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## NOTE TO THE READER

This study was performed under the direction of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences as a function of the National Toxicology Program. The studies described in this Technical Report have been conducted in compliance with NTP chemical health and safety requirements and must meet or exceed all applicable Federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. Animal care and use were in accordance with the U.S. Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Animals. All NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are subjected to a data audit before being presented for public peer review.

Although every effort is made to prepare the Technical Reports as accurately as possible, mistakes may occur. Readers are requested to identify any mistakes so that corrective action may be taken. Further, anyone who is aware of related ongoing or published studies not mentioned in this report is encouraged to make this information known to the NTP. Comments and questions about the National Toxicology Program Technical Reports on Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies should be directed to Dr. J.E. Huff, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3780).

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge (and while supplies last) from the NTP Public Information Office, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.
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## ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

CAS No. 643-22-1

$$
\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{NO}_{13} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \quad \text { Molecular weight } 1,018
$$

Synonyms: erythrocin stearate; erythromycin octadecanoate
Trade names: Abbotcine; Bristamycin; Dowmycin E; Eratrex; Erypar; Ethril; Gallimycin; HSDB 4178; OE 7; Pantomicina; Pfizer-E; SK-Erythromycin; Wyamycin S


#### Abstract

Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of erythromycin stearate (USP grade, greater than $96 \%$ pure) were conducted by administering the antibiotic in feed to groups of $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats and $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice of each sex for 14 days, 13 weeks, or 2 years. Erythromycin stearate was studied because of its widespread use in humans as a broad-spectrum macrolide antibiotic and because of the lack of adequate long-term studies for carcinogenicity.

Fourteen-Day and Thirteen-Week Studies: In the 14-day studies, none of the rats (at dietary concentrations up to $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and $2 / 5$ female mice that received $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ died before the end of the studies. Final mean body weights of male rats that received $12,500,25,000$, or 50,000 ppm were $10 \%$, $30 \%$, or $36 \%$ lower, respectively, than that of controls; final mean body weights of female rats were $10 \%, 12 \%$, or $32 \%$ lower. None of the dosed mouse groups gained weight. The final mean body weight of male mice that received $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ was $10 \%$ lower than that of controls.

In the 13 -week studies, none of the rats or mice (at dietary concentrations up to $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) died before the end of the studies. Final mean body weights of the $20,000-\mathrm{ppm}$ groups of rats were more than $12 \%$ lower than that of the controls for males and $7 \%$ lower for females. Final mean body weights of mice that received 10,000 or $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were $15 \%$ or $19 \%$ lower than that of controls for males and $5 \%$ or $14 \%$ lower for females.

Multinucleated syncytial hepatocytes were observed in 10/10 male rats that received $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ but in $0 / 10$ male rats that received $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. No compound-related gross or microscopic pathologic effects were observed in mice.


Based on these results, 2-year studies of erythromycin stearate were conducted by feeding diets containing $0,5,000$, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ erythromycin stearate to groups of 50 rats of each sex for 103 weeks. Diets containing $0,2,500$, or $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were fed to groups of 50 mice of each sex for 103 weeks.

Body Weight and Survival in the Two-Year Studies: Mean body weights of high dose male rats were comparable to those of controls throughout the studies. Mean body weights of high dose female rats were $5 \%-10 \%$ lower than those of controls. Mean body weights of dosed and control mice were comparable. The average daily feed consumption was similar for dosed and control male and female rats. For mice, estimated daily feed consumption by low and high dose males was similar to that of the controls and by low and high dose females was $92 \%$ that of the controls. The average amount of erythromycin stearate consumed per day was approximately 180 or $370 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for male rats and 210 or 435 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for female rats; for mice, the average amounts were 270 or $545 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for males and 250 or 500 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for females.

No significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of rats or mice of either sex (final survival--male rats: control, 28/50; low dose, 23/50; high dose, 27/50; female rats: 29/50; 30/50; 38/50; male mice: $34 / 50 ; 33 / 50 ; 40 / 50$; female mice: $38 / 50 ; 34 / 50 ; 40 / 50$ ).

Nonneoplastic and Neoplastic Effects in the Two-Year Studies: Granulomas of the liver were observed at increased incidences in high dose rats (male: $1 / 50 ; 2 / 50 ; 10 / 50$; female: $18 / 50 ; 27 / 50 ; 43 / 50$ ). Granulomatous inflammation or granulomas of the spleen were observed in dosed female rats ( $0 / 48$; $1 / 49 ; 3 / 50$ ). Reticulum cell hyperplasia in the bone marrow occurred at increased incidences in high dose female rats ( $10 / 50 ; 14 / 50 ; 25 / 50$ ).

Squamous cell papillomas of the oral mucosa were observed in $1 / 50$ control, $2 / 50$ low dose, and $3 / 50$ high dose female rats. These tumors were considered to be marginal and not related to exposure. Hy perplasia of the oral mucosa was not observed.

Pheochromocytomas of the adrenal gland in female rats occurred with a positive trend (1/50; 4/49; $5 / 50$ ). The incidences in the dosed groups are similar to the average historical incidence ( $9 \%$ ) of this tumor in untreated control female F344/N rats at the study laboratory. This marginal tumor increase is not considered to be biologically important. No increases in incidences of neoplasms were observed at any site in dosed male rats.

Inflammation in the glandular stomach was observed at increased incidences in dosed male mice ( $1 / 49 ; 4 / 50 ; 6 / 50$ ). Lymphoid hyperplasia in the urinary bladder was observed at increased incidences in dosed female mice ( $1 / 50 ; 9 / 47 ; 7 / 48$ ).

No increases in incidences of neoplasms were observed at any site in dosed male or female mice.
Genetic Toxicology: Erythromycin stearate was not mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, or TA1537 when tested both with or without exogenous metabolic activation. Erythromycin stearate demonstrated equivocal mutagenicity in the mouse L5178Y lymphoma cell assay in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (S9); erythromycin stearate was not mutagenic in the presence of 59 . Treatment of cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells with erythromycin stearate did not produce an increase in the frequency of sister chromatid exchanges or chromosomal aberrations in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation.

Audit: The data, documents, and pathology materials from the 2-year studies of erythromycin stearate have been audited. The audit findings show that the conduct of the studies is documented adequately and support the data and results given in this Technical Report.

Conclusions: Under the conditions of these 2 -year studies, there was no evidence of carcinogenic activity* of erythromycin stearate for male or female F344/N rats administered erythromycin stearate in the diet at 5,000 or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of erythromycin stearate for male or female $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice administered erythromycin stearate in the diet at 2,500 or $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. Dose-related increases in the incidences of granulomas of the liver were observed in male and female rats. The absence of any biologically important chemical-associated effects in mice suggests that higher doses could have been given to male and female mice.

## SUMMARY OF THE TWO-YEAR FEED AND GENETIC TOXICOLOGY STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE
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## EXPLANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY

These studies are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, of selected chemicals in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Chemicals selected for NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's carcinogenic potential.
Negative results, in which the study animals do not have a greater incidence of neoplasia than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a chemical is carcinogenic for laboratory animals under the conditions of the study and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans.

The National Toxicology Program describes the results of individual experiments on a chemical agent and notes the strength of the evidence for conclusions regarding each study. Other organizations, such as the International Agency for Research on Cancer, assign a strength of evidence for conclusions based on an examination of all available evidence including: animal studies such as those conducted by the NTP, epidemiologic studies, and estimates of exposure. Thus, the actual determination of risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in laboratory animals requires a wider analysis that extends beyond the purview of these studies.

Five categories of evidence of carcinogenic activity are used in the Technical Report series to summarize the strength of the evidence observed in each experiment: two categories for positive results ("Clear Evidence" and "Some Evidence"); one category for uncertain findings ("Equivocal Evidence"); one category for no observable effects ("No Evidence"); and one category for experiments that because of major flaws cannot be evaluated ("Inadequate Study"). These categories of interpretative conclusions were first adopted in June 1983 and then revised in March 1986 for use in the Technical Reports series to incorporate more specifically the concept of actual weight of evidence of carcinogenic activity. For each separate experiment (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following quintet is selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to either potency or mechanism.

- Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a dose-related (i) increase of malignant neoplasms, (ii) increase of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms, or (iii) marked increase of benign neoplasms if there is an indication from this or other studies of the ability of such tumors to progress to malignancy
- Some Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of neoplasms (malignant, benign, or combined) in which the strength of the response is less than that required for clear evidence.
- Equivocal Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a marginal increase of neoplasms that may be chemically related.
- No Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemically related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate Study of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of carcinogenic activity.
When a conclusion statement for a particular experiment is selected, consideration must be given to key factors that would extend the actual boundary of an individual category of evidence. This should allow for incorporation of scientific experience and current understanding of long-term carcinogenesis studies in laboratory animals, especially for those evaluations that may be on the borderline between two adjacent levels. These considerations should include:
- The adequacy of the experimental design and conduct;
- Occurrence of common versus uncommon neoplasia;
- Progression (or lack thereof) from benign to malignant neoplasia as well as from preneoplastic lesions;
- Some benign neoplasms have the capacity to regress but others (of the same morphologic type) progress. At present, it is impossible to identify the difference. Therefore, where progression is known to be a possibility, the most prudent course is to assume that benign neoplasms of those types have the potential to become malignant;
- Combining benign and malignant tumor incidences known or thought to represent stages of progression in the same organ or tissue;
- Latency in tumor induction;
- Multiplicity in site-specific neoplasia;
- Metastases;
- Supporting information from proliferative lesions (hyperplasia) in the same site of neoplasia or in other experiments (same lesion in another sex or species);
- The presence or absence of dose relationships;
- The statistical significance of the observed tumor increase;
- The concurrent control tumor incidence as well as the historical control rate and variability for a specific neoplasm;
- Survival-adjusted analyses and false positive or false negative concerns;
- Structure-activity correlations; and
- In some cases, genetic toxicology.

These considerations together with the definitions as written should be used as composite guidelines for selecting one of the five categories. Additionally, the following concepts (as patterned from the International Agency for Research on Cancer Monographs) have been adopted by the NTP to give further clarification of these issues:

The term chemical carcinogenesis generally means the induction by chemicals of neoplasms not usually observed, the induction by chemicals of more neoplasms than are generally found, or the earlier induction by chemicals of neoplasms that are commonly observed. Different mechanisms may be involved in these situations. Etymologically, the term carcinogenesis means induction of cancer, that is, of malignant neoplasms; however, the commonly accepted meaning is the induction of various types of neoplasms or of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms. In the Technical Reports, the words tumor and neoplasm are used interchangeably
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## SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

On July 14, 1987, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of erythromycin stearate received peer review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts. The review meeting was held at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Dr. J.E. French, NIEHS, introduced the studies by reviewing the experimental design, results, and proposed conclusions (no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male or female rats; no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male or female mice).

Dr. Sivak, a principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions. He asked why the doses for the 2 -year studies in mice were only half those given to rats, and he emphasized the importance of explaining the rationale for the dose selection for the long-term studies. Dr. French responded that the dose selections were based solely on the observed body weight depression and that mice showed greater body weight differences than did rats during the 13 -week studies.

As a second principal reviewer, Dr. Gallo agreed with the conclusions. He commented on the difficulty in evaluating antibiotics by the usual criteria, such as changes in body weight, as some antibiotics lead to increases in body weight. Dr. Gallo speculated that the granulomas or lymphoid hyperplasia reported in liver, spleen, and bladder might be crystalline deposits of the chemical or its metabolites. Dr. French acknowledged that this could be an alternative explanation for the lesions, but he indicated that none was seen on light microscopy.

As a third principal reviewer, Dr. Capen agreed with the conclusions.
In other discussion, Dr. Ashby commented on the weak positive genotoxic responses to erythromycin stearate in the mammalian cell assay (mouse lymphoma), which may be an artifact resulting from the high doses of the alkaline salt associated with the antibiotic.

Dr. Sivak moved that the Technical Report on erythromycin stearate be accepted with editorial changes discussed and with the conclusions as written for male and female rats and mice, no evidence of carcinogenic activity. Dr. Popp seconded the motion, which was approved unanimously with seven votes.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Use, Production, and Exposure<br>Absorption, Metabolism, and Excretion<br>Acute Toxicity<br>Cellular and Subcellular Toxicity<br>Systemic Toxicity<br>Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity<br>Long-Term Toxicity and Carcinogenicity<br>Genetic Toxicology<br>Study Rationale



# ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE 

CAS No. 643-22-1
$\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{NO}_{13} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \quad$ Molecular weight 1,018
Synonyms: erythrocin stearate; erythromycin octadecanoate
Trade names: Abbotcine; Bristamycin; Dowmycin E; Eratrex; Erypar; Ethril; Gallimycin; HSDB 4178; OE 7; Pantomicina; Pfizer-E; SK-Erythromycin; Wyamycin S

## Use, Production, and Exposure

Erythromycin base and various salts are used extensively in the treatment of gram-positive bacterial infections in humans (Sande and Mandell, 1985). Erythromycin belongs to the chemical class of macrolide antibiotics and was discovered by McGuire and colleagues, according to Sande and Mandell (1985). Erythromycin stearate is one of several derivatives used in different formulations. (Other derivatives include erythromycin estolate, erythromycin ethylsuccinate, and erythromycin lactobionate, or erythromycin gluceptate.) Erythromycin base, prepared by fermentation from Streptomyces erythreus, is reacted with stearic acid in acetone, and the resulting salt is precipitated with water; USP-grade erythromycin stearate is not less than $55 \%$ erythromycin base (Remington's, 1975).

Recent production figures for erythromycin base or stearate salt are not available, but commercial production was reported to the International

Trade Commission and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency TSCA inventory, indicating that production was greater than $4.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{year}$ (USITC, 1986; NIOSH, 1987). In 1985, an estimated 33 million prescriptions of erythromycin, in all forms, were filled (Baum et al., 1986). The usual oral dose of erythromycin is $1-2 \mathrm{~g}$ per day for adults and $30-50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ per day for children ( 4 doses, 6 hours apart, between meals) (Sande and Mandell, 1985).

## Absorption, Metabolism, and Excretion

In humans, erythromycin base is absorbed from the upper part of the small intestine, with peak concentrations ( $0.3-0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) occurring 4-12 hours after oral administration (Ravagnan et al., 1971; Sande and Mandell, 1985). Various salts of erythromycin have been prepared to improve stability after absorption by different routes. In the gastrointestinal tract, erythromycin base absorption and maximum plasma levels are similar between various salt preparations (Sande and Mandell, 1985). There is a large
intersubject and intrasubject variability between route and erythromycin form used (erythromycin base vs. erythromycin stearate) in humans (Welling, 1977; Welling et al., 1978; Mather et al., 1981).

The absorption of erythromycin is affected by the presence or absence of food and the form being administered. Erythromycin is inactivated by gastric juice, and the presence of food delays ultimate absorption (Sande and Mandell, 1985). The form of the derivative and its resistance to acid and/or enzymatic hydrolysis are important variables in absorption and delivery to a target site. In volunteers (age and sex unspecified), ingestion of food delayed the absorption of orally administered erythromycin base, whereas the absorption of the stearate salt was increased in the presence of food (Malmborg, 1979; DiSanto and Chodos, 1981). Other studies in volunteers have reported opposite findings (Rutland et al, 1979; Digranes et al., 1984). In studies that considered both food and water intake (Welling, 1977; Welling et al., 1978), erythromycin stearate absorption was decreased by both food and water intake, independently; in the presence of food and sufficient water intake, erythromycin base and stearate were found to be bioequivalent.

Erythromycin base and erythromycin stearate are inactivated by gastric juices when administered neat and without enteric coatings (Sande and Mandell, 1985). Boggiano and Gleeson (1976) found that under in vitro conditions at a pH of 1.2-3.2, erythromycin stearate dissolved and rapidly lost its biologic activity. Addition of pepsin did not affect the results. Only entericcoated tablets retained biologic activity.

Absorption of erythromycin may be age-dependent. Eriksson et al. (1981) reported that erythromycin, in suspension, was absorbed the least in infants younger than 1 month of age but was well absorbed in fasting children between 6 months and 6 years of age. Erythromycin stearate absorption was not affected by the presence of food in this study.

Antibiotics in general, including erythromycin, are poorly absorbed or not absorbed at all by patients with celiac or Crohn's disease, possibly
because of reduced renal clearance (Parsons et al., 1975, 1976a,b, 1977). However, Iliopoulou et al. (1982) found that the excretion rate of erythromycin was similar for controls and for patients with end-stage renal failure who were being treated by dialysis.

According to Sande and Mandell (1985), 2\%-5\% of the erythromycin administered orally to humans was excreted in a biologically active form in the urine. The amount excreted increased to $12 \%-15 \%$ after intravenous infusion. Erythromycin is concentrated in the liver and excreted in an active form in the bile. The terminal elimination rate (elimination of $50 \%$ of dose, halflife) is 1.6 hours. In pharmacokinetic models based on human exposure, which considered the impact of protein binding and erythromycin affinity for bacterial ribosomes, the half-life was determined to be 1.2 hours (Chun and Seitz, 1977; Wiegand and Chun, 1972). Erythromycin diffuses into intracellular fluids but does not penetrate into brain tissue or cerebrospinal fluid. Erythromycin is stated to penetrate prostatic fluid (resulting in concentrations $40 \%$ of those obtained in plasma), traverse the placenta, and attain fetal plasma concentrations of about $5 \%$ $20 \%$ of those in the maternal circulation (Sande and Mandell, 1985). After oral administration of erythromycin or its stearate to control subjects and to patients with diagnosed infections, erythromycin was detected at therapeutic levels in lung (bronchiolar secretions) (Simon, 1980), saliva (Simon, 1980; Henry et al., 1980), fallopian tubes, peritoneal fluid from the pouch of Douglas (Bergan and Gjonnaess, 1981), vaginal washes (Iliopoulou et al., 1981), and oviduct mucosa (Brihmer, 1986).

Administration of erythromycin or erythromycin stearate to male Sprague Dawley rats (age unspecified) results in the formation of an inactive cytochrome P450-metabolite complex in vivo; a similar complex is formed in vitro after incubation with NADPH and liver microsomes from phenobarbital-induced, but not 3-methyl-cholanthrene-induced, rats (Larrey et al., 1983). Erythromycin or erythromycin stearate administered to rats induced synthesis of microsomal enzymes and resulted in an inactive erythromycin-P450 complex (Villa et al., 1986). $N$-demethylation of both erythromycin and
aminopyrine was increased. Hydroxylation of aniline was not changed. In dosed rats, $O$-demethylation of 4 -nitroanisole and liver glutathione levels were decreased and pentobarbital sleeping time was increased relative to controls.

## Acute Toxicity

The following acute toxicity has been reported for the erythromycin base (strains, age and sex for all species unspecified) (NIOSH, 1983):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rat } \\
& \text { Oral } \mathrm{LD}_{50}=9,272 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& \text { Intravenous } \mathrm{LD}_{50}=209 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& \text { Subcutaneous } \mathrm{LD}_{\mathrm{Lo}}=427 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& \text { Mouse } \\
& \text { Oral } \mathrm{LD}_{50}=3,112 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& \text { Intraperitoneal } \mathrm{LD}_{50}=463 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& \text { Intravenous } \mathrm{LD}_{50}=426 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& \text { Intramuscular } \mathrm{LD}_{50}=394 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& \text { Guinea Pig } \\
& \text { Intraperitoneal } \mathrm{LD}_{50}=413 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}
\end{aligned}
$$

No data were reported for erythromycin stearate.

## Cellular and Subcellular Toxicity

Sande and Mandell (1985) report that erythromycin and other macrolide antibiotics inhibit bacterial protein synthesis by binding to the 50 S ribosomal subunits of sensitive bacteria. Erythromycin competes with chloramphenicol for the same binding site. Binding is reversible and can occur only when the 50 S subunit is free from transfer-RNA-bearing nascent peptide chains. Gram-positive bacteria concentrate approximately 100 times more erythromycin than do gram-negative bacteria. At alkaline pH , ionization of the erythromycin molecule is decreased, resulting in increased cellular permeability and antimicrobial activity.

In mouse liver explant cultures from 19- to $21-$ day-old C3H mice (sex unspecified), toxicity of erythromycin stearate in vitro paralleled toxicity observed in vivo (Dujovne et al., 1970). Erythromycin estolate is toxic to Chang liver
cell cultures, as measured by cell viability (lactate dehydrogenase and $\beta$-glucuronidase leakage from cells) (Dujovne et al., 1972). In vitro toxicity correlates with in vivo toxicity.

## Systemic Toxicity

Adverse reactions to the administration of erythromycin have included toxicity to the liver (Tolman et al., 1974; Alcalay et al., 1986); these reactions may have resulted from immune hypersensitivity. Inman and Rawson (1983) reviewed the use of erythromycin base and its stearate and estolate salts and tetracycline in 12,208 patients. Sixteen reports of jaundice were associated with presumed liver injury. Three of the 16 patients with jaundice had received erythromycin stearate. Jaundice in the other patients was believed to be due to viral hepatitis, gallstones, or cancer. No association could be made between use of erythromycin stearate and jaundice.

An effect of erythromycin on immune function has been suggested. Cooksley and Powell (1977) reported that a 53 -year-old woman developed severe cholestatic hepatitis after administration of erythromycin estolate. Lymphocytes from the patient incubated with erythromycin base, the stearate, or the estolate were blastogenic only in response to the estolate salt. A 60 -year-old man with severe respiratory distress was determined to be hypersensitive to erythromycin stearate (Abramov et al., 1978). Erythromycin has been shown to have an immunomodulatory effect. Anderson et al. $(1982,1983,1984)$ found that a single oral dose of erythromycin stearate ( 500 mg ) administered to volunteers transiently increased neutrophil chemotaxis and neutrophil antistaphylococcidial activity without affecting phagocytosis and inhibited prostaglandin $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ release but did not affect mononuclear cell chemotaxis or blast transformation. Administration of erythromycin stearate to mice challenged with Candida albicans resulted in increased mean survival times. Neutrophils from patients with immune defects (abnormal chemotaxis and a history of recurrent infections) who had received erythromycin stearate orally, and neutrophils incubated in vitro with erythromycin stearate, demonstrated normal in vitro chemotaxis to
endotoxin-activated serum factors (Ras and Anderson, 1986). Oral administration of erythromycin to patients with chronic bronchopneumonia or to control subjects increased phagocytosis, superoxide anion free radical formation, and natural killer cell activity 4 -6 hours after treatment (Fraschini et al., 1986).

Administration of erythromycin has been associated with changes in bacterial flora in humans, which could result in systemic effects. Administration of erythromycin stearate has been found to alter the flora of the oral cavity, throat, and colon (Heimdahl and Nord, 1982; Heimdahl et al., 1984). Colonization of these sites by erythromycin-resistant aerobic and anaerobic bacteria and yeast occurred after 7 days of treatment. Nord et al. (1985) also found that oral administration of erythromycin stearate decreased the presence of Streptococcus salivarius in the oropharynx, but after treatment was discontinued, normal flora repopulation occurred. In healthy volunteers with no erythromy-cin-resistant oral streptococci, administration of erythromycin on two separate occasions with a 1-week interval resulted in the presence of erythromycin-resistant bacterial strains that persisted for some time (Harrison et al., 1985).

## Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Reproductive toxicity has been reported for the erythromycin base but not for erythromycin stearate (rat, $10-15 \mathrm{~d}$ pregnant, oral $\mathrm{TD}_{\mathrm{L} 0}=6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$; rat, $6-10 \mathrm{~d}$ pregnant, subcutaneous $=50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$; mouse, 8-13 d pregnant, oral $=12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) ( NIOSH , 1983).

## Long-Term Toxicity and Carcinogenicity

No long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity data were available in the literature.

## Genetic Toxicology

No mutagenicity data for erythromycin stearate other than from NTP experiments were available in the literature; on the basis of the NTP test results, the chemical appears to be a nonmutagen. Erythromycin stearate was not mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, or TA1537 when tested in a preincubation protocol with or without Aroclor 1254 -induced male Sprague Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver S9 (Mortelmans et al., 1986; Appendix E, Table E1). Erythromycin stearate demonstrated equivocal mutagenicity in the mouse L5178Y lymphoma cell assay because, in the absence of S9, increases in forward mutations were observed only at concentrations at or just below those that caused precipitation (Table E2). No increases in mutations occurred in the presence of Aroclor 1254-induced F344 rat liver S 9 at concentrations that did not cause precipitation. The positive responses reported for erythromycin stearate at higher concentrations (above the level that caused precipitation) in the presence of S 9 were not considered in judging mutagenicity according to NTP quality control criteria. Treatment of cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells with erythromycin stearate did not produce an increase in the frequency of sister chromatid exchanges or chromosomal aberrations in either the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9 (Tables E3 and E4).

## Study Rationale

Erythromycin stearate was nominated by the National Cancer Institute for study because it is the most widely used macrolide antibiotic and because of the lack of adequate carcinogenicity studies. Administration of erythromycin stearate in feed was chosen to obtain exposure by the oral route, the primary route for administration of the drug in humans.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS
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## PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

Certified USP-grade erythromycin stearate was obtained in one lot (lot no. 287 FM) from Upjohn Company (Kalamazoo, Michigan). Purity and identity analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (MRI) (Kansas City, Missouri). MRI reports on analyses performed in support of the erythromycin studies are on file at NIEHS.

Lot no. 287 FM was obtained as a fluffy, colorless powder. Its melting point was determined to be $100^{\circ}-104^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, although this may be the temperature at which the chemical dissolves in stearic acid. The identity of erythromycin stearate was confirmed by spectroscopy. The infrared spectrum (Figure 1) was consistent with that in the literature (Sadtler Pharmaceutical Spectra). The ultraviolet/visible spectrum and nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum (Figure 2) were consistent with those expected for the structure of erythromycin stearate.

The purity of erythromycin stearate was determined by elemental analysis, water analysis, titration of the amino group, thin-layer chromatography, and high-performance liquid chromatography. Cumulative data indicated that lot no. 287 FM had a minimum purity of approximately $96 \%$ as erythromycin stearate. Results of elemental analysis for carbon were low; results for hydrogen and nitrogen agreed with the theoretical values. Water content by Karl Fischer titration was $2.49 \%$. Nonaqueous potentiometric titration of the tertiary amino group with 0.5 N perchloric acid indicated an erythromycin stearate content of $96 \%$ corresponding to $69 \%$ erythromycin ( $693 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ erythromycin/mg erythromycin stearate) which exceeds the USP minimum purity of $55 \%$ erythromycin. Thinlayer chromatography on silica gel plates with an $n$-butanol:acetic acid:water ( $3: 1: 1$ ) solvent system detected a single spot by both iodine and phosphomolybdic acid visualization; a major spot and one minor impurity were detected by an ethyl acetate:methanol (9:1) solvent system. High-performance liquid chromatography on a $\mu$ Bondapak $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column with detection at 230 nm
and a water:methanol solvent system at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{ml} /$ minute detected four impurities with a total peak area of $15.0 \%$ that of the major peak when operated in an isocratic mode (12:88 solvent ratio) and three impurities with a total relative area of $14.9 \%$ when used with a solvent program ( $70 \%-100 \%$ methanol in 8 minutes). Gas chromatography of a silyl ester derivative of the study chemical with a $3 \%$ OV- 17 column, a nitrogen carrier at a flow rate of $60 \mathrm{ml} /$ minute, and flame ionization detection indicated four impurities, each with a relative area of $1 \%-10 \%$ of the major peak area (exact values were not reported due to the semiquantitative nature of the derivatization procedure). The impurities detected chromatographically were probably other erythromycins ( $B$ or $C$ ) or isomers of erythromycin.

A stability study, in which stability was monitored by titration of the tertiary amino group with 0.1 N perchloric acid, indicated that erythromycin stearate was stable when stored for 2 weeks at temperatures up to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Further confirmation of the stability of the bulk chemical during the toxicity studies (storage at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark) was obtained by titration with 0.1 N perchloric acid and gas chromatography of a silyl ester derivative of the sample with an OV17 or SP- 2250 column and flame ionization detection. No degradation of the bulk chemical was observed throughout the studies. The identity of the chemical at the study laboratory was confirmed by infrared spectroscopy.

## PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS

The formulated diets were prepared by adding a dry premix of feed and erythromycin stearate to the appropriate amount of feed (Table 1). The mixture was blended for 15 minutes. The homogeneity of diet mixtures formulated at the analytical chemistry and study laboratories was confirmed by extracting feed samples (taken from three points of the blender) with an acetonitrile: water ( $85: 15$ ) solution, preparing silyl derivatives of the extracts, and performing gas chromatographic analysis with an OV-17 column and flame ionization detection. At the analytical chemistry laboratory, values ranged from


FIGURE 1. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (LOT NO. 287 FM)


FIGURE 2. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (LOT NO. 287 FM)

TABLE 1. PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Fourteen-Day Studies | Thirteen-Week Studies | Two-Year Studies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preparation |  |  |
| Premix mixed with bulk feed in a Patterson-Kelly 8 -qt twin-shell ${ }^{\star}$ blender | Same as 14-d studies | Premix prepared in beaker and mixed with spatula. Bulk mixing done in a $1 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
| for 5 min with intensifier bar on and 10 min without intensifier bar |  | Patterson-Kelly twin-shell ${ }^{\text {® }}$ stainless steel blender for 5 min with intensifier bar on and 10 min without intensifier bar |
| Maximum Storage Time |  |  |
| 14 d | 14 d | 14 d |
| Storage Conditions | $\leq 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  | $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

$96.1 \%$ to $97.8 \%$ of the target value at a concentration of $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. At the study laboratory, homogeneous mixing of the formulated feed blends was a problem, as indicated by the results of a homogeneity study which showed a spread of $100 \%-115 \%$ of the target value at a concentration of $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. Further studies at the same analytical laboratory with the same analytical method showed that erythromycin stearate at $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ was stable in feed when stored for 2 weeks at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; additional studies detected no notable decrease in concentration of erythromycin stearate in samples stored either in sealed glass bottles in the dark or in feeders kent under normal animal room conditions. Formulated diets were stored at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for no longer than 14 days.

Periodic analyses for erythromycin stearate in feed mixtures were conducted by the study and analytical chemistry laboratories to determine if the formulated diets contained the correct concentrations of erythromycin stearate. Samples were analyzed as described above except that
methanol was used as the extracting solvent and, during the first half of the 2 -year studies, extracts were passed through Sep Pac ${ }^{(1)}$ cartridges before silylation at the study laboratory. Formulated diets were analyzed once, at the beginning of the 13 -week studies. The results ranged from $88 \%$ to $104 \%$ of the target concentration (Table 2). During the 2 -year studies, the feed mixtures were analyzed approximately every 1-2 months. Concentrations varied from $14 \%$ to $109.6 \%$ of the target concentration; the second lowest concentration observed was $90.8 \%$ of the target concentration (Table 3). Because 41/42 formulated diets analyzed were within $10 \%$ of the target concentrations, it is estimated that the formulated diets were prepared within specifications $98 \%$ of the time. Referee analyses were performed periodically by an independent laboratory. Initially, variable agreement was found between laboratories (Table 4). An adjustment in the analytical procedure used by the referee laboratory improved the agreement between laboratories for the last two sets of referee samples.

TABLE 2. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (a)
$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\hline \text { Target Concentration } \\ (\mathbf{p p m})\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Determined Concentration } \\ (\mathbf{p p m})(\mathbf{b})\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Determined as a } \\ \text { Percent of Target }\end{array}\right]$
(a) Mix date: $1 / 29 / 80$
(b) Results of duplicate analysis

TABLE 3. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (a)

| Date Mixed | Concentration of Erythromycin Stearate in Feed for Target Concentration (ppm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2,500 | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| 12/03/80 | 2,400 | 5,250 | (b) 1,400 |
| 12/08/80 | -- | -- | (c) 10,300 |
| 12/24/80 | 2,510 | 4,780 | 10,900 |
| 02/05/81 | 2,510 | 5,440 | 10,100 |
| 04/09/81 | 2,740 | 5,220 | 10,300 |
|  | (d) 2,440 | (d) 4,640 | (d) 10,800 |
| 07/09/81 | 2,590 | 5,200 | 9,900 |
| 08/13/81 | 2,460 | 4,910 | 10,000 |
| 10/08/81 | 2,340 | 4,800 | 9,470 |
| 12/24/81 | 2,270 | 4,810 | 9,700 |
| 01/28/82 | 2,510 | 4,760 | 10,700 |
| 03/11/82 | 2,490 | 5,030 | 10,300 |
| 05/13/82 | 2,390 | 5,150 | 10,400 |
| 06/17/82 | 2,560 | 4,670 | 10,600 |
| 09/02/82 | 2,340 | 5,050 | 9,530 |
| 10/07/82 | 2,380 | 4,920 | 9,390 |
| Mean (ppm) | 2,464 | 4,999 | 9,478 |
| Standard deviation | 121.9 | 228.0 | 2,371.7 |
| Coefficient of variation (percent) | 4.9 | 4.6 | 25.0 |
| Range (ppm) | 2,270-2,740 | 4,640-5,440 | 1,400-10,900 |
| Number of samples | 14 | 14 | 14 |

(a) Results of duplicate analysis
(b) Out of specifications; not used in the studies. If this value is excluded, the mean and standard deviation are 10,099 and 487.8.
(c) Remix; not included in the mean.
(d) Analysis performed by Capsule Laboratories

TABLE 4. RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Target Concentration |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| (ppm) |  |

(a) Results of duplicate analysis
(b) Results of triplicate analysis
(c) A value of $2,440 \mathrm{ppm}$ was found by Capsule Laboratories. (d) Out of specifications

## FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Five- to six-week-old male and female F344/N rats and $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice were obtained from Harlan Industries and held for approximately 3 weeks before the studies began. Groups of five rats and five mice of each sex were fed diets containing $0,3,125,6,250,12,500,25,000$, or 50,000 ppm erythromycin stearate for 14 consecutive days. Rats and mice were observed twice per day and were weighed once per week. Further details of animal maintenance are given in Table 5 .

## THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Thirteen-week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated exposure to erythromycin stearate and to determine the concentrations to be used in the 2 -year studies.

Four- to five-week-old male and female F344/N rats and 5 - to 6 -week-old male and female $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, observed for 16 days, distributed to weight classes, and then assigned to cages according to a table of random numbers. Cages were assigned to dosed and control groups according to another table of random numbers.

Groups of 10 rats and 10 mice of each sex were given diets containing $0,1,250,2,500,5,000$, 10,000 , or $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ erythromycin stearate for

13 weeks. Control diets consisted of NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration. Formulated diets, control diets, and water were available ad libitum. Further experimental details are summarized in Ta ble 5 .

Animals were checked two times per day; moribund animals were killed. Feed consumption was measured by cage once per week. Individual animal weights were recorded once per week. The following hematologic analyses were conducted on blood from the brachial vessels of the controls and the $20,000-\mathrm{ppm}$ groups: hemoglobin concentration, erythrocyte count, leukocyte count, differential leukocyte count, hematocrit value, nucleated erythrocyte count, and platelet determination.

At the end of the 13 -week studies, survivors were killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals except those excessively autolyzed or cannibalized. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 5.

## TWO-YEAR STUDIES

## Study Design

Diets containing $0,5,000$, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ erythromycin stearate were fed to groups of 50 rats of each sex for 103 weeks. Diets containing 0 , 2,500 , or $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were fed to groups of 50 mice of each sex for 103 weeks.

TABLE 5. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Fourteen-Day Studies | Thirteen-Week Studies | Two-Year Studies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN |  |  |
| Size of Study Groups <br> 5 males and 5 females of each species | 10 males and 10 females of each species | 50 males and 50 females of each species |
| Doses $0,3,125,6,250,12,500,25,000 \text {, or } 50,000$ <br> ppm erythromycin stearate in feed | $0,1,250,2,500,5,000,10,000, \text { or } 20,000$ ppm erythromycin stearate in feed | Rats--0,5,000, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ erythromycin stearate in feed; mice-- $0,2,500$, or $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| Date of First Dose 6/12/79 | 2/1/80 | Rats-12/9/80; mice--12/23/80 |
| Date of Last Dose 6/25/79 | 5/2/80 | Rats--11/29/82; mice--12/13/82 |
| Duration of Dosing 14 consecutive d | 13 wk | 103 wk |
| Type and Frequency of Observation Observed $2 \times d$; weighed on $d 1$ and $1 \times$ wk thereafter; feed consumption measured $1 \times$ wk | Same as 14-d studies | Observed $2 \times \mathrm{d}$; weighed initially, $1 \times$ wk for 13 wk , and $1 \times$ mo thereafter; palpated $1 \times$ mo at weighing, starting at wk 41 ; feed consumption estimated $1 \times$ mo |
| Necropsy and Histologic Examination Necropsy performed on all animals; $6,250-, 12,500-$, and $25,000-\mathrm{ppm}$ groups examined histologically | Necropsy performed on all animals; histologic exams performed on animals that died before the end of the studies and on all control and high dose animals that had gross lesions; hematologic exam performed on control and high dose groups | Necropsy and histologic examination performed on all animals |
| ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTENA | NCE |  |
| Strain and Species F344/N rats; $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ C3F ${ }_{1}$ mice | F344/N rats; $\mathrm{B}^{\text {C }}$ C3F ${ }_{1}$ mice | F344/N rats; $\mathrm{B6C}^{\text {C }}$ F 1 mice |
| Animal Source Harlan Industries (Indianapolis, IN) | Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI [rats], or Kingston, NY [mice]) | Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI) |
| Study Laboratory <br> Physiological Research Laboratories | Physiological Research Laboratories | Physiological Research Laboratories |
| Method of Animal Identification Rats--tail mark; mice--ear punch | Toe clip | Ear notch, toe clip |
| Time Held Before Study 20 d | 16 d | 14 d |
| Age When Placed on Study 8-9 wk | Rats-6-7 wk; mice--7-8 wk | Same as 13 -wk studies |
| Age When Killed 11 wk | Rats--20-21 wk; mice--21-22 wk | Rats--110-111 wk; mice--111-112 wk |
| Necropsy Dates Rats-6/28/79; mice--6/27/79 | Rats--5/5/80-5/8/80; mice--5/6/80-5/9/80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rats-12/7/82-12/14/82; } \\ & \text { mice-12/21/82-12/28/82 } \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 5. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

| Fourteen-Day Studies | Thirteen-Week Studies | Two.Year Studies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANIMAL MAINTENANCE (Contin |  |  |
| Method of Animal Distribution Distributed to weight classes, then assigned to cages by a table of random numbers; cages assigned to dosed and control groups by another table of random numbers. | Same as 14-d studies | Same as 14-d studies |
| Feed <br> Rodent Laboratory Chow 5001 meal (Ralston Purina Co., St. Louis, MO); available ad libitum | NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration (Zeigler Bros., Gardners, PA); available ad libitum | Same as 13-wk studies |
| Bedding <br> Aspen wood chips | Same as 14-d studies | Aspen wood shavings, heat-treated (Minnesota Sawdust and Shavings Co., Anoka, MN) |
| Water Automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Waterford, WI); available ad libitum | Same as 14-d studies | Same as $14-\mathrm{d}$ studies; water softened through sodium zeolite to $<1$ grain/ gal and then filtered |
| Cages <br> Polycarbonate (Lab Products, Inc.) | Polycarbonate (Hazleton Systems, Inc., Aberdeen, MD) | Same as 13 -wk studies |
| Cage Filters |  | Reemay spun-bonded polyester filters (Snow Filtration, Cincinnati, OH) |
| Animals per Cage 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Other Chemicals on Study in the None | me Room None | None |
| Animal Room Environment Temp- $20.0^{\circ}-23.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; hum- $33 \%-46 \%$; light $12 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d} ; 120$ room air changes $/ \mathrm{h}$ | Temp- $17.2^{\circ}-26.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; hum- $22 \%-50 \%$; light $12 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d} ; 120$ room air changes $/ \mathrm{h}$ | Temp-- $22.2^{\circ}-26.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; hum-- $30 \%-74 \%$; fluorescent light $12 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$; 15 room air changes $/ \mathrm{h}$ |

## Source and Specifications of Animals

The male and female $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats and $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ (C57BL/6N, female $\times \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{HeN} \mathrm{MTV}^{-}$, male) mice used in these studies were produced under strict barrier conditions at Charles River Breeding Laboratories under a contract to the Carcinogenesis Program. Breeding stock for the foundation colonies at the production facility originated at the National Institutes of Health Repository. Animals shipped for study were progeny of defined microflora-associated parents that were transferred from isolators to
barrier-maintained rooms. Rats were shipped to the study laboratory at $4-5$ weeks of age and mice, at $5-6$ weeks of age. The animals were quarantined at the study facility for 2 weeks. Thereafter, a complete necropsy was performed on five animals of each sex and species to assess their health status. The rats were placed on study at 6-7 weeks of age and the mice, at 7-8 weeks of age. The health of the animals was monitored during the course of the studies according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix F).

A quality control skin grafting program has been in effect since early 1978 to monitor the genetic integrity of the inbred mice used to produce the hybrid $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ study animal. In mid1981, data were obtained that showed incompatibility between the NIH C3H reference colony and the C3H colony from a Program supplier. In August 1981, inbred parental lines of mice were further tested for genetic integrity via isozyme and protein electrophoresis profiles that demonstrate phenotype expressions of known genetic loci.

The C57BL/6N mice were homogeneous at all loci tested. Eighty-five percent of the C 3 H mice monitored were variant at one to three loci, indicating some heterogeneity in the C3H line from this supplier. Nevertheless, the genome of this line is more homogeneous than that of randomly bred stocks.

Male mice from the C 3 H colony and female mice from the C57BL $/ 6 \mathrm{~N}$ colony were used as parents for the hybrid $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice used in these studies. The influence of the potential genetic nonuniformity in the hybrid mice on these results is not known, but results of the studies are not affected because concurrent controls were included in each study.

## Animal Maintenance

Rats and mice were housed five per cage; cages were not rotated during the studies. Water and feed were available ad libitum. Further details of animal maintenance are given in Table 5.

## Clinical Examinations and Pathology

All animals were observed two times per day, and clinical signs were recorded at least once per month. Body weights were recorded once per week for the first 13 weeks of the study and once per month thereafter. Mean body weights were calculated for each group. Animals found moribund and those surviving to the end of the studies were humanely killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals including those found dead, unless they were excessively autolyzed or cannibalized, missexed, or found missing. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically
varies and is not necessarily equal to the number of animals that were placed on study.

During necropsy, all organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions. Tissues were preserved in $10 \%$ neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissues examined microscopically are listed in Table 5.

When the pathology evaluation was completed, the slides, paraffin blocks, and residual wet tissues were sent to the NTP Archives for inventory, slide/block match, and wet tissue audit. The slides, individual animal data records, and pathology tables were sent to an independent quality assessment laboratory. The individual animal records and tables were compared for accuracy, slides and tissue counts were verified, and histotechnique was evaluated. All tumor diagnoses, all target tissues, and all tissues from a randomly selected $10 \%$ of the animals were evaluated by a quality assessment pathologist. The quality assessment report and slides were submitted to the Pathology Working Group (PWG) Chairperson, who reviewed all target tissues and those about which there was a disagreement between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists.

Representative slides selected by the Chairperson were reviewed by the PWG, which includes the laboratory pathologist, without knowledge of previously rendered diagnoses. When the consensus diagnosis of the PWG differed from that of the laboratory pathologist, the laboratory pathologist was asked to reconsider the original diagnosis. This procedure has been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). The final diagnoses represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Group. For subsequent analysis of pathology data, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type are combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

Slides/tissues are generally not evaluated in a blind fashion (i.e., without knowledge of dose group) unless the lesions in question are subtle or unless there is an inconsistent diagnosis of lesions by the laboratory pathologist. Nonneoplastic lesions are not examined routinely by the
quality assessment pathologist or PWG unless they are considered part of the toxic effect of the chemical.

## Statistical Methods

Data Recording: Data on this experiment were recorded in the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969).

Survival Analyses: The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals were censored from the survival analyses at the time they were found to be missing or dead from other than natural causes; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test for a doserelated trend. When significant survival differences were detected, additional analyses using these procedures were carried out to determine the time point at which significant differences in the survival curves were first detected. All reported $P$ values for the survival analysis are two-sided.

Calculation of Incidence: The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site to the number of animals in which that site was examined. In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which the site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) prior to histologic sampling, or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence: Three statistical methods are used to analyze tumor incidence data: life table tests, incidental tumor analysis, and Fisher exact/Cochran-Armitage trend
analyses. Tests of significance include pairwise comparisons of high dose and low dose groups with controls and tests for overall dose-response trends. For studies in which administration of the test compound has little effect on survival, the results of the three alternative analyses will generally be similar. When differing results are obtained by the three methods, the final interpretation of the data will depend on the extent to which the tumor under consideration is regarded as being the cause of death. Continuity-corrected tests are used in the analysis of tumor incidence, and reported $P$ values are one-sided. The procedures described below also were used to evaluate selected nonneoplastic lesions.

Life Table Analyses--The first method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals dying before the end of the study were "fatal"; i.e., they either directly or indirectly caused the death of the animal. According to this approach, the proportions of tumorbearing animals in the dosed and control groups were compared at each point in time at which an animal died with a tumor of interest. The denominators of these proportions were the total number of animals at risk in each group. These results, including the data from animals killed at the end of the study, were then combined by the Mantel-Haenszel method (1959) to obtain an overall P value. This method of adjusting for intercurrent mortality is the life table method of Cox (1972) and of Tarone (1975). The underlying variable considered by this analysis is time to death due to tumor. If the tumor is rapidly lethal, then time to death due to tumor closely approximates time to tumor onset. In this case, the life table test also provides a comparison of the time-specific tumor incidences.

Incidental Tumor Analyses--The second method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals that died before the end of the study were "incidental"; i.e., they were merely observed at necropsy in animals dying of an unrelated cause. According to this approach, the proportions of tumor-bearing animals in dosed and control groups were compared in each of five time intervals: weeks $0-52$, weeks 53-78, weeks $79-92$, week 93 to the week before the terminal-kill period, and the terminal-kill period. The denominators of these proportions
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were the number of animals actually examined for tumors during the time interval. The individual time interval comparisons were then combined by the previously described method to obtain a single overall result. (See Haseman, 1984, for the computational details of both methods.) A recently developed method for the analysis of incidental tumors based on logistic regression (Dinse and Lagakos, 1983) was also employed as a supplemental test in some instances. This method has the advantage of not requiring time intervals in the statistical evaluation.

Fisher Exact/Cochran-Armitage Trend Analy-ses-In addition to survival-adjusted methods, the results of the Fisher exact test for pairwise
comparisons and the Cochran-Armitage linear trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979) are given in the appendixes containing the analyses of tumor incidence. These two tests are based on the overall proportion of tumor-bearing animals and do not adjust for survival differences.

Historical Control Data: Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for evaluation, there are certain instances in which historical control data can be helpful in the overall assessment of tumor incidence. Consequently, control tumor incidences from the NTP historical control data base (Haseman et al., 1984, 1985) are included for those tumors appearing to show compound-related effects.

## III. RESULTS
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## FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

None of the rats died before the end of the studies (Table 6). Feed consumption of rats that received 25,000 or $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ was notably lower than that of controls. Final mean body weights of male rats that received $12,500,25,000$, or $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were $10 \%, 30 \%$, or $36 \%$ lower, respectively, than that of controls. Final mean body weights of female rats that received 12,500 , 25,000 , or $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were $10 \%, 12 \%$, or $32 \%$ lower, respectively, than that of controls. Lethargy and rough coats were observed at 12,500 ppm and above; diarrhea was observed at 50,000 ppm . Two male rats that received $25,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ had hyperemic intestines.

## THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

None of the rats died before the end of the studies (Table 7). Final mean body weights of the $20,000-\mathrm{ppm}$ groups were $12 \%$ lower than that of the controls for males and $7 \%$ lower for females. Feed consumption by dosed and control groups was comparable (except for males at 20,000 ppm ). All dosed rats except the $1,250-\mathrm{ppm}$ group of males were lethargic. Males that received $5,000-20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ and females that received 10,000 or $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ had rough coats. Multinucleated syncytial hepatocytes were observed in all males that received $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of lower weight gain at higher concentrations, dietary concentrations of erythromycin stearate selected for rats for the 2-year studies were 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$.

TABLE 6. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF RATS IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Concentration (ppm) | Survival <br> (a) | Mean Body Weights (grams) |  |  | Final Weight Relative to Controls (percent) | e Feed Con-$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial (b) | Final | Change (c) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week 1 | Week 2 |
| MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5/5 | $110 \pm 5$ | $174 \pm 12$ | $+64 \pm 7$ | -- | 15.1 | 15.9 |
| 3,125 | 5/5 | $103 \pm 5$ | $155 \pm 11$ | $+52 \pm 6$ | 89 | 13.7 | 14.8 |
| 6,250 | $5 / 5$ | $110 \pm 5$ | $169 \pm 10$ | $+59 \pm 5$ | 97 | 14.4 | 15.5 |
| 12,500 | 5/5 | $110 \pm 8$ | $156 \pm 14$ | $+46 \pm 6$ | 90 | 12.1 | 14.3 |
| 25,000 | $5 / 5$ | $108 \pm 5$ | $121 \pm 8$ | $+13 \pm 4$ | 70 | 7.9 | 11.1 |
| 50,000 | $5 / 5$ | $117 \pm 8$ | $111 \pm 6$ | $-6 \pm 2$ | 64 | 4.9 | 6.3 |
| FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5/5 | $92 \pm 5$ | $120 \pm 7$ | $+28 \pm 3$ | -- | 10.9 | 10.4 |
| 3,125 | 5/5 | $101 \pm 4$ | $121 \pm 4$ | $+20 \pm 2$ | 101 | 12.3 | 11.0 |
| 6,250 | $5 / 5$ | $94 \pm 3$ | $112 \pm 6$ | $+18 \pm 3$ | 93 | 11.2 | 10.7 |
| 12,500 | $5 / 5$ | $93 \pm 6$ | $108 \pm 7$ | $+15 \pm 2$ | 90 | 9.1 | 10.9 |
| 25,000 | $5 / 5$ | $99 \pm 1$ | $105 \pm 2$ | $+6 \pm 1$ | 88 | 6.4 | 8.5 |
| 50,000 | 5/5 | $88 \pm 3$ | $82 \pm 2$ | $-6 \pm 2$ | 68 | 3.1 | 4.4 |

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group
(b) Initial group mean body weight $\pm$ standard error of the mean (c) Mean body weight change of the group $\pm$ standard error of the mean (d) Grams of feed consumed per animal per day; not corrected for scatter.

TABLE 7. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Concentration (ppm) | Survival <br> (a) | Mean Body Weights (grams) |  |  | Final Weight Relative to Controls (percent) | Feed Consumption (d) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial (b) | Final | Change (c) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week 4 | Week 12 |
| MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10/10 | $135 \pm 1$ | $305 \pm 5$ | $+170 \pm 6$ | -- | 14.5 | 11.5 |
| 1,250 | 10/10 | $135 \pm 1$ | $305 \pm 2$ | $+170 \pm 2$ | 100 | 13.4 | 11.5 |
| 2,500 | 10/10 | $127 \pm 1$ | $291 \pm 10$ | $+164 \pm 10$ | 95 | 13.2 | 11.1 |
| 5,000 | 10/10 | $137 \pm 1$ | $312 \pm 3$ | $+175 \pm 3$ | 102 | 13.9 | 11.8 |
| 10,000 | 10/10 | $130 \pm 1$ | $285 \pm 3$ | $+155 \pm 3$ | 93 | 13.4 | 11.0 |
| 20,000 | 10/10 | $138 \pm 1$ | $269 \pm 2$ | $+131 \pm 3$ | 88 | 12.2 | 11.3 |
| FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10/10 | $101 \pm 1$ | $168 \pm 2$ | $+67 \pm 2$ | -- | 8.2 | 7.5 |
| 1,250 | 10/10 | $101 \pm 1$ | $168 \pm 6$ | $+67 \pm 6$ | 100 | 8.7 | 8.0 |
| 2,500 | 10/10 | $105 \pm 1$ | $176 \pm 2$ | $+71 \pm 2$ | 105 | 8.5 | 8.2 |
| 5,000 | 10/10 | $105 \pm 2$ | $179 \pm 2$ | +74 $\pm 2$ | 107 | 8.5 | 8.0 |
| 10,000 | 10/10 | $104 \pm 1$ | $164 \pm 2$ | $+60 \pm 1$ | 98 | 8.5 | 7.9 |
| 20,000 | 10/10 | $104 \pm 1$ | $156 \pm 2$ | $+52 \pm 3$ | 93 | 7.9 | 8.0 |

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group
(b) Initial group mean body weight $\pm$ standard error of the mean
(c) Mean weight change of the group $\pm$ standard error of the mean
(d) Grams of feed consumed per animal per day; not corrected for scatter.

## TWO-YEAR STUDIES

## Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of high dose male rats were within $6 \%$ of those of controls throughout the studies (Table 8 and Figure 3). Mean body weights of high dose female rats were $5 \%-10 \%$ lower than those of controls from week 35 to the end of the studies. Mean body weights of low
dose male and female rats were comparable to those of controls throughout the studies. The average daily feed consumption per rat by low dose and high dose rats was $99 \%$ and $98 \%$ that by controls for males (Appendix G, Table G1) and 103\% and $99 \%$ for females (Table G2). The average amount of erythromycin stearate consumed per day was approximately 180 or $370 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ by low dose or high dose male rats and 210 or $435 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ by low dose or high dose female rats. No com-pound-related clinical signs were observed.

TABLE 8. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Weeks <br> on <br> Study | Av. Wt. <br> (grams) | Nol of <br> Survivors | Av. Wt. <br> (grams) | Wt. (percent <br> of controls) | No. of <br> Survivors | Av. Wt. <br> (grams) | Wt. (percent <br> of controls) | No. of <br> Survivors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

MALE

|  |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| ¢ ¢ ' |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

FEMALE

| 1 | 126 | 50 | 127 | 101 | 50 | 124 | 98 | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 146 | 50 | 146 | 100 | 50 | 142 | 97 | 50 |
| 3 | 155 | 50 | 156 | 101 | 50 | 151 | 97 | 50 |
| 4 | 166 | 50 | 167 | 101 | 50 | 161 | 97 | 50 |
| 5 | 168 | 50 | 171 | 102 | 50 | 162 | 96 | 50 |
| 6 | 179 | 50 | 181 | 101 | 50 | 172 | 96 | 50 |
| 7 | 184 | 50 | 183 | 99 | 50 | 176 | 96 | 50 |
| 8 | 189 | 50 | 187 | 99 | 50 | 185 | 98 | 50 |
| 9 | 193 | 50 | 193 | 100 | 50 | 186 | 96 | 50 |
| 10 | 196 | 50 | 198 | 101 | 50 | 187 | 95 | 50 |
| 11 | 200 | 50 | 201 | 101 | 50 | 191 | 96 | 50 |
| 12 | 202 | 50 | 205 | 101 | 50 | 193 | 96 | 50 |
| 13 | 205 | 50 | 208 | 101 | 50 | 196 | 96 | 50 |
| 17 | 219 | 50 | 222 | 101 | 50 | 209 | 95 | 50 |
| 21 | 220 | 50 | 227 | 103 | 50 | 212 | 96 | 50 |
| 27 | 233 | 49 | 238 | 102 | 50 | 222 | 95 | 50 |
| 31 | 238 | 49 | 245 | 103 | 50 | 229 | 96 | 50 |
| 35 | 255 | 49 | 247 | 97 | 50 | 230 | 90 | 50 |
| 40 | 249 | 49 | 256 | 103 | 50 | 234 | 94 | 50 |
| 44 | 256 | 49 | 261 | 102 | 50 | 241 | 94 | 50 |
| 48 | 263 | 49 | 273 | 104 | 50 | 250 | 95 | 50 |
| 52 | 273 | 48 | 283 | 104 | 50 | 257 | 94 | 50 |
| 57 | 289 | 46 | 292 | 101 | 49 | 286 | 92 | 50 |
| 61 | 293 | 46 | 304 | 104 | 49 | 275 | 94 | 50 |
| 65 | 302 | 46 | 313 | 104 | 48 | 283 | 94 | 49 |
| 70 | 313 | 46 | 322 | 103 | 47 | 292 | 93 | 47 |
| 75 | 317 | 48 | 326 | 103 | 46 | 295 | 93 | 47 |
| 79 | 321 | 45 | 327 | 102 | 46 | 294 | 92 | 47 |
| 83 | 323 | 43 | 328 | 102 | 43 | 297 | 92 | 47 |
| 87 | 326 | 38 | 330 | 101 | 41 | 296 | 91 | 47 |
| 91 | 316 | 36 | 328 | 104 | 39 | 290 | 92 | 47 |
| 96 | 330 | 33 | 336 | 102 | 37 | 299 | 91 | 43 |
| 100 | 329 | 32 | 328 | 100 | 34 | 300 | 91 | 41 |
| 103 | 328 | 29 | 334 | 102 | 30 | 307 | 94 | 37 |



FIGURE 3. GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE FOR TWO YEARS

## Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female rats fed diets containing erythromycin stearate at the concentrations used in these studies and for controls are shown in Table 9 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 4. No significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of either sex.

## Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of rats with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the oral cavity, adrenal gland, testis, liver, spleen, bone marrow, Zymbal gland, and eye.

Lesions in male rats are summarized in Appendix A. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms are summarized in Table A1. Table A2 gives the survival and tumor status for individual male
rats. Table A3 contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least $5 \%$ in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Table A3 (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in control male rats are listed in Table A4. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Table A5.

Lesions in female rats are summarized in Appendix B. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms are summarized in Table B1. Table B2 gives the survival and tumor status for individual female rats. Table B3 contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least $5 \%$ in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Table B3 (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in control female rats are listed in Ta ble B4. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Table B5.

TABLE 9. SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Control | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MALE (a) |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b) | 22 | 27 | 23 |
| Killed at termination | 28 | 23 | 0.95 |
| Survival P values (c) | 0.966 |  |  |
| FEMALE (a) |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b) | 21 | 20 | 12 |
| Killed at termination | 29 | 38 |  |
| Survival P values (c) | 0.050 | 0.884 | 0.055 |

[^2]

FIGURE 4. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE FOR TWO YEARS

Oral Cavity: The incidence of oral cavity squamous cell papillomas was increased in the dosed groups of female rats compared with that in the controls; although the increase was not statistically significant, it is noteworthy, since oral cavity tumors are uncommon in female rats (Table 10).

Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytomas in female rats occurred with a positive trend; the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the controls by the incidental tumor test (Table 11). Results of the incidental tumor
test in this study were notably different from results of the life table and Fisher exact tests. Because the results of the incidental tumor test may have been influenced by the choice of time intervals used in the analysis, logistic regression (an alternative procedure for analysis of incidental tumors which does not require selection of time intervals) was carried out as a supplemental test (Dinse and Lagakos, 1983; Dinse and Haseman, 1986). By this approach, neither the trend $(\mathrm{P}=0.077)$ nor the high dose incidence ( $\mathrm{P}=0.104$ ) was statistically significant.

TABLE 10. ANALYSIS OF ORAL CAVITY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (a)

|  | Control | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{p p m}(\mathbf{b})$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m ~ ( b ) ~}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma (c) |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates | $1 / 50(2 \%)$ | $2 / 50(\mathbf{4 \%})$ | $3 / 50(6 \%)$ |
| Adjusted Rates | $3.4 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| Terminal Rates | $1 / 29(3 \%)$ | $2 / 30(7 \%)$ | $2 / 38(5 \%)$ |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 104 | 95 |
| Life Table Tests | $\mathrm{P}=0.316$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.512$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.405$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests | $\mathrm{P}=0.308$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.512$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.395$ |

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix B, Table B3 (footnotes).
(b) The estimated dose in milligrams per kilograms per day is given in Chapter III (Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Signs) and in Appendix G.
(c) Historical incidence of squamous cell tumors at study laboratory: 0/150; historical incidence in NTP studies (mean): 1/1,984 (0.05\%)

TABLE 11. ANALYSIS OF ADRENAL GLAND LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Control | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medullary Hyperplasia |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates | 6/50(12\%) | 4/49 (8\%) | $6 / 50$ (12\%) |
| Pheochromocytoma (a) |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates | 1/50 (2\%) | 4/49 (8\%) | 5/50 (10\%) |
| Adjusted Rates | 2.3\% | 11.4\% | 12.4\% |
| Terminal Rates | 0/29 (0\%) | 2/29 (7\%) | 4/38(11\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 83 | 84 | 91 |
| Life Table Tests | $\mathrm{P}=0.146$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.169$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests | $\mathrm{P}=0.025$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.138$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.041$ |

(a) Historical incidence of pheochromocytomas or malignant pheochromocytomas (combined) at study laboratory (mean $\pm$ SD): $13 / 149(9 \% \pm 6 \%)$; historical incidence in NTP studies: $98 / 1,966(5 \% \pm 4 \%)$

Testis: Interstitial cell tumors in male rats occurred with a significant positive trend; the incidence in the high dose group was greater than that in the controls (Table 12).

Liver: Granulomas were observed at increased incidences ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) in high dose rats (male: control, $1 / 50$; low dose, $2 / 50$; high dose, $10 / 50$; female: 18/50; 27/50; 43/50). The granulomas seen in dosed rats were generally larger than those observed in controls, and they consisted of focal aggregates of macrophages surrounded by varied numbers of lymphocytes.

Spleen: Granulomatous inflammation or granulomas (combined) was observed in dosed female rats (male: none observed; female: control, $0 / 48$; low dose, $1 / 49$; high dose, $3 / 50$ ). These lesions, which are rarely observed in the spleen of control rats, were similar to the granulomas occurring in the liver.

Bone Marrow: Reticulum cell hyperplasia was observed at increased incidences in dosed female rats (male: control, 2/49; low dose, 3/49; high dose, $4 / 49$; female: $10 / 50 ; 14 / 50 ; 25 / 50$ ). This
lesion consisted of small focal aggregates of large polygonal cells with abundant cytoplasm characteristic of macrophages.

Zymbal Gland: Carcinomas in male rats occurred with a significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.03$ ) negative trend (male: control, $4 / 50$; low dose, $1 / 50$; high dose, $0 / 50$; female: $0 / 50 ; 1 / 50 ; 0 / 50$ ); the incidences in the dosed groups were not significantly lower than that in the controls.

Eye: Mineralization of the crystalline lens was observed at an increased incidence ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) in low dose male rats (male: control, $3 / 50$; low dose, $10 / 50$; high dose, $1 / 50$; female: $1 / 50 ; 4 / 50 ; 1 / 50$ ). Cataracts were observed at an increased incidence ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) in low dose female rats (male: 8/50; $13 / 50 ; 9 / 50$; female: $1 / 50 ; 19 / 50 ; 4 / 50$ ). At study week 101 , the average light intensity was estimated to be 2,054 total footcandles. Low dose male and female rat cages occupied the top two tiers, high dose male and female rat cages occupied the middle two tiers, and control male and female rat cages occupied the bottom two tiers of the rack. Cages were not rotated during the studies.

TABLE 12. ANALYSIS OF TESTICULAR LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Control | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hyperplasia |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Overall Rates | $17 / 50(34 \%)$ | $17 / 50(34 \%)$ | $16 / 50(32 \%)$ |
| Interstitial Cell Tumor (a) |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Overall Rates | $32 / 50(64 \%)$ | $36 / 50(72 \%)$ | $43 / 50(86 \%)$ |
| Adjusted Rates | $86.2 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Terminal Rates | $23 / 28(82 \%)$ | $20 / 23(87 \%)$ | $27 / 27(100 \%)$ |
| Week of First Observation | 74 | 80 | 76 |
| Life Table Tests | $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.076$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.022$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.208$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.260$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ |
| Fisher Exact Test |  |  |  |

(a) Historical incidence at study laboratory (mean $\pm$ SD): $133 / 150(89 \% \pm 6 \%)$; historical incidence in NTP studies: $1,681 / 1,909(88 \% \pm 7 \%)$

## FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Two of the female mice that received $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ died before the end of the studies (Table 13). None of the dosed groups of male or female mice gained weight. Feed consumption by mice that received 25,000 and $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ was notably less than that by controls. Lethargy and rough coats were observed at all but the lowest dose. Hydration of the cornea was observed in mice that received $6,250,12,500$, or $25,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. In mice that received $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$, one male had a hyperemic jejunum and cecum, one male had a hemorrhagic spleen, and one female had hemorrhagic intestines.

## THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

None of the mice died before the end of the studies (Table 14). Final mean body weights of mice that received 10,000 or $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were $15 \%$ or $19 \%$ lower than those of controls for males and $5 \%$ or $14 \%$ lower for females. Estimated feed consumption by dosed groups was comparable to that by the controls. No compound-related clinical signs or microscopic pathologic effects were observed.

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of lower weight gain at higher concentrations, dietary concentrations of erythromycin stearate selected for mice for the 2 -year studies were 2,500 and 5,000 ppm.

TABLE 13. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF MICE IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Concentration (ppm) | Survival <br> (a) | Mean Body Weights (grams) |  |  | Final Weight Relative to Controls (percent) | Feed Consumption (d) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial (b) | Final | Change (c) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $5 / 5$ | $20.5 \pm 0.8$ | $21.4 \pm 0.9$ | $+0.9 \pm 1.0$ | -- | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 3,125 | $5 / 5$ | $22.0 \pm 0.7$ | $21.5 \pm 0.9$ | $-0.5 \pm 0.3$ | 100.5 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| 6,250 | 5/5 | $21.2 \pm 0.5$ | $20.2 \pm 0.6$ | $-1.0 \pm 0.4$ | 94.4 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| 12,500 | $5 / 5$ | $23.2 \pm 1.2$ | $22.1 \pm 1.2$ | $-1.1 \pm 0.4$ | 103.3 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| 25,000 | $5 / 5$ | $22.4 \pm 0.5$ | $22.1 \pm 0.3$ | $-0.3 \pm 0.5$ | 103.3 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| 50,000 | $5 / 5$ | $20.4 \pm 1.0$ | $19.2 \pm 1.0$ | $-1.2 \pm 0.2$ | 89.7 | 1.6 | 2.5 |
| FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5/5 | $17.3 \pm 0.3$ | $17.5 \pm 0.6$ | $+0.2 \pm 0.4$ | $\cdots$ | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| 3,125 | $5 / 5$ | $18.1 \pm 0.8$ | $18.1 \pm 0.5$ | $0.0 \pm 0.3$ | 103.4 | 3.9 | 3.4 |
| 6,250 | 5/5 | $18.2 \pm 0.5$ | $18.1 \pm 0.2$ | $-0.1 \pm 0.3$ | 103.4 | 3.7 | 3.2 |
| 12,500 | 5/5 | $17.8 \pm 0.4$ | $17.6 \pm 0.2$ | $-0.2 \pm 0.2$ | 100.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| 25,000 | 5/5 | $18.3 \pm 0.8$ | $17.0 \pm 0.5$ | $-1.3 \pm 0.4$ | 97.1 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 50,000 | (e) $3 / 5$ | $17.6 \pm 0.4$ | $17.8 \pm 1.3$ | $-0.4 \pm 1.4$ | 101.7 | 1.9 | 2.9 |

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group
(b) Initial group mean body weight $\pm$ standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on those animals surviving to the end of the study.
(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors $\pm$ standard error of the mean
(d) Grams of feed consumed per animal per day; not corrected for scatter.
(e) Day of death: 9,10

TABLE 14. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Concentration (ppm) | Survival <br> (a) | Mean Body Weights (grams) |  |  | Final Weight Relative to Controls (percent) | Feed Consumption (d) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial (b) | Final | Change (c) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week 4 | Week 12 |
| MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10/10 | $20.9 \pm 0.4$ | $31.1 \pm 1.2$ | $+10.2 \pm 1.3$ | -- | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| 1,250 | 10/10 | $21.5 \pm 0.5$ | $32.1 \pm 1.1$ | $+10.6 \pm 1.3$ | 103.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 2,500 | 10/10 | $22.2 \pm 0.5$ | $31.0 \pm 0.5$ | $+8.8 \pm 0.6$ | 99.7 | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| 5,000 | 10/10 | $21.8 \pm 0.1$ | $30.2 \pm 0.7$ | $+8.4 \pm 0.7$ | 97.1 | 2.8 | 3.1 |
| 10,000 | 10/10 | $21.3 \pm 0.4$ | $26.5 \pm 0.6$ | $+5.2 \pm 0.6$ | 85.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 |
| 20,000 | 10/10 | $21.5 \pm 0.2$ | $25.1 \pm 0.3$ | $+3.6 \pm 0.4$ | 80.7 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10/10 | $17.2 \pm 0.2$ | $24.0 \pm 0.5$ | $+6.8 \pm 0.5$ | -- | 2.1 | 2.6 |
| 1,250 | 10/10 | $17.9 \pm 0.2$ | $25.5 \pm 0.5$ | $+7.6 \pm 0.4$ | 106.3 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| 2,500 | 10/10 | $18.4 \pm 0.3$ | $24.5 \pm 0.8$ | $+6.1 \pm 0.5$ | 102.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| 5,000 | 10/10 | $17.8 \pm 0.2$ | $26.0 \pm 0.7$ | $+8.2 \pm 0.6$ | 108.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| 10,000 | 10/10 | $18.8 \pm 0.2$ | $22.7 \pm 0.4$ | $+3.9 \pm 0.4$ | 94.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| 20,000 | 10/10 | $18.3 \pm 0.1$ | $20.7 \pm 0.5$ | $+2.4 \pm 0.5$ | 86.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 |

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group
(b) Initial group mean body weight $\pm$ standard error of the mean
(c) Mean weight change of the group $\pm$ standard error of the mean
(d) Grams of feed consumed per animal per day; not corrected for scatter.

## TWO-YEAR STUDIES

## Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of dosed and control mice were generally comparable throughout the studies (Table 15 and Figure 5). The average daily feed consumption by low dose and high dose
male mice was $103 \%$ and $100 \%$ that by controls (Appendix G, Table G3) and by low dose and high dose female mice, $92 \%$ that by controls (Table G4). The average amount of erythromycin stearate consumed per day was approximately 270 or $545 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ by low or high dose male mice and 250 or $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ by low or high dose female mice. No compound-related clinical signs were observed.

TABLE 15. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Weeks on Study | Control |  | 2,500 ppm |  |  | 5,000 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Av. Wt. (grams) | No. of Survivors | Av. Wt. <br> (grams) | Wt. (percent of controls) | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { Survivors } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Wt. <br> (grams) | Wt. (percent of controls) | No. of Survivors |
| MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 25.5 | 50 | 24.6 | 96 | 50 | 24.6 | 96 | 50 |
| 2 | 26.2 | 50 | 25.3 | 97 | 50 | 25.3 | 97 | 50 |
| 3 | 27.7 | 50 | 28.9 | 97 | 50 | 26.4 | 95 | 50 |
| 4 | 28.1 | 50 | 27.6 | 98 | 50 | 27.1 | 96 | 49 |
| 5 | 29.0 | 50 | 28.3 | 98 | 50 | 27.6 | 95 | 49 |
| 6 | 29.9 | 50 | 29.2 | 98 | 50 | 28.3 | 95 | 49 |
| 7 | 30.3 | 50 | 30.0 | 99 | 50 | 28.7 | 95 | 49 |
| 8 | 30.9 | 50 | 30.5 | 99 | 50 | 29.6 | 96 | 49 |
| 9 | 31.3 | 50 | 31.2 | 100 | 50 | 30.1 | 96 | 49 |
| 10 | 31.7 | 50 | 31.9 | 101 | 50 | 30.1 | 95 | 49 |
| 11 | 32.2 | 50 | 32.2 | 100 | 50 | 30.8 | 96 | 49 |
| 12 | 34.3 | 50 | 32.6 | 95 | 50 | 31.5 | 92 | 49 |
| 13 | 33.0 | 50 | 32.9 | 100 | 50 | 32.3 | 98 | 49 |
| 16 | 33.4 | 50 | 35.1 | 105 | 50 | 33.5 | 100 | 49 |
| 20 | 35.4 | 50 | 35.8 | 101 | 50 | 34.5 | 97 | 49 |
| 26 | 35.1 | 50 | 37.0 | 105 | 49 | 35.1 | 100 | 49 |
| 30 | 36.3 | 50 | 36.4 | 100 | 49 | 35.4 | 98 | 49 |
| 34 | 37.2 | 50 | 37.5 | 101 | 49 | 36.6 | 98 | 49 |
| 38 | 36.9 | 50 | 38.0 | 103 | 49 | 36.8 | 100 | 49 |
| 42 | 38.4 | 50 | 39.0 | 102 | 49 | 38.8 | 101 | 49 |
| 46 | 39.5 | 49 | 39.6 | 100 | 49 | 39.0 | 99 | 49 |
| 50 | 39.7 | 49 | 40.0 | 101 | 47 | 39.1 | 98 | 49 |
| 55 | 39.7 | 48 | 39.5 | 99 | 47 | 39.0 | 98 | 49 |
| 58 | 39.4 | 48 | 39.3 | 100 | 47 | 39.0 | 99 | 49 |
| 63 | 40.2 | 48 | 40.2 | 100 | 47 | 39.7 | 99 | 49 |
| 68 | 39.5 | 48 | 40.0 | 101 | 47 | 39.0 | 99 | 49 |
| 72 | 39.1 | 48 | 39.3 | 101 | 46 | 38.5 | 98 | 48 |
| 77 | 39.1 | 47 | 38.4 | 98 | 45 | 38.0 | 97 | 46 |
| 81 | 39.2 | 47 | 38.6 | 98 | 44 | 37.7 | 96 | 45 |
| 85 | 38.0 | 44 | 37.8 | 99 | 41 | 37.8 | 99 | 42 |
| 89 | 37.8 | 43 | 39.3 | 104 | 39 | 38.3 | 101 | 41 |
| 94 | 37.5 | 42 | 38.3 | 102 | 38 | 37.2 | 99 | 41 |
| 98 | 37.4 | 40 | 39.5 | 106 | 35 | 37.7 | 101 | 40 |
| 103 | 37.5 | 34 | 38.5 | 103 | 33 | 37.3 | 99 | 40 |
| FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 20.0 | 50 | 19.4 | 97 | 50 | 19.7 | 99 | 50 |
| 2 | 20.7 | 50 | 20.5 | 99 | 50 | 20.5 | 99 | 50 |
| 3 | 21.7 | 50 | 21.1 | 97 | 50 | 21.0 | 97 | 50 |
| 4 | 21.9 | 50 | 21.4 | 98 | 50 | 21.8 | 100 | 50 |
| 5 | 22.6 | 50 | 21.9 | 97 | 50 | 22.3 | 99 | 50 |
| 6 | 23.1 | 50 | 22.7 | 98 | 50 | 23.2 | 100 | 50 |
| 7 | 23.7 | 50 | 23.1 | 97 | 50 | 23.4 | 99 | 50 |
| 8 | 23.9 | 50 | 23.5 | 98 | 50 | 23.5 | 98 | 50 |
| 9 | 23.9 | 50 | 23.9 | 100 | 49 | 24.1 | 101 | 50 |
| 10 | 24.7 | 50 | 24.3 | 98 | 49 | 24.2 | 98 | 50 |
| 11 | 24.8 | 50 | 25.0 | 101 | 49 | 24.2 | 98 | 50 |
| 12 | 25.8 | 50 | 25.7 | 100 | 49 | 25.4 | 98 | 50 |
| 13 | 26.3 | 50 | 26.5 | 101 | 49 | 26.2 | 100 | 50 |
| 16 | 27.2 | 50 | 27.8 | 102 | 49 | 27.1 | 100 | 50 |
| 20 | 29.5 | 50 | 28.9 | 98 | 49 | 28.7 | 97 | 50 |
| 26 | 30.4 | 50 | 30.3 | 100 | 49 | 29.2 | 96 | 49 |
| 30 | 31.8 | 50 | 32.0 | 101 | 48 | 30.9 | 97 | 49 |
| 34 | 34.3 | 50 | 34.0 | 99 | 48 | 33.2 | 97 | 49 |
| 38 | 34.8 | 50 | 35.0 | 101 | 48 | 33.4 | 96 | 49 |
| 42 | 35.2 | 50 | 36.2 | 103 | 48 | 35.2 | 100 | 49 |
| 46 | 37.4 | 50 | 37.4 | 100 | 48 | 36.7 | 98 | 49 |
| 50 | 38.1 | 50 | 38.3 | 101 | 48 | 37.9 | 99 | 49 |
| 55 | 37.7 | 50 | 37.8 | 100 | 48 | 37.6 | 100 | 49 |
| 38 | 38.6 | 50 | 37.0 | 96 | 48 | 37.4 | 97 | 49 |
| 63 | 39.7 | 50 | 38.5 | 97 | 47 | 39.1 | 98 | 49 |
| 68 | 40.1 | 50 | 38.9 | 97 | 47 | 39.6 | 99 | 49 |
| 72 | 39.7 | 50 | 38.6 | 97 | 47 | 40.2 | 101 | 49 |
| 77 | 39.1 | 49 | 38.5 | 98 | 46 | 39.1 | 100 | 48 |
| 81 | 39.9 | 48 | 38.9 | 97 | 46 | 39.8 | 100 | 48 |
| 85 | 39.9 | 48 | 39.5 | 99 | 46 | 40.3 | 101 | 47 |
| 89 | 39.3 | 48 | 39.0 | 99 | 46 | 39.9 | 102 | 47 |
| 94 | 39.5 | 45 | 39.2 | 99 | 40 | 40.2 | 102 | 46 |
| 98 | 39.5 | 42 | 39.9 | 101 | 38 | 40.9 | 104 | 44 |
| 103 | 40.4 | 38 | 39.6 | 98 | 34 | 40.5 | 100 | 41 |



FIGURE 5. GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING
ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE FOR TWO YEARS

## Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female mice fed diets containing erythromycin stearate at the concentrations used in these studies and for controls are shown in Table 16 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 6. No significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of either sex.

## Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes changes in the incidences of mice with nonneoplastic lesions of the glandular stomach and urinary bladder.

Lesions in male mice are summarized in Appendix C. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms are summarized in Table C1. Table C2 gives the survival and tumor status for individual male mice. Table C3 contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least $5 \%$ in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are
discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Table C3 (footnotes). Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Table C4.

Lesions in female mice are summarized in Appendix D. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms are summarized in Table D1. Table D2 gives the survival and tumor status for individual female mice. Table D3 contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least $5 \%$ in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Table D3 (footnotes). Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Table D4.

Glandular Stomach: Inflammation was observed at increased incidences in dosed male mice (male: control, $1 / 49$; low dose, $4 / 50$; high dose, $6 / 50$; female: $3 / 49 ; 4 / 49 ; 0 / 50$ ).

Urinary Bladder: Lymphoid hyperplasia was observed at increased incidences in dosed female mice (male: control, $3 / 50$; low dose, $4 / 50$; high dose, $8 / 50$; female: $1 / 50 ; 9 / 47 ; 7 / 48$ ).

TABLE 16. SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Control | $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MALE (a) |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b) | 16 | 17 | 10 |
| Killed at termination | 34 | 32 | 40 |
| Died during termination period | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Survival P values (c) | 0.316 | 0.831 | 0.328 |
| FEMALE (a) |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b) | 12 | 15 | 10 |
| Accidentally killed | 0 | 0 |  |
| Killed at termination | 38 | 34 | 40 |
| Survival P values (c) | 0.728 | 0.539 | 0.789 |

(a) Terminal-kill period: week 104
(b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition
(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.


FIGURE 6. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE FOR TWO YEARS

## IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of erythromycin stearate were conducted by administering erythromycin stearate (USP grade, greater than $96 \%$ pure) in feed to groups of $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats and $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice for 14 days, 13 weeks, or 2 years. Erythromycin stearate was studied and evaluated because of its widespread use in humans as a broad-spectrum macrolide antibiotic and because of the absence of reported long-term studies for carcinogenicity.

Diets containing up to $50,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ erythromycin stearate ( $5 \%$ of the diet) were given to animals in the 14 -day studies; all the rats and male mice survived, but $2 / 5$ female mice receiving 50,000 ppm died. Marked dose-related decreases in weight gain correlated with proportional decreases in feed consumption except in female mice. This seems unusual, since the reported acute oral (gavage) toxicity of erythromycin base is greater for mice than for rats (age, sex, and strain unspecified; NIOSH, 1983). Clinical observations and gross pathologic lesions were indicative of gastrointestinal distress, most likely associated with killing of intestinal bacteria. Histopathologic examinations were not performed in the 14 -day studies, and no information is available on target organ toxicity at these dose levels for these studies.

In the 13 -week studies, none of the rats or mice receiving dietary concentrations up to 20,000 ppm died before the end of the studies. Final mean body weights of the $20,000-\mathrm{ppm}$ groups of rats and mice were lower than those of the controls, with a proportionately greater reduction in males. The estimated feed consumption rates were similar to control values.

The liver (multinucleated syncytial hepatocytes) was the only organ affected in male rats. No compound-related gross or microscopic pathologic effects were observed in mice. Erythromycin is known to concentrate in the liver and to be excreted in the bile (Sande and Mandell, 1985). In vitro and in vivo studies and case reports in humans suggest that erythromycin is toxic to the liver (Dujovne et al., 1970, 1972; Tolman et al., 1974; Alcalay et al., 1986).

Based on these results, primarily the effects on final body weights, the 2 -year studies of erythromycin stearate were conducted by administering
erythromycin stearate in the diet at $0,5,000$, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ to groups of 50 rats of each sex for 103 weeks. Diets containing $0,2,500$, or 5,000 ppm erythromycin stearate were fed to groups of 50 mice of each sex for 103 weeks.

Lower relative mean body weights were observed throughout the studies for high dose rats; females were more affected than were males after week 35 , indicating an effect due to administration of erythromycin stearate. The similarity between mean body weights of dosed and control male and female mice suggests that greater dietary concentrations might have been given. The amount of erythromycin stearate consumed, calculated on the basis of estimated feed consumption by group-housed animals, was similar for all four studies: approximately 200-250 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ per day in the low dose groups and 400$500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ per day in the high dose groups. By contrast, the usual oral therapeutic dosage of 1-2 g per day for a $70-\mathrm{kg}$ human is approximately $15-30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ per day. No differences in survival were observed between any groups of rats or mice.

Granulomas of the liver in male and female rats and reticulum cell hyperplasia in the bone marrow of female rats are considered to be related to the administration of erythromycin stearate and indicate that the doses used were sufficient to elicit a biologic effect. These lesions may be exacerbated by the potential immunomodulatory effects (increased leukocyte migration) of erythromycin (Anderson et al., 1982, 1983, 1984; Ras and Anderson, 1986; Fraschini et al., 1986). Small granulomas occur in the liver of control rats and generally occur more frequently in females than in males. Although the cause of these spontaneous granulomas is unknown, they may be caused by the absorption of bacteria or bacterial products from the intestine. Estrogens are known to cause some immunosuppression (Luster et al., 1984; Dieter et al., 1987), which may explain the sex difference. In addition, the liver concentrates erythromycin (Sande and Mandell, 1985); crystallization of erythromycin may exacerbate this condition.

No neoplastic lesions were considered to be related to the consumption of erythromycin stearate by male or female rats or mice. Squamous
cell papillomas of the oral mucosa were observed in $1 / 50$ control, $2 / 50$ low dose, and $3 / 50$ high dose female rats. Although these lesions are uncommon in the historical control data base, they are not considered to be related to the administration of erythromycin stearate because the increased incidences in the dosed groups are not statistically different from that in the concurrent control group, one papilloma was seen in each group of control rats, and the biologic importance of these lesions is not clear at this time. Hyperplasia of the oral mucosa was not observed in female rats.

Pheochromocytomas of the adrenal gland in female rats occurred with a positive trend (control, $1 / 50$; low dose, $4 / 49$; high dose, $5 / 50$ ); the increased incidences were considered to be marginal and were discounted because complementary increases in hyperplasia did not occur (6/50; $4 / 49 ; 6 / 50$ ), the increases were marginal, the lesion is relatively common, and the incidences are similar to the mean historical incidence at this laboratory. Thus, these lesions are not considered to be biologically important.

Male rats showed a dose-related increase in the incidence of interstitial cell tumors of the testis (control, 32/50; low dose, 36/50; high dose, $43 / 50$ ), but this is a commonly occurring tumor that is observed in almost all older animals. In this particular study, the control incidence was somewhat low relative to that in previous studies ( $133 / 150,89 \%$, at this laboratory; $1,681 /$ $1,909,88 \%$, throughout the Program; Appendix A, Table A4a). This marginal increase is not considered to be biologically important.

Several confounding factors in interpreting these studies are known. Initial killing of intestinal bacteria and reduction in the flora by erythromycin is followed by repopulation by
erythromycin-resistant strains and acclimation (natural repopulation of gut flora) (Heimdahl et al., 1984; Nord et al., 1985; Harrison et al., 1985), which may have occurred in the 2 -year and 13 -week studies but probably not in the 14 day studies. Systemic effects, including gastrointestinal distress, which result from the killing of intestinal flora and the release of bacterial endotoxins may confuse determination of the direct effects of erythromycin mammalian toxicity at the relatively high doses used in these studies.

Another complicating factor is administration of erythromycin stearate salt in the diet. Erythromycin base is known to be inactivated by gastric juice (Boggiano and Gleeson, 1976; Sande and Mandell, 1985), and various derivatives have been prepared to resist decomposition (Sande and Mandell, 1985). Erythromycin stearate is a reasonable choice, although the literature is conflicting on whether administration with or without food affects the amount and rate of erythromycin base absorption (Welling, 1977; Welling et al., 1978; Malmborg, 1979; Rutland et al., 1979; DiSanto and Chodos, 1981; Digranes et al., 1984). To accurately estimate the dose actually delivered, data would be required on the changes in the bacterial flora, absorption rate, disposition, and excretion rates under study conditions. These data are not available.

The experimental and tabulated data for the NTP Technical Report on erythromycin stearate were examined for accuracy, consistency, completeness, and compliance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations. As summarized in Appendix I, the audit revealed no major problems with the conduct of the studies or with collection and documentation of the experimental data. No discrepancies were found that influenced the final interpretation of the results of these studies.

## IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions: Under the conditions of these 2year studies, there was no evidence of carcinogenic activity* of erythromycin stearate for male or female $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats administered erythromycin stearate in the diet at 5,000 or 10,000 ppm . There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of erythromycin stearate for male or female $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice administered erythromycin
stearate in the diet at 2,500 or $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. Doserelated increases in the incidences of granulomas of the liver were observed in male and female rats. The absence of any biologically important chemical-associated effects in mice suggests that higher doses could have been given to male and female mice.
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TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE


| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#Trachea | (50) | (49) | (48) |
| Fibrosarcoma, metastatic |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |
| \#Lung | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Neurilemoma, metastatic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |


| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| *Multiple organs | $(50)$ | $(50)$ | $(50)$ |
| Leukemia, mononuclear cell | $29 \quad(58 \%)$ | $(54 \%)$ | $33 \quad(66 \%)$ |
| \#Spleen | $(46)$ | $(49)(4 \%)$ | $(50)$ |
| Leukemia, mononuclear cell |  | $2 \quad(4 \%)$ |  |



TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low | Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |
| \#Anterior pituitary | (49) | (47) |  | (47) |
| Carcinoma, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Adenoma, NOS | 14 (29\%) | $14$ | (30\%) | 11 (23\%) |
| \#Adrenal | (50) | (50) | ( | (50) |
| Cortical adenoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Cortical carcinoma |  | 1 | (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| \# Adrenal medulla | (50) | (50) |  | $(50)$ |
| Pheochromocytoma | 19 (38\%) | 13 | (26\%) | 17 (34\%) |
| Pheochromocytoma, malignant | 6 (12\%) | 1 | (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Thyroid | (50) | (48) |  | (50) |
| Follicular cell adenoma |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |
| Follicular cell carcinoma |  | 1 | (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| C-cell adenoma | 6 (12\%) | 9 | (19\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| C-cell carcinoma |  | 2 | (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Pancreatic islets | (48) | (49) |  | (49) |
| Islet cell adenoma | 2 (4\%) | 2 | (4\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Islet cell carcinoma | 1 (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |
| *Mammary gland | (50) | (50) |  | (50) |
| Adenoma, NOS |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Adenocarcinoma, NOS |  | 1 | ( $2 \%$ ) |  |
| *Preputial gland | (50) | (50) |  | (50) |
| Carcinoma, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 | $(2 \%)$ |  |
| Adenoma, NOS |  | 2 | (4\%) |  |
| \#Testis | $(50)$ |  |  | (50) |
| Interstitial cell tumor | 32 (64\%) |  | (72\%) | $43 \text { ( } 86 \%)$ |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM None |  |  |  |  |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS |  |  |  |  |
| *Eyelid | (50) | (50) |  | (50) |
| Fibrosarcoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| *Zymbal gland | $(50)$ | (50) |  | (50) |
| Carcinoma, NOS | $4(8 \%)$ | 1 | $(2 \%)$ |  |
| Keratoacanthoma |  |  | $(2 \%)$ |  |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Sarcoma, NOS |  |  | (2\%) |  |
| *Femur | (50) | (50) |  | (50) |
| Osteosarcoma |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |
| BODY CAVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| *Mesentery | (50) | (50) |  | (50) |
| Lipoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Mesothelioma, NOS |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |
| *Tunica vaginalis | (50) | $(50)$ |  | (50) |
| Mesothelioma, NOS |  |  | (4\%) |  |
| Mesothelioma, malignant |  |  |  | 2 (4\%) |

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS |  |  |  |
| *Multiple organs | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Sarcoma, NOS |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| Mesothelioma, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Mesothelioma, malignant |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Neurilemoma, malignant |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Natural death | 3 | 3 |  |
| Moribund sacrifice | 19 | 24 | 22 |
| Terminal sacrifice | 28 | 23 | 27 |
| TUMOR SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| Total animals with primary tumors** | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| Total primary tumors | 126 | 133 | 138 |
| Total animals with benign tumors | 45 | 46 | 47 |
| Total benign tumors | 78 | 85 | 87 |
| Total animals with malignant tumors | 39 | 38 | 37 |
| Total malignant tumors | 46 | 44 | 48 |
| Total animals with secondary tumors\#\# | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total secondary tumors | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Total animals with tumors uncertain-benign or malignant | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Total uncertain tumors | 2 | 4 | 3 |

[^4]TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO.YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: UNTREATED CONTROL


TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)


* Animals necropsied

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: LOW DOSE


TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)


TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: LOW DOSE
(Continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANIMAL } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }^{0} \mathrm{O}$ | 01 2 2 | 4 | 0 0 1 | 0 4 4 | 0 <br> 0 <br> 5 | 0 1 7 | [10 | 0 2 5 | 0\| | 0 1 3 | 0 2 7 | \| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 4\end{aligned}$ | 0 <br> 2 <br> 3 | 0 3 1 | 0 4 7 | 0 0 2 | 0 0 8 | 0 <br> 2 <br> 8 | 0 2 9 | 0 4 5 | 0 0 6 |  | 0 0 <br> 2 3 <br> 4 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEEKS ON STUDY |  | 6 | $\stackrel{0}{7}$ | 8 | 0 8 1 | 0 8 1 | 9 8 3 | - 8 | 0 8 4 4 | 8 8 5 | 8 8 8 | 8 <br> 8 <br> 9 | 9 9 2 | 9 <br> 9 <br> 4 | 0 9 4 | 9 <br> 9 <br> 4 | 9 9 | 0 9 6 | 9 9 | 9 <br> 9 <br> 6 | 9 7 7 | 0 9 7 | 0 9 9 | 9 9 9 | 0 0 <br> 9 9 <br> 9 9 <br> 9 9 |
| REPRODUCTTVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS |  |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | N | + | + |
| Testis Interstitial cell tumor |  |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | X | + | + |  | $\stackrel{+}{\text { X }}$ | + | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $+$ | $+$ | $\dot{+}$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{X}}$ | $+$ | + ${ }_{+}^{+}$ |
| Prostate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | + | + | + | + | + | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | - | + | + |
| Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS |  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N X | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}}$ | N | N N |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain |  |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS Keratoacanthoma |  |  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | + | N | N | N |  | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +N \\ & X \end{aligned}$ |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bene <br> Sarcoma, NOS <br> Hemangiosarcoma <br> Osteosarcoma |  |  |  |  |  |  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{X}}$ |  | N |  |
| BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS Mesentery Mesotheiioma, NOS |  |  |  | N | N | N | N |  | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | N | $\stackrel{+}{\mathbf{X}}$ | N | N |  | + | N | N | N | N | N | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} + & + \\ N & N \end{array}$ |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS <br> Multipie organs, NOS Sarcoma, NOS <br> Leukemia, mononuclear cell |  |  |  | N |  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | N X | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | N |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} N & N \\ X & X \end{array}$ |

table ar. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: LOW DOSE
(Continued)


* Animals necropsied

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: HIGH DOSE


TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)


* Animals necropsied

TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Control | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 1/50 (2\%) | $3 / 50(6 \%)$ | 2/50 (4\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 3.6\% | 11.5\% | 6.7\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/28(4\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 1/27 (4\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 99 | 101 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.396$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.242$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.495$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.375$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.310$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.481$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.399$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.309$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 2/50(4\%) | 4/50 (8\%) | (e) $2 / 50(4 \%)$ |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 5.8\% | 13.8\% | 6.7\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/28(4\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 1/27 (4\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 80 | 94 | 101 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.577$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.288$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.692 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.588$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.411$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.666 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.588$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.339$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.691$ |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/50 (6\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 2/50 (4\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 10.3\% | 3.4\% | 7.4\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 2/28 (7\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | 2/27 (7\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 103 | 99 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.413 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.376 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.516 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.420 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.300 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.521 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.399 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.309 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 29/50 (58\%) | 29/50 (58\%) | 34/50 (68\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 79.9\% | 67.0\% | 73.5\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 21/28(75\%) | 9/23 (39\%) | 15/27 (56\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 74 | 81 | 71 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.234$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.323$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.237$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.192$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.529 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathbf{P}=0.212$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.178$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.580 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.204$ |
| Liver: Neoplastic Nodule |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 1/50 (2\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 3.6\% | 4.3\% | 11.1\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/28(4\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 3/27 (11\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 104 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.196$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.718$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.290$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.196$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.718$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.290$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.202$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.753$ | $\mathbf{P}=0.309$ |
| Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 14/49 (29\%) | 14/47 (30\%) | 11/47 (23\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 37.1\% | 43.6\% | 30.9\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 7/28 (25\%) | 7/23 (30\%) | 6/27 (22\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 56 | 74 | 62 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.319 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.442$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.350 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.324 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.540$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.330 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.327 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.537$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.366 \mathrm{~N}$ |

TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 15/49 (31\%) | 14/47 (30\%) | 11/47 (23\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 38.6\% | 43.6\% | 30.9\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 7/28 (25\%) | 7/23 (30\%) | 6/27 (22\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 56 | 74 | 62 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.251 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.523$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.277 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.238 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.519 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.236 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.252 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.554 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.287 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 19/50 (38\%) | 13/50 (26\%) | 17/50 (34\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 58.2\% | 42.7\% | 50.3\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 15/28(54\%) | $7 / 23$ (30\%) | 11/27(41\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 75 | 85 | 89 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.416 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.307 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.459 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.421 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.209 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.474 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.375 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.142 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.418 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Adrenal Gland: Malignant Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 6/50 (12\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 2/50 (4\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 17.4\% | 4.3\% | 7.4\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 3/28 (11\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 2/27 (7\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 79 | 104 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.081 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.085 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.147 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.061 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.041 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.110 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.070 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.056 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.135 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma or Malignant Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 23/50 (46\%) | 14/50 (28\%) | 18/50 (36\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 64.3\% | 46.3\% | 53.4\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 16/28 (57\%) | 8/23 ( $35 \%$ ) | 12/27 (44\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 75 | 85 | 89 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.220 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.155 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.253 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.191 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.062 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.222 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.175 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.049 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.208 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 6/50 (12\%) | 9/48(19\%) | 5/50 (10\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 18.7\% | 27.4\% | 16.3\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 4/28 (14\%) | 4/23 (17\%) | 3/27 (11\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 94 | 81 | 89 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.466 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.217$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.525 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.413 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.324$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.503 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.442 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.259$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 6/50 (12\%) | 10/48 (21\%) | 6/50 (12\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 18.7\% | 31.2\% | 18.3\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 4/28 (14\%) | $5 / 23$ (22\%) | 3/27 (11\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 94 | 81 | 86 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.533$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.146$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.597$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.516 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.227$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.596 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.556$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.620 \mathrm{~N}$ |

TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control | 5,000 ppm | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 2/48(4\%) | 2/49 (4\%) | $3 / 49$ (6\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 7.4\% | 8.7\% | 9.8\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 2/27 (7\%) | 2/23 (9\%) | 2/26 (8\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 104 | 86 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.396$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.638$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.491$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.417$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.638$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.529$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.415$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.684 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.510$ |
| Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/48 (6\%) | 3/49 (6\%) | 4/49 (8\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 10.1\% | 11.0\% | 13.6\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 2/27 (7\%) | 2/23 (9\%) | 3/26 (12\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 98 | 89 | 86 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.411$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.599$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.489$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.449$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.645 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.521$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.431$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.651 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.512$ |
| Preputial Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 1/50 (2\%) | $3 / 50$ (6\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 3.6\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/28 (4\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 0/27 (0\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 94 |  |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.389 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.258$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.507 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.396 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.310$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.507 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.378 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.309$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Testis: Interstitial Cell Tumor |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 32/50 (64\%) | 36/50 (72\%) | 43/50 (86\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 86.2\% | 91.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 23/28 (82\%) | 20/23 (87\%) | 27/27 (100\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 74 | 80 | 76 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.076$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.022$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.208$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.260$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ |
| Zymbal Gland: Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 4/50 (8\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 10.2\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 0/28(0\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | 0/27 (0\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 70 | 99 |  |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.029 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.211 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.068 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.024 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.144 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.061 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.026 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.181 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.059 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| All Sites: Mesothelioma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 1/50 (2\%) | 3/50 (6\%) | $3 / 50$ (6\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 2.9\% | 9.9\% | 9.7\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 0/28 (0\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 1/27 (4\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 98 | 88 | 95 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.244$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.269$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.302$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.231$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.390$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.276$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.238$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.309$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.309$ |

TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control | 5,000 ppm | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Sites: Benign Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 45/50 (90\%) | 46/50 (92\%) | 47/50(94\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 97.8\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 27/28 (96\%) | 23/23 (100\%) | 27/27(100\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 56 | 74 | 62 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.359$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.174$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.383$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.273$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.525$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.351$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.290$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.357$ |
| All Sites: Malignant Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 39/50 (78\%) | 38/50 (76\%) | 37/50(74\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 88.4\% | 79.1\% | 77.1\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 23/28 (82\%) | 13/23 (57\%) | 16/27 (59\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 70 | 74 | 71 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.443 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.359$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.471 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.308 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.334 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.349 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.363 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.408 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| All Sites: All Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 49/50 (98\%) | 49/50 (98\%) | 49/50 (98\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 28/28(100\%) | 23/23 (100\%) | 27/27(100\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 56 | 74 | 62 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.482$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.222$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.510$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.565 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.549 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.723 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test ( d ) Fisher Exact Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.640$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.752$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.752$ |

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site
(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality
(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill
(d) Beneath the control incidence are the $\mathbf{P}$ values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the $P$ values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by ( N ).
(e) A sarcoma, NOS, was observed in a rat with a fibroma.

TABLE A4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TESTICULAR INTERSTITIAL CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

## Study <br> Incidence in Controls

| Historical Incidence at Physiological Research Laboratories |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ephedrine sulfate | $45 / 50$ |
| Phenylephrine hydrochloride | $47 / 50$ |
| Oxytetracycline hydrochloride | $41 / 50$ |
| TOTAL | $133 / 150(88.7 \%)$ |
| SD (b) | $6.11 \%$ |
| Range (c) |  |
| $\quad$ High | $47 / 50$ |
| Low | $41 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| TOTAL | $1,681 / 1,909(88.1 \%)$ |
| SD (b) | $7.32 \%$ |
| Range (c) | $49 / 50$ |
| High | $34 / 50$ |
| Low |  |

(a) Data as of August 7, 1986, for studies of at least 104 weeks; no malignant tumors have been observed.
(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE A4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ZYMBAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

| Study | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: |
| Historical Incidence at Physiological Research Laboratories |  |
| Ephedrine sulfate | $0 / 50$ |
| Phenylephrine hydrochloride | $1 / 50$ |
| Oxytetracycline hydrochloride | $0 / 50$ |
| TOTAL | $1 / 150(0.7 \%)$ |
| SD (b) | $1.15 \%$ |
| Range (c) |  |
| High | $1 / 50$ |
| Low | $0 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| TOTAL | (d) $15 / 1,937(0.8 \%)$ |
| SD (b) | $1.27 \%$ |
| Range (c) | (e) $3 / 50$ |
| High | $0 / 50$ |
| Low |  |

(a) Data as of August 7, 1986, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(d) Includes five carcinomas, NOS, nine squamous cell carcinomas, and one ceruminous carcinoma; no benign tumors have been observed.
(e) Second highest: $1 / 50$

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE


TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Lymph node (Continued) | (48) | (48) | (46) |
| Plasmacytosis | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Mastocytosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Mandibular lymph node | (48) | (48) | (46) |
| Cyst, NOS | $2(4 \%)$ |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage, chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic necrotizing |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Plasmacytosis | $3(6 \%)$ | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Mesenteric lymph node | (48) | (48) | (46) |
| Multiple cysts | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Peyer's patch | (50) | (50) | (49) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Duodenum | (50) | (50) | (49) |
| Plasmacytosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Thymus | (36) | (39) | (37) |
| Cystic ducts |  |  | $1(3 \%)$ |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (3\%) | 2 (5\%) | 1 (3\%) |
| Inflammation, acute |  | 1 (3\%) |  |
| Fibrosis, focal |  |  | $1(3 \%)$ |
| Hypertrophy, NOS |  |  | 2 (5\%) |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial |  |  | 2 (5\%) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Brain | (48) | (50) | (50) |
| Thrombosis, NOS |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| *Tail | (50) | $(50)$ | (50) |
| Thrombosis, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Lung | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Thrombosis, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Heart/atrium | (50) | (48) | (50) |
| Mineralization | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Thrombosis, NOS | 2 (4\%) | $3(6 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ |
| \#Left ventricle | (50) | (48) | $(50)$ |
| Dilatation, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Myocardium | (50) | (48) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Degeneration, NOS |  | $45(94 \%)$ | $40(80 \%)$ |
| \#Mitral valve | $(50)$ | (48) | $(50)$ |
| Thrombosis, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Degeneration, mucoid | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| \# Aortic valve | (50) | (48) | (50) |
| Degeneration, mucoid | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| *Artery | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Metaplasia, cartilaginous | 4 (8\%) |  | $4(8 \%)$ |
| *Coronary artery | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Arteriosclerosis, NOS |  |  | $1(2 \%)$ |
| *Pulmonary artery | (50) | (50) | $(50)$ |
| Thrombosis, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Edema, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Arteriolosclerosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hypertrophy, NOS |  | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Liver | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Thrombosis, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Arteriolosclerosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (Continued) <br> \#Kidney/capsule <br> Thrombosis, NOS <br> \#Adrenal <br> Thrombosis, NOS | $\begin{aligned} (50) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ (50) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | (50) (50) | (50) (50) |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| *Palate | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| *Tongue | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |
| \#Salivary gland | (49) | (48) | (50) |
| Cystic ducts |  | $2(4 \%)$ |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Nuclear enlargement | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Atrophy, focal | $7 \text { (14\%) }$ | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal | $2(4 \%)$ |  |  |
| \#Submaxillary gland | (49) | (48) | (50) |
| Atrophy, pressure |  |  | $1(2 \%)$ |
| \#Liver | (50) | (50) | $(50)$ |
| Accessory structure | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Congestion, chronic | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute necrotizing | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal | 3 (6\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Granuloma, NOS | $1(2 \%)$ | 2 (4\%) | 10 (20\%) |
| Degeneration, cystic | 9 (18\%) | 10 (20\%) | $8(16 \%)$ |
| Necrosis, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Atrophy, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Angiectasis |  |  | $1(2 \%)$ |
| \#Liver/centrilobular | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Congestion, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Degeneration, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Liver/periportal | $(50)$ | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |
| \#Liver/hepatocytes | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Cytoplasmic vacuolization | 5 (10\%) | 7 (14\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Focal cellular change | 27 (54\%) | 19 (38\%) | 27 (54\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Regenerative nodule | $8(16 \%)$ | 5 (10\%) | 14 (28\%) |
| \# Bile duct | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, NOS | 48 (96\%) | 47 (94\%) | 50 (100\%) |
| \#Pancreas | (48) | (49) | (49) |
| Cyst, NOS |  | $2(4 \%)$ |  |
| Cystic ducts |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, acute |  |  |  |
| Atrophy, focal | 19 (40\%) | 22 (45\%) | 22 (45\%) |
| Atrophy, diffuse | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Hypertrophy, focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Glandular stomach | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 23 (46\%) | 33 (66\%) | 22 (44\%) |
| Edema, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Ulcer, NOS |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |
| Erosion |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Fibrosis, focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, diffuse |  |  | 1 (2\%) |

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| \#Forestomach | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Edema, NOS | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Ulcer, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, acute focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, acute diffuse |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Ulcer, perforated | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Infection, bacterial |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperkeratosis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Duodenum | (50) | (50) | (49) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate |  |  |  |
| \#Jejunum | $(50)$ | (50) | (49) |
| Ulcer, chronic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| URINARY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Kidney | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Pyelonephritis, acute |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, acute suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Pyelonephritis, chronic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Scar |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Nephropathy | 49 (98\%) | 49 (98\%) | 49 (98\%) |
| \# Kidney/cortex | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS |  | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Kidney/pelvis | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| \#Urinary bladder | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Calculus, gross observation only |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Edema, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute diffuse |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| Inflammation, acute hemorrhagic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Degeneration, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial | 1 (2\%) |  |  |


| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \#Pituitary | (49) |
| Hemorrhage |  |
| \#Pituitary intermedia | (49) |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Anterior pituitary | (49) |
| Cyst, NOS | 7 (14\%) |
| Necrosis, hemorrhagic |  |
| Pigmentation, NOS | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 20 (41\%) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 1 (2\%) |
| Angiectasis |  |
| \#Pituitary posterior | (49) |
| Cyst, NOS |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |
| Gliosis |  |
| \#Adrenal/capsule | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  |

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| \#Adrenal cortex | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Multiple cysts |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, focal | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Cytoplasmic vacuolization | 9 (18\%) | 3 (6\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Atrophy, pressure | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hypertrophy, focal | 8 (16\%) | 6 (12\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 20 (40\%) | 24 (48\%) | 25 (50\%) |
| Angiectasis | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| \# Adrenal medulla | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hemorrhagic cyst |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 7 (14\%) | 16 (32\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Angiectasis | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |  |
| \# Thyroid | (50) | (48) | (50) |
| Ultimobranchial cyst | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |
| Follicular cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Atrophy, diffuse | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, C-cell | 9 (18\%) | 5 (10\%) | 13 (26\%) |
| Hyperplasia, follicular cell |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Parathyroid | (37) | (36) | (37) |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 1 (3\%) | 1 (3\%) |  |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| *Mammary gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Galactocele |  |  | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Hemorrhagic cyst |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, focal | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Fibrosis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, NOS | 5 (10\%) | 7 (14\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 12 (24\%) | 7 (14\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| *Prepuce | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Ulcer, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| *Preputial gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Atrophy, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Atrophy, diffuse | 9 (18\%) | $4(8 \%)$ | $6(12 \%)$ |
| \#Prostate | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Mineralization | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute focal | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal | 28 (57\%) | 23 (46\%) | 25 (50\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic diffuse | 9 (18\%) | 7 (14\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Atrophy, diffuse | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, cystic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| *Seminal vesicle | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Atrophy, diffuse | $10(20 \%)$ | 3 (6\%) | 8 (16\%) |
| Hyperplasia, diffuse | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| \#Testis | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Mineralization |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Edema, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Degeneration, NOS | 12 (24\%) | 12 (24\%) | $8(16 \%)$ |
| Necrosis, coagulative |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Infarct, acute |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, interstitial cell | 17 (34\%) | 17 (34\%) | 16 (32\%) |

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Lateral ventricle | (48) | (50) | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| \#Third ventricle | (48) | $(50)$ | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Fourth ventricle | (48) | (50) | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Brain | (48) | (50) | $(50)$ |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Inflammation, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Necrosis, hemorrhagic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Brain stem | (48) | (50) | (50) |
| Hemorrhage |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Atrophy, pressure | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| *Spinal cord | (50) | (50) | $(50)$ |
| Hemorrhage |  |  | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Degeneration, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS |  |  |  |
| *Eye | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| *Eye/anterior chamber | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| *Eyeball, tunica fibrosa | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Mineralization | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| *Eye/cornea | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Scar |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| *Eye/choroid | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| *Eye/iris | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, NOS | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Synechia, posterior | $1(2 \%)$ | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| *Eye/retina | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Degeneration, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| *Eye/crystalline lens | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Mineralization | 3 (6\%) | 10 (20\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Cataract | 8 (16\%) | 13 (26\%) | 9 (18\%) |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None |  |  |  |
| BODY CAVITIES |  |  |  |
| *Peritoneum | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| *Pleura | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| *Pleural mesothelium | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Granulation tissue | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hypertrophy, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| *Mesentery | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Diverticulum | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Necrosis, fat | 6 (12\%) | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) |

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS <br> *Multiple organs <br> Bacterial septicemia <br> Diaphragm <br> Hernia, NOS | $(50)$ | $(50)$ | $1(50)$ |
| Adipose tissue <br> Hyperemia | 1 | 1 |  |

SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY
None
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TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY <br> ANIMALS NECROPSIED <br> anImals Examined histopathologically | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ \\ Y \quad 50 \\ Y \quad 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM <br> *Skin <br> Keratoacanthoma <br> *Subcutaneous tissue <br> Sarcoma, NOS <br> Fibroma <br> Fibrosarcoma Lipoma | $\begin{aligned} & (50) \\ & (50) \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}(2 \%)$ | $\begin{aligned} (50) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ (50) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | (50) $(50)$ $2(4 \%)$ |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM <br> \#Lung <br> Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma | (49) | $\stackrel{(49)}{1}(2 \%)$ | (49) |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM <br> *Multiple organs <br> Leukemia, mononuclear cell <br> \#Spleen <br> Leukemia, mononuclear cell <br> \#Mandibular lymph node <br> Fibrosarcoma, metastatic <br> \#Liver <br> Kupffer cell sarcoma <br> \#Thymus <br> Thymoma, malignant | (50) <br> 14 (28\%) <br> (48) <br> (45) <br> (50) <br> (42) | $\begin{aligned} &(50) \\ & 19 \\ &(49) \\ &(38 \%) \\ &(45) \\ & \\ &(50) \\ & 1 \\ &(35)(2 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $(50)$  <br> 9 $(18 \%)$ <br> $(50)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> $(49)$ $(2 \%)$ <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> $(50)$  <br> $(41)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM <br> \#Heart Neurilemoma, malignant <br> *Pulmonary vein Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic | $\begin{aligned} &(50) \\ & 1(2 \%) \\ &(50) \\ & 1(2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (50) \\ & (50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (50) \\ & (50) \end{aligned}$ |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM <br> *Palate <br> Squamous cell papilloma <br> *Tongue <br> Squamous cell papilloma <br> *Gum of mandible <br> Squamous cell papilloma <br> \#Salivary gland <br> Neurilemoma, malignant <br> \#Liver <br> Neoplastic nodule <br> \#Small intestine <br> Leiomyosarcoma | (50) <br> (50) 1 (2\%) <br> (50) <br> (48) <br> (50) <br> (49) | $\begin{aligned} \left.\left.\begin{array}{rl} (50) & \\ 2 & (4 \%) \\ (50) & \\ & \\ (50) & \\ (49) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ (50) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ (50) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{array}\right) . \begin{array}{l} \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $(50)$   <br> 1 $(2 \%)$  <br> $(50)$   <br> 1 $(2 \%)$  <br> $(50)$   <br> 1 $(2 \%)$  <br> $(49)$   <br> $(50)$   <br> 2 $(4 \%)$  <br> $(50)$   |
| URINARY SYSTEM <br> \#Kidney <br> Tubular cell adenoma <br> Tubular cell adenocarcinoma <br> \#Urinary bladder <br> Transitional cell papilloma | $\begin{aligned} &(49) \\ & 1(2 \%) \\ &(47) \end{aligned}$ | (50) <br> 1 (2\%) <br> (48) | $\begin{aligned} (50) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ (48) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \# Anterior pituitary | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Carcinoma, NOS | 4 (8\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Adenoma, NOS | 18 (37\%) | 18 (36\%) | 16 (32\%) |
| \# Adrenal cortex | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Carcinoma, NOS |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |
| Adenoma, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |  |
| \# Adrenal medulla | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Pheochromocytoma | 1 (2\%) | $4(8 \%)$ | 5 (10\%) |
| \#Thyroid | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| C-cell adenoma | 9 (18\%) | 8 (16\%) | $3(6 \%)$ |
| C-cell carcinoma |  | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Parathyroid | (35) | $(31)$ | (34) |
| Adenoma, NOS |  | $1(3 \%)$ |  |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| *Mammary gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Adenoma, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Adenocarcinoma, NOS | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Fibroadenoma | 13 (26\%) | 13 (26\%) | 15 (30\%) |
| *Clitoral gland | (50) | $(50)$ | (50) |
| Carcinoma, NOS | $1(2 \%)$ | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Adenoma, NOS | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| \#Uterus | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Sarcoma, NOS |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| Endometrial stromal polyp | 10 (20\%) | 5 (10\%) | 14 (28\%) |
| Endometrial stromal sarcoma | 3 (6\%) |  |  |
| \#Ovary | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Granulosa cell tumor | $2(4 \%)$ |  |  |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Brain | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Carcinoma, NOS, invasive | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Astrocytoma | 3 (6\%) |  |  |
| Oligodendroglioma |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Meningioma |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Brain stem | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Carcinoma, NOS, invasive | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS |  |  |  |
| *Zymbal gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Carcinoma, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM <br> None |  |  |  |
| BODY CAVITIES |  |  |  |
| *Mesentery | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Sarcoma, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  | (50) |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS |  |  |  |
| *Multiple organs | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Natural death | 2 | 2 |  |
| Moribund sacrifice | 19 | 15 | 10 |
| Terminal sacrifice | 29 | 30 | 38 |
| TUMOR SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| Total animals with primary tumors** | 49 | 48 | 42 |
| Total primary tumors | 93 | 96 | 38 |
| Total animals with benign tumors | 38 | 67 | 17 |
| Total benign tumors | 62 | 30 | 19 |
| Total animals with malignant tumors | 27 | 34 | 1 |
| Total malignant tumors | 29 | 2 | 1 |
| Total animals with secondary tumors\#\# | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| Total secondary tumors | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| Totalanimals with tumors uncertain-- |  | 2 |  |
| benign or malignant |  |  |  |
| Total uncertain tumors | 2 |  |  |

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
\# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
\#\# Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO.YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: UNTREATED CONTROL


TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)


* Animals necropsied

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: LOW DOSE


TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)


* Animals necropsied

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: HIGH DOSE


TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)


* Animals necropsied

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Control | 5,000 ppm | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 14/50 (28\%) | 19/50 (38\%) | 10/50 (20\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 38.4\% | 45.1\% | 24.7\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 8/29 (28\%) | 8/30 ( $27 \%$ ) | 8/38 (21\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 49 | 71 | 92 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.081 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.271$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.091 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.363 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.165$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.335 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.219 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.198$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.242 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Oral Cavity: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 1/50 (2\%) | 2/50 (4\%) | $3 / 50$ (6\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 3.4\% | 6.7\% | 7.4\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/29 (3\%) | 2/30(7\%) | 2/38 (5\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 104 | 95 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.316$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.512$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.405$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.308$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.512$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.395$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.222$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.309$ |
| Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 18/49 (37\%) | 18/50 (36\%) | 16/50 (32\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 52.1\% | 50.3\% | 36.7\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 13/29 (45\%) | 13/30 ( $43 \%$ ) | 11/38 (29\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 57 | 63 | 68 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.118 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.523 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.145 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.163 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.447 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.191 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.348 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.553 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.388 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Anterior Pituitary Gland: Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 4/49 (8\%) | 2/50 (4\%) | 2/50(4\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 10.8\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/29 (3\%) | 1/30 (3\%) | 1/38 (3\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 84 | 88 | 99 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.182 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.317 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.249 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.373 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.360 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.484 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.244 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.329 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.329 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 22/49 (45\%) | 20/50 (40\%) | 18/50 (36\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 58.5\% | 54.4\% | 40.5\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 14/29 (48\%) | 14/30 (47\%) | 12/38 (32\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 57 | 63 | 68 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.056 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.364 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.072 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.116 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.307 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.140 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.212 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.386 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.243 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Adrenal Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 1/50 (2\%) | 3/49 (6\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 3.4\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/29 (3\%) | 2/29 (7\%) | 0/38 (0\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 96 |  |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.300 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.316$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.446 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.305 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.332$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.446 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.379 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.301$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ |

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control | 5,000 ppm | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adrenal Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 1/50 (2\%) | 4/49 (8\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 3.4\% | 12.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/29 (3\%) | 3/29 (10\%) | 0/38(0\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 96 |  |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.301 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.187$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.446 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.305 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.198$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.446 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.391 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.175$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 1/50 (2\%) | 4/49 (8\%) | 5/50 (10\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 2.3\% | 11.4\% | 12.4\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 0/29 (0\%) | 2/29 (7\%) | 4/38(11\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 83 | 84 | 91 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.146$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.169$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.025$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.138$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.041$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.081$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.175$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.102$ |
| Thyroid Gland: C.Cell Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 9/50 (18\%) | 8/50 (16\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 27.5\% | 25.4\% | 7.9\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 6/29 (21\%) | 7/30 (23\%) | 3/38 (8\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 89 | 97 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.017 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.463 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.023 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.023 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.442 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.037 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.053 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.061 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 9/50 (18\%) | 10/50 (20\%) | 5/50 (10\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 27.5\% | 31.9\% | 13.2\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 6/29 (21\%) | 9/30 (30\%) | 5/38(13\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 89 | 97 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.062 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.539$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.083 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.079 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.559$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.122 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.170 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.194 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 13/50 (26\%) | 13/50 (26\%) | 15/50 (30\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 37.2\% | 36.1\% | 32.4\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 8/29 (28\%) | 8/30 (27\%) | 8/38 ( $21 \%$ ) |
| Week of First Observation | 55 | 80 | 62 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.422 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.537 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.465 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.463$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.482 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.464$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.368$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.590 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.412$ |
| Mammary Gland: Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/50 (6\%) | 0/50 (0\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 2/29 (7\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 0/38(0\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 101 |  |  |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.026 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.117 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.081 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.026 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.102 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.085 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.037 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.121 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121 \mathrm{~N}$ |

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clitoral Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 5/50(10\%) | 3/50 (6\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 17.2\% | 10.0\% | 7.9\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 5/29 (17\%) | $3 / 30$ (10\%) | $3 / 38$ ( $8 \%$ ) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 104 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.165 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.334 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.217 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.165 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.334 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.217 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.283 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.357 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.357 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Clitoral Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | $6 / 50$ (12\%) | 5/50 (10\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 19.3\% | 16.7\% | 7.9\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 5/29 (17\%) | 5/30 (17\%) | 3/38(8\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 85 | 104 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.098 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.475 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.133 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.124 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.492 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.191 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.195 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.243 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 10/50 (20\%) | 5/49(10\%) | 14/50 (28\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 30.8\% | 15.6\% | 35.6\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 8/29 (28\%) | $3 / 30$ (10\%) | 13/38 (34\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 78 | 102 | 92 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.406$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.499$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.306$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.112 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.354$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.188$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.140 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.241$ |
| Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/50 (6\%) | 0/49 (0\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 8.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/29 (3\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 0/38(0\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 84 |  |  |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.029 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.119 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.092 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.073 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.144 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.285 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.038 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.125 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Uterus: Sarcoma or Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/50 (6\%) | 1/49 (2\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 8.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/29 (3\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 0/38(0\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 84 | 70 |  |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.050 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.297 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.092 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.109 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.316 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.285 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.061 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.316 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Brain: Astrocytoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/50 (6\%) | 0/49 (0\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/29(3\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 0/38 (0\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 78 |  |  |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.033 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.122 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.105 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.050 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.124 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.178 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.038 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121 \mathrm{~N}$ |

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brain: Astrocytoma or Oligodendroglioma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/50 (6\%) | 2/49 (4\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 7.6\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/29 (3\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 0/38 (0\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 78 | 53 |  |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.070 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.491 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.105 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.093 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.450 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.178 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test ( d ) | $\mathrm{P}=0.083 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.510 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| All Sites: Benign Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 38/50 (76\%) | 37/50 (74\%) | 38/50 (76\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 94.9\% | 90.1\% | 77.5\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 27/29 (93\%) | 26/30 (87\%) | 27/38 (71\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 55 | 63 | 62 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.050 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.390 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.067 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.118 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.246 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.157 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.546 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.592$ |
| All Sites: Malignant Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 27/50 (54\%) | 30/50 (60\%) | 17/50 (34\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 60.1\% | 63.2\% | 40.2\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 12/29 (41\%) | 13/30 (43\%) | 13/38 (34\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 26 | 53 | 92 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.008 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.448$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.173 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.215$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.183 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.029 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.343$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.035 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| All Sites: All Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 49/50 (98\%) | 48/50 (96\%) | 42/50 (84\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 98.0\% | 96.0\% | 84.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 28/29 (97\%) | 28/30 (93\%) | 30/38 (79\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 26 | 53 | 62 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.003 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.388 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.017 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.512 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.043 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.006 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.016 \mathrm{~N}$ |

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site
(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality
(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill
(d) Beneath the control incidence are the $P$ values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by ( N ).

TABLE B4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ORAL CAVITY SQUAMOUS CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

|  | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: |
| Historical Incidence at Physiological Research Laboratories | $0 / 150$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence | (b) $1 / 1,984(0.05 \%)$ |

(a) Data as of August 7, 1986, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue observed in the rotenone study

TABLE B4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ADRENAL GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pheochromocytoma | Pheochromocytoma or Malignant Pheochromocytoma |
| Historical Incidence at Physiological Research Laboratories |  |  |
| Ephedrine sulfate | 1/49 | 1/49 |
| Phenylephrine hydrochloride | $6 / 50$ | 6/50 |
| Oxytetracycline hydrochloride | $6 / 50$ | 6/50 |
| TOTAL | 13/149 (8.7\%) | 13/149 (8.7\%) |
| SD (b) | 5.75\% | 5.75\% |
| Range (c) |  |  |
| High | $6 / 50$ | 6/50 |
| Low | 1/49 | 1/49 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |
| TOTAL <br> SD (b) | $\begin{gathered} 92 / 1,966(4.7 \%) \\ 3.69 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 / 1,966(5.0 \%) \\ 3.57 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Range (c) |  |  |
| High | $8 / 50$ | $8 / 50$ |
| Low | 0/50 | 0/50 |

(a) Data as of August 7, 1986, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE


| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#Bone marrow | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Atrophy, focal |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Myelofibrosis |  |  | 2 | (4\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| Hyperplasia, hematopoietic |  | (50\%) | 21 | (42\%) | 13 | (26\%) |
| Hyperplasia, reticulum cell | 10 | (20\%) | 14 | (28\%) | 25 | (50\%) |
| \#Spleen | (48) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Inflammation, granulomatous |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Granuloma, NOS |  |  | 1 | (2\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| Scar |  |  |  |  | 2 | (4\%) |
| Infarct, NOS | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Hemosiderosis | 7 | (15\%) | 5 | (10\%) | 9 | (18\%) |
| Hematopoiesis | 3 | (6\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \#Splenic capsule | (48) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Lymph node | (45) |  | (45) |  | (49) |  |
| Dilatation/sinus |  | (2\%) | 2 | (4\%) |  |  |
| Hemorrhage | 2 | (4\%) | 3 | (7\%) | 3 | (6\%) |
| Inflammation, granulomatous |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hemosiderosis | 2 | (4\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Histiocytosis |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Mandibular lymph node | (45) |  | (45) |  | (49) |  |
| Dilatation/sinus |  |  | 4 | (9\%) |  |  |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  |  |  | 3 | (6\%) |
| Pigmentation, NOS |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Histiocytosis | 1 |  | 2 | (4\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | (4\%) |  |  | 2 | (4\%) |

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| \# Mesenteric lymph node | (45) | (45) | (49) |
| Dilatation/sinus |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Histiocytosis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Thymus | (42) | (35) | (41) |
| Dilatation/sinus | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hypertrophy, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial | 1 (2\%) | 3 (9\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 2 (5\%) |  |  |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Spleen | (48) | (49) | (50) |
| Arteriosclerosis, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Lung | (49) | (49) | (49) |
| Thrombus, fibrin |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Heart | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Atrophy, diffuse |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Heart/atrium | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Degeneration, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Myocardium | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Fibrosis, focal |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Degeneration, NOS | 47 (94\%) | 44 (88\%) | 47 (94\%) |
| \# Mitral valve | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Degeneration, mucoid | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| *Artery | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Degeneration, mucoid |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Metaplasia, cartilaginous | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| *Aorta | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Metaplasia, cartilaginous | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| *Pulmonary artery | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Edema, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Hepatic sinusoid | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Salivary gland | (48) | (49) | (49) |
| Cystic ducts | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, focal | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Basophilic cyto change | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Atrophy, focal | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Atrophy, diffuse |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Liver | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Accessory structure | 5 (10\%) | 5 (10\%) | 10 (20\%) |
| Congestion, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, acute focal |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Granuloma, NOS | 18 (36\%) | 27 (54\%) | 43 (86\%) |
| Degeneration, cystic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Angiectasis | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Liver/centrilobular | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Necrosis, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Liver/periportal | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Atrophy, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| \#Liver/hepatocytes | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Necrosis, central |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Cytoplasmic vacuolization | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Focal cellular change | 42 (84\%) | 38 (76\%) | 36 (72\%) |
| Regenerative nodule | 2 (4\%) | 4 (8\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Bile duct | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, NOS | 34 (68\%) | 36 (72\%) | 38 (76\%) |
| \#Pancreas | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Cystic ducts |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Inflammation, interstitial |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Fibrosis, focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Cytoplasmic vacuolization |  |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |
| Atrophy, focal | 22 (45\%) | 18 (37\%) | 16 (32\%) |
| Atrophy, diffuse |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Glandular stomach | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 21 (43\%) | 23 (46\%) | 24 (48\%) |
| Edema, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Erosion | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Stomach wall | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Forestomach | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Edema, NOS | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Ulcer, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperkeratosis |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Duodenum | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Colon | (49) | (47) | (50) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |
| URINARY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Kidney | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Hydronephrosis |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |
| Congestion, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, interstitial |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Scar |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Nephropathy | 37 (76\%) | 36 (72\%) | 27 (54\%) |
| \#Kidney/tubule | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Degeneration, NOS | 6 (12\%) | 8 (16\%) | 8 (16\%) |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Kidney/pelvis | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Mineralization | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Urinary bladder | (47) | (48) | (48) |
| Edema, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, hemorrhagic Hyperplasia, epithelial | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Pituitary intermedia | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \# Anterior pituitary | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 28 (57\%) | 34 (68\%) | 35 (70\%) |
| Hemorrhagic cyst |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Necrosis, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 6 (12\%) | 11 (22\%) | 10 (20\%) |
| Angiectasis | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| \#Adrenal/capsule | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Adrenal cortex | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Ectopia |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Congestion, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hemorrhage |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, focal | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Cytoplasmic vacuolization | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Hypertrophy, focal | 19 (38\%) | 14 (29\%) | 20 (40\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 30 (60\%) | 24 (49\%) | 28 (56\%) |
| Angiectasis | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Adrenal medulla | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Ectopia |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 6 (12\%) | 4 (8\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Hyperplasia, diffuse |  |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |
| \#Thyroid | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Ultimobranchial cyst | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, C-cell | 21 (42\%) | 24 (48\%) | 23 (46\%) |
| Hyperplasia, follicular cell | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Thyroid follicle | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Ultimobranchial cyst |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Pancreatic islets | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Atrophy, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hypertrophy, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |  |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| *Mammary gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Galactocele | 5 (10\%) | 5 (10\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  |  | 4 (8\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic diffuse |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 27 (54\%) | 32 (64\%) | $21(42 \%)$ |
| *Mammary duct | $(50)$ | $(50)$ | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic suppurative | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Fibrosis | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| *Endometrial cavity | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| *Clitoral gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Cystic ducts | $1(2 \%)$ | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic suppurative | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Atrophy, diffuse |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Uterus | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Hemosiderosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Decidual alteration, NOS | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| \#Uterus/endometrium | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute diffuse | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic suppurative | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| \# Endometrial gland | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS | 1 (2\%) | $1(2 \%)$ | $4(8 \%)$ |
| \#Fallopian tube | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| \#Ovary | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Follicular cyst, NOS | 2 (4\%) | 6 (12\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Parovarian cyst | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Atrophy, diffuse | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Brain/meninges | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Hemorrhage |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Metaplasia, osseous | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Lateral ventricle | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Hemorrhage |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Third ventricle | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS |  |  | $2(4 \%)$ |
| Hemorrhage |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Fourth ventricle | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Dilatation, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Brain | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Spongiosis | 1 (2\%) | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) | 11 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, granulomatous | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Gliosis | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Degeneration, myelin |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Atrophy, pressure | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Brain stem | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Atrophy, pressure | 6 (12\%) | $6(12 \%)$ | $3(6 \%)$ |
| \# Medulla oblongata | $(50)$ | (49) | (50) |
| Inflammation, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS |  |  |  |
| *Eye | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic diffuse |  | 4 (8\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| *Eye/iris | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Synechia, anterior |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Synechia, posterior |  | 5 (10\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| *Eye/crystalline lens | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Mineralization | 1 (2\%) | 4 (8\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Cataract | 1 (2\%) | 19 (38\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| *Harderian gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |
| Atrophy, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| *Femur | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Dyschondropiasia | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| *Skeletal muscle | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE
TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)


SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY
None
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TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE


TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Pituitary intermedia | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Adenoma, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Adrenal | (49) | (48) | (48) |
| Cortical adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Adrenal/capsule | (49) | (48) | (48) |
| Adenoma, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Adrenal medulla | (49) | (48) | (48) |
| Pheochromocytoma | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Pheochromocytoma, malignant | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Thyroid | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Follicular cell adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { None } \\ \text { REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM None |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| SPECLAL SENSE ORGANS |  |  |  |
| *Harderian gland ${ }^{\text {Carcinoma, NOS }}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{(50)}$ (2\%) | ${ }_{1}^{(50)}(2 \%)$ |
| Adenoma, NOS | 2 (4\%) | '1 (2\%) |  |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| BODY CAVITIES <br> *Pleura | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS |  |  |  |
| Diaphragm <br> Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic |  | 1 |  |
| ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Natural death | 5 | 8 | 2 |
| Moribund sacrifice Terminal sacrifice | ${ }_{34}^{11}$ | 10 32 | 88 |
| Terminal sacrifice |  |  |  |
| TUMOR SUMMARY 37 - 26 |  |  |  |
| Total animals with primary tumors** | 37 | 26 | 29 |
| Total primary tumors | 60 | 38 | 41 |
| Total animals with benign tumors Total benign tumors | 16 21 | 15 19 | 19 22 |
| Total animals with malignant tumors | 30 | 15 | 17 |
| Total malignant tumors | 39 | 19 | 19 |
| Total animals with secondary tumors\# \# Total secondary tumors |  | $\stackrel{2}{3}$ | 1 |

[^7]TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO.YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: UNTREATED CONTROL


TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)


* Animals necropsied

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: LOW DOSE

@: Multiple occurrence of morphology

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: LOW DOSE
(Continued)


* Animals necropsied

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO.YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: HIGH DOSE


TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL. TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

*Anımals necropsıed

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Control | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/50 (6\%) | 3/50 (6\%) | 6/50 (12\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 8.1\% | 9.1\% | 14.5\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 2/34 (6\%) | 3/33 (9\%) | 5/40 (13\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 95 | 104 | 83 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.240$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.641$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.308$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.185$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.606$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.223$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathbf{P}=0.178$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.661$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.243$ |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 12/50 (24\%) | $7 / 50$ (14\%) | 5/50 (10\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 28.8\% | 16.7\% | 11.2\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | $6 / 34$ (18\%) | 0/33 (0\%) | 2/40 (5\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 81 | 71 | 73 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.043 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.221 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.050 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.146 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.221 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.114 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.038 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.154 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.054 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma or Fibrosarcoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | (e) $13 / 50(26 \%)$ | 7/50 (14\%) | 5/50 (10\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 31.3\% | 16.7\% | 11.2\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | $7 / 34$ (21\%) | 0/33 (0\%) | 2/40 (5\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 81 | 71 | 73 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.026 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.164 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.031 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.089 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.156 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.070 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.022 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.105 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.033 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 14/50 (28\%) | 10/50 (20\%) | 11/50 (22\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 32.9\% | 24.3\% | 24.6\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 7/34 (21\%) | 3/33 (9\%) | 7/40 (18\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 81 | 71 | 73 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.236 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.323 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.259 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.539$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.364 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.511 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.277 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.241 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.322 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarcoma, or Fibrosarcoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | (e) $15 / 50$ (30\%) | 10/50 (20\%) | 11/50 (22\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 35.4\% | 24.3\% | 24.6\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 8/34 (24\%) | 3/33 (9\%) | $7 / 40$ (18\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 81 | 71 | 73 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.175 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.255 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.194 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.456 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.279 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.405 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.206 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.178 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.247 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 4/50 (8\%) | 2/50 (4\%) | 4/50 (8\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 11.0\% | 6.1\% | 9.4\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 3/34 (9\%) | 2/33 (6\%) | 3/40 (7\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 97 | 104 | 73 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.509 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.359 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.576 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.570 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.394 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.632$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.579$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.339 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.643$ |

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)


TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 8/50 (16\%) | 6/50 (12\%) | $7 / 50$ (14\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 20.9\% | 18.2\% | 16.8\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 5/34 (15\%) | 6/33 (18\%) | $6 / 40$ (15\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 88 | 104 | 80 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.342 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.426 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.405 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.441 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.438 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.556 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.443 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.387 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 8/50 (16\%) | 3/50 (6\%) | 5/50 (10\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 19.3\% | 8.1\% | 11.3\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 3/34 (9\%) | 1/33 (3\%) | 2/40 (5\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 73 | 90 | 80 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.194 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.136 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.249 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.340 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.137 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.414 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.209 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.100 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.277 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 15/50 (30\%) | 8/50 (16\%) | 11/50 (22\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 35.5\% | 22.5\% | 25.3\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 8/34 ( $24 \%$ ) | 6/33 (18\%) | 8/40 (20\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 73 | 90 | 80 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.148 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.117 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.184 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.262 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.109 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.327 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.202 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.077 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.247 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/49 (6\%) | 1/48(2\%) | 2/48 (4\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 8.4\% | 3.1\% | 5.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 2/34 (6\%) | 1/32 (3\%) | 2/40 (5\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 101 | 104 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.345 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.336 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.437 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.442 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.365 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.588 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.408 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.316 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.510 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma or Malignant Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 4/49 (8\%) | 1/48(2\%) | 2/48 (4\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 11.2\% | 3.1\% | 5.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 3/34 (9\%) | 1/32 (3\%) | 2/40 (5\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 101 | 104 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.195 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.205 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.274 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.261 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.225 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.390 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.246 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.187 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.349 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| All Sites: Benign Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 16/50 (32\%) | 15/50 (30\%) | 19/50 (38\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 40.2\% | 43.7\% | 42.9\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 11/34 (32\%) | 14/33 (42\%) | 15/40 (38\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 88 | 73 | 73 |
| Life Table Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.477$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.560 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.505$ |
| Incidental Tumor Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.298$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.566$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.233$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.298$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.338$ |

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2,500 ppm | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{p p m}$ |  |  |
| All Sites: Malignant Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | $30 / 50(60 \%)$ | $15 / 50(30 \%)$ | $17 / 50(34 \%)$ |
| Terminal Rates (c) | $63.8 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ |  |
| Week of First Observation | $17 / 34(50 \%)$ | $6 / 33(18 \%)$ | $11 / 40(28 \%)$ |
| Life Table Test (d) | 73 | 71 | 73 |
| Incidental Tumor Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.008 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.019 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.009 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.036 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.005 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.032 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.006 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| All Sites: All Tumors |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.003 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Overall Rates (a) |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | $37 / 50(74 \%)$ | $26 / 50(52 \%)$ | $29 / 50(58 \%)$ |
| Terminal Rates (c) | $77.1 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ |
| Week of First Observation | $23 / 34(68 \%)$ | $16 / 33(48 \%)$ | $22 / 40(55 \%)$ |
| Life Table Test (d) | 73 | 71 | 73 |
| Incidental Tumor Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.041 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.096 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.044 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.180 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.051 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.178 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.062 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.019 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.070 \mathrm{~N}$ |
|  |  |  |  |

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site
(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality
(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill
(d) Beneath the control incidence are the $P$ values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the Pvalues corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by ( N ).
(e) A neurofibrosarcoma was observed in an animal with a fibrosarcoma.

TABLE C4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE


| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#Trachea | (49) |  | (50) |  | (48) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Tracheal gland | (49) |  | (50) |  | (48) |  |
| Inflammation, acute | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \#Lung/bronchus | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Multiple cysts | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \#Lung | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Atelectasis |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Congestion, NOS | 2 | (4\%) | 5 | (10\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| Hemorrhage | 2 | (4\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute focal |  |  |  | (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic foca! | 3 | (6\%) |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Fibrosis |  |  |  | (2\%) |  |  |
| Perivascular cuffing | 3 | (6\%) | 3 | (6\%) | 8 | (16\%) |
| Alveolar macrophages | 3 | (6\%) | 4 | (8\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, adenomatous |  | (4\%) |  |  |  |  |


| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Multiple organs | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 8 | (16\%) | 3 | (6\%) | 7 | (14\%) |
| \#Bone marrow | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Atrophy, NOS |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, NOS | 6 | (12\%) | 2 | (4\%) | 3 | (6\%) |
| Angiectasis | 1 | (2\%) |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, granulocytic | 13 | (26\%) | 8 | (16\%) | 11 | (22\%) |
| \#Spleen | (50) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Fibrosis, focal |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Amyloidosis |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Atrophy, NOS | 2 | (4\%) |  |  | 2 | (4\%) |
| Angiectasis |  |  | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, reticulum cell | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 11 | (22\%) | 20 | (41\%) | 21 | (42\%) |
| Hematopoiesis | 20 | (40\%) | 6 | (12\%) | 10 | (20\%) |
| \#Splenic red pulp | (50) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Mastocytosis |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE C4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| \#Mandibular lymph node | (48) | (48) | (42) |
| Hemorrhage | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemosiderosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |
| \#Renal lymph node | (48) | (48) | (42) |
| Hemorrhage |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Plasmacytosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Erythrophagocytosis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| \#Lung | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Leukocytosis, NOS | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| *Pericardium | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Salivary gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 8 (16\%) | 13 (26\%) | 13 (26\%) |
| \#Liver | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hematopoiesis | 3 (6\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Forestomach | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Mastocytosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \# Kidney | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 15 (30\%) | 13 (26\%) | 17 (34\%) |
| \#Urinary bladder | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 8 (16\%) |
| \#Prostate | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 6 (12\%) | 4 (8\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| \#Thymus | (39) | (36) | (39) |
| Cyst, NOS | 7 (18\%) | 5 (14\%) | 4 (10\%) |
| Multiple cysts | 3 (8\%) | 1 (3\%) | 2 (5\%) |
| Necrosis, NOS | 1 (3\%) |  |  |
| Atrophy, NOS | 3 (8\%) | 3 (8\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial |  | 1 (3\%) | 2 (5\%) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| *Knee | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Perivasculitis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Mandibular lymph node | (48) | (48) | (42) |
| Lymphangiectasis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Myocardium | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Fibrosis, focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Degeneration, NOS |  | $1(2 \%)$ | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Pancreas | (49) | (49) | (49) |
| Perivasculitis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Prostate Perivasculitis | (50) ${ }^{(1)}$ | (49) | (50) |
| Perivasculitis | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Salivary gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Atrophy, NOS |  | 4 (8\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Liver | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Multiple cysts |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Necrosis, focal | 6 (12\%) | 5 (10\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Infarct, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Metamorphosis, fatty |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Pigmentation, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Focal cellular change | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Liver/hepatocytes | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Nuclear alteration | 1 (2\%) |  |  |

TABLE C4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| *Gallbladder | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| *Gallbladder/mucosa | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Multiple cysts | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Degeneration, hyaline |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| *Gallbladder/mucous gland | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Fibrosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Bile duct | $(50)$ | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Pancreas | (49) | (49) | (49) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Fibrosis | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Lipoidosis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Atrophy, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Pancreatic acinus | (49) | (49) | (49) |
| Cytoplasmic vacuolization |  | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hypertrophy, focal |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| \# Esophageal submucosa | (48) | (50) | (48) |
| Perivascular cuffing |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Stomach | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Granuloma, NOS |  |  | $1(2 \%)$ |
| \#Glandular stomach | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Mineralization |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Cyst, NOS | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Multiple cysts | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic focal | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Necrosis, focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Calcification, NOS | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Dysplasia, NOS | $1 \text { (2\%) }$ |  |  |
| \#Gastric serosa | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Forestomach | (49) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS |  | $1(2 \%)$ | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hyperkeratosis |  |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |
| Dysplasia, NOS |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Duodenal mucosa | (48) | (45) | (48) |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Ileal submucosa | (48) | (45) | (48) |
| Amyloidosis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| *Rectum | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Prolapse | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| URINARY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Kidney | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Mineralization | 8 (16\%) | 7 (14\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Hydronephrosis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Cyst, NOS | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Multiple cysts |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Congestion, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Glomerulonephritis, acute | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Pyelonephritis, acute | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Pyelonephritis, chronic | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |

TABLE C4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO.YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| URINARY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Kidney (Continued) | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Fibrosis, focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Perivascular cuffing |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Nephropathy | 11 (22\%) | 12 (24\%) | 11 (22\%) |
| Atrophy, NOS | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Metaplasia, osseous | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Kidney/medulla | (50) | $(50)$ | (50) |
| Necrosis, focal |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| \#Renal papilla | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Calcification, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Kidney/glomerulus | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Amyloidosis |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| \#Convoluted tubules | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Degeneration, hyaline | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Metamorphosis, fatty | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Kidney/pelvis | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  |  |  |
| *Ureter | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Urinary bladder | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Calculus, gross observation only | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Urinary bladder/mucosa | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Ulcer, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Degeneration, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Degeneration, hyaline | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Anterior pituitary | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Multiple cysts | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Focal cellular change | 2 (4\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Adrenal/capsule | (49) | (48) | (48) |
| Hyperplasia, stromal | 28 (57\%) | 28 (58\%) | 35 (73\%) |
| \# Adrenal cortex | (49) | (48) | (48) |
| Ectopia | 2 (4\%) |  | 5 (10\%) |
| Degeneration, lipoid | 3 (6\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Pigmentation, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Focal cellular change | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hypertrophy, focal | 11 (22\%) | 10 (21\%) | 18 (38\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| \# Adrenal medulla | (49) | (48) | (48) |
| Hyperplasia, focal | $4(8 \%)$ | 7 (15\%) |  |
| \#Thyroid | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Follicular cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Degeneration, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Thyroid follicle | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Corpora amylacea |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Atrophy, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, cystic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Parathyroid | (32) | (35) | (31) |
| Ectopia |  |  |  |
| Cyst, NOS |  | 2 (6\%) | 1 (3\%) |
| Multiple cysts | 1 (3\%) |  |  |

TABLE C4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control |  | Low | Dose | High | Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Prepuce | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Impaction, NOS |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Congestion, NOS |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Ulcer, NOS |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  | (2\%) |
| Metaplasia, squamous |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| *Preputial gland | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Dilatation, NOS |  |  | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| Multiple cysts |  | (4\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, NOS | 13 | (26\%) |  | (28\%) | 9 | (18\%) |
| Metaplasia, squamous |  | (32\%) | 13 | (26\%) | 12 | (24\%) |
| \#Prostate | (50) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Multiple cysts |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, NOS | 8 | (16\%) | 13 | (27\%) | 8 | (16\%) |
| *Seminal vesicle | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Dilatation, NOS |  | (2\%) | 4 | (8\%) | 3 | (6\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  | (2\%) |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 2 | (4\%) |  | (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Testis | (50) |  | (48) |  | (50) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Calcification, NOS |  | (12\%) | 1 | (2\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| Atrophy, NOS | 3 |  | 2 | (4\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| Hyperplasia, interstitial cell |  |  | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| Angiectasis | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \#Testis/tubule | (50) |  | (48) |  | (50) |  |
| Cytomegaly |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#Brain | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Perivascular cuffing | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \#Brain/thalamus | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Calcification, NOS |  | (50\%) | 25 | (50\%) | 21 | (42\%) |
| \#Medulla oblongata | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Calcification, NOS |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| *Sciatic nerve | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Inflammation, pyogranulomatous |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Bone | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Atrophy, NOS |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Osteosclerosis | 3 | (6\%) | 4 | (8\%) |  |  |
| *Joint | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Dyschondroplasia |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| BODY CAVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Peritoneum | (50) |  | (50) |  | (50) |  |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |

TABLE C4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO.YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS <br> Knee <br> Dyschondroplasia <br> Adipose tissue <br> Necrosis, fat | 19 |  |  |
| SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY <br> None | 1 | 15 | 13 |

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
\# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
\# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
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TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY <br> ANIMALS NECROPSIED <br> ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ \\ \hline \quad 50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 49 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM <br> *Tail <br> Fibrous histiocytoma <br> *Skin <br> Adenoma, NOS <br> *Subcutaneous tissue <br> Fibrosarcoma <br> Myxoma <br> Neurilemoma | (50) <br> (50) <br> (50) <br> 1 (2\%) | $\begin{aligned} (49) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ (49) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ (49) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | (50) <br> (50) <br> (50) <br> $1(2 \%)$ |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM <br> \#Lung <br> Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Teratoma, metastatic | $\begin{array}{rr} (50) & \\ 3 & (6 \%) \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} &(49) \\ & 3(6 \%) \\ & 1(2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} (49) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM <br> *Multiple organs <br> Malignant lymphoma, NOS <br> Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated type <br> Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type <br> Malignant lymphoma, mixed type <br> Lymphocytic leukemia <br> \#Spleen <br> Malignant lymphoma, mixed type <br> \#Renal lymph node <br> Teratoma, metastatic <br> \#Liver <br> Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type <br> \#Thymus <br> Thymoma, benign <br> Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type | $\begin{aligned} (50) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ 25 & (50 \%) \\ & \\ (50) & \\ 3 & (6 \%) \\ (45) & \\ & \\ (50) & \\ & \\ (41) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $(49)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> 19 $(39 \%)$ <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> $(49)$  <br> $(44)$  <br> $(49)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> $(46)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ | $(50)$  <br>   <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> 26 $(52 \%)$ <br> $(50)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> $(48)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> $(50)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> $(41)$  |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM <br> *Multiple organs Hemangiosarcoma <br> *Subcutaneous tissue Hemangioma <br> \#Spleen <br> Hemangioma <br> Hemangiosarcoma <br> \# Myocardium <br> Hemangiosarcoma <br> \#Uterus <br> Hemangioma | $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ 1 $(50)$ | $(49)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> $(49)$  <br> $(49)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ <br> $(49)$  <br> $(49)$  <br> 1 $(2 \%)$ | $\begin{aligned} & (50) \\ & \\ & (50) \\ & 1 \\ & (50) \\ & (49) \\ & (50) \\ & (50) \end{aligned}$ |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM <br> \#Liver <br> Hepatocellular adenoma <br> Hepatocellular carcinoma | $\begin{aligned} (50) & \\ 3 & (6 \%) \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} &(49) \\ & 5(10 \%) \\ & 1(2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} (50) & \\ 1 & (2 \%) \\ 1 & (2 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO.YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control |  | Low Dose |  | High Dose |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| URINARY SYSTEM \#Kidney Teratoma, metastatic | (50) |  | $\begin{array}{r} (49) \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $(2 \%)$ | (50) |  |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#Anterior pituitary | (49) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Carcinoma, NOS | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Adenoma, NOS |  | (37\%) | 14 | (29\%) | 14 | (28\%) |
| \#Adrenal/capsule | (50) |  | (48) |  | (50) |  |
| Adenoma, NOS |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \#Adrenal medulla | (50) |  | (48) |  | (50) |  |
| Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |  |  | (2\%) |
| \#Thyroid | (50) |  | (48) |  | (48) |  |
| Follicular cell adenoma |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| \#Pancreatic islets | (49) |  | (47) |  | (49) |  |
| Islet cell adenoma |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Mammary gland | (50) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Adenocarcinoma, NOS |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Adenosquamous carcinoma |  |  |  |  |  | (2\%) |
| \#Uterus | (50) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Leiomyoma | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Endometrial stromal polyp | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \#Ovary | (48) |  | (46) |  | (48) |  |
| Luteoma |  | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| Granulosa cell tumor |  | (2\%) | 2 | (4\%) |  | (4\%) |
| Teratoma, NOS |  | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Teratoma, malignant |  |  | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| \#Mesovarium | (48) |  | (46) |  | (48) |  |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic |  |  |  |  |  | (2\%) |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Harderian gland | (50) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcinoma, NOS |  |  | $1$ | (2\%) | $1$ | $(2 \%)$ |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BODY CAVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Mediastinum $\quad$ Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic | (50) |  | (49) |  |  |  |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic |  |  |  |  | $1$ | $(2 \%)$ |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Osteosarcoma |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO.YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)


[^8]TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: UNTREATED CONTROL


TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)


* Anımals necropsied

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: LOW DOSE


TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)


* Anmals necropsied

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE: HIGH DOSE


TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: HIGH DOSE
(Continued)


* Anımals necropsied

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

|  | Control | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/50 (6\%) | 3/49 (6\%) | 1/49 (2\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 7.9\% | 8.0\% | 2.6\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 3/38 (8\%) | 2/34 (6\%) | 1/39 (3\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 90 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.237 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.614$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.296 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.267 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.642$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.296 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.246 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.651$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.316 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 4/50 (8\%) | 3/49 (6\%) | 2/49 (4\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 10.5\% | 8.0\% | 4.8\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 4/38(11\%) | 2/34 (6\%) | 1/39 (3\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 90 | 102 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.258 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.557 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.321 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.297 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.530 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.338 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.273 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.511 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.349 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 28/50 (56\%) | 19/49 (39\%) | 27/50 (54\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 63.3\% | 48.0\% | 61.3\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 22/38 (58\%) | 14/34 (41\%) | 23/40 (58\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 91 | 89 | 94 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.378 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.154 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.404 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.477 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.097 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.496 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.460 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.065 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 29/50 (58\%) | 23/49 (47\%) | 29/50 (58\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 64.1\% | 54.5\% | 63.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 22/38 (58\%) | 15/34 (44\%) | 23/40 (58\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 80 | 89 | 84 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.447 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.347 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.477 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.469$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.236 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.499$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.540$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.184 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.580 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma or Leukemia |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 29/50 (58\%) | 24/49 (49\%) | 29/50 (58\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | $64.1 \%$ | 56.9\% | 63.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 22/38 (58\%) | 16/34 (47\%) | 23/40 (58\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 80 | 89 | 84 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.446 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.417 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.477 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.471$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.307 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.499$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.540$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.243 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.580 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Circulatory System: Hemangioma or Hemangiosarcoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 1/50 (2\%) | 4/49 (8\%) | 1/50 (2\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 2.1\% | 10.1\% | 2.5\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 0/38(0\%) | 2/34 (6\%) | 1/40 (3\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 91 | 90 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.591 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.156$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.757 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.455$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.198$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.654$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.600$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.175$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.753$ |

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 3/50 (6\%) | 5/49 (10\%) | 1/50 (2\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 7.9\% | 14.0\% | 2.5\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 3/38(8\%) | 4/34 (12\%) | 1/40 (3\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 104 | 97 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.249 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.298$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.287 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.255 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.305$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.287 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.264 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.346$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.309 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 4/50 (8\%) | 6/49 (12\%) | 2/50 (4\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 9.8\% | 16.9\% | 4.8\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 3/38 (8\%) | 5/34 (15\%) | $1 / 40$ (3\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 80 | 97 | 103 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.274 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.307$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.320 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.314 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.334$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.410 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.291 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.357$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.339 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 18/49 (37\%) | 14/49 (29\%) | 14/50 ( $28 \%$ ) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 44.8\% | 38.4\% | 31.5\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 16/38 (42\%) | 12/34 (35\%) | 10/40 (25\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 95 | 93 | 93 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.186 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.393 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.216 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.181 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.352 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.213 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.204 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.259 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.238 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 19/49 (39\%) | 14/49 (29\%) | 14/50 (28\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 45.9\% | 38.4\% | 31.5\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 16/38(42\%) | 12/34 (35\%) | 10/40 (25\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 91 | 93 | 93 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.139 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.322 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.166 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.144 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.269 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.187 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.149 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.196 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.178 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Ovary: Luteoma or Granulosa Cell Tumor |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 2/48(4\%) | 2/46 (4\%) | 4/48(8\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 4.9\% | 5.8\% | 10.0\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 1/36 (3\%) | 1/31 (3\%) | 4/40 (10\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 95 | 100 | 104 |
| Life Table Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.295$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.645$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.379$ |
| Incidental Tumor Tests (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.247$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.668$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.342$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.253$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.675$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.339$ |
| All Sites: Benign Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) | 24/50 (48\%) | 24/49 (49\%) | 22/50(44\%) |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | 59.8\% | 60.9\% | 49.8\% |
| Terminal Rates (c) | 22/38 (58\%) | 19/34 (56\%) | 18/40 (45\%) |
| Week of First Observation | 95 | 90 | 93 |
| Life Table Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.305 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.374$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.335 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Incidental Tumor Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.367 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.418$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.369 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.382 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.541$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.421 \mathrm{~N}$ |

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Control |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Sites: Malignant Tumors |  |  |  |
| Overall Rates (a) |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | $31 / 50(62 \%)$ | $27 / 49(55 \%)$ | $31 / 50(62 \%)$ |
| Terminal Rates (c) | $67.0 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ |  |
| Week of First Observation | $23 / 38(61 \%)$ | $61.0 \%$ | $17 / 34(50 \%)$ |
| Life Table Test (d) | 80 | $23 / 40(58 \%)$ |  |
| Incidental Tumor Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.445 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | 22 |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.484$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.474 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.290 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.538$ |
| All Sites: All Tumors |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.311 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.582 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Overall Rates (a) | $44 / 50(88 \%)$ |  |  |
| Adjusted Rates (b) | $91.6 \%$ | $41 / 49(84 \%)$ | $39 / 50(78 \%)$ |
| Terminal Rates (c) | $34 / 38(89 \%)$ | $87.2 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ |
| Week of First Observation | 80 | $98134(82 \%)$ | $30 / 40(75 \%)$ |
| Life Table Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.134 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.467$ | 22 |
| Incidental Tumor Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.137 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.429 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.143 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) | $\mathrm{P}=0.114 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.148 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |
| Fisher Exact Test (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.371 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.144 \mathrm{~N}$ |
|  |  |  |  |

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site
(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality
(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill
(d) Beneath the control incidence are the $P$ values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by ( N ).
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TABLE D4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO.YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| \#Liver | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Hematopoiesis | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| \#Pancreas | (49) | (47) | (49) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Kidney | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 9 (18\%) | 7 (14\%) | $8(16 \%)$ |
| \#Urinary bladder | (50) | (47) | (48) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) | 9 (19\%) | 7 (15\%) |
| \#Mesovarium | (48) | (46) | (48) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \# Anterior pituitary | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, eosinophilic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Adrenal cortex | (50) | (48) | (50) |
| Hematopoiesis | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| \#Thymus | (41) | (46) | (41) |
| Cyst, NOS | $3(7 \%)$ | 5 (11\%) | 2 (5\%) |
| Multiple cysts | 6 (15\%) | 5 (11\%) | 8 (20\%) |
| Atrophy, NOS | 2 (5\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (5\%) |
| Hyperplasia, epithelial |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 4 (10\%) | 6 (13\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| *Multiple organs | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Perivasculitis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Lung/bronchus | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Thrombosis, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Myocardium | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Fibrosis, focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Perivascular cuffing |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Ovary | (48) | (46) | (48) |
| Thrombosis, NOS | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Thyroid |  | (48) | (48) |
| Perivasculitis | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Salivary gland | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Ectopia |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Mineralization |  | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |
| Dilatation/ducts |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Multiple cysts |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Atrophy, focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Liver | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Ectopia | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, acute focal |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Degeneration, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Necrosis, focal | 9 (18\%) | 5 (10\%) | 8 (16\%) |
| Necrosis, coagulative |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Metamorphosis, fatty |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Pigmentation, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Basophilic cyto change |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Focal cellular change | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Clear cell change |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hepatocytomegaly | 1 (2\%) | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| *Gallbladder | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemosiderosis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |

TABLE D4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued) |  |  |  |
| \#Bile duct | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Degeneration, hyaline |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Pancreas | (49) | (47) | (49) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Atrophy, NOS | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Pancreatic acinus | (49) | (47) | (49) |
| Cytoplasmic vacuolization |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hypertrophy, focal | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| \#Stomach | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| \#Glandular stomach | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Mineralization |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Cyst, NOS | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Multiple cysts | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic | 2 (4\%) | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |
| Degeneration, hyaline |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Calcification, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Dysplasia, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Forestomach | (49) | (49) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 ( $2 \%$ ) |  |  |
| Multiple cysts | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Erosion | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Crystals, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| URINARY SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Kidney | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Multiple cysts | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Glomerulonephritis, acute | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Pyelonephritis, acute | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Glomerulonephritis, subacute | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Glomerulonephritis, chronic |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Nephropathy | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) | $3(6 \%)$ |
| Nephrosis, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Nephrosis, hemoglobinuric |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Calcification, NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Metaplasia, osseous | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| \#Renal papilla | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Necrosis, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Perirenal tissue | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, fat |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Kidney/glomerulus | (50) | (49) | $(50)$ |
| Amyloidosis | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Convoluted tubules | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Degeneration, hyaline |  |  | $4(8 \%)$ |
| \#Kidney/pelvis | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Amyloidosis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Urinary bladder | (50) | (47) | (48) |
| Perivascular cuffing | 1 (2\%) |  |  |

TABLE D4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control |  | Low | Dose | High | Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#Pituitary | (49) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Angiectasis | 3 | (6\%) | 1 | (2\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| \#Pituitary intermedia | (49) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \#Anterior pituitary | (49) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  |  | (2\%) |  |  |
| Focal cellular change | 2 | (4\%) | 2 |  | 2 | (4\%) |
| Hypertrophy, focal |  |  | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  | (31\%) | 14 | (29\%) | 12 | (24\%) |
| \#Pituitary acidophil cell | (49) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Hyperplasia, NOS |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| \#Pituitary posterior | (49) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Gliosis |  | (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \#Adrenal/capsule | (50) |  | (48) |  | (50) |  |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, stromal |  | (98\%) |  | (92\%) | 50 | (100\%) |
| \#Adrenal cortex | (50) |  | (48) |  | (50) |  |
| Ectopia |  | (12\%) | 5 | (10\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degeneration, lipoid |  |  | 2 | (4\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| Amyloidosis |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hypertrophy, focal |  |  | 1 | (6\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  |  | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| \# Adrenal medulla | (50) |  | (48) |  | (50) |  |
| Focal cellular change |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  |  | ) | (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Thyroid | (50) |  | (48) |  | (48) |  |
| Follicular cyst, NOS |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute focal |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic focal |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, follicular cell |  |  |  |  | ) | (2\%) |
| \#Thyroid follicle | (50) |  | (48) |  | (48) |  |
| Multiple cysts | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, acute |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degeneration, NOS |  |  |  |  | 8 | (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, papillary |  | (18\%) | 6 | (13\%) | 8 | (17\%) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic |  |  | 2 |  | ) | (2\%) |
| \#Parathyroid | (29) |  | (31) |  | (34) |  |
| Ectopia |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  | 1 | (3\%) | 1 | (3\%) |
| Multiple cysts |  |  |  |  | 1 | (3\%) |
| \#Pancreatic islets | (49) |  | (47) |  | (49) |  |
| Hyperplasia, NOS |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Mammary gland | (50) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Dilatation/ducts | 5 | (10\%) | 7 | (14\%) | 8 | (16\%) |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fibrosis |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, NOS |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| *Mammary duct | (50) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Dilatation, NOS |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Uterus | (50) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Dilatation, NOS |  | (4\%) | 9 | (18\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
| Cyst, NOS |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |  |  |
| Pyometra |  |  |  |  | 1 | (2\%) |
| \#Uterus/endometrium | (50) |  | (49) |  | (50) |  |
| Multiple cysts |  | (6\%) | 3 | (6\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| Hemorrhage Inflammation, suppurative |  |  |  | (2\%) | 1 | (2\%) |

TABLE D4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Uterus/endometrium (Continued) | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 44 (88\%) | 38 (78\%) | 45 (90\%) |
| Hyperplasia, stromal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Angiectasis | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| \#Fallopian tube | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Cyst, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Multiple cysts | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Ovary/parovarian | (48) | (46) | (48) |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| \#Ovary | (48) | (46) | (48) |
| Cyst, NOS | 6 (13\%) | 10 (22\%) | 10 (21\%) |
| Multiple cysts | 2 (4\%) | 3 (7\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Hematoma, NOS | 5 (10\%) | 7 (15\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Abscess, NOS | 3 (6\%) |  |  |
| Calcification, NOS |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Angiectasis | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| \#Mesovarium | (48) | (46) | (48) |
| Multiple cysts |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, fat |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| \#Brain | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Congestion, NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hemorrhage |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Perivascular cuffing | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| \#Brain/thalamus | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Calcification, NOS | 16 (32\%) | 12 (24\%) | 18 (36\%) |
| \#Cerebellum | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Perivascular cuffing |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| *Eye/cornea | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| *Ear | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM |  |  |  |
| *Bone |  | (49) | (50) |
| Fibrous osteodystrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Osteosclerosis | 17 (34\%) | 17 (35\%) | 17 (34\%) |
| *Skeletal muscle | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Necrosis, NOS |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| BODY CAVITIES |  |  |  |
| *Pleura | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| *Mesentery | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Inflammation, acute |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, acute/chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, fat |  |  | 1 (2\%) |

TABLE D4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (Continued)

|  | Untreated Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS |  |  |  |
| *Multiple organs | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Amyloidosis | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Tail |  |  |  |
| Osteosclerosis |  |  | 1 |
| Knee |  |  |  |
| Dyschondroplasia | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Adipose tissue |  |  |  |
| Necrosis, fat | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| No necropsy performed |  | 1 |  |
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TABLE E1. MUTAGENICITY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM (a)

| Strain | Dose ( $\mathrm{ag} / \mathrm{plate}$ ) | Revertants/plate (b) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -S9 |  | +S9 (hamster) |  | +S9 (rat) |  |
|  |  | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 1 | Trial 2 |
| TA100 | 0 | $108 \pm 3.2$ | $104 \pm 4.6$ | $134 \pm 8.3$ | $117 \pm 5.3$ | $116 \pm 7.8$ | $133 \pm 10.4$ |
|  | 0.3 | -. | $117 \pm 2.8$ | -- | $140 \pm 1.9$ | -- | $140 \pm 6.1$ |
|  | 1 | $168 \pm 10.3$ | $101 \pm 6.8$ | $114 \pm 12.4$ | $120 \pm 14.9$ | $120 \pm 9.3$ | $136 \pm 8.5$ |
|  | 3 | $129 \pm 4.8$ | $103 \pm 7.2$ | $90 \pm 6.5$ | $128 \pm 7.1$ | $142 \pm 18.3$ | $125 \pm 12.6$ |
|  | 10 | $95 \pm 13.6$ | $72 \pm 7.4$ | $63 \pm 7.2$ | $114 \pm 5.8$ | $116 \pm 9.7$ | $114 \pm 6.8$ |
|  | 33 | Toxic | $13 \pm 1.8$ | (c) $0 \pm 0.0$ | (c) $24 \pm 24.0$ | (c) $0 \pm 0.0$ | $57 \pm 5.5$ |
|  | 100 | Toxic |  | (c) $0 \pm 0.0$ | -- | Toxic |  |
| Trial summary Positive control (d) |  | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
|  |  | $467 \pm 18.0$ | $419 \pm 12.6$ | $2,355 \pm 34.5$ | $778 \pm 10.2$ | $846 \pm 26.4$ | $495 \pm 23.2$ |
| TA1535 | 0 | $31 \pm 0.7$ | $32 \pm 1.8$ | $42 \pm 3.6$ |  | $41 \pm 5.5$ | $24 \pm 1.3$ |
|  | $0.3$ |  | $20 \pm 3.9$ |  | $22 \pm 3.9$ |  | $32 \pm 2.0$ |
|  | 1 | $39 \pm 3.2$ | $27 \pm 3.8$ | $31 \pm 3.3$ | $20 \pm 3.3$ | $43 \pm 5.3$ | $29 \pm 2.3$ |
|  | 3 | $31 \pm 5.7$ | $25 \pm 2.6$ | $26 \pm 1.9$ | $18 \pm 1.5$ | $35 \pm 4.2$ | $27 \pm 0.6$ |
|  | 10 | $23 \pm 3.5$ | $24 \pm 2.6$ | $32 \pm 3.2$ | $15 \pm 1.9$ | $42 \pm 5.2$ | $19 \pm 1.2$ |
|  | 33 | $21 \pm 3.0$ | $16 \pm 2.1$ | (c) $6 \pm 6.0$ | $14 \pm 2.6$ | $27 \pm 0.9$ | $23 \pm 4.9$ |
|  | 100 | (c) $0 \pm 0.0$ | .-- | (c) $0 \pm 0.0$ | .- | $11 \pm 1.9$ |  |
| Trial summary Positive control (d) |  | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
|  |  | $443 \pm 29.1$ | $379 \pm 22.3$ | $645 \pm 25.2$ | $356 \pm 53.3$ | $331 \pm 13.7$ | $120 \pm 13.2$ |
| TA1537 | 0 | $11 \pm 1.8$ | $6 \pm 0.6$ | $19 \pm 4.7$ | $7 \pm 0.7$ | $14 \pm 1.2$ | $15 \pm 1.2$ |
|  | 0.3 |  | $6 \pm 0.3$ | - | $11 \pm 3.3$ |  | $13 \pm 0.7$ |
|  | 1 | $9 \pm 2.5$ | $5 \pm 1.3$ | $14 \pm 0.3$ | $7 \pm 0.9$ | $11 \pm 1.5$ | $13 \pm 3.2$ |
|  | 3 | $16 \pm 1.5$ | $4 \pm 0.9$ | $10 \pm 2.2$ | $5 \pm 1.2$ | $10 \pm 1.2$ | $9 \pm 0.3$ |
|  | 10 | $14 \pm 3.5$ | $7 \pm 1.7$ | $15 \pm 1.7$ | $9 \pm 2.6$ | $11 \pm 4.0$ | $11 \pm 2.7$ |
|  | 33 | $7 \pm 1.2$ | $3 \pm 1.0$ | (c) $2 \pm 2.0$ | $7 \pm 2.3$ | $8 \pm 2.4$ | $6 \pm 1.5$ |
|  | 100 | Toxic | .- | (c) $0 \pm 0.0$ | .. | (c) $1 \pm 1.0$ |  |
| Trial summary Positive control (d) |  | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
|  |  | $388 \pm 33.5$ | $277 \pm 25.1$ | $591 \pm 16.8$ | $454 \pm 17.6$ | $266 \pm 9.8$ | $204 \pm 14.8$ |
| TA98 | 0 | $28 \pm 2.2$ | $18 \pm 3.8$ | $48 \pm 5.8$ | $26 \pm 2.9$ | $37 \pm 2.3$ | $33 \pm 4.0$ |
|  | 0.3 |  | $22 \pm 1.7$ |  | $31 \pm 4.4$ |  | $31 \pm 5.2$ |
|  |  | $29 \pm 2.0$ | $17 \pm 0.3$ | $27 \pm 3.8$ | $27 \pm 3.6$ | $48 \pm 7.9$ | $40 \pm 6.7$ |
|  | 3 | $25 \pm 0.6$ | $19 \pm 5.2$ | $29 \pm 0.3$ | $28 \pm 0.9$ | $43 \pm 1.2$ | $35 \pm 5.0$ |
|  | 10 | $25 \pm 2.3$ | $10 \pm 1.2$ | $22 \pm 3.0$ | $24 \pm 4.2$ | $34 \pm 6.6$ | $24 \pm 1.5$ |
|  | 33 | $20 \pm 2.6$ | $8 \pm 2.2$ | $9 \pm 4.4$ | $22 \pm 1.5$ | $35 \pm 7.1$ | $29 \pm 2.2$ |
|  | 100 | Toxic | .- | (c) $0 \pm 0.0$ | .- | $22 \pm 4.0$ | .. |
| Trial summary Positive control (d) |  | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
|  |  | $758 \pm 14.2$ | $730 \pm 18.6$ | $1,856 \pm 19.6$ | $477 \pm 29.8$ | $436 \pm 5.1$ | $401 \pm 33.1$ |

(a) Study performed at SRI International. The detailed protocol is presented by Haworth et al. (1983). Cells and study compound or solvent ( $95 \%$ ethanol) were incubated in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (-S9) or with Aroclor 1254-induced S9 from male Syrian hamster liver or male Sprague Dawley rat liver. High dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed $10 \mathrm{mg} /$ plate; $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ plate dose is the solvent control.
(b) Revertants are presented as mean $\pm$ standard error from three plates.
(c) Slight toxicity
(d) Positive control; 2-aminoanthracene was used on all strains in the presence of S9. In the absence of metabolic activation, 4 -nitro 0 -phenylenediamine was used with TA98, sodium azide was used with TA100 and TA1535, and 9 -aminoacridine was used with TA1537.

TABLE E2. MUTAGENICITY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE IN MOUSE L5178Y LYMPHOMA CELLS ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ )

| Compound | Concentration <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Cloning <br> Efficiency <br> (percent) | Relative <br> Total Growth <br> (percent) | Mutant <br> Count | Mutant <br> Fraction (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Trial 1

| Ethanol (d) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Erythromycin stearate | 6.25 |
|  | 12.5 |
|  | 25 |
|  | 50 |
|  | 100 |
|  | (e) 150 |
|  | 200 |
|  |  |
| Ethyl methanesulfonate | 250 |

$82.5 \pm 3.9$
$91.0 \pm 9.3$
$89.0 \pm 7.1$
$96.7 \pm 1.9$
$81.0 \pm$
$75.0 \pm 6.5$
$75.3 \pm 5.8$
Lethal
$69.3 \pm 0.9$

| $100.0 \pm$ | 2.7 | $175.3 \pm 17.3$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $77.3 \pm$ | 2.3 | $190.3 \pm 8.3$ |  |
| $81.7 \pm$ | 10.5 | $222.7 \pm$ | 8.2 |
| $81.0 \pm$ | 2.5 | $213.3 \pm$ | 12.3 |
| $53.0 \pm$ | 1.2 | $210.0 \pm$ | 3.5 |
| $6.0 \pm$ | 0.6 | $265.3 \pm$ | 19.7 |
| $3.3 \pm$ | 0.3 | $352.0 \pm 55.6$ |  |
| - |  | .- |  |
| $47.0 \pm$ | 3.6 | $1,096.7 \pm 85.0$ |  |

$70.5 \pm 3.3$ $\pm \quad 0.9$
$100.0 \pm 10.4$
$71.3 \pm 9.2$
$57.3 \pm 7.7$
$64.0 \pm 8.3$
$59.3 \pm 3.0$
$62.7 \pm 3.3$
$53.7 \pm 4.8$
$60.3 \pm 8.5$
$50.0 \pm 6.7$

| $100.0 \pm 10.4$ | $98.5 \pm$ | 7.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $71.3 \pm$ | 7.9 | $60.3 \pm 10.1$ |
| $23.7 \pm$ | 1.5 | $83.3 \pm 18.4$ |
| $19.7 \pm$ | 1.3 | $86.0 \pm 11.0$ |
| $16.7 \pm$ | 0.3 | $122.3 \pm$ |
| 15.1 | 4.1 |  |
| $17.3 \pm$ | 1.0 | $118.7 \pm$ |
| 9.4 | $105.7 \pm 13.5$ |  |
| $59.0 \pm$ | 7.5 | $772.7 \pm 19.2$ |

$47.8 \pm 4.8$

| Ethanol (d) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Erythromycin stearate | 25 |
|  | 50 |
|  | 75 |
|  | 100 |
|  | 125 |
|  | (e) 150 |
|  |  |
| Ethyl methanesulfonate | 250 |

Trial 3

| Ethanol (d) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Erythromycin stearate | 40 |
|  | 60 |
|  | 80 |
|  | 100 |
|  | $(\mathrm{~g}) 120$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}) 140$ |

Methyl methanesulfonate 5

| $100.0 \pm 5.8$ | $100.3 \pm$ | 2.7 | $75.3 \pm$ | 8.3 | $25.0 \pm$ | 2.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $71.0 \pm$ | 12.0 | $27.0 \pm$ | 4.0 | $55.0 \pm$ | 6.0 | $26.0 \pm$ |
| $76.0 \pm$ | 6.6 | $11.3 \pm$ | 2.6 | $68.3 \pm$ | 16.2 | $29.7 \pm$ |
| $63.0 \pm .8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $54.3 \pm$ | 1.5 | $5.2 \pm$ | 0.3 | $78.0 \pm$ | 17.9 | (f) $41.0 \pm$ |
| $50.0 \pm 13.1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $55.5 \pm$ | $3.7 \pm$ | 0.3 | $79.7 \pm$ | 5.2 | (f) $49.3 \pm$ | 2.9 |
| $76.0 \pm$ | $4.0 \pm$ | 1.0 | $57.5 \pm$ | 7.5 | $40.0 \pm$ | 5.0 |
| 76.2 | $4.0 \pm$ | 0.0 | $75.0 \pm$ | 1.0 | (f) $45.5 \pm$ | 3.5 |
|  | $60.7 \pm 10.8$ | $453.0 \pm 93.9$ | (f) $196.3 \pm 26.8$ |  |  |  |

+ S9 (h)
Trial 1

| Ethanol (d) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Erythromycin stearate | 31.3 |
|  | 62.5 |
|  | 125 |
|  | (e) 250 |
|  | 500 |
|  | (i) 750 |
|  | 1,000 |
|  | 2.5 |

$86.8 \pm 4.2$
$49.3 \pm 4.5$
$71.0 \pm 7.0$
$69.0 \pm 5.0$
$80.3 \pm 11.8$
$65.0 \pm 6.5$
42
Lethal
$71.7 \pm \quad 26$

| $99.8 \pm$ | 12.4 | $265.3 \pm 23.4$ | $102.0 \pm 6.6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $58.0 \pm$ | 7.0 | $243.0 \pm 10.3$ | (f) $166.3 \pm 7.6$ |
| $72.7 \pm$ | 2.9 | $228.0 \pm 4.4$ | $109.7 \pm 12.9$ |
| $71.7 \pm$ | 5.0 | $245.0 \pm 14.6$ | $119.3 \pm 10.5$ |
| $59.3 \pm$ | 6.2 | $339.0 \pm 44.8$ | $142.0 \pm 12.1$ |
| $39.0 \pm$ | 4.5 | $380.7 \pm 15.2$ | (f) $197.7 \pm 15.0$ |
| 8 |  | 416 | 329 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $49.0 \pm 10.7$ |  | $712.7 \pm 4.3$ | (f) $334.3 \pm 13.0$ |

TABLE E2. MUTAGENICITY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE IN MOUSE L5178Y LYMPHOMA CELLS (Continued)

| Compound | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Cloning Efficiency (percent) | Relative Total Growth (percent) | Mutant <br> Count | Mutant <br> Fraction (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + S9 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trial 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethanol (d) |  | $72.0 \pm 4.8$ | $100.0 \pm 5.7$ | $140.5 \pm 4.2$ | $66.3 \pm 5.6$ |
| Erythromycin stearate | 100 | $69.0 \pm 6.4$ | $86.0 \pm 9.5$ | $86.3 \pm 3.5$ | $42.7 \pm 5.8$ |
|  | (e) 200 | $76.0 \pm 6.7$ | $91.7 \pm 16.2$ | $127.0 \pm 16.6$ | $57.7 \pm 11.1$ |
|  | 400 | $62.3 \pm 12.4$ | $47.3 \pm 7.3$ | $117.7 \pm 24.7$ | $75.0 \pm 32.1$ |
|  | 500 | $47.3 \pm 12.3$ | $10.7 \pm 4.2$ | $193.0 \pm 15.9$ | (f) $148.0 \pm 23.3$ |
|  | 600 | $44.3 \pm 9.0$ | $11.0 \pm 5.0$ | $211.3 \pm 18.6$ | (f) $174.7 \pm 42.8$ |
|  | 700 | $62.3 \pm 6.7$ | $37.3 \pm 19.4$ | $182.7 \pm 19.1$ | (f) $102.3 \pm 22.1$ |
|  | 800 | Lethal | - | $18.7 \pm 19.1$ | (102.3 $\pm 2.1$ |
| Methylcholanthrene | 2.5 | $70.7 \pm 5.2$ | $71.0 \pm 5.5$ | $580.7 \pm 46.3$ | (f) $275.0 \pm 25.9$ |

[^10]TABLE E3. INDUCTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (a)

| Compound | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}) \end{gathered}$ | Total Cells | No. of Chromosomes | No. of SCEs | SCEs/ Chromosome | $\begin{gathered} \text { SCEs// } \\ \text { Cell } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & \text { in BrdU } \end{aligned}$ | Relative SCEs/Cell (percent) (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -S9 (c) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trial 1--Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,046 \\ & 1,052 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 476 \\ & 463 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5 \\ & 9.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.0 \\ & 26.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Erythromycin stearate | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 16 \\ 50 \\ 160 \end{array}$ | 50 31 50 50 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,050 \\ 650 \\ 1,046 \\ 1,047 \end{array}$ | 462 278 483 472 | 0.44 0.43 0.46 0.45 | 9.2 9.0 9.7 9.4 | 26.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 98.9 \\ 96.8 \\ 104.3 \\ 101.1 \end{array}$ |
| Mitomycin C | $\begin{aligned} & 0.001 \\ & 0.010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,049 \\ 209 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 853 \\ & 619 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81 \\ & 2.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.1 \\ & 61.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.0 \\ & 26.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183.9 \\ & 665.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Trial 2-Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide |  | 50 | 1,041 | 425 | 0.41 | 8.5 | 26.5 |  |
| Erythromycin stearate | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 50 \\ 100 \\ 160 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 1,029 1,027 1,016 -- | 377 425 396 | 0.37 0.41 0.39 | 7.5 8.5 7.9 -- | $\begin{array}{r} 26.5 \\ 26.5 \\ 26.5 \\ \text { (d) } 31.5 \end{array}$ | 88.2 100.0 92.9 |
| Mitomycin C | $\begin{aligned} & 0.001 \\ & 0.010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,044 \\ 210 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 557 \\ & 490 \end{aligned}$ | 0.53 2.33 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.1 \\ & 49.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.5 \\ & 26.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130.6 \\ & 576.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| +S9 (e) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trial 1--Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,052 \\ & 1,050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 417 \\ & 418 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.3 \\ & 8.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.0 \\ & 27.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Erythromycin stearate | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 160 \\ 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,050 \\ & 1,050 \\ & 1,051 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 374 \\ & 426 \\ & 449 \end{aligned}$ | 0.36 0.41 0.43 | 7.5 8.5 9.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.0 \\ & 27.0 \\ & 27.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89.3 \\ 101.2 \\ 107.1 \end{array}$ |
| Cyclophosphamide | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1,052 210 | $\begin{aligned} & 765 \\ & 493 \end{aligned}$ | 0.73 2.35 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \\ & 49.3 \end{aligned}$ | 27.0 27.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 182.1 \\ & 586.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Trial 2-Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide |  | 50 | 1,042 | 467 | 0.45 | 9.3 | 26.0 |  |
| Erythromycin stearate | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 400 \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,049 \\ & 1,050 \\ & 1,050 \end{aligned}$ | 450 440 475 | 0.43 0.42 0.45 | 9.0 8.8 9.5 | 26.0 26.0 26.0 | 96.8 94.6 102.2 |
| Cyclophosphamide | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1,048 210 | $\begin{aligned} & 643 \\ & 356 \end{aligned}$ | 0.61 1.70 | 12.9 35.6 | 26.0 26.0 | 138.7 382.8 |

(a) Study performed at Environmental Health Research and Testing Laboratory. SCE = sister chromatid exchange; BrdU $=$ bromodeoxyuridine. A detailed description of the SCE protocol is presented by Galloway et al. (1985). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (dimethyl sulfoxide) as described in (c) or (e) below and cultured for sufficient time to reach second metaphase division. Cells were then collected by mitotic shake-off, fixed, air-dried, and stained.
(b) SCEs/cell in treated culture expressed as a percent of the SCEs/cell in the control culture
(c) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then BrdU was added, and incubation was continued for 24 hours. Cells were washed, fresh medium containing BrdU and colcemid was added, and incubation was continued for 2-3 hours.
(d) Because some chemicals induce a delay in the cell division cycle, harvest times are occasionally extended to maximize the proportion of second division cells available for analysis.
(e) In the presence of S 9 , cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then cells were washed, and medium containing BrdU was added. Cells were incubated for a further 26 hours, with colcemid present for the final 2-3 hours. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

TABLE E4. INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE (a)

| Trial 1 |  |  |  |  | Trial 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}) \end{gathered}$ | Total Cells | No. of Abs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Abs/ } \\ & \text { Cell } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Cells } \\ & \text { with Abs } \end{aligned}$ | Dose ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Total Cells | No. of Abs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Abs/ } \\ & \text { Cell } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Cells } \\ & \text { with Abs } \end{aligned}$ |
| - S9 (b)--Harvest time 12.5 h |  |  |  |  | -S9 (b)--Harvest time 12.0 h |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide |  |  |  |  | Dimethyl sulfoxide |  |  | 0.02 | 2 |
|  | 100 | 1 | 0.01 | 1 |  | 100 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Erythromycin stearate |  |  |  |  | Erythromycin stearate |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 160 | 100 | 4 | 0.04 | 3 |
| 50 | 100 | 2 | 0.02 | 2 | 300 | 100 | 3 | 0.03 | 3 |
| 160 | 100 | 3 | 0.03 | 3 | 400 | 100 | 3 | 0.03 | 3 |
| 500 | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 500 | 100 | 1 | 0.01 | 1 |
| Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  | Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  |
| Mitomycin C |  |  |  |  | Mitomycin C |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 50 | 27 | 0.54 | 40 | 0.250 | 100 | 26 | 0.26 | 20 |
| + S9 (c)--Harvest time 12.0 h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 1 | 0.01 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Erythromycin stearate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 | 100 | 1 | 0.01 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cyclophosphamide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 100 | 10 | 0.10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 50 | 27 | 0.54 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |

(a) Study performed at Environmental Health Research and Testing Laboratory. Abs = aberrations. A detailed presentation of the technique for detecting chromosomal aberrations is presented by Galloway et al. (1985). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (dimethyl sulfoxide) as indicated in (b) or (c). Cells were arrested in first metaphase by addition of colcemid and harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained in $6 \%$ Giemsa.
(b) In the absence of $S 9$, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (dimethyl sulfoxide) for 8 10 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were then washed, and fresh medium containing colcemid was added for an additional 2-3 hours fol-
lowed by harvest.
(c) In the presence of $S 9$, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (dimethyl sulfoxide) for 2 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were then washed, medium was added, and incubation was continued for $8-10$ hours. Colcemid was added for the last $2-3$ hours of incubation before harvest. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254 -induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

## APPENDIX F

## SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

## I. Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via viral serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals are untreated, and these animals and the study animals are both subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Fifteen $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice and $15 \mathrm{~F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats of each sex are selected at the time of randomization and allocation of the animals to the various study groups. Five animals of each designated sentinel group are killed at 6,12 , and 18 months on study. Data from animals surviving 24 months are collected from $5 / 50$ randomly selected control animals of each sex and species. The blood from each animal is collected and clotted, and the serum is separated. The serum is cooled on ice and shipped to Microbiological Associates' Comprehensive Animal Diagnostic Service for determination of the viral antibody titers. The following tests are performed:

## Hemagglutination <br> Inhibition

Mice $\quad$ PVM (pneumonia virus of mice)
Reo 3 (reovirus type 3)
GDVII (Theiler's
encephalomyelitis virus)
Poly (polyoma virus)
MVM (minute virus of mice)
Ectro (infectious ectromelia)
Sendai (6, 12, 24 mo )
Rats PVM
KRV (Kilham rat virus)
H-1 (Toolan's H-1 virus)
Sendai (6, 12, 24 mo )

## Complement

Fixation
M. Ad. (mouse adenovirus)

LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus)
Sendai (18 mo)
MHV (mouse hepatitis virus)

RCV (rat coronavirus)
Sendai (18 mo)

## II. Results

No positive results were obtained.

## APPENDIX G

## FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY

## RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES <br> OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

PAGE
TABLE G1 FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE ..... 154
TABLE G2 FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE ..... 155
TABLE G3 FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE ..... 156
TABLE G4 FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE ..... 157

TABLE G1. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Week | Control |  | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |  |  | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grams <br> Feed/ <br> Day (a) | Body Weight (grams) | Grams Feed/ Day (a) | Body Weight (grams) | Low/ <br> Control (b) | Dose/ Day (c) | Grams Feed/ Day (a) | Body Weight (grams) | High/ Control (b) | Dose/ <br> Day (c) |
| 1 | 16 | 168 | 16 | 167 | 1.0 | 479 | 15 | 164 | 0.9 | 915 |
| 6 | 14 | 293 | 15 | 292 | 1.1 | 257 | 14 | 278 | 1.0 | 504 |
| 10 | 15 | 335 | 15 | 336 | 1.0 | 223 | 14 | 316 | 0.9 | 443 |
| 13 | 16 | 369 | 16 | 369 | 1.0 | 217 | 15 | 350 | 0.9 | 429 |
| 17 | 16 | 396 | 15 | 393 | 0.9 | 191 | 16 | 375 | 1.0 | 427 |
| 21 | 15 | 407 | 16 | 406 | 1.1 | 197 | 15 | 390 | 1.0 | 385 |
| 27 | 16 | 432 | 16 | 426 | 1.0 | 188 | 16 | 410 | 1.0 | 390 |
| 31 | 16 | 442 | 15 | 439 | 0.9 | 171 | 15 | 424 | 0.9 | 354 |
| 35 | 15 | 445 | 15 | 445 | 1.0 | 169 | 15 | 430 | 1.0 | 349 |
| 40 | 15 | 458 | 15 | 458 | 1.0 | 164 | 15 | 443 | 1.0 | 339 |
| 44 | 14 | 457 | 14 | 451 | 1.0 | 155 | 13 | 438 | 0.9 | 297 |
| 48 | 15 | 468 | 15 | 462 | 1.0 | 162 | 15 | 453 | 1.0 | 331 |
| 52 | 15 | 469 | 15 | 469 | 1.0 | 160 | 15 | 455 | 1.0 | 330 |
| 57 | 14 | 467 | 14 | 471 | 1.0 | 149 | 14 | 455 | 1.0 | 308 |
| 61 | 14 | 470 | 15 | 472 | 1.1 | 159 | 15 | 457 | 1.1 | 328 |
| 65 | 14 | 464 | 14 | 466 | 1.0 | 150 | 14 | 454 | 1.0 | 308 |
| 70 | 14 | 467 | 14 | 452 | 1.0 | 155 | 13 | 449 | 0.9 | 290 |
| 75 | 15 | 466 | 14 | 464 | 0.9 | 151 | 14 | 448 | 0.9 | 313 |
| 79 | 14 | 461 | 13 | 452 | 0.9 | 144 | 14 | 440 | 1.0 | 318 |
| 83 | 15 | 465 | 15 | 453 | 1.0 | 166 | 14 | 445 | 0.9 | 315 |
| 87 | 15 | 462 | 13 | 449 | 0.9 | 145 | 13 | 436 | 0.9 | 298 |
| 91 | 12 | 444 | 12 | 445 | 1.0 | 135 | 12 | 425 | 1.0 | 282 |
| 96 | 13 | 438 | 14 | 460 | 1.1 | 152 | 13 | 421 | 1.0 | 309 |
| 100 | 13 | 437 | 13 | 427 | 1.0 | 152 | 13 | 416 | 1.0 | 313 |
| Mean | 14.6 | 424 | 14.5 | 422 | 1.0 | 183 | 14.3 | 407 | 1.0 | 370 |
| SD (d) | 1.1 |  | 1.1 |  | 0.06 | 69 | 1.0 |  | 0.06 | 129 |
| CV(e) | 7.5 |  | 7.6 |  | 6.0 | 37.7 | 7.0 |  | 6.0 | 34.9 |

(a) Grams of feed removed from feeder per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.
(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Milligrams of erythromycin stearate consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(d) Standard deviation
(e) Coefficient of variation $=($ standard deviation $/$ mean $) \times 100$

TABLE G2. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Week | Control |  | 5,000 ppm |  |  |  | 10,000 ppm |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grams } \\ & \text { Feed/ } \\ & \text { Day (a) } \end{aligned}$ | Body Weight (grams) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grams } \\ & \text { Feed/ } \\ & \text { Day (a) } \end{aligned}$ | Body Weight (grams) | Low/ Control (b) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose/ } \\ \text { Day (c) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grams } \\ & \text { Feed/ } \\ & \text { Day (a) } \end{aligned}$ | Body Weight (grams) | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose/ } \\ \text { Day (c) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 10 | 126 | 10 | 127 | 1.0 | 394 | 10 | 124 | 1.0 | 806 |
| 6 | 10 | 179 | 10 | 181 | 1.0 | 276 | 10 | 172 | 1.0 | 581 |
| 10 | 10 | 196 | 10 | 198 | 1.0 | 253 | 9 | 187 | 0.9 | 481 |
| 13 | 10 | 205 | 10 | 208 | 1.0 | 240 | 10 | 196 | 1.0 | 510 |
| 17 | 10 | 219 | 10 | 222 | 1.0 | 225 | 11 | 209 | 1.1 | 526 |
| 21 | 10 | 220 | 10 | 227 | 1.0 | 220 | 10 | 212 | 1.0 | 472 |
| 27 | 10 | 233 | 11 | 238 | 1.1 | 231 | 10 | 222 | 1.0 | 450 |
| 31 | 10 | 238 | 9 | 245 | 0.9 | 184 | 10 | 229 | 1.0 | 437 |
| 35 | 10 | 255 | 11 | 247 | 1.1 | 223 | 10 | 230 | 1.0 | 435 |
| 40 | 10 | 249 | 11 | 256 | 1.1 | 215 | 10 | 234 | 1.0 | 427 |
| 44 | 10 | 256 | 10 | 261 | 1.0 | 192 | 10 | 241 | 1.0 | 415 |
| 48 | 11 | 263 | 12 | 273 | 1.1 | 220 | 11 | 250 | 1.0 | 440 |
| 52 | 11 | 273 | 11 | 283 | 1.0 | 194 | 11 | 257 | 1.0 | 428 |
| 57 | 11 | 289 | 11 | 292 | 1.0 | 188 | 10 | 266 | 0.9 | 376 |
| 61 | 11 | 293 | 12 | 304 | 1.1 | 197 | 11 | 275 | 1.0 | 400 |
| 65 | 11 | 302 | 11 | 313 | 1.0 | 176 | 11 | 283 | 1.0 | 389 |
| 70 | 11 | 313 | 12 | 322 | 1.1 | 186 | 11 | 292 | 1.0 | 377 |
| 75 | 11 | 317 | 12 | 326 | 1.1 | 184 | 11 | 295 | 1.0 | 373 |
| 79 | 11 | 321 | 11 | 327 | 1.0 | 168 | 11 | 294 | 1.0 | 374 |
| 83 | 11 | 323 | 11 | 328 | 1.0 | 168 | 11 | 297 | 1.0 | 370 |
| 87 | 11 | 326 | 13 | 330 | 1.2 | 197 | 10 | 296 | 0.9 | 338 |
| 91 | 11 | 316 | 10 | 328 | 0.9 | 152 | 9 | 290 | 0.8 | 310 |
| 96 | 11 | 330 | 11 | 336 | 1.0 | 164 | 11 | 299 | 1.0 | 368 |
| 100 | 10 | 329 | 11 | 328 | 1.1 | 168 | 11 | 300 | 1.1 | 367 |
| Mean | 10.5 | 265 | 10.8 | 271 | 1.0 | 209 | 10.4 | 248 | 1.0 | 435 |
| SD (d) | 0.5 |  | 0.9 |  | 0.1 | 50 | 0.6 |  | 0.1 | 101 |
| CV (e) | 4.8 |  | 8.3 |  | 10.0 | 23.9 | 5.8 |  | 10.0 | 23.2 |

(a) Grams of feed removed from feeder per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.
(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Milligrams of erythromycin stearate consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(d) Standard deviation
(e) Coefficient of variation $=($ standard deviation $/$ mean $) \times 100$

TABLE G3. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Week | Control |  | 2,500 ppm |  |  |  | 5,000 ppm |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grams } \\ & \text { Feed } \\ & \text { Day (a) } \end{aligned}$ | Body <br> Weight <br> (grams) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ \text { Feed/ } \\ \text { Day (a) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (grams) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low/ } \\ \text { Control (b) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dose/ } \\ & \text { Day (c) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ \text { Feed/ } \\ \text { Day (a) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (grams) | High/ Control (b) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose/ } \\ \text { Day (c) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 3 | 26.2 | 3 | 25.3 | 1.0 | 296 | 3 | 25.3 | 1.0 | 593 |
| 8 | 4 | 30.9 | 4 | 30.5 | 1.0 | 328 | 4 | 29.6 | 1.0 | 676 |
| 9 | 4 | 31.3 | 4 | 31.2 | 1.0 | 321 | 3 | 30.1 | 0.8 | 498 |
| 13 | 4 | 33.0 | 4 | 32.9 | 1.0 | 304 | 4 | 32.3 | 1.0 | 619 |
| 16 | 4 | 33.4 | 4 | 35.1 | 1.0 | 285 | 4 | 33.5 | 1.0 | 597 |
| 20 | 4 | 35.4 | 4 | 35.8 | 1.0 | 279 | 4 | 34.5 | 1.0 | 580 |
| 26 | 4 | 35.1 | 4 | 37.0 | 1.0 | 270 | 4 | 35.1 | 1.0 | 570 |
| 30 | 4 | 36.3 | 4 | 36.4 | 1.0 | 275 | 4 | 35.4 | 1.0 | 565 |
| 34 | 4 | 37.2 | 4 | 37.5 | 1.0 | 267 | 4 | 36.6 | 1.0 | 546 |
| 38 | 4 | 36.9 | 4 | 38.0 | 1.0 | 263 | 4 | 36.8 | 1.0 | 543 |
| 42 | 4 | 38.4 | 4 | 39.0 | 1.0 | 256 | 4 | 38.8 | 1.0 | 515 |
| 46 | 4 | 39.5 | 4 | 39.6 | 1.0 | 253 | 4 | 39.0 | 1.0 | 513 |
| 50 | 4 | 39.7 | 4 | 40.0 | 1.0 | 250 | 4 | 39.1 | 1.0 | 512 |
| 55 | 4 | 39.7 | 4 | 39.5 | 1.0 | 253 | 4 | 39.0 | 1.0 | 513 |
| 58 | 4 | 39.4 | 4 | 39.3 | 1.0 | 254 | 4 | 39.0 | 1.0 | 513 |
| 63 | 4 | 40.2 | 4 | 40.2 | 1.0 | 249 | 4 | 39.7 | 1.0 | 504 |
| 68 | 4 | 39.5 | 4 | 40.0 | 1.0 | 250 | 4 | 39.0 | 1.0 | 513 |
| 72 | 4 | 39.1 | 4 | 39.3 | 1.0 | 254 | 4 | 38.5 | 1.0 | 519 |
| 77 | 4 | 39.1 | 4 | 38.4 | 1.0 | 260 | 4 | 38.0 | 1.0 | 526 |
| 81 | 4 | 39.2 | 4 | 38.6 | 1.0 | 259 | 4 | 37.7 | 1.0 | 531 |
| 85 | 4 | 38.0 | 4 | 37.8 | 1.0 | 265 | 4 | 37.8 | 1.0 | 529 |
| 89 | 3 | 37.8 | 4 | 39.3 | 1.3 | 254 | 4 | 38.3 | 1.3 | 522 |
| 94 | 4 | 37.5 | 4 | 38.3 | 1.0 | 261 | 4 | 37.2 | 1.0 | 538 |
| 98 | 4 | 37.4 | 4 | 39.5 | 1.0 | 253 | 4 | 37.7 | 1.0 | 531 |
| Mean | 3.9 | 36.7 | 4.0 | 37.0 | 1.0 | 269 | 3.9 | 36.2 | 1.0 | 544 |
| SD (d) | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 | 22 | 0.3 |  | 0.1 | 43 |
| CV (e) | 7.7 |  | 5.0 |  | 10.0 | 8.2 | 7.7 |  | 10.0 | 7.9 |

(a) Grams of feed removed from feeder per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.
(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Milligrams of erythromycin stearate consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(d) Standard deviation
(e) Coefficient of variation $=($ standard deviation $/$ mean $) \times 100$

TABLE G4. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF ERYTHROMYCIN STEARATE

| Week | Control |  | 2,500 ppm |  |  |  | 5,000 ppm |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ \text { Feed/ } \\ \text { Day (a) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (grams) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grams } \\ \text { Feed/ } \\ \text { Day (a) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (grams) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low/ } \\ \text { Control (b) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dose/ } \\ & \text { Day (c) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grams } \\ & \text { Feed/ } \\ & \text { Day (a) } \end{aligned}$ | Body Weight (grams) | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dose/ } \\ & \text { Day (c) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 3 | 20.7 | 3 | 20.5 | 1.0 | 366 | 3 | 20.5 | 1.0 | 732 |
| 8 | 3 | 23.9 | 3 | 23.5 | 1.0 | 319 | 3 | 23.5 | 1.0 | 638 |
| 9 | 3 | 23.9 | 3 | 23.9 | 1.0 | 314 | 3 | 24.1 | 1.0 | 622 |
| 13 | 3 | 26.3 | 3 | 26.5 | 1.0 | 283 | 3 | 26.2 | 1.0 | 573 |
| 16 | 3 | 27.2 | 3 | 27.8 | 1.0 | 270 | 3 | 27.1 | 1.0 | 554 |
| 20 | 4 | 29.5 | 3 | 28.9 | 0.8 | 260 | 3 | 28.7 | 0.8 | 523 |
| 26 | 3 | 30.4 | 3 | 30.3 | 1.0 | 248 | 3 | 29.2 | 1.0 | 514 |
| 30 | 3 | 31.8 | 3 | 32.0 | 1.0 | 234 | 4 | 30.9 | 1.3 | 647 |
| 34 | 4 | 34.3 | 3 | 34.0 | 0.8 | 221 | 3 | 33.2 | 0.8 | 452 |
| 38 | 3 | 34.8 | 3 | 35.0 | 1.0 | 214 | 3 | 33.4 | 1.0 | 449 |
| 42 | 3 | 35.2 | 3 | 36.2 | 1.0 | 207 | 3 | 35.2 | 1.0 | 426 |
| 46 | 4 | 37.4 | 3 | 37.4 | 0.8 | 201 | 4 | 36.7 | 1.0 | 545 |
| 50 | 4 | 38.1 | 4 | 38.3 | 1.0 | 261 | 4 | 37.9 | 1.0 | 528 |
| 55 | 4 | 37.7 | 3 | 37.8 | 0.8 | 198 | 4 | 37.6 | 1.0 | 532 |
| 58 | 4 | 38.6 | 4 | 37.0 | 1.0 | 270 | 4 | 37.4 | 1.0 | 535 |
| 63 | 4 | 39.7 | 3 | 38.5 | 0.8 | 195 | 3 | 39.1 | 0.8 | 384 |
| 68 | 4 | 40.1 | 4 | 38.9 | 1.0 | 257 | 4 | 39.6 | 1.0 | 505 |
| 72 | 4 | 39.7 | 4 | 38.6 | 1.0 | 259 | 4 | 40.2 | 1.0 | 498 |
| 77 | 4 | 39.1 | 4 | 38.5 | 1.0 | 260 | 3 | 39.1 | 0.8 | 384 |
| 81 | 4 | 39.9 | 3 | 38.9 | 0.8 | 193 | 4 | 39.8 | 1.0 | 503 |
| 85 | 4 | 39.9 | 3 | 39.5 | 0.8 | 190 | 3 | 40.3 | 0.8 | 372 |
| 89 | 3 | 39.3 | 3 | 39.0 | 1.0 | 192 | 3 | 39.9 | 1.0 | 376 |
| 94 | 4 | 39.5 | 4 | 39.2 | 1.0 | 255 | 3 | 40.2 | 0.8 | 373 |
| 98 | 4 | 39.5 | 4 | 39.9 | 1.0 | 251 | 3 | 40.9 | 0.8 | 367 |
| Mean | 3.6 | 34.4 | 3.3 | 34.2 | 0.9 | 247 | 3.3 | 34.2 | 0.9 | 501 |
| SD (d) | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.1 | 45 | 0.5 |  | 0.1 | 99 |
| CV (e) | 13.9 |  | 15.2 |  | 11.1 | 18.2 | 15.2 |  | 11.1 | 19.8 |

(a) Grams of feed removed from feeder per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.
(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Milligrams of erythromycin stearate consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(d) Standard deviation
(e) Coefficient of variation $=($ standard deviation/mean $) \times 100$

## APPENDIX H

# INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION 

Meal Diet: September 1980 to October 1982
(Manufactured by Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA)
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TABLE H1. INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

| Ingredients (b) | Percent by Weight |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ground \#2 yellow shelled corn | 24.50 |
| Ground hard winter wheat | 23.00 |
| Soybean meal (49\% protein) | 12.00 |
| Fish meal (60\% protein) | 10.00 |
| Wheat middlings | 10.00 |
| Dried skim milk | 5.00 |
| Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17\% protein) | 4.00 |
| Corn gluten meal (60\% protein) | 3.00 |
| Soy oil | 2.50 |
| Dried brewer's yeast | 2.00 |
| Dry molasses | 1.50 |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 1.25 |
| Ground limestone | 0.50 |
| Salt | 0.50 |
| Premixes (vitamin and mineral) | 0.25 |

(a) NIH, 1978; NCI, 1976
(b) Ingredients ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed

TABLE H2. VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

|  | Amount | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vitamins |  |  |
| A | 5,500,000 IU | Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 4,600,000 IU | D-activated animal sterol |
| $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | 2.8 g | Menadione |
| d-a-Tocopheryl acetate | 20,000 IU |  |
| Choline | 560.0 g | Choline chloride |
| Folic acid | 2.2 g |  |
| Niacin | 30.0 g |  |
| $d$-Pantothenic acid | 18.0 g | d-Calcium pantothenate |
| Riboflavin | 3.4 g |  |
| Thiamine | 10.0 g | Thiamine mononitrate |
| $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ | 4,000 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ |  |
| Pyridoxine | 1.7 g | Pyridoxine hydrochloride |
| Biotin | 140.0 mg | $d$-Biotin |
| Minerals |  |  |
| Iron | 120.0 g | Iron sulfate |
| Manganese | 60.0 g | Manganous oxide |
| Zinc | 16.0 g | Zincoxide |
| Copper | 4.0 g | Copper sulfate |
| lodine | 1.4 g | Calcium iodate |
| Cobalt | 0.4 g | Cobalt carbonate |

(a) Per ton ( $2,000 \mathrm{lb}$ ) of finished product

TABLE H3. NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

| Nutrients | Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation | Range | Number of Samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crude protein (percent by weight) | $24.22 \pm 1.07$ | 22.6-26.3 | 24 |
| Crude fat (percent by weight) | $5.09 \pm 0.46$ | 4.2-6.0 | 24 |
| Crude fiber (percent by weight) | $3.42 \pm 0.39$ | 2.4-4.2 | 24 |
| Ash (percent by weight) | $6.63 \pm 0.38$ | 5.97-7.42 | 24 |
| Amino Acids (percent of total diet) |  |  |  |
| Arginine | 1.260 | 1.21-1.31 | 2 |
| Cystine | 0.395 | 0.39-0.40 | 2 |
| Glycine | 1.175 | 1.15-1.20 | 2 |
| Histidine | 0.553 | 0.530-0.576 | 2 |
| Isoleucine | 0.908 | 0.881-0.934 | 2 |
| Leucine | 1.905 | 1.85-1.96 | 2 |
| Lysine | 1.250 | 1.20-1.30 | 2 |
| Methionine | 0.310 | 0.306-0.314 | 2 |
| Phenylalanine | 0.967 | 0.960-0.974 | 2 |
| Threonine | 0.834 | 0.827-0.840 | 2 |
| Tryptophan | 0.175 | 0.171-0.178 | 2 |
| Tyrosine | 0.587 | 0.566-0.607 | 2 |
| Valine | 1.085 | 1.05-1.12 | 2 |

Essential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)

| Linoleic | 2.37 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Linolenic | 0.308 |
| Arochid | 0.008 |

Vitamins

| Vitamin A (IU/kg) | 11,108 $\pm 1,093$ | 9,100-14,000 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vitamin D (IU/kg) | 6,300 |  | 1 |
| a-Tocopherol (ppm) | 37.6 | 31.1-44.0 | 2 |
| Thiamine (ppm) | $19.0 \pm 2.73$ | 16.0-26.0 | (b) 23 |
| Riboflavin (ppm) | 6.9 | 6.1-7.4 | 2 |
| Niacin (ppm) | 75 | 65-85 | 2 |
| Pantothenic acid (ppm) | 30.2 | 29.8-30.5 | 2 |
| Pyridoxine (ppm) | 7.2 | 5.6-8.8 | 2 |
| Folic acid (ppm) | 2.1 | 1.8-2.4 | 2 |
| Biotin (ppm) | 0.24 | 0.21-0.27 | 2 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}(\mathrm{ppb})$ | 12.8 | 10.6-15.0 | 2 |
| Choline (ppm) | 3,315 | 3,200-3,430 | 2 |

Minerals

| Calcium (percent) | $1.25 \pm 0.15$ | $1.10-1.53$ | 24 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Phosphorus (percent) | $0.99 \pm 0.08$ | $0.84-1.10$ | 24 |
| Potassium (percent) | 0.809 | $0.772-0.846$ | 2 |
| Chloride (percent) | 0.557 | $0.479-0.635$ | 2 |
| Sodium (percent) | 0.304 | $0.258-0.349$ | 2 |
| Magnesium (percent) | 0.172 | $0.166-0.177$ | 2 |
| Sulfur (percent) | 0.278 | $0.270-0.285$ | 2 |
| Iron (ppm) | 418 | $409-426$ | 2 |
| Manganese (ppm) | 90.8 | $86.0-95.5$ | 2 |
| Zinc (ppm) | 55.1 | $54.2-56.0$ | 2 |
| Copper (ppm) | 12.68 | $9.65-15.70$ | 2 |
| lodine (ppm) | 2.58 | $1.52-3.64$ | 2 |
| Chromium (ppm) | 1.86 | 1.79 .1 .93 | 2 |
| Cobalt (ppm) | 0.57 | $0.49-0.65$ | 2 |

(a) One or two batches of feed analyzed for nutrients reported in this table were manufactured in January and/or April 1983. (b) One batch (7/22/81) not analyzed for thiamine

TABLE H4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

| Contaminants | Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation | Range | Number of Samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic (ppm) | $0.41 \pm 0.15$ | 0.13-0.93 | 24 |
| Cadmium (ppm) (a) | <0.10 |  | 24 |
| Lead (ppm) | $1.07 \pm 0.73$ | 0.27-2.93 | 24 |
| Mercury (ppm) (a) | $<0.05$ |  | 24 |
| Selenium (ppm) | $0.29 \pm 0.07$ | 0.16-0.48 | 24 |
| Aflatoxins (ppb) (a,b) | $<10$ | <5.0-10.0 | 24 |
| Nitrate nitrogen (ppm) (c) | $9.18 \pm 4.33$ | 0.6-18.0 | 24 |
| Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) (c) | $1.99 \pm 1.30$ | 0.4-5.3 | 24 |
| BHA (ppm) (d, e) | $5.10 \pm 4.19$ | <0.4-15.0 | 24 |
| BHT (ppm) (d) | $3.05 \pm 1.52$ | 1.2-6.0 | 24 |
| Aerobic plate count ( $\mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | $80,604 \pm 48,850$ | 7,000-210,000 | 24 |
| Coliform (MPN/g) (f) | $883 \pm 908$ | <3-2,400 | 24 |
| E. coli (MPN/g) (f,g) | $8.0 \pm 7.91$ | $<3-23$ | 23 |
| E. coli (MPN/g) (f,h) | $13.88 \pm 30.00$ | $<3-150$ | 24 |
| Total nitrosamines (ppb) ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) | $6.69 \pm 5.60$ | <1.2-18.8 | 22 |
| Total nitrosamines (ppb) (i,k) | $14.55 \pm 27.15$ | <1.2-101.6 | 24 |
| $N$-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (i,l) | $5.25 \pm 5.33$ | 0.6-16.8 | 22 |
| $N$-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (i,m) | $13.02 \pm 26.80$ | 0.6-99 | 24 |
| $N$-Nitrosopyrtolidine (ppb) | $1.21 \pm 0.66$ | <0.3-2.4 | 24 |

## Pesticides (ppm)

| $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{BHC}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{n})$ | $<0.01$ |  | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$-BHC (a) | <0.02 |  | 24 |
| $\gamma$-BHC-Lindane (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| 8-BHC (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Heptachlor (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Aldrin (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Heptachlor epoxide (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| DDE (0) | <0.01 | 0.05 (7/14/81) | 24 |
| DDD (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| DDT (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| HCB (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Mirex (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Methoxychlor (p) | <0.05 | 0.13 (8/25/81); 0.6 (6/29/82) | 24 |
| Dieldrin (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Endrin (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Telodrin (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Chlordane (a) | <0.05 |  | 24 |
| Toxaphene (a) | <0.1 |  | 24 |
| Estimated PCBs (a) | <0.2 |  | 24 |
| Ronnel (a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Ethion (a) | <0.02 |  | 24 |
| Trithion (a) | <0.05 |  | 24 |
| Diazinon (a) | <0.1 |  | 24 |
| Methyl parathion (a) | <0.02 |  | 24 |
| Ethyl parathion (a) | $<0.02$ |  | 24 |
| Malathion ( $\mathbf{q}$ ) | $0.08 \pm 0.05$ | <0.05-0.25 | 24 |
| Endosulfan I(a) | <0.01 |  | 24 |
| Endosulfan II (a) | $<0.01$ |  | 24 |
| Endosulfan sulfate (a) | <0.03 |  | 24 |

TABLE H4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (Continued)
(a) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the table as the mean.
(b) The detection limit was reduced from 10 ppb to 5 ppb after $7 / 81$.
(c) Source of contamination: Alfalfa, grains, and fish meal
(d) Source of contamination: Soy oil and fish meal
(e) One batch produced on $4 / 27 / 81$ contained less than 0.5 ppm ; the value was $<0.04$.
(f) MPN = most probable number
(g) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude one high value of 150 obtained for the batch produced on $8 / 26 / 82$.
(h) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the high value listed in footnote (g).
(i) All values were corrected for percent recovery.
(j) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude one very high value of 101.6 ppb obtained for the batch produced on 1/26/81 and one very high value of 100.3 ppb obtained for the batch produced on 4/27/81.
(k) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the high values listed in footnote ( j ).
(1) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude one very high value of 97.9 ppb obtained for the batch produced on $1 / 26 / 81$ and one very high value of 99 ppb obtained for the batch produced on $4 / 27 / 81$.
(m) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the very high values given in footnote (1).
(n) $\mathrm{BHC}=$ hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride
(o) There was one observation above the detection limit; the value and date it was obtained are given under the range.
(p) There were two observations above the detection limit; the values and dates they were obtained are given under the range.
(q) Ten batches contained more than 0.05 ppm .

## APPENDIX I

## AUDIT SUMMARY

The experimental data, documents, and pathology materials for the 2 -year toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of erythromycin stearate in rats and mice were audited for accuracy, consistency, completeness, and compliance with Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) regulations of the Food and Drug Administration (fully implemented by the NTP on October 1, 1981). The studies were conducted for the NTP by Physiological Research Laboratories, Minneapolis, Minnesota, under a subcontract with Tracor Jitco, Inc., until February 28, 1983, and then under contract with the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS). Animal dosing with erythromycin stearate in feed began on December 9, 1980, for rats and on December 23, 1980, for mice. The retrospective audit was conducted at the NTP Archives, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, in January 1987 by Argus Research Laboratories, Paul A. Wennerberg, D.V.M., M.S., Principal Investigator. Other individuals involved in the conduct of the audit are listed in the full audit report, which is on file at the NIEHS. The audit included a review of:
(1) All records concerning animal receipt, quarantine, randomization, and disposition prior to study start.
(2) Body weight, clinical observation, and feed consumption data for a random $10 \%$ sample of study animals.
(3) All inlife records involving protocol, correspondence, environmental conditions, mortality, animal identification, and correlation of final inlife observation of masses, date of death, and disposition with necropsy records.
(4) All postmortem records for individual animals concerning identification, disposition codes, condition codes, correlation between gross observations and microscopic diagnoses, and tissue accountability.
(5) All chemistry records.
(6) All wet tissue bags for inventory and wet tissues from a random $10 \%$ sample of the study animals plus other relevant cases to verify animal identification and to examine for untrimmed lesions.
(7) Blocks and slides of tissues from all control and high dose animals to examine for proper match and inventory.
(8) Tabulated pathology diagnoses for a random $10 \%$ of study animals to verify computer data entry.
(9) Data and results pertaining to the 2 -year studies of erythromycin stearate in the Preliminary Draft (3/87) of the NTP Technical Report.

The audit showed that the studies were conducted following the prescribed statement of work. Inlife procedures and events were documented adequately by the archival records with the exception that information on procedural details for randomization and the disposition of surplus animals was incomplete. Audit findings from review of the inlife records were few in number and minor in nature and involved only the clinical records. For example, 4 rats and 1 mouse had masses during their last month of survival which lacked corresponding necropsy observation, and 10 rats had observations involving eyes (pale, red crust, or opaque) which were not recorded either in the inlife or necropsy records. Also, the date and mode of death entered into separate records maintained for animal census and clinical observations were either not internally consistent or sometimes not listed in both records for five rats and five mice; however, one of the two records was always in agreement with the disposition code listed on the ncropsy record form. Analytical chemistry records were present with only minor exceptions and documented study conduct and data adequately.

Animals were identified individually by toe clip (numbers 1-99) or a combination of toe clip and ear punch (numbers $100-150$ ) per sex and species. Neither toes nor ears were saved for animals that died early in the study, and only toes were saved for animals killed at the end of the studies. Accordingly, inspection of wet tissues could only partly confirm animal identification; none of the 89 rats and 64 mice examined was incorrectly identified or could possibly have been involved in an animal mixup.

The audit identified 19 untrimmed potential lesions (4 in target organs) in the wet tissues of 19/89 rats examined, and 3 untrimmed potential lesions in $3 / 64$ mice examined. As a result, NTP staff initiated a complete review of wet tissues for untrimmed lesions. The complete review of wet tissues of rats from these studies identified 164 potential untrimmed lesions in 108 rats (male and female); these diagnoses have been incorporated in the tables of the Technical Report. Analysis of the lesions in mice indicated that further review was not required. There were a variety of gross observations without a corresponding microscopic correlate ( 22 in rats with 7 involving target organs, and 9 in mice), which were distributed across study groups. Full details about these and other audit findings are presented in the audit report.

In conclusion, the study records at the NTP Archives support the data and results presented in this NTP Technical Report.

## NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM TECHNICAL REPORTS PRINTED AS OF OCTOBER 1988

| 201 | 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (Dermal) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 206 | Dibromochloropropane |
| 207 | Cytembena |
| 208 | FD \& C Yellow No. 6 |
| 209 | 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (Gavage) |
| 210 | 1,2-Dibromoethane (Inhalation) |
| 211 | C.I. Acid Orange 10 |
| 212 | Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate |
| 213 | Butylbenyl Phthalate |
| 214 | Caprolactam |
| 215 | Bisphenol A |
| 216 | 11-Aminoundecanoic Acid |
| 217 | Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate |
| 219 | 2,6-Dichloro-p-phenylenediamine |
| 220 | C.I. Acid Red 14 |
| 221 | Locust Bean Gum |
| 222 | C.I.Disperse Yellow 3 |
| 223 | Eugenol |
| 224 | Tara Gum |
| 225 | D\& C Red No. 9 |
| 226 | C.I.Solvent Yellow 14 |
| 227 | Gum Arabic |
| 229 | Guar Gum |
| 230 | Agar |
| 231 | Stannous Chloride |
| 233 | 2-Biphenylamine Hydrochloride |
| 234 | Allyl Isothiocyanate |
| 235 | Zearalenone |
| 236 | D-Mannitol |
| 238 | Ziram |
| 239 | Bis 2 -chloro-1-methylethyl)ether |
| 240 | Propyl Gallate |
| 242 | Diallyl Phthalate (Mice) |
| 244 | Polybrominated Biphenyl Mixture |
| 245 | Melamine |
| 247 | L-Ascorbic Acid |
| 248 | 4,4'-Methylenedianiline Dihydrochloride |
| 249 | Amosite Asbestos |
| 250 | Benzyl Acetate |
| 251 | Toluene Diisocyanate |
| 252 | Geranyl Acetate |
| 253 | Allyl Isovalerate |
| 255 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene |
| 257 | Diglycidyl Resorcinol Ether |
| 259 | Ethyl Acrylate |
| 261 | Chlorobenzene |
| 263 | 1,2-Dichloropropane |
| 266 | Monuron |
| 267 | Propylene Oxide |
| 269 | Telone II |
| 271 | HC Blue No. |
| 272 | Propylene |
| 273 | Trichloroethylene (Four strains of rats) |
| 274 | Tris(2-ethylhexyl)phosphate |
|  |  |

TR No.
CHEMICAL

## 212 Direethylhexyl)adipate

ylbenzyl Phthalat
C.I. Acid Red 14

Locust Bean Gum

Solvent Yellow 14

233 2-Biphenylamine Hydrochloride
234 Allyl Isothiocyanate
Zearalenone

Ziram
239 Bis(2-chloro-1-methylethyl)ether
Propyl Gallate
242 Diallyl Phthalate (Mice)
245 Melamine
247 L-Ascorbic Acid
248 4,4'-Methylenedianiline Dihydrochloride

251 Toluene Diisocyanate
253 Allyl Isovalerate
255 1,2-Dichlorobenzene
Diglycidyl Resorcinol Ether
Ehylate
1,2-Dichloropropane

Propylene Oxid
Telone II*

Propylene
Tris(2-ethylhexyl)phosphate

TR No.
CHEMICAL

275 2-Chloroethanol
276 8-Hydroxyquinoline
281 H.C. Red No. 3
282 Chlorodibromomethane
284 Diallylphthalate (Rats)
285 C.I. Basic Red 9 Monohydrochloride
287 Dimethyl Hydrogen Phosphite
288 1,3-Butadiene
289 Benzene
291 Isophorone
293 HC Blue No. 2
294 Chlorinated Trisodium Phosphate
295 Chrysotile Asbestos (Rats)
296 Tetrakis(hydroxymethy)phosphonium Sulfate and
Tetrakis(hydroxymethy)phosphonium Chloride
Dimethyl Morpholinophosphoramidate
C.I. Disperse Blue 1

3-Chloro-2-methylpropene
o-Phenylphenol
4-Vinylcyclohexene
Chlorendic Acid
Chlorinated Paraffins ( $\mathrm{C}_{23}, 43 \%$ chlorine)
Dichloromethane
Ephedrine Sulfate
Chlorinated Paraffins ( $\mathrm{C}_{12}, 60 \%$ chlorine)
Decabromodiphenyl Oxide
Marine Diesel Fuel and JP-5 Navy Fuel
Tetrachloroethylene (Inhalation)
n-Butyl Chloride
Methyl Methacrylate
Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride
1-Chloro-2-methylpropene
Chlorpheniramine Maleate
Ampicillin Trihydrate
1,4-Dichlorobenzene
Rotenone
Bromodichloromethane
Phenylephrine Hydrochloride
Dimethyl Methylphosphonate
Boric Acid
Pentachloronitrobenzene
Ethylene Oxide
Xylenes (Mixed)
Methyl Carbamate
1,2-Epoxybutane
4-Hexylresorcinol
Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt
Mercaptobenzothiazole
$N$-Phenyl-2-naphthylamine
2-Amino-5-nitrophenol
Penicillin VK
Nitrofurazone
2-Amino-4-nitrophenol

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge (and while supplies last) from the NTP Public Information Office, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.


[^0]:    *Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 8.
    A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 11.

[^1]:    *Unable to attend

[^2]:    (a) Terminal-kill period: week 104
    (b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition
    (c) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.

[^3]:    *Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 8.
    A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 11.

[^4]:    * Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
    ** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
    \# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
    \#\# Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

[^5]:    * Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
    \# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

[^6]:    * Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
    \# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

[^7]:    * Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
    ** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
    \# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
    \# \# Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ
    $\dagger$ Multiple occurrence of morphology in the same organ; tissue is counted once only.

[^8]:    * Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
    ** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
    \# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
    \#\# Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

[^9]:    * Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
    \# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

[^10]:    (a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. The experimental protocol is presented in detail by Myhr et al. (1985) and follows the basic format of Clive et al. (1979). The highest dose of study compound is determined by solubility or toxicity and may not exceed $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. All doses are tested in triplicate unless otherwise specified; the average for the three tests is presented in the table. Cells ( $6 \times 10^{5} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) were treated for 4 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After expression, $3 \times 10^{6}$ cells were plated in medium and soft agar supplemented with trifluorothymidine for selection of cells that were mutant at the thymidine kinase (TK) locus, and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium and soft agar to determine the cloning efficiency.
    (b) Mean $\pm$ standard error of replicate trials for approximately ( $3 \times 10^{6}$ ) cells each. All data are evaluated statistically for both trend and peak response ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ for at least one of the three highest dose sets). Both responses must be significantly ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) positive for a chemical to be considered mutagenic. If only one of these responses is significant, the call is "questionable"; the absence of both trend and peak response results in a "negative" call.
    (c) Mutant fraction (frequency) is a ratio of the mutant count to the cloning efficiency, divided by 3 (to arrive at MF per $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells treated); $\mathrm{MF}=$ mutant fraction.
    (d) Data presented are the results of four tests.
    (e) Precipitate present at this and all higher doses.
    (f) Significant positive response; occurs when the relative mutant fraction (average MF of treated culture/average MF of solvent control) is greater than or equal to 1.6.
    (g) Data presented are the results of two tests; doses were lethal in one test.
    (h) Tests conducted with metabolic activation were performed as described in (a) except that S9, prepared from the liver of Aroclor 1254 -induced F344 rats, was added at the same time as the study chemical and/or solvent.
    (i) Value given is for one test only; doses in two tests were lethal.

