



Unified Mathematical Model of q -Space & Diffusion Tensor Imaging

RW Cox, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda MD USA, Earth

Background

- Random H_2O movement in the presence of magnetic field gradients $B_z = B_0 + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{G}(t)$ causes signal decay (due to dephasing)
- **Diffusion Tensor Imaging**
 - Models the distribution of random movements by non-isotropic Gaussian probability density / point spread function (PSF)
 - $P(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{D}) = \exp[-\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{D}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{x} / 4t] / [(4\pi t)^{3/2} \det \mathbf{D}]$
 - Can analytically calculate signal decay E for any gradient history [$d\mathbf{q}/dt = \gamma \mathbf{G}(t)$] from this model: $\ln(E) = -\int_0^\Delta \mathbf{q}(t) \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{q}(t) dt$
 - \mathbf{q} denotes spatial frequency during diffusion encoding phase of imaging, distinct from \mathbf{k} during image encoding and readout
 - Δ is the duration of the diffusion encoding phase
 - DTI then estimates \mathbf{D} by measuring $E\{\mathbf{q}(t)\}$ for a number of different trajectories through q -space, and then fitting the 6 parameters in \mathbf{D} to the set of E values
- **q -Space Imaging**
 - General PSF model for distribution of random movements of magnetization [arbitrary $P(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)$]
 - **Drawback:** Can only analytically calculate signal decay E for impulsively large gradients [$q(t) \equiv$ square wave]
 - Need more data to reconstruct general $P(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)$ for each voxel
 - Asymptotically as $\mathbf{q}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, q -space imaging reproduces DTI [1]
- **Goal:** unify q -space and DTI formalisms further, to allow systematic extensions of DTI-type processing to more general H_2O random transport models

Mathematical Analysis

- $M(\mathbf{x}, t)$ = transverse magnetization
- $\hat{M}(\mathbf{q}, t)$ = spatial Fourier transform = $\int_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}} [M(\mathbf{x}, t)]$
- $P(\mathbf{x}, t)$ = point spread function at time t
- $M(\mathbf{x}, t) = M(\mathbf{x}, 0) * P(\mathbf{x}, t)$ = transport of magnetization in real space
- $\hat{M}(\mathbf{q}, t) = \hat{M}(\mathbf{q}, 0) \cdot \hat{P}(\mathbf{q}, t)$ = transport of magnetization in q -space
- Differentiating: $\frac{\partial \hat{M}(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \hat{P}(\mathbf{q}, t) / \partial t}{\hat{P}(\mathbf{q}, t)} \cdot \hat{M}(\mathbf{q}, t)$
- Define: $\hat{P}(\mathbf{q}, t) = \exp[-u(\mathbf{q}, t)]$ so $\frac{\partial \hat{P}(\mathbf{q}, t) / \partial t}{\hat{P}(\mathbf{q}, t)} = -\frac{\partial u(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial t} \equiv -u_t(\mathbf{q}, t)$
- Magnetization transport in the presence of gradients (generalization of Bloch-Torrey-Stejskal equation for magnetization transport with diffusion):

$$\frac{\partial M(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} = \underbrace{-i \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \cdot \mathbf{x} M(\mathbf{x}, t)}_{\text{phase change: effect of gradients}} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}}^{-1} [-u_t(\mathbf{q}, t) \hat{M}(\mathbf{q}, t)]}_{\text{effect of spatial transport}}$$
- Fourier transforming gives a linear first order (advection) PDE in (\mathbf{q}, t) space: $\frac{\partial \hat{M}(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial t} - \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{M}(\mathbf{q}, t) = -u_t(\mathbf{q}, t) \hat{M}(\mathbf{q}, t)$
- Solution by method of characteristics:

$$\hat{M}(\mathbf{q}_0 - \mathbf{q}(t), t) = e^{-\int_0^t u_t(\mathbf{q}(\tau), \tau) d\tau} \hat{M}(\mathbf{q}_0, 0)$$
 where \mathbf{q}_0 is arbitrary
- Imaging: rewind $\mathbf{q}(\Delta)$ to $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ before k -space readout, so magnetization that will be imaged has been attenuated by

$$\ln(E) = -\int_0^\Delta u_t(\mathbf{q}(t), t) dt = \int_0^\Delta \left[\frac{\partial \hat{P}(\mathbf{q}(t), t) / \partial t}{\hat{P}(\mathbf{q}(t), t)} \right] dt$$

Connections

- **Diffusion Tensor Imaging**
 - $\hat{P}(\mathbf{q}, t; \mathbf{D}) = \exp[-t \cdot \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{q}]$ so $u_t(\mathbf{q}(t), t; \mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{q}(t) \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{q}(t)$
 - $\Rightarrow E\{\mathbf{q}(t)\} = \exp\left[-\int_0^\Delta \mathbf{q}(t) \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{q}(t) dt\right]$
 - This quadratic dependence on $\mathbf{q}(t)$ is the standard result for DTI
- **q -Space Imaging**
 - PGSE with $\delta \ll \Delta$: $\mathbf{q}(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma \delta \mathbf{G} = \text{const} & 0 < t < \Delta \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
 - $\Rightarrow u(\mathbf{q}(t), t) = -\ln \hat{P}(\gamma \delta \mathbf{G}, t)$
 - $\Rightarrow E = e^{-\int_0^\Delta u_t(\mathbf{q}(t), t) dt} = e^{-u(\mathbf{q}(t), \Delta)} = \hat{P}(\gamma \delta \mathbf{G}, \Delta)$
 - The standard PGSE q -space result: depends only on the final PSF and not on the intermediate ($t < \Delta$) $P(\mathbf{x}, t)$ functions
- **Generically**
 - $E\{\mathbf{q}(t)\}$ is a tomographic integral through qt -space of the PSF for water transport, depending on $P(\mathbf{x}, t)$ at all times $0 < t < \Delta$

Future Potential

- Develop parametrized models for stochastic transport that are more advanced than unrestricted diffusion; for example, [2] and [3]
 - Estimate parameters in these models by acquiring various trajectories in qt -space
-

References

- [1] **Basser PJ.** Relationships between diffusion tensor and q -space MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 47:392-397 (2002).
- [2] **Özarslan E, Mareci TH.** Generalized diffusion tensor imaging and analytical relationships between diffusion tensor imaging and high angular resolution diffusion imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 50:955-965 (2003).
- [3] **Sato K-I.** *Levy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions.* Cambridge University Press. 1999.