MR WORKSHOP ON TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH IN CANCER – TUMOR RESPONSE Hyatt Regency & Bethesda, MD November 22-23, 2004

Sponsored by: Cancer Imaging Program, National Cancer Institute (NCI)

Organizing Committee: Michael Garwood (University of Minnesota) Jeffrey Evelhoch (Amgen, Inc.) Michael Knopp (Ohio State University) Daniel Vigneron (University of California, San Francisco) Guoying Liu (Cancer Imaging Program, NCI) Anne Menkens (Cancer Imaging Program, NCI) Laurence Clarke (Cancer Imaging Program, NCI) Daniel Sullivan (Cancer Imaging Program, NCI)

November 22, 2004 - Morning		
Time	Topics / Sessions	Chairs/Speaker(s)
7:30 - 7:45	RegistrationSessions in Haverford-Baccarat Rooms	
7:45 - 8:00	Introduction:	Daniel Sullivan (Cancer Imaging Program, NCI)
8:00 - 12:00 Session I: MR in Clinical Assessment of Anti-Tumor		Co-Chairs: Jeffrey Evelhoch (Amgen, Inc.), Michael
Therapies		Garwood (University of Minnesota)
	Overview/Status Check: What's Been Done, and Where Are We Now? How and Where Do the MR Methods Fit in Anticancer Drug Assessment, or Guiding/Monitoring Radiation Therapies?	
8:00 - 9:40 8:00 - 8:20	1. Perspectives • Oncologist's Perspective: DCE-MRI for Assessing Cancer Therapeutic Response	Patricia LoRusso (Wayne State University)

8:20 - 8:40	 Oncologist's Perspective: MRS for Assessing Cancer Therapeutic 	Douglas Yee (University of Minnesota)
	Response	
8:40 - 9:00	• Pharma Perspective: How do Pharmaceutical Industries see the Use of MR as a Biomarker for Oncologic Drug Development	Susan Galbraith (Bristol-Myers Squibb Company)
9:00 - 9:20	• FDA Perspective:	Jerry Collins (FDA)
9:20 - 9:40	• CTEP Perspective: Summary of CTEP Oncologic Trials Where MR Is	Jeffrey Abrams (Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program, NCI)
	Involved; What Does CTEP Expect of MRI and MRS?	
9:40 - 9:55 B	reak	
9:55 - 11:15	2. DCE-MRI	
9:55 - 10:15	• Overview	Jeffrey Evelhoch (Amgen, Inc.)
10:15 - 10:35	 DCE-MRI in Tumor Treatment Response 	Michael Knopp (Ohio State University)
10:35 - 10:55	 DCE-MRI in Clinical Trials - the CRUK Experience 	Martin Leach (Cancer Research UK and University of
		London)
10:55 - 11:15	 DCE-MRI in Monitoring Response to Radiation Therapy 	Nina Mayr (Ohio State University)
11:15 - 12:15	3. MRS	
11:15 - 11:35	Overview	Michael Garwood (University of Minnesota)
11:35 - 11:55	 MRS in Monitoring Drug Therapy 	Jason Koutcher (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center)
11:55 - 12:15	 MRS in Guiding/Monitoring Therapy Response to Radiation 	Daniel Vigneron (University of California, San Francisco)
12:15 - 1:30 L	Lunch (on your own)	

Time	Topics / Sessions	Chairs/Speaker(s)
	<i>ession II:</i> Panel Discussion: in Haverford-Baccarat Rooms	Co-Chairs: Jeffrey Evelhoch (Amgen, Inc.), Michael Garwoo (University of Minnesota)
	Design of Multicenter Clinical Trials-Technical and Implementation Issues; Development of a Multicenter Clinical Trial Protocol; Consensus	
1:30 - 1:45	1. Status Summary	Jeffrey Evelhoch and Michael Garwood
1:45 - 3:15	2. DCE-MRI and MRSClinical Issues Panelists: Daniel Vigneron, Michael Knopp, Nina Mayr, Susan Galbraith, Patricia Lorusso, Jeffrey Abrams, Gary Kelloff (NCI), Jason Koutcher, Douglas Yee, Jerry Collins, Gregory Curt (AstraZeneca), Antonio Wolff (Johns Hopkins University)	Moderators: Jeffrey Evelhoch and Michael Garwood
1:45 - 2:00	 Imaging as biomarkers for predicting early response, recurrence and survival outcomes - ACRIN Experiences Topics for discussion: How do we validate DCE-MRI and MRS? What should a uniformly accepted validation study look like for DCE-MRI? For MRS? What should be in there? What are the hurdles affecting implementation in clinical trials? Use of MR for patient selection in therapeutic trials Database design, development, benchmark modeling, and data processing methods 	Daniel Vigneron/John Kurhanewicz (University of California, S Francisco)
3:15 - 3:30 B	reak	

DCE-MRI Panel: in Haverford-Baccarat Rooms

Panelists: Michael Knopp, Thomas L. Chenevert (U. of Michigan), Peter Choyke Chair: Jeffrey Evelhoch (NCI), Edward ackson (UT M.D. Anderson), Robert Gillies (U. of Arizona),
Martin Leach, Anwar Padhani (Mount Vernon Hospital, London), Mark Rosen (U. Penn.), Gregory Sorensen (Harvard Medical School), Charles S. Springer (Oregon Health and Science University), Patricia Cole (Novartis), Michael Tweedle (Bracco), Adrian Knowles (GE), Milind Dhamankar (Siemens)

MRS Panel: in Waterford-Lalique Rooms

Panelists: Daniel Vigneron, John Griffiths (Cancer Research UK), MichaelChair: Michael GarwoodJacobs (Johns Hopkins U.), John Kurhanewicz (UC San Francisco), LesterKwock (U. of North Carolina), Sarah Nelson (UC San Francisco), DouglasKelley (GE), Peter Martin (Philips), Teresa McShane (Pfizer), Stefan Roell(Siemens)

• Topics for discussion in breakout session:

Examine technical issues

What needs to be done to meet clinical requirements for multi-site studies?

Is there consensus on the maturity of the methods?

Developing and improving methods, data analysis, algorithms, field strength,

coils, gradients, standardization and consensus

Гіте	Topics / Sessions	Chairs/Speaker(s)
8:00 - 8:35	Overview: Diffusion MRI in the Assessment of Cancer Therapeutic	
	Response	
8:00 - 8:20	Overview of Diffusion MRI	Thomas Chenevert (University of Michigan)
8:20 - 8:35	Discussion	
8:35 - 10:15	Device and Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Panel Discussion	
	Panelists: Douglas Kelley (GE), Stefan Roell (Siemens), Adrian Knowles (GE), Peter Martin (Philips), Milind Dhamankar(Siemens), Patricia Cole (Novartis), Michael Tweedle (Bracco), Gregory Curt (AstraZeneca), Teresa McShane (Pfizer), Donald Williams (Merck)	Moderator: Michael Knopp
8:35 - 8:50	Public-Private Partnerships	Laurence Clarke (Cancer Imaging Program, NCI)
	• Topics for discussion:	
	 How do you manage upgrades without impacting protocols? How can we achieve support for multi-vendor standardized MR sequences? How to enable sharing/use of "research" sequences within clinical trials and globally? What are the differences in the vendor environment between "Investigator initiated Trials" and "Pharma sponsored trials"? - "technical," as well as vendor specific "legal" policies - Standardized acquisition protocol such as push-button T1-Mapping What is the vendor's vision/strategy to facilitate post acquisition analysis of A), Imaging; B), Spectroscopy? 	

10:30 - 12:00 Session II Continued: DCE-MRI and MRS breakout sessions reconvene

• To Finalize the Demonstration Protocol and Consensus Report

12:00 - 1:15 Lunch (on your own)

1:15 - 4:00 Session III: Summary Discussion - Looking Forward -Prospects of MR in the Assessment of Cancer Therapeutic Response

1.Presentation of DCE-MRI Consensus Report

2.Presentation of MRS Consensus Report

Jeffrey Evelhoch Michael Garwood

3.Group Discussion on the Consensus Reports

4 Response and Comments From:

Workshop Organizers, Oncologists, CTEP, Pharma (What opportunities do they see for supporting the development and application of MR-Biomarkers for Oncologic Drug Development?), Device Companies, ACRIN, FDA

Summary and Closing Remarks: Workshop Adjourns Jeffrey Evelhoch and Michael Garwood