## NIST-EDRN Workshop on Standards and Metrology for Cancer Diagnostics Agenda

A National Institute of Standards and Technology and the Early Detection Research Network Joint Workshop on Standards, Methods, Assays, Reagents and Technologies (SMART) For Early Cancer Detection and Diagnosis

National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland Green Auditorium

7:00 AM – 9:00 AM	Registration
8:00 AM	Coffee, NIST Cafeteria Posters and Exhibits in Hallways
NIS	ST Green Auditorium
8:30 – 8:45 AM	Welcome Moderator: <b>Peter Barker</b> National Institute of Standards and Technology <b>Peter Greenwald</b> , National Cancer Institute (tentative) <b>Willie May,</b> National Institute of Standards and Technology
8:45 – 9:00 AM	Charge to Participants: <b>Robert Goldberg</b> , National Institute of Standards and Technology <b>Sudhir Srivastava</b> , National Cancer Institute
Moderator: Paul	<b>ssion: NIST Green Auditorium</b> Wagner, National Cancer Institute s and barriers (especially due to the lack of on of biomarkers.
9:00 – 9:35 AM	NIST: Standards, Standard Reference Materials (SRMs) and Healthcare <b>Willie May,</b> National Institute of Standards and Technology
9:35 – 10:10 AM	Clinical Applications of Biomarkers Lance Liotta, George Mason University

## Thursday August 18, 2005

10:10 – 10:30 AM	<b>Coffee and Posters</b> Presentations on the progress and pitfalls in development and validation of biomarkers, including priorities for assays, technologies and standards
10:30 – 11:00 AM	Serum/Plasma Proteomics for Clinical Applications in Early Cancer Detection <b>Gilbert Omenn</b> , University of Michigan
11:00 -11:30 AM	Epigenetics for Clinical Applications in Early Cancer Detection <b>Stephen Baylin,</b> Johns Hopkins University
11:30 –12:00 PM	Regulatory Aspects: What will FDA require for new biomarker and new diagnostics submissions? How will proteomic and epigenomic approaches compete against existing products? <b>Steve Gutman</b> , U. S. Food and Drug Administration
12:00 – 1:30 PM	Lunch Break, Posters and Exhibits NIST Poster Session: Proteomic Technologies and Data Analysis Steve Stein (MS and databases) David Bunk (chemistry tryptic analysis) Fred Schwarz (peptide standards) Mike Welch (preparation of SRMs) Walt Liggett (analysis of high complexity datasets)

## Concurrent Sessions: NIST Conference Rooms A and C Concurrent Session I: Proteomics (Conference Room A) Early Cancer Detection: Proteomics Session – 1 Chairman: Gilbert Omenn, University of Michigan

**Objectives:** 

- Discusscomponents of proteomics, such as sampling, processing and laboratory performance, peptide identification, and protein assignment that can be enhanced with standards based on current state of the technologies and experience with ABRF standards development process
- Discuss what is available; what has been the user's experience, what are the needs?
- Discuss standards needed for platform comparisons and cross validation methods and issues in the development of specimens (serum, plasma, lysate) standards

1:30 - 2:00 PM	Proteomic Technologies and the Need for Standards
	Philip Andrews, University of Michigan

2:00 – 3:00 PM	Prior and Ongoing Efforts for Developing Measurement Standards: Proteomics-based Technologies Alexey Nesvizhskii, Institute for Systems Biology Daniel Chan, Johns Hopkins University Bruce Haywood, BD Diagnostics
3:00 – 4:00 PM	Discussion and Recommendations Discussants: Mollie Ullman-Cullere, Harvard Partners David Bunk, National Institute of Standards and Technology
4:00 – 4:15 PM	Coffee Break
4:15 – 5:15 PM	Mass Spectrometry Chip Petricoin, George Mason University Liang Li, University of Alberta Cathy Costello, Boston University (tentative)
5:15 – 6:15 PM	Discussion and Recommendations
6:15 PM	Adjourn

Ear	<pre>irrent Session II: DNA Methylation (Conference Room C) Iy Cancer Detection: DNA-Methylation Session – 1 irman: Steve Belinsky, Lovelace Respiratory Institute</pre>
<ul> <li>Discuss se sampling, demands</li> <li>Discuss que examine to project</li> <li>Discuss du cells from</li> <li>Discuss cl</li> </ul>	ensitivity differences between regular and nested, MSP, stochastic challenges with sputum Provide overview of applications and for the assay uantitative assays measuring methylation in adjacent tissue to he field effect and relate back to original EDRN platform comparison ifferences in blood versus urine in screening, transitional epithelial the bladder versus free DNA from the body. hallenges for assessing methylation in stool and nipple aspirates, gies for MSP and effect on results.
1:30 – 2:00 PM	Requirements for Reliability, Reproducibility, Quantitation, Sensitivity, and Specificity for methylated DNA Biomarkers <b>Steve Belinsky</b> , Lovelace Respiratory Institute
2:00 - 3: 00 PM	EDRN Experience in Quantitative Measurements of Epigenetic Modification - Hyper and Hypomethylation in Cancer Detection and Diagnosis <b>Adi Gazdar</b> , UT Southwestern Medical Center <b>Paul Cairns</b> , Fox Chase Cancer Institute
3:00 – 4:00 PM	Standard Specimens for detection and analysis of methylated DNA in body fluids and exfoliated cells <b>James Herman</b> , Johns Hopkins University

4:00 – 4:15 PM

**Coffee Break** 

4:15 – 4:45 PM	Challenges and solutions in detection of epigenetic modification <b>Jean Pierre Issa</b> , M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
4:45 – 5:15 PM	High throughput platforms for detection of methylation in candidate genes <b>Pearlly Yan</b> , Ohio State University
5:15 – 5:35 PM	Address issue of LCMS versus standard bisulfite sequencing <b>Mathias Ehrich</b> , Sequenome
5:35 – 5:55 PM	Development of a validated clinical assay for methylation <b>Katja Bierau</b> , OncoMethylome Sciences SA
5:55 – 6:15 PM	Pyrosequencing <b>Rene L. Myers</b> , Biotage
6:15 PM	Adjourn

## Friday August 19, 2005

Clinical Reference	NIST Green Auditorium : Synergizing Standards with Clinical Application e Materials for Biomarker Discovery and Validation r: Jacob Kagan, National Cancer Institute
8:00 - 8:20 AM	Why Clinical Reference Materials <b>Ziding Feng</b> , Fred Hutchison Cancer Research Center
8:20 – 8:50 AM	Clinical Reference Materials: How would this vary with clinical questions? Lung cancer as an example <b>Bill Bigbee</b> , University of Pittsburgh
8:50 – 9:45 AM	<ul> <li>Panel Discussion on Clinical Reference Materials and Logistical Challenges</li> <li>Discussion leader: Bill Grizzle, University of Alabama</li> <li>Topics include:</li> <li>Will the same specimen collection serve needs of both DNA methylation and proteomics? What similarities should be designed into the standards for DNA methylation and for proteomics?</li> <li>Adhering to standards without compromising patient care; criteria for access to Reference Samples (preliminary data, epidemiological criteria, assay reproducibility, sensitivity and specificity); collection and storage issues; patient acceptance and IRB approval</li> <li>Panelists:</li> <li>Adi Gazdar, UT Southwestern Medical Center Steve Belinsky, Lovelace Respiratory Institute</li> </ul>

	Philip Andrews, University of Michigan
9:45 – 10:00 AM	Coffee, Posters, Move to Separate Discussion Rooms (Proteomics Lecture Room A; Methylation Lecture Room D)

Early Ca	nt Session I: Proteomics (Lecture Room A) ncer Detection: Proteomics Session – 2 n: Gilbert Omenn, University of Michigan
10:00 – 11:00 AM	Antibody and Protein Arrays Brain Haab, Van Andel Research Institute Arul Chinniayan, University of Michigan Richard Zangar, Pacific Northwest National Laboratories
11:00 – 11:30 AM	Discussion and Recommendations
	Discussant: <b>Michael Amos</b> , Advanced Technology Program, National Institute Standards and Technology
11:30 – 12:00 PM	Discussion and Recommendations for White Paper on Proteomics Standards
12:00 PM	Adjourn

	Session II: DNA Methylation (Lecture Room D) er Detection: DNA Methylation Session - 2
10:00 – 10:10 AM	Introduction Jacob Kagan, National Cancer Institute
10:10 – 11:45 AM	<ul> <li>Group Discussions</li> <li>Chairman: Steve Belinsky, Lovelace Respiratory Institute</li> <li>Discussion of each of the following topics will be lead by two of the invited speakers.</li> <li>Limitations of current technologies? Accuracy, sensitivity, reproducibility, high throughput, speed and cost</li> <li>What are the main problems for establishing standards for measuring methylated DNA markers for cancer detection and diagnosis? What does a quantitative MSP assay add to detection and diagnosis of disease?</li> <li>Is cross validation needed among platforms? Should the platform depend on the</li> </ul>

eptance? lards for Incer? High
2