COST REVIEW TEMPLATE AND GUIDANCE FOR PROJECT OFFICERS **GRANTS UNDER 40 CFR Part 35 Subpart A**

(Electronically attach the completed form to the Funding Recommendation in IGMS, then print and retain a copy in the program grant file) You may include comments at the end of each section as necessary.

Application/Grant Number: Applicant: Project Officer:		
Application is for:	□ new funding	□ supplemental funding

For purposes of this cost review:

- "Current Application Request" refers to the requested amount of the current application.
- "Previous Application Request" refers to the requested amount of the previous year's application.

If the application is for a PPG, does the request include	YES	NO	N/A
the same programs as the current award?			
If NO, identify the difference in programs included			
(additions or deletions).	A		
Is the applicant designated as "high-risk?"	YES N	IO	
IF YES, the applicant must submit and EPA will evaluate requested personnel and travel costs in the same manner it			
reviews personnel and travel requests under project grants (see GPI-00-05 for current cost review guidance).			

Budget Category Guidance: Personnel	Cost Review Detail: Personnel
If the applicant has a personnel management system with adequate internal controls, EPA will base its review of requested personnel costs on the previous application	A. Is the applicant proposing personnel costs as direct costs under the award? Yes No If NO, go to TRAVEL.
request. Costs within 10% of the previous application request will be deemed necessary and reasonable.	Amount
Applicants must explain variations greater than 10% in	Current Application Request
their narrative, or in a separate, written format. POs should	Previous Application Request
provide notations as to where the applicant's explanation may be found.	Percentage Change in Requests
The applicant should provide "the estimated work years and funding amounts for each workplan component." (40 CFR 35.107(b)(2)(ii)). These categories should conform to the applicant's personnel management and budgeting requirements and include only those costs paid through the applicant's payroll systems. Project Officers should also determine whether changes in the number of FTEs requested are appropriate and necessary to complete the application's scope of work.	B. Is the amount within the 10% limit? Yes No C. If NO, did the applicant provide an acceptable explanation for the change? Yes No N/A C.1 If YES, where is the explanation located?

Budget Category Guidance: Travel	Cost Review Detail: Travel
If the applicant has a travel management system with adequate internal controls, EPA will base its review of requested travel costs on the previous application request.	A. Is the applicant proposing travel as a direct cost under the award? Yes No <i>If NO, go to EQUIPMENT.</i>
Costs within 10% of the previous application request will be deemed necessary and reasonable.	Current Application Request Amount
The applicant's narrative should include a brief description of the purpose and types of travel. For example, travel is for inspections, conference and meeting attendance. This description should conform to the applicant's travel management and budgeting requirements. Foreign travel approvals are part of the Funding Recommendation and Grant Specialist Checklists and must be listed separately and be clearly identified.	Previous Application Request Percentage Change in Requests B. Is the amount within the 10% limit? Yes No C. If NO, did the applicant provide an acceptable explanation for the change? Yes No N/A C.1 If YES, where is explanation located?
Applicants must explain variations greater than 10% in their narrative, or in a separate, written format. POs should provide notations as to where the applicant's explanation may be found.	

Budget Category Guidance: Equipment	Cost Review Detail: Equipment
This category includes only equipment proposed to be	A. Is the applicant proposing the purchase of equipment as a direct cost under the award?
purchased as a direct cost of the award. Equipment is	Yes No If NO, go to SUPPLIES.
defined as tangible, non-expendable, personal property	
having a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition	B. Are the proposed costs necessary and reasonable to carry out the applicant's proposed scope
cost of \$5,000 or more per unit although a lower dollar	of work? Yes No
amount threshold that applies to both its federal and non-	
federal activities can be established by the applicant.	C. Are the costs allowable under applicable program guidance, statute, and regulation?
Equipment also includes accessories necessary to make the	Yes No
equipment operational.	
	D. If a non-State applicant, are sole-source procurements indicated?
This category does not include (1) equipment planned to be	Yes No N/A
leased/rented, including lease/purchase agreements, or	
(2) equipment service or maintenance contracts. These	D.1 If YES, have you informed the applicant of sole-source and cost-price analysis
types of proposed expenditures should be included in the	requirements?
"OTHER" category.	Yes No N/A
For non-State applicants (e.g., local air districts), if a	
planned procurement will be sole source, a justification and	
a cost-price analysis must be included in the applicant's	
files.	
POs also should make a recommendation as to the final	
	\wedge
disposition of equipment, which will be included as a term and condition in the award document.	
Budget Category Guidance: Supplies	Cost Review Detail: Supplies
Supplies are tangible personal property other than	A. Is the applicant proposing supplies as a direct cost under the award?
equipment. This distinction is based on the anticipated	Yes No If NO, go to CONTRACTUAL.
acquisition cost.	" ITO, go to doit indicate.
acquisition cost.	B. Are the proposed costs necessary and reasonable to carry out the applicant's proposed scope of
	work? Yes No
Applicants must identify general materials and supplies to be	
purchased for use under the award and provide information	C. Are the costs allowable under applicable program guidance, statute, and regulation?
on the estimated aggregate costs of each category or type,	Yes No
e.g., office supplies, laboratory supplies, etc. Any single	
item valued at \$5,000 or more in this category should be	
moved to the "EQUIPMENT" category. Services associated	
with supplies, such as printing services or photocopy	
services, and rental costs should be budgeted under the	
"OTHER" category.	

Budget Category Guidance: Contractual	Cost Review Detail: Contractual
Contractual or consultant services are those services to be carried out by a non-Federal party, whether an individual or	A. Is the applicant proposing to acquire contractual/consultant services as a direct cost under the
organization, other than the recipient or its employees, in the form of a procurement relationship. A direct procurement	award? Yes No If NO, go to CONSTRUCTION.
relationship is characterized by provision of goods and services routinely offered in the marketplace and that are necessary to complete the proposed scope of work. Leased	B. Are the proposed costs necessary and reasonable to carry out the applicant's proposed scope of work? Yes No
or rented goods (equipment or supplies) and sub-awards should be addressed in the "OTHER" category.	C. Are the costs allowable under applicable program guidance, statute, and regulation? Yes No
The applicant should describe the contracts, including the scope of work or services to be provided, including proposed duration, and proposed procurement method (competitive or	D. If a non-state applicant, are sole-source procurements indicated? Yes No N/A
non-competitive (sole-source)), if known.	D.1 If YES, have you informed the applicant of sole-source and cost-price analysis requirements?
As provided in 40 CFR 31.36(a), for procurements under EPA grants, States will follow the same policies and procedures they use for procurements using non-Federal funds.	Yes No N/A
For non-State applicants (e.g., local air districts), if a planned procurement will be sole source, a justification and a cost-price analysis must be included in the applicant's files.	
Parlant Ortonomo Oridono Oranto di una	Cost Review Detail: Construction
Rudget Category Guidance: Construction	CUSE NEVIEW DEMII. CUITSHUCHUH

Budget Category Guidance: Construction	Cost Review Detail: Construction
Typically not included in program awards.	Is the applicant proposing construction costs as a direct cost under the award?
However, for awards where construction is directly contracted for by the applicant as part of a demonstration project or using Clean Water Act Section 319 funds, the costs should be included under the "CONTRACTUAL" category.	Yes No If YES, costs must be categorized in the CONTRACTUAL category.

Budget Category Guidance: Other	Cost Review Detail: Other
This category should include only those types of direct costs that do not fit in any of the other budget categories. Examples of typical costs that may be in this category are: • insurance and indemnification	A. Is the applicant proposing any other types of costs as direct costs under the award? Yes No If NO, go to QUESTIONED COSTS.
 rental of space, equipment, or supplies printing publication postage utilities telephone 	B. Are the proposed costs necessary and reasonable to carry out the applicant's proposed scope of work? Yes No C. Are the costs allowable under applicable program guidance, statute, and regulations? Yes No
This category should include only the costs which are not categorized elsewhere, including any potential subaward work.	D. Does this award include any subaward work? Yes No If NO, go to QUESTIONED COSTS. D.1 Are there any programmatic eligibility restrictions on who may perform proposed subaward work? Yes No
Subawards are a distinct type of cost under this category. The term "subaward" means an award of financial assistance (money or property) by any legal agreement made by the recipient to an eligible subrecipient. This term does not include procurement relationships, technical assistance in the form of services instead of money, or other assistance in the form of revenue sharing, loans, loan guarantees, interest subsidies, insurance, or direct appropriations. Applicants should provide the aggregate amount they propose to issue as subaward work and a description of the types of activities to be supported.	D.2 Is the proposed subaward work for authorized assistance purposes (i.e., not to acquire goods or services for use by the applicant)? Yes No D.3 Is the proposed subaward work necessary to meet the objectives of the application? Yes No D.4 Has the recipient been notified of the national term and condition for subawards (including the requirement to obtain EPA's consent before making a subaward to a foreign or international organization, or any entity performing subaward work in a foreign country)? Yes No
Questioned Costs	Questioned Costs
	Did the cost review analysis result in questioned costs that required the applicant to submit a revised budget sheet? Yes No If "Yes," please provide a brief narrative on the questioned costs and the resolution of the questioned costs.
	s conducted in accordance with cost review principles set forth in applicable EPA cost review guidance. ofessional judgment of the undersigned reviewer after analysis of the grant application, programmatic
Project Officer Name	Project Officer Signature Date