National Cancer Institute CARCINOGENESIS Technical Report Series No. 57 1978

# BIOASSAY OF $\beta$ -TGdR FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

CAS No. 789-61-7

NCI-CG-TR-57



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### BIOASSAY OF

# BETA-2'-DEOXY-6-THIOGUANOSINE MONOHYDRATE FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program
Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention
National Cancer Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
Public Health Service
National Institutes of Health

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-1363

# BIOASSAY OF BETA-2'-DEOXY-6-THIOGUANOSINE MONOHYDRATE FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program
Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention
National Cancer Institute
National Institutes of Health

This report presents the results of the bioassay of beta-2'-deoxy-6-thioguanosine monohydrate conducted for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. This is one of a series of experiments designed to determine whether selected environmental chemicals have the capacity to produce cancer in animals. Negative results, in which the test animals do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean that the test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of circumstances. Positive results demonstrate that the test chemical carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate that exposure to the chemical is a potential risk to The actual determination of the risk to man from animal carcinogens requires a wider analysis.

CONTRIBUTORS: The bioassay of beta-2'-deoxy-6-thioguanosine monohydrate was conducted by Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, Alabama, initially under direct contract to NCI and currently under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc., prime contractor for the NCI Carcinogenesis Testing Program.

The experimental design and doses were determined by Drs. D. P. Griswold<sup>1</sup>, J. D. Prejean<sup>1</sup>, E. K. Weisburger<sup>2</sup>, and J. H. Weisburger<sup>2</sup>,  $^3$ . Ms. J. Belzer<sup>1</sup> and Mr. I. Brown<sup>1</sup> were responsible for the administration of the chemical and care of the animals. Data management and retrieval were performed by Ms. C. A. Dominick<sup>1</sup>. Histopathologic examinations were performed by Drs. S. D. Kosanke<sup>1</sup> and R. B. Thompson<sup>1</sup>, and the diagnoses included in this report represent their interpretation.

Animal pathology tables and survival tables were compiled at EG&G Mason Research Institute<sup>4</sup>. The statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner<sup>5</sup>, using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart<sup>6</sup>. Chemicals used in this bioassay were obtained through Mr. C. Hewitt<sup>7</sup> and were analyzed by Drs. R. H. Iwamoto<sup>8</sup> and W. J. Haggerty<sup>9</sup>; the results of the analyses were reviewed by Dr. S. S. Olin<sup>5</sup>.

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco<sup>5</sup> under the direction of NCI. Those responsible for the report at Tracor Jitco were Dr. Marshall Steinberg, Director of the Bioassay Program; Dr. L. A. Campbell, Deputy Director for Science; Drs. J. F. Robens and R. W. Fogleman, toxicologists; Dr. R. L. Schueler, pathologist; Dr. G. L. Miller, Ms. L. A. Waitz, and Mr. W. D. Reichardt, bioscience writers; and Dr. E. W. Gunberg, technical editor, assisted by Ms. Y. E. Presley and Ms. P. J. Graboske.

The statistical analysis was reviewed by members of the Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section of  $NCI^6$ : Dr. John J. Gart, Mr. Jun-mo Nam, Dr. Hugh M. Pettigrew, and Dr. Robert E. Tarone.

The following other scientists at NCI were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings:

Dr. Kenneth C. Chu

Dr. Cipriano Cueto, Jr.

Dr. J. Fielding Douglas

Dr. Dawn G. Goodman

Dr. Richard A. Griesemer

Dr. Harry A. Milman

Dr. Thomas W. Orme

Dr. Robert A. Squire 10

Dr. Jerrold M. Ward

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Southern Research Institute, 2000 Ninth Avenue South, Birmingham, Alabama.

- <sup>2</sup>Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- <sup>3</sup>Now with the Naylor Dana Institute for Disease Prevention, American Health Foundation, Hammond House Road, Valhalla, New York.
- <sup>4</sup>EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- <sup>5</sup>Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- <sup>6</sup>Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- <sup>7</sup>Drug Development Branch, Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- <sup>8</sup>Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California.
- <sup>9</sup>Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri.
- $^{10}\mathrm{Now}$  with the Division of Comparative Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Traylor Building, Baltimore, Maryland.

# SUMMARY

A bioassay of beta-2'-deoxy-6-thioguanosine monohydrate ( $\beta$ -TGdR) for possible carcinogenicity was conducted by administering the test chemical by intraperitoneal injection to Sprague-Dawley rats and B6G3F1 mice.

Groups of 35 rats of each sex were administered  $\beta$ -TGdR in a buffered saline and polysorbate 80 vehicle at one of two doses, either 3.5 or 7 mg/kg body weight, three times per week for 52 weeks, then observed for an additional 26 weeks. Controls consisted of groups of 10 rats of each sex, which were either administered the vehicle alone (matched vehicle controls) or were untreated (matched untreated controls). Pooled controls consisted of the matched vehicle controls of each sex from the current bioassay, combined with 20 corresponding vehicle controls of each sex from similar bioassays of two other test chemicals. All surviving rats were killed at 78 or 79 weeks.

Groups of 35 mice of each sex were administered the chemical in a buffered saline and polysorbate 80 vehicle at one of two doses, either 2 or 4 mg/kg, three times per week for 52 weeks, then observed for periods of up to 27 weeks, depending on length of survival. Because of severe toxicity at the high dose, resulting in loss of all mice by week 12 (males) or week 25 (females), additional groups of 35 mice of each sex were administered 1 mg/kg on the same schedule. Controls consisted of groups of 15 mice of each sex, which were either administered the vehicle or were untreated. Pooled controls consisted of groups of 15 vehicle-control animals of each sex from studies using the doses of 2 or 4 mg/kg, combined with corresponding groups of 15 vehicle-control animals of each sex from the study using the dose of 1 mg/kg.

 $\beta$ -TGdR was toxic to rats at the doses used in this study. Mean body weights of the high- and low-dose rats of both sexes were lower than those of the corresponding vehicle controls throughout the study. There was also severe early mortality in the high-dose groups of both sexes and positive dose-related trends in mortality over the period of the bioassay. However, 66% of the

low-dose males and 77% of the low-dose females survived until termination of the study.

In mice,  $\beta$ -TGdR was toxic at the doses originally selected. Mean body weights were not consistently affected; however, at the high dose only three males and seven females lived past week 7, and all were dead by week 25. In the mid-dose group, only 14% of the males and 6% of the females survived until termination of the study at week 79; in the low-dose group, the survival rate was 31% for the males and 29% for the females.

Because of the high mortality, time-adjusted statistical analyses were performed for both rats and mice.

In rats, the incidence of carcinomas of the ear canal (combined carcinomas and squamous-cell carcinomas) was statistically significant in both sexes. In males, the results of the test for dose-related trend were significant using either matched vehicle (P = 0.046) or pooled vehicle (P = 0.014) controls, but direct comparisons of dosed male rats with matched vehicle or pooled vehicle controls did not show significant differences (matched vehicle controls 0/10, pooled vehicle controls 0/28, low-dose 1/31, high-dose 2/7). In females, the results of the test for dose-related trend were significant using either matched vehicle (P = 0.002) or pooled vehicle (P < 0.001) controls, and the incidence in the high-dose group was significantly higher than that in either the matched vehicle (P = 0.023) or pooled vehicle (P < 0.001) controls (matched vehicle controls 0/9, pooled vehicle controls 0/28, low-dose 2/32, high-dose 6/13). were no such ear canal tumors among 165 historical vehicle controls of either sex or among 220 female untreated controls at the laboratory, and only two such tumors occurred among 215 male untreated controls.

In mice, no tumors appeared in statistically significant incidences in the dosed groups compared with the matched vehicle controls, and there was no significant evidence of dose-related trend for any tumors. The incidences of the combination of lymphoma and leukemia were significantly higher in the matched

vehicle controls of each sex than in the corresponding matched untreated controls (males: matched untreated controls 1/30, matched vehicle controls 19/29; females: matched untreated controls 2/30, matched vehicle controls 21/29). This high incidence in the matched vehicle controls may have been due to a systematic procedural problem associated with injection of the drug.

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, the low survival of the dosed and vehicle-control groups of mice, as well as the possible procedural problem that may have affected the incidences of tumors in these groups, does not allow a determination to be made of the carcinogenic potential of  $\beta$ -TGdR in this species.  $\beta$ -TGdR in the vehicle of 0.05% polysorbate 80 was, however, carcinogenic in rats, producing carcinomas of the ear canal in the females and possibly also in the males.

•			

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.	Intro	duction	1
II.	Mater	ials and Methods	3
	Α.	Chemical	3
	В.	Dosage Preparation	4
	С.	Animals	4
	D.	Animal Maintenance	5
	Ε.	Subchronic Studies	8
	F.	Designs of Chronic Studies	9
	G.	Clinical and Pathologic Examinations	13
	Н.	Data Recording and Statistical Analyses	15
III.	. Resu	lts - Rats	21
	Α.	Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)	21
	В.	Survival (Rats)	21
	c.	Pathology (Rats)	24
	D.	Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)	25
IV.	Resu	lts - Mice	31
	Α.	Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)	31
	В.	Survival (Mice)	31
	С.	Pathology (Mice)	34
	D.	Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)	39
	~ •		
V.	Disc	ussion	43
VI.	Bib1	iography	47
		APPENDIXES	
A	~ d d	A Cummany of the Incidence of Needlagma in	
Арр	endix	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR	49
T	able A	l Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in	
-		Male Rats Treated with β-TGdR	51
T.	able A	··· - J	
		Female Rats Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR	54

		Page
Appendix B	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Treated with $m{\beta-}$ TGdR	57
Table Bl	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR (Control Groups)	59
Table B2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR (Treated Groups)	62
Table B3	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR (Control Groups)	65
Table B4	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR (Treated Groups)	68
Appendix C	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Treated with <b>\(\beta\)-TGdR</b>	71
Table Cl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR	73
Table C2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats Treated with <b>\(\beta\text{-TGdR}\tau\text{-T}\)</b>	76
Appendix D	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Treated with <b>\(\beta\tau\)-TGdR</b>	79
Table Dl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR (Control Groups)	81
Table D2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR (Treated Groups)	84
Table D3	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Treated with \(\beta\)-TGdR (Control Groups)	87
Table D4	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Treated with <b>\(\beta\)-T</b> GdR	
Appendix E	(Treated Groups)  Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors	90
	in Rats Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR	93

		Page
Table El	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Treated with $m{eta} ext{-}\mathrm{TGdR}$	95
Table E2	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with $m{eta}$ -TGdR	98
Table E3	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR, Using Pooled Controls	103
Table E4	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR, Using Pooled Controls	106
Appendix F	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mice Treated with $m{\beta} ext{-}\mathrm{TGdR}$	111
Table Fl	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR	113
Table F2	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Treated with $m{eta} ext{-}\mathrm{TGdR}$	115
Table F3	Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Treated with $m{eta}$ -TGdR	117
Table F4	Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR	119
	TABLES	
Table l	Design of Chronic Studies of &-TGdR in Rats	10
Table 2	Design of Chronic Studies of $\beta$ -TGdR	11

		Page
	FIGURES	
Figure l	Growth Curves for Rats Treated with $m{\beta} ext{-}\mathrm{TGdR} ext{.}$	22
Figure 2	Survival Curves for Rats Treated with $m{\beta} ext{-}\mathrm{TGdR} ext{}$	23
Figure 3	Growth Curves for Male Mice Treated with $m{eta} ext{-} ext{TGdR}\dots$	32
Figure 4	Growth Curves for Female Mice Treated with $m{eta} ext{-}\mathrm{TGdR}$	33
Figure 5	Survival Curves for Male Mice Treated with $m{\beta} ext{-}\mathrm{TGdR}$	35
Figure 6	Survival Curves for Female Mice Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR	36

# I. INTRODUCTION

Beta-2'-deoxy-6-thioguanosine monohydrate (\(\beta\)-TGdR) (CAS 789-61-7; NCI C01581) is an experimental anticancer drug and a derivative of the anticancer drug 6-thioguanine (6-TG). Both drugs are sulphur analogs of the purine base guanine, a similarity that allows them to be incorporated into DNA. In tests using extracts of murine and human tissues,  $\beta$ -TGdR was phosphorylated to nucleotide mono-, di-, and triphosphates; in further tests using extracts of human tissues, the nucleotide triphosphate that was formed was found to be incorporated into DNA (Peery and Lepage, 1969). Because of the carcinostatic effects of  $\beta$ -TGdR in certain mouse tumor systems that were resistant to 6-TG (Lepage et al., 1964), it was hoped that this compound could be used to bypass the resistance to 6-TG that develops in man. At this time, however, there are not sufficient data from clinical trials to determine whether  $\beta$ -TGdR has any real advantage over 6-TG (Loo et β-TGdR was selected for testing in al., 1975). carcinogenesis bioassay program as part of an attempt to evaluate the carcinogenicity of drugs that may be used in humans for prolonged periods.

# II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### A. Chemical

The β-TGdR used in this study was obtained through the Drug Development Branch, Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute (NCI). Lot No. RN C19052F was manufactured by Riker Laboratories, Northbridge, California, and Lot No. AJ-31, by Aerojet General, Sacramento, California. Both lots were used during the chronic study.

The identity and purity of Lot No. RN C19052F were confirmed at Stanford Research Institute by melting point, elemental analyses (C, H, N) for  $C_{10}H_{13}N_{50}3S \cdot H_{20}$ , and infrared and ultraviolet spectra. Karl-Fischer analysis for water (6.0%) was correct for one molecule of water of hydration. Paper chromatography showed no detectable thioguanine or 2-deoxyribose.

The identity and purity of Lot No. AJ-31 were confirmed at Midwest Research Institute by melting point (differential thermal analysis); elemental analyses (C, H, N, O, S); and infrared, ultraviolet, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra. Karl-Fischer analysis for water  $(6.7 \pm 0.4\%)$  was slightly high (6.0%)The absence of thioguanine, alpha anomer, and theoretical). 2-deoxyribose was confirmed by thin-layer and paper chromatography.

In conclusion, no impurities were found in either lot. Both lots appeared to be > 98% pure  $\beta-2'$ -deoxy-6-thioguanosine monohydrate.

The different lots of bulk chemical were stored in their original containers at  $5^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

# B. Dosage Preparation

Test solutions of  $\beta$ -TGdR were prepared by adding the drug to a vehicle of 0.05% polysorbate 80 in saline (for prechronic studies) or buffered saline (for chronic studies) and mixing the final suspension in a Potter-Elvehjem tissue grinder with a Teflon pestle for 20 seconds. The concentrations of  $\beta$ -TGdR that were prepared were 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.14, and 0.28%. The solutions were stored in glass bottles at  $5^{\circ}$ C for up to 1 week.

#### C. Animals

Female Sprague-Dawley rats and male Swiss mice were used in the subchronic studies. Sprague-Dawley rats and B6C3Fl mice of both sexes were used in the chronic studies. The Sprague-Dawley rats and B6C3Fl mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, Massachusetts, through contracts of the Division of Cancer Treatment, NCI. The Swiss mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories and from Purina Laboratories, Staatsburg, New York. Rats used in the chronic

studies were 30 days of age when received at the laboratory, and mice were 41 days of age. Groups of mice started later in the study were 32 days of age when received. On arrival at the laboratory, all animals were quarantined (rats for 8 days, mice in original study for 7 days, mice in later studies for 17 days). Following this period, animals with no clinical signs of disease were assigned to control or dosed groups and earmarked for individual identification.

#### D. Animal Maintenance

All animals were housed in temperature— and humidity—controlled rooms. The temperature range was 20-24°C, and the relative humidity was maintained at 40-60%. The room air was changed 15 times per hour and passed through both intake and exhaust fiberglass roughing filters. In addition to natural light, illumination was provided by fluorescent light for 9 hours per day. Wayne® Lab Blox animal feed (Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago, Ill.) and water were supplied daily and were available ad libitum.

Rats and mice were housed five per cage in solid-bottom stainless steel cages (Hahn Roofing and Sheet Metal Co., Birmingham, Ala.).

Rat cages were provided with Iso-Dri® hardwood chip bedding (Carworth, Edison, N.J.), and the cage tops were covered with

disposable filter bonnets throughout the study. Mouse cages were provided with Sterolit® clay bedding (Englehard Mineral and Chemical Co., New York, N.Y.), and the cage tops were covered with disposable filter bonnets for mid- and high-dose mice beginning at week 63 and for low-dose mice beginning at week 51. Bedding was replaced once per week; cages, water bottles, and feeders were sanitized at 82°C once per week; racks were cleaned once per week.

The rats and mice were housed in separate rooms. Control animals were housed with respective dosed animals. Animals administered  $\beta$ -TGdR were maintained in the same rooms as animals of the same species administered the following chemicals:

# RATS

#### Gavage Studies

cholesterol (p-(bis(2-chloroethyl)amino)phenyl)acetate (phenesterin) (CAS 3546-10-9) estradiol bis((p-(bis(2-chloroethyl)amino)phenyl)acetate) (estradiol mustard) (CAS 22966-79-6)

#### Intraperitoneal Injection Studies

```
4'-(9-acridinylamino)methansulfon-m-aniside monohydrochloride (MAAM) (NSC 141549)
acronycine (CAS 7008-42-6)
5-azacytidine (CAS 320-67-2)
1,4-butanediol dimethanesulfonate (busulfan) (CAS 55-98-1)
emetine dihydrochloride tetrahydrate (CAS 316-42-7)
3,3'-iminobis-1-propanol dimethanesulfonate (ester)
hydrochloride (IPD) (CAS 3458-22-8)
(+)-4,4'-(1-methyl-1,2-ethanediyl)bis-2,6-piperazinedione
(ICRF-159) (CAS 21416-87-5)
```

```
N, 3-bis(2-chloroethyl)tetrahydro-2H-1,3,2-oxazaphosphorin-2-amine-2-oxide (isophosphamide) (CAS 3778-73-2)
N-(2-chloroethyl)-N-(1-methyl-2-phenoxyethyl)benzylamine hydrochloride (phenoxybenzamine hydrochloride) (CAS 63-92-3)
N-(1-methylethyl)-4-((2-methylhydrazino)methyl)benzamide monohydrochloride (procarbazine) (CAS 366-70-1)
tris(1-aziridinyl)phosphine sulfide (thio-TEPA) (CAS 52-24-4)
2,4,6-tris(dimethylamino)-s-triazine (CAS 645-05-6)
```

#### MICE

#### Feed Studies

```
4-acetyl-N-((cyclohexylamino)carbonyl)benzenesulfonamide
  (acetohexamide) (CAS 968-81-0)
anthranilic acid (CAS 118-92-3)
1-butyl-3-(p-tolylsulfonyl)urea (tolbutamide) (CAS 64-77-7)
4-chloro-N-((propylamino)carbonyl)benzenesulfonamide
  (chlorpropamide) (CAS 94-20-2)
5-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-ethyl-2,4-pyrimidinediamine
  (pyrimethamine) (CAS 58-14-0)
2,6-diamino-3-(phenylazo)pyridine hydrochloride
  (phenazopyridine hydrochloride) (CAS 136-40-3)
L-tryptophan (CAS 73-22-3)
N-9H-fluoren-2-ylacetamide (CAS 53-96-3)
N-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-N'-hexamethyleniminourea
  (tolazamide) (CAS 1156-19-0)
1-phenethylbiguanide hydrochloride (phenformin) (CAS 114-86-3)
pyrazinecarboxamide (pyrazinamide) (CAS 98-96-4)
4,4'-sulfonyldianiline (dapsone) (CAS 80-08-0)
4,4'-thiodianiline (CAS 139-65-1)
ethionamide (CAS 536-33-4)
```

#### Gavage Studies

```
cholesterol (p-(bis(2-chloroethy1)amino)pheny1)acetate
  (phenesterin) (CAS 3546-10-9)
estradiol bis((p-(bis(2-chloroethy1)amino)pheny1)acetate)
  (estradiol mustard) (CAS 22966-79-6)
```

# Intraperitoneal Injection Studies

```
4'-(9-acridinylamino)methansulfon-m-aniside monohydrochloride (MAAM) (NSC 141549)
acronycine (CAS 7008-42-6)
5-azacytidine (CAS 320-67-2)
1,4-butanediol dimethanesulfonate (busulfan) (CAS 55-98-1)
```

emetine dihydrochloride tetrahydrate (CAS 316-42-7)
3,3'-iminobis-1-propanol dimethanesulfonate (ester)
hydrochloride (IPD) (CAS 3458-22-8)
(+)-4,4'-(1-methyl-1,2-ethanediyl)bis-2,6-piperazinedione
(ICRF-159) (CAS 21416-87-5)
N,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)tetrahydro-2H-1,3,2-oxazaphosphorin-2amine-2-oxide (isophosphamide) (CAS 3778-73-2)
N-(2-chloroethyl)-N-(1-methyl-2-phenoxyethyl)benzylamine
hydrochloride (phenoxybenzamine hydrochloride) (CAS 63-92-3)
N-(1-methylethyl)-4-((2-methylhydrazino)methyl)benzamide
monohydrochloride (procarbazine) (CAS 366-70-1)
tris(1-aziridinyl)phosphine sulfide (thio-TEPA) (CAS 52-24-4)
2,4,6-tris(dimethylamino)-s-triazine (CAS 645-05-6)
adriamycin (CAS 23214-92-8)

# E. <u>Subchronic Studies</u>

In the subchronic studies,  $\beta$ -TGdR in 0.05% polysorbate 80 in saline was administered by intraperitoneal injection to female Sprague-Dawley rats and male Swiss mice to estimate the maximum tolerated doses (MTD) of  $\beta$ -TGdR, on the basis of which "high" (estimated MTD) and "low" (1/2 estimated MTD) doses were determined for administration in the chronic studies. Rats received doses of 3, 7, 15, 30, or 60 mg/kg body weight. Doses of 5, 12, 25, 50, or 100 mg/kg were given to mice initially, and doses of 0.38, 0.75, 1.5, or 3 mg/kg were given in a second study. The animals were injected three times per week for 45 days, then observed for an additional 45 days. Five animals of each species were tested at each dose, 10 animals of each species were maintained as vehicle controls, and 10 as untreated controls.

In rats, death occurred in none of the animals administered 3 or

7 mg/kg, in 3/5 administered 15 mg/kg, in 3/5 administered 30 mg/kg, and in 4/5 administered 60 mg/kg. Mean body weights of the rats at doses of 3 or 7 mg/kg were essentially unaffected; mean body weights of animals at 15, 30, or 60 mg/kg were markedly depressed at the end of the period of administration, but were comparable to those of the controls by the termination of the study. No gross abnormalities were seen in any of the animals at necropsy. The low and high doses for the chronic studies using rats were set at 3.5 and 7 mg/kg.

In mice, death occurred in all animals administered doses of 5 to 100 mg/kg and in 1/5 administered 3 mg/kg; there were no deaths at doses below 3 mg/kg. No gross abnormalities were found at necropsy. Because mean body weights of the mice at 3 mg/kg or lower were comparable to those of the controls, the high dose was set midway between the lowest dose causing excessive death and the highest dose at which no effects on body weight were observed. Thus, the low and high doses for the chronic studies using mice were set at 2 and 4 mg/kg.

# F. Designs of Chronic Studies

The designs of the chronic studies are shown in tables 1 and 2.

Since the number of rats in the matched vehicle-control group was small, a pooled vehicle-control group also was used for

Table 1. Design of Chronic Studies of **\(\beta\)-TGdR** in Rats

Sex and	Initial	<b>β−</b> TGdR	Time o	on Study
Test	No. of	Doseb	Dosed	Observed
Group	<u>Animals</u> a	mg/kg/day	<u>(weeks)</u>	(weeks)
<u>Male</u>				
Matched				
Untreated-Control	10	0		79
Matched				
Vehicle-Control	10	0c	52	27
Low-Dose	35	3.5	52	26
High-Dose	35	7	52	26
<u>Female</u>				
Matched				
Untreated-Control	10	0		79
Matched				
Vehicle-Control	10	0c	52	27
Low-Dose	35	3.5	52	26
High-Dose	35	7	52	26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>All animals were 38 days of age when placed on study.

bThe drug was administered intraperitoneally in a vehicle of phosphate-buffered saline and polysorbate 80 at a volume of 0.25 m1/100 g body weight, three times per week during the period of administration of the drug. Doses were based on individual weights. The same needle for injection was used for each group of animals within a cage.

CVehicle-control groups received only phosphate-buffered saline and polysorbate 80 at a volume 0.25~ml/100~g body weight. The same bottle of vehicle solution was used for all vehicle-control animals on test at any given time.

Table 2. Design of Chronic Studies of  $\beta$ -TGdR in Mice

				<del></del>
Sex and	Initial	<b>β-</b> TGdR		on Study
Test	No. of	Doseb	Dosed	Observed
Group	<u>Animals</u> a	mg/kg/day	(weeks)	(weeks)
Male				
Low-Dose Matched				
Untreated-Control	15	0		78
Low-Dose Matched		· ·		, 0
Vehicle-Control	15	0c	52	26
Low-Dose	35	i	52	25
How Bose	33	•	32	23
Mid- and High-Dose				
Matched Untreated-	•			
Control	15	0		79
Mid- and High-Dose				
Matched Vehicle-				
Control	15	0c	52	17 <b>d</b>
Mid-Dose <sup>e</sup>	35	2	52	27
High-Dose	35	4	12 <sup>£</sup>	
<u>Female</u>				
Low-Dose Matched				
Untreated-Control	15	0		78
Low-Dose Matched	1.7	U		70
Vehicle-Control	15	0c	52	26
Low-Dose	35	1	52	25
row-pose	33	1	72	23
Mid- and High-Dose				
Matched Untreated-				
Control	15	0		79
Mid- and High-Dose		•		
Matched Vehicle-				
Control	15	0c	52	17d
Mid-Dose <sup>e</sup>	35	2	52	27
High-Dose	35	4	25 <b>f</b>	
HERI DOGG		•	-5	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{a}}\mathrm{All}$  animals were 48-49 days of age when placed on study.

#### (continued)

bThe drug was administered intraperitoneally in a vehicle of phosphate-buffered saline and polysorbate 80 at a volume of 1 m1/100 g body weight, three times per week during the period of administration of the drug. Doses were based on the mean weight of the animals in each cage. The same needle for injection was used for each group of animals within a cage.

<sup>C</sup>Matched vehicle-control groups received only phosphate-buffered saline and polysorbate 80 at a volume of 1 ml/100 g body weight. The same bottle of vehicle solution was used for all vehicle-control animals on test at any given time.

dObservation of animals terminated at the time indicated, due to the death of all animals.

<sup>e</sup>The mid-dose group was originally the low-dose group; however, because of toxicity, a new low-dose group was started after 12 weeks of the study.

fAdministration of **β-**TGdR to the animals terminated at the time indicated, due to the death of all animals.

statistical comparisons. The 10 matched vehicle-control rats of each sex from the current studies on \(\beta\text{-TGdR}\) were combined with 10 rerun vehicle-control rats of each sex from studies on thio-TEPA and 10 rerun vehicle-control rats of each sex from studies on 3,3'-iminobis-1-propanol dimethanesulfonate (ester) hydrochloride (IPD), to give pooled vehicle-control groups of 30 rats of each sex. The vehicle-control rats that were used in the pooled vehicle-control groups were of the same strain and from the same supplier, and they were examined by the same pathologists; further, the different vehicle-control groups of which the pooled groups were composed were placed on study at starting times differing by no more than 3 months.

For statistical tests using mice, the 15 untreated-control mice of each sex used for the low-dose groups in the current bioassay were combined with the 15 untreated-control mice of each sex used for the mid- and high-dose groups in the current bioassay, to give final groups of 30 untreated-control mice of each sex. Groups of 30 vehicle-control mice of each sex were made up similarly.

# G. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations

All animals were observed twice daily for signs of toxicity, and animals that were moribund were killed and necropsied, except for those dying prior to day 100, due, presumably, to toxicity of the

test chemical. Rats were individually weighed every 2 weeks for 62 weeks and once per month thereafter; mice were individually weighed every 2 weeks for 62 weeks in the original study, for 51 weeks in the rerun study, and once per month thereafter. Palpation for masses was carried out at each weighing.

The pathologic evaluation consisted of gross and microscopic examination of major tissues, major organs, and all gross lesions from killed animals and from animals found dead. The following tissues were examined microscopically: skin, muscle, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone and bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes, thymus, heart, salivary gland, liver, gallbladder and bile duct (mice), pancreas, esophagus, stomach, small intestine, large intestine, kidney, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, mammary gland, prostate or uterus, testis or ovary, brain, and sensory organs. Peripheral blood smears were prepared from each animal whenever possible. Occasionally, additional tissues were also examined microscopically. The different tissues were preserved in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Special staining techniques were utilized when indicated for more definitive diagnosis.

A few tissues from some animals were not examined, particularly from those animals that died early. Also, some animals were

missing, cannibalized, or judged to be in such an advanced state of autolysis as to preclude histopathologic evaluation. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies, and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

# H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

These data were analyzed using the statistical techniques described in this section. Those analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative sections.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically

causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods for testing for a dose-related trend. One-tailed P values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is only reported when its two-tailed P value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site is examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control animals. As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher

exact test (Cox, 1970) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group with that of a group of dosed animals at each dose level. When results for a number of dosed groups (k) are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966) requires that the P value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.05/k. In cases where this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not, however, presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), was also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determines if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the one-tailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend is a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied when numerous early deaths resulted from causes that were not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occurred before the first tumor was observed were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived at least 52 weeks, unless a tumor

was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which an animal died naturally or was sacrificed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups; Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for the incidence of tumors which used life-table methods were one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity (P < 0.05, two-tailed test) were also noted.

The approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared with its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is defined as  $p_{\text{t}}/p_{\text{C}}$  where  $p_{\text{t}}$  is the true binomial

probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a dosed group of animals and  $p_{\rm C}$  is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a dosed group and the proportion in a control group corresponds to a relative risk of unity. Values in excess of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the dosed group than in the control.

The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk have been included in the tables of statistical The interpretation of the limits is that in approxianalyses. mately 95% of a large number of identical experiments, the true ratio of the risk in a dosed group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the When the lower limit of the confidence interval is experiment. greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result (P < 0.025 one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P < 0.050 when the control incidence is zero) has occurred. When the lower limit is less than unity, but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of induction of tumors by the test chemical, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

#### III. RESULTS - RATS


#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

Mean body weights for the low- and high-dose rats of each sex were lower than those for the corresponding matched vehicle controls throughout the study (figure 1). Fluctuation in the growth curve may be due to mortality; as the size of a group diminishes, the mean body weight may be subject to variation. Clinical signs, other than those of body weights, observed sporadically in a few animals included alopecia, cutaneous erythema and sores, tilting of the head, and exophthalmos.

## B. Survival (Rats)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female rats administered  $\beta$ -TGDR at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the controls, are shown in figure 2.

The result of the Tarone test for positive dose-related trend in mortality is significant in either sex (P < 0.001), but an indicated departure from linear trend is observed (P = 0.008 in males, P = 0.003 in females), due to the steep increase in mortality in the high-dose rats. In male rats, 6/35 (17%) of the high-dose group, 23/35 (66%) of the low-dose group, 9/10 (90%) of






Figure 1. Growth Curves For Rats Treated With  $\beta$ -TGdR




Figure 2. Survival Curves For Rats Treated With  $\beta$ -TGdR

the matched vehicle controls, and all 10 of the matched untreated controls lived to the end of the study. In female rats, 7/35 (20%) of the high-dose group, 27/35 (77%) of the low-dose group, 9/10 (90%) of the matched vehicle controls, and 9/10 (90%) of the matched untreated controls lived to the end of the study. In spite of the early death in the high-dose groups, a sufficient number of animals of each sex survived to exhibit a significant increase in the incidence of tumors in the dosed groups.

## C. Pathology (Rats)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A, tables Al and A2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C, tables Cl and C2.

A variety of tumors occurred both in the untreated controls and the vehicle controls (phosphate-buffered saline and polysorbate 80) and in the dosed groups. Some types of neoplasms occurred only in rats of dosed groups, or with greater frequency in dosed groups when compared with controls. Most of these lesions are not uncommon in this strain of rat independent of any treatment. However, carcinomas or squamous-cell carcinomas of the ear canal occurred in 1/35 low-dose males, 2/30 high-dose males, 2/32 low-dose females, and 6/27 high-dose females. None were seen in the controls.

In addition to the neoplastic lesions, a number of degenerative, proliferative, and inflammatory changes were also encountered in animals of the dosed and control groups (Appendix C). For the most part, these nonneoplastic lesions are commonly seen in aged rats.

The small number of tumors observed in the high-dose groups may have been influenced by the decreased life span in these animals. The lack of tumors in these animals is an indication of toxicity and not of a lack of carcinogenic activity. The shortened life spans of males and females in the high-dose groups, which were frequently associated with bone-marrow atrophy and bronchopneumonia, reduced the likelihood of detecting possible carcinogenic activity of the test chemical in these groups.

In the judgment of the pathologists,  $\beta$ -TGdR in phosphate-buffered saline and polysorbate 80 induced squamous-cell carcinomas of the ear canal in female Sprague-Dawley rats.

## D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)

Tables El-E4 in Appendix E contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals and with an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group. Two sets of controls are used in the analyses: the matched vehicle controls and the pooled vehicle controls. Untreated controls are not included in the tables and analyses, because the test conditions of the matched vehicle controls more closely resemble those of the dosed animals; however, the incidences in the untreated and vehicle controls are not statistically different.

In male rats, none of the incidences of tumors at any site are significant in the positive direction. The analyses of the incidences of squamous-cell carcinomas of the ear canal in female rats show a significant trend by the Cochran-Armitage test when the pooled vehicle controls are used (P = 0.010). The Fisher exact test shows that the incidence in the high-dose group is significantly greater than that in the pooled vehicle controls (P = 0.019). The statistical conclusion suggests the possibility of dose association. When the combined incidence of carcinomas and squamous-cell carcinomas of the ear canal is considered, increased significance is observed over that of squamous-cell carcinomas alone.

Due to the severe early mortality in the high-dose rats of each sex, time-adjusted analyses are performed, eliminating animals that died before week 52 on study; however, when a tumor is found at the body site of interest before week 52, comparisons are based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as

the animal in which the first tumor was found. These timeadjusted analyses are shown in tables E3 and E4.

In male rats, there is a significant trend in the time-adjusted incidence of the combined incidence of carcinomas and squamouscell carcinomas of the ear canal. These time-adjusted incidences become 0/28, 0/10, 1/31 (3%), and 2/7 (29%) in the pooled vehicle-control, matched vehicle-control, low-dose, and high-dose groups, respectively. The Cochran-Armitage test result is significant when either the pooled vehicle controls (P = 0.014) or the matched vehicle controls (P = 0.046) are used. The Fisher exact comparison of incidences between the high-dose and pooled vehicle-control groups shows a probability level of 0.035, which is above the 0.025 level required by the Bonferroni inequality criterion when multiple comparison is considered. adjusted incidence of lymphoma in male rats shows a significant trend (P = 0.025) by the Cochran-Armitage test when the pooled vehicle-control group is used; however, the Fisher exact test results are not significant.

In female rats, the only significant time-adjusted incidences of tumors in the positive direction are those of the ear canal. The time-adjusted incidences of squamous-cell carcinomas of the ear canal in female rats become 0/30, 0/10, 2/31 (6%), and 5/12 (42%) in the pooled vehicle-control, matched vehicle-control, low-dose,

and high-dose groups, respectively. The results of the Cochran-Armitage test are significant (P < 0.005) when either control group is used, but an indicated departure from linear trend is observed (P = 0.043) when the pooled-control group is used, because of the steep increase in incidence in the high-dose The Fisher exact test shows that the incidence in the group. high-dose group is significantly higher (P = 0.001) than that in the pooled vehicle-control group. The Fisher exact comparison of incidences between the high-dose and matched vehicle-control groups indicates a probability level of 0.030, which is above the 0.025 level required by the Bonferroni inequality criterion when multiple comparison is considered. When the combined timeadjusted incidence of carcinomas and squamous-cell carcinomas of the ear canal is considered, increased significance is observed over that of the squamous-cell carcinomas alone.

The spontaneous rate of tumors compiled to date at this laboratory in the historical vehicle controls shows no incidence of tumors of the ear canal in the 165 Sprague-Dawley rats of each sex; however, 2/215 (1%) untreated male rats were observed to have such tumors. No tumors of this type were seen in the 220 untreated female controls at this laboratory.

The time-adjusted analysis of female rats indicates a significant (P = 0.022) negative result in the Fisher exact test when the

incidence of chromophobe adenomas of the pituitary gland in the high-dose group of female rats is compared with that in the matched vehicle controls. Except for this comparison, all of the Fisher exact test results of comparisons of the incidences of this tumor are above or equal to the 0.025 level required when the multiple comparison criterion is considered. The additional deaths which occurred in each of the dosed groups of female rats compared with the controls may account for this negative result. No other Fisher exact test result was significant in the negative direction. The analysis of this tumor in male rats indicates no significant results of the Fisher exact test when time-adjusted data are used.

Due to the early deaths in the high-dose groups, several of the results for the Cochran-Armitage test are in the direction of a negative trend, as indicated in the tables.

In the non-adjusted tables, significant results in the negative direction were obtained for the incidence of tumors of the pituitary gland in both sexes, and of fibroadenomas of the mammary gland in female rats.

In summary, the statistical conclusion suggests that the incidence of neoplasms of the ear canal in dosed rats is associated with the administration of  $\beta$ -TGdR.

#### IV. RESULTS - MICE

# A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

In spite of the toxicity of  $\beta$ -TGdR and the many early deaths in the high-dose groups, mean body weights were not consistently affected by administration of  $\beta$ -TGdR (figures 3 and 4). Fluctuations in the growth curve may be due to mortality; as the size of a group diminishes, the mean body weight may be subject to variation. Progressive weight loss occurred in a few animals. No other clinical signs that could be related to administration of the drug were recorded.

To control respiratory disease, the high- and mid-dose groups, together with corresponding controls, received oxytetracycline in the drinking water at 0.6 mg/ml for 5 days during week 42 and at 0.3 mg/ml for the following 5 days. The low-dose group, with controls, received oxytetracyline in the same pattern beginning at week 31. Also, propylene glycol was vaporized for about 2 months in the rooms housing the mice, beginning at week 42 for the high- and mid-dose groups and at week 30 for the low-dose groups.

#### B. Survival (Mice)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of




Figure 3. Growth Curves For Male Mice Treated With  $\beta$ -TGdR




Figure 4. Growth Curves For Female Mice Treated With  $\beta$ -TGdR

survival for male and female mice administered  $\beta$ -TGdR by intraperitoneal injection at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the controls, are shown in figures 5 and 6.

The results of the Tarone tests for positive dose-related trend in mortality are significant (P < 0.001) in either sex. In male mice, 0/35 (0%) of the high-dose group, 5/35 (14%) of the middose group, 11/35 (31%) of the low-dose group, 4/15 (27%) of the low-dose matched vehicle-control group, 0/15 (0%) of the mid- and high-dose matched vehicle-control group, 9/15 (60%) of the lowdose matched untreated-control group, and 10/15 (67%) of the midand high-dose matched untreated-control group lived to the end of the study. In the females, 0/35 (0%) of the high-dose group, 2/35 (6%) of the mid-dose group, 10/35 (29%) of the low-dose group, 3/15 (20%) of the low-dose matched vehicle controls, 0/15(0%) of the mid- and high-dose matched vehicle controls, 12/15 (80%) of the low-dose untreated controls, and 13/15 (87%) of the mid- and high-dose untreated controls survived to the end of the study. The severe early mortality in the dosed male and female mice may have prevented the observation of late-appearing tumors.

#### C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B, tables Bl-B4; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D, tables Dl-D4.




Figure 5. Survival Curves For Male Mice Treated With  $\beta$ -TGdR




Figure 6. Survival Curves For Female Mice Treated With  $\, eta$ -TGdR

There was a high incidence of lymphoreticular neoplasms, primarily malignant lymphomas, in the matched vehicle controls (phosphate-buffered saline and polysorbate 80) and the low- and mid-dose groups. The incidences of animals bearing these neoplasms were as follows:

	Matched Untreated Controls	Matched Vehicle Controls	Low <u>Dose</u>	Mid <u>Dose</u>
MALES				
Number of animals				
necropsied	30	29	35	32
Multiple organs (lymphor	e <b>-</b>			
ticular)				
Malignant lymphoma, NOS	* 0	2	1	1
Malignant lymphoma, un-				
differentiated type	0	0	2	6
Malignant lymphoma,				
lymphocytic type	1	4	7	9
Malignant lymphoma,				
histiocytic type	0	12	4	0
Malignant lymphoma,				
mixed type	0	1	3	0
Lymphocytic leukemia	0	0	0	2
Total number of animals				
with neoplasms	1	19	17	18
Percent of animals				
with neoplasms	3	66	49	56

<sup>\*</sup>Not otherwise specified

	Matched Untreated Controls	Matched Vehicle Controls	Low <u>Dose</u>	Mid Dose
FEMALES				
Number of animals necropsied	30	29	33	29
Multiple Organs (lymphore	<b>5</b>			
ticular)		,		•
Malignant lymphoma, NOS	1	4	2	2
Malignant lymphoma,	0	4	1	17
undifferentiated type Malignant lymphoma,	U	4		17
lymphocytic type	0	0	2	2
Malignant lymphoma,	Ü	Ŭ	_	~
histiocytic type	1	11	14	0
Lymphocytic leukemia	0_	1	0_	0_
Total number of animals				
with neoplasms	2	20	19	21
Percent of animals				
with neoplasms	7	69	58	72

Data for the high-dose groups are not presented, since all but one of the animals in these groups died early, and they were not examined.

Lymphocytic leukemia was diagnosed in one vehicle-control female and two dosed males. This diagnosis was used because of the presence of numerous neoplastic lymphoid cells in the vascular sinusoids of the livers and spleens and peripheral blood smears. Since there was extensive lymphoid cell infiltration in many organs and tissues, these cases probably represented malignant lymphomas with accompanying leukemia.

Malignant lymphomas appeared with approximately equal frequency in the vehicle-control and dosed groups of both sexes. Thus, the neoplasms do not appear to be chemical related.

The lack of tumors in the high-dose male and female groups of mice could be related to marked decrease in life span, which is an indication of the toxicity of  $\beta$ -TGdR and does not necessarily indicate a lack of carcinogenic activity.

The other neoplasms listed in Appendix B appeared with approximately equal frequency in dosed and control mice, or appeared in insignificant numbers.

In addition to the neoplastic lesions, a large number of degenerative, proliferative, and inflammatory changes were encountered in animals of the dosed and control groups (Appendix D). For the most part, these nonneoplastic lesions are commonly seen in aged mice and were not associated with increased mortalities or decreased life spans.

In the judgment of the pathologists, this study failed to provide conclusive evidence of carcinogenic activity of  $\beta$ -TGdR in B6C3F1 mice.

## D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables Fl-F4 in Appendix F contain the statistical analyses of

the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals and with an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group. The high-dose groups are not included in the tables, since all but one of the animals in these groups died early and they were not examined. The matched untreated controls are not included in the tables and analyses, because the test conditions of the vehicle controls more closely resemble those of the dosed animals.

The combined incidences of lymphoma and leukemia in the matched vehicle controls are significantly higher than those in the matched untreated controls in both sexes. In male mice, they are 1/30 in the matched untreated controls and 19/29 in the matched vehicle controls; in females they are 2/30 and 21/29 in the same order. These differences may indicate a difficulty in the execution of this bioassay.

Due to the severe early mortality in the dosed mice and in the matched vehicle controls, time-adjusted analyses are performed, eliminating animals that died before week 52 on study; however, when a tumor is found at the body site of interest before week 52, comparisons are based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. These time-adjusted analyses are shown in tables F3 and F4.

The results of the Cochran-Armitage test for positive doserelated trend in incidences and those of the Fisher exact test for direct comparison of control and dosed groups are not significant in either sex, before or after time adjustment.

In each of the 95% confidence intervals of relative risk, shown in the tables, the value of one is included; this indicates the absence of significant results. It should also be noted that each of the intervals has an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by this compound, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

#### V. DISCUSSION

β-TGdR administered intraperitoneally was toxic to rats at the doses used in this study. Mean body weights of the high- and low-dose rats of both sexes were lower than those of the corresponding vehicle controls throughout the study. There was also severe early mortality in the high-dose groups of both sexes and positive dose-related trends in mortality over the period of the bioassay. However, 66% of the low-dose males and 77% of the low-dose females survived until termination of the study.

In mice,  $\beta$ -TGdR was toxic at the doses originally selected. Mean body weights were not consistently affected; however, at the high dose, only three males and seven females lived past week 7, and all were dead by week 25. In the mid-dose group, only 14% of the males and 6% of the females survived until termination of the study at week 79; in the low-dose group, the survival rate was 31% for the males and 29% for the females.

Because of the high mortality, time-adjusted statistical analyses were performed for both rats and mice.

In rats, the incidence of carcinomas of the ear canal (combined carcinoma and squamous-cell carcinoma) was statistically significant in both sexes. In males, the results of the test for dose-related trend were significant using either matched vehicle

(P = 0.046) or pooled vehicle (P = 0.014) controls, but direct comparisons of dosed male rats with matched vehicle or pooled vehicle controls did not show significant differences (matched vehicle controls 0/10, pooled vehicle controls 0/28, low-dose 1/31, high-dose 2/7). In females, the results of the test for dose-related trend were significant using either matched vehicle (P = 0.002) or pooled vehicle (P < 0.001) controls, and the incidence in the high-dose group was significantly higher than that in either the matched vehicle (P = 0.023) or pooled vehicle (P < 0.001) controls (matched vehicle controls 0/9, pooled vehicle controls 0/28, low-dose 2/32, high-dose 6/13). There were no such tumors of the ear canal among 165 historical vehicle controls of either sex or 220 untreated female controls at the laboratory, and only two such tumors among 215 untreated male controls.

In mice, no tumors appeared in statistically significant incidences in the dosed groups compared with the vehicle controls, and there was no significant evidence of dose-related trend for any tumors. The incidences of the combination of lymphoma and leukemia were significantly higher in the matched vehicle controls of either sex than in the corresponding untreated controls (males: matched untreated controls 1/30, matched vehicle controls 19/29; females: matched untreated

controls 2/30, matched vehicle controls 21/29). This high incidence in the matched vehicle controls may have been due to a systematic procedural problem associated with injection of the drug, which made possible the transplantation of tumor cells. The same needle for injection was used for each group of five animals within a cage; furthermore, the same bottle of vehicle was used for all vehicle-control animals.

The vehicle used for administering the  $\beta$ -TGdR to all groups in this bioassay contained polysorbate 80, which in itself has been implicated as a carcinogen, but only in the production of local sarcomas following subcutaneous injection (Grasso et al., 1971). However, in these bioassays no local sarcomas were observed in the vehicle-control animals administered polysorbate 80 by intraperitoneal injection.

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, the low survival of the dosed and vehicle-control groups of mice, as well as the possible procedural problem that may have affected the incidences of tumors in these groups, does not allow a determination to be made of the carcinogenic potential of  $\beta$ -TGdR in this species.  $\beta$ -TGdR in the vehicle of 0.05% polysorbate 80 was, however, carcinogenic in rats, producing carcinomas of the ear canal in the females and possibly also in the males.

#### VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 362-365.
- Berenblum, I., ed., <u>Carcinogenicity Testing</u>: <u>A Report of the Panel on Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of the UICC</u>, <u>Vol 2</u>, International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.
- Cox, D. R., Regression models and life tables. J. R. Statist. Soc. B 34(2):187-220, 1972.
- Cox, D. R., Analysis of Binary Data, Methuen & Co., Ltd., London, 1970, pp. 48-52.
- Gart, J. J., The comparison of proportions: a review of significance tests, confidence limits and adjustments for stratification. Rev. Int. Statist. Inst. 39:148-169, 1971.
- Grasso, P., Gangolli, S. D., Golberg, L., and Hooson, J., Physicochemical and other factors determining local sarcoma production by food additives. J. Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol. 9:463-478, 1971.
- Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P., Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 53:457-481, 1958.
- Lepage, G. A., Junga, I. G., and Bowman, B., Biochemical and carcinostatic effects of 2'-deoxythioguanosine. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 24:835-840, 1964.
- Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J. A., Martin, R. L., Page, N. P., and Peters, J. A., Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. <u>Comp. and Biomed. Res.</u> 7:230-248, 1974.
- Loo, T. L., Ho, D. H. W., Bodey, G. P., and Freireich, E. J., Pharmacological and clinical studies of some nucleoside analogs. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 255:252-260, 1975.
- Miller, R. G., Jr., <u>Simultaneous Statistical Inference</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966, pp. 6-10.

- Peery, A. and Lepage, G. A., Nucleotide formation from  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -2'-deoxythioguanosine in extracts of murine and human tissues. <u>Cancer Res. 29</u>:617-623, 1969.
- Saffiotti, U., Montesano, R., Sellakumar, A. R., Cefis, F., and Kaufman, D. G., Respiratory tract carcinogenesis in hamsters induced by different numbers of administrations of benzo (a) pyrene and ferric oxide. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 32:1073-1081, 1972.
- Tarone, R. E., Tests for trend in life table analysis. <u>Biometrika</u> 62(3):679-682, 1975.

## APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS TREATED WITH  $m{eta}$ -TGdR

•			
		,	

## TABLE A1.

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH $\beta$ -TGdR

	UNTREATED CONTROL	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY			35	35
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	10	10	35	30
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 10	10	35	30
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN	(10)	(10)	(35)	(30)
PAPILLOMA, NOS		1 (10%)		1 (20)
SQUANOUS CELL CARCINOMA TRICHOEPITHELIOMA			1 (3%)	1 (3%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
NONE				
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(10)	(10)	(35)	(30)
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYP	E		1 (3%)	2 (7%)
*MANDIBULAR L. NODE	(10)	(10)	(17)	(7)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METAST	A 		1 (6%)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
NONE				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
NONE				
***************************************				
URINARY SYSTEM				
NONE				
			···· ·	

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED . CONTROL	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
*PITUITARY CHRONOPHOBE ADENONA	(9) 2 (22%)	(9) 4 (44%)	(25) 3 (12%)	(20)
*ADRENAL PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	(10)	(10) 1 (10%)	(34)	(29)
*PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(10)	(10) 1 (10%)	(33) 1 (3%)	(27)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR	(10)	(10)	(34) 1 (3%)	(28)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
#BRAIN ASTROCYTOMA	(10) 1 (10%)	(10)	(33)	(27) 1 (4%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
*EAR CANAL CARCINONA, NOS SQUANOUS CELL CARCINONA	(10)		(35) 1 (3%)	1 (3%)
HUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
HONE				
BODY CAVITIES				
*PELVIS ADENOCARCINONA, NOS	(10)	(10) 1 (10%)	(35)	(30)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
*MULTIPLE ORGANSSQUAMOUS_CBLL_CARCINOMA	(10)	(10)	(35) 1_(3 <u>5</u> )	(30)

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOS
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	10	10	35	35
NATURAL DEATHO			6	13
MORIBUND SACRIFICE		1	6	16
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED				
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	10	9	23	6
ANIHAL MISSING	10	,	2.5	Ü
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS				
UNOR SUMMARY				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	3	7	9	5
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	3	8	9	6
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	2	6	6	
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	2	<b>ั</b> ว	6	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	1	1	3	5
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	1	1	3	<b>.</b> 6
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS	<b>.</b>		1	
TOTAL SECONDARY TUNORS			1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-	<u>-</u>			
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT				
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-				
PRIMARY OR NETASTATIC				
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUNORS				

<sup>\*</sup> PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS
\* SECONDARY TUMORS: HETASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH  $\beta$ -TGDR

	CONTROL	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	
NIHALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NIHALS NECROPSIED NIHALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 10	10 10 10	35 32 32	35 27 27
NTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN SARCOMA, NOS	(10) 1 (10%)	(10)	(32)	(27)
*SUBCUT TISSUE SARCONA, NOS ANELOBLASTONA	(10)	(10)	(32) 1 (3素) 1 (3素)	(27)
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
none				
ENATOPOLETIC SYSTEM				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS  MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS  MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIPPER-TYPE  MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE	(10)	(10) 1 (10%	• •	(27) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
PTHYMUS THYMOMA	(8)	(10)	(31) 1 (3%)	(25)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM				
NONE				
IGESTIVE SYSTEM				
none				
PRINARY SYSTEM				
NONE				

<sup>#</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED HICROSCOPICALLY NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
#PITUITARY CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA	(10) 7 (76%)	(10) 3 (80%)	(31) 12 (39%)	(23) 2 (9%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*MAMMARY GLAND CARCINOMA, NOS ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS FIBROADENOMA	(10)	(10) 1 (10%) 2 (20%)	(32) 3 (9%) 5 (16%)	(27)
*UTERUS ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP	(9)	(10) 1 (10%)	(32) 1 (3%)	(26)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
NONE				* <b>-</b>
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
*EAR CANAL CARCINOMA,NOS SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA		(10)	(32) 2 (6%)	(27) 1 (4%) 5 (19%
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
NONE				
BODY CAVITIES				
SARCOMA, NOS	(10)			1 (4%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
THORACIC CAVITY ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS				

<sup>#</sup> NUMBER OF ABINALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHO MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	10	10	35 3 5	35 13 15
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	9	9	27	7
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS				
UMOR SUMMARY				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	8 12	9 14	17 26	10 1∠
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	ช 11	9 11	15 20	2 2
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	1	.i .3	6	10 10
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	#			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	-			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	-			

<sup>\*</sup> PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS

\* SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

#### APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE TREATED WITH  $oldsymbol{eta}$ -TGdR

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE

TREATED WITH β-TGdR (CONTROL GROUPS)

#### MID & HIGH DOSE LOW DOSE MID & HIGH LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL VEHICLE UNTREATED CONTROL 15 15 ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 15 15 ANIMALS NECROPSIED 15 14 15 ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY 14 INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM RESPIRATORY SYSTEM (15) 1 (7%) (14) (14) (15) (14) 2 (14%) 1 (7%) ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (15) (14)\*MULTIPLE ORGANS (15) (15) MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE 2 (13%) 1 (7%) 1 (7%) 3 (21%) 0 (40%) 1 (7%) 6 (43%) MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (15) 1 (7%) 1 (7%) (14) 1 (7%) (15) #I.TVER HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA (15) 1 (7%) \*BILE DUCT (15) (14) (15) BILE DUCT CARCINOMA

(15)

1 (7%)

(14)

(15)

(15)

URINARY SYSTEM

HEMANGIOMA

#URINARY BLADDER

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE B1. MALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	MID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
NONE				
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
NONE				
NERVOUS SYSTEM		~~~~~~~		
NONE				
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
NONE				
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
NONE				
BODY CAVITIES				
NONE				
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
NONE				
ANIHAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	15	15	15	15
NATURAL DEATHS	3	1	10 5	4 7
MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	2	5	5	,
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED				
	10	9		ц
TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	10	,		4

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE B1. MALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	MID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL		MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL		
TUNOP SUMMARY						
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMOPS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMOPS	5	3	9 10	11 11		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	2 2	2	1	1		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMOR TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMOFS	s 3 3	1	9	10 10		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMOR TOTAL SECONDARY TUMOPS	S#					
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAI BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	N -					
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMOPS UNCEPTAI PRIMARY OF METASTATIC TOTAL UNCEPTAIN TUMORS	N -					
* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT	SECONDARY TUMOR:	S				

<sup>\*</sup> PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS
\* SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

#### TABLE B2.

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH $\beta$ -TGdR (TREATED GROUPS)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	35 35	35 32 32	35 0 0
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
REMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*HULTIPLE ORGANS  MALIGNANT LYMPHONA, NOS  MALIG.LYMPHONA, UNDIFFER-TYPE  MALIG.LYMPHONA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPI  MALIG.LYMPHONA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPI  MALIGNANT LYMPHONA, MIXED TYPE  LYMPHOCYTIC LYMPHONA	(35) 1 (3%) 2 (6%) 7 (20%) 4 (11%) 3 (9%)	(32) 1 (3%) 6 (19%) 9 (28%)	
LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA		2 (6%)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR ADENONA HEMANGIOSARCONA	(34) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(31) 1 (3%)	
JRINARY SYSTEM			
URINARY SYSTEM			

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED HICROSCOPICALLY NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE B2. MALE MICE (TREATED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	, <u></u>		
*THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA	(31)	(22) 1 (5%)	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
NONE			
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE OPGANS			
NONE			
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
no n e			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	35_	35	35
NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	5 19	15 15	35
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	11	5	
a Includes Autolyzed Animals			

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE B2. MALE MICE (TREATED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	19 19	19 20	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	1	1 2	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMOR TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	S 18 18	18 18	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMOR TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	S#		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAI BENIGN OR HALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	n <del>-</del>		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAI PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	n <b>-</b>		

<sup>\*</sup> PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS

\* SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

TABLE B3. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH  $\beta$ -TGdR (CONTROL GROUPS)

	WID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	15	15	15	15
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAI	15 LLY 15	15 15	15 · 15	14 14
INTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM				
NONE				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
#LUNG ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA OSTEOSARCOMA, HFTASTATIC	(15)	(15) 1 (7%) 1 (7%)	(15)	(14)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
*MULTIPLE OFGANS  MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS  MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE  MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TY  LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(15) PE	(15) 1 (7%) 1 (7%)	(15) 2 (13%) 1 (7%) 7 (47%)	2 (14%) 3 (21%)
*LIVER MALIG.LYMPHONA, UNDIFFER-TYPE	• •	(15)	• •	(14)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
NONE				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
#LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR ADENONA	(15) 1 (7%)		(15)	
URINARY SYSTEM				
NONE				

<sup>#</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE B3. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	MID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL
BUDOCRINE SYSTEM				
BONE				
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
NONE				
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
HONE				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
NONE				
IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
*VERTEBRA	(15)	(15)	(15)	(14)
OSTEOSARCONA		1 (7%)		
BODY CAVITIES				
NONE	****			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
HONE				
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	15	15	15	15
NATURAL DEATHS	131	1	3	6
NORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE		2	12	5
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED	1 13	12		1 3
TERMINAL SACRIFICE Animal Missing	1.3	12		3
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS				

<sup>•</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY • NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE B3. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

			DOSE VEHICLE	VEHICLE
UHOR SUHMARY				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	1	đ	11 11	10 10
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	1	1		
TOTAL ANIHALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	3	3	11 11	10 10
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS	<b>;</b> *	1		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	1-			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	1-			

#### TABLE B4.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH $\beta$ -TGdR (CONTROL GROUPS)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NIMALS NECROPSIED NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	35 33 7 33	35 29 29	35 1 1
NTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM			
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA	(33)	(28) 1 (4%)	(1)
EMATOPOIFTIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE OPGANS  MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS  MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIPPER-TYPE  MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPI  MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPI	(33) 2 (6%) 1 (3%) 2 (6%) 2 14 (42%)	(29) 2 (7%) 17 (59%) 2 (7%)	1 (100%
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
RINARY SYSTEM NONE			
NDOCRINE SYSTEM			
*THYROID POLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA	(30)	(25)	(1)

<sup>•</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY • NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE B4. FEMALE MICE (TREATED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
PEPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#OVARY HEMANGIOSARCOMA	(32) 1 (3%)	(29)	(1)
NEPVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPFCIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
none			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
enon			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	35	35	35
ANTMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHO	9	14	35 33 1
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATUPAL DEATH® MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACPIFICE	9 15	14 17	3 3 1
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHO MORIBUND SACRIFICE	9	14	3 3

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECPOPSIED

TABLE B4. FEMALE MICE (TREATED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	20 21	21 22	1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	1	1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMOPS	s 20 20	21 21	1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SPCONDARY TUMORS	5#		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	<b>1-</b>		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	<b>1-</b>		

<sup>\*</sup> PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS

\* SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

#### APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS  $\text{IN RATS TREATED WITH } \boldsymbol{\beta}\text{-}\text{TGdR}$ 

<i>,</i>		

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH  $\beta$ -TGdR

	UNTREATED CONTROL	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 10 10	10 10 10	35 35 35	35 30 30
NTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN INPLAMMATION, FOCAL ULCER, CHEONIC	(10)	• •	(35) 1 (3%)	(30) 1 (3%)
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
STRACHEA LYMPHOCYTIC INPLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(10)	(10)	(34) 2 (6%)	(29) 2 (7%) 2 (7%)
*LUNG/BRONCHUS BRONCHIECTASIS INFLAMMATION, SUPPERATIVE HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(10) 1 (10%)	(10)	(34) 3 (9%)	(27) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 3 (11%)
*LUNG BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV			(34) 10 (29%)	(27) 2 (7%) 4 (15%) 1 (4%)
HEMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM				
\$BONE MARROW ATROPHY, NOS	• •		(34)	8 (32%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
#MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL	(10)	(10)	(34) 1 (3%)	(29) 1 (3%)
*PULMONARY ARTERY HYPERTROPHY, NOS	(10)	(10)	(35) 1 (3%)	(30)

<sup>#</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
*LIVER THROMBOSIS, NOS INFLAMMATION, PYOGRANULOMATOUS	(10)	(10)	(33)	(28) 1 (4%)
NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE			2 (6%)	1 (4%)
#HEPATIC CAPSULE HEMORRHAGE	(10)	(10)	(33) 1 (3%)	(28)
*LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECROSIS, FOCAL	(10)	(10)	(33)	(28) 1. (4%)
*PANCREAS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(10)	(10)	(33)	(27) 1 (4系)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	1 (10%)			
#STOMACH ULCER, FOCAL	(9)	(10) 1 (10%)	(33)	(27)
*JEJUNUM ULCER, POCAL	(10)	(10) 1 (10%)	(33)	(24)
URINARY SYSTEM				
#KIDNEY INFLANMATION, CHRONIC	(10)	(10)	(34) 1 (3%)	(28)
INPLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL INPLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	4 (40%)	3 (30%)	1 (3系) 5 (15系)	1 (4%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
#ADRENAL CORTEX CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION	• •		(34)	(29) 1 (3%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
NONE				
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
NONE				

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED HICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

## TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
NONE				
BODY CAVITIES				
*PERITONEUM INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	(10)	(10) 1 (10%)	(35)	(30)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
*MULTIPLE OFGANS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(10)	(10)	(35) 1 (3%)	(30)
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY				
NO LESION REPORTED NO NECROPSY PERPORMED	1		6	<b>7</b> 5

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH  $\beta$ -TGdR

	UNTREATED CONTROL	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECPOPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	10 10 Y 10	10 10 10	35 32 32	35 27 27
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
NONE				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
*LUNG/BRONCHUS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(10)	(10)	(32) 1 (3%)	(27) 2 (7%)
#LUNG BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE	(10)	(10)	(32) 1 (3%)	(27) 1 (4%) 2 (7%)
HENATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
*BONE MARROW ATROPHY, NOS	(8)	(10)	(32)	(26) 7 (2 <b>7%</b> )
*SPLEEN HEMATOPOIESIS	(10)	(10)	(32) 1 (3%)	(27)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(B)	(8)	(27) 1 (4%)	(10) 1 (10%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
*MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(10)	(10)	(32)	(27) 1 (4%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
#LIVER CORGULATIVE	(10)	(10)	(32)	(27) 1_( <b>4%</b> )_

<sup>•</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED HICROSCOPICALLY
• NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL	VEHICLE CONTROL		HIGH DOSE
#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECROSIS, FOCAL CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION	(10)	(10)	(32)	(27) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
*BILE DUCT HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(10)	(10)	(32) 1 (3%)	(27)
*PANCREAS INPLANNATION, CHRONIC	(10)	(10)	(32) 1 (3%)	(27)
URINARY SYSTEM				
*KIDNEY INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	(10) 1 (10%) 1 (10%)	(10) 1 (10%) 1 ≥(10%)	(32)	(27)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
#ADRENAL ANGIECTASIS	(10) 1 (10%)	(10)	(32) 4 (13%)	(26) 1 (4%)
#ADRENAL MEDULLA HEMORRHAGE	(10)	(10)	(32) 1 (3%)	(26)
PEPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPUPATIVE	(9)	(10)	(32)	(26) 1 (4%)
*UTERUS/NYOMETRIUM THRONBOSIS, NOS	(9)	(10)	(32) 1 (3%)	(26)
*OVARY INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(9)	(10)	(32) 1 (3%)	(26) 5 (19%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
NONE				
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
- NOKE				

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECPOPSIED

TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
NONE				
BODY CAVITIES				
*MESENTERY NECROSIS, FAT	(10)	(10)	(32) 1 (3%)	(27)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS				
NONE		<del>-</del>		
PECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY				
NO LESION BEPORTED NO NECROPSY PERFORMED AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY	2	1	10 2 1	<b>4</b> 8

<sup>#</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICFGSCGPICALLY
\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS  $\text{IN MICE TREATED WITH } \boldsymbol{\beta}\text{-}\text{TGdR}$ 

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH  $\beta$ -TGdR (CONTROL GROUPS)

TABLE D1.

	MID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAL	15 15 LY 15	15 15 15	15 14 14	15 15 15
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
NONE				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
*TRACHEA INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(15) 5 (33%)	(15) ~ (13%)	(14)	(14)
<pre>#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE   HYPERPLASIA, PLASHA CELL   HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID</pre>	(14) 1 (7条)	(15) 3 (20%)	(14)	(15)
◆LUNG BRONCHOPNEUMONIA GUPPURATIVE HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL	(14) 6 (43%)		1 (7%)	(15)
HEMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM				
NONE				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
#MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(15)	(15) 1 (7%)	(14) 1 (7%)	(15)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURAT	1 (7%)		1 (7%)	
*ENDOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(15)	(15)	(14) 1 (7%)	(15)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
*LIVER INFLAMMATIONSUPPURATIVE	(15)	(15) 1 (7%)	(14)	(15)

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICFOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D1. MALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	MID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL
INPLANMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURA	TIV		1 (7%) 2 (14%)	
	2 (13%)			2 (13/
RINARY SYSTEM				
*KIDNEY PYELONEPHRITIS SUPPURATIVE	(15)		(14) 1 (7%)	(15) 1 (7 <b>%</b> )
NDOCRINE SYSTEM				
NONE				
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(15)	(15)	(14) 1 (7%)	(15)
ERVOUS SYSTEM				
NONE				
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
*MIDDLE BAR INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(15) 1 (7%)		(14)	
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
NONE	****			
ODY CAVITIES				
*PERITONEUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE			(14)	1 (7%)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS				
HONE				

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

## TABLE D1. MALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	MID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL
ECIAL HORPHOLOGY SUMMARY				
NO LESION REPORTED AUTO/NECROPSY/NO HISTO AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY	4	6	1 1 1	3

<sup>#</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED HICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECFORSIED

#### TABLE D2.

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH $\beta$ -TGdR (TREATED GROUPS)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	35	35 32	35 0	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	35 	32	0	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
NONE				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
*TRACHEA INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(35) 1 (3%)	(32)		
*LUNG/BRONCHIOLE HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL	(35) 2 (6%)	(31)		
*LUNG BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE	(35) 8 (23%)	(31)		
HRMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
NONE				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
NONE				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
#LIVER INPLANMATION, NECROTIZING	(34)	(31) 1 (3%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC NECROTIZIN NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR	1 (3%) 2 (6%)	1 (3%) 1 (3%)		
*HEPATIC CAPSULE	(34)	(31) 1 (3%)		

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D2. MALE MICE (TREATED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECROSIS, NOS	(34)	(31) 1 (3%)	
*PANCREAS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(33)	(32) 1 (3%) 2 (6%)	
*STOMACH INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	(34)	(32) 1 (3%)	
#ILEUM ULCER, NOS INPLAHHATION, SUPPURATIVE	(3 ق	(31) 1 (3系) 1 (3系)	
RINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
NONE			
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*PROSTATE INPLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	(35)	(32) 1 (3%)	
*EPIDIDYMIS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	(35)	(32) 2 (6%)	
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
NOME			
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			

<sup>•</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICKOSCOPICALLY
• NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D2. MALE MICE (TREATED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
BODY CAVITIES			
*PERITONEUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPUPATIV	(35)	(32) 2 (6%) 3 (9%)	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
SPECIAL MOPPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED NO NECROPSY PERFORMED	8	6 3	35

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECPOPSIED

TABLE D3. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH  $\beta$ -TGdR (CONTROL GROUPS)

	MID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALI	15 15 LY 15	15 15 15	15 15 15	15 14 14
NTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM				
NONE				
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
*TRACHEA INFLAHMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(15)	(15)	(15) 2 (13%)	(13)
*LUNG/BRONCHIOLE HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(15) 2 (13%) 1 (7%)	(15) 1 (7%)	(15) 2 (13%)	(14)
*LUNG BROWCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE BROWCHOPNEUMONIA CHROWIC SUPPU! HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL		(15)	(15) 4 (27%)	(14) 1 (7%) 1 (7%)
ENATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	,			
NONE				
IRCULATORY SYSTEM				
OHYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATION	(15) (V	(15)	(15) 1 (7%)	(14) 1 (7%)
#BNDOCARDIUM	(15)	(15)	(15) 1 (7%)	(14) 1 (7%)

<sup>\*</sup> WUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* WUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D3. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	MID & HOU DOOR LOW DOOR MID & HOU DOOR				
	MID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
RINARY SYSTEM					
#URINARY BLADDER ULCER, NOS	(15)	(15) 1 (7%)	(15)	(14)	
NDOCRINE SYSTEM					
NONE					
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPUPATIVE	(15) 1 (7%)	(15)	(15)	(14)	
OVARY INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(15)	(15)	(15)	(14) 1 (7%)	
BRVOUS SYSTEM					
#BRAIN HEMORRHAGE	(15)	(15)	(15)	(13) 1 (8%)	
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS					
*EYE/CORNEA INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	•	(15)	(15) 1 (7%)	(14)	
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
NONE					
ODY CAVITIES					
*PERITONEUM INPLAHMATION, SUPPUPATIVE	(15)	(15)	(15) 1 (7紫)	(14)	
LL OTHER SYSTEMS					
NONE					

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D3. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	MID & HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL	MID & HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY				
NO LESION REPORTED ACCIDENTAL DEATH	9	11		2

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH  $\beta$ -TGdR (TREATED GROUPS)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	35 33 33	35 29 29	35 1 1
INTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
*TRACHEA INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(33) 5 (15%)	(29) 1 (3%)	(1)
#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL	(33)	(28) 1 (4%)	(1)
*LUNG BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE	(33) 6 (18%)	(28) 4 (14%)	(1)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			•
*MESENTERIC L. NODE INFLAMMATION, NECROTIZING	(30)	(20) 1 (5%)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
NONE			
URINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
_NONE			

<sup>#</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D4. FEMALE MICE (TREATED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(32) 4 (13%)	(29)	
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*MIDDLE EAP INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(33) 1 (3%)	(29)	(1)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
none			
BODY CAVITIES			
*PERITONEUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC POCAL	(33)	(29) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(1)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED ACCIDENTAL DEATH NO NECROPSY PERFORMED AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY	8 1 1	3 2 3 1	1 33
*PERITONEUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL  ALL OTHER SYSTEMS NONE  SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY  NO LESION REPORTED ACCIDENTAL DEATH NO NECPOPSY PERFORMED	8 1	1 (3%) 1 (3%)	1

<sup>\*</sup> NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

## APPENDIX E

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS  $\text{IN RATS TREATED WITH } \boldsymbol{\beta}\text{-}\text{TGdR}$ 

95

Table El. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Treated with  ${\it \beta-}{\rm TGdR}^a$ 

	Pooled	Matched		
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Control	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System				
Lymphomab	0/30 (0)	0/10 (0)	1/35 (3)	2/30 (7)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ntrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.047	0.301
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle C	ontrol)f		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.017	0.109
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			66	43
Pituitary: Chromophobe				
Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	7/26 (27)	4/9 (44)	3/25 (12)	0/20 (0)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	P = 0.008(N)	P = 0.003(N)	N.S.	P = 0.005*(N)
				P = 0.012**(N
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Control) <sup>f</sup>			0.446	0.000
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	HELUI			
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co Lower Limit	neror)		0.083	0.000
	nelol)			
Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.083	0.000
Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.083 1.710	0.000 0.637
Lower Limit Upper Limit Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle C			0.083 1.710 0.270	0.000 0.637 0.000

Table El. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Treated with  $\emph{B-}\text{TGdR}^a$ 

(continued)				
	Pooled	Matched		
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Ear Canal: Carcinoma, NOS, or				
Squamous-cell Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	0/30 (0)	0/10 (0)	1/35 (3)	2/30 (7)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle (	Control)f		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.047	0.301
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle	Control) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.017	0.109
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			76	63

aDosed groups received 3.5 or 7 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection.

CBeneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched vehicle-control group (\*) or with the pooled vehicle-control group (\*\*) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

# Table El. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Treated with $\emph{B} ext{-}\mathrm{TGdR}^a$

### (continued)

dA negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.

eThe probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

	Pooled	Matched	•	11.4
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Control	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System:				
Lymphoma	1/30 (3)	1/10 (10)	0/32 (0)	3/27 (11)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle C	Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.000	3.333
Lower Limit			0.000	0.288
Upper Limit			17.314	167.925
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle	Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.000	1.111
Lower Limit			0.000	0.108
Upper Limit			5.791	56.038
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	41	41		31
Pituitary: Chromophobe				
Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	14/28 (50)	8/10 (80)	12/31 (39)	2/23 (9)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	P = 0.002(N)	P < 0.001(N)	P = 0.027*(N)	P < 0.001*(N) P = 0.002**(N)
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle (	Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.774	0.174
Lower Limit			0.407	0.022
Upper Limit			1.482	0.649
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle	Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.484	0.109
Lower Limit			0.342	0.012
Upper Limit			1.077	0.419
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	41	41	68	70

99

Table E2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with  $\beta$ -TGdR<sup>a</sup>

(continued)	Pooled	Matched		<del></del>
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Tongon hora Monaha Inor			<del></del>	
Topography: Morphology	Control	Control	Dose	Dose
Mammary Gland:				
Adenocarcinoma, NOS <sup>b</sup>	1/30 (3)	0/10 (0)	3/32 (9)	0/27 (0)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle	Control)f		2.813	0.000
Lower Limit	•		0.242	0.000
Upper Limit			142.727	20.405
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle	Control)f		Infinite	
Lower Limit	• • • • • •		0.209	
Upper Limit			Infinite	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	80		74	
Mammary Gland: Carcinoma or				
Adenocarcinoma, NOSb	2/30 (7)	1/10 (10)	3/32 (9)	0/27 (0)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle	Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.406	0.000
Lower Limit	•		0.173	0.000
Upper Limit			15.880	3.673
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle	Control)f		0.938	0.000
Lower Limit	·		0.091	0.000
Upper Limit			47.629	6.825
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	79	79	74	

100

Table E2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with  $\beta$ -TGdR<sup>a</sup>

(continued)	D 1 1	26 . 1 2		
	Pooled Vehicle	Matched Vehicle	Low	77.4
m 1 1 - 1			_ : ::	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma <sup>b</sup>	6/30 (20)	2/10 (20)	5/32 (16)	0/27 (0)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	P = 0.020(N)	P = 0.032(N)	N.S.	P = 0.016**(1)
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ntrol)f		0.781	0.000
Lower Limit			0.211	0.000
Upper Limit			2.750	0.675
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle C	ontrol) <sup>f</sup>		0.781	0.000
Lower Limit	Oncioi,		0.165	0.000
Upper Limit			7.520	1.210
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	79	79	74	
Ear Canal: Squamous-cell				
Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	0/30 (0)	0/10 (0)	2/32 (6)	5/27 (19)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.010	N.S.	N.S.	P = 0.019**
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ntrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.282	1.436
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle C	ontrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit	,		0.102	0.524
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			70	63

Table E2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with  $\beta$ -TGdR<sup>a</sup>

(continued)	······································			
	Pooled	Matched		
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Ear Canal: Carcinoma, NOS, or				
Squamous-cell Carcinomab	0/30 (0)	0/10 (0)	2/32 (6)	6/27 (22)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	P = 0.004	N.S.	N.S.	P = 0.008**
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle	Control)f		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.282	1.824
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle	Control) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.102	0.665
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		~-	70	48

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{a}}\mathrm{Dosed}$  groups received 3.5 or 7 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection.

CBeneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched vehicle-control group (\*) or with the pooled vehicle-control group (\*\*) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

## Table E2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with $\beta$ -TGdR<sup>a</sup>

## (continued)

 $^{
m d}$ A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.

eThe probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

103

Table E3. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Treated with  $\beta\text{-TGdR}^a$ 

	Pooled	Matched		
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Copography: Morphology	Control	Control	Dose	Dose
dematopoietic System:				
Lymphoma (43) <sup>b</sup>	0/29 (0)	0/10 (0)	1/32 (3)	2/10 (20)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	P = 0.025	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ntrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.049	0.885
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle Co	ontrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.018	0.330
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			66	43
Pituitary: Chromophobe				
Adenoma (52) <sup>b</sup>	7/24 (29)	4/9 (44)	3/22 (14)	0/6 (0)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N.S.	P = 0.027(N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ntrol) <sup>f</sup>		0.468	0.000
Lower Limit			0.088	0.000
Upper Limit			1.763	1.672
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle Co	ontrol) <sup>f</sup>		0.307	0.000
Lower Limit			0.065	0.000
Upper Limit			1.511	1.293

Table E3. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Treated with  $\beta$ -TGdR<sup>a</sup>

(continued)	Pooled	Matched		
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Control	Dose	Dose
Ear Canal: Carcinoma, NOS, or				
Squamous-cell Carcinoma (52)b	0/28 (0)	0/10 (0)	1/31 (3)	2/7 (29)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	P = 0.014	P = 0.046	N.S.	P = 0.035**
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Cor	ntrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.049	1.233
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle Co	ontrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.019	0.475
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			76	63

aDosed groups received 3.5 or 7 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent), based on animals that lived at least as long as the number of weeks shown in parentheses after the description of morphology.

<sup>C</sup>Beneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched vehicle-control group (\*) or with the pooled vehicle-control group (\*\*) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

Table E3. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Treated with  $m{eta}$ -TGdR $^a$ 

## (continued)

 $d_{A}$  negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.

 $^{
m e}$ The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

106

Table E4. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with  $\beta$ -TGdR<sup>a</sup>

	Pooled	Matched		
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System:				
Lymphoma (31) <sup>b</sup>	1/30 (3)	1/10 (10)	0/32 (0)	3/15 (20)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend <sup>e</sup>	P = 0.026	P = 0.029		
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ontrol) <sup>f</sup>		0.000	6.000
Lower Limit			0.000	0.526
Upper Limit			17.314	289.415
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle C	Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.000	2.000
Lower Limit			0.000	0.198
Upper Limit			5.791	96.600
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	41	41		31
Pituitary: Chromophobe				
Adenoma (41) <sup>b</sup>	14/28 (50)	8/10 (80)	12/31 (39)	2/14 (14)
P Valuesc,d	P = 0.023(N)	P = 0.002(N)	P = 0.027*(N)	P = 0.002*(N) P = 0.025**(N)
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Control)f			0.774	0.286
Lower Limit			0.407	0.036
Upper Limit			1.482	1.002
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle C	Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.484	0.179
Lower Limit			0.342	0.046
Upper Limit			1.012	0.658
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	41	41	68	70

107

Table E4. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with  $\beta$ -TGdR $^a$ 

(continued)	Pooled	Matched		
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Control	Dose	Dose
Topography: Horphology	CONCIO	<u>ooneror</u>	Dose	<u> 503C</u>
Mammary Gland:				
Adenocarcinoma, NOS (52) <sup>b</sup>	1/29 (3)	0/10 (0)	3/31 (10)	0/12 (0)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ntrol)f		2.806	0.000
Lower Limit	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		0.242	0.000
Upper Limit			142.233	42.376
opper nimit			142.233	42.570
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle (	Control) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	
Lower Limit	·		0.216	
Upper Limit			Infinite	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	80		74	
21 ) 0	,			
Mammary Gland: Carcinoma or	2/20 (7)	1/10 (10)	2/21 (10)	0/10 (0)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS (52) <sup>b</sup>	2/29 (7)	1/10 (10)	3/31 (10)	0/12 (0)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
	<b>f</b>			
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ontrol) -		1.403	0.000
Lower Limit			0.174	0.000
Upper Limit			15.813	7.546
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle C	Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.968	0.000
Lower Limit	•		0.094	0.000
Upper Limit			49.097	14.663
OPF 01 22			.,,,,,	111000
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	79	79	74	

108

Table E4. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with  $\beta$ -TGdR<sup>a</sup>

(continued)				
	Pooled	Matched		
m	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Control	<u>Dose</u>	<u>Dose</u>
Mammary Gland:				
Fibroadenoma (52) <sup>b</sup>	6/29 (21)	2/10 (20)	5/31 (16)	0/12 (0)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ontrol) <sup>f</sup>		0.780	0.000
Lower Limit			0.211	0.000
Upper Limit			2.733	1.378
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle (	Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.806	0.000
Lower Limit			0.170	0.000
Upper Limit			7.748	2.576
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	79	79	74	***
Ear Canal: Squamous-cell				
Carcinoma (52)b	0/30 (0)	0/10 (0)	2/31 (6)	5/12 (42)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	P < 0.001	P = 0.004	N.S.	P = 0.030* P = 0.001**
Departure from Linear Trend <sup>e</sup>	P = 0.043			
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Co	ontrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.291	3.311
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle C	ontrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.105	1.201
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			70	63

Table E4. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with **B-**TGdR<sup>a</sup>

	Pooled	Matched		
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Control	Dose	<u>Dose</u>
Ear Canal: Carcinoma, NOS, or				
Squamous-cell Carcinoma (48) <sup>b</sup>	0/28 (0)	0/9 (0)	2/32 (6)	6/13 (46)
P Valuesc,d	P < 0.001	P = 0.002	N.S.	P = 0.023*
				P < 0.001**
Departure from Linear Trend <sup>e</sup>	P = 0.024			
Relative Risk (Pooled Vehicle Con	itrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.264	3.636
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Vehicle Co	ntrol) <sup>f</sup>		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.093	1.286
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			70	48

aDosed groups received 3.5 or 7 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection.

bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent), based on animals that lived at least as long as the number of weeks shown in parentheses after the description of morphology.

Table E4. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Treated with  $\pmb{\beta}$ -TGdR $^a$ 

#### (continued)

CBeneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched vehicle-control group (\*) or with the pooled vehicle-control group (\*\*) when P < 0.05 for either control group: otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

dA negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.

eThe probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

## APPENDIX F

analyses of the incidence of primary tumors  $\text{in mice treated with } \boldsymbol{\beta}\text{--}\text{tgdr}$ 

Table Fl. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Treated with  $\beta\text{-TGdR}^a$ 

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose
Hematopoietic System: Lymphomab	19/29 (66)	17/35 (49)	16/32 (50)
P Valuesc,d	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.741 0.473 1.208	0.763 0.482 1.242
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	39	51	28
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	0/29 (0)	0/35 (0)	2/32 (6)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.272 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		etc	54

Table Fl. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Treated with  $m{eta}$ -TGdR $^a$ 

(continued)			
	Vehicle	Low	Mid
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	<u>Dose</u>
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma or Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	19/29 (66)	17/35 (49)	18/32 (56)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) f		0.741	0.859
Lower Limit		0.473	0.558
Upper Limit		1.208	1.354
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	39	51	28

aDosed groups received 1 or 2 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection.

bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

CBeneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the vehicle-control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 $^{
m d}$ A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.

 $^{
m e}$ The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

Table F2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Treated with  $\beta$ -TGdR<sup>a</sup>

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low <u>Dose</u>	Mid Dose
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	20/29 (69)	19/33 (58)	21/29 (72)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.835	1.050
Lower Limit		0.560	0.728
Upper Limit		1.285	1.501
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	33	64	34
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma			
or Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	21/29 (72)	19/33 (58)	21/29 (72)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.795	1.000
Lower Limit		0.547	0.708
Upper Limit		1.207	1.412
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	27	64	34

#### (continued)

116

aDosed groups received 1 or 2 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection.

bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

CBeneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the vehicle-control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

dA negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.

 $^{
m e}$ The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

Table F3. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Treated with  $\beta\text{-TGdR}^a$ 

	Vehicle	Low	Mid
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	19/29 (66)	17/35 (49)	16/31 (52)
P Values <sup>d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.741	0.788
Lower Limit		0.473	0.498
Upper Limit		1.206	1.276
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia <sup>C</sup>	0/16 (0)	0/31 (0)	2/18 (11)
P Values <sup>d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) <sup>f</sup>			Infinite
Lower Limit			0.279
Upper Limit			Infinite

Table F3. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Treated with  $B-TGdR^a$ 

(continued)			
	Vehicle	Low	Mid
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	<u>Dose</u>
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma			
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma or Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	19/29 (66)	17/35 (49)	18/31 (58)
P Valuesd	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.741	0.886
Lower Limit		0.473	0.578
Upper Limit		1.208	1.387

aDosed groups received 1 or 2 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 28 weeks of the study.

<sup>c</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 52 weeks of the study.

dBeneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the vehicle-control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 $^{
m e}$ The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

Table F4. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Treated with  $\beta$ -TGdR $^a$ 

	Vehicle	Low	Mid
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	20/28 (71)	19/32 (59)	21/28 (75)
P Values <sup>e</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control)g		0.831	1.050
Lower Limit		0.568	0.740
Upper Limit		1.266	1.473
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma			
or Leukemia <sup>C</sup>	21/29 (72)	19/33 (58)	21/28 (75)
P Values <sup>e</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Vehicle Control)g		0.795	1.036
Lower Limit		0.547	0.736
Upper Limit		1.207	1.440

## Table F4. Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Treated with $m{\beta}$ -TGdR $^a$

#### (continued)

aDosed groups received 1 or 2 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection.

bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 33 weeks of the study.

<sup>c</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 27 weeks of the study.

dNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 52 weeks of the study.

eBeneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the vehicle-control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

fThe probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

Review of the Bioassay of Beta-Deoxythioguansine\* (β-TGdR) for Carcinogenicity by the Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens

January 18, 1978

The Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens was established in May, 1976 under the authority of the National Cancer Act of 1971 (P.L. 92-218). The purpose of the Clearinghouse is to advise on the National Cancer Institute's bioassay program to identify and evaluate chemical carcinogens in the environment to which humans may be exposed. members of the Clearinghouse have been drawn from academia, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, State health officials, and quasi-public health and research organizations. Members have been selected on the basis of their experience in carcinogenesis or related fields and, collectively, provide expertise in organic chemistry, biostatistics, biochemistry, toxicology, pathology, and epide-Representatives of various Governmental agencies participate as ad hoc members. The Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse is charged with the responsibility of providing a peer review of NCI bioassay reports on chemicals studied for carcinogenicity. In this context, below is the edited excerpt from the minutes of the Subgroup's meeting at which Beta-Deoxythioguansine (β-TGdR)was reviewed.

The primary reviewer noted the unusual occurrence of ear canal carcinomas in the  $\beta$ -TGdR treated rats. Despite the high mortality due to toxicity, he considered the results of the study to be valid. He said that the incidence of lymphomas and leukemias in the matched vehicle control mice may be related to the mineral oil vehicle. The primary reviewer opined that too much attention was given to statistical analyses to the detriment of biological considerations.

The secondary reviewer said he agreed that  $\beta$ -TGdR was carcinogenic in the treated rats. He questioned the primary reviewer's notion that Polysorbate 80 was related to the mouse lymphomas and leukemias, since it has not produced a similar effect in other studies in which it had been used.

A Program staff pathologist commented that the Polysorbate 80 may not act in the same way as mineral oil. He suggested that granulomas also would have been found if the reaction was similar to that of mineral oil. Another Program staff member said that it was clear that the rat ear canal tumors were related to  $\beta$ -TGdR treatment. He added that a question of the significance of the lymphomas in mice arose because of possible procedural irregularities.

A motion was made that Beta-Deoxythioguansine was carcinogenic in the rat and that the mouse study was uninterpretable because of its inadequacies. The motion was seconded and passed five to two. The opposing votes were cast by Mr. Garfinkel and Dr. Kensler.

## Members Present Were:

Arnold Brown (Acting Chairman), Mayo Clinic
Lawrence Garfinkel, American Cancer Society
Joseph Highland, Environmental Defense Fund
Charles Kensler, Arthur D. Little Company
Verald K. Rowe, Dow Chemical, U.S.A.
Sheldon Samuels, Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO
Louise Strong, University of Texas Health Sciences Center
Sidney Wolfe, Health Research Group

<sup>\*</sup> Subsequent to this review, changes may have been made in the bioassay report either as a result of the review or other reasons. Thus, certain comments and criticisms reflected in the review may no longer be appropriate.