/50(4%)	1/50(2%)	5/50 (10%)
Effective Rates (b)	2/49(4%)	1/43(2%)	5/40 (13%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/28 (4%)	1/4(25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	100	104	68
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.001	P = 0.499	P = 0.010
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.084	P = 0.713	P = 0.419
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.087		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.549N	P = 0.142
Clitoral Gland: Carcinoma or Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (g)	2/50 (4%)	2/50(4%)	5/50(10%)
Effective Rates (b)	2/49 (4%)	2/47(4%)	5/45 (11%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/28(4%)	1/4 (25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	100	60	68
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.003	P = 0.264	P = 0.010
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.149	P = 0.554	P = 0.419
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.122		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0,122	P = 0.676	P = 0.184
Cliteral Clands Adapama Cansingma on Adapa	aanalaama		
Oursell Poter (a)	E/EO (10g)	0/E0 (100)	19/50 (940)
Effective Potes (b)	5/50(10%)	9/30(18%)	12/50 (24%)
Effective Rates (b)	5/49(10%)	9/4((19%))	12/45 (27%)
Ierminal Rates (c)	4/28 (14%)	3/4 (75%)	0/0
week of First Observation	100	60	68
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.004	P = 0.044	P = 0.024
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.027		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.171	P = 0.035
Uterus: Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Effective Rates (b)	1/49 (2%)	0/38(0%)	3/37 (8%)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/28(4%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104		71
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.010	P = 0.834N	P = 0.017
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.142	P = 0.872N	P = 0.364
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.123		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.563N	P = 0.210
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp			
Overall Rates (a)	19/50 (38%)	21/50(42%)	14/50(28%)
Effective Rates (b)	19/50 (38%)	21/49 (43%)	14/50(28%)
Terminal Rates (c)	10/28 (36%)	0/4(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	86	34	64
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.275N	P = 0.558N	P = 0.585N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.175N	1 -0.00011	1 - 0.00011
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.17010	P = 0.387	P = 0.198N
brain: Glioma	0.000		
Overall Rates (g)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)
Effective Rates (b)	0/49 (0%)	4/46 (9%)	4/46 (9%)
Terminal Rates (c)	0/28 (0%)	1/4(25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		79	64
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.006	P = 0.003
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.073	P = 0.151	P = 0.153
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.052		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.051	P = 0.051

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY
OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
All Sites: Benign Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	38/50 (76%)	41/50 (82%)	36/50 (72%)
Effective Rates (b)	38/50 (76%)	41/49 (84%)	36/50 (72%)
Terminal Rates (c)	24/28 (86%)	4/4(100%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	75	34	60
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.037	P = 0.032	P = 0.056
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.360 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.242	P = 0.410N
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	19/50 (38%)	25/50 (50%)	40/50 (80%)
Effective Rates (b)	19/50 (38%)	25/49 (51%)	40/50 (80%)
Terminal Rates (c)	8/28 (29%)	1/4(25%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	57	60	34
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.441	P = 0.019
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.135	P<0.001
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	43/50 (86%)	43/50 (86%)	47/50 (94%)
Effective Rates (b)	43/50 (86%)	43/49 (88%)	47/50 (94%)
Terminal Rates (c)	25/28 (89%)	4/4 (100%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	57	34	34
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.014	P = 0.311	P = 0.145
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.129		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.516	P = 0.159

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined grossly at the site

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/effective number of animals, i.e., number of animals alive at the first occurrence of

tumors in any of the three groups

(c) Observed tumor incidence in animals killed at the end of the study

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage trend and Fisher exact tests were based on animals surviving to the first occurrence of the tumor in any of the three groups. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group than in vehicle controls is indicated by (N).

(e) No P value is presented because all high dose animals died before the tumor was observed in the vehicle control group.

(f) No P value is presented because no tumors were observed in the 37.5 mg/kg and vehicle control groups.

 $(g) \ Number \ of \ tumor-bearing \ animals/number \ of \ animals \ examined \ microscopically \ at \ the \ site$

TABLE B4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN FEMALEF344/N RATS (a)

Study	Incidence of Papillomas or Carcinomas in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle	Controls	
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/49	
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	(d) 1/50	
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	0/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	0/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	0/46	
Methyl carbamate (e)	0/50	
TOTAL	1/295 (0,3%)	
SD (f)	0.82%	
Range (g)		
High	1/50	
Low	0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Control	s	
TOTAL	(h) $3/1.623(0.2\%)$	
SD(f)	0.59%	
Range (g)		
High	1/49	
Low	0/50	
(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 wee	ka	
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute		

(c) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute (c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Squamous cell papilloma

(e) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(f) Standard deviation

(g) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

(h) Includes two squamous cell papillomas and one squamous cell carcinoma

TABLE B4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF GLANDULAR STOMACH SARCOMAS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

Number Examined	Number of Tumors	Diagnosis
ter Gavage Controls		
295	0	
r Untreated Controls		
1,623	1 (<0.1%)	Sarcoma, NOS
	Number Examined ter Gavage Controls 295 r Untreated Controls 1,623	Number ExaminedNumber of Tumorster Gavage Controls2952950r Untreated Controls1,6231,6231 (<0.1%)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks; no fibrosarcomas have been observed.

TABLE B4c.	HISTORICAL	INCIDENCE	OF	BRAIN GL	IAL	CELL	TUMORS	IN	FEMALE	F344/N	RATS	(a)
------------	------------	-----------	----	----------	-----	------	--------	----	--------	--------	------	-----

Study	Incidence in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle	Controls	
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/49	
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	(d) 1/50	
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	0/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	0/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	0/49	
Methyl carbamate (e)	0/50	
TOTAL	1/298 (0.3%)	
SD (f)	0.82%	
Range (g)		
High	1/50	
Low	0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Controls	1	
TOTAL	(h) 19/1,628 (1.2%)	
SD (f)	1.59%	
Range (g)		
High	3/50	
Low	0/50	

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Astrocytoma

(e) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc. (f) Standard deviation

(g) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(h) Includes 15 astrocytomas and 4 oligodendrogliomas

Study	Incidence of Papillomas or Carcinomas in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle	Controls	<u> </u>
Iodinated glycerol (b) Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c) Chlorpheniramine maleate (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c) Methyl carbamate (f)	0/50 (d) 1/50 0/50 (e) 1/50 0/49 0/50	
TOTAL SD (g)	2/2 99 (0.7%) 1.03%	
Range(h) High Low	1/50 0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Control	s	
TOTAL SD (g)	(i) 4/1,643 (0.2%) 0.66%	
Range(h) High Low	1/50 0/50	

TABLE B4d. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ORAL CAVITY SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks; all tumors were observed in the tongue.
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories
(d) Squamous cell carcinoma
(e) Squamous cell papilloma
(f) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(g) Standard deviation

(h) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(i) Includes one squamous cell papilloma and three squamous cell carcinomas

Study	Fibroadenoma	Adenocarcinoma	Fibroadenoma or Adenocarcinoma
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage V	ehicle Controls		
Iodinated glycerol (b)	13/50	0/50	13/50
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	6/50	1/50	7/50
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	14/50	3/50	15/50
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	11/50	1/50	11/50
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	21/49	0/49	21/49
Methyl carbamate (d)	15/50	0/50	17/50
TOTAL	(e) 80/299 (26.8%)	5/299(1.7%)	84/299 (28.1%)
SD (f)	10.12%	2.34%	9.97%
Range (g)			
High	21/49	3/50	21/49
Low	6/50	0/50	7/50
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated C	Controls		
TOTAL SD (f)	(h) 520/1,643 (31.6%) 12.23%	(i) 49/1,643 (3.0%) 2.07%	(h,i) 552/1,643 (33.6%) 11.95%
Range (g)			
High	30/50	4/50	32/50
Low	5/50	0/50	6/50

TABLE B4e. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute

(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Eight adenomas. NOS, were observed, all in animals also bearing fibroadenomas.

(f) Standard deviation

(g) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals. (h) Includes 11 adenomas, NOS, 2 cystadenomas, NOS, and 1 papillary cystadenoma, NOS

(i) Includes two carcinomas, NOS, two papillary adenocarcinomas, NOS, and one papillary cystadenocarcinoma, NOS

TABLE B4f. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TUMORS OF THE LARGE INTESTINE IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

	Number Examined	Number of Tumors
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage	Vehicle Controls	
	299	0
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated	Controls	
	1,601	0
	,	

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

TABLE B4g. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

	Incidence in Controls						
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma				
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	hicle Controls		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/46	0/46	0/46				
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	2/50	0/50	2/50				
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	0/47	0/47	0/47				
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	3/50	0/50	3/50				
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	0/49	1/49	1/49				
Methyl carbamate (d)	4/50	0/50	4/50				
TOTAL	9/292 (3.1%)	1/292(0.3%)	10/292(3.4%)				
SD(e)	3.52%	0.83%	3.26%				
Range (f)							
High	4/50	1/49	4/50				
Low	0/49	0/50	0/47				
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ontrols						
TOTAL	(g) 12/1.612 (0.7%)	4/1.612 (0.2%)	(g) 16/1.612 (1.0%)				
SD (e)	0.99%	0.67%	1.15%				
Range (f)							
High	1/48	1/49	2/49				
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50				
	0,00	0.00	0,00				

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories
(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation
(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(g) Includes one papillary adenoma

TABLE B4h. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

Study	Incidence of Leukemia in Controls					
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle Controls						
Iodinated glycerol(b)	15/50					
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	5/50					
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	11/50					
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	4/50					
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	23/49					
Methyl carbamate (d)	17/50					
TOTAL	75/299 (25.1%)					
SD(e)	14.90%					
Range (f)						
High	23/49					
Low	4/50					
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Controls	3					
TOTAL	324/1 643 (19 7%)					
SD (e)	8.10%					
Range (f)						
High	20/50					
Low	20/50					
LUW	3/30					

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories
(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.
(e) Standard deviation
(f) Paragent 200 processes and for process and 200 processes and 200 proceses and 200 proceses and 200 processes and 200 pr

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

	Incidence in Controls						
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma				
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	hicle Controls						
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/50	2/50	2/50				
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	1/50	0/50	1/50				
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	5/50	0/50	5/50				
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	0/50	(d) 3/50	3/50				
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	4/49	4/49	8/49				
Methyl carbamate (e)	3/50	0/50	3/50				
TOTAL	13/299 (4.3%)	9/299 (3.0%)	22/299(7.4%)				
SD (f)	4.30%	3.57%	5.12%				
Range (g)							
High	5/50	4/49	8/49				
Low	0/50	0/50	1/50				
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ntrols						
TOTAL	(h) 62/1.643 (3.8%)	(i) 53/1.643 (3.2%)	(h.i) 115/1.643 (7.0%)				
SD (f)	4.36%	3.49%	4.86%				
Range (g)							
High	10/50	6/49	10/50				
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50				

TABLE B4i. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF CLITORAL GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute

(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Adenocarcinomas

(e) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(f) Standard deviation (g) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals. (h) Includes one papilloma, NOS

(i) Includes three squamous cell carcinomas and four adenocarcinomas, NOS

TABLE B4j. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF NASAL CAVITY TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

	Number Examined	Number of Tumors
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage V	ehicle Controls	
	299	0
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated C	Controls	
	1,643	0

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

	Vehicle	Control	37.5 1	ng/kg	75 m	g/kg
Animals initially in study	50	<u> </u>	50		50	
Animals necropsied	50		50		50	
Animals examined histopathologically	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM		<u> </u>				
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)		
Amyloidosis	1	(2%)				
Hyperkeratosis	(50)		(20)		1	(2%)
Homorrhago	(50)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
Granuloma, foreign body	1	(2%)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				<u></u>	·	
#Nasal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congenital malformation, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute	2	(4%)			4	(8%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic	4	(8%)	5	(10%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	10	(20%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)				
Metaplasia, squamous	(50)		1	(2%)		
# I rachea Inflammation_chronic diffuse	(00)	(1.4.07.)	(50)		(49)	(COL)
#Lung/bronchus	(50)	(14%)	(50)		(50)	(6%)
Bronchiectasis	(00)	(6%)	(00)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2,0)
Atelectasis	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
Congestion, NOS			4	(8%)		
Edema, NOS			5	(10%)	4	(8%)
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hemorrhage, chronic	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal	0	(10~)			1	(2%)
Pheumonia, interstitial chronic	6	(12%)	4	(8%)	9	(18%)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium	14	(28%) (2%)	5	(10%)	3	(6%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	· · <u></u> ·	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>		
#Spleen	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Fibrosis	3	(6%)	14	(29%)	20	(40%)
Infarct, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hemosiderosis	12	(24%)	7	(14%)	11	(22%)
nematopolesis	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
#Lympn node Hemorrhage	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
Hyperplasia NOS	1	(90)	1	(2%)		
Angiectasis	1	$(\Delta 70)$ $(\Delta 96)$	1	(2%)		
Plasmacytosis	2	(-= /0 /	1	(2%)		
#Lung	(50)		(50)	(210)	(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	18	(36%)	1	(2%)	11	(22%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS					2	(4%)

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle	Control	37.5 r	ng/kg	75 mj	g/kg
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (Continued)	,	······				
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)			2	(4%)
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(50)		(50)	(00)
Inromoosis, NOS Endocardiogia					1	(2%)
#Myocardium	(50)		(50)		(50)	(270)
Degeneration NOS	49	(98%)	48	(96%)	46	(92%)
#Mitral valve	(50)	(00%)	(50)		(50)	(02/07
Endocardiosis	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
*Artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Arteriosclerosis, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
*Vein	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mouth	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)		
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)				
#Salivary gland	(48)		(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Liver Homorrhogo	(50)		(50)		(50)	(901)
Inflammation chronic focal	22	(119-)	11	(990)	1 5	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic local	22	(44.70)	11	(22%)	5	(10%)
Scar	1	(270)	1	(2%)		
Degeneration, lipoid	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Necrosis, focal	•		1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, coagulative	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	6	(12%)
Nuclear alteration					1	(2%)
Basophilic cyto change	36	(72%)	22	(44%)	24	(48%)
Clear cell change	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)	5	(10%)	5	(10%)
Angiectasis					6	(12%)
#Periportal bile duct	(50)		(50)	1.00	(50)	(10)
Hyperplasia, NOS	(50)	(2%)	(50)	(4%)	2	(4%)
Degeneration NOS	(50)		(50)		(50)	(9%)
#Pancreas	(50)		(50)		(49)	(2.)01
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	(40)	
Necrosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Esophagus	(50)		(50)	r	(50)	
Dysplasia, epithelial					1	(2%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	(00)
Diverticulosis		(90)			1	(2%)
Erosion	1	(2%)	1	(90)		
Fibrosis			1	(270)	9	(19/2)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	(-= /0)
Ulcer. acute	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)	
Inflammation, acute focal			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Ulcer, chronic	1	(2%)	3	(6%)		
Erosion	-		Ū.		1	(2%)
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)	16	(32%)	13	(26%)
Dysplasia, epithelial			12	(24%)	10	(20%)

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE
TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle	Control	37.5 1	mg/kg	75 m	g/kg
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)			<u> </u>			
#Ileum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)		
#Colon	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
URINARY SYSTEM		• <u>.</u>				
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hamartoma			1	(2%)		
Cyst, NOS	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal					2	(4%)
Nephropathy	13	(26%)	5	(10%)		
Hemoglobin pigment	1	(2%)				
#Kidney/glomerulus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Atypia, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Klaney/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0~)
Degeneration, nyaline	(10)		(FO)		1	(2%)
# Orinary bladder	(48)		(50)		(50)	(90)
Hemorrhage			1	(90)	1	(2%)
Inflammation chronic diffuse			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia papillary			1	(2%)		
			1 	(270)	1 1 - MH - I - I - IMI	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary intermedia	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Colloid cyst	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	7	(14%)
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
Angiectasis			1	(2%)		
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Mineralization		(00%)			1	(2%)
	19	(38%)	17	(34%)	24	(49%)
riorosis Cutoplaamie ve eveligetien		(90)	1	(2%)		
Huperplasing food	1	(2%)	0	(1901)		(901)
Angiectasis	12	(24%)	9	(18%)	4	(8%)
#Adrenal cortex	(50)	(12%)	(50)	(20%)	(50)	(12%)
Accessory structure	(00)		1	(2%)	(50)	
Degeneration, lipoid	2	(4%)	2	(2%)	2	(4%)
Necrosis, coagulative	-	(1)0)	-	(1)07	1	(2%)
Hypertrophy, focal	2	(4%)			-	
Hyperplasia, focal	17	(34%)	17	(34%)	12	(24%)
Angiectasis			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, focal	5	(10%)	_ 7	(14%)	2	(4%)
# Inyrold	(50)	(00%)	(50)	(10~)	(49)	
Hyperplasia, U-cell	10	(20%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
"Typerplasia, ioliicular cell #Paparantia jalata		(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Cell size alteration	(50)		(50)		(49)	(2%)
*Mammany aland	(FO)					
Cust NOS	(50)	(40)	(50)		(50)	
Uyst, NUO Multiple evets	2	(4%)				
multiple cysts	1	(2%)				

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)			
*Clitoral gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Cyst, NOS		1 (2%)	
Inflammation, NOS	1 (2%)		
Inflammation, acute	2(4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	1 (2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
*Vagina	(50)	(50)	(50)
Epidermal inclusion cyst	1 (2%)		
Inflammation, chronic	_ (,	1 (2%)	
#Uterus	(50)	(50)	(50)
Hemorrhage		3 (6%)	3 (6%)
Inflammation suppurative	15 (30%)	1(2%)	14 (28%)
#Literus/endometrium	(50)	(50)	(50)
Cvet NOS	(00)	(00)	2(1%)
Hunornlacia austia	11 (990)	6 (1904)	19 (940/-)
#Overv	(50)	(12%)	12 (2470)
Creat NOS			
Luteinized follic cyst	3 (0%)	1 (270)	2 (4%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
#Brain	(50)	(50)	(50)
Hemorrhage	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	
*Spinal cord	(50)	(50)	(50)
Hemorrhage	1 (2%)		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS	(50)	(20)	(50)
"Eye	(50)	(50)	(50)
nemorrnage	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	5 (10%)
Inliammation, chronic	(50)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Demonstration NOC	(50)	(50)	(50)
Degeneration, NOS	22 (44%)	16 (32%)	19 (38%)
"Lye/crystalline lens	(50)	(50)	(50)
Cataract	23 (46%)	16 (32%)	20 (40%)
"Harderian gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, chronic	10 (20%)	10 (20%)	6 (12%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*Skeletal muscle	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, chronic		1 (2%)	
BODY CAVITIES			
*Peritoneum	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, chronic			1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
Adipose tissue			
Hemorrhage			2
Inflammation, chronic		2	
Necrosis, fat	5	1	2
		<u></u>	<u> </u>
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY None			

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Glycidol, NTP TR 374

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

		PAGE
TABLE C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	137
TABLE C2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	140
TABLE C3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	148
TABLE C4a	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HARDERIAN GLAND TUMORS IN MALE B6C3F1 MICE	153
TABLE C4b	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN MALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	154
TABLE C4c	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN MALE $\mathrm{B6C3F}_1$ MICE	155
TABLE C4d	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	156
TABLE C4e	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN MALE ${\rm B6C3F}_1$ MICE	157
TABLE C4f	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	158
TABLE C4g	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF URINARY BLADDER TUMORS IN MALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	159
TABLE C4h	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF EPIDIDYMAL SARCOMAS IN MALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	159
TABLE C5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	160

	Vehicle	Control	25 m	g/kg	50 mg	g/kg
Animals intially in study	50		50	<u> </u>	50	
Animals necropsied	50		50		50	
Animals examined histopathologically	50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma					4	(8%)
Basal cell tumor			1	(90)	2	(4%)
Sebaceous adenoma Koratosoanthomo			1	(2%)		
*Subeutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Sarcoma NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Fibroma	-	(1)))	1	(2%)	-	
Fibrosarcoma	9	(18%)			3	(6%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM		····		<u> </u>		
#Nose	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Papilloma, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	_
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic	-		2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic	2	(4%)	7	(14%)	2	(4%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	6	(12%)	6	(12%)	8	(16%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	1	(14%)	5	(10%)	14	(28%) (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type					1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type			1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	4	(8%)	11	(22%)	3	(6%)
#Lymph node	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic			1	(2%)		
#Thymus	(40)	(0.01)	(37)		(42)	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	1	(3%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
#Spieen	(50)		(50)		(50)	(001)
nemangioma Hemangiosarcoma				(90)	1	(270)
#Heart	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma metastatic	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangioma	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
#Jejunum	(50)	/	(50)	. = . = .	(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma					1	(2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma					1	(2%)
*Tooth	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Odontoma, NOS	1	(2%)	_			
#Liver	(50)	(000)	(50)	(007)	(50)	(00~)
Henotocellular adenoma	18	(36%)	16	(32%)	30	(60%)
Fibrosarcoma metastatic	10	(20%)	17	(34%)	8	(10%) (9%)
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	10	(20%)	17	13470)	8	(10%)

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle	Control	25 m	g/kg	50 mg	g/kg
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Pancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma			2	(4%)	9	(18%)
Squamous cell carcinoma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Duodenum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Jejunum	(50)	_	(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Adenomatous polyp, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Ileum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
URINARY SYSTEM					<u></u>	
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic			(00)		1	(2%)
Tubular cell adenoma	1	(2%)			1	
#Urinary bladder	(50)	(2)07	(50)		(50)	
Transitional cell carcinoma	(00)		1	(2%)	1	(2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			<u></u>	. <u></u>		
#Antorior nituitary	(40)		(50)		(50)	
Adonoma NOS	(43)		(00)	(901.)	(30)	
#Adrenal	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Cortical adenoma	(00)	(20)	(00)	(206)	(00)	(2%)
#Adrenal/cansule	(50)	(270)	(50)	(270)	(50)	(270)
Adenoma NOS	(30)	$(\Lambda \sigma_{\rm h})$	(00)	(201)	(00)	(100)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)	(470)	(50)	(270)	(50)	(10%)
Pheochromocytoma	(00)	(6%)	(30)		(00)	(9%)
#Thyroid	(49)	(070)	(49)		(50)	(270)
Adenama NOS	(43)		(43)		(00)	(9%)
Follicular cell adenoma	1	(20)	9	(10)	1	(270)
	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS					1	(2%)
*Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS					2	(4%)
Mesothelioma, NOS					1	(2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM	<u></u>					
		.				_
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
Tharderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	7	(14%)	10	(20%)	16	(32%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	7	(14%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None						

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
BODY CAVITIES *Pleural cavity Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, invasive	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Omentum Hepatocellular carcinoma, invasive	1		
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	1		4
Moribund sacrifice	16	25	19
Terminal sacrifice	33	25	27
TUMOR SUMMARY			
Total animals with primary tumors**	42	47	49
Total primary tumors	80	90	128
Total animals with benign tumors	28	35	38
Total benign tumors	41	43	80
Total animals with malignant tumors	28	38	38
Total malignant tumors	38	47	47
Total animals with secondary tumors##	3	10	6
Total secondary tumors	3	10	9
Total animals with tumors			
uncertain benign or malignant	1		1
Total uncertain tumors	1		1

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. ** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors

Number of animals examined microscopically at this site ## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 3	0 1 1	0 4 9	0 1 7	0 1 2	0 0 4	0 2 7	0 2 8	0 2 2	0 2 6	0 0 5	0 1 3	0 1 9	0 3 1	0 1 8	0 3 3	0 4 5	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 4
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 4 2	0 4 5	0 6 3	0 7 2	0 7 6	0 7 8	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveoiar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveoiar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity Papilloma, NOS	++++	+ + -	++++	+++++	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	* * + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+++++	++++	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X	+ + + +	+ + +	+ X + +
H EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	++++	+ + -	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	++++-	+ + +	+ + + -	++++-	+++++	+++	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Odontoma, NOS Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangeosarcoma	N + +	N + +	N + + X	N + X	N + +	N + + X	N + + X X	N + + X	N + +	N + + X	N ++	N + +	N + + X	N +++	N + X	N + + X	N + X	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + + X X	N + + X	N + +	N + + X	N + +
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous ceil carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, invasive	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +
Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine	+	⊕x ⊕x +	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Urinary bladder	+++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+	++	++	+ +	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+	+ +	+ +	++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Adenoma, NOS Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma Parathyrond	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+ + + +	+++++	++++++	+ + +	++++++	++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + X +	+++++	+ + X + +	+++++++	+ + + X + +	++++++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testas Prostate	N + +	+++++	N + +	N + +	+++++	++++++	N + +	+++++	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardeman gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF GLYCIDOL: VEHICLE CONTROL

Tissue examined microscopically

 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 Yumor incidence
 Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 Animal missexed
 Multiple occurrence of morphology

No tissue information submitted C. Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M. Animal missing B. No necropsy performed

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 3	0 2 4	0	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 2	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 4	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+	*	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2 9
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity Papilloma, NOS	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ X + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + +	++++	++++	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	50 2 6 7 50 49 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	++++++	+ + + X	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+++++++	++++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++++	++++++	++++-	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	50 50 49 40 1
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Orai cavity Odontoma, NOS Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemaconescroma	N + +	N + + X	N + +	N + X	N + +	N + + X	N + X	N + +	N + X X	N + +	N + + X	N X + +	N + X	N + +	N + +	N + X	N + +	N + + X	N + X	N + X X	N + +	N + +	N + + X	N + +	N + +	*50 1 50 50 18 10
Bie duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell arcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, invasive Small intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ 2 + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + X +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	50 *50 50 50 1 1 50
Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	1 50
U RINARY SYSTEM Kıdney Tubular cell adenoma Urınary biadder	+++	+ +	+++	++	+++	++	++	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ X +	+ +	+++	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	50 1 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Adenoma, NOS Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thvroid	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+ + +	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + X +	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	++++	+ + +	49 50 2 1 3 49
Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	-	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	1 47
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Tests Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	*50 50 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 4

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

* Animals necropsied

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF GLYCIDOL: 25 mg/kg

																						~ '		~ '		
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 2 3	0 1 1	0 1 8	0 0 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 4 5	0 2 9	0 4 0	0 0 9	0 2 8	0 4 8	0 3 9	0 1 3	0 2 2	0 1 6	0 4 4	0 4 3	0 0 2	0 0 7	0 4 6	0 4 9	$\frac{0}{2}$ 5	0 0 5	
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 5 5	0 5 7	0 7 0	0 7 2	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 3	0 8 3	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 4	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Sebaceous adenoma Keratacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	*	+	N	*	+	+	+	X +	+	х +	+	+	+	+ X	+	
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ¥	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	x		x			x	x	x x	x			1		x		x										
Trachea Nasal cavity	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +																
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen	+++	++++	++++	+++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++++	+++	+ +	+++++	
Hemangiosarcoma Lymph nodes Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	٠	+	+	
Thymus CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	+	+	_	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	_	+	+	-	+			+	
Heart DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Salıvary gland Lıver Hepatocellular adenoma	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ + X	
Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangiosarcoma Bile duct	X +	х +	х +	х +	х +	х +	+	х +	Х +	+	х +	+	x +	+	+	Х +	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	
Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	++++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	N + +	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + + -	+ + +	
Stomacn Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	* * +	+	+	+	+	+	
Adenomatous poiyp, NOS Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urnary bladder Transitional cell carcinoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +													
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Adrenal Adenoma, NOS Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x+	+	+	
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ -	+	+	++	+	++	++	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	+	+	++	+	+ +	+	++	+	-	++	+ +	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Prostate	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardernan gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	
	1																									
ANIMAL NUMBER	003	0 0 4	0 0 6	0 0 8	0 1 0	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 4	0 2 6	0 2 7	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 4 7	0 5 0	
--	---	--	---------------------------------------	---	---	---------------------------------------	------------------	---	---	---	--	---	----------------	---	--	---	--	---	--	----------------------------	--	---	---	---------------------------------------	--	--
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TOTAL TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM																										·
Skin Sebaceous adenoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 *50 3 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachae	+ X	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	50 2 7 6 5 50
Nasal cavity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangnosarcoma Lymph nodes Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + X + +	+ + + +	50 50 1 50 1 37
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	! +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangiosarcoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urnary bladder	++ X ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++ + +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ +++ ++ ++	++ ++++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++X +++++ ++ ++ ++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + X X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ ++++ + + ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ X +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + X X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++ X+++++ ++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++ X +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ X +++++ +X +++++	+++X +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 16\\ 17\\ 3\\ 50\\ *50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 2\\ 50\\ 3\\ 1\\ 50\\ \hline \\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ \end{array}$
Transitional cell carcinoma ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Adenoma, NOS	++++	+ +	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	++	+++	++	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	
Cortical adenoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+ -	+ +	+ +	* x -	+ +	+ +	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	x + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	* *	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	$\begin{array}{c}1\\49\\2\\41\end{array}$
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	+++++++	N + +	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	N + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	*50 50 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 10 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	*50 1 11

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: 25 mg/kg
(Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 1	0 2 4	0 3 4	0 5 0	0 4 4	0 1 4	0 2 5	0 4 9	0 1 6	0 0 4	0 2 1	0 3 3	0 4 2	0 3 8	0 2 8	0 3 5	0 2 2	0 2 6	0 0 3	0 0 5	0 1 3	0 1 8	0 0 6	0 0 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 0 3	0 5 2	0 5 4	0 6 9	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Basal call tumor	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+,	+	+	+
Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Hontoredivide menome metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic						л	x	X	7		X X				x	x	x						x	x	x
Trachea Nasal cavity	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+						
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++
Lymph nodes Thymus	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +											
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Alveolar bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N
Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ + X X	+ + X	+ + X	+ X	+ + X	++	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+++	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X
Hemangiosarcoma Bile duct	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+
Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Alveolar/bronchiolar.carcinoma_metastatic	+++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	N +	+ +	+ +	+ +
Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell acomence	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	4 +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ + v	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +
Small intestine Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	л + +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM		,				·					· ·	•										,			
Kidney Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic Urnary bladder Transitional cell carcinoma	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ X +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+	+	+	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +						

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF GLYCIDOL: 50 mg/kg

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 5	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 3	0 2 7	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Basal cell tumor Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma	+ X +	+	+ +	+	++	* * +	++	+	+	+ + X	+	+ + X	+	+	+ +	+	+	+ X +	++	+	+ x +	+	+	+ X +	++	*50 4 2 *50 1 3
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	++	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	+ X +	+ X +	+	+ X +	+ X +	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	+ x +	+ x +	50 1 2 8 14 1 50 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Hemangtoma Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + + + +	++++++	+ + X + +	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	++++++	+ + + + -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++-	++++++	+ + + + +	++++++	+++++	++++++	++++++	+++++++	+++++++	50 50 1 50 42
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, meta	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Fibmsarcoma metastatu	N + X	N + X	N + X X	N + X	N + X	N + +	N + +	N + + X	N + +	N + + X X	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + + X	N + +	N + + X	N + + X	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + X X	N + +	N + +	*50 1 50 30 8 1
Hemangroma Hemangrosarcoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, meta	X + + + + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	++++++	++++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+ N +	++++	+++++	+++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+ + +	1 50 *50 50 1
Esopnagus Stomach Squamous cell carcinoma Smail intestine Hemangiosarcoma Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + X +	+ + X + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + X + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + X + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + X + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	50 50 9 1 50 1 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, meta Urnary bladder Transitional cell carcinoma	+	++	+	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	++	+	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 1 50 1

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: 50 mg/kg
(Continued)

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: 50 mg/kg (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{array}$	0 3 4	0 5 0	0 4 4	0 1 4	0 2 5	0 4 9	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 6 \end{array}$	0 0 4	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} $	0 3 3	0 4 2	0 3 8	0 2 8	0 3 5	0 2 2	0 2 6	0 0 3	0 0 5	0 1 3	0 1 8	0 0 6	0 0 1	0 0 2
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 0 3	0 5 2	0 5 4	0 6 9	0 7 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 7 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 7 3	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 4	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Adenoma, NOS Cortical adenoma	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ + +	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	++++
Adenoma, NOS Parathyroid	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +											
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate Seminal vesicle Adenocarcinoma, NOS Epididymis Sarcoma, NOS Mesothelioma, NOS	N + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + N	N + + X + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + X N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardernan gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Aiveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X

TABLE C2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	MALE	MICE:	50	mg/kg
				(Continue	d)				

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$	0 1 2	0 1 5	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 2 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 9 \end{array} $	0 3 0	0 3 1	${0 \\ 3 \\ 2}$	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\4\end{array}$	1 0 4	1 0 4	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{array} $	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\4\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{array} $	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{array} $	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\4\end{array}$	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Adenoma, NOS Cortical adenoma	+++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	++++	+ +	+ +	50 50 5 1
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Adenoma, NOS Parathyroid	+ x +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	$\begin{bmatrix}1\\50\\1\\47\end{bmatrix}$
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate Seminai vesicle Adenocarcinoma, NOS Epididymis Sarcoma, NOS Mesothelioma, NOS	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + + N X X	N + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + + N X	N + + + N	N + + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + + + + N	N + + + N	N + + + N	*50 50 *50 1 *50 2 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenan giana Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N X X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	*50 16 7
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, invas	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 3 3

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Skin: Souamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/33(0%)	0/25(0%)	3/27(11%)
Week of First Observation	0/00 (0/2)	0/20 (0 /0)	90
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.012	(p)	P = 0.045
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.012	(e) (e)	P = 0.047
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.015	(8)	1 = 0.047
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.015	(e)	P=0.059
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma	0/50 (100)	0/50 (00)	
Overall Rates (a)	9/50(18%)	0/50(0%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	22.5%	0.0%	10.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/33 (9%)	0/25 (0%)	2/27(7%)
Week of First Observation	86		93
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.049N	P = 0.009N	P = 0.127N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.015N	P<0.001N	P = 0.045 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.021 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.001 N	P = 0.061 N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarc	oma		
Overall Rates (a)	9/50 (18%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	22,5%	3.7%	10.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/33 (9%)	0/25(0%)	2/27 (7%)
Week of First Observation	86	101	93
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.059N	P = 0.031 N	P = 0.127N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.016N	P = 0.001 N	P = 0.045N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.025N	1 = 0.00110	1 - 0.04010
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.0201	P = 0.008 N	P = 0.061 N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma or Fibrosarc	eoma		
Overall Rates (a)	11/50(22%)	3/50 (6%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	26.7%	7.3%	12.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/33 (12%)	0/25(0%)	2/27 (7%)
Week of First Observation	72	80	93
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.058N	P = 0.060 N	P = 0.110N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.012N	P = 0.003 N	P = 0.025 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.023 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.020 N	P = 0.045 N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma Sarcoma or	Fibrosarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	11/50 (22%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	26.7%	10.8%	12.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	$\frac{20.1}{2}$	0/25 (0%)	2/27(7%)
Week of First Observation	79	80	03
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.066N	P=0.114N	P = 0.110N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.010 N	P = 0.007 N	P = 0.025N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	D = 0.0121	r = 0.00714	1 - 0.02019
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r - 0.0201N	P = 0.045 N	P = 0.045 N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma	6/50 (19/1)	G/ED (1901)	9/50 (160)
Adjusted Rates (1)	0/0U(12%)	6/50(12%)	8/20(16%)
Aujustea Rates (D)	11.U%	17.9%	23.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/33(15%)	3/25 (12%)	4/27 (15%)
week of First Observation	76	79	73
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.233	P = 0.499	P = 0.276
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.309	P = 0.610	P = 0.389
Cocnran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.330	D	
Fisher Exact Test(d)		P = 0.620	P = 0.387

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (f)	7/50 (14%)	5/50 (10%)	14/50(28%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	19.6%	17.3%	45.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/33 (15%)	4/25 (16%)	11/27(41%)
Week of First Observation	98	79	76
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.017	P = 0.540 N	P = 0.026
Incidental Tumor Tosts (d)	P = 0.017	P = 0.340 M P = 0.431 M	P = 0.020
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.023	r = 0.4311	F = 0.044
Fisher Exact Test (d)	F = 0.043	P = 0.380 N	P = 0.070
		1 - 0.00011	1 - 0.010
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (f)	13/50 (26%)	11/50(22%)	21/50(42%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	35.4%	33.7%	62.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/33 (30%)	7/25(28%)	15/27 (56%)
Week of First Observation	76	79	73
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.016	P = 0.561	P=0.019
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.027	P = 0.456N	P = 0.045
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.051		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.408N	P = 0.069
Hematanaiatia System, Malignant I	oma Histioautia Tunc		
Overall Rates (a)		1/50 (90)	2/50 (60)
Adjusted Bates (h)	0/50(0%)	1/50(2%)	3/30 (0%)
Terminal Bates (a)	0.0%	3.1% 0/95/0//\	0.270
Week of First Observation	0/33(0%)	0/25(0%)	0/27 (0%)
Week of First Observation	B 0.051		54
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.051	P = 0.448	P = 0.102
Contract Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.082	P = 0.607	P = 0.195
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.060	D-0500	D-0191
Fisher Exact Test(u)		P = 0.500	P = 0.121
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	noma, Mixed Type		
Overall Rates (a)	5/50(10%)	11/50 (22%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	13.7%	36.0%	9.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/33 (9%)	6/25(24%)	2/27 (7%)
Week of First Observation	89	93	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.465N	P = 0.036	P = 0.450 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.337 N	P = 0.108	P = 0.363 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.326N	. 01200	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.02010	P = 0.086	P = 0.357 N
Hematopoletic System: Lymphoma, All M	1alignant 5/50 (10%)	19/50 (94%)	7/50 (140)
Adjusted Rates (b)	1370/	12/00 (2470) 28 10%	197%
Terminal Rates (a)	10.170 9/99/00()	00.470 C/05 (0401)	10, 70 9/97, 70
Week of First Observation	3/33 (9%)	0/20 (24%)	2/2 ((170) E A
Life Table Tests (d)	07 D = 0.990	90 D - 0 091	04 D - 0.904
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.229	P = 0.021	P = 0.294
Cocheren Anneite ne Treve I Treve (d)	P = 0.392	P = 0.076	P = 0.485
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.341	D A A F A	
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.054	P = 0.380
Circulatory System: Hemangiosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.1%	13.8%	5.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/33 (0%)	3/25 (12%)	1/27(4%)
Week of First Observation	63	87	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.353	P = 0.139	P = 0.470
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.436	P = 0.186	P = 0.637
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.406		- 0.001
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.181	P = 0.500
			1 - 0.000

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Circulatory System: Hemangioma or Hema	ingiosarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	4/50(8%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	21%	13.8%	9.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/33 (0%)	3/25 (12%)	2/27(7%)
Week of First Observation	63	97	2/21(170)
Life Table Tests (d)	D = 0.206	D-0120	P = 0.268
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.200	P = 0.139 P = 0.186	P = 0.200
Coshron Armite as Trend Test (d)	F = 0.203	P = 0.160	P = 0.369
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.252	P = 0.181	P=0.309
Liver: Henstocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rotes (f)	18/50 (260)	16/50 (220)	20/50 (60%)
Adjusted Rates (h)	18/30 (36%)	10/00 (02%)	30/30 (80%) 99 90
Terminal Bates (a)	44,470	00.2% 10/05 (40//)	04.0%
Wook of First Observation	11/33 (33%)	10/20 (40%)	21/27((8%)
Life Table Tests (d)	/2 D 0.000	83 D 0 450	73
	P = 0.002	P = 0.452	P = 0.003
Incidental Lumor Lests (d)	P = 0.004	P = 0.380 N	P = 0.008
Cochran-Armitage Frend Test (d)	P = 0.010		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.417 N	P = 0.014
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (f)	10/50 (20%)	17/50 (34%)	8/50(16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	26.6%	40.6%	20.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/33(21%)	6/25 (24%)	2/27 (7%)
Week of First Observation	85	55	52
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.509 N	P = 0.055	P = 0.530N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.271 N	P = 0.142	P = 0.395 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.361 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.088	P = 0.398N
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcino	oma		
Overall Rates (f)	24/50 (48%)	31/50 (62%)	35/50 (70%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	56.6%	73.8%	84.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/33 (45%)	15/25 (60%)	21/27 (78%)
Week of First Observation	72	55	52
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.007	P = 0.040	P = 0.007
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.018	P = 0.204	P = 0.017
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.016		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.114	P = 0.021
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	9/50(18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	5.5%	27.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/33 (0%)	0/25(0%)	5/27 (19%)
Week of First Observation		83	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.208	P = 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.367	P = 0.003
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.247	P = 0.001
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	2/50(4%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.0%	5.5%	29.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/33(3%)	0/25(0%)	5/27(19%)
Week of First Observation	104	83	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.439	P = 0.003
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.593	P = 0.005
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.001	1 -0.000	1 - 0.000
	• - • • • • • • •		

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF
GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Small Intestine: Adenomatous Polyn or A	denocarcinoma		<u></u>
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	4/50(8%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.0%	12.9%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/33 (3%)	2/25 (8%)	0/27(0%)
Week of First Observation	45	87	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.273N	P = 0.268	P = 0.262N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.252N	P = 0.193	P = 0.262 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.222N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.339	P = 0.247 N
Adrenal Capsule: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (f)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	5/50(10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.1%	3.6%	16.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/33 (6%)	0/25 (0%)	3/27 (11%)
Week of First Observation	104	100	93
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.097	P = 0.584N	P = 0.155
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.117	P = 0.503 N	P = 0.188
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.133		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500 N	P = 0.218
Adrenal Medulla: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (f)	3/50 (6%)	0/50(0%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.1%	0.0%	3.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/33 (9%)	0/25(0%)	1/27 (4%)
Week of First Observation	104		104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.228N	P = 0.165 N	P = 0.378N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.229 N	P = 0.173N	P = 0.378N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.176N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.121 N	P = 0.309 N
Harderian Gland: Adenoma	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Overall Rates (f)	7/46 (15%)	10/41 (24%)	16/44 (36%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	20.2%	34.6%	48.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/33 (18%)	8/25 (32%)	11/27(41%)
Week of First Observation	96	93	73
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.006	P = 0.146	P = 0.009
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.010	P = 0.184	P = 0.018
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.014		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.210	P = 0.019
Harderian Gland: Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (f)	1/46 (2%)	$\frac{2}{41}(5\%)$	7/44(16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.0%	5.0%	21.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/33 (3%)	0/25(0%)	4/27 (15%)
Week of First Observation	104	83	72
Life lable lests (d)	P = 0.011	P = 0.453	P = 0.021
Carliner a number Tests (d)	P = 0.013	P = 0.397	P = 0.037
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.012	D 0 170	D 0.005
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.456	P = 0.025
Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Adenocar	cinoma	19/41/900	99/11 (2001)
A divised Pates (h)	8/40 (1°(%)	12/41 (29%)	22/44 (50%) 61 10
Aujustea Rates (b)	23.2%	37.9%	61.1%
Ierminal Rates (c)	7/33 (21%)	8/25 (32%)	14/27 (52%)
week of First Observation	96	83	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.103	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.115	P = 0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001	D 01:-	
risner Exact Test (d)		P = 0.145	P = 0.001

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF
GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
All Sites: Benign Tumors	······································	·····	
Overall Rates (a)	28/50 (56%)	35/50 (70%)	38/50 (76%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	66.2%	87.3%	94.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	19/33 (58%)	20/25 (80%)	25/27 (93%)
Week of First Observation	72	79	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.004	P = 0.018	P = 0.005
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.005	P = 0.128	P = 0.014
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.021		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.107	P = 0.028
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	28/50 (56%)	38/50 (76%)	38/50 (76%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	61.6%	80.4%	84.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	16/33 (48%)	16/25 (64%)	20/27 (74%)
Week of First Observation	45	55	52
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.015	P = 0.016	P = 0.016
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.032	P = 0.085	P = 0.035
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.020		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.028	P = 0.028
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	42/50 (84%)	47/50 (94%)	49/50 (98%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	87.4%	94.0%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	27/33 (82%)	22/25(88%)	27/27 (100%)
Week of First Observation	45	55	52
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.019	P = 0.038	P = 0.019
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.016	P = 0.244	P = 0.024
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.008		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.100	P = 0.015

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined grossly at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence in animals killed at the end of the study

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group than in vehicle controls is indicated by (N). (e) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the dosed and vehicle control groups

(f) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined microscopically at the site

		Incidence in Controls	
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	ehicle Controls		
Iodinated glycerol (b)	4/50	0/50	4/50
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	6/50	(d) 1/50	7/50
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	1/50	0/50	1/50
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	3/50	0/50	3/50
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	1/50	(e) 1/50	2/50
Methyl carbamate (f)	2/50	0/50	2/50
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	3/50	0/50	3/50
TOTAL	20/350 (5.7%)	2/350 (0.6%)	22/350(6.3%)
SD(g)	3.55%	0.98%	3.90%
Range(h)			
High	6/50	1/50	7/50
Low	1/50	0/50	1/50
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated C	ontrols		
TOTAL	(i) 61/1.692 (3.6%)	(i) 6/1 692 (0 4%)	(i, i) 67/1.692 (4.0%)
SD (g)	3.23%	0.78%	3.14%
Range(h)			
High	6/50	1/49	6/50
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50

TABLE C4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HARDERIAN GLAND TUMORS IN MALE B6C3F1 MICE (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute (c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Adenocarcinoma, NOS (e) Papillary adenocarcinoma

(f) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc. (g) Standard deviation

(b) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
 (i) Includes five papillary adenomas, five cystadenomas, NOS, and six papillary cystadenomas, NOS
 (j) Includes two adenocarcinomas, NOS

	Incidence in Controls					
Study	Papilloma	Carcinoma	Papilloma or Carcinoma			
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	hicle Controls					
Iodinated glycerol (b) Chlorpheniramine maleate (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c) Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c) Methyl carbamate (d) Chloringtod traiodium phosphoto (b)	0/50 0/50 0/50 0/50 2/50 0/50	0/50 0/50 0/50 0/50 0/50 0/50	0/50 0/50 0/50 2/50 0/50 0/50			
TOTAL SD (e)	2/350 (0.6%) 1.51%	0/350 0.00%	2/350 (0.6%) 1.51%			
Range (f) High Low	2/50 0/50	0/50 0/50	2/50 0/50			
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ontrols					
TOTAL SD (e)	(g) 4/1,692 (0.2%) 0.82%	5/1,692(0.3%) 0.72%	(g) 9/1,692 (0.5%) 1.02%			
Range (f) High Low	2/50 0/50	1/49 0/50	2/50 0/50			

TABLE C4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN MALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories
(f) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation
(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(g) Includes one papilloma, NOS

Study	Papilloma	Carcinoma	Papilloma or Carcinoma
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ver	nicle Controls		
odinated glycerol(b)	0/49	0/49	0/49
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	1/50	1/50	2/50
[etrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	0/47	1/47	1/47
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	0/44	0/44	0/44
[etrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	0/41	0/41	0/41
Methyl carbamate (d)	2/50	0/50	2/50
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	3/50	0/50	3/50
TOTAL	6/331 (1.8%)	2/331 (0.6%)	8/331 (2.4%
SD (e)	2.43%	1.01%	2.43%
Range (f)			
High	3/50	1/47	3/50
		0/50	0/40

TABLE C4c. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN MALE $B6C3F_1\ MICE\ (a)$

TOTAL (g) 9/1,645 (0.5%) 1/1,645(0.1%)(g) 10/1,645 (0.6%)SD(e) 1.16% 0.34% 1.19% Range (f) 2/49 High 2/49 1/50Low 0/50 0/50 0/50

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation
(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(g) Includes one papilloma, NOS

Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	hicle Controls		
Iodinated glycerol (b)	8/50	2/50	10/50
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	10/50	6/50	16/50
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	8/49	10/49	17/49
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	4/50	14/50	17/50
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	9/48	10/48	18/48
Methyl carbamate (d)	9/50	5/50	14/50
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	6/50	9/50	14/50
TOTAL	54/347 (15.6%)	56/347 (16.1%)	106/347 (30.5%)
SD(e)	4.21%	8.03%	5.83%
Range (f)			
High	10/50	14/50	18/48
Low	4/50	2/50	10/50
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ontrols		
TOTAL	233/1,678 (13.9%)	285/1.678(17.0%)	494/1,678 (29,4%)
SD (e)	7.50%	6.31%	8.04%
Range (f)			
High	22/50	15/50	29/50
Low	2/45	4/50	7/48

TABLE C4d. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories
(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	hicle Controls		
Iodinated glycerol(b)	8/50	1/50	9/50
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	12/50	5/50	16/50
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	1/50	3/50	4/50
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	7/47	5/47	10/47
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	5/50	2/50	7/50
Methyl carbamate (d)	11/50	0/50	11/50
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	2/50	6/50	8/50
TOTAL	46/347 (13.3%)	22/347 (6.3%)	65/347 (18.7%)
SD(e)	8.42%	4.63%	7.51%
Range (f)			
High	12/50	6/50	16/50
Low	1/50	0/50	4/50
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ontrols		
TOTAL SD (e)	204/1,684(12.1%) 6.18%	80/1,684(4.8%) 2.70%	277/1,684(16.4%) 6.91%
Range (f) •			
High	14/50	5/49	17/50
Low	1/50	0/49	4/50
	2.00		

TABLE C4e. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN MALE $\mathsf{B6C3F}_1$ MICE (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute (c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE C4f. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE B6C3F1 MICE (a)

	Incidence in Controls			
Study	Lymphoma	Lymphoma or Leukemia		
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicl	le Controls			
Iodinated glycerol (b)	10/50	10/50		
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	9/50	9/50		
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	9/50	9/50		
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	4/50	4/50		
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	2/50	2/50		
Methyl carbamate (d)	4/50	4/50		
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	4/50	4/50		
TOTAL	42/350 (12.0%)	42/350 (12.0%)		
SD(e)	6.43%	6.43%		
Range (f)				
High	10/50	10/50		
Low	2/50	2/50		
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Contr	ols			
TOTAL	193/1,692 (11.4%)	196/1,692 (11.6%)		
SD(e)	6.07%	6.31%		
Range (f)				
High	13/50	14/50		
Low	1/50	1/50		

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute

(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories (d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE C4g. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF URINARY BLADDER TUMORS IN MALE $\mathsf{B6C3F}_1$ MICE (a)

	Number Examined	Number of Tumors
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vel	nicle Controls	
	350	0
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Con	ntrols	
	1,647	0

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

TABLE C4h. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF EPIDIDYMAL SARCOMAS IN MALE $\mathsf{B6C3F}_1$ MICE (a)

Number Examined	Number of Tumors	Diagnosis
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle Contro	bls	
350	0	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Controls		
1,692	1	Sarcoma, NOS

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

	Vehicle	Control	25 m	g/kg	50 m	g/kg
Animals initially in study	50	<u></u>	50		50	
Animals necropsied	50		50		50	
Animals examined histopathologically	50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			<u></u>	<u></u>		
*Skin	(50)		(50)	(97)	(50)	(00)
Inflammation, chronic	9	(10)	1	(2%)	I	(2%)
Hyperplasia NOS	2	(+170)			1	(2%)
Acanthosis	1	(2%)			•	(2.0)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Scar			2	(4%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM		<u></u>				
#Nasal cavity	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	2	(4%)	5	(10%)	1	(2%)
Metaplasia, squamous #Nogo	1	(2%)			180	
#INOSE Polyn inflammatory	(49)		(50)		(50)	(906)
#Lung/bronchus	(50)		(50)		(50)	(270)
Inflammation, suppurative			(00)		1	(2%)
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal			0		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, alveelar epithelium	5	(1004)	2	(4%) (9%)	2	(60)
Histiocytosis	5	(1070)	*	(070)	1	(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM #Bone marrow Hyperplasia bematopoietic	(50)	(4%)	(50)	- <u></u>	(50)	
#Spleen	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)		
#Splenic red pulp	(50)	(000)	(50)	(0~)	(50)	
#Lymph pode	10	(20%)	(50)	(8%)	(50)	(14%)
Hemorrhage	(45)		(50)		2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	2	(4%)			$\frac{1}{2}$	(4%)
Hyperplasia, megakaryocytic	1	(2%)			-	· · · •
Myelopoiesis					1	(2%)
# Mesenteric lymph node	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphold	(50)		(50)	(4%)	(50)	
Leukocytosis, NOS	(50)		(00)		(50)	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2.0)
Myelopoiesis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Peyer's patch	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					1	(2%)
# Aldney	(50)		(50)	(100)	(50)	
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		501	(10%)	(50)	
Myelopoiesis	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM					<u> </u>	
#Lymph node	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS			3	(6%)		

TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle	Control	25 m	g/kg	50 m	g/kg
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	(10)
Inflammation, NOS	3	(6%)	(50)		2	(4%)
# Myocardium Degeneration NOS	(50)		(50)	(6%)	(50)	
*Artery	(50)		(50)	(0,6)	(50)	
Periarteritis	3	(6%)	1	(2%)		
*Vein	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
*Tooth	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	6	(12%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Dysplasia, NOS	15	(30%)	17	(34%)	22	(44%)
#Liver	(50)	(90)	(50)	(901)	(50)	(90)
Scar	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Degeneration, lipoid	1	(270)			1	(2%)
Necrosis, coagulative	5	(10%)	5	(10%)	5	(10%)
Nuclear alteration	1	(2%)	-		2	(4%)
Basophilic cyto change	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Eosinophilic cyto change	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Clear cell change	2	(4%)			4	(8%)
Hyperplasia, focal	(50)		1	(2%)	(50)	
-Gallbladder Distontion	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
#Pancreas	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Edema, NOS	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Inflammation, acute	-	(= ())			1	(2%)
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Atrophy, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, local	(50)		(EQ)		2	(4%)
Inflammation acute	(00)		(50)	(20/2)	(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)	1	(270)	1	(2%)
Ulcer, chronic	1	(2,0)			3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	8	(16%)
Hyperkeratosis	3	(6%)	8	(16%)	7	(14%)
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS Inflormation couto	4	(8%)	11	(22%)	9	(18%)
Inflammation, acute focal	2	(4%)	1	(20)		
Nephropathy	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Metaplasia, osseous	1	(2%)	•	(270)	•	(2.00)
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		
#Urinary bladder	(50)	(90)	(50)	(90)	(50)	(907)
*Urethra	(50)	(270)	(50)	(2%)	(50)	(2%)
Inflammation, acute	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2%)
					1	

TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE
TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle	Control	25 m	g/kg	50 m	g/kg
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst. NOS	(10)		1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia focal	1	(2%)	•	(2707	2	(4/0/
Angiectasis	1	(270)			1	(2%)
#Adrenal/cansule	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2 /0 /
Hyperplasia focal	(007	(10)	(00)	(6%)	(007	(1%)
#Adrenal cortex	(50)	(4170)	(50)	(0,0)	(50)	(= /0)
Cypet NOS	(007		(00)	(2%)	(00)	
Hypertrophy NOS	1	(9%)	1	(270)		
Hypertrophy, 1005	1	(2.70)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Hyperplasia facal	2		1	(270)	-	(1904)
#Adrenal modulla	(50)		(50)	(0%)	(50)	(1270)
Huperplagia feed	(007	(10)	(007	(601)	(307	
#Thuroid	(40)	(4170)	(40)	(0%)	(50)	
Falliaulan avet NOS	(49)		(49)	(90)	(50)	
Hunorplasia folloular call	0	(40)	1	(270)	0	(10)
Howethered	2	(4170)	1	(270)	2	(4%)
# rarainyroid	(47)		(41)	(90)	(47)	
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)		
*Bulbourethral gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	(00)			
*Preputial gland	(50)	/ / /	(50)		(50)	
Cyst. NOS	1	(2%)	(00)		7	(14%)
Inflammation NOS	2 2	(16%)	15	(30%)	11	(22%)
Hyperplasia NOS	0		10	(8%)	11	
Hyperkerstorie			4	(206)		
#Prostato	(50)		(50)	(470)	150	
Triostate	(00)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(270)				(00)
Inliammation, chronic	(50)		(=0)		1	(2%)
*Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)				
#Testis/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)				
Dilatation, NOS					1	(2%)
*Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					2	(4%)
Inflammation, granulomatous			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
			<u></u>			
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation chronic	307	(196)	(00)		1	(2%)
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	(20)
" Mineralization	(00) A	(8%)	(00)		(00)	(6%)
Hemorrhage	4	(0/0)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
DECLAL SENSE ODCANG		<u> </u>				
*F						
Lye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS			1	(2%)		
"Lye/cornea	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congenital malformation, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic	4	(8%)			2	(4%)

TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE
TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle	Control	25 mg/kg	50 m	g/kg
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS (Continued)					
*Eye/retina Decemeration NOS	(50)	(2%)	(50)	(50)	
*Eye/crystalline lens	(50)	(2.70)	(50)	(50)	.00
Cataract *Harderian gland	(50)		(50)	1 (50)	(2%)
Inflammation, NOS Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)		3	(6%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
*Bone	(50)	(00)	(50)	(50)	
Fracture, NOS Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)		2	(4%)
BODY CAVITIES None					
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Adipose tissue					
Necrosis, fat	2			2	
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

		PAGE
TABLE D1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	167
TABLE D2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	170
TABLE D3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	180
TABLE D4a	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HARDERIAN GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	185
TABLE D4b	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	186
TABLE D4c	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM FIBROSARCOMAS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	187
TABLE D4d	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_{L}$ MICE	188
TABLE D4e	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	189
TABLE D4f	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF CIRCULATORY SYSTEM TUMORS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ mice	190
TABLE D4g	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF UTERINE TUMORS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	191
TABLE D4h	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TUMORS OF THE SMALL INTESTINE IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	192
TABLE D5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL	193

Glycidol, NTP TR 374

	Vehicle	Control	25 mg	g/kg	50 mg	g∕kg
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Animals necropsied	50		50		50	
Animals examined histopathologically	50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	. <u></u>	·		·	_	
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma					1	(2%)
Sebaceous adenoma			1	(2%)	1	(270)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS			1	(2%)	6	(12%)
Fibrosarcoma			2	(4%)	3	(6%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic	~	(00)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	3	(6%) (6%)	4	(8%) (19%)	5	(10%) (6%)
	ა 	(0%)		(1270)		(0%)
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)	4.01	(50)		(50)	(90)
Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated type	2 2	(4%) (6%)	9	(1%)	1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	J 1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	11	(22%)	19	(38%)	11	(22%)
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type					2	(4%)
#Liver	(50)	(97)	(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated type	· 1	(2%)			1	(206)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2/0)
Mast cell tumor					1	(2%)
#Jejunum	(50)		. (50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type					1	(2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM					<u> </u>	
*Peritoneal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)		
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
#Liver	(50)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
Hemangioma	(00)		(007		1	(2%)
Hemangiosarcoma					ĩ	(2%)
#Uterus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangioma			1	(2%)		
Hemangiosarcoma #Ouroru	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Hemangioma	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					<u> </u>	
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hepatocellular adenoma	6	(12%)	3	(6%)	10	(20%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	3	(6%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)
#Pancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS, invasive					1	(2%)

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle	Control	25 m	g/kg	50 mg	g/kg
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)		•		<u></u>	· · ·=	
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
#Duodenum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenomatous polyp, NOS			2	(4%)		
#Jejunum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Leiomyosarcoma					1	(2%)
#Ileum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS					1	(2%)
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Tubular cell adenocarcinoma			1	(2%)		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·					
# Pituitary pars intermedia	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)	(-0)			
#Anterior pituitary	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Adenoma, NOS	18	(37%)	5	(10%)	14	(29%)
#Adrenal	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cortical adenoma			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Adrenal/capsule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)		
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Follicular cell adenoma	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
C-cell adenoma			1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)	5	(10%)	15	(30%)
Fibroadenoma	1	(2%)				
#Uterus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS					1	(2%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS			3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Sarcoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
Leiomyosarcoma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Endometrial stromal polyp	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	5	(10%)
#Uvary	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	1	(2%)		(0.07)		
#Ovary/gramulass coll	(50)		1	(2%)	(50)	
Adenoma NOS	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
			1	(270)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive					1	(2%)
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	(50) 4	(8%)	(50) 10	(20%)	(50) 16	(32%)

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle	Control	25 mg/kg	50 m	g/kg
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM *Skeletal muscle Sarcoma, NOS Sarcoma, NOS, invasive	(50) 1	(2%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 1	(2%) (2%)
BODY CAVITIES *Peritoneum Sarcoma, NOS *Peritoneal mesothelium Mesothelioma, metastatic *Pleural cavity Mesothelioma, malignant	(50) (50) 1 (50)	(2%)	(50) 1 (2%) (50) (50)	(50) (50) (50) 1	(2%)
*Pleura Mesothelioma, malignant	(50) 1	(2%)	(50)	(50)	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS *Multiple organs Mesothelioma, malignant	(50)		(50)	(50) 1	(2%)
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY Animals initially in study Natural death Moribund sacrifice Terminal sacrifice Accidentally killed, NDA	50 3 18 29		50 5 18 27	50 5 27 17 1	
TUMOR SUMMARY Total animals with primary tumors** Total primary tumors Total animals with benign tumors Total benign tumors Total animals with malignant tumors Total animals with secondary tumors ## Total secondary tumors Total animals with tumors uncertain benign or malignant Total uncertain tumors	45 73 28 44 28 29 2 2		46 89 25 38 35 51 2 2 2	47 123 32 58 40 64 8 8 1 1	

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 4	0 2 2	0 1 5	0 2 7	0 0 8	0 3 7	0 2 8	0 0 5	0 2 9	0 2 0	0 1 7	0 3 1	0 4 3	0 0 1	0 0 4	0 2 3	0 2 1	0 3 0	0 1 0	0 4 2	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 9
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 2 8	0 5 5	0 7 1	0 7 6	0 7 6	0 8 0	0 8 5	0 8 7	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity	+++	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	 +	x + +	x + +	+ +	x + +	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	++++++	++++++	+ + + +	++++-	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	++++++	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated type	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	++++	+ + x
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Sguamous cell papilloma	+ + + + + +	+ N + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	++++++	+++++	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +
Small intestine Large intestine	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +
U RINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	++++	+++	+++++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++++	+++++	+++	+++	+++++	++++	++	++++	++++	+++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Adenoma, NOS Thyroid	+ + +	- + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	* * + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	* * + +	+ X + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ x + +	+ X + X +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	* * +	* * +	+ X + +
Adenoma, NOS Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	_	_	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Uterus Leiomyosarcoma Endometriai stromal polyp Hemangiosarcoma Ovary	+	++	+	+++	++	+++	++	+ X +	++	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+ X +	++	++	++	+++	++	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM																									
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	 N	- N	N	N	+ N	т N	N	+ N	N	+ N	+ N	+ N	N X	T N	+ N	T N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	T N	+ N	+ N	+ N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Mesothelioma, malignant Peritoneum Mesothelioma, metastatic	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N X N X	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated type Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histicoytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL: VEHICLE CONTROL

Tissue examined microscopically
 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 Tumor incidence
 Necrosy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 Animal missexed

No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed

ANIMAL NUMBER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	02	02	03	03	03	03	03	0	03	04	0 4	0	04	04	0 4 7	04	04	0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1	1		1		1 0 4		1 0 4	1	1	1 0 4		1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1		1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4		TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 3 3
Nasal cavity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	++++	+ + + +	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+++++	50 50 50 47
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Malig. lymphoma, undifferentiated type Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pantreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	+ + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++X ++++X ++++X ++	+ + X + X + X + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++++	++ X ++++ ++	++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++++++	++ ++++++++	++X +++++ ++	++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++++++	++X +++++ ++	++ +++++ ++	++ ++++++++	++ ++++X++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	50 50 6 3 1 50 *50 50 50 50 3 50 50 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++	++++	+++	+++++	+ +	++++	+	++++	+++	++++	+ +	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++	+++	+ +	+++++	++++	 + +	+ +	50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Adenoma, NOS Thyroid Adenoma, NOS Foliicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ X + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + X +	+ X + +	+ + + +	+ x + + +	+ + + +	+ + X +	+ + X +	+ + +	+ X + + +	++++++	+ + + +	+ X + + +	++++++	49 19 50 3 50 1 1 42
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Uterus Leiomyosarcoma Endometral stromal polyp Hemangnosarcoma Ovary Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	+ + *	+ + +	+ X +	++++	++++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+ + X +	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + X +	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	*50 1 50 1 2 1 50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	*50
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Mesothelioma, malignant Peritoneum Mesothelioma, metastatic	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	*50 1 *50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig, lymphoma, undifferentiated type Malig, lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig, lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	И	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	*50 2 3 1 11

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 0	0 2 1	0 1 5	0 1 9	0 3 2	0 1 6	0 4 3	0 0 7	0 4 9	0 0 9	0 2 5	0 4 7	0 2 4	0 3 5	0 4 0	0 3 8	0 2 6	0 0 5	0 2 3	0 4 4	0 4 2	0 1 7	0 0 4	0 0 1	0 0 2
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 2	0 6 6	0 7 0	0 7 6	0 7 8	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 2	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 8	0 9 8	1 0 1	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Sebaceous adenoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma Hemangtosarcoma	+++	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ + X	++	+ +	+	++	++	+ +	. + +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiojar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X
Alveolar/oronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	л + +	+ +	+ +	л + +	 +	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	++++	++++	++++-	+++++++	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+++++	++++	++++-	+ + + +	+ + + + +	++++-	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + +	++++	+++++	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + X + +	- + + + +	+++++	++++++	++++++	+++++	++++	+++++	++++++	++++++	+++++	+ + X + +	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++
Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous ceil papilloma Small intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + + X	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++++	+ + + +	++++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma	+	+ + +	+	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ +	+ + + +	+	+	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL: 25 mg/kg

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 3	0 0 6	0 0 8	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 8	0 2 0	0 2 2	0 2 7	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 9	0 4 1	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 8	0 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 • 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Sebaceous adenoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma	++	++	+ +	++	++	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+ X +	++	+	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	*50 1 *50 1 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Aiveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity	++++	+ X + +	* + +	+ + + +	+ X + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ X + +	++++	+++++	+ X + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ X + +	+ X + +	++++	++++	+++++	50 1 1 4 6 49 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	- + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++++	+ + + +	++++++	++++-	+ + + +	++++++	+ + +	+++++++	++++++	+ + + +	++++++	++++++	+ + + +	+++++++	+++++	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	50 50 50 42
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Saiivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbiadder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++ + +	++ ++++ + +	++ ++++ + +	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + +	++ ++++ + +	+ + + + + + +	++ ++++ + +	++ ++++ + +	++XX++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +++++ + +	++ ++++ + +	++ ++++ + +	++ ++++ + +	++X ++++ + +	++ ++++ + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ ++++ + +	++ ++++ + +	++ ++++ + +	++ X++++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + X + + + + + + + + + X + +	$\begin{array}{c} 49\\ 50\\ 3\\ 5\\ 50\\ *50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 1\\ 30\\ 2\\ 50\\ 50\\ 1\\ 50\\ 2\\ 50\\ 50\\ 2\\ 50\\ 50\\ 2\\ 50\\ 50\\ 2\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50$
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	+ X +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ + +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 1 50

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 25 mg/kg
(Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 0	0 2 1	0 1 5	0 1 9	0 3 2	0 1 6	0 4 3	0 0 7	0 4 9	0 0 9	0 2 5	0 4 7	0 2 4	0 3 5	0 4 0	0 3 8	0 2 6	0 0 5	0 2 3	0 4 4	0 4 2	0 1 7	0 0 4	0 0 1	0 0 2
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 2	0 6 6	0 7 0	0 7 6	0 7 8	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 2	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 8	0 9 8	1 0 1	1 0 4	1 0 4
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Adenoma NOS	+++	++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+ +	 +	+ +	++	+ +	* *	+ +	* *	++									
Cortical adenoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+
Parathyroid	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	-	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarrinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	* x	+ X	+	+ x	+	+	+
Uterus Adenocarcinoma, NOS Sarcoma, NOS Endometriai stromal polyp Hemangioma Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +
Luteoma	ł																		~						
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Sarcoma, NOS Hemangiosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N
Malignant lymphoma, nistlocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	A			X	x		x		x			X	X			X						X			

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 25 mg/kg
(Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 3	0 0 6	0 0 8	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 8	0 2 0	0 2 2	0 2 7	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 9	0 4 1	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 8	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS																								
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Adagoma NOS	* *	+ +	+ +	+++	* *	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ + ×	+ +	+++	+ +	+ X +	++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	49 5 50 1
Cortical adenoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma Derschwend	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	1 50 2 1 42
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adapting NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus Adenocarcinoma, NOS Sarcoma, NOS Sarcoma, NOS	+	X +	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	, X	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+	*	5 50 3 1
Endometral stromal polyp Hemangioma Ovary Adenoma, NOS Luteoma	+	+	+	+	х +	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	÷	+	+	3 1 50 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	*50 10 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	*50
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Sarcoma, NOS Hemangiosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 1 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	*50 2 1 19

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 25 mg/kg
(Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 2 2	0 4 7	0 1 1	0 4 4	0 0 6	0 1 2	0 0 9	0 0 3	0 3 0	0 4 8	0 0 4	0 1 8	0 2 8	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 1 7	0 2 1	0 3 4	0 4 9	0 3 6	0 0 5	0 4 3	0 1 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 0 2	0 5 5	0 6 4	0 6 4	0 6 6	0 6 9	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 3	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 7
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	+	++	+ +	+	+	++	+	+.	++	+ + x	+	+	+ + X	+	++	++	+ +	++	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+ +
Fibrosarcoma RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	* x	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	× +	+	* X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	, x	+ x
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++++	+++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++++	++++-	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + + -	+ + + +	+++++++	++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+ + + +	++++++++	++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangiona Hemangiosarooma	++++	+++	+++	++	++++	+++	+ +	++++	+ + X	+++	+++	+ + X	+++	+ + x	+++	++++	+ + X	+ + x	++++	+++	+++	+ + x	+ + X	+ +	+++
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Sarcoma, NOS, invasive Esophagus Stomach Sguamous cell papilloma	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++ ++	++++++	+++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++++	+ + + + + + X	+ + + X + +	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+ + + + + X	+++ ++
Mast ceil tumor Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Leiomyosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Larce intestine	+	+	+	x + +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenai Cortical adenoma Thurmid	++	++	+ +	++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+ +	+ x +	- +	+++	++	+ X +
Parathyroid	-	+ -	+++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+	+ -	+	++	++	+++	++	++	+	++	++	++	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	+ +

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL: 50 mg/kg

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3 9	0 4 2	0 2 7	0 0 2	0 2 0	0 2 3	0 0 7	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array} $	0 0 1	0 0 8	0 1 0	0 1 6	0 1 9	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 9	0 3 5	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 1	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma	+	+ +	+ + X	+ X +	+	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ * X	+	+	+	+	+	+ x + x	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	++	*50 1 1 *50 6 3
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	++++	+ X + +	++++	+++++	+ X + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	50 4 1 5 3 50 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + X +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ + +	+++++++	++++++	++++++	+ + + X +	+ + + +	- + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	49 50 50 2 39
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Sahvary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hemangioma Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma	++++	++++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+++	+ + X	+ +	+ + X X	++++	+ + x	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	++++	++++	+ +	$50 \\ 50 \\ 10 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1$
Malignant iymphoma, histiocytic type Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Sarcoma, NOS, invasive	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ N +	+ + +	+ N +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	X + + +	+ + +	1 50 *50 50 1						
Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Mast cell tumor	+++	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	49 50 4 1						
Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Leiomyosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	+ X +	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	50 1 1 1 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma Thyroid Parathyroid	+ X + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + X + +	+++++	+ + + +	++++++	+ + +	49 14 50 1 50 43

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 50 mg/kg
(Continued)

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 50 mg/kg
(Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 2 2	0 4 7	0 1 1	0 4 4	0 0 6	0 1 2	0 0 9	0 0 3	0 3 0	0 4 8	0 0 4	0 1 8	0 2 8	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 1 7	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} $	0 3 4	0 4 9	0 3 6	0 0 5	0 4 3	0 1 3	
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 0 2	0 5 5	0 6 4	0 6 4	0 6 6	0 6 9	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 3	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 7	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus Carcinoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Leiomyosarcoma	+	+ X +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	* X +	* *	+++	+ +	+ +	* *	+++	+ X +	* *	* *	+ +	+	+ +	* *	+	+	+ +	* *	+ X +	
Endometral stromai polyp Hemangosarcoma Ovary Hemangnoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardernan gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Sarcoma, NOS Sarcoma, NOS, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Mesothelioma, malignant	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated type	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type				x				x			x			x									x			
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3 9	0 4 2	0 2 7	0 0 2	0 2 0	0 2 3	0 0 7	0 3 2	0 0 1	0 0 8	0 1 0	0 1 6	0 1 9	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 9	0 3 5	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 5 0	TOTAL
--	-------------	--------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------------------------
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 7	0 91 8	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 1	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS																	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus Carcinoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Leiomyosarcoma	+ + X	++	++	+ +	* *	+ +	* * +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	* *	+ +	+ +	* X +	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	*50 15 50 1 2 1
Endometral stromal polyp Hemangiosarcoma Ovary Hemangioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	x + x	+	+	+	х +	+	+	+	X +	+	X +	+	+	+	+	X +	X +	+	+	5 2 50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderan gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	*50 16 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Sarcoma, NOS Sarcoma, NOS, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Mesothelioma, malignant	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant Malig, lymphoma, undifferentiated type Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	И	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1 1 2 11

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 50 mg/kg
(Continued)

* Animals necropsied

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	1/50(2%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	3.1%	21.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/29(0%)	0/27(0%)	2/17(12%)
Week of First Observation	0/20 (0/0)	96	80
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	D-0 477	P-0.007
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.002	D-0383	P = 0.007
Coobson Assistant Trand Test (d)	P = 0.007	r = 0.365	F = 0.025
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.005	P = 0.500	P=0.013
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	2/50(4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	13%	12.9%
Terminal Bates (a)	0.070	1.0 /0 0/97 (00)	0/17(0%)
Week of First Observation	0/29(0%)	0/27(0%)	0/1/(0/0)
	B 0.059	70	80 D 0.070
Life lable lests (d)	P = 0.052	P = 0.242	P = 0.070
Incidental lumor Tests (d)	P = 0.171	P = 0.335	P = 0.193
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.082		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.247	P = 0.121
Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma or Fibrosarco	ma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	9/50 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	7.3%	32.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/29 (0%)	0/27(0%)	2/17(12%)
Week of First Observation	0/20 (0/0)	70	80
Life Table Tests (d)	P~0.001	P-0118	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.001	P = 0.110 P = 0.122	P = 0.005
Casheren Armaite a Theorem 177 at (1)	P = 0.003	P = 0.132	P=0.005
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.121	P = 0.001
risher Exact Test (u)		r =0.121	1 = 0.001
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	3/50 (6%)	4/50 (8%)	5/50(10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.3%	14.8%	19.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/29(10%)	4/27(15%)	1/17(6%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	89
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.121	P = 0.460	P = 0.172
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.193	P = 0.460	P = 0.305
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.200	1 = 0.400	1 = 0.000
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.230	D - 0 500	P = 0.257
rusher Exact rest(u)		F = 0.500	r = 0.307
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (e)	3/50 (6%)	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.8%	20.4%	14.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/29 (7%)	4/27(15%)	2/17(12%)
Week of First Observation	100	96	97
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.333	P = 0.209	P = 0.446
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.447	P = 0.135	P = 0.542
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.573		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.243	P = 0.661
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenome or Care	linoma		
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (190)	10/50 (900)	9/50 (160-)
A division Rates (b)	0/00 (12%) 10.9 <i>m</i>	10/00 (20%)	0/00(10%) 91.0%
Aujusted Rates (D)	19.0%	34.3%	31.9%
ierminal Rates (c)	5/29 (17%)	8/27 (30%)	3/17(18%)
week of First Observation	100	96	89
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.093	P = 0.160	P = 0.132
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.185	P = 0.111	P = 0.264
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.341		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.207	P = 0.387

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lympho	oma. Undifferentiated Typ)e	
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.6%	0.0%	2.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/29(3%)	0/27(0%)	0/17(0%)
Week of First Observation	76		69
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.212N	P = 0.127N	P = 0.368N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.090 N	P = 0.093 N	P = 0.162N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.176N	1 0.00010	1 0.1021
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.1101	P = 0.121 N	P = 0.309 N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lympho	oma. Lymphocytic Type		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/50(4%)	1/50(2%)
Adjusted Rates (h)	7.0%	69%	21%
Terminal Rates (a)	0/90/00/	1/97 (10)	0/17(0%)
Wook of First Observation	0/25(0%)	1/2/(41%)	64
Life Table Tests (d)	20 D 0.906N	70 D-0 500N	U4 D-00FEN
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.296 N	P = 0.530 M	P = 0.355 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.164 N	P = 0.604	P = 0.170 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.222N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500 N	P = 0.309 N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lympho	oma, Histiocytic Type		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50(2%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.6%	2.0%	11.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/29 (0%)	0/27 (0%)	1/17 (6%)
Week of First Observation	92	62	81
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.151	P = 0.747	P = 0.216
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.286	P = 0.665 N	P = 0.379
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.202		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.753	P = 0.309
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lympho	oma, Mixed Type		
Overall Rates (a)	11/50(22%)	19/50 (38%)	14/50(28%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	26.7%	51.7%	50.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/29 (10%)	11/27(41%)	6/17 (35%)
Week of First Observation	71	76	77
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.069	P = 0.057	P-0.095
Incidental Tumor Tosts (d)	P = 0.201	P = 0.007	P=0.410
Coobron Annitone Trend Test (d)	P = 0.021	1 = 0.101	1 -0.410
Fisher Fuest Test (d)	P=0.291	D - 0.000	D., 0.000
Fisher Exact Test(d)		P = 0.063	P≈0.322
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All M	alignant		
Overall Rates (a)	18/50 (36%)	22/50(44%)	19/50 (38%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	38.9%	57.1%	59.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/29(14%)	12/27(44%)	7/17(41%)
Week of First Observation	28	62	64
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.134	P = 0.229	P = 0.177
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.460 N	P = 0.294	P = 0.415N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.459	1 - 0.201	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.409	P = 0.270	P = 0.500
		1 - 0.210	1 -0.000
Circulatory System: Hemangiosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	2/50(4%)	3/50(6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.1%	5.5%	16.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/29(0%)	0/27 (0%)	3/17 (18%)
Week of First Observation	100	66	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.120	P = 0.483	P = 0.153
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.221	P = 0.389	P = 0.195
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.221	1 - 0.000	0.100
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.442	P-0 500	P-0.309
LISHEL BACK LESU(U)		r = 0.300	r - 0.009

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDYOF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Circulatory System: Hemangioma or Hemangio	osarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.1%	8.5%	23.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/29 (0%)	0/27 (0%)	3/17 (18%)
Week of First Observation	100	66	85
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.028	P=0.285	P = 0.037
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.096	P = 0.169	P = 0.083
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.070	1 -0.105	1 - 0.000
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.010	P=0.309	P = 0.102
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	20.7%	11.1%	41.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/29 (21%)	3/27(11%)	5/17 (29%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	83
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.029	P = 0.272N	P = 0.038
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.054	P = 0.272N	P = 0.081
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.146	1 - 0.27210	1 - 0.001
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.140	P-0.242N	P = 0.207
Tisher Made Test (u)		r = 0.24510	r = 0.207
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (e)	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.3%	15.6%	13.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/29 (10%)	3/27 (11%)	1/17 (6%)
Week of First Observation	104	84	78
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.245	P = 0.319	P=0.319
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.376	P = 0.409	P = 0.452
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.427		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.357	P = 0.500
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (e)	9/50 (18%)	7/50 (14%)	14/50 (28%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	31.0%	22.6%	51.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	9/29 (31%)	5/27 (19%)	6/17 (35%)
Week of First Observation	104	84	78
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.017	P = 0.452N	P = 0.020
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.051	P = 0.377N	P = 0.062
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.130	1 - 0.01110	1 0.001
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.100	P = 0.393N	P = 0.171
		1 - 0.00011	
rorestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma	0.000		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/50(2%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.3%	3.7%	16.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/29 (10%)	1/27 (4%)	2/17(12%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	88
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.241	P = 0.330N	P = 0.290
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.288	P = 0.330 N	P = 0.359
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.412		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.309 N	P = 0.500
Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	18/49 (37%)	5/49 (10%)	14/49 (29%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	51.7%	17.7%	56.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	13/29 (45%)	4/27 (15%)	7/17 (41%)
Week of First Observation	80	98	90
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.445	P = 0.005 N	P = 0.350
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.411 N	P = 0.003 N	P = 0.533 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.208N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.002N	P = 0.259 N

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Adrenal Capsule: Adenoma	······································		
Overall Rates (e)	3/50 (6%)	1/50(2%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.6%	3.7%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/29 (7%)	1/27(4%)	0/17(0%)
Week of First Observation	99	104	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.113N	P = 0.343N	P = 0.223N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.092N	P = 0.378N	P = 0.166N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.060 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.309 N	P = 0.121 N
Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	5/50 (10%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.7%	14.5%	43.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/29 (0%)	1/27(4%)	3/17 (18%)
Week of First Observation	95	85	55
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.085	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.072	P = 0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.102	P<0.001
Mammary Gland: Adenoma, Fibroadenou	ma, or Adenocarcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	6/50 (12%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.1%	17.3%	43.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/29 (3%)	1/27(4%)	3/17 (18%)
Week of First Observation	95	85	55
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.110	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.001	P = 0.078	P = 0.003
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.134	P<0.001
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.7%	9.5%	23.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/29 (3%)	2/27(7%)	3/17 (18%)
Week of First Observation	87	84	89
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.065	P = 0.476	P=0.093
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.113	P = 0.591	P = 0.170
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.158		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.218
Uterus: Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/29 (0%)	3/27(11%)	2/17 (12%)
Week of First Observation		104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.094	P = 0.107	P = 0.140
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.084	P = 0.107	P = 0.130
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.202		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.121	P = 0.247
Uterus: Carcinoma or Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	11.1%	14.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/29 (0%)	3/27 (11%)	2/17 (12%)
week of First Observation		104	97
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.039	P = 0.107	P = 0.062
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.046	P = 0.107	P = 0.078
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.101		D
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.121	P = 0.121

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
Harderian Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	4/46 (9%)	10/43 (23%)	16/43 (37%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.8%	29.7%	68.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/29 (10%)	5/27 (19%)	11/17 (65%)
Week of First Observation	95	88	88
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.056	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.078	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.001	1 - 0.010	1 <0.001
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.001	P = 0.055	P = 0.001
Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Adenoca	rcinoma		
Overall Rates (e)	4/46 (9%)	11/43 (26%)	17/43 (40%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.8%	32.9%	69.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/29 (10%)	6/27(22%)	11/17 (65%)
Week of First Observation	95	88	88
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.034	P < 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.047	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001	1 -0.041	1 <0.001
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 (0.001	P=0.032	P<0.001
All Sites: Benign Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	28/50 (56%)	25/50 (50%)	32/50 (64%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	75.0%	68.7%	93.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	20/29 (69%)	16/27 (59%)	15/17 (88%)
Week of First Observation	80	84	83
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.005	P = 0.527N	P = 0.003
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.048	P = 0.355N	P = 0.041
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.240		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.344N	P = 0.270
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	28/50 (56%)	35/50(70%)	40/50 (80%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	58.8%	76.9%	86.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/29 (34%)	17/27 (63%)	11/17 (65%)
Week of First Observation	28	62	55
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.001	P = 0.120	P = 0.002
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.038	P = 0.083	P = 0.070
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.007		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.107	P = 0.009
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	45/50 (90%)	46/50 (92%)	47/50 (94%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	91.8%	93.8%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	25/29 (86%)	24/27(89%)	17/17 (100%)
Week of First Observation	28	62	55
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.009	P = 0.306	P=0.009
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.336	P = 0.451	P = 0.369
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.290		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.357

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY **OF GLYCIDOL** (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined grossly at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

⁽c) Observed tumor incidence in animals killed at the end of the study
(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group than in vehicle controls is indicated by (N). (e) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined microscopically at the site

	Incidence in Controls							
Study	Adenoma	Adenocarcinoma	Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma					
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	ehicle Controls		······································					
Iodinated glycerol (b)	6/50	0/50	6/50					
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	2/50	0/50	2/50					
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	0/50	0/50	0/50					
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	0/50	0/50	0/50					
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	0/50	(d) 2/50	2/50					
Methyl carbamate (e)	1/50	0/50	1/50					
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	0/50	(f) 1/50	1/50					
TOTAL	9/350 (2.6%)	3/350 (0.9%)	12/350 (3.4%)					
SD(g)	4.43%	1.57%	4.12%					
Range(h)								
High	6/50	2/50	6/50					
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50					
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ontrols							
TOTAL	(i) 43/1,689 (2.5%)	(j) 8/1,689 (0.5%)	(i,j) 51/1,689 (3.0%)					
SD(g)	2.89%	0.99%	2.93%					
Range(h)								
High	6/50	2/50	6/50					
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50					

TABLE D4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HARDERIAN GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute

(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories (d) Papillary adenocarcinomas

(e) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(f) Carcinoma, NOS (g) Standard deviation

(h) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(i) Includes three papillary adenomas and two papillary cystadenomas, NOS

(j) Includes three carcinomas, NOS, two papillary adenocarcinomas, and one papillary cystadenocarcinoma, NOS

	Incidence in Controls							
Study	Fibroadenoma	Adenocarcinoma	Fibroadenoma or Adenocarcinoma					
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	hicle Controls	<u> </u>						
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/50	0/50	0/50					
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	0/50	1/50	1/50					
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	0/50	0/50	0/50					
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	0/50	1/50	1/50					
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	0/50	0/50	0/50					
Methyl carbamate (d)	0/50	0/50	0/50					
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	2/50	0/50	2/50					
TOTAL	2/350 (0.6%)	2/350 (0.6%)	4/350 (1.1%)					
SD (e)	1.51%	0.98%	1.57%					
Range (f)								
High	2/50	1/50	2/50					
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50					
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ontrols							
TOTAL	(g) 2/1.689 (0.1%)	(h) 33/1.689 (2.0%)	(g,h) 35/1.689 (2.1%)					
SD(e)	0.48%	2.15%	2.20%					
Range (f)								
High	1/49	3/48	3/48					
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50					

TABLE D4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc. (e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals. (g) Includes one adenoma, NOS

(h) Includes one intraductal carcinoma, three acinar cell carcinomas, three adenosquamous carcinomas, and one adenocarcinoma/squamous metaplasia

TABLE D4c. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM FIBROSARCOMAS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE (a)

Study	Incidence in Controls					
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle Controls						
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/50					
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	(d) 2/50					
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	(d) 1/50					
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	0/50					
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	(d) 2/50					
Methyl carbamate (e)	0/50					
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	1/50					
TOTAL	6/350 (1.7%)					
SD (f)	1.80%					
Range (g)						
High	2/50					
Low	0/50					
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Controls	•					
TOTAL	(h) 40/1,689 (2.4%)					
SD (f)	2.52%					
Range (g)						
High	5/49					
Low	0/50					

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks, no fibromas or neurofibromas were observed.
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute

(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Includes one sarcoma, NOS

(e) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(f) Standard deviation
(g) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(h) Includes 18 sarcomas, NOS, and 1 neurofibrosarcoma

Study	Incidence of Papillomas or Carcinomas in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehicle Con	trols	
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/50	
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	0/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	0/50	
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	0/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	0/50	
Methyl carbamate (d)	0/50	
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	0/50	
TOTAL	0/350	
SD(e)	0.00%	
Range (f)		
High	0/50	
Low	0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Controls		
TOTAL	(g) 4/1,689 (0,2%)	
SD (e)	0.84%	
Range (f)		
High	(h) 2/48	
Low	0/50	

TABLE D4d. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE $\rm B6C3F_1~MICE~(a)$

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute

(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories (d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(g) Includes two squamous cell papillomas and two squamous cell carcinomas
(h) Includes one squamous cell papilloma and one squamous cell carcinoma

	Incidence in Controls						
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma				
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	hicle Controls	, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					
Iodinated glycerol (b)	0/50	0/50	0/50				
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	4/50	2/50	6/50				
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	3/49	1/49	4/49				
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	0/50	2/50	2/50				
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	5/50	3/50	7/50				
Methyl carbamate (d)	4/49	1/49	4/49				
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	6/50	0/50	6/50				
TOTAL	22/348 (6.3%)	9/348 (2.6%)	29/348 (8.3%)				
SD(e)	4.69%	2.22%	4.95%				
Range (f)							
High	6/50	3/50	7/50				
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50				
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ontrols						
TOTAL	100/1.683 (5.9%)	(g) 68/1.683 (4.0%)	(g) 163/1,683 (9.7%				
SD (e)	3.75%	2.30%	4.25%				
Range (f)							
High	8/49	4/48	10/49				
Low	0/50	0/49	2/50				

TABLE D4e. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F₁ MICE (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute (c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation (f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

(g) Includes one hepatoblastoma

TABLE D4f. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF CIRCULATORY SYSTEM TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE (a)

	Incidence in Controls						
Study	Hemangioma	Hemangiosarcoma	Hemangioma or Hemangiosarcoma				
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Ve	hicle Controls						
Iodinated glycerol(b)	1/50	1/50	2/50				
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	0/50	1/50	1/50				
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c)	0/50	0/50	0/50				
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	1/50	1/50	2/50				
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	1/50	0/50	1/50				
Methyl carbamate (d)	1/50	0/50	1/50				
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	0/50	1/50	1/50				
TOTAL	4/350 (1.1%)	4/350 (1.1%)	8/350 (2.3%)				
SD (e)	1.07%	1.07%	1.38%				
Range (f)							
High	1/50	1/50	2/50				
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50				
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Co	ntrols						
TOTAL	25/1.689(1.5%)	23/1,689 (1.4%)	48/1.689 (2.8%)				
SD (e)	1.89%	1.89%	2.85%				
Range (f)							
High	4/50	4/50	6/50				
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50				
	0/00	0,00	0,00				

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute
(c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories
(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.
(e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

Study	Incidence of Adenomas or Adenocarcinomas in Controls	
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage Vehic	le Controls	
Iodinated glycerol (b) Chlorpheniramine maleate (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (c) Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c) Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c) Methyl carbamate (e) Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b) TOTAL	0/50 0/50 (d) 1/50 0/49 0/50 0/49 0/49 1/347 (0.3%)	
SD (f) Range (g) High Low	0.76% 1/50 0/50	
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated Conta	rols	
TOTAL SD (f)	(h) 4/1,675 (0.2%) 0.66%	
Range (g) High Low	1/48 0/50	

TABLE D4g. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF UTERINE TUMORS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute (c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Carcinoma, NOS (e) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(f) Standard deviation
(g) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(h) Includes one adenoma, NOS, and three adenocarcinomas, NOS

		Incidence in Control	ls
Study	Adenomatous Polyp	Adenocarcinoma	Adenomatous Poly or Adenocarcinom
Historical Incidence for All Water Gavage	Vehicle Controls		
odinated glycerol (b)	0/50	1/50	1/50
Chlorpheniramine maleate (c)	0/47	0/47	0/47
Fetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride («	c) 0/47	0/47	0/47
Malonaldehyde, sodium salt (c)	0/45	0/45	0/45
[etrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate (c)	0/49	0/49	0/49
Methyl carbamate (d)	0/46	0/46	0/46
Chlorinated trisodium phosphate (b)	0/46	0/46	0/46
TOTAL	0/330	1/330 (0.3%)	1/330(0.3%)
SD(e)	0.00%	0.76%	0.76%
Range (f)			
High	0/50	1/50	1/50
Low	0/50	0/49	0/49
Overall Historical Incidence for Untreated	Controls		
TOTAL	4/1,608 (0.2%)	(g) 2/1.608 (0.1%)	(g) 6/1,608 (0.4%)
SD (e)	0.67%	0.51%	0.80%
Range (f)			
High	1/48	1/46	1/46
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50

TABLE D4h. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TUMORS OF THE SMALL INTESTINE IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE (a)

(a) Data as of May 12, 1988, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Study conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute (c) Study conducted at Battelle Columbus Laboratories

(d) Study conducted at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

(e) Standard deviation (f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

(g) Includes one mucinous adenocarcinoma

	Vehicle	Control	25 m	g/kg	50 m	g/kg
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Animals necropsied	50 50		50 50		50 50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)	(0~)	(50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst	(50)	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	(30)		(00)		(30)	(2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			·····			
#Nasal cavity	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Congenital malformation, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Lung Homorrhago	(50)	(99)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(270)			· 1	(2.%)
Pneumonia, interstitial chronic			1	(2%)	-	(270)
Inflammation, chronic focal	3	(6%)				
Inflammation, granulomatous	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
#Bone marrow	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Fibrosis			4	(8%)		
#Snleen	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Inflammation, granulomatous focal	1	(2%)				
Necrosis, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	(50)	
#Spienic red pulp	(50)	(40)	(50)	(1901)	(50)	(000)
#Lymph node	(50)	(4-70)	(50)	(18%)	(50)	(32%)
Hemorrhage	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	2	(4%)			-	(= / • /
Myelopoiesis					2	(4%)
#Lumbar lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid #Lung	(50)	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia lymphoid	(30)		1	(2%)	(50)	
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Myelopoiesis			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Myelopoiesis #Theree	(45)		1	(2%)	2	(4%)
# Inflammation_chronic	(47)	(2%)	(42)		(39)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS					5	(10%)
*Artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Periarteritis #Overw	(EA)		(E0)		1	(2%)
Thrombosis NOS	(50)		(50)		(50)	(9%)
111011100013, 1100					1	(270)

TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL

	Vehicle	Control	25 m	g/kg	50 m	g/kg
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				<u> </u>		
*Tooth	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dysplasia, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			,	
Cirrhosis, NOS		<u> </u>	1	(2%)		
Degeneration linoid	2	(4%)	-	(=,,,,		
Necrosis coagulative	5	(10%)	4	(8%)	6	(12%)
Fosinophilic cyto change	1	(2%)	-	(0,0)	ä	(6%)
Clear coll change	1	(270)	1	(901)	J	(0,0)
Angiostasis	1	(270)	1	(270)	1	(904)
#Liver/revinentel	(50)	(2%)	(50)	(270)	(FO)	(270)
#Liver/periportal	(00)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
#Liver/Kupffer cell	(50)		(50)	(0 %)	(50)	
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Pancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
Atrophy, NOS					1	(2%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	1	(2%)				
Dysplasia, epithelial			1	(2%)		
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal			,		1	(2%)
Ulcer, chronic	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation chronic diffuse	1	(2%)	•	(2,0)	4	(4,0)
Hypernlasia anithelial	3	(6%)	1	(9%)	10	(20%)
Huperkersterie	5	(10%)	2	(270)	10	(20%)
#Duodonum	(50)	(10%)	(50)	(0%)	(50)	(2270)
#Duodenum	(50)	(97)	(50)		(60)	
Inflammation, NOS	1	(2%)				(0~)
Olcer, chronic					1	(2%)
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst. NOS	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)
#Kidney/tubule	(50)	(= / • /	(50)	(0,0)	(50)	(=,
Degeneration hyaline	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)	(2%)
#Urinary bladdor	(50)		(50)	(210)	(50)	(270)
Fdoma NOS	(00)	(10)	(30)		(50)	
Duenia, NOS	2	(4170)		(00)		
Dyspiasia, NOS			1	(2%)		
			1	(2%)		
NDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary intermedia	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, focal					2	(4%)
#Anterior pituitary	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, focal	6	(12%)	9	(18%)	9	(18%)
#Adrenal/capsule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)				
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	(20)				1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Degeneration, lipoid	3	(6%)			2 9	(4%)
Atronhy focal	5	(0,0)	9	(4%)	4	
Hyperplasia focal			2 9	(4%)	1	(906)
#Zona reticularis	(50)		(50)		1 (50)	4 101
" Jona reconaria Degeneration lineid	(00)		(00)	(90)	(50)	
#Adronal modulla	(EO)		(50)	(270)	(20)	
Amulaidagia	(50)		(00)	(90)	(50)	
Amy long in fac-1		(90)	1	(2%)		
nyperplasia, local	1	(Z%)	1	(Z%)		

TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE
TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle	Control	25 m	g/kg	50 m	g/kg
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued) #Thyroid Folligular cust NOS	(50)		(50)	(60)	(50)	
Follicular cyst, NOS			3	(6%)	0	(10)
Hyperplasia follicular cell	8	(16%)	2	(1%)	2	(4%) (19%)
		(10%)		(470)		(1270)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)	·			
#Uterus	(50)	(0~)	(50)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS	1	(2%)	7	(1.40())	15	(0.0.01)
Angiostasis	Z	(4%)	1	(14%)	15	(30%)
#Ilterus/endometrium	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Hypernlasia cystic	(30)	(80%)	(30)	(88%)	40	(80%)
#Ovary	(50)	(00 %)	(50)		(50)	(80%)
Cvst. NOS	14	(28%)	13	(26%)	20	(40%)
Hemorrhage	14		2	(4%)	20	(4%)
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	-	. = . = .	2	· = · • ·
Atrophy, NOS	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, tubular cell	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Angiectasis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Ovary/granulosa cell	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM		- <u></u>				
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	(1	(2%)	(20)	
Inflammation, chronic	5	(10%)				
#Brain	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Mineralization	3	(6%)			6	(12%)
Epidermal inclusion cyst			1	(2%)		
Hemorrhage	3	(6%)				
Degeneration, NOS					1	(2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS	<u></u>					
*Eye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS			1	(2%)		
*Eye/crystalline lens	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cataract					1	(2%)
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	·····					
*Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrous osteodystrophy	3	(6%)			1	(2%)
BODY CAVITIES						
*Peritoneal mesothelium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
*Pleura	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					_ 1	(2%)
T Llouison universe	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Le	(00)		(00)		(00)	100

TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF GLYCIDOL (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	25 mg/kg	50 mg/kg
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Adipose tissue Hemorrhage Necrosis, fat	2	1	1 4
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY No lesion reported		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

APPENDIX E

SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

 PAGE
 TABLE E1
 MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE
 PAGE

 TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL
 199

APPENDIX E. SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via viral serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals are untreated, and these animals and the study animals are both subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Fifteen $B6C3F_1$ mice and 15 F344/N rats of each sex were selected at the time of randomization and allocation of the animals to the various study groups. Five animals of each designated sentinel group were killed at 6, 12, and 18 months on study. Data from animals surviving 24 months were collected from 5/50 randomly selected vehicle control animals of each sex and species. The blood from each animal was collected and clotted, and the serum was separated. The serum was cooled on ice and shipped to Microbiological Associates' Comprehensive Animal Diagnostic Service for determination of the viral antibody titers. The following tests were performed:

	Hemagglutination <u>Inhibition</u>	Complement <u>Fixation</u>	ELISA
Mice	 PVM (pneumonia virus of mice) Reo 3 (reovirus type 3) (6,12,18,24 mo) GDVII (Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus) Poly (polyoma virus) MVM (minute virus of mice) Ectro (infectious ectromelia) Sendai (6,18,24 mo) 	M. Ad. (mouse adenovirus) LCM (lymphocytic chorio- meningitis virus) MHV (6,12,18 mo) Sendai (12 mo)	MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) (24 mo) Reo 3 (18,24 mo)
Rats	PVM KRV (Kilham rat virus) H-1 (Toolan's H-1 virus) Sendai (6,18,24 mo)	RCV (rat coronavirus) (6,12,18 mo) Sendai (12 mo)	RCV/SDA (sialodacryo- adenitis virus) (24 mo)

Results

Results are presented in Table E1.

	Interval (months)	Number of Animals	Positive Serologic Reaction for
RATS			
	6	10/10	RCV
	12	6/9	RCV
	18	5/10 8/10	KRV RCV
	24	10/10	RCV/SDA
MICE			
	6		None positive
	12		None positive
	18	(b) 2/10	Reo 3
	24	(b) 1/10 1/10	Reo 3 MHV

TABLE E1. MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) Blood samples were taken from sentinel animals at 6, 12, and 18 months after the start of dosing and from the vehicle control animals just before they were killed; samples were sent to Microbiological Associates, Inc. (Bethesda, MD) for determination of antibody titers.

(b) The positive results were obtained with the hemagglutination test; no positive results were obtained by ELISA.

APPENDIX F

INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Pellet Diet: July 1981 to July 1983

(Manufactured by Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA)

		PAGE
TABLE F1	INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	202
TABLE F2	VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	202
TABLE F3	NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	203
TABLE F4	CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	204

FABLE F1. INGREDIEN7	'S OF	' NIH 07	RAT	AND	MOUSE	RATION	(a)
-----------------------------	-------	----------	-----	-----	-------	--------	-----

Ingredients (b)	Percent by Weight	
Ground #2 yellow shelled corn	24.50	<u></u>
Ground hard winter wheat	23.00	
Soybean meal (49% protein)	12.00	
Fish meal (60% protein)	10.00	
Wheat middlings	10.00	
Dried skim milk	5.00	
Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17% protein)	4.00	
Corn gluten meal (60% protein)	3.00	
Soy oil	2.50	
Dried brewer's yeast	2.00	
Dry molasses	1.50	
Dicalcium phosphate	1.25	
Ground limestone	0.50	
Salt	0.50	
Premixes (vitamin and mineral)	0.25	

(a) NCI, 1976; NIH, 1978(b) Ingredients ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed

	Amount	Source
Vitamins		
Α	5,500,000 IU	Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate
D_{2}	4,600,000 IU	D-activated animal sterol
K	2.8 g	Menadione
d-a-Tocophervl acetate	20.000 IŬ	
Choline	560.0 g	Choline chloride
Folic acid	2.2 g	
Niacin	30.0 g	
d-Pantothenic acid	18.0 g	d-Calcium pantothenate
Riboflavin	3.4 g	·
Thiamine	10.0 g	Thiamine mononitrate
B ₁₂	4,000 µg	
Pyridoxine	1.7 g	Pyridoxine hydrochloride
Biotin	140.0 mg	d-Biotin
Minerals		
Iron	120.0 g	Iron sulfate
Manganese	60.0 g	Manganous oxide
Zinc	16.0 g	Zincoxide
Copper	4.0 g	Copper sulfate
Iodine	1.4 g	Calcium iodate
Cobalt	0.4 g	Cobalt carbonate

TABLE F2. VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) Per ton (2,000 lb) of finished product

TABLE F3. NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Nutrients	Mean \pm Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples
Crude protein (percent by weight)	23.6 ± 0.87	22.2-25.3	25
Crude fat (percent by weight)	4.92 ± 0.54	3.3-5.7	25
Crude fiber (percent by weight)	3.30 ± 0.26	2.9-3.8	25
Ash (percent by weight)	6.43 ± 0.39	5.7-7.2	25
Amino Acids (percent of total die	t) (a)		
Arginine	1.323 ± 0.830	1.21-1.39	4
Cystine	0.310 ± 0.099	0.218-0.400	4
Glycine	1.155 ± 0.069	1.06-1.21	4
Histidine	0.572 ± 0.030	0.530-0.603	4
Isoleucine	0.910 ± 0.033	0.881-0.944	4
Leucine	1.949 ± 0.065	1.85-1.99	4
Lysine	1.275 ± 0.076	1.20-1.37	4
Methionine	0.422 ± 0.187	0.306-0.699	4
Phenylalanine	0.909 ± 0.167	0.665-1.04	4
Threonine	0.844 ± 0.029	0.824-0.886	4
Tryptophan	0.187	0.171-0.211	3
Tyrosine	0.631 ± 0.094	0.566-0.769	4
Valine	1.11 ± 0.050	1.05-1.17	4
Essential Fatty Acids (percent of	total diet) (a)		
Linoleic	2.44	2.37-2.52	3
Linolenic	0.274	0.256-0.308	3
Arachidonic	0.008		1
Vitamins (a)			
Vitamin A (IU/kg)	$12,088 \pm 4,119$	7,500-24,000	25
Vitamin D (IU/kg)	4,650	3,000-6,300	2
a-Tocopherol (ppm)	41.53 ± 7.52	31.1-48.9	4
Thiamine (ppm) (b)	16.2 ± 2.30	12.0-21.0	24
Riboflavin (ppm)	7.5 ± 0.96	6.1-8.2	4
Niacin (ppm)	85.0 ± 14.2	65.0-97.0	4
Pantothenic acid (ppm)	29.3 ± 4.6	23.0-34.0	4
Pyridoxine (ppm)	7.6 ± 1.5	5.6-8.8	4
Folic acid (ppm)	2.8 ± 0.88	1.8-3.7	4
Biotin (ppm)	0.27 ± 0.05	0.21-0.32	4
Vitamin B ₁₂ (ppb)	21.0 ± 11.9	11.0-38.0	4
Choline (ppm)	$3,302.0 \pm 120.0$	3,200.0-3,430.0	4
Minerals (a)			
Calcium (percent)	1.23 ± 0.10	1.08-1.44	25
Phosphorus (percent)	0.98 ± 0.05	0.88-1.11	25
Potassium (percent)	0.862 ± 0.100	0.772 - 0.974	3
Chloride (percent)	0.546 ± 0.100	0.442-0.635	4
Sodium (percent)	0.311 ± 0.038	0.258-0.350	4
Magnesium (percent)	0.169 ± 0.133	0.151-0.181	4
Sulfur (percent)	0.316 ± 0.070	0.270-0.420	4
Iron (ppm)	447.0 ± 57.3	409.0-523.0	4
Manganese (ppm)	90.6 ± 8.20	81.7-95.5	4
Zinc (ppm)	53.6 ± 5.27	46.1-58.6	4
Copper (ppm)	10.77 ± 3.19	8.09-15.39	4
Iodine (ppm)	2.95 ± 1.05	1.52-3.82	4
Chromium (ppm)	1.81 ± 0.28	1.44-2.09	4
Cobalt (ppm)	0.68 ± 0.14	0.49-0.80	4

(a) One to four lots of feed analyzed for nutrients reported in this table were done on lots of feed manufactured during 1983-85.
(b) One lot (7/22/821) was not analyzed for thiamine.

Contaminants	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples
Arsenic (ppm)	0.50 ± 0.13	0.29-0.77	25
Cadmium (ppm) (a)	<0.10	< 0.10	25
Lead (ppm) (b)	0.74 ± 0.42	0.33-1.97	23
Lead (ppm) (c)	0.92 ± 0.75	0.33-3.37	25
Mercury (ppm)	< 0.05		25
Selenium (ppm)	0.29 ± 0.07	0.14-0.40	25
Aflatoxins (ppb)	<10	< 5.0 - < 10.0	25
Vitrate nitrogen (pnm) (d)	9.22 ± 4.39	19-170	25
Nitrite nitrogen (npm) (d)	2.19 ± 1.55	0.6-6.9	25
3HA (ppm)(e)	586 ± 487	20.170	25
SHT(ppm)(e)	3.00 ± 2.7	<10-120	25
Aerohic plate count (CEU/a) (f)	13 936 + 31 967	4 900 110 000	25
Coliform (MPN/g) (g h)	14.96 ± 22.36	4,000-110,000	20
Coliform (MPN/g) (i)	32.76 ± 91.66	<3.460	24
$E_{coli}(MPN/a)(i)$	52.70 ± 51.00	< 3-400	25
Fotal nitrosaminas (nnh)	349 + 979	0993	20
V-Nitrogodimethylamine (nnh)	9.42 ± 2.12	0.0-2.0	25
V Nitrosonurraliding (nph)	2.00 ± 2.07 1 1 4 + 0 49	0.0-0.3 ~0 5 9 0	25
-Microsopyrrollaine (ppb)	1.14 ± 0.48	< 0.5-2.9	25
Pesticides (ppm)			
a-BHC(a,k)	< 0.01		25
β -BHC (a)	< 0.02		25
y-BHC (a)	< 0.01		25
δ-BHC (a)	< 0.01		25
Heptachlor (a)	< 0.01		25
Aldrin (a)	< 0.01		25
Heptachlor epoxide (a)	< 0.01		25
DDE (a)	< 0.01		25
DDD(a)	< 0.01		25
DDT (a)	< 0.01		25
HCB(a)	< 0.01		25
Mirex (a)	< 0.01		25
Methoxychlor (1)	< 0.05	0.09(8/26/81):0.06(7/26/83)	25
Dieldrin (a)	< 0.01		25
Endrin (a)	< 0.01		25
Telodrin (a)	< 0.01		25
Chlordane (a)	< 0.05		25
Toxaphene (a)	< 0.1		25
Estimated PCBs(a)	< 0.2		25
Ronnel (a)	< 0.01		25
Ethion (a)	< 0.02		25
Trithion (a)	< 0.05		25
Diazinon (a)	<0.1		25
Methyl parathion (a)	< 0.02		25
Ethyl parathion (a)	<0.02		25
Malathion (m)	0.09 ± 0.06	< 0.05-0.27	25
Endosulfan I (n)	<0.01	0.00 0.21	20
Endosulfan II (n)	<0.01		20
	-0.01		20

TABLE F4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

TABLE F4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (Continued)

(a) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the table as the mean.

- (b) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude two high values of 2.65 and 3.37 ppm obtained for lots produced on 8/26/81 and 7/21/82.
- (c) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the high values given in footnote (b).
 (d) Source of contamination: alfalfa, grains, and fish meal
- (e) Source of contamination: soy oil and fish meal
- (f) CFU = colony-forming unit
- (g) MPN = most probable number
- (h) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude one high value of 460 MPN/g obtained for the lot produced on 9/23/82.
- (i) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the high value given in footnote (h).
- (j) All values were less than 3 MPN/g.
- (k) BHC = hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride
- (1) Two observations were above the detection limit. The values and the dates they were obtained are given under the range.
- (m) Eleven lots contained more than 0.05 ppm.
- (n) Four lots (7/22/81-11/25/81) were not analyzed for endosulfan I, endosulfan II, or endosulfan sulfate.

APPENDIX G

CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION, ANALYSIS, AND DOSE PREPARATION OF GLYCIDOL FOR THE TOXICOLOGY STUDIES

PAGE

TABLE G1	PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL	211
TABLE G2	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL	212
TABLE G3	RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL	212

Procurement and Characterization of Glycidol

Glycidol was obtained in one lot (lot no. 1536A) from the Dixie Chemical Company (Houston, TX). Purity and identity analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (MRI) (Kansas City, MO). MRI reports on analyses performed in support of the glycidol studies are on file at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

Lot no. 1536A was obtained as a clear, colorless liquid with a boiling point of 160.8° C and a density of $1.1087 \pm 0.0012(\delta)$. Chemical identity was confirmed by spectroscopy. The infrared and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (Figures G1 and G2) agreed with the literature spectra (Sadtler Standard Spectra). The ultraviolet/visible spectrum was consistent with that expected for the structure.

The purity of glycidol was determined by elemental analysis, Karl Fischer water analysis, titration in chloroform of the epoxide function with a 0.1 N perchloric acid titrant and a crystal violet indicator, after addition of excess tetrabutyl ammonium iodide to produce hydrogen iodide, and by gas chromatography with flame ionization detection, a nitrogen carrier at a flow rate of 70 ml/minute, and a 20% SP2100/0.1% Carbowax 1500 on a 100/200 Supelcoport column (system 1) or a 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA on a 80/100 Chromosorb W(AW) column (system 2).

Cumulative data indicated that the glycidol study material was approximately 94% pure. The results of the elemental analyses for hydrogen and oxygen were in agreement with the theoretical values; that for carbon was slightly low.

Water content was 0.71%. Titration of the epoxide group indicated a purity of 93.5%. Thirteen impurities were detected by each gas chromatographic system. In system 1, one impurity peak had an area of 2.7% relative to the major peak area, two unresolved peaks had a combined relative area of 1.6%, and the remaining peaks had a total relative area of 1.1%. In system 2, two peaks had relative areas of 2.5% and 1.3%, with the remaining peak areas totaling 0.47%.

Impurities in glycidol lot no. 1536A were identified and quantitated by gas chromatography (system 1) using helium as a carrier and flame ionization detection or by coupling to a Finnigan 4000 mass spectrometer operating at 70 eV with a scan range of 25-300 amu. Impurities with peak areas greater than 0.1% relative to that of the major peak were as follows: methanol, approximately 0.1%; 3-methoxy-1,2-propanediol, 1.2%; 3-chloro-1,2-propanediol, 0.4%; diglycidyl ether, 2.8%; and 2,6-dimethanol-1,4-dioxane, 1.1%.

Stability studies performed by gas chromatographic system 2 with 0.3% *n*-octanol as an internal standard indicated that glycidol was stable as a bulk chemical when kept at temperatures up to 25° C for at least 2 weeks. Decomposition was indicated at 60° C. Further confirmation of the stability of the bulk chemical during the 2-year studies (storage at -21° C) was obtained by epoxide titration as described above and gas chromatographic analysis with system 2 (carrier gas flow rate: 30 ml/minute). No notable degradation occurred over the course of the studies.

Preparation and Characterization of Dose Mixtures

The appropriate amounts of glycidol and distilled water were mixed to give the desired concentrations (Table G1). In studies conducted by the analytical chemistry laboratory by gas chromatography with a 10% Carbowax 20M column, solutions of glycidol in water (20 mg/ml) stored at room temperature in the dark showed losses of 3.9%, 21.7%, and 37.6% after 1, 7, and 14 days, respectively; solutions stored at 5°C in the dark showed losses of 1.8% and 4.6% after 7 and 14 days. Studies conducted by the study laboratory with the same analytical method indicated that dose mixtures (2.54 and 15.4 mg/ml) lost



FIGURE G1. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF GLYCIDOL (LOT NO. 1536A)

209

Glycidol, NTP TR 374



FIGURE G2. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF GLYCIDOL (LOT NO. 1536A)

Sixteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Preparation A stock solution was made by dissolving the chemical in distilled water; all dose mixtures were made by dilution of the stock solution with distilled water	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies
Maximum Storage Time 11 d	9 d	11 d
Storage Conditions 4°C	2°-5° C	3°-6° C

TABLE G1. PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

0.2%-0.3% glycidol per day during storage at 3°-6° C. Additional losses occurred while the solutions were held for about 3 hours at room temperature during the dosing period. Losses averaged 2.7% after 7 days of storage and animal-room exposure. During the 13-week studies, glycidol/distilled water solutions were stored at 2°-5° C for no longer than 9 days. During the 2-year studies, the dose mixtures were stored at 3°-6° C for no longer than 11 days.

Periodic analyses for glycidol in dose mixtures with the same gas chromatographic quantitation procedure were performed to determine if the dose mixtures contained the correct concentrations of glycidol. Dose mixtures were not analyzed during the 13-week studies. During the 2-year studies, the dose mixtures were analyzed every 1 or 2 months, and concentrations varied from 89% to 113% of the target concentration (Table G2). Data on the number of times that concentrations were within specifications can be extrapolated to indicate the frequency with which mixtures were formulated within the specified $\pm 10\%$ of the target concentrations. For the glycidol studies, the mixtures were formulated within $\pm 10\%$ of the target concentrations approximately 97% (61/63) of the time throughout the studies. Results of periodic referee analysis of dose mixtures by an independent laboratory were generally lower than those observed by the study laboratory, probably due in part to the instability of the chemical in water under the conditions of shipment and storage (Table G3).

	Concen	tration of Glycido Target Concentra	ol in Distilled Wa ation (mg/ml) (a)	ater for	
Date Mixed	2.5	5.0	7.5	15.0	
07/16/81			7.45	14.7	
07/30/81 08/13/81 08/14/01	2.40	4.80 (b) 4.45		14.9	
08/14/81 09/11/81 10/08/81	2.45	(c) 5.15 5.45	7.15	14.7	
11/12/81 12/03/81	2.26	5.05	7.70	15.5	
12/17/81 01/14/82	2.50	4.80	7.30	14.7	
02/11/82 03/11/82	2.51	(b) 5.65	7.46	15.2	
03/15/82 04/08/82 05/06/82	2.43 2.60	(c) 4.95	7.40	15.0	
06/03/82 07/01/82	2.46	5.06	7.56	14.9	
07/22/82 08/26/82	2.53	4.95	7.38	14.8	
09/23/82 10/28/82	2.54	4.99	7.57	15.2	
11/17/82 12/16/82	2.59	4.95	7.55	15.0	
02/10/83 03/03/83	2.50 2.54 2.54	5.00	7.62	15.4	
04/07/83 05/05/83	2.54	4.81 4.98	7.46	14.6 15.0	
06/09/83	2.40 2.37	5.06	7 90		
07/14/83	2.46	5.04	1.29		
Mean (mg/ml) Standard doviation	2.48	5.01	7.45	15.0	
Coefficient deviation Coefficient of variation (percent) Range (mg/ml) Number of samples	3.3 2.26-2.60 19	5.3 4.45-5.65 16	1.9 7.15-7.70 14	1.8 14.6-15.5 14	

TABLE G2. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

(a) Results of duplicate analysis(b) Out of specifications; not used in the studies.

(c) Remix; not included in the mean.

TABLE G3. RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF GLYCIDOL

		Determined Concentration (mg/ml)	
Date Mixed	Target Concentration (mg/ml)	Study Laboratory (a)	Referee Laboratory (b)
07/16/81	7.5	7.45	7.23
03/15/82	5.0	4.95	4.86
09/23/82	2.5	2.54	2.40
03/03/83	7.5	7.45	7.29
07/14/83	2.5	2.46	2.47

(a) Results of duplicate analysis (b) Results of triplicate analysis

APPENDIX H

GENETIC TOXICOLOGY

OF GLYCIDOL

		PAGE
TABLE H1	MUTAGENICITY OF GLYCIDOL IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM	218
TABLE H2	INDUCTION OF TRIFLUOROTHYMIDINE RESISTANCE IN MOUSE L5178Y/TK LYMPHOMA CELLS BY GLYCIDOL	220
TABLE H3	INDUCTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY GLYCIDOL	221
TABLE H4	INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY GLYCIDOL	223
TABLE H5	INDUCTION OF SEX-LINKED RECESSIVE LETHAL MUTATIONS IN <i>DROSOPHILA</i> MELANOGASTER BY GLYCIDOL	224
TABLE H6	INDUCTION OF RECIPROCAL TRANSLOCATIONS IN <i>DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER</i> BY GLYCIDOL	224
TABLE H7	INCIDENCE OF MICRONUCLEI IN BONE MARROW POLYCHROMATIC ERYTHROCYTES OF MICE ADMINISTERED GLYCIDOL	225

METHODS

Salmonella Protocol: Testing was performed as reported by Canter et al. (1986) with modifications listed below. Chemicals were sent to the laboratories as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). The study chemical was incubated with the Salmonella typhimurium tester strains (TA97, TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537) either in water or S9 mix (metabolic activation enzymes and cofactors from Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver) for 20 minutes at 37° C before the addition of soft agar supplemented with L-histidine and D-biotin and subsequent plating on minimal glucose agar plates. Incubation was continued for an additional 48 hours.

Glycidol was tested in TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 at SRI International and in strains TA97, TA98, TA100, and TA1535 at Microbiological Associates, Inc. Each test consisted of triplicate plates of concurrent positive and negative controls and of at least five doses of the study chemical. The high dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 10 mg/plate. All negative assays were repeated, and all positive assays were repeated under the conditions that elicited the positive response. In the second trial, different doses within the same range (0-10,000 μ g/plate) were sometimes tested to further clarify or define the response observed in the first trial.

A positive response was defined as a reproducible, dose-related increase in histidine-independent (revertant) colonies in any one strain/activation combination. An equivocal response was defined as an increase in revertants which was not dose related, not reproducible, or of insufficient magnitude to support a determination of mutagenicity. A response was considered negative when no increase in revertant colonies was observed after chemical treatment.

Mouse Lymphoma Protocol: The experimental protocol is presented in detail by Myhr et al. (1985) and follows the basic format of Clive et al. (1979). All study chemicals were supplied as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). The highest dose of the study compound was determined by solubility or toxicity and did not exceed 5 mg/ml. Mouse L5178Y/TK lymphoma cells were maintained at 37° C as suspension cultures in Fischer's medium supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 110 µg/ml sodium pyruvate, 0.05% pluronic F68, antibiotics, and heat-inactivated horse serum; normal cycling time was about 10 hours. To reduce the number of spontaneously occurring trifluorothymidine (Tft)-resistant cells, subcultures were exposed once to medium containing thymidine, hypoxanthine, methotrexate, and glycine for 1 day; to thymidine, hypoxanthine, and glycine for 1 day; and to normal medium for 3-5 days. For cloning, horse serum content was increased and Noble agar was added. Freshly prepared S9 metabolic activation factors were obtained from the liver of either Aroclor 1254-induced or noninduced male F344 rats.

All doses within an experiment, including concurrent positive and solvent controls, were replicated. Treated cultures contained 6×10^6 cells in 10 ml of medium. This volume included the S9 fraction in those experiments performed with metabolic activation. Incubation with the study chemical continued for 4 hours, after which time the medium plus chemical was removed and the cells were resuspended in 20 ml of fresh medium and incubated for an additional 2 days to express the mutant phenotype. Cell density was monitored so that log phase growth was maintained. After the 48-hour expression period, 3×10^6 cells were plated in medium and soft agar supplemented with Tft for selection of Tft-resistant cells (TK^{+/+}), and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium and soft agar to determine cloning efficiency. Plates were incubated at 37° C under 5% carbon dioxide for 10-12 days. All data were evaluated statistically for both trend and peak response. Both responses had to be significant (P<0.05) for a chemical to be considered capable of inducing Tft resistance; a single significant response led to an "equivocal" conclusion, and the absence of both a trend and a peak response resulted in a "negative" call.
Minimum criteria for accepting an experiment as valid and a detailed description of the statistical analysis and data evaluation are presented in Myhr et al. (1985). This assay was initially performed without S9; if a clearly positive response was not obtained, the experiment was repeated with induced S9.

Chinese Hamster Ovary Cytogenetics Assays: Testing was performed as reported by Galloway et al. (1985) and is described briefly below. Chemicals were sent to the laboratory as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). Chemicals were tested in cultured Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells for induction of sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) and chromosomal aberrations both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9 and cofactor mix. Cultures were handled under gold lights to prevent photolysis of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)-substituted DNA. Each test consisted of concurrent solvent and positive controls and of at least three doses of the study chemical; the high dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 5 mg/ml.

In the SCE test without S9, CHO cells were incubated for 26 hours with the study chemical in McCoy's 5A medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, L-glutamine (2 mM), and antibiotics. BrdU was added 2 hours after culture initiation. After 26 hours, the medium containing the study chemical was removed and replaced with fresh medium plus BrdU and colcemid, and incubation was continued for 2 more hours. Cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Hoechst 33258 and Giemsa. In the SCE test with S9, cells were incubated with the chemical, serum-free medium, and S9 for 2 hours. The medium was then removed and replaced with medium containing BrdU and no study chemical; incubation proceeded for an additional 26 hours, with colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Harvesting and staining were the same as for cells treated without S9.

In the chromosomal aberration test without S9, cells were incubated in McCoy's 5A medium with the study chemical for 8 hours; colcemid was added, and incubation was continued for 2 hours. The cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Giemsa. For the chromosomal aberration test with S9, cells were treated with the study chemical and S9 for 2 hours, after which the treatment medium was removed and the cells were incubated for 10 hours in fresh medium, with colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Cells were harvested in the same manner as for the treatment without S9.

For the SCE test, if significant chemical-induced cell cycle delay was seen, incubation time was lengthened to ensure a sufficient number of scorable cells. The harvest time for the chromosomal aberration test was based on the cell cycle information obtained in the SCE test; if cell cycle delay was anticipated, the incubation period was extended approximately 5 hours.

Cells were selected for scoring on the basis of good morphology and completeness of karyotype $(21 \pm 2 \text{ chromosomes})$. All slides were scored blind, and those from a single test were read by the same person. For the SCE test, 5, 10, 25, or 50 second-division metaphase cells were scored for frequency of SCEs per cell from each dose; 25 or 100 first-division metaphase cells were scored at each dose for the chromosomal aberration test. Classes of aberrations included simple (breaks and terminal deletions), complex (rearrangements and translocations), and other (pulverized cells, despiralized chromosomes, and cells containing 10 or more aberrations).

Statistical analyses were conducted on both the slopes of the dose-response curves and the individual dose points. An SCE frequency 20% above the concurrent solvent control value was chosen as a statistically conservative positive response. The probability of this level of difference occurring by chance at one dose point is less than 0.01; the probability for such a chance occurrence at two dose points is less than 0.001. Chromosomal aberration data are presented as percentage of cells with aberrations. As with SCEs, both the dose-response curve and individual dose points were statistically

analyzed. A statistically significant (P < 0.003) trend test or a significantly increased dose point (P < 0.05) was sufficient to indicate a chemical effect.

Drosophila Melanogaster Protocol: The assays for gene mutation and chromosomal translocation induction were performed as described by Zimmering et al. (1985). Study chemicals were supplied as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). Initially, study chemicals were assayed in the sex-linked recessive lethal (SLRL) test by feeding to adult Canton-S wild-type males that were no more than 24 hours old. If no response was obtained, the chemical was retested by injection into adult males. If either route of administration produced a positive result, the chemical was assayed for induction of reciprocal translocations (RTs) by using the same method of exposure. If, because of the physical nature of the chemical, feeding experiments were not possible, injection was selected as the method of study chemical administration, and a positive result was followed by an RT test.

To administer a chemical by injection, a glass Pasteur pipette is drawn out in a flame to a microfine filament and the tip is broken off to allow delivery of the test solution. Injection is either done manually by attaching a rubber bulb to the other end of the pipette and forcing through sufficient solution to slightly distend the abdomen of the fly $(0.2-0.3 \ \mu)$ or by attaching the pipette to a microinjector that automatically delivers a calibrated volume. Flies are anesthetized with ether and immobilized on a strip of double-stick tape; injection into the thorax under the wing is performed with the aid of a dissecting microscope.

Toxicity tests attempted to set concentrations of study chemical at a level that would produce 30% mortality after 72 hours of feeding or 24 hours after injection, while keeping induced sterility at an acceptable level. For the SLRL test, exposure by feeding was done by allowing Canton-S males (10-20 flies per vial) to feed for 72 hours on a solution of the study chemical in 5% sucrose. In the injection experiments, 24- to 72-hour-old Canton-S males were given a solution of the chemical dissolved in 0.7% saline or peanut oil and allowed 24 hours to recover. Exposed males were mated to three Basc females for 3 days and given fresh females at 2-day intervals to produce three matings of 3, 2, and 2 days; sample sperm from successive matings were treated as successively earlier postmeiotic stages. F_1 heterozygous females were allowed to mate with their siblings and then were placed in individual vials. F_1 daughters from the same parental male were kept together to identify clusters. (A cluster occurs when a number of mutants from a given male result from a single spontaneous premeiotic mutation event and is identified when the number of mutants from that male exceeds the number predicted by a Poisson distribution.) If a cluster was identified, all data from the male in question were discarded. After 17 days, presumptive lethal mutations were identified as vials containing no wildtype males; these were retested. At least two experiments were performed for each study chemical, resulting in the testing of some 740 treated and 3,000 control chromosomes. The only exceptions occurred when the results of the first experiment were clearly positive (induced frequency of recessive lethal mutations equal to or greater than 1%); then, the second trial was run.

Recessive lethal data were analyzed by the normal test (Margolin et al., 1983). A test result was considered to be positive if the P value was less than 0.01 and the mutation frequency in the tested group was greater than 0.10% or if the P value was less than 0.05 and the frequency in the treatment group was greater than 0.15%. A test was considered to be inconclusive if (a) the P value was between 0.05 and 0.01 but the frequency in the treatment group was between 0.10% and 0.15% or (b) the P value was between 0.10% and 0.15% or (b) the P value was between 0.10 and 0.05 but the frequency in the treatment group was greater than 0.10%. A result was considered to be negative if the P value was greater than 0.10 or if the frequency in the treatment group was greater than 0.10%.

For the RT test, the exposure regimen was the same as that for the SLRL test except that small mass matings were used (10 males and 20 females). Exposed males were mated to y;bw;st p females for 3 days and discarded. The females were transferred to fresh medium every 3-4 days for a period of

about 3 weeks to produce a total of four broods. The results of the SLRL test were used to narrow the germ-cell stage most likely to be affected by the chemical; for example, if earlier germ-cell stages seemed to exhibit increased sensitivity, mating of the males was continued and translocation tests were carried out from the offspring derived from these earlier germ cell stages. F_1 males were mated individually to y;bw;st p females and the progeny were examined for missing classes, which indicate the occurrence of a translocation in the parental male. Suspected RTs were retested. The translocation data were analyzed according to the conditional binomial (Kastenbaum and Bowman, 1970).

Micronucleus Test: Preliminary range-finding studies were performed to determine appropriate doses for the in vivo micronucleus test. Dose selection in this study was based on animal lethality; no decrease in the percentage of polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs) in the bone marrow was observed in any of the dose groups. Male mice were given two intraperitoneal injections, 24 hours apart, with the glycidol dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline; the total dose volume was 0.4 ml. Solvent control animals were injected with 0.4 ml phosphate-buffered saline only. The positive control mice received injections of mitomycin C. Twenty-four hours after the second injection, the mice were killed by cervical dislocation, and smears were prepared of the bone marrow cells obtained from the femurs. Airdried smears were fixed and stained; 2,000 PCEs were scored for the number of micronucleated cells in each of five animals per dose group. The results were tabulated as the mean of the pooled results from all animals within a dose group \pm the standard error of the mean.

RESULTS

Glycidol was mutagenic in S. typhimurium strains TA97, TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 when tested in a preincubation protocol with doses of 1-10,000 µg/plate both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley or Syrian hamster liver S9 (Canter et al., 1986; Table H1). When tested for induction of trifluorothymidine resistance in mouse lymphoma cells, glycidol gave positive responses at doses as low as 1.25 nl/ml in the absence of S9; it was not tested with S9 (Table H2). SCEs were induced in CHO cells treated with glycidol at concentrations of 1.1-150 µg/ml both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9; no cell cycle delay was observed, and strongly positive responses were recorded at all doses (Table H3). Glycidol (12.5-400 µg/ml) was a strong inducer of chromosomal aberrations in CHO cells both with and without S9 metabolic activation at all doses tested; due to glycidol-induced cell cycle delay, the incubation time before cell harvest was extended (Table H4). Glycidol induced both sex-linked recessive lethal mutations and reciprocal translocations in the germ cells of male D. melanogaster fed a solution containing 1,230 ppm glycidol (Tables H5 and H6). The incidence of micronucleated PCEs in the bone marrow of male B6C3F₁ mice administered two intraperitoneal injections of glycidol at 24-hour intervals was significantly increased over that in vehicle controls; in both trials, the incidence of micronucleated PCEs in the high dose animals (150 mg/kg \times 2) was approximately three times the incidence in the vehicle controls (Table H7).

	_		Revertants/Plate (b)																
Strain	Dose	<u> </u>				+ S9 (hamster)				<u>+ S9 (rat)</u>									
	(µg/plate)	Tr	ial	1	Tr	ia	2	Tr	ial	1	Тт	ial	2	Tr	ial	1	Tr	ia	2
	performed	at SRI	. I	nterna	tional		·								-				
TA 100	0	00	+	77	197	+	64	199	+	70	126	+	15.0	140	Ŧ	4.5	151	+	14.2
14100	0	30	4	1.1	120	+	7.0	140	÷	1.5	107	<u>+</u>	27	140	<u>+</u>	4.0	196	+	Q 1
	1				132	T.	(.9				137	Ξ.	0.7		••		120	± .	0.1
	3				138	÷	8.7				157	Ŧ	18.3				139	I	0.3
	10		••		180	±	1.0		•		211	±	11.2				222	Ť	8.4
	33		••		253	±	9.5				296	±	6.7		••		303	±	3.2
	100	409	±	24.8	410	±	11.3	498	±	15.5	486	±	18.3	465	±	17.5	533	±	3.5
	333	714	±	59.4				792	±	9.8		•		732	±	9.6		•••	
	1.000	1,150	±	7.2		••		1,179	±	37.9		••		1,232	±	8.2			
	3.333	1.445	±	44.6				1.594	±	30.8				1.622	±	35.2			
	10,000	678	±	45.5				1,316	±	22.5		•••		1,337	±	36.9			
Trial summ	nary	Pos	itiv	'e	Pos	itiv	e	Pos	sitiv	е	Pos	itiv	e	Pos	sitiv	e	Pos	itiv	e
Positive co	ontrol(c)	487	Ŧ	10.5	353	±	10.3	1,380	±	23.3	1,580	±	96.6	530	±	16.3	810	±	26.8
TA1535	0	23	÷	6.8	20	÷	3.0	9	±	2.0	10	+	2.2	11	±	3.3	7	±	0.9
I ALOUD	1	20	÷	0.0	20	÷	3.0	5	-	2.0	14	+	97		-	0.0	19	Ŧ	33
	2				50	+	9.6				29	+	57				29	+	4.0
	3					+	4.0				00	1	0.7				00	÷	1.0
	10				98	T	6.7				92	T	9.8				90	+	1.4
	33				194	Ξ.	4.8				221	Ξ.	14.7				218	±.	4.2
	100	495	±	14.1	459	±	17.7	531	±	23.3	478	±	11.0	541	±	23.2	470	Ŧ	8.1
	333	776	±	27.1				873	±	19.1				858	±	6.7		••	
	1,000	1,044	±	36.8				1,148	±	25.8				1,204	±	59.3			
	3,333	1,137	Ŧ	11.4		• •		1,366	±	41.8				1,429	±	18.4			
	10,000	(d) 181	±	101.7				1,304	±	69.4		••		1,315	±	34.7			
Trial sum	narv	Pos	itis	78	Pos	itis	ve.	Po	sitiv	re i	Po	itiv	A	Po	sitiv	'A	Po	itiv	'e
Positive co	ontrol (c)	540	±	15.3	402	±	14.3	356	±	17.7	518	±	29.9	154	±	9.3	195	±	27.7
TA1537	0	10	±	2.2	5	±	0.9	9	±	1.9	6	±	0.6	10	±	1.2	4	±	0.3
	100	9	±	2.8				9	±	2.6				15	±	1.5			
	333	8	±	2.9	7	±	1.2	18	±	1.7	8	±	1.9	7	±	1.2	7	±	0.7
	1 000	15	+	0.3	12	+	2.0	23	+	2.8	7	+	0.3	10	+	22	15	÷	1.5
	1 666	10		0.0	19	+	2.3	-0	_		19	+	3.3				19	+	5.4
	2 2 2 2 2	91	+	9.5	15	+	1.0	29	+	22		+	3.9	99	+	22	22	÷	1.8
	6,000	~ -	+	0.0	16	+	2.0	20	-	2.0	20	-	0.0		-	2.2	12	+	2.0
	10,000	8	±	1,3	10		3.4	8	±	0.3	24		5.0	5	±	0.9	10		0.0
m : 1		••• 11						-								,			
I mai sumi	mary	weakly	/ po	Ositive	Weakly	/ po	ositive	Po	sitiv	/e	Po	SILIV	e	Equ	1170	cal	Po	51015	/e • • •
Positive co	ontrol (c)	237	İ	54.5	145	±	3.2	434	±	32.2	435	±	11.9	189	±	11.7	171	Ĩ	5.2
TA98	0	16	±	0.7	16	±	1.2	25	±	2.6	21	±	1.5	21	±	1.5	21	±	2.7
	100	32	±	3.5				20	±	0.7				26	±	3.2			
	333	28	±	4.4	22	±	2.7	19	±	3.8	32	±	4.2	36	±	6.5	30	±	1.9
	1.000	34	±	3.0	25	±	3.7	32	±	2.1	40	±	6.4	36	±	2.7	43	±	7.8
	1.666			- / -	30	±	3.5			-	44	±	5.3				34	±	3.1
	3 333	50	+	34	34	+	3.2	47	+	55	58	+	2.0	F 4	+	1.5	42	±	5.5
	6,000			0.4		+	1.5			0.0	57	+	2.6	54		1.0	47	+	2.5
	10,000	43	±	6.2	44		1.0	15	±	1.2	57		2.0	50	±	7.2	-		_ .0
Trial sum	mary	Pos	sitr	ve .~	Po	siti	ve 1 a -	Weakl	y po	sitive	Po	sitiv	re Louis	Po	siti	ve on c	Po	siti	ve
Positive co	ontrol (c)	863	±	17	718	±	16.7	1,276	±	36.5	1,334	±	104.6	863	±	31.6	488	±	31.1

TABLE H1. MUTAGENICITY OF GLYCIDOL IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM (a)

_			Revertants/Plate (b)																
Strain	Dose	<u> </u>				+ S9 (hamster)						+ 9	9 (rat)						
	(µg/plate)	Tr	ial	1	r	[ri	al 2	Tr	ial	1	,	F ri	al 2	Tr	ial	1	T	ria	12
Study 1	performed a	at Mic	ero	biolo	gical As	soc	ciates,	Inc.											
TA100	0	113	±	3.9	92	±	4.9	94	±	4.9	88	±	9.5	101	±	6.4	101	±	8.0
	1				84	±	5.8				96	±	4.8				95	±	4.6
	10				146	±	5.2				117	±	8.5				140	±	7.9
	100	482	±	16.2	29 2	±	7.3	463	±	10.6	391	±	14.0	472	±	14.0	378	±	9.0
	333	1.041	±	19.7				1.015	±	8.8				1.041	±	11.5			
	1.000	1.808	±	32.7	1.018	±	11.4	1.632	t	10.2	(d) 1.302	+	38.7	1.951	±	9.0	1.269	±	26.4
	3.333	3.075	+	117.2	-,			2 910	+	135.4	-/ -/+			2,973	+	9.4			
	10.000	3.332	±	63.5	(d) 2.088	±	2.2	3.422	÷	44.8	(d) 2.487	+	59.8	3.435	±	14.5	(d) 2.465	±	41.0
	10,000	5,002	-	00.0	(4) 2,000	-		0, 	-	••••	(u/ 1 ,107	-		0,.00	-			_	
Trial summ	nary	Pos	sitiv	e	Pos	sitiv	e	Po	sitiv	e	Pos	sitiv	/e	Po	sitiv	ve	Po	sitiv	/e
Positive co	ntrol (c)	1,353	±	28.5	712	±	7.0	1,303	±	61.9	843	±	32.8	1,779	±	21.8	1,368	±	25.4
TA1535	0	30	±	2.9	21	±	1.5	11	+	2.2	8	+	0.6	13	÷	2.7	7	+	2.6
	1				20	÷	4.6				10	+	0.7				15	±	2.1
	10				72	±	11.1				57	+	5.2				70	±	11.0
	100	473	+	1.8	477	+	15.8	522	+	16.2	449	+	3.4	533	+	16.3	516	+	14.4
	333	935	+	21.5	••••		2010	1 194	+	24.6	110		•	1 193	+	13.8	0.10		
	1 000	1 329	+	26.3	1 338	+	91.9	2 991	+	62 4	1 499	+	30.7	2 218	÷	61.2	1 360	+	31.4
	3 3 3 3 3	2 303	+	64.4	1,000	-		0.967	÷	46.9	1,422	-	00.1	2,210	+	41.6	2,000	_	01.4
	10.000	1.831	÷	39.2	(d) 1 238	+	23.4	1 697	+	20.3	(d) 1 930	+	41.0	981	+	26.9	(d) 1.336	+	84.0
		-,	_		14,200		-0.1	1,001		20.0	(4) 1,000	-			-			_	
Trial sumr	nary	Po	sitiv	/e	Pos	sitiv	'e	Po	sitiv	'e	Po	sitiv	/e	Po	sitiv	ve	Po	sitiv	/e
Positive co	ntrol(c)	847	±	17.6	915	±	21.2	101	±	11.4	68	±	2.1	141	±	11.6	76	±	1.3
TA97	0	111	±	10.0	102	±	6.4	112	±	5.0	117	±	4.1	124	±	1.9	136	±	1.5
	1				99	±	3.8				120	±	7.7				149	Ŧ	3.7
	10				99	±	3.8				115	±	7.8				152	Ŧ	11.6
	100	119	±	3.5	109	±	6,7	175	±	15.6	172	±	6,9	161	±	12.7	179	±	3.2
	333	230	±	2.8				275	±	13.3				265	±	10.5			
	1,000	383	±	2.8	317	±	8.8	519	±	15.4	455	±	4.7	457	±	15.3	439	±	11.4
	3,333	903	±	45.6				1.131	±	43.1				976	±	53.2			
	10,000	888	±	16.6	(d) 452	±	2.1	963	±	74.4	(d) 1,215	±	15.9	842	±	85.8	(d) 998	±	9.0
Trial cum	n a m/	Po	citiz	<i>'</i> 0	Po	eiti	14	Po	eiti:	74	Po		14	Po	oiti		Po	oitia	10
Positive co	ontrol (c)	501	±	14.5	617	±	24.6	930	±	45.0	549	±	31.7	1,318	±	35.7	910	±	43.3
TA 98	٥	00	+	0.2	17	+	0.0	0.5	+	1.9	00	+	20	0.0	+	1.9	0.0	+	1 =
1 4 20	1	42	T	0.3	11	- X -	0.9	35	T	1.3	23		3.2	36	I	1.2	25	т +	6.1 0 0
	10				10	-	2.1				33	- -	4.J 6 5				29	- -	2, دن ۱ ۴
	100	01		47	21 17	エ	2.U 2 =	00	 ⊥		40	エ エ	0.0			1 0	48	エ	1.0 E 0
	100	21	エ エ	44.1 0 #	17	T	3.5	32	Ŧ	44.1 1/7	38	Τ	3.8	31	- <u>-</u>	1.8	33	Ξ	5.3
	1 000	44	I -	4.D	00		2.0	48	т Т	94.(0.77	10		7 5	37	I	3.0			0.7
	1,000	29	+ T	4.3	20	T	3.0	43	T	4.1	48	Ι	(.D	44	I	2.3	42	Ŧ	2.7
	3,333 10.000	40 44	т +	3.5 2.1	(d) 35	+	0.9	50 58	т ±	5.7 8.3	(d) 53	+	8.0	59 49	エ +	3,1 4]	39	+	4.5
	- 0,000		-		(0,00	-	0.0	50	-	0.0	(u) 00	-	0.0	45	-	7.4	00	-	1.0
Trial sum	nary	Weakl	y po	ositive	Equ	ivo	cal	Weakl	y po	sitive	Weakl	y p	ositive	Equ	ivo	cal	Equ	ivo	cal
Positive co	ontrol (c)	1,716	±	40.7	1,279	±	22.7	925	±	13.2	818	±	3.9	1,264	±	34.8	1,376	±	40.4

TABLE H1. MUTAGENICITY OF GLYCIDOL IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM (Continued)

(a) The detailed protocol and data are presented in Canter et al. (1986). Cells and study compound or solvent (water) were incubated in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (-S9) or with Aroclor 1254-induced S9 from male Syrian hamster liver or male Sprague Dawley rat liver. High dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 10 mg/plate; 0 $\mu g/p$ late dose is the solvent control.

(b) Revertants are presented as mean \pm standard error from three plates.

(c) Positive control; 2-aminoanthracene was used on all strains in the presence of S9. In the absence of metabolic activation, 4-nitro-o-phenylenediamine was used with TA98, sodium azide was used with TA100 and TA1535, and 9-aminoacridine was used with TA1537 and TA97.

(d) Slight toxicity

Compound	Concentration (nl/ml)	Cloning Efficiency (percent)	Relative Total Growth (percent)	Tft-Resistant Cells	Mutant Fraction (c)
Trial 1		· · · · · ·			
Water (d)		97.0 ± 5.7	99.8 ± 19.4	110.0 ± 11.9	38.0 ± 3.0
Glycidol	5 (f) 10 (g) 20 30 40	$69.7 \pm 4.8 \\ 65.0 \pm 2.0 \\ 31 \\ 14.3 \pm 1.3 \\ Lethal$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 449.3 & \pm & 22.1 \\ 809.5 & \pm & 35.5 \\ 689 \\ 463.0 & \pm & 40.9 \\ & & - \end{array}$	(e) 215.7 ± 4.8 (e) 416.5 ± 29.5 745 (e) $1,112.7 \pm 25.5$
Methyl methanesulfonat	e (h)5	102.7 ± 4.7	94.0 ± 3.1	383.3 ± 50.3	(e) 125.3 ± 19.2
Trial 2					
Water		104.0 ± 8.5	100.0 ± 2.5	86.7 ± 6.7	28.3 ± 2.7
Glycidol	$\begin{array}{c} 0.313 \\ 0.625 \\ 1.25 \\ 2.5 \\ 5 \\ 10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 76.0 & \pm & 9.8 \\ 100.7 & \pm & 5.9 \\ 168.3 & \pm & 3.0 \\ 293.0 & \pm & 21.1 \\ 522.7 & \pm & 45.1 \\ 748.7 & \pm & 33.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Methyl methanesulfonat	e (h) 5	83.3 ± 6.4	57.0 ± 8.7	441.7 ± 20.5	(e) 178.7 \pm 12.3

TABLE H2. INDUCTION OF TRIFLUOROTHYMIDINE RESISTANCE IN MOUSE L5178Y/TK LYMPHOMA
CELLS BY GLYCIDOL (a,b)

(a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. The experimental protocol is presented in detail by Myhr et al. (1985) and follows the basic format of Clive et al. (1979). The highest dose of study compound is determined by solubility or toxicity and may not exceed 5 mg/ml. All doses are tested in triplicate unless otherwise specified; the average for the tests is presented in the table. Cells (6×10^5 /ml) were treated for 4 hours at 37° C in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at 37° C. After expression, 3×10^6 cells were plated in medium and soft agar supplemented with trifluorothymidine (Tft) for selection of Tft-resistant cells, and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium and soft agar to determine the cloning efficiency.

(b) Mean \pm standard error from replicate trials of approximately 1×10^6 cells each. All data are evaluated statistically for both trend and peak response (P<0.05 for at least one of the three highest dose sets). Both responses must be significantly (P<0.05) positive for a chemical to be considered capable of inducing Tft resistance. If only one of these responses is significant, the call is "equivocal"; the absence of both trend and peak response results in a "negative" call.

(c) Mutant fraction (frequency) is a ratio of the Tft-resistant cells to the cloning efficiency, divided by 3 (to arrive at MF per 1×10^6 cells treated); MF = mutant fraction.

(d) Data presented are the average of four tests.

(e) Significant positive response; occurs when the relative mutant fraction (average MF of treated culture/average MF of solvent control) is greater than or equal to 1.6.

(f) Data presented are the average of two tests.

(g) Data presented are for one test.

(h) Concentration in micrograms per milliliter

Compound	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Chromo- somes	No. of SCEs	SCEs/ Chromo- some	SCEs/ Cell	Hours in BrdU	Relative SCEs/Cell (percent) (b)
- S9 (c)								
Trial 1Summary: Positiv	ve							
Medium		50	1,015	432	0.43	8.6	25.8	
Glycidol	1.11 3.69 11.07	10 10 5	206 205 104	590 856 868	2.86 4.18 8.35	59.0 85.6 173.6	25.8 25.8 25.8	686.0 995.3 2,018.6
Mitomycin C	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005\\ 0.01 \end{array}$	50 5	1,018 102	669 192	0.66 1.88	$\begin{array}{c} 13.4\\ 38.4\end{array}$	25.8 25.8	$\begin{array}{c} 155.8\\ 446.5\end{array}$
Trial 2 Summary: Positiv	ve							
Medium		50	1,036	453	0.44	9.1	25.6	
Glycidol	10.1 12.5 15	10 5 5	207 105 102	883 572 683	4.27 5.45 6.70	88.3 114.4 136.6	$25.6 \\ 25.6 \\ 25.6$	970.3 1,257.1 1,501.1
Mitomycin C	0.0015 0.01	50 5	1,020 105	780 220	$\begin{array}{c} 0.76\\ 2.10\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.6\\ 44.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.6\\ 25.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 171.4\\ 483.5\end{array}$
+ S9 (d)								
Trial 1Summary: Positiv	ve							
Medium		50	1,038	538	0.52	10.8	25.8	
Glycidol	11.1 36.9 110.7	25 25 25	513 510 511	896 1,754 2,652	$1.75 \\ 3.44 \\ 5.19$	$35.8 \\ 70.2 \\ 106.1$	25.8 25.8 25.8	331.5 650.0 982.4
$\operatorname{Cyclophosphamide}$	0.4	50 5	1,021 108	$\frac{855}{273}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.84\\ 2.53\end{array}$	17. 1 54.6	25.8 25.8	$158.3 \\ 505.6$
Trial 2 Summary: Positi	ve							
Medium		50	1,032	606	0.59	12.1	25.6	
Glycidol	100.5 124.5 150	10 5 5	206 107 106	860 596 599	4.17 5.57 5.65	86.0 119.2 119.8	25.6 25.6 25.6	710.7 985.1 990.1
Cyclophosphamide	0.4	50 5	$1,024 \\ 104$	$739\\142$	$0.72 \\ 1.37$	$14.8 \\ 28.4$	$25.6 \\ 25.6$	$122.3 \\ 234.7$

TABLE H3. INDUCTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY GLYCIDOL (a)

TABLE H3. INDUCTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY GLYCIDOL (Continued)

(a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. SCE = sister chromatid exchange; BrdU = bromodeoxyuridine. A detailed description of the SCE protocol is presented by Galloway et al. (1985). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent as described in (c) and (d) below and cultured for sufficient time to reach second metaphase division. Cells were then collected by mitotic shake-off, fixed, air dried, and stained.

(b) SCEs/cell of culture exposed to study chemical relative to those of culture exposed to solvent

(c) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Then BrdU was added, and incubation was continued for 24 hours. Cells were washed, fresh medium containing BrdU and colcemid was added, and incubation was continued for 2-3 hours.

(d) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, and medium containing BrdU was added. Cells were incubated for a further 26 hours, with colcemid present for the final 2-3 hours. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

		- S9 (b)			+ S9 (c)							
Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs			
Trial 1Harvest	time: 20.5	5 hours (d)			Harvest ti	me: 20.5	hours (d)					
Medium					Medium							
	100	1	0.01	1.0		100	7	0.07	5.0			
Glycidol					Glvcidol							
12.5	100	16	0.16	12.0	[*] 198.7	25	44	1.76	60.0			
24.8	25	19	0.76	44.0	301.2	25	163	6.52	96.0			
49.9	25	52	2.08	80.0	400.7	25	172	6.88	100.0			
	Sur	nmary: Pos	sitive			Sum	nmary: Pos	sitive				
Mitomycin C					Cyclophosphan	nide						
0.025	100	12	0.12	32.0	2.5	100	20	0.20	13.0			
0.062	25	18	0.72	16.0	12.5	25	17	0.68	44.0			
Trial 2Harvest	time: 20.8	5 hours (d)										
Medium												
	100	0	0.00	3.0								
Glycidol												
50.3	25	46	1.84	80.0								
75.0	25	88	3.52	84.0								
100.0	25	120	4.80	84.0								
	Su	mmary: Pos	sitive									
Mitomycin C												
0.025	100	10	0.10	24.0								
0.062	25	12	0.48	10.0								
0.001			0.10									

TABLE H4. INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. Abs = aberrations. A detailed presentation of the technique for detecting chromosomal aberrations is found in Galloway et al. (1985). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent as indicated in (b) and (c). Cells were arrested in first metaphase by addition of colcemid and harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa.

(b) In the absence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 8-10 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, and fresh medium containing colcemid was added for an additional 2-3 hours followed by harvest.

(c) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, medium was added, and incubation was continued for 8-10 hours. Colcemid was added for the last 2-3 hours of incubation before harvest. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

(d) Because of significant chemical-induced cell cycle delay, incubation time prior to addition of colcemid was lengthened to provide sufficient metaphases at harvest.

Route of		Incidence of	Incidence of	No. of Lethals/	No. of X Chr	omosomes Tested	Overall
Exposure	Dose (ppm)	Deaths (percent)	Sterility (percent)	Mating 1	Mating 2	Mating 3	Total (b)
Feeding	1,230	0	35	42/596	17/138	0/006	59/740 (7.97%) 8/2 849 (0.28%)

TABLE H5. INDUCTION OF SEX-LINKED RECESSIVE LETHAL MUTATIONS IN DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER BY GLYCIDOL (a)

(a) Study performed at University of Wisconsin--Madison. A detailed protocol of the sex-linked recessive lethal assay is presented by Zimmering et al. (1985). Exposure by feeding was done by allowing 24-hour-old Canton-S males to feed for 3 days on a solution of the study chemical dissolved in 5% sucrose. Exposed males were mated to three *Basc* females for 3 days and given fresh females at 2-day intervals to produce three broods of 3, 2, and 2 days; sample sperm from successive matings were treated as spermatozoa (mating 1), spermatids (mating 2), and spermatocytes (mating 3). F₁ heterozygous females were crossed to their siblings and placed in individual vials. F₁ daughters from the same parental male were kept together to identify clusters; no clusters were found. After 17 days, presumptive lethal mutations were identified as vials containing no wild-type males; these were retested. Results were significant at the 5% level (Margolin et al., 1983).

(b) Combined total of number of lethal mutations/number of X chromosomes tested for three mating trials

TABLE H6. INDUCTION OF RECIPROCAL TRANSLOCATIONS IN DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER BY GLYCIDOL (a)

Route of	Dose	Т	<u>Trans</u> ranslocations	<u>sfers</u> /Total F ₁ Tes	Total No. of	Total No. of Trans-	Total Trans- locations	
Exposure	(ppm)	1	2	3	4	Tests	locations	(percent)
Feeding	1,230	1/515	14/608	6/29	0/1	1,153	21	1.82
Historical control	0					116,163	2	0.00

(a) Study performed at University of Wisconsin--Madison. A detailed protocol of the reciprocal translocation assay is presented by Zimmering et al. (1985). Exposed males were mated to three y; bw; st p females for 3 days and discarded. In this manner, sample sperm from successive cultures were stored for increasing lengths of time. Individual F_1 males were backcrossed to y; bw; st p females, and the F_2 were screened for pseudolinkage. This procedure allows the recovery of translocations involving the Y, second, or third chromosomes in any combination. Presumptive translocations were retested. Results were significant (Kastenbaum and Bowman, 1970).

TABLE H7. INCIDENCE OF MICRONUCLEI IN BONE MARROW POLYCHROMATIC ERYTHROCYTES OF MICE ADMINISTERED GLYCIDOL (a)

	Dose (mg/kg)	<u>Micronucleated</u> Trial 1	<u>Cells/1,000 Cells (b)</u> Trial 2	
Vehicle controls (c)	0	1.5 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.2	
Glycidol	37.5 75 150	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \pm 0.3 \\ 2.4 \pm 0.4 \\ 4.4 \pm 0.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3 \pm 0.3 \\ 0.7 \pm 0.3 \\ 1.9 \pm 0.6 \end{array}$	
		P<0.001	0.01 <p<0.05< td=""><td></td></p<0.05<>	
Mitomycin C (d)	1	37.7 ± 4.6	30.2 ± 2.7	

(a) Study performed at Environmental Health Research and Testing, Inc. Glycidol, dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline, was administered by intraperitoneal injection to male $B6C3F_1$ mice two times, at 24-hour intervals; bone marrow smears were prepared 24 hours after the second injection. For each trial, 2,000 polychromatic erythrocytes were scored for the number of micronuclei in each of five animals per dose group.

(b) Mean \pm standard error of the mean

(c) Vehicle control animals received injections of 0.4 ml phosphate-buffered saline.

(d) Positive control material was dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline and administered by intraperitoneal injection.

APPENDIX I

AUDIT SUMMARY

The pathology specimens, experimental data, study documents, and draft Technical Report No. 374 for the 2-year studies of glycidol in rats and mice were audited for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) at the National Toxicology Program (NTP) Archives by quality assurance, resource-support contractors. The audits included review of:

- (1) All records concerning animal receipt, quarantine, randomization, and disposition prior to study start.
- (2) All inlife records including protocol, correspondence, animal husbandry, environmental conditions, dosing, external masses, mortality, animal identification, and serology.
- (3) Body weight and clinical observation data; all data were scanned before individual data for a random 10% sample of animals in each study group were reviewed in detail.
- (4) All study chemical records.
- (5) All postmortem records for individual animals concerning date of death, disposition code, condition code, tissue accountability, correlation of masses or clinical signs recorded at the last inlife observation with gross observations and microscopic diagnoses, consistency of data entry on necropsy record forms, and correlation between gross observations and microscopic diagnoses.
- (6) Inventory for wet tissue bags from all animals and residual wet tissues from a random 20% sample of animals in each study group, plus other relevant cases, to evaluate the integrity of individual animal identity and the thoroughness of necropsy and trimming procedure performance.
- (7) Blocks and slides of tissues from a random 20% sample of animals from each study group, plus animals with less than complete or correct identification, to examine for proper inventory, labeling, matching of tissue sections, and preservation.
- (8) All microscopic diagnoses for a random 10% sample of animals, plus 100% of the changes in diagnoses made to preliminary pathology tables, to verify their incorporation into the final pathology tables.
- (9) The extent of correlation between the data, factual information, and procedures for the 2-year studies presented in the draft Technical Report and the study records available at the NTP Archives.

Review of the available records indicated that protocol-specified procedures for animal care were followed adequately. Records that documented the preparation, analysis, and administration of doses to animals were accurate. The review of body weight records showed that 108/110 recalculated mean values were correct and that the original records contained data for four groups of rats that were weighed twice during the same week; this duplication was not included in the Technical Report.

Data entries on necropsy forms were made appropriately for rats and mice. The observation of external masses recorded during the last few months of life was thorough, and their correlation with observations made at necropsy was excellent (184/185 in rats and 67/67 in mice correlated). The date of death and disposition code recorded at necropsy for each unscheduled-death animal (252 rats and 140 mice) had matching entries in the inlife records, except for one low dose female mouse that had been designated for moribund kill but apparently died naturally on its way to necropsy. The survival values presented in the Technical Report for the low dose male and high dose female groups of mice were actually 26 and 18, respectively; one animal in each group survived to the start of the terminal-kill period but was assigned a disposition code of moribund kill and counted as such. The condition code assigned at necropsy was consistent with gross observations and disposition code for all mice and 297/300 rats.

Individual animal identifiers (ears and toes) were present and correct in the residual tissue bags for 54/64 mice examined; ears were present and correct for 55/69 rats examined; however, feet had not been retained (per protocol at that time) and study group could not be verified. Review of the entire data trail for the 69 rats and 10 mice with less than complete and correct identifiers indicated that the integrity of individual animal identity had been maintained throughout the studies. A total of 11 untrimmed potential lesions were found in the wet tissues of 69 rats examined, and 3 were found in those of 64 mice examined. Intestinal segments and cecum were opened incompletely in 43/62 mice. Each gross observation made at necropsy had a corresponding microscopic diagnosis for all but three in rats and four in mice. Blocks and slides were present and labeled correctly; corresponding tissue sections in blocks and on slides matched each other properly for all but eight pairings each in rats and mice. All post-Pathology Working group changes in diagnoses had been incorporated into the final pathology tables. The incidences of tumors given in the Technical Report were the same as those in the final pathology tables at the Archives.

This summary describes general audit findings and the extent to which the data and factual information presented in the Technical Report are supported by records at the NTP Archives. Full details are presented in audit reports that are on file at the NIEHS.