National Cancer Institute CARCINOGENESIS Technical Report Series No. 30 1978

BIOASSAY OF DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

CAS No. 6358-85-6

NCI-CG-TR-30

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health



ı

BIOASSAY OF

DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-830

REPORT ON THE BIOASSAY OF DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

CARCINOGENESIS PROGRAM, DIVISION OF CANCER CAUSE AND PREVENTION NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE, NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

<u>CONTRIBUTORS</u>: This report presents the results of the bioassay of diarylanilide yellow conducted for the Carcinogen Bioassay and Program Resources Branch, Carcinogenesis Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. This bioassay was conducted by Mason Research Institute, Worcester, Massachusetts, initially under direct contract to the NCI and currently under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc. (1), prime contractor for the NCI Carcinogenesis Bioassay Program.

The experimental design was determined by the NCI Project Officers, Dr. J. H. Weisburger (2,3) and Dr. E. K. Weisburger (2). The principal investigators for the contract were Dr. E. Smith (4) and Dr. A. Handler (4). Animal treatment and observation were supervised by Mr. G. Wade (4) and Ms. E. Zepp (4). Chemical analysis was performed by Midwest Research Institute (5).

Histopathology was performed by Dr. R. W. Fleischman (4) at the Mason Research Institute, and the diagnoses included in this report represent the interpretation of this pathologist.

Compilation of individual animal survival, pathology, and summary tables was performed by EG&G Mason Research Institute (6); the statistical analysis was performed by Dr. A. Chu (6) and Mr. W. W. Belew (7), using methods selected for the Bioassay Program by Dr. J. J. Gart (8).

This report was prepared at METREK, a Division of The MITRE Corporation (7) under the direction of the NCI. Those responsible for this report at METREK are the project coordinator, Dr. L. W. Thomas (7), the task leader, Dr. M. R. Kornreich (7), and the senior biologist, Ms. P. Walker (7). The final report was reviewed by members of the participating organizations.

The statistical analysis was reviewed by a member or members of the Mathematical Statistics and A plied Mathematics Section of the NCI: Dr. J. J. Gart (8), Mr. J. Lam (8), Dr. H. M. Pettigrew (8), and Dr. R. E. Tarone (8). The following other scientists at the National Cancer Institute were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. K. C. Chu (2), Dr. C. Cueto, Jr. (2), Dr. J. F. Douglas (2), Dr. D. G. Goodman (2), Dr. R. A. Griesemer (2), Dr. T. W. Orme (2), Dr. R. A. Squire (9), and Dr. J. M. Ward (2).

- Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- Carcinogenesis Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 3. Now with the Naylor Dana Institute for Disease Prevention, American Health Foundation, Hammon House Road, Valhalla, New York.
- Mason Research Institute, 57 Union Street, Worcester, Massachusetts.
- 5. Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, Montana.
- 6. EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- 7. The MITRE Corporation, METREK Division, 1820 Dolley Madison Boulevard, McLean, Virginia.
- 8. Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 9. Now with the Division of Comparative Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Traylor Building, Baltimore, Maryland.

SUMMARY

A bioassay of technical-grade diarylanilide yellow for possible carcinogenicity was conducted using Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Diarylanilide yellow was administered in the feed, at either of two concentrations, to groups of 50 male and 50 female animals of each species. The high and low dietary concentrations used in the chronic study for the male and female rats and mice were 5.0 and 2.5 percent, respectively, of the chemical in the feed. After a 78-week treatment period, observation of the rats continued for an additional 28 weeks and observation of the mice continued for an additional 19 weeks for high dose males and low and high dose females and 18 weeks for low dose males. For each species, 50 animals of each sex were placed on test as controls, and fed only the basal diet.

The high concentration administered to both species in this study was the maximum recommended in the <u>Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay</u> <u>in Small Rodents</u> (Sontag et al., 1976). These guidelines indicate that a chronic dietary level of 5 percent, or 50,000 ppm, should not be exceeded even when no signs of toxicity are observed during subchronic testing, except under special circumstances (e.g., when the compound is a major component of the human diet). No toxic effects were reported during subchronic testing and diarylanilide yellow did not qualify for exception; therefore, the highest permissible concentration (5 percent) was utilized in the chronic bioassay.

The dietary concentrations of diarylanilide yellow administered during the chronic bioassay had no significant effect on survival or body weight gain in either species. Except for yellow staining and some isolated neoplasms, the only adverse clinical sign or pathologic lesion observed in treated rats or mice was basophilic cytoplasm changes in hepatocytes of treated rats.

In both species the survival in all groups was adequate for statistical analysis of late-appearing tumors.

No treatment-related increase in the incidence of neoplasms or nonneoplastic lesions was evident in treated rats or mice. A few unusual findings were observed in both species, including single cases of metastatic chordoma and osteogenic sarcoma in rats, and single cases of squamous-cell carcinoma of the ear, infiltrating duct carcinoma of the mammary gland, and subcutaneous mastocytoma in mice.

The results of this study did not provide evidence for the carcinogenicity of diarylanilide yellow in Fischer 344 rats or B6C3Fl mice.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCT	ION	1
11.	MATERIALS	AND METHODS	3
	C. Anima D. Anima E. Selec F. Exper G. Clini	ry Preparation	3 3 4 6 8 8 12
111.	CHRONIC T	ESTING RESULTS: RATS	17
	B. Survi C. Patho		17 17 20 20
IV.	CHRONIC T	ESTING RESULTS: MICE	26
	B. Survi C. Patho		26 26 29 30
v.	DISCUSSIO	N	33
VI.	BIBLIOGRA	РНҮ	35
APPEN	DIX A	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	A-1
APPEN	DIX B	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	B-1
APPEN	DIX C	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	C-1
APPEN	DIX D	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	D-1

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure Number		Page
1	GROWTH CURVES FOR DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CHRONIC STUDY RATS	18
2	SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CHRONIC STUDY RATS	19
3	GROWTH CURVES FOR DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CHRONIC STUDY MICE	27
4	SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CHRONIC STUDY MICE	28
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table Number		Page
1	DESIGN SUMMARY FOR FISCHER 344 RATS DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW FEEDING EXPERIMENT	9
2	DESIGN SUMMARY FOR B6C3F1 MICEDIARYL- ANILIDE YELLOW FEEDING EXPERIMENT	10
3	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	21
4	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	23
5	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	31
6	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	32

A1 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW A-3

LIST OF TABLES (Concluded)

Table Number		Page
A2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	A-7
Bl	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	B-3
B2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	B6
C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYL- ANILIDE YELLOW	C-3
C2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	C-8
Dl	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH DIARYL- ANILIDE YELLOW	D-3
D2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW	D-7

I. INTRODUCTION

Diarylanilide yellow (NCI No. CO3269), one member of a family of organic azo pigments known as benzidine yellows, was selected for bioassay by the National Cancer Institute in an attempt to elucidate those dyes and dye intermediates which may be responsible for the increased incidence of bladder cancer observed among workers in the dye manufacturing industry (Wynder et al., 1963; Anthony et al., 1970). The structural relationship of this compound to the documented carcinogen 3,3'-dichlorobenzidine (Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 1973) was also a factor in its selection.

The Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Ninth Collective Index (1977) name for this compound is 2,2'-[(3,3'-dichloro(1,1'-biphenyl)-4,4'-diyl)-bis (azo)] bis (3-oxo-N-phenyl)-butanamide.^{*} It is also called Color Index (C.I.) Pigment Yellow 12 (C.I. 21090), diarylide yellow, and dichlorobenzidine coupled into acetoacetanilide. Diarylanilide yellow is an ingredient in industrial paints, most notably the paint applied to lead pencils (Weisburger, 1976). It is also an ingredient in printing inks and may sometimes be used to color plastics, rubber, linoleum, floor tiles, textiles, and wallpaper (Society of Dyers and Colourists, 1971; Hawley, 1971). According to the U.S. International Trade Commission (1977a), 6.028 x 10⁶ pounds

The CAS registry number is 6358-85-6.

of diarylanilide yellow were produced in the United States in 1975-the largest quantity of any single pigment produced in that year. U.S. imports of the pigment through principle U.S. customs districts amounted to 62,040 pounds in 1975 (U.S. International Trade Commission, 1977b).

The risk of exposure to diarylanilide yellow is greatest among workers in the dye marufacturing industry and at facilities where dyeing of textiles or production of inks, paints, and other commodities containing the pigment takes place. Additional occupational exposure may also occur among users of pigment-containing products (e.g., among printers engravers, lithographers, textile workers, etc.).

Epidemiological studies suggest a relationship between occupational exposure to paints and increased incidences of cancer of the lung and bladder and between occupational exposure to printing inks and increased incidences of cancer of the liver and bladder (Hoover and Fraumeni, 1975). An increased incidence of bladder cancer has also been observed among textile workers and tailors (Anthony and Thomas, 1970).

Exposure of the general population to diarylanilide yellow is likely, due to the large variety of consumer products colored with this pigment. Chronic ingestion of the dye over long periods of time may result from habitial holding in the mouth or chewing of wooden pencils.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Chemicals

Diarylanilide yellow was purchased from Chemtron Corporation and chemical analysis was performed by Midwest Research Institute. The melting point range (311° to 320°C) suggested the presence of impurities. Thin-layer chromatography was performed utilizing two different solvent systems (methylene chloride and 95:5 chloroform:diethylamine). Each plate was visualized with ultraviolet and visible light. One homogeneous spot was detected on each plate; however, the amounts of compound spotted on each plate (2.4 μ g and 7.2 μ g) were so low that only major impurities could have been detected by this technique. Infrared and mass spectrometry analyses were not inconsistent with the structure of the compound.

Throughout this report the term diarylanilide yellow is used to represent this technical-grade material.

B. Dietary Preparation

The basal laboratory diet for both treated and control animals consisted of Wayne Lab-Blox[®] (Allied Mills, Inc.). Diarylanilide yellow was administered to the treated animals as a component of the diet. The chemical was mixed in the feed in a 6 kg capacity Patterson-Kelly standard model stainless steel twin-shell V-blender. After 20 minutes of blending, the mixtures were placed in double plastic bags and stored in the dark at 4°C. Mixtures were prepared weekly and stored for not longer than 2 weeks.

C. Animals

Two animal species, rats and mice, were used in the carcinogenicity bioassay. The Fischer 344 rats and the B6C3Fl mice were obtained through contracts of the Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute. Animals of both species were supplied by Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Wilmington, Massachusetts. Treated animal groups of both species were received in separate shipments from their corresponding controls.

Upon arrival, a sample of animals was examined for parasites and other signs of disease. The remaining animals were quarantined for 2 weeks prior o initiation of test. Animals were assigned to groups and distributed among cages so that average body weight per cage was approximately equal for a given sex and species.

D. Animal Maintenance

All animals were housed by species in rooms having a temperature range of 23° to 34°C and a range in relative humidity of 10 to 85 percent. Incoming air was filtered through Tri-Dek[®] 15/40 denier Dacron[®] filters providing six changes of room air per hour. Fluorescent lighting was provided on a 12-hour-daily cycle.

Rats were housed five per cage by sex. During quarantine and for the first 6 weeks cf study, they were kept in galvanized-steel wire-mesh cages suspended above newspapers. Newspapers were replaced daily, and cages and racks washed weekly. From week 6 rats were kept in suspended polycarbonate cages equipped with disposable nonwoven

filter sheets. Clean bedding and cages were provided twice weekly. Hardwood chips (Ab-sorb-dri[®], Wilner Wood Products Co.) were used through the first 3 months of study, then corncob bedding (SAN-I-CEL[®], Paxton Processing Co.) for the next 12 months, and then another type of corncob bedding (Bed-o'Cobs[®], The Anderson's Cob Division) for the remainder of the bioassay. During the quarantine period Wayne Lab-Blox[®] was supplied in Alpine[®] aluminum feed cups (Curtin Matheson Scientific, Inc.) equipped with stainless steel baffles. The same feeding apparatus, containing treated Wayne Lab-Blox[®], was utilized during the treatment period. The food assembly was replaced weekly. During the observation period following treatment, rats were supplied with pelleted Wayne Lab-Blox[®] on the cage floor.

Mice were housed by sex in polycarbonate cages. During quarantine and treatment periods, cages fitted with perforated stainless steel lids (Lab Products, Inc.) were used. During the observation period following treatment, stainless steel wire bar lids (Lab Products, Inc.) were used. Nonwoven fiber filter bonnets were used over cage lids. Treated mice were housed ten per cage for the first 12 months of the study and five per cage thereafter. Control mice were housed ten per cage for the first 13 months of study and five per cage thereafter. Cages, lids, filters, and bedding were provided three times per week when cage populations were ten and twice per week when cage populations were reduced to five. Bedding was of the same type as that used for rats. Reusable filter bonnets and pipe

racks were sanitized biweekly throughout the study. During the quarantine and test periods, Wayne Lab-Blox[®] was supplied in Alpine[®] aluminum feed cups equipped with stainless steel baffles. This food assembly was replaced weekly. During the observation period following treatment, mice were supplied with pelleted Wayne Lab-Blox[®] through a food hopper incorporated into the cage lid.

Water was available from 250 ml water bottles equipped with rubber stoppers and stainless steel sipper tubes. Bottles were replaced twice weekly. Food and water were available <u>ad libitum</u> to both rats and mice.

Treated and control rats used for this bioassay were housed with other rats treated with ^{*} fenaminosulf (140-56-7), 2,5-dithiobiurea (142-46-1), m-cresidine (102-50-1), and cupferron (135-20-6). After 6 weeks the diarylanilide yellow-treated rats were segregated from all other animals. The treated and control mice utilized in this bioassay were housed with other mice treated with 1-nitronaphthalene (86-57-7).

E. Selection of Initial Concentrations

In order to establish the maximum tolerated concentrations of diarylanilide yellow for administration to treated animals in the chronic studies, subchronic toxicity tests were conducted with both rats and mice. Rats were distributed among five groups and mice among six groups, each consisting of five males and five females.

CAS registry numbers are given in parentheses.

Diarylanilide yellow was incorporated into the basal laboratory diet and fed <u>ad libitum</u> to four of the five rat groups in concentrations of 0.1, 0.3, 1.0, and 3.0 percent and to five of the six mouse groups in concentrations of 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1.0 and 3.0 percent. The remaining group of each species served as a control group, receiving only the basal laboratory diet. The dosed dietary preparations were administered for a period of 8 weeks.

A dosage inducing no mortality or body weight gain retardation in either sex was to be selected as the initial high dose in the chronic bioassay.

No decreases in food consumption or significant weight depression relative to controls were observed in any group. All animals survived until necropsy (week 8). Although the external surfaces of all animals at all concentrations were bright yellow, gross necropsy revealed no abnormalities or organ discoloration other than the mucosal surfaces of the intestinal tract, which appeared bright yellow due to direct contact with the test compound.

In the <u>Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small Rodents</u> (Sontag et al., 1976) it is indicated that a chronic dietary concentration of 5 percent (50,000 ppm) should not be exceeded. This applies even if the compound causes no toxicity during subchronic testing. An exception can be made under special circumstances, e.g., if the chemical is a major component of the human diet. Because no toxic symptoms or gross abnormalities were observed clinically or at

necropsy in animals receiving the tested concentrations, 5.0 percent was selected as the concentration to be administered to the high dose groups of both species during the chronic bioassay.

F. Experimental Design

The experimental design parameters for the chronic study (species, sex, group size, concentrations administered, and duration of treated and untreated observation periods) are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

At initiation of the study animals of both species were approximately 7 weeks old. High and low dose animals of both species and sexes received concentrations of 5.0 and 2.5 percent, respectively, of the chemical in their food. Animals were treated for 78 weeks, followed by a 28-week observation period for rats and observation periods of 19 weeks for high dose male and low and high dose female mice and 18 weeks for low dose male mice, during which they received the basal laboratory diet. For both species, control animals were maintained and observed in the same manner as the treated animals.

G. Clinical and Histopathologic Examinations

Animals were weighed immediately prior to initiation of the experiment. From the first day, all animals were inspected twice daily for mortality. Body weights were recorded twice weekly for the first 12 weeks of the study and at monthly intervals thereafter. Food consumption, for two cages from each group, was monitored for seven consecutive days once a month for the first nine months of

TABLE 1

•

DESIGN SUMMARY FOR FISCHER 344 RATS DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW FEEDING EXPERIMENT

	INITIAL GROUP SIZE	DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CONCENTRATION (PERCENT)	TREATED	ION PERIOD UNTREATED (WEEKS)
MALE				
CONTROL	50	0	0	109
LOW DOSE	50	2.5 0	78	28
HIGH DOSE	50	5.0 0	78	28
FEMALE				
CONTROL	50	0	0	110
LOW DOSE	50	2.5 0	78	28
HIGH DOSE	50	5.0 0	78	28

TABLE 2

DESIGN SUMMARY FOR B6C3F1 MICE DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW FEEDING EXPERIMENT

	INITIAL GROUP SIZE	DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CONCENTRATION (PERCENT)	OBSERVAT TREATED (WEEKS)	ION PERIOD UNTREATED (WEEKS)
MALE				
CONTROL	50	0	0	97
LOW DOSE	50	2.5 0	78	18
HIGH DOSE	50	5.0 0	78	19
FEMALE				<u> </u>
CONTROL	50	0	0	98
LOW DOSE	50	2.5 0	78	19
HIGH DOSE	50	5.0 0	78	19

the bioassay and for three consecutive days each month thereafter. The presence of tissue masses and lesions was determined by monthly observation and palpation of each animal.

A necropsy was performed on each animal regardless of whether it died, was killed when moribund, or was sacrificed at the end of the bioassay. The animals were euthanized by carbon dioxide inhalation, and were immediately necropsied. The histopathologic examination consisted of gross and microscopic examination of major tissues, organs, or gross lesions taken from sacrificed animals and, whenever possible, from animals found dead.

Slides were prepared from the following tissues: skin, subcutaneous tissue, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes, thymus, heart, salivary gland, liver, gallbladder (mice) and bile duct, pancreas, esophagus, stomach, small intestine, large intestine, kidney, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, pancreatic islets, testis, prostate, brain, uterus, mammary gland, and ovary.

Tissues for which slides were prepared were preserved in 10 percent buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin prior to microscopic examination. An occasional section was subjected to special staining techniques for more definitive diagnosis.

A few tissues were not examined for some animals, particularly for those that died early. Also, some animals were missing, cannibalized, or judged to be in such an advanced state of autolysis as to

preclude histopathologic interpretation. Thus, the number of animals for which particular organs, tissues, or lesions were examined microscopically varies and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on experiment in each group.

H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

These data were analyzed using the statistical techniques described in this section. Those analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative sections.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time that they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for

equality and used Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods for testing a dose-related trend. One-tailed P-values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is only reported when its two-tailed P-value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site was examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control animals. As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher exact test (Cox, 1970, pp. 48-52) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group to that of a group of treated animals at each dose level. When results for a number of treated groups, k, are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966, pp. 6-10) requires that the P-value for any comparison

be less than or equal to 0.05/k. In cases where this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not, however, presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P-values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971, pp. 362-365), was also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determined if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the onetailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend was a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied when numerous early deaths resulted from causes that were not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occurred before the first tumor was observed were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived at least 52 weeks, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an

observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which animals died naturally or were sacrificed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups; Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for the incidence of tumors which used life-table methods were one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity (P < 0.05, twotailed test) were also noted.

The approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared to its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is defined as p_t/p_c where p_t is the true binomial probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a treated group of animals and p_c is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a treated group and the proportion in a control group corresponds to a relative risk of unity. Values in excess of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the treated group than in the control.

The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk have been included in the tables of statistical analyses. The interpretation of the limits is that in approximately 95 percent of a large number of identical experiments, the true ratio

of the risk in a treated group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the experiment. When the lower limit of the confidence interval is greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result (a P < 0.025 one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P < 0.050 when the control incidence is zero) has occurred. When the lower limit is less than unity but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumcrs by the test chemical which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

III. CHRONIC TESTING RESULTS: RATS

A. Body Weights and Clinical Observations


The body weight patterns for control and treated rat groups of both sexes were generally equivalent throughout the treatment period (Figure 1).

All the treated rats, both male and female, appeared bright yellow in color. In addition, the conjunctivas were faintly yellow as were most organs and internal mucosal surfaces. The only other clinical sign recorded for male or female rats was a hard crusted lesion on the back of one male control animal.

B. Survival

The estimated probabilities of survival for male and female rats in the control and diarylanilide yellow-treated groups are shown in Figure 2.

For both male and female rats the Tarone test detected no statistically significant positive association between dosage and mortality. In the males survival was quite high, as 74 percent of the high dose, 84 percent of the low dose, and 64 percent of the control rats survived until the end of the study, despite the sacrifice of five high dose and five control rats in week 78. In the females, 66 percent of the high dose, 80 percent of the low dose, and 72 percent of the control rats survived until the end of the study, despite the sacrifice of five high dose and five control rats in week 78.







FIGURE 1 GROWTH CURVES FOR DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CHRONIC STUDY RATS

FIGURE 2 SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CHRONIC STUDY RATS



In both sexes, survival was adequate for meaningful statistical analyses of tumor incidence.

C. Pathology

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are tabulated in Appendix A (Tables Al and A2); findings on nonneoplastic lesions are tabulated in Appendix C (Tables Cl and C2).

With a few exceptions, the same variety of neoplasms occurred sporadically and randomly in the chemically treated and control groups. No particular organ or system seemed to be the target of this chemical. Sporadic and unusual neoplasms that occurred in the treated but not in control animals were as follows: a metastatic chordoma of unknown origin occurred in the lung of 1/49 of the low dose males, and 1/49 of the low dose females had an osteogenic sarcoma.

The incidence and variety of nonneoplastic degenerative, proliferative, and inflammatory lesions were similar in the control and the chemically treated rats, except for treatment-related basophilic cytoplasm changes in hepatocytes of treated males and females.

The results of this histopathologic examination did not provide evidence for the carcinogenicity of diarylanilide yellow in Fischer 344 rats.

D. Statistical Analyses of Results

The results of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence in rats are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. The analysis for every type

TABLE 3

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW⁴

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Skin: Fibroma or Basal-cell Carcinoma ^b	2/50(0.04)	4/50(0.08)	1/50(0.02)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		2.000 0.301 21.320	0.500 0.009 9.290
Weeks to First Observed Tunnor	85	91	106
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Malignant Lymphoma ^b	10/50(0.20)	2/50(0.04)	1/50(0.02)
P Values ^{c,d}	P = 0.001(N)	P = 0.014(N)	P = 0.004(N)
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.200 0.022 0.877	0.100 0.002 0.662
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	78	99	103
Pituitary: Adenoma ^b	7/45(0.16)	12/43(0.28)	5/45(0.11)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend	P = 0.041		
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.794 0.723 4.856	0.714 0.193 2.414
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	78	106	106
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma ^b	3/50(0.06)	3/47 (0.06)	5/49(0.10)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit	~	1.064 0.149 7.570	1.701 0.351 10.420
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	78	96	106

TABLE	3
(CONCLUD	ED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma ^b	3/37(0.08)	5/47(0.11)	1/48(0.02)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.312 0.275 7.994	0.257 0.005 3.055
weeks to First Observed Tumor	109	96	106
Pancreatic Islets: Adenoma ^b	1/47(0.02)	2/47(0.04)	5/46(0.11)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit	 	2.000 0.108 115.500	5.109 0.603 235.900
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	109	106	93
Testis: Interstitial-Cell Tumor ^b	42/50(0.84)	44/48(0.92)	39/49(0.80)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit	 	1.091 0.922 1.240	0.948 0.782 1.161
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	78	96	78

^aDosed groups received concentrations of 2.5 and 5.0 percent in feed.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

^CBeneath the incidence of the control is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when it is below 0.05; otherwise N.S. - not significant. Departure from linear trend is noted when it is below 0.05 for any comparison. Beneath each dose group incidence is the probability level for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of that dose group to the control group when it is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant.

 d A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

^eRelative risk of the treated group versus the control group is shown along with the lower and upper limit of the 95% confidence interval for that relative risk.

TABLE 4

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW⁴

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW Dose	HIGH DOSE
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia ^b	7/49(0.14)	4/49(0.08)	4/48(0.08)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.571 0.130 2.096	0.583 0.133 2.137
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	96	104	87
Pituitary: Adenoma ^b	17/39(0.44)	26/44(0.59)	14/42(0.33)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend	P = 0.027		
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.356 0.852 2.188	0.765 0.411 1.416
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	78	94	85
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma ^b	3/49(0.06)	2/49(0.04)	1/47(0.02)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.667 0.058 5.564	0.348 0.007 4.144
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	110	106	74
Thyroid: C-Cell Carcinoma ^b	2/45(0.04)	2/42(0.05)	1/46(0.02)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.071 0.081 14.190	0.489 0.009 9.060
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	110	98	106

TABLE	4
(CONCLUD	ED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma ^b	12/49(0.24)	9/49(0.18)	10/48(0.21)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit	 1.757	0.750 0.308 1.757	0.851 0.364 1.938
Waeks to First Observed Tumor	103	86	73
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp ^b	6/46(0.13)	13/49(0.27)	7/47(0.15)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		2.034 0.794 5.984	1.142 0.356 3.807
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	78	94	78

^aDosed groups received concentrations of 2.5 and 5.0 percent in feed.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

^CBeneath the incidence of the control is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when it is below 0.05; otherwise N.S. - not significant. Departure from linear trend is noted when it is below 0.05 for any comparison. Beneath each dose group incidence is the probability level for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of that dose group to the control group when it is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant.

 d A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in the control group.

eRelative risk of the treated group versus the control group is shown along with the lower and upper limit of the 95% confidence interval for that relative risk.

of tumor that was observed in more than 5 percent of any of the diarylanilide yellow-dosed groups of either sex is included.

None of the statistical tests for rats of either sex indicated a significant positive association between dosage and tumor incidence.

To provide additional insight, 95 percent confidence intervals on the relative risk have been estimated and entered in the tables based upon the observed tumor incidence rates. In many of the intervals shown in Tables 3 and 4, the value one is included; this indicates the absence of statistically significant results. It should also be noted that many of the confidence intervals have an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of a significantly increased rate of tumor incidence induced in rats by diarylanilide yellow that could not be established under the conditions of this test.

IV. CHRONIC TESTING RESULTS: MICE

A. Body Weights and Clinical Observations

No differences between body weight gain patterns of high dose groups and low dose groups were evident in male or female mice during the 78-week treatment period (Figure 3). The control animals for both sexes began to experience marked weight gain beginning in week 36 when compared to the treated mice.

All the treated mice, both male and female, acquired a yellow discoloration of the hair coat during treatment. Because of the normal darker color of the B6C3F1 mice, the external appearance of the mice was not as strikingly affected as that of the rats, which are normally white. However, internal discoloration was as apparent in the mice as it was in the rats.

B. Survival

The estimated probabilities of survival for male and female mice in the control and diarylanilide yellow-treated groups are shown in Figure 4.

For both male and female mice the Tarone test did not detect a statistically significant positive association between dosage and mortality. In the male groups, 74 percent of the high dose, 88 percent of the low dose, and 84 percent of the control mice survived until the end of the study, despite the sacrifice of five high dose mice in week 78 and five control mice in week 79. In the female groups 68 percent of the high dose, 86 percent of the low dose, and

26




FIGURE 3 GROWTH CURVES FOR DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CHRONIC STUDY MICE




FIGURE 4 SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW CHRONIC STUDY MICE

80 percent of the control group survived until the end of the study, despite the sacrifice of five high dose mice in week 78 and five control mice in week 79.

In both sexes survival was adequate for meaningful statistical analyses of tumor incidence.

C. Pathology

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are tabulated in Appendix B (Tables Bl and B2); findings on nonneoplastic lesions are tabulated in Appendix D (Tables D1 and D2).

There appeared to be no dose- or sex-related increase in the incidence of neoplasms or toxic changes in the treated versus the control groups.

With a few exceptions, the same variety of neoplasms occurred sporadically and at random in the chemically treated and control groups. No particular organ or system seemed to be the target of this chemical. Sporadic and unusual problems that occurred in the treated but not in control animals were as follows: in the integumentary system, one mastocytoma affected the subcutaneous tissue of a high dose female; one squamous-cell carcinoma of the ear affected a low dose male; and one infiltrating duct carcinoma of the mammary gland affected one low dose female.

The incidence and variety of nonneoplastic degenerative, proliferative, and inflammatory lesions were similar in control and chemically treated mice. The results of this histopathologic examination did not provide evidence for the carcinogenicity of diarylanilide yellow in B6C3F1 mice.

D. Statistical Analyses of Results

The results of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence in mice are summarized in Tables 5 and 6. The analysis for every type of tumor that was observed in more than 5 percent of any of the diarylanilide yellow-dosed groups of either sex is included.

None of the statistical tests for mice of either sex indicated a significant positive association between the administration of diarylanilide yellow and an increased tumor incidence in B6C3F1 mice.

To provide additional insight, 95 percent confidence intervals on the relative risk have been estimated and entered in the tables based upon the observed tumor incidence rates. In many of the intervals shown in Tables 5 and 6, the value one is included; this indicates the absence of statistically significant results. It should also be noted that many of the confidence intervals have an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of a significantly increased rate of tumor incidence induced in mice by diarylanilide yellow that could not be established under the conditions of this test.

30

TABLE 5

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW⁴

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LÓW DOSE	nicu Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma ^b	7/47(0.15)	5/49(0.10)	4/49(0.08)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.685 0.184 2.329	0.548 0.125 2.008
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	97	96	78
Rematopoietic System: Leukemia or Malignant Lymphoma	1/50(0.02)	3/49(0.06)	3/49(0.06)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		3.061 0.256 157.400	3.061 0.256 157.400
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	97	96	97
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma ^b	15/49(0.31)	11/49(0.22)	4/46(0.09)
P Values ^{c,d}	P = 0.006(N)	N.S.	P = 0.007(N)
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.733 0.341 1.528	0.284 0.074 0.814
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	94	96	86

^aDosed groups received concentrations of 2.5 and 5.0 percent in feed.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

^CBeneath the incidence of the control is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when it is below 0.05; otherwise N.S. - not significant. Departure from linear trend is noted when it is below 0.05 for any comparison. Beneath each dose group incidence is the probability level for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of that dose group to the control group when it is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant.

 d A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

^eRelative risk of the treated group versus the control group is shown along with the lower and upper limit of the 95% confidence interval for that relative risk.

TABLE	6	
IADLL	Q.	

							TUMORS AT	-
SPECIFIC	SITES	IN	FEMALE	MICE	TREATED	WITH DI	ARYLAN IL IDE	YELLOW

Topography : Morphology	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma ^b	4/50(0.08)	3/49(0.06)	1/48(0.02)
P Values ^{C,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.765 0.118 4.288	0.260 0.005 2.508
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	79	96	97
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Malignant Lymphoma ^b	6/50(0.12)	3/50(0.06)	6/50(0.12)
P Values ^{c,d}	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.500 0.085 2.200	1.000 0.287 3.489
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	97	84	68

 $\overset{a}{D}_{\text{Dosed}}$ groups received concentrations of 2.5 and 5.0 percent in feed.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

^CBeneath the incidence of the control is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when it is below 0.05; otherwise N.S. - not significant. Departure from linear trend is noted when it is below 0.05 for any comparison. Beneath each dose group incidence is the probability level for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of that dose group to the control group when it is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant.

 d A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

^eRelative risk of the treated group versus the control group is shown along with the lower and upper limit of the 95% confidence interval for that relative risk.

V. DISCUSSION

Under the conditions of this bioassay, adequate numbers of chemically treated rats and mice survived for meaningful statistical analysis of the incidence of late-developing tumors. However, exposure to diarylanilide yellow did not result in a positive association between dietary concentration and increased incidence of any tumor in either species.

The high concentration administered to both species in the chronic bioassay was the highest permissible as indicated by the <u>Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small Rodents</u> (Sontag et al., 1976). These guidelines indicate that a dietary concentration greater than 5 percent should not be administered except under special circumstances (e.g., when the compound is a major component of the human ciet). As human exposure to diarylanilide yellow does not warrant special exemption, the 5 percent limit applied. Dietary administration of diarylanilide yellow had no significant effect on survival or body weight gain in rats or mice of either sex. The only clinical observation associated with chemical treatment was bright yellow staining of the fur and mucosal surfaces in both species and the only sign of toxicity observed during the histopathologic examination was basophilic cytoplasm changes in treated rats.

In rats, no treatment-related increase in the incidence of neoplasms, nonneoplastic lesions, or toxic effects was evident with the exception of basophilic changes in hepatocyte cytoplasm in treated

33

males and females. There were, however, two unusual findings: metastatic chordoma in 1/49 low dose males, and an osteogenic sarcoma in 1/49 low dose females.

In mice, no treatment-related increase in the incidence of neoplasms, nonneoplastic lesions, or toxic effects was evident. There were, however, three unusual findings: squamous-cell carcinoma of the ear in 1/49 low dose males, an infiltrating duct carcinoma of the mammary gland in 1/50 low dose females, and a mastocytoma of the subcutaneous tissue in 1/50 high dose females.

The results of this bioassay did not provide evidence for the carcinogenicity of diarylanilide yellow in Fischer 344 rats or B6C3F1 mice.

VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anthony, H.M., and G.M. Thomas, "Tumors of the Urinary Bladder: An Analysis of the Occupations of 1,030 Patients in Leeds, England." Journal of the National Cancer Institute 45:879-895, 1970.
- Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, Chapter 14. J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1971.
- Berenblum, I., editor, <u>Carcinogenicity Testing</u>. International Union Against Cancer, Technical Report Series, Vol. 2. International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.
- Chemical Abstracts Service, <u>The Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS)</u> <u>Ninth Collective Index</u>, Volumes 76-85, 1972-1976. American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1977.
- Cox, D.R., <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Chapters 4 and 5. Methuen and Co., Ltd., London, 1970.
- Cox, D.R., "Regression Models and Life-Tables." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series "B" 34:187-220, 1972.
- Gart, J.J., "The Comparison of Proportion: A Review of Significance Tests, Confidence Limits, and Adjustments for Stratification." International Statistical Institute Review 39:148-169, 1971.
- Hawley, G.G., The Condensed Chemical Dictionary, 8th edition. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, 1971.
- Hoover, R. and J. Fraumeni, "Cancer Mortality in U.S. Counties with Chemical Industries." Environmental Research 9:196-207, 1975.
- Kaplan, E.L., and P. Meier, "Nonparametric Estimation from Incomplete Observations." Journal of the American Statistical Association 53:457-481, 1958.
- Linhart, M.S., J.A. Cooper, R.L. Martin, N.P. Page, and J.A. Peters, "Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System." <u>Computers and Biomedical</u> Research 7:230-248, 1974.
- Miller, R.G., <u>Simultaneous Statistical Inference</u>. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966.
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration, "Occupational Safety and Health Standards 1910.93c Carcinogens." Federal Register 38:974, 1973.

- Saffiotti, U., R. Montesano, A.R. Sellakumar, F. Cefis, and D.G. Kaufman, "Respiratory Tract Carcinogenesis in Hamsters Induced by Different Numbers of Administration of Benzo (a) Pyrene and Ferric Oxide." Cancer Research 32:1073-1079, 1972.
- Society of Dyers and Colourists, <u>Colour Index</u>, Third edition <u>4</u>:3272-3305, 1971.
- Sontag, J.M., N.P. Page, and U. Saffiotti, <u>Guidelines for Carcinogen</u> <u>Bioassay in Small Rodents</u>. Carcinogen Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, NCI-CG-TR-1. DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 76-801, February 1976.
- Tarone, R.E., "Tests for Trend in Life-Table Analysis." <u>Biometrika</u> 62:679-682, 1975.
- U.S. International Trade Commission, Synthetic Organic Chemicals. United States Production and Sales, 1975. USITC Publication 804, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1977a.
- U.S. International Trade Commission, <u>Imports of Benzenoid Chemicals</u> and Products, 1975. USITC Publication 806, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1977b.
- Weisburger, E., Chief, Carcinogen and Toxicology Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland. Personal communication, December 17, 1976.
- Wynder, E.L., J. Onderdonk, and N. Mantel, "An Epidemiological Investigation of Cancer of the Bladder." Cancer 16:1388-1407, 1963.

Review of the Bioassay of Diarylanilide Yellow* for Carcinogenicity by the Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens

September 26, 1977

The Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens was established in May, 1976 under the authority of the National Cancer Act of 1971 (P.L. 92-218). The purpose of the Clearinghouse is to advise on the National Cancer Institute's bioassay program to identify and evaluate chemical carcinogens in the environment to which humans may be exposed. The members of the Clearinghouse have been drawn from academia, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, State health officials, and quasi-public health and research organizations. Members have been selected on the basis of their experience in carcinogenesis or related fields and, collectively, provide expertise in organic chemistry, biochemistry, biostatistics, toxicology, pathology, and epidemiology. Representatives of various Governmental agencies participate as ad hoc members. The Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse is charged with the responsibility of providing a peer review of NCI bioassay reports on chemicals studied for carcinogenicity. In this context, below is the edited excerpt from the minutes of the Subgroup's meeting at which Diarylanilide Yellow was reviewed.

The primary reviewer said that the compound is used as a dye coating for yellow lead pencils. It could be a public health concern from the standpoint of people ingesting the dye by chewing on their pencils. Diarylanilide Yellow belongs to the chemical class of diazobenzidines. Some members of this class are reduced by hepatic enzymes to free amines which may be carcinogenic. It was noted that certain bladde: carcinogens were not identified until they were tested in appropriate animal models.

The primary reviewer said that the conclusion drawn in the bioassay report was that the study did not provide evidence for the carcinogenicity of Diarylanilide Yellow in either rats or mice. He pointed out, however, that the incidence of pituitary chromophobe adenomas in the treated

^{*} Subsequent to this review, changes may have been made in the bioassay report either as a result of the review or other reasons. Thus, certain comments and criticisms reflected in the review may no longer be appropriate.

rats was statistically significant when compared to the controls. A staff pathologist commented that in this particular laboratory, the pituitary tumors were subclassified. If they were considered simply as pituitary adenomas NOS, or had the control pituitary adenomas been sub-classified, they would not have been statistically significant.

The primary reviewer also noted a finding of a single scuamous-cell ear carcinoma in a mouse and that this lesion was unreported among the historical control animals. In addition, he commented on a number of other "odd tumors" found in the treated animals. The primary reviewer was critical of the report for not pointing out these tumors in the treated animals since it could mislead readers to believe that there should be no concern about the dye. Another Subgroup member noted a significant increase in the incidence of leukemias and lymphomas in the treated male rats, as well as a decrease in the incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas in the treated male mice. He said that consideration should be given to this phenomenon in evaluating the biological potential of the test compound. Another Subgroup member commented that a survival analysis would be necessary to determine whether there was a true reduction in tumor incidence among the treated animals.

A motion was made that Diarylanilide Yellow was not carcinogenic under the conditions of test. It was further moved that metabolism studies be done to determine if the compound is reduced to a free amine and if so, consideration be given to a retest in an animal model appropriate for studying bladder carcinogenesis. The motion was seconded and accepted unanimously.

Members present were:

Gerald N. Wogan (Chairman), Massachusetts Institute of Technology Arnold L. Brown, Mayo Clinic Lawrence Garfinkel, American Cancer Society Joseph H. Highland, Environmental Defense Fund George Roush, Jr., Monsanto Company Sheldon Samuels, Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO Michael Shimkin, University of California at San Diego Louise Strong, University of Texas Health Sciences Center John Weisburger, American Health Foundation Sidney Wolfe, Health Research Group

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

TABLE A1 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

	CONTROI 01-016	(UNTR) 60	LOW D 01-0	OSE 195	HIGH DOSE 01-0200
IMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50
IMALS NECROPSIED IMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 ** 50		50 49		50 50
TEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
SKIN	(50)		(50)		(50)
BASAL-CELL CARCINOMA FIBROMA	2 (4	(%)	4	(8%)	1 (2%)
SUBCUT TISSUE		·			(50)
UNEIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA					1 (2 %)
SARCOMA, NOS Fibroma	1 (2				
FIBROSARCOMA	1 6				
SFIRATORY SYSTEN					
LUNG	(49)		(49)		(50)
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADFNOMA Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	1 (2	2%)	1	(2%) (2%)	2 (4%)
CHORLOMA META JTATIC			1	(2%)	
ATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
MULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)		(50)		(50)
LEUKEMIA,NOS Hyelchonocyti: leukemia	1 (2 9 (1	2%) 18%)	1	(2%)	
SPLEEN	(50)		(49)		(48)
ANGIOSARCONA Myelomonocytic leukemia				(2%) (2%)	
RESENTERIC I NODE	(49)		(46)		(46)
MALIG.LYMPHONA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE	(+/)				1 (2%)
RCULATORY SYSTIM					
HEART	(48)		(49)		(50)
SARCOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	1_1	281			

**EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

TABLE A1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTR OL (UNTR) 01-0160		HIGH DOSE 01-0200
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER HEPATOCEILULAR CARCINOMA	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
URINARY SYSTEM			
<pre>#KIDNEY SARCOMA, NOS NEPHROBLASTOMA</pre>	(50)	(48)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
# PITUITARY	(45)	(43)	(45)
ADENONA, NOS Chromophobe Adenona	5 (11%) 2 (4%)	12 (28%)	5 (11%)
#ADRENAL	(50)	(47)	(49)
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	3 (6%)	3 (6%)	5 (10%)
#THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINONA	(37) 1 (3%)	(47)	(48)
C-CELL ADENOMA C-CELL CARCINOMA	1 (3%) 2 (5%)	3 (6%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%)
*PANCREATIC ISLETS	(47)	(47)	(46)
ISLET-CELL ADENONA	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	5 (11%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)	(50)	(50)
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS FIBRCADENOMA		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
*PREPUTIAL GLAND	(50)	(50)	(50)
CARCINOMA,NOS Squamous cell carcinoma	2 (4%)		1 (2%)
ADENCHA, NOS			1 (2%)
*TESTIS	(50)	(48)	(49)
INTEESTITIAL-CELL_TUMOR	<u>42 (84%)</u>	44 (92%)	39 (80%)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTB) 01-0160	LOW DOSE 01-0195	HIGH DOSI 01-0200
RVCUS SYSTEM			
BRAIN Glioma, Nos	(50)	(47)	(49) 1 (2 %)
CEREBRAL CCRTEX GLIONA, NOS	1 (2%)	(47)	(49)
ECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
IONE			
SCULOSKELETAL S(STEM			
NCNE		*******	
DY CAVITIES			
PERITONEUM MESOTHELIOMA, MALIGNANT	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2 %)
COTHER SYSTEMS			
MULTIPLE ORGANS MESOTHELIONA, NOS	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
IMAL DISECSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIAILY IN STUDY Natural Ceathg Mortbund Sachi f ice	50 5 8	50 6 2	50 6 2
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE Accidentally killed Terminal sackifice	5 32	42	5 37
ANIMAL MISSING			

TABLE A1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0160	LOW DOSE 01-0195	
CR SUMMAPY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	49 76	46 77	43 68
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN FUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	46 57	4 <i>6</i> 69	39 59
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	16 19	7 8	8 8
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	# 1 1	1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	-		1 1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN FFIMARY OR MITASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	-		

	CONTROL (UNTR) C2-0160	LOW DOSE 02-0195	
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NIMALS MISSING	50 1	50	50
NIMALS NECROPSIED NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY**	49 49	49 49	48 48
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN EPITHELIAL TUMOR, NOS, BENIGN	(49) 1 [2%)	(49)	(48)
SUECUT TISSUE FIBROMA	(49) 2 (4 %)	(49)	(48)
ESFIGATORY SYSTEM			
LUNG ALVECLAR/BHONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, METASTATIC	(49)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
EMATOFOIETIC SYSTEM			
MUITIPLE ORGANS LEUKEMIA,NOS MYELCMONOCITIC LEUKEMIA	(49) 1 (2%) 6 (12%)	(49) 4 (8%)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
SPLEEN Nyelcmonocitic leukfmia	(47)	(49)	(48) 2 (4%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NCNE			
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
LIVER NEOPLASTIC NODULE	(48)	(49)	(48) 2 (4%)

 TABLE A2

 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

TABLE A2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0160	LOW DOSE 02-0195	HIGH DOSE 02-0200
RINARY SYSTEM			
JRINARI SISIEN			
*KIDNEY TUBULAR-CELL ADENOCARCINOMA	(48)	(49)	(48) 1 (2 %)
NECCRINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY	(39)	(44)	(42)
ADENOMA, NOS Chromophobe Adenoma	15 (38%) 2 (5%)	26 (59%)	14 (33%)
* ADRENAL	(49)	(49)	(47)
PHEOCHROMOCYIOMA PHEOCHROMOCYIOMA, MAIIGNANT	3 (6%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#THYROID	(45)	(42)	(46)
FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA C-CELL CARCINOMA	2 (4%)	2 (5%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(49)	(46) 1 (2%)	(47) 2 (4%)
REFRCDUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MANMARY GLAND	(49)	(49)	(48)
ADENOMA, NOS	(2 (4%)
ACENCCARCINOMA, NOS PAPILLARY ADENOCARCI IOMA		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
FIBRCADENOMA	12 (24%)	9 (18%)	10 (21%)
*CLITORAL GLAND ADENCMA, NOS	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)	(48) 1 (2 %)
#DTERUS	(46)	(49)	(47)
ENDOMETRIAL STRCMAL POLYP ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL SARCOMA	6 (13%)	13 (27%)	7 (15%) 1 (2%)
*CERVIX UTERI FIBROSARCOMA	(46)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)
OVARY THECOMA	(47)	(47)	(48) 1 (2%)

* NUMBER OP ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAMINED MICBOSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0160	LON DOSE 02-0195	HIGE DOSE (2-0200
GRANULOSA-CILL CARCINOMA			1 (2%)
NERVCUS SYSTEM			
<pre># ERAIN ASTROCYTONA</pre>	(49) 1 (2 %)	(48)	(48)
PECIAL SENSE OFGANS			
*ZYMBAL'S GLANI CERUMINOUS CARCINOMA	(49) 1 (2 %)	(49)	(48)
NUSCULOSKEIETAL SYSTEM			
*BONE CSTECSARCOM	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48)
BODY CAVITIES			
*EODY CAVITIES MESOTHELIOM!, MALIGNANT	(49)	(49)	(48) 1 (2 %)
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY Sarcoma, Nos	(49)	(49)	(48) 1 (2 %)
ALL OTHER SYSTELS			
NC NE			
ANIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITI/ILY IN STUDY	50	50	50
NATURAL DEATHD	2	6	6
MORIBUND SACRIFICE Scheduled S/Crifice	6 5	4	6 5
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED	J		5
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	36	40	33
ANIMAL MISSING	1		
INCLUDES_AUTOLYZED_ANIMALS			

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A2 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0160	LOW DOSE 02-0195	
UNCE SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* Total primary tumors	32 54	40 59	34 55
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TCTAL BENIGN TUMORS	28 42	36 50	26 38
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	10 12	8 9	13 14
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMOR;	ł	1 1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			3 3
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- FRIMARY OR METASTATIC IOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS		SIVE INTO AN A	DJACENT ORGAN

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

TABLE B1
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

C	ONTROL (UNT 05-0160	R) LOW DOSE 05-0195	HIGH DOSE 05-0200
ANIMAIS INITI/ILY IN STUDY ANIMALS HISSING	50	50	50 1
NIMALS NECRCESSIED NIMALS EXAMILED HISTOFATHOLOGICALLY**	50 49	49 49	49 49
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN Sçuamous cell carcinoma	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)
	(50)	(49)	(49) 1 (2 %)
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
*LUNG	(47)	(49)	(49)
HEFATOCELIULAR CARCINOMA, METAST Alveolar/eronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/fronchiolar carcinoma	4 (9%)	1 (2%) 4 (8%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%)
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORCANS	(50)	(49)	(49)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS Malig.lymfhoma, lymphocytic typf Malig.lymfhoma, histiocytic type	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*SPLEEN	(49)	(46)	(47)
HEMANGIOSARCOMA Angiosarcoma	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*MESENTERIC 1. NODE MALIG.LYMFHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(40)	(35) 1 (3%)	(43)
<pre>#PEYERS PATCH MALIG.LYMFHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE</pre>	(49)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED
 **EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

TABLE B1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 05-0160	LOW DOSE 05-0195	HIGH DOSE 05-0200
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
<pre>#LIVER HEPATOCEILULAR CARCINO MA HEMANGIOSARCOMA ANGIOSARCOMA</pre>	(49) 15 (3 1%)	(49) 11 (22%) 1 (2%)	(46) 4 (9%) 1 (2%)
*STCMACH Adenomatous Polyp, Nos	(49) 1 (2%)	(47)	(46)
RINARY SYSTEM			
NCNE			
NDCCRINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY CHROMOFHOBE ADENOMA	(42)	(36) 2 (6%)	(40)
# ADRENAL FHECCHROMOCYTOMA	(47)	(45)	(45) 1 (2%)
<pre>#THYRCID FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA</pre>	(42)	(34) 2 (6%)	(43)
EPFODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR SEMINOMA/DYSGERMINOMA	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)	(48) 1 (2 %)
NERVCUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NCNE			
USCULCSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NCNE			

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE B1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 05-0160	LOW DOSE 05-0195	HIGH DOSE 05-0200
ODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
NIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
NATURAL DEATHƏ	3	5	5
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	-	1	2
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	5		5
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED	" "	6 1.	
TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	42	44	37
UPCR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*		21 26	13
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	26	20	17
	26 6 6	3	17 1 2
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	6 6	3	1
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	6 6 18 20	3 3 20	1 2 12
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS.	6 18 20 # 2 2	3 3 20	1 2 12
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OF MALIGNANT	6 18 20 # 2 2	3 3 20	1 2 12

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0160	LOW DOSE 06-0195	HIGH DOSE 06-0200
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NIMALS NECROFSIED NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50 ** 50	50 50 49	50 50 48
*SUBCUT TISSUE FIBRCSARCOMA HEMANGIOSARCOMA	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
ESPIBATORY SYSTEM			
*LUNG	(50)	(49)	(48)
HEPATOCFILULAR CARCINOMA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BHONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 3 (6%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%)
ENATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*HULTIPLE CRGANS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFPER-TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE UNDIFPERENTIATED LEUKEMIA	(50) 3 (6%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
*SUECUTANEOUS TISSUE Mast-Celi Tumor	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2 %)
*SPLEEN HEMANGIOSARCOMA MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)	(47)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE Malignant Lymphona, Nos	(40) 1 (3 %)	(36)	(45)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE MALIGNANT LYMPHONA, NIXED TYPE	(40)	(36)	(45) <u>1 (2%)</u>

TABLE B2 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED
 **EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

TABLE B2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0160		HIGH DOSE 06-0200
FFYERS PATCH Malignani Lymphoma, Nos	(49) 1 (2%)	(46)	(47)
JIJUNUM MALIG.LYNPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(49)	(46) 1 (2%)	(47)
IRCULATCRY SYSTEM			
NCNE			
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER HEPATOCEILULAR CARCINOMA	(49) 2 (4 %)		(46)
RINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
NDOCRINE SYSTEM			
<pre># PITUITARY ADENOMA, NOS CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA</pre>	(42)	(39) 1 (3%)	(44)
#ADRENAL FHEOCHROMOCYTONA	(47)	(46) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) (45)
EPRCDUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND INFILTRATING DUCT CARCINOMA	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
#UTERUS ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL SARCOMA	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)	(46)
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
NCNE			
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			

TABLE B2 (CONCLUDED)

ODY CAVITIES NCNE LL CTHEF SYSTEMS NCNE NIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY SO MORE NIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY SO MORTBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING O INCLUDFS AUTOLYZED ANIMALS CUMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PERMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS		
ODY CAVITIES NCNE LL CTHEF SYSTEMS NCNE NIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY SO NATUPAL DEATHØ MCRIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE UNOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PEIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PEIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT		
NCNE LL CTHER SYSTEMS NONE NIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 50 5 NATUFAL DEATHD 3 MORTBUND SACRIFICE 2 SCHEDUED SACRIFICE 5 ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE 40 ANIMAL MISSING D INCLUDFS AUTOLYZED ANIMALS SUMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUNGRS* 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUNGRS* 15 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUNORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUNORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1		
NUMBAL CONSTRUCT NNIMAL CISFOSITICN NNIMAL CISFOSITICN NNIMAL CISFOSITICN NIMALS INITIALLY NIMALS INITIALLY ANIMAL CISFOSITICN NATUFAL CEATHØ ANIMAL CEATHØ MCRIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULEL SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING INCLUDFS AUTOLYZED ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TOTAL ANIMALS WITH HALIGNANT TOTAL ANIMALS TOTAL ANIMALS		
NCNE NIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 50 S NATUFAL CEATHØ 3 MCRIBUND SACRIFICE 2 SCHEDULED SACRIFICE 40 ANIMAL MISSING 1 INCLUDFS AUTOLYZED ANIMALS SUMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 11 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 11 TOTAL A		
ANIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 50 S NATUFAL DEATHD 3 MCRIBUND SACRIFICE 2 SCHEDUIED SACRIFICE 5 ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE 40 ANIMAL MISSING 40 INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS NUMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS 15 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 14 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1		
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 50 S NATUFAL CEATHØ 3 MCRIBUND SACRIFICE 2 SCHECULE SACRIFICE 5 ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE 40 ANIMAL MISSING 40 INCLUDFS AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS 15 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 14 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 11 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1		
NATUFAL CEATHØ 3 MCRIBUND SACRIFICE 2 SCHECUIEC SACRIFICE 5 ACCIDENTALLY KILLED 7 TERMINAL SACRIFICE 40 ANIMAL MISSING 40 NUMOR SUMMARY 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 15 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1		
MCRIBUND SACRIFICE 2 SCHEFULED SACRIFICE 5 ACCIDENTALLY KILLED 40 TERMINAL SACRIFICE 40 ANIMAL MISSING 40 MIMAL MISSING 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- 1 BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1	50	50
SCHEFULEE SACRIFICE 5 ACCIDENTALLY KILLED 40 TERMINAL SACRIFICE 40 ANIMAL MISSING 40 INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS 40 UMOR SUMMARY 10 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS 15 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 14 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1	6	8
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE 40 ANIMAL MISSING 40 INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS 15 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 14 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1	1	3 5
TERMINAL SACRIFICE 40 ANIMAL MISSING 40 INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS 40 UHOR SUMMARY 10 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 12 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 15 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1		5
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIHALS TOTAL ANIHALS WITH PEIMARY TUNGRS* 12 TOTAL ANIHALS WITH PEIMARY TUNGRS 15 TOTAL PENMARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT	43	34
TUMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 12 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 15 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 14 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 12 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 15 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 15 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PEIMARY TUMORS*12TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS15TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS1TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS1TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS11TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS1TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS1TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS1TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*1TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS1TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS1		
TCTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 15 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 14 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL NIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 14 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1	10	9
TOTAL BENIGN TUHORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1	10	9
TOTAL BENIGN TUHORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1	3	2
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS 14 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1	3	2
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS 14 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1	7	6
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT	′7	6
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT		-
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- Benign or Malignant		
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT		
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT		
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		1
		1
POTAL ANTHALC STRU THMODE HNODDALTH-		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- Ffimary or metastatic		
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS Secondary Tumors: Metastatic Tumors or Tumors invasive		

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

	01-0	OL (UNTR) 160	01-0	195	HIGH 01-0	DOSE 200
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
NNIMALS NECROPSIED NNIMALS FXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY**	50		50 49		50	
INIBALS FRANKED RISTOPATHOLOGICALLY			49		 	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*SKIN	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMAT.CON, SUPPURATIVE	1	(2%)				
ESPIRATORY S"STEM						
*TRACHEA	(49)		(48)		(47)	
INFLAMMATION, NOS						(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL					1	(2%)
#LUNG/BRONCHUS	(49)		(49)		(50)	
BRONCHIECTASIS					1	(2%)
#LUNG	(49)		(49)		(50)	
CONGESTION, CHRONIC PASSIVE	1	(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL		(8%)				
PIBROSIS, DIFFUSE		(2%)				
HYPERPLASIA, NOS Hyperplasia, Alveolar epithelium		(2%)	1	1081		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(2%)	1	(2%)		
#LUNG/ALVEOLI			(49)		(50)	
HEMORRHAG?	T	(2%)		105		
HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL				(2%)		
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*SPLEEN	(50)		(49)		(48)	
FIBROSIS		(2%)				
HEMOSIDEROSIS	2	(4%)			_	
LYMPHOID DEPLETION						(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC Hyperplasia, ebythroid						(2%) (2%)
ALLER DALLA DALLANDED						(27)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE	(49)		(46)		(46)	
HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL	1	(2%)				

TABLE C1 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED **EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

TABLE C1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0160	LOW DOSE 01-0195	HIGH DOSE 01-0200
<pre>#MESENTERIC L. NODE HYPEFPLASIA, PLASMA CELL</pre>	(49) 1 (2%)	(46)	(46)
SIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART Pepiarteritis	(48)	(49)	(50) 2 (4 %)
<pre>#MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, POCAL INFLAMMATION, INTERSUITIAL FIBROSIS DEGENERATION, NOS</pre>	(48) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
<pre>\$SALIVARY GLAND HYPEPPLASIA, INTRADUCTAL</pre>	(50) 1 (2%)	(47)	(47)
*LIVER INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL NECROSIS, FOCAL NECROSIS, DIFFUSE NECROSIS, HEMORRHAGIC METAMORPHOSIS FATTY BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE ECSINOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE HYPEFPLASIA, FOCAL	(49) 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 5 (10%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 11 (22%
*LIVEP/CENTRILOBULAR CONGESTION, FASSIVE	(49) 1 (2 %)	(49)	(50)
<pre>*BILE DUCT HYPERPLASIA, NOS</pre>	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 3 (6%)	(50)
<pre>#PANCREAS INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL</pre>	(47) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(47) ∠ (4 %)	(46) 2 (4 %)
#STOMACH HYFERKERATOSIS ACANTHOSIS	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(48)	(48)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECFOPSIED

TABLE C1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0160	LOW DOSE 01-0195	HIGH DOSE 01-0200
#GASTRIC MUCOSA NECROSIS, FJCAL	(49)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)
*PEYERS PATCH Hyperplasia, NOS	(49) 1 (2%)	(48)	(49)
COLON NEMATODIASIS	(48)	(48) 2 (4%)	(47) 3 (6 %)
RINARY SYSTEM			
<pre>#KIDNEY CYST, NOS CONGESTION, NOS GLCMERULONEPHRITIS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC</pre>	(50) 1 (2%) 4 (8%)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)
GLOMERULCNEPHRITIS, CHRONIC NEPHROPATHY NEPHROSIS, NOS	35 (70%)	5 (10%) 3 (6%)	9 (18%
NDCCRINE SYSTEM			
<pre># PITUITARY CYST, NOS CONGESTION, NOS HYPEPPLASIA, CHROMOPHOBE-CELL ANGIECTASIS</pre>	(45) 1 (2%)	(43) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(45) 2 (4 %)
#ADRENAL COFTEX Hyperplasia, Pocal	(50)	(47) 1 (24)	(49)
#ADRENAL MEDULLA Hyperplasia, nos Hyperplasia, focal	(50)	(47) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)
*THYROID CYSTIC FOLLICLES	(37) 1 (3%)	(47)	(48)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL Hyperplasia, C-Cell	2 (5%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*PANCREATIC ISLETS Hyperplasia, Nos <u>Hyperplasia, Pocal</u>	(47)	(47) 1_(23)	(46) 1 (2%)

NUMBEP OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (DNTE) 01-0160	LOW DOSE 01-0195	HIGH DOSE 01-0200
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*PREPUTIAL GLAND Abscfss, Nos	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2 %)
FROSTATE	(48)	(47)	(48)
INFLAMMATICN, NOS INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	3 (6%)	3 (6%)	6 (13%
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE POCAL		8 (17%)	11 (23)
TESTIS	(50)	(48)	(49)
HYDROCELE	1 (08)		1 (2%)
PERIVASCULITIS DEGENERATION, NOS	1 (2%)	15 (31%)	
CALCIFICATION, NOS	3 (6%)	13 (31.4)	
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	1 (2%)		
ATROPHY, NOS	11 (22%)		
HYPERPLASIA, INTERSTITIAL CELL	4 (8%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
*EPIDIDYNIS GRANULOMA, NOS	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2 %)
NCNE PECIAL SENSE ORGANS NCNE			
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
ODY CAVITIES *Pleura	(50)	(50)	(50)
ODY CAVITIES	(50)	(50)	(50)
ODY CAVITIES *Pleura fibrosis, diffuse	(50)	(50)	(50)

TABLE C1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0160	LOW DOSE 01-0195	HIGH DOS 01-0200
NECROSIS, FAT		1	
ECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NA INCIAN REFARMED			
NC LESION REFORTED AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF		1	1

•

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0160	LOW DOSE 02-0195	HIGH DOSE 02-0200
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NIMALS MISSING	50 1	50	50
NIMAIS NECROPSIED NIMALS EXAMUNED HISTOFATHOLOGICALLY**	49 • 49	49 49	48 48
NTEGUMENTAR' SYSTEM			
NONE			
ESPIBATORY SYSTEM			
*LUNG/BRONCHUS BRCNCHIECTASIS	(49)	(48)	(48) 1 (2%)
#LUNG CONGESTION, ACUTE PASSIVE	(49) 1 (2≸)	(48)	(48)
INFLAMMATICN, FOCAL GRANULOMATOU			1 (2%)
ENATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
#SPLEEN HEMOSIDNROSIS	(47) 3 (6 %)	(49)	(48)
HYPEFPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC HYPEFPLASIA, ERYTHROID	5 (08)		2 (4%) 2 (4%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HFART PERIARTERITIS	(48)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)
#MYCCARLIUM FIBROSIS	(48) 1 (2 %)	(48)	(48)
*AORTA PERIARIERITIS	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48)
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER INFLAM MATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC	(48) 1 (2 %)	(49)	(48)

TABLE C2 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

NUMBER O? ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED **EXCLUDES FARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

TABLE C2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0160	LOW DOSE 02-0195	HIGH DOSE 02-0200
INFLAMMATION, CHBONIC POCAL	1 (2%)		
NECROSIS, FOCAL	1 (2%)	3 (6%)	1 (2%)
METAMORPHOSIS PATTY	1 (2%)		3 (6%)
BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE	2 (4%)	42 (86%)	40 (83%
HYPERPLASIA, POCAL	1 (2%)		
*EILE DUCT	(49)	(49)	(48)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	2 (4%)		• •
HYPERPLASIA, POCAL	1 (2%)		
# FANCREAS	(49)	(46)	(47)
INFLAMMATION, NOS		2 (4%)	••••
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL		1 (2%)	
STCHACH	(49)	(47)	(48)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	1 (2%)		()
GASTRIC SUBMUCOSA	(49)	(47)	(48)
EDEMA, NOS	1 (2%)		(40)
FEYERS PATCH	(49)	(47)	(47)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	2 (4%)	(***)	(47)
·			
COLON	(49)	(45)	(48)
NEMATODIASIS	1 (28)	3 (7%)	3 (6%)
PARASITISM	1 (2%)		
RINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY	(48)	(49)	(48)
CYST, NOS			1 (2%)
POLYCYSTIC KIDNEY			1 (2%)
GLCHERULCNEPHRITIS, NOS	4 (8%)		
NEPHROPATHY		4 (8%)	3 (6%)
NEPHROSIS, NOS	29 (60%)		
#KIDNEY/CORTEX	(48)	(49)	(48)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	1 (2%)		
NDOCRINE SYSTEM			
PITUITARY	(39)	(44)	(42)
CYST, NOS		1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, CHROMOPHOBE-CELL		1 (2%)	1 (2%)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0160	LOW DOSE 02-0195	HIGH DOSE 02-0200
#ACRENAL Metamorphosis Fatty	(49)	(49)	(47) 2 (4%)
<pre>#ADRENAL MECULLA CYST, NOS HYPERPLASIA, NOS</pre>	(49)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#THYROID HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL</pre>	(45) 2 (4%)	(42) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(46) 4 (9%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND DILATATION/DUCTS GALACTCCELE	(49) 1 (2 %)	(49) 5 (10%)	(48) 6 (13%)
*MAMMARY DUCT Hyperplasia, cystic	(49) 1 (2 %)	(49)	(48)
*VAGINA EFIDERMAL INCLUSIOD CYST	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48)
<pre># UTERUS HY DROMETRA HEMATOMA, NOS</pre>	(46) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)	(47) 2 (4%)
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM INFLAMMATION, ACUTI: ABSCESS, NOS HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	(46)	(49) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC #OVARY/OVIDUCT INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	(46)	(49) 1 (2%)	7 (15%) (47) 1 (2%)
#OVARY ABSCISS, NOS INFLANMATION, CHRONIC	(47) 1 (2 %)	(47) 1 (2%)	(48)

NCNE

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
NUMBER OF ANIMALS NICROPSIED

TABLE C2 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0160	LOW DOSE 02-0195	HIGH DOSE 02-0200
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*EYE CATARACT	(49)	(49)	(48) 1 (2 %)
*LENS CAPSULE CALCIFICATION, NOS	(49) 1 (2 %)	(49)	(48)
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NCNE			
BODY CAVITIES			
BOEY CAVITIES			
NONE		3	
NONE ALL CTHEF SYSTEMS: CMENTUM		3	

APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW ı

TABLE D1
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE
TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

	CONTROL (UNTR) 05-0160	LOW DOSE 05-0195	HIGH DOSE 05-0200
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NIMALS MISSING	50	50	50 1
NNIMALS NECROPSIEI ANIMALS FXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY **	50 * 49	49 49	49 49
NIEGUMENTARY SYSIEM			
*SRIN ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC	(50)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2 %)
*SUBCUT TISSUE HEMATOMA, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(49)
INFLAMMATICN, NECROTIZING INFLAMMATION, ACUTE POCAL ABSCESS, NOS	1 (2%) 1 (2%)		1 (2%)
RESFIRATORY SYSTEM #LUNG INFLANMATICN, NOS	(47)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)
<pre>#IUNG/AIVEOLI HYPERTROPHY, NOS</pre>	(47)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)
IEMATOPCIETIC SYSTEM			
HEMATOPCIETIC SYSTEM *Spleen Infarct, Nos	(49)	(46)	(47) 1 (2%)
* SPLEEN	(49) 2 (4%)	(46) 3 (7%)	
*SPLEEN INFARCT, NOS HYPEFPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL	. ,		
INFARCT, NOS HYPEFPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL ERYTHROPOIESIS #MANDIBULAR L. NODE	2 (4%)	3 (7%)	(43)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED NICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED **EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AJTOLYZED ANIMALS

TABLE D1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 05-0160	LOW DOSE 05-0195	HIGH DOSE 05-0200
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
IRCULATORI SISTE			
#HEART Periarteritis	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)
* AORTA PEBLARTERITIS	(50)	(49) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2 %)
*VESICAL ARTERY PERIVASCULITIS	(50)	(49) 1 (2 %)	(49)
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
*SUEMAXILLARY GLAND ATROFHY, NOS	(47)	(4 6) 1 (2%)	(49)
#LIVER	(49)	(49)	(46)
NECROSIS, FOCAL		2 (4%)	
METAMORFHOSIS FATTY Angiectasis	1 (2%) 1 (2%)		
<pre>#LIVER/KUPFFER CELL HYPEFPLASIA, NOS</pre>	(49) 1 (2 %)	(49)	(46)
* PANCREAS	(46)	(46)	(48)
CYSTIC DUCTS INFLAMMATION, FOCAL	1 (2%)		1 (28)
INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE/CHRONIC		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
PERIVASCULITIS	1 (2%)	A (07)	
CEGENERATION, CYSTIC Necrosis, focal		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
NECROSIS, FAT	1 (2%)	(2.1)	
<pre>#PANCREATIC ACINUS DEGENERATION, NOS</pre>	(46)	(46)	(48) 1 (2 %)
*FEYERS PATCH	(49)	(48)	(49)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1 (2%) 1 (2%)		1 (2%)
*COLON NEMATODIASIS	(48)	(43) 1 (2%)	(45)
JRINABY SYSTEM			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
# KIDNEY	(49)	(49)	(49)
HYCRONEPHROSIS	2_(4%)		· · · ·

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NICROPSIED

TABLE D1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTR OL (UNTB) 05-0160	LOW DOSE 05-0195	HIGH DOSE 05-0200
FYELCNEPHRITIS, NOS PYELCNEPHRITIS, FOCAL		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATION, ENTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
#URINARY BLADDER INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(49)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
<pre>#FITUITARY CYST, NOS</pre>	(42)	(36)	(40) 2 (5 %)
#THYROID	(42)	(34)	(43)
PERIARIERITIS Hypepplasia, Pocal	1 (2%)		1 (2%)
REFRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*PREPUTIAL GLAND DILATATICN, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(49)
*PROSTATE Inflammation, acutf	(49)	(48)	(46) 1 (2%)
*SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION WITH FIBROSIS	(50)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)
*TESTIS Degeneration, Nos	(49)	(49)	(48) 1 (2%)
<pre>#IESTIS/TUBULE NECROSIS, FOCAL</pre>	(49) 1 (2 %)	(49)	(48)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NCNE			
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NCNE			

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 05-0160	LOF DOSE 05-0195	HIGH DOSE 05-0200
ODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY	(50)	(49)	(49)
ADHESION, NOS	1 (2%)	(43)	(4))
*PERITONEUM	(50)	(49)	(49)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	()		1 (2%)
* MESENTERY	(50)	(49)	(49)
STEATITIS Abscess, nos	1 (2%) 1 (2%)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS ADIFOSE TISSUE STEATITIS NECROSIS, FAT CMENTUH STEATITIS NECROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, FAT	1 2		1 1 1
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED	17	18	31
ANIMAL MISSING/NO NECROPSY AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERP	1	2	1
AUTO/NECROFSY/NO HISTO	1	1	
AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY			

TABLE D2
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE
TREATED WITH DIARYLANILIDE YELLOW

CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0160	LOW DOSE 06-0195	HIGH DOSE 06-0200
50	50	50
50	50	50
50	49	48
(49)	(49)	(47)
	2 (4%)	<u>1 (2%)</u>
(49)	(49)	(47)
	4	1 (2%)
1 (25)		1 (28)
1 (28)		1 (2%)
1 (2%)	(24)	
(40)	(36)	(45)
1 (35)		1 (2%)
1 (3%)		
(40)	(36)	(45)
		1 (2%)
(40)	(36)	(45)
1 (3%)		
(40)	(36)	(45)
1 (3%)		
(40)	(36)	(45)
	06-0160 50 50 50 (49) (49) 1 (2%) (40) 1 (3%) (40) (40) (40) (40) (40) (40)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICBOSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED
 **EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

TABLE D2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0160	LOW DOSE 06-0195	HIGH DOSE 06-0200
<pre>#RFNAL 1YMPH NODE Hyperplasia, Nos Hyperplasia, Plasma Cell</pre>	(40) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(36)	(45)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
<pre>#HYCCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE</pre>	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(47) 2 (4%)
* AORTA PERIARTERITIS	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
<pre>\$SALIVAFY GLAND ABSCESS, NOS NECFOSIS, NOS</pre>	(48)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(46)
<pre>\$LIVER ECTOFIA INFLAMMATION, NOS</pre>	(49)	(47)	(46) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
NECHOSIS, NOS NECHOSIS, FOCAL Infarct, Nos Decubili o sero cuance	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE Hyp:Rplasia, reticulum cell Hematopoiesis		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
*BILE DUCT INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	(50) 2 (4%)	(50)	(50)
<pre>#PANCREAS CYSTIC DUCTS INFLAMMATION, NOS</pre>	(47)	(48) 1 (2%)	(43) 1 (2 %)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FOCAL ABSCESS, CHRONIC HYPERPLASIA, POCAL		1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
<pre>#FANCFEATIC ACINUS DEGENERATION, NOS</pre>	(47)	(48) 1 (2%)	(43)
*STONJCH INFLAMMATION, NOS	(49)	(45)	(44)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

•

TABLE D2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0160	LOW DOSE 06-0195	HIGH DOSI 06-0200
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL			1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL	1 (2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1 (2%)		
FEYERS PATCH	(49)	(46)	(47)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1 (2%)		
CCLON	(50)	(41)	(45)
NEMATODIASIS	1 (2%)		
RINARY SYSTEM			
KIDNEY	(49)	(49)	(47)
GLOMERULCNEPHRITIS, NOS		4 (8%)	
LYEPHOCYTIC LNFILTRATE	1 (2%)		
INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL			1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	2 (4%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	1 (2%)		
GLCMFRULCSCLEROSIS, NOS	1 (2%)		
FURINARY BLADDER	(50)	(44)	(45)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	4		1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	1 (2%)		
U. ELADDER/SUBM JCOSA	(50)	(44)	(45)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1 (2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	16 (32%)		
PEPIVASCULITIS	1 (2%)		
U. ELADDER/MUSC JLARIS	(50)	(44)	(45)
CALCIUM DEPOSIT	1 (2%)		
NECCRINE SYSTEM			
₽ ITUITARY	(42)	(39)	(44)
CYST, NOS		2 (5%)	
HYPERPLASIA, CHROMOPHOBE-CELL		1 (3%)	
THYROID	(41)	(41)	(44)
		1 (2%)	
			1 (2%)
THYROID HYPEFPLASIA, FPITHELIAL HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL HYPEFPLASIA, C-CELL <u>HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR-CELL</u>	2 (5%)	(41) 1 (2%)	-

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0160	06- 0195	
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
# UTERUS	(49)	(47)	(46)
HYDROLETRA	5 (10%)	7 (15%)	7 (15%
ABSCESS, NOS	- (1 (2%)	••••
NECROSIS, FAT	1 (2%)	()	
CALCIFICATION, NOS	1 (2%)		
UTERUS/HNDOMETRIUM	(49)	(47)	(46)
INFLAHMATION, SUPPURATIVE	2 (4%)	(,	
INFLAHMATION, ACUTE		1 (2%)	3 (7%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	32 (65%)	34 (72%)	24 (52)
OVARY/O'IIDUCT	(49)	(47)	(46)
INFLAIMATION, NOS			1 (2%)
ABSCESS, NOS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC			1 (2%)
FOVARY/PAROVARIAN	(49)	(47)	(46)
STEATITIS			1 (2%)
ABSCESS, NOS		2 (4%)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC		1 (2%)	
#OVARY	(48)	(42)	(46)
CYST, NOS	6 (13%)	7 (17%)	7 (159
INFLAMMATION, NOS			2 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	1 (2%)		1 (38)
ABSCISS, NOS		2 (59)	1 (2%)
INPLIMMATICN, ACUTE/CHRONIC	1 (28)	2 (5%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Hypehplasia, Epithelial	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
#ERAIN/HENINGES LYMPHOCYTIC INFILTRATE	(49)	(46)	(47) 2 (4 %)
# ERAIN	(49)	(46)	(47)
HYDROCEPHALUS, NOS		1 (2%)	
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND	(50)	(50)	(50)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(33)	1 (2%)	(50)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D2 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0160	LON DOSE 06-0195	
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
ODY CAVITIES			
<pre>*HEDIASTINUM INFLAMMATION, ACUTE NECROSIS, NOS;</pre>	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)
*PERITONEUM INFLAMMATION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
<pre>*MULTIPLE ORGANS AMYLOIDOSIS</pre>	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
CHENTUM PERIVA SCULITIS	1		
PECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NG LFSION REFORTED AUTO/NECFOPSY/HISTO PERF	2	Ę	3
AUTO/NECROPSI/HISTO PERF	÷	1	2

ı

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-830