PGI 225—Foreign Acquisition

(Revised March 3, 2008)

PGI 225.70—AUTHORIZATION ACTS, APPROPRIATIONS ACTS, AND OTHER STATUTORY RESTRICTIONS ON FOREIGN ACQUISITION

PGI 225.7002 Restrictions on food, clothing, fabrics, specialty metals, and hand or measuring tools.

PGI 225.7002-1 Restrictions.

- (a)(2)(A) The following are examples, not all-inclusive, of Federal Supply Classes that contain items of clothing:
- (1) Clothing apparel (such as outerwear, headwear, underwear, nightwear, footwear, hosiery, or handwear) listed in Federal Supply Class 8405, 8410, 8415, 8420, 8425, 8450, or 8475.
 - (2) Footwear listed in Federal Supply Class 8430 or 8435.
- (3) Hosiery, handwear, or other items of clothing apparel, such as belts and suspenders, listed in Federal Supply Class 8440 or 8445.
 - (4) Badges or insignia listed in Federal Supply Class 8455.
- (B) The Federal Supply Classes listed in paragraph (a)(2)(A) of this subsection also contain items that are not clothing, such as—
 - (1) Visors;
 - (2) Kevlar helmets;
 - (3) Handbags; and
 - (4) Plastic identification tags.
- (C) Each item should be individually analyzed to determine if it is clothing, rather than relying on the Federal Supply Class alone to make that determination.
- (D) The fact that an item is excluded from the foreign source restriction of the Berry Amendment applicable to clothing does not preclude application of another Berry Amendment restriction in DFARS 225.7002-1 to the components of the item.
- (E) Small arms protective inserts (SAPI plates) are an example of items added to, and not normally associated with, clothing. Therefore, SAPI plates are not covered

PGI 225—Foreign Acquisition

under the Berry Amendment as clothing. However, fabrics used in the SAPI plate are still subject to the foreign source restrictions of the Berry Amendment. If the fabric used in the SAPI plate is a synthetic fabric or a coated synthetic fabric, the fibers and yarns used in the fabric are not covered by the Berry Amendment, because the fabric is a component of an end product that is not a textile product (see DFARS 225.7002-2(o)).

Example: A SAPI plate is compliant with the Berry Amendment if the synthetic fiber or yarn is obtained from foreign country X and woven into synthetic fabric in the United States, which is then incorporated into a SAPI plate manufactured in foreign country Y.

(b) <u>Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics)</u> memorandum of June 1, 2006, Subject: Berry Amendment Compliance for Specialty <u>Metals</u>, provides guidance on dealing with specialty metal parts that are noncompliant with the requirements of the Berry Amendment (10 U.S.C. 2533a). Also see the DCMA interim instruction addressing noncompliance with the Preference for Domestic Specialty Metals clause, DFARS 252.225-7014, at http://guidebook.dcma.mil/225/instructions.htm.

PGI 225.7002-2 Exceptions.

- (b) Domestic nonavailability determinations.
 - (3) Defense agencies.
- (A) A defense agency requesting a domestic nonavailability determination must submit the request, including the proposed determination, to—

Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy ATTN: OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(CPIC) 3060 Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-3060.

- (B) The Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, will forward the request to the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) as appropriate.
- (C) If the domestic nonavailability determination is for the acquisition of titanium or a product containing titanium, the submission shall also include the associated congressional notification letters required by DFARS 225.7002-2(b)(4), for concurrent signature by the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics). The defense agency does not need to take any further action with regard to DFARS 225.7002-2(b)(4).
 - (4) Army, Navy, and Air Force.

PGI 225—Foreign Acquisition

Send the copy of the congressional notification and the domestic nonavailability determination for the acquisition of titanium or a product containing titanium to—

Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy ATTN: OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(CPIC) 3060 Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-3060.

- (5) Reciprocal use of domestic nonavailability determinations (DNADs).
- (A) The military departments should establish approval authority, policies, and procedures for the reciprocal use of DNADs. General requirements for broad application of DNADs are as follows:
- (1) A class DNAD approved by the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) (USD(AT&L)) or the Secretary of a military department may be used by USD(AT&L) or another military department, provided the same rationale applies and similar circumstances are involved.
 - (2) DNADs should clearly establish—
 - (i) Whether the determination is limited or unlimited in duration; and
- (ii) If application outside the approving military department is appropriate.
- (3) Upon approval of a DNAD, if application outside the approving military department is appropriate, the approving department shall provide a copy of the DNAD, with information about the items covered and the duration of the determination, to DPAP/CPIC at the address provided in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.
- (4) Before relying on an existing DNAD, contact the approving office for current guidance as follows:
 - (i) USD(AT&L): DPAP/CPIC, 703-697-9352.
 - (ii) Army: ASA/ALT, 703-604-7006.
- (iii) Navy: DASN (Acquisition and Logistics Management), 703-614-9600.
 - (iv) Air Force: AQCK, 703-588-7040.

PGI 225—Foreign Acquisition

(B) DNADs approved by USD(AT&L), that are currently available for reciprocal use, are listed at http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/cpic/ic/. To access the list: Under "Topics," click on "Read More" in the "Berry Amendment" or "Restriction on Specialty Metals" bar; then click on "Read More" at the bottom of the page under "DNADs."

PGI 225.7002-3 Contract clauses.

- (b) Class Deviation 2008-00002, Implementation of New Specialty Metals Restriction, issued on January 29, 2008.
- (i) Withholding. Because this restriction now applies to the item containing the specialty metal, not just the specialty metal, the previous practice of withholding payment while conditionally accepting noncompliant items is not permissible for contracts entered into on or after November 16, 2006. The definition of "contract" with respect to this restriction is based on FAR 2.101 and FAR 43.103.

(ii) Nonavailability.

- (A) Fair and reasonable prices. FAR 15.402 requires that contracting officers purchase supplies and services at fair and reasonable prices. Thus, contracting officers are experienced at determining whether any increase in contract price that results from providing compliant specialty metal is fair and reasonable, given the circumstances of the particular situation. In those cases where the contracting officer determines that the price would not be fair and reasonable, the Secretary of the military department concerned may use that information in determining whether the unreasonable price causes the compliant metal to be effectively "nonavailable." Where these "reasonable" limits should be drawn is a case-by-case decision, keeping in mind that Congress would not have imposed the restriction unless they expected DoD to incur some additional cost.
- (B) When needed. A similar approach may be used to determine whether delays associated with incorporating compliant specialty metals into items being acquired results in the metals being effectively nonavailable.

PGI 225.7017 Restriction on Ballistic Missile Defense research, development, test, and evaluation.

PGI 225.7017-3 Exceptions.

(b) Before awarding a contract to a foreign entity for conduct of ballistic missile defense research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E), the head of the contracting activity must certify, in writing, that a U.S. firm cannot competently perform a contract for RDT&E at a price equal to or less than the price at which a foreign government or firm would perform the RDT&E. The contracting officer or source selection authority must make a determination that will be the basis for that certification, using the following procedures:

PGI 225—Foreign Acquisition

- (i) The determination shall—
 - (A) Describe the contract effort;
- (B) State the number of proposals solicited and received from both U.S. and foreign firms;
 - (C) Identify the proposed awardee and the amount of the contract;
- (D) State that selection of the contractor was based on the evaluation factors contained in the solicitation, or the criteria contained in the broad agency announcement; and
- (E) State that a U.S. firm cannot competently perform the effort at a price equal to, or less than, the price at which the foreign awardee would perform it.
- (ii) When either a broad agency announcement or program research and development announcement is used, or when the determination is otherwise not based on direct competition between foreign and domestic proposals, use one of the following approaches:
- (A) The determination shall specifically explain its basis, include a description of the method used to determine the competency of U.S. firms, and describe the cost or price analysis performed.
 - (B) Alternately, the determination may contain—
- (1) A finding, including the basis for such finding, that the proposal was submitted solely in response to the terms of a broad agency announcement, program research and development announcement, or other solicitation document without any technical guidance from the program office; and
- (2) A finding, including the basis for such finding, that disclosure of the information in the proposal for the purpose of conducting a competitive acquisition is prohibited.
- (iii) Within 30 days after contract award, forward a copy of the certification and supporting documentation to the Missile Defense Agency, ATTN: MDA/DRI, 7100 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-7100.