Primer

Exploiting Thiol Modifications

Patricia J. Kiley*, Gisela Storz

 $\sum_{\text{oxygen (O}_2) \text{ serves a vital role}}^{\text{sthe premier biological}}$ electron acceptor, molecular in fundamental cellular functions, including the process of aerobic respiration. Nevertheless, with the beneficial properties of O_2 comes the inadvertent formation of reactive oxygen species, including superoxide (O_2^-) , hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , and hydroxyl radical $(\cdot OH)$; these differ from O_2 in having one, two, and three additional electrons, respectively (Figure 1). Cells also encounter elevated levels of these reactive oxygen

species when they are released by animals, plants, and insects as a defense against detrimental organisms such as microbial pathogens. Reactive oxygen species can damage cells in many ways: by inactivating proteins, damaging nucleic acids, and altering the fatty acids of lipids, which leads in turn to perturbations in membrane structure and function. The accumulation of this oxidative damage underlies the formation of many disease states in humans. It is postulated that tissue injury by these reactive oxygen species accumulates over a long period of time

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020400.g001

Figure 1. Formation of Reactive Oxygen Species

The four-electron reduction of molecular O_2 generates two molecules of H_2O , which is $O₂$ in its most reduced form. While this reduction normally occurs within the enzyme cytochrome oxidase, one-electron transfers to O_2 also occur outside of cytochrome oxidase via inadvertent reactions with other reduced electron carriers, resulting in partially reduced and reactive forms of O_2 . H_2O_2 is also produced by the enzymatic or spontaneous dismutation of O₂, and •OH is generated by the reaction of iron with $\rm{H_{2}O_{2}}$ (the Fenton reaction). In addition, the reactive oxygen intermediates are produced by a variety of organisms as a defense against microbial invasion. (Illustration: Rusty Howson, sososo design)

PLoS Biology | www.plosbiology.org 1714

and plays roles in the aging process and the development of heart disease, diabetes, chronic inflammatory diseases, cancer, and several neurodegenerative diseases (Halliwell 1999).

Many organisms have evolved strategies to remove reactive oxygen species and repair damage, which have enabled them to prosper from the tremendous oxidizing potential of O_2 without succumbing to oxidative damage. Bacteria, yeast, and mammalian cells all induce the synthesis of global regulatory responses to survive oxidative insults. The consequences of oxidative stress and the corresponding defense responses have been extensively studied in *Escherichia coli*. For ease of study in the laboratory, the stress responses are often provoked by the external addition of chemical oxidants that specifically elevate the levels of reactive oxygen species within cells, or by the use of mutant strains that disrupt the normal "homeostatic mechanisms" for removing reactive oxygen species or the damage they do. While this primer focuses on a particular set of protective and regulatory protein modifications induced by oxidative stress in *E. coli*, it should be noted that many of the same mechanisms are present in other organisms; some specific examples from other species will also be described.

The major target of O_2^- damage identified in bacteria is a class of

Citation: Kiley PJ, Storz G (2004) Exploiting thiol modifications. PLoS Biol 2(11): e400.

Copyright: © 2004 Kiley and Storz. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abbreviations: $H_2O_{2'}$ hydrogen peroxide; $O_{2'}$ molecular oxygen; O $_2^{\texttt{-}}$, superoxide; •OH, hydroxyl radical

Patricia J. Kiley is in the Department of Biomolecular Chemistry, University of Wisconsin Medical School in Madison, Wisconsin, United States of America. Gisela Storz is in the Cell Biology and Metabolism Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States of America.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: pjkiley@wiscmail.wisc.edu

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020400

November 2004 | Volume 2 | Issue 11 | e400

dehydratase enzymes that utilize [4Fe– 4S] clusters to bind their substrate (Imlay 2003; Djaman et al. 2004). Since some of these enzymes function in the citric acid cycle (also called the Krebs cycle) and in amino acid biosynthesis, high levels of O_2^- lead to a requirement for certain amino acids in growth media (Imlay and Fridovich 1991). H_2O_2 is well known for its role in oxidizing thiol (SH) groups of cysteinyl amino acid residues in proteins. Elevated levels of $\rm{H}_{2}\rm{O}_{2}$ also are associated with the oxidation of other amino acids, leading to the formation of methionine sulfoxide and a variety of carbonyls. Lastly, because of its extreme reactivity, •OH targets all of the major macromolecules of cells: RNA, DNA, protein, and lipids. The extent to which membrane lipids are targets appears to depend on the presence of polyunsaturated fatty acids in lipids, which are not as prevalent in bacteria as they are in mammals.

Many enzymes that protect against oxidative damage have been identified in *E. coli* (Imlay 2002, 2003). Three superoxide dismutases, each of which contain a different metal center and show different expression patterns and subcellular localization, catalyze the dismutation of O_2^- to H_2O_2 . While the superoxide dismutases eliminate O_2^- , they also are a source of endogenously produced H_2O_2 in *E. coli*. The major enzymes involved in reducing $\rm{H}_{2}\rm{O}_{2}$ to H_2O and O_2 in *E. coli* are catalase and alkyl hydroperoxide reductase. There is no enzymatic mechanism for decreasing levels of •OH, produced from H_2O_2 . Thus, levels of \cdot OH will be directly proportional to levels of H_2O_2 , and accordingly, catalase and alkyl hydroperoxide reductase activities are critical to oxidative stress survival.

Another component to the oxidative stress response is the reduction of oxidized thiols that arises through one of the mechanisms described below. The tripeptide glutathione and the thiol reductants glutaredoxin and thioredoxin are key to the restoration of thiols to their reduced state (SH) (Fernandes and Holmgren 2004). *E. coli* contains three glutaredoxins that utilize the reducing power of glutathione to catalyze the reduction of disulfide bonds (–S–S–) in the presence of NADPH and glutathione reductase. There are two thioredoxins in *E. coli* that also function to reduce disulfide bonds.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020400.g002

Figure 2. Thiol Modifications of Proteins

Formation of sulfenic acid from the reaction of H_2O_2 with protein thiolates leads to different protein modifications, depending on the protein. In proteins without a second sulfhydryl, the sulfenic acid $(-SOH)$ may be stabilized $(e.g., OhrR)$ or may react with reactive oxygen species to generate the further oxidized sulfinic (-SO₂H) (e.g., thiolperoxidase; Tpx) and sulfonic acid $(-SO_3H)$ derivatives. Alternatively, if a second cysteinyl residue is in proximity within the same polypeptide (e.g., OxyR) or an associated protein (e.g., Yap1 and Orp1), a disulfide bond can form between the two sulfur atoms (–S–S–). Lastly, the sulfenated cysteinyl residue can react with glutathione (GSH), leading to a mixed disulfide (e.g., MetE). (Illustration: Rusty Howson, sososo design)

Reduced thioredoxin is regenerated by thioredoxin reductase and NADPH. The fact that NADPH is required to maintain the reduced state of glutathione and thioredoxin indicates that the response to oxidative stress is coupled to the physiological status of core pathways that generate NADPH.

Regulatory Roles of Thiol Modifi cations

As mentioned above, proteins—in particular, the thiols of cysteines—are the major targets of H_2O_2 . The reaction of cysteinyl thiolates with $\rm{H}_{2}\rm{O}_{2}$ can lead to the formation of different modifications, such as sulfenic acid (-SOH), sulfinic acid (-SO₂H), and sulfonic acid (–SO3H), as well as disulfide bond formation (-S-S-) and glutathione conjugation (–S–GSH) (Jacob et al. 2004; Poole et al. 2004) $(Figure 2)$. These modifications often alter the structure and function of the protein. Recent progress in this field points to a common chemistry in the reaction of $\rm H_2O_2$ with thiolates through the initial formation of sulfenic acid. In the case of proteins that have a nearby cysteinyl residue, a disulfide bond forms between the two sulfur atoms. The sulfenated cysteinyl residue also can react with a cysteinyl residue on another protein or with glutathione, leading to a mixed disulfide. If

no cysteinyl residue is nearby, the sulfenated cysteine can be further oxidized to sulfinic or sulfonic acid, or it can remain in the sulfenic acid state. All but the sulfinic and sulfonic acid modifications are readily reversible by reduction, using proteins such as thioredoxin or glutaredoxin; though sulfinic acid reductase activities have recently been identified in yeast and mammalian cells (denoted sulfiredoxin and sestrin, respectively) (Biteau et al. 2003; Budanov et al. 2004).

Given the reversible nature of most forms of thiol oxidation, it has been suggested that thiol modifications can play roles in signal transduction that are similar to protein phosphorylation/ dephosphorylation (Sitia and Molteni 2004). In support of this model, there are several examples of proteins whose activities are modulated by thiol oxidation and reduction.

The first of these examples is the OxyR transcription factor, which upregulates peroxide defenses in *E. coli* and a variety of other bacteria. OxyR contains two critical cysteines that are oxidized to form an intramolecular disulfide bond when cells encounter peroxide stress (Zheng et al. 1998; Aslund et al. 1999). Disulfide bond formation is associated with a conformational change that alters OxyR binding to DNA and allows the

protein to activate the transcription of genes encoding enzymes, such as catalase and the alkylhydroperoxide reductase, that destroy H_2O_2 . Once the H_2O_2 concentration is decreased, OxyR is reduced and the system is reset. The unusually reactive cysteine in OxyR that is oxidized by $\rm{H_{2}O_{2}}$ to form the sulfenic acid intermediate can clearly be nitrosylated and glutathionylated in vitro (Hausladen et al. 1996; Kim et al. 2002), but the in vivo relevance of these other modifications is questionable (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2004).

Two other examples of redoxregulated proteins are the *E. coli* chaperone protein Hsp33 (Jakob et al. 2000) and the *Streptomyces coelicolor* anti-sigma factor, RsrA (Li et al. 2003; Paget and Buttner 2003; Bae et al. 2004). For these proteins, the cysteine residues, which form intramolecular disulfide bonds, are in a reduced state when coordinated to a zinc ion (Zn^{2+}) , and zinc is released upon oxidation of the thiols. For both proteins, oxidation and zinc release are associated with an opening of the protein structure. For Hsp33, this structural change allows for dimerization and activates its chaperone activity (Graf et al. 2004). RsrA, on the other hand, dissociates from a promoter specificity factor of RNA polymerase (an extracytoplasmicfunction-type alternative sigma factor) allowing the transcription of genes that permit recovery from the stress (Li et al. 2003; Bae et al. 2004). Among the target gene products is a thioredoxin, which reduces the disulfide bonds that form within oxidized RsrA. Presumably, reduction of the disulfide restores the binding of zinc and its inhibitory association with the sigma factor. Thus, the RsrA regulatory circuit provides another example, comparable to OxyR, in which the modification of a regulatory protein thiol group can be linked to a change in the transcriptional output of genes that remediate stress.

The peroxide-sensing repressor OhrR from *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *phaseoli* (Panmanee et al. 2002) and *Bacillus subtilus* (Fuangthong and Helmann 2002) can be inactivated by H_2O_2 or by organic peroxides (ROOH) formed by the oxidation of a variety of organic molecules in the cell or in the environment. The *B. subtilis* OhrR transcription regulator contains only a single cysteine that forms a relatively

stable sulfenic acid upon its reaction with H_2O_2 or organic peroxides (Fuangthong and Helmann 2002). Oxidation of the single cysteine leads to the dissociation of OhrR from its DNA binding site and the derepression of the gene encoding an organic hydroperoxidase that eliminates the initial oxidizing insult.

In this issue, Hondorp and Matthews (2004) provide an example of a thiol modification that protects an enzyme activity during oxidative stress. Their data suggest that when cells encounter oxidative stress, a key cysteinyl residue near the active site of methionine synthase (MetE) is glutathionylated. This modification blocks access of the substrate and prevents further synthesis of methionine. This finding is significant in that it presents a mechanism to reversibly preserve the function of a protein during oxidative challenge. By glutathionylating a single cysteinyl residue, the protein is protected from further oxidation of that cysteinyl residue to the irreversible sulfinic and sulfonic acid forms. Once the stress is removed, the mixed disulfide bond will be readily reduced, and access to the substrate restored.

Prevalence of Regulatory Thiol Modifi cations?

As illustrated by the examples above, an array of chemical modifications obtained by oxidizing cysteinyl residues has been exploited in combating oxidative stress. Yet it is important to note that not all cysteinyl residues of proteins are readily oxidized by oxidants such as H_2O_2 . We do not currently understand all of the features that determine the reactivity of a particular thiol to H_2O_2 (Poole et al. 2004). The pKa of the thiolates clearly plays an important role, as thiolates are more reactive than their protonated counterparts. In addition, the contribution of protein environment to the stability of the oxidized products is also known to be a factor, but is not well understood. Given that many of the thiol modifications do not appear to be in equilibrium with the redox state of the cell, the features of the protein that determine the rate at which the modifications are formed are another important parameter.

The added complexity of the cysteine targets that compose part of a Zn binding site found for Hsp33 and RsrA

raises questions about the function of the zinc. Perhaps Zn binding provides some additional control over the reactivity of the cysteine thiols, or perhaps the loss of the zinc facilitates conformational changes. Recently, the oxidative, stress-induced thioredoxin-2 from *E. coli* has also been shown to contain a $\rm H_2O_2$ labile zinc site, although the loss of zinc does not change its reductase activity (Collet et al. 2003). Thus, the way this oxidatively labile Zn site affects thioredoxin function has yet to be established.

The extent of thiol oxidation within the cell remains another open question. The variety of modifications that arise from treatment with $\rm{H}_{2}\rm{O}_{2}$ and the experimental challenges associated with their detection has made it difficult to catalog all the proteins that are modified and all the types of modifications that exist. In this issue, Leichert and Jakob (2004) report a general method for detecting cellular proteins whose cysteinyl residues were modified after imposing an oxidative stress. Such an approach will greatly enhance our understanding of targets of oxidative stress. The method described by Leichert and Jakob also will be useful in detecting transient cysteine modifications.

The importance of monitoring transient changes in cysteines is highlighted by the recent finding that oxidation of the Yap1 activator of antioxidant genes in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* requires a peroxidase denoted Gpx3 or Orp1 (Delaunay et al. 2002). In this case, H_2O_2 reacts with a cysteine in Orp1, forming an unstable sulfenic acid intermediate that then reacts with a cysteinyl residue of Yap1 to form an intermolecular disulfide. The disulfide undergoes an exchange with a second cysteine within Yap1 to form an intramolecular disulfide that locks Yap1 in a confirmation that masks the nuclear export signal (Wood et al. 2004). Thus, methods that allow the appearance of thiol modifications in cells to be monitored kinetically will greatly enhance our understanding of how cysteine residues become oxidized.

The examples mentioned here illustrate the versatile potential of thiol modifications. Given the reversibility of thiol oxidations and the wide range of structural constraints that can be imposed by the formation of a

sulfenic or sulfinic acid or a disulfide bond, we predict there will be many more examples of regulation by thiol modification. \blacksquare

References

- Åslund F, Zheng M, Beckwith J, Storz G (1999) Regulation of the OxyR transcription factor by hydrogen peroxide and the cellular thioldisulfide status. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96: 6161–6165.
- Bae JB, Park JH, Hahn MY, Kim MS, Roe JH (2004) Redox-dependent changes in RsrA, an anti-sigma factor in *Streptomyces coelicolor*: Zinc release and disulfide bond formation. J Mol Biol 335: 425–435.
- Biteau B, Labarre J, Toledano MB (2003) ATPdependent reduction of cysteine-sulphinic acid by *S. cerevisiae* sulphiredoxin. Nature 425: 980–984.
- Budanov AV, Sablina AA, Feinstein E, Koonin EV, Chumakov PM (2004) Regeneration of peroxiredoxins by p53-regulated sestrins, homologs of bacterial AhpD. Science 304: 596–600.
- Collet JF, D'Souza JC, Jakob U, Bardwell JC (2003) Thioredoxin 2, an oxidative stress-induced protein, contains a high affinity zinc binding site. J Biol Chem 278: 45325–45332.
- Delaunay A, Pflieger D, Barrault MB, Vinh J, Toledano MB (2002) A thiol peroxidase is an $\rm H_2O_2$ receptor and redox-transducer in gene activation. Cell 111: 471–481.
- Djaman O, Outten FW, Imlay JA (2004) Repair of oxidized iron-sulfur clusters in *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem. Available: http:⁄⁄www.jbc.org/ cgi/reprint/M406487200v1 via the Internet. Accessed 24 September 2004.
- Fernandes AP, Holmgren A (2004) Glutaredoxins: Glutathione-dependent redox enzymes with functions far beyond a simple thioredoxin backup system. Antioxid Redox Signal 6: 63–74.
- Fuangthong M, Helmann JD (2002) The OhrR repressor senses organic hydroperoxides by reversible formation of a cysteine-sulfenic acid derivative. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 6690–6695.
- Graf PC, Martinez-Yamout M, VanHaerents S, Lilie H, Dyson HJ, et al. (2004) Activation of the redox-regulated chaperone Hsp33 by domain unfolding. J Biol Chem 279: 20529–20538.
- Halliwell B, Gutteridge JC (1999) Free radicals in biology and medicine. New York: Oxford University Press. 968 p.
- Hausladen A, Privalle CT, Keng T, DeAngelo J, Stamler JS (1996) Nitrosative stress: Activation of the transcription factor OxyR. Cell 86: 719–729.
- Hondorp ER, Matthews RG (2004) Oxidative stress inactivates cobalamin-independent methionine synthase (MetE) in *Escherichia coli*. PLoS Biol 2(11): e336.
- Imlay JA (2002) How oxygen damages microbes: Oxygen tolerance and obligate anaerobiosis. Adv Microb Physiol 46: 111–153.
- Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395–418.
- Imlay JA, Fridovich I (1991) Isolation and genetic analysis of a mutation that suppresses the auxotrophies of superoxide dismutase-deficient *Escherichia coli* K12. Mol Gen Genet 228: 410–416.
- Jacob C, Holme AL, Fry FH (2004) The sulfinic acid switch in proteins. Org Biomol Chem 2: 1953–1956.
- Jakob U, Eser M, Bardwell JC (2000) Redox switch of hsp33 has a novel zinc-binding motif. J Biol Chem 275: 38302–38310.

Open access, freely available online

- Kim SO, Merchant K, Nudelman R, Beyer WF Jr, Keng T, et al. (2002) OxyR: A molecular code for redox-related signaling. Cell 109: 383–396.
- Leichert LI, Jakob U (2004) Protein thiol modifications visualized in vivo. PLoS Biol 2(11): e333.
- Li W, Bottrill AR, Bibb MJ, Buttner MJ, Paget MS, et al. (2003) The role of zinc in the disulphide stress-regulated anti-sigma factor RsrA from *Streptomyces coelicolor*. J Mol Biol 333: 461–472.
- Mukhopadhyay P, Zheng M, Bedzyk LA, LaRossa RA, Storz G (2004) Prominent roles of the NorR and Fur regulators in the *Escherichia coli* transcriptional response to reactive nitrogen species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 745– 750.
- Paget MS, Buttner MJ (2003) Thiol-based regulatory switches. Annu Rev Genet 37: 91–121.
- Panmanee W, Vattanaviboon P, Eiamphungporn W, Whangsuk W, Sallabhan R, et al. (2002) OhrR, a transcription repressor that senses and responds to changes in organic peroxide levels in *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *phaseoli*. Mol Microbiol 45: 1647–1654.
- Poole LB, Karplus PA, Claiborne A (2004) Protein sulfenic acids in redox signaling. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 44: 325–347.
- Sitia R, Molteni SN (2004) Stress, protein (mis)folding, and signaling: The redox connection. Sci STKE 2004: pe27.
- Wood MJ, Storz G, Tjandra N (2004) Structural basis for redox regulation of Yap1 transcription factor localization. Nature 430: 917–921.
- Zheng M, Aslund F, Storz G (1998) Activation of the OxyR transcription factor by reversible disulfide bond formation. Science 279: 1718-1721.

 $\hat{P}(\hat{\mathcal{D}})$. PLoS Biology | www.plosbiology.org \hat{P}