

Securing an NIH Grant

Amy Lazarus Yaroch, Ph.D. Program Director/Behavioral Scientist Health Promotion Research Branch National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health

> HINTS Conference May 5, 2007







DCCPS ORGANIZATION CHART





Program Directors are your "Friends"

Your PD's are there to answer questions:

- Identify resources to locate funding opportunities
- Direct investigators to grant mechanisms that match the goal or intent of their projects and experience
- ► Identify gaps in scientific knowledge
- Have knowledge of NCI grant portfolio
- Attend Study Section reviews (can follow-up after review of grant)







Division of Cancer Control

& Population Sciences

NIH Grants Administrators

NIH Scientific Review Administrator (SRA) Decides which Study Section will review grant application Assigns reviewers Organizes and conducts fair and impartial review Generates summary statement









NCI Grants Administrators

NCI Office of Grants Administration (OGA) Sends "Just-In-Time" letters Reviews and administers budget Tracks Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval and institution "assurance" Reviews percentages of effort and overlapping of support Issues Notice of Grant Award





Division of Cancer Control

& Population Sciences

NIH Center for Scientific Review Process











- NIH <u>http://www.nih.gov</u>
- Computer Retrieval of Information on Scientific Projects (CRISP) <u>http://crisp.cit.nih.gov</u>
- NIH Guide to Grants and Contracts
- Check current policies
- Identify funding mechanism



NCI Grants Process Book

Access the booklet: <u>www3.cancer.gov/admin/gab</u>

Caution! The booklet does not address NIH's transition to mandatory use of the new SF424 Research and Related (R&R) application and electronic transmission of submissions via *Grants.gov.* Learn more: <u>era.nih.gov/ElectronicReceipt/</u>





Types of Funding Opportunity Announcements (FOA)

- Program Announcement (PA): Statement of ongoing research interest by Institute/Center
 No set-aside monies (usually)
 Investigator-initiated awards are now in response to a parent PA
 - PA-07-070--Parent R01:

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PA-07-070.html

Request for Applications (RFA): Special research initiative

Set-aside monies and specially assembled review group





Types of Grant Mechanisms

- R03 Small Research Grants
- R21 Exploratory/Developmental Grants
- R01 Research Projects
- R13 Conference Grants
- ► P01 Research Program Projects
- **K-Awards NCI Training Awards**

http://deainfo.nci.nih.gov/flash/awards.htm







R03: Small Research Grants

- Provide short-term awards for testing new techniques, secondary analyses of existing data, and development of innovative projects that could provide a basis for more extended research
- Characteristics:
 - \$50K per year, 2-year maximum (nonrenewable)
 - 3 submissions—initial and 2 amended
 - Special NCI review committee





R21: Exploratory/Developmental Grants

 Support development of pilot projects, feasibility studies, and intervention studies that are creative, novel, high-risk/highpayoff, and produce innovative advances
 Characteristics:

Up to \$275K/year for 2 years (nonrenewable)
3 submissions—initial and 2 amended
Only in response to Program Announcement





R01: Research Project Grants

Traditional investigator-initiated grant providing support for discrete, specified research

 If > \$500K/year, need to request NIH Center for Scientific Review (CSR)/Institute Program Director approval to submit—<u>at</u> <u>least 6 to 8 weeks</u> before submission deadline for NCI DCCPS
 Up to 5 years (usually 3–5 years)

▶ 3 submissions—initial and 2 amended



R13

R13: Conference Grants

Provide support for national and international meetings valued for promoting Institute/Center goals

- Prior approval required before submission of application; contact Program Director
- Any U.S. organization eligible
- Typically \$10–25K/year for up to 5 years
 - Amount dependent on score, timeliness, budget, NIH interest
- 3 submissions—initial and 2 amended



P01: Research Program Projects

Division of Cancer Control & Population Sciences

- Support an integrated, multiproject research approach involving a number of independent investigators who share knowledge and common resources and have a shared, well-defined research program goal
- There is a defined central research focus involving several disciplines or several aspects of one discipline
- DCCPS-supported examples:
 - Collaborative Genetic Study of Nicotine Dependence
 - Statistical Methods for Medical Studies
 - Etiologic Studies of Gastric Cancer





Career Development Awards

Career Development Programs (K series)

K01 Mentored Research Scientist Development Award

K08 Mentored Clinical Scientist Development Award

K22 NCI Transition Career Development Award

K23 Mentored Patient-Oriented Research Career Development Award

http://grants1.nih.gov/training/careerdevelopmentawards.htm





New K Award

NIH Pathway to Independence Award (K99/R00): ≤ 5 yrs, with 2 phases
 Phase 1: mentored support, 1-2 years
 Phase 2: independent support, 3 yrs; contingent on securing independent research position

grants.nih.gov/grants/new_investigators



©Cartoonbank.com



"My question is: Are we making an impact?"



Division of Cancer Control & Population Sciences







Develop Your Idea

Generate preliminary data
Enlist collaborators, include letters of commitment

Review successful proposals of other colleagues







Preparing the Application

- Clear, concise writing style
- Be focused
- Don't rush



- Critique, critique, and critique again
- Follow up with NIH program directors after review





Preparing the Application (cont'd)

- Read instructions!
- Never assume that reviewers "know what you mean"



- Provide appropriate reasoning for and description of research design including:
 - >> Participant selection
 - Data collection
 - Data analysis
 - >> Data interpretation
- Anticipate human subject issues







Review of Research Grants

Study Section review criteria:
Significance
Approach
Innovation
Investigator
Environment



Learn more about the review process and view NIH video of mock Study Section meeting: <u>cms.csr.nih.gov</u>







Design Issues

- Sampling Methods
- Power Calculations
- Theoretical-based Intervention
- Compliances
- Data Acquisition and Management
- Participant Training and Monitoring
- Data Analysis







Potential Issues

Research Design
Does it work? Preliminary data
Valid Instruments
Reality check – subject burden
Will compliance rate(s) be adequate





Potential Issues

- Human Subjects
 - Inclusion
 - Minorities, women, children, gender
 - Protection
 - Exemptions applicable
 - Potential benefits or risks*



*Risks include the possibility of physical, psychological, or social injury resulting from research.







Human Subject Issues

Recruitment and informed consent
Vulnerable populations
Incentives
Informed Consent
Participation
Use of information

Future analysis

http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/humansubjects/guidance/45cfr46.htm





Other Important Issues

Data Safety and Monitoring Plan <u>http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/not98-084.html</u>

Policy on Data Sharing <u>http://grants2.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-03-032.html</u>







Key Deadlines

- New R01's: Feb 5, June 5, and Oct 5
- New R03's and R21's: Feb 16, June 16th, and Oct 16
- Resubmission/ Competing continuation: one month after above dates
- SBIR/STTR: April 5, Aug 5, and Dec 5



"Next day to the coast! You must be nuts!"







The "Top Ten" List

- **1.** Read and re-read the program announcement
- **2.** Assemble a strong research team
- **3.** Use the strongest study design possible
- 4. If you have not been on a study section, confer with someone who has
- **5.** Be sure to document the innovations(s)
- 6. Document strong access to the study population
- 7. Make sure the writing, organization, & grammar are as tight as possible (write, re-write...read, re-read)
- 8. Seek reviews before submission
- 9. Make careful use of the summary statement
- **10.** Persevere and don't take rejection personally





Most Common Problems

- Lack of new or original ideas
- Diffuse, superficial or unfocused research plan
- Lack of knowledge of published relevant work
- Lack of experience in the essential methods
- Uncertainty concerning the future directions
- Questionable reasoning in methodological approach
- Absence of an acceptable scientific rationale
- Unrealistically large amount of work
- Lack of sufficient methodological detail
- Uncritical approach





If you don't remember anything else...

Read Instructions!
 Re-write, re-write, re-write....
 Call your program director!







GOOD LUCK!



"This is Doctor Bagshaw, discoverer of the infinitely expanding research grant."



