## Agenda for the first meeting with the working committee for the multidisciplinary and international project on Classification Criteria for polymyositis and dermatomyositis

Tuesday October 26, 2004 at 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM at the National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Building 31, Room B1C02, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.

- 1. Introduction –Ingrid Lundberg
- 2. Goal of our project Why do we want to revise the diagnostic criteria for polymyositis and dermatomyositis? What is our goal: diagnostic criteria, classification criteria or nomenclature? What is the difference? Ingrid and Matthew Liang
- 3. Experience from previous work on development or revision of criteria in other disorders and the various methodologies that have been used Matthew Liang
- 4. What is the process ACR, EULAR Neurology?
- 5. Background.
- a) Which criteria have been published for poly- and dermatomyositis and how have they been tested for sensitivity and specificity Fred Miller
- b) Which criteria have been used in published clinical trials with poly- and dermatomyositis patients Jessica Hoogendijk
- c) Which criteria have been used in published studies on muscle biopsy characteristics in patients with poly- and dermatomyositis –Ingrid Lundberg
- d) How have the methods of the different characteristics in the criteria set been defined and how have they been tested for performance (EMG, CK, and others) Tony Amato
  - e) What criteria have been used in children with myositis Lisa Rider
- f) What clinical manifestations could be used in a revised set of clinical trials (what other clinical manifestations are common and how could they be defined) everyone.
- g) Experience from the IMACS work on development of disease activity and damage scores, and definition of improvement Fred Miller
- 6. Next step How do we proceed?

Revised proposal to ACR with more defined scientific part. The validation process needs to be more detailed. A more specific budget per year.

Define comparator groups

Steering committee – Neurologists, rheumatologists, paediatric rheumatologists, epidemiologist, statistician, ACR-representative, dermatologist? Pathologist? Radiologists? International representation

Reference group IMACS? ENMC? American muscle group?

Time line

Sources of funding -

7. Other issues – Training, standardization of terms, gold standard diagnosis, subcommittees for MRI, biopsies, autoantibodies,

missing data (EMG, biopsies etc)

International IRB and ethics issues

Multiple statistical approaches