NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM Technical Report Series No. 331



TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (3-HYDROXY-2-PROPENAL, SODIUM SALT)

(CAS NO. 24382-04-5)

IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(GAVAGE STUDIES)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM

The National Toxicology Program (NTP), established in 1978, develops and evaluates scientific information about potentially toxic and hazardous chemicals. This knowledge can be used for protecting the health of the American people and for the primary prevention of disease. By bringing together the relevant programs, staff, and resources from the U.S. Public Health Service, DHHS, the National Toxicology Program has centralized and strengthened activities relating to toxicology research, testing and test development/validation efforts, and the dissemination of toxicological information to the public and scientific communities and to the research and regulatory agencies.

The NTP is made up of four charter DHHS agencies: the National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health; the National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR), Food and Drug Administration; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control. In July 1981, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Testing Program, NCI, was transferred to the NIEHS.

NTP TECHNICAL REPORT

ON THE

TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS

STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

(3-HYDROXY-2-PROPENAL, SODIUM SALT)

(CAS NO. 24382-04-5)

IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(GAVAGE STUDIES)

J.W. Spalding, Ph.D., Chemical Manager

NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

November 1988

NTP TR 331

NIH Publication No. 89-2587

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

NOTE TO THE READER

This study was performed under the direction of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences as a function of the National Toxicology Program. The studies described in this Technical Report have been conducted in compliance with NTP chemical health and safety requirements and must meet or exceed all applicable Federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. Animal care and use were in accordance with the U.S. Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Animals. All NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are subjected to a data audit before being presented for public peer review.

Although every effort is made to prepare the Technical Reports as accurately as possible, mistakes may occur. Readers are requested to identify any mistakes so that corrective action may be taken. Further, anyone who is aware of related ongoing or published studies not mentioned in this report is encouraged to make this information known to the NTP. Comments and questions about the National Toxicology Program Technical Reports on Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies should be directed to Dr. J.E. Huff, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3780).

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge (and while supplies last) from the NTP Public Information Office, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

2

CONTENTS

ABST	RACT
EXPL	ANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY
CONT	TRIBUTORS
PEER	REVIEW PANEL
SUMN	MARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS12
I.	INTRODUCTION
п.	MATERIALS AND METHODS
	PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT 22
	PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES
	FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	STUDY DESIGN
	SOURCE AND SPECIFICATIONS OF ANIMALS
	ANIMAL MAINTENANCE
	CLINICAL EXAMINATIONS AND PATHOLOGY29
	STATISTICAL METHODS
III.	RESULTS
	RATS
	FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS
	SURVIVAL
	PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS
	місе
	FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS
	SURVIVAL
	PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS
IV.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS
v.	REFERENCES

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE	
	STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	. 69
APPENDIX B	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE	
	STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	. 97
APPENDIX C	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE	
	STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	119
APPENDIX D	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE	
	STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	143
APPENDIX E	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	163
APPENDIX F	SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM	171
APPENDIX G	INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN	
	NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	175
APPENDIX H	AUDIT SUMMARY	181



MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

CAS No. 24382-04-5

 $C_3H_3O_2Na$

Molecular weight 94.04

Synonyms: malonaldehyde, enol, sodium salt; propanedial, sodium; 3-hydroxy-2-propenal, sodium salt; sodium β-oxyacrolein



Malonaldehyde

Enol tautomer

CAS No. 542-78-9

 $C_3H_4O_2$

Molecular weight 72.06

ABSTRACT

Malonaldehyde occurs as a natural metabolic byproduct of prostaglandin biosynthesis and as an end product of polyunsaturated lipid peroxidation. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of malonaldehyde were conducted by administering the chemical as malonaldehyde, sodium salt, a stabilized form of malonaldehyde, in distilled water by gavage to groups of F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice of each sex for 14 days, 13 weeks, and 2 years. The study material was 63%-79% malonaldehyde, sodium salt, 22%-38% water, and 1% or less other impurities. The water content was taken into account when the dose mixtures were prepared.

Fourteen-Day and Thirteen-Week Studies: In the 14-day studies, groups of five rats and five mice of each sex were dosed with 250, 500, 750, 1,000, or 1,500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt. Controls were untreated. Rats and mice that received 1,500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, did not survive to the end of the 14-day studies. No compound-related gross lesions were seen in the dosed animals.

In the 13-week studies, groups of 10 males and 10 females of each species were administered 0, 30, 60, 125, 250, or 500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt. Nine of 10 male rats, 10/10 female rats, 3/10 male mice, and 1/10 female mice that received 500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, died before the

5

end of the studies. Body weights were reduced by more than 15% in rats receiving 250 or 500 mg/kg and in mice receiving 500 mg/kg.

Compound-related nonneoplastic lesions were present in the stomach, testis, and kidney of rats and in the pancreas, stomach, and testis of mice. Focal and multifocal erosive lesions were observed in the gastric mucosa of the glandular stomach in the 500 mg/kg groups of male and female rats. Dilatation of the gastric glands of the stomach mucosa occurred in the 500 mg/kg male mice. Lesions of the kidney included membranous glomerular nephropathy in the 250 and 500 mg/kg male rats and the 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg female rats and mineralization in the 250 and 500 mg/kg male rats and the 60, 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg female rats. Degeneration of the testicular germinal epithelium was observed in male rats and male mice receiving 250 and 500 mg/kg. Atrophy of the exocrine pancreas was seen in the 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg male and the 250 and 500 mg/kg female mice.

Based on these results, 2-year studies of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, were conducted by exposing groups of 50 F344/N rats of each sex at doses of 0, 50, or 100 mg/kg, administered 5 days per week for 103 weeks. Doses of 0, 60, or 120 mg/kg were administered on the same schedule to groups of 50 male and 50 female $B6C3F_1$ mice.

Body Weight and Survival in the Two-Year Studies: Final mean body weights at the end of the study were reduced by 26% and 36% for high dose male and female rats compared with those for the vehicle controls. The final mean body weight of high dose male mice was 92% that of the vehicle controls. The final mean body weights of low dose male mice, low dose rats, and all groups of female mice were comparable to those of the vehicle controls.

The survival of high dose male and female rats was significantly lower than that of the vehicle controls, with survival declining rapidly after week 76 for high dose males and after week 59 for high dose females (survival--male: vehicle control, 37/50; low dose, 33/50; high dose, 15/50; female: 37/50; 37/50; 14/50). Survival of all groups of male mice was low (male: 24/50; 20/50; 14/50; female: 41/50; 38/50; 30/50). Survival of the high dose group of male mice was significantly lower than that of the vehicle controls; no other significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of mice.

Nonneoplastic and Neoplastic Effects in the Two-Year Studies: The incidences of a variety of nonneoplastic lesions were increased in dosed rats of each sex, primarily in the high dose male and female rat groups. These lesions were ulceration and inflammation of the glandular stomach; epithelial hyperplasia of the forestomach; inflammation of the cornea, retinal atrophy, and cataracts of the crystalline lens; focal lipoid degeneration of the adrenal cortex; and diffuse pancreatic atrophy. Cytoplasmic vacuolization and cystic degeneration in the liver occurred at increased incidences in the high dose rat groups; in addition, the incidences of bile duct hyperplasia and bile duct fibrosis were increased in the high dose female and male rat groups, respectively. Bone marrow hematopoietic hyperplasia, hematopoiesis of the spleen, and ultimobranchial cysts of the thyroid gland occurred with increased incidences in high dose female rats.

The incidences of thyroid gland follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) were significantly increased in high dose male (vehicle control, 4/50; low dose, 8/49; high dose, 13/50) and female (2/50; 1/50; 7/50) rats. Follicular cell hyperplasia of the thyroid gland also occurred at an increased incidence in high dose female rats (10/50; 10/50; 26/50) but not in male rats (9/50; 7/49; 7/50). The incidence of pancreatic islet cell adenomas was increased in low dose male rats (0/49; 9/50; 1/49). Adenomas and adenomas or carcinomas (combined) of the anterior pituitary gland occurred at significantly lower incidences in high dose rats than those in vehicle controls (combined incidence-male: 20/47; 14/49; 8/49; female: 18/49; 10/49; 2/48).

Nonneoplastic lesions that occurred at increased incidences in dosed mice included atrophy of the pancreatic acinus and dilatation of the uterus. Depigmentation of hair shafts and change of coat color from agouti to gray were observed in high dose mice. No compound-related neoplasms were observed in dosed mice.

Genetic Toxicology: Malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was not mutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium/microsome assay when tested at doses of up to 10,000 µg/plate in a preincubation protocol using the excision-repair deficient strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 with or without S9 metabolic activation. The chemical induced forward mutations in mouse L5178Y lymphoma cells in the absence of S9; it was not tested with S9. Malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was not mutagenic in the Drosophila melanogaster sex-linked recessive lethal mutagenicity test in which adult male flies were exposed either by feeding or by abdominal injection. In cytogenetic assays with cultured Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, malonaldehyde, sodium salt, produced a dose-related increase in the frequency of sister chromatid exchanges both in the presence and absence of rat liver S9; no increase in the number of chromosomal aberrations was observed in CHO cells in the absence or presence of S9.

Audit: The data, documents, and pathology materials from the 2-year studies of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, have been audited. The audit found no special circumstances or significant deficiencies in the conduct or documentation of the studies which needed to be taken into consideration for reporting purposes.

Conclusions: Under the conditions of these 2-year gavage studies, there was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity* for male and female F344/N rats administered malonaldehyde, sodium salt, as shown by the increased incidences of follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) of the thyroid gland. Pancreatic islet cell adenomas were also observed at an increased incidence in low dose male rats. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity for B6C3F₁ mice administered 60 or 120 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in distilled water by gavage 5 days per week for 2 years.

Chemically related increased incidences of nonneoplastic lesions included ulcers and inflammation of the glandular stomach and epithelial hyperplasia of the forestomach; corneal inflammation, retinal atrophy, and cataracts of the crystalline lens; and cystic degeneration of the liver, bile duct fibrosis, and bile duct hyperplasia in rats. Most of these nonneoplastic lesions as well as the thyroid gland follicular cell neoplasms occurred primarily in the high dose rat groups, in which survival and final body weights were reduced in high dose male and female rats. Increased incidences of atrophy of the pancreatic acinus and pigmentation loss in hair shafts were seen in high dose mice.

7

^{*}Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 9.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on pages 12-13.

SUMMARY OF THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE AND GENETIC TOXICOLOGY STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

Male F344/N Rats	Female F344/N	Rats Male	B6C3F ₁ Mice	Female B6C3F ₁ Mice
Doses (mg/kg) 0, 50, or 100 in distilled water, 5 d/wk	0, 50, or 100 in disti water, 5 d/wk	led 0,60, wate	or 120 in distilled r, 5 d/wk	0, 60, or 120 in distilled water, 5 d/wk
Survival rates in the 2-year	study	24/50	. 20/50. 14/50	41/50-38/50-30/50
Nonneoplastic effects Ulcers and inflammation of the glandular stomach; epithelial hyperplasia of the forestomach; corneal inflammation, retinal atrophy, cataracts of the crystalline lens; cystic degeneration of the liver; bile duct fibrosis; bile duct hyperplasia	Ulcers and inflamm of the glandular stor epithelial hyperplas forestomach; cornea mation, retinal atro cataracts of the crys lens; cystic degenera the liver; bile duct h	ation Atroj nach; acinu ia of the in ha l inflam- phy, talline ation of yperplasia	bhy of the pancreatic s; pigmentation loss ir shafts	Atrophy of the pancreatic acinus; pigmentation loss in hair shafts; dilatation of the uterus
Neoplastic effects Thyroid gland follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) (4/50; 8/49; 13/50); pancreatic islet cell adenomas (0/49; 9/50; 1/49).	Thyroid gland follic adenomas or carcino (combined) (2/50; 1/4	ular cell None mas 50; 7/50)		None
Other considerations Decrease in incidence of anterior pituitary gland adenomas or carcinomas (combined) (20/47;14/49; 8/49)	Decrease in inciden anterior pituitary g adenomas or carcin (combined)(18/49;1 2/48)	ce of land omas 0/49;		
Level of evidence of carcinc Clear evidence	ogenic activity Clear evidence	No ev	vidence	No evidence
Genetic toxicology Salmonella Mo (gene mutation) Negative with and Po without S9 no	use L5178Y/TK ^{+/-} (gene mutation) sitive without S9; test with S9	CHO Cells SCE Positive with and without S9	in Vitro Aberration Negative with and without S9	Drosophila Sex-linked Rec. Lethals Negative

EXPLANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY

These studies are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, of selected chemicals in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Chemicals selected for NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's carcinogenic potential.

Negative results, in which the study animals do not have a greater incidence of neoplasia than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a chemical is carcinogenic for laboratory animals under the conditions of the study and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans.

The National Toxicology Program describes the results of individual experiments on a chemical agent and notes the strength of the evidence for conclusions regarding each study. Other organizations, such as the International Agency for Research on Cancer, assign a strength of evidence for conclusions based on an examination of all available evidence including: animal studies such as those conducted by the NTP, epidemiologic studies, and estimates of exposure. Thus, the actual determination of risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in laboratory animals requires a wider analysis that extends beyond the purview of these studies.

Five categories of evidence of carcinogenic activity are used in the Technical Report series to summarize the strength of the evidence observed in each experiment: two categories for positive results ("Clear Evidence" and "Some Evidence"); one category for uncertain findings ("Equivocal Evidence"); one category for no observable effects ("No Evidence"); and one category for experiments that because of major flaws cannot be evaluated ("Inadequate Study"). These categories of interpretative conclusions were first adopted in June 1983 and then revised in March 1986 for use in the Technical Reports series to incorporate more specifically the concept of actual weight of evidence of carcinogenic activity. For each separate experiment (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following quintet is selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to either potency or mechanism.

- Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a dose-related (i) increase of malignant neoplasms, (ii) increase of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms, or (iii) marked increase of benign neoplasms if there is an indication from this or other studies of the ability of such tumors to progress to malignancy.
- Some Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of neoplasms (malignant, benign, or combined) in which the strength of the response is less than that required for clear evidence.
- Equivocal Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a marginal increase of neoplasms that may be chemically related.
- No Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemically related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate Study of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of carcinogenic activity.

When a conclusion statement for a particular experiment is selected, consideration must be given to key factors that would extend the actual boundary of an individual category of evidence. This should allow for incorporation of scientific experience and current understanding of long-term carcinogenesis studies in laboratory animals, especially for those evaluations that may be on the borderline between two adjacent levels. These considerations should include:

- The adequacy of the experimental design and conduct;
- Occurrence of common versus uncommon neoplasia;
- Progression (or lack thereof) from benign to malignant neoplasia as well as from preneoplastic lesions;
- Some benign neoplasms have the capacity to regress but others (of the same morphologic type) progress. At present, it is impossible to identify the difference. Therefore, where progression is known to be a possibility, the most prudent course is to assume that benign neoplasms of those types have the potential to become malignant;
- Combining benign and malignant tumor incidences known or thought to represent stages of progression in the same organ or tissue;
 Latency in tumor induction;
- Multiplicity in site-specific neoplasia;
- Metastases;
- Supporting information from proliferative lesions (hyperplasia) in the same site of neoplasia or in other experiments (same lesion in another sex or species);
- The presence or absence of dose relationships;
- The statistical significance of the observed tumor increase;
- The concurrent control tumor incidence as well as the historical control rate and variability for a specific neoplasm;
- Survival-adjusted analyses and false positive or false negative concerns;
- Structure-activity correlations; and
- In some cases, genetic toxicology.

These considerations together with the definitions as written should be used as composite guidelines for selecting one of the five categories. Additionally, the following concepts (as patterned from the International Agency for Research on Cancer Monographs) have been adopted by the NTP to give further clarification of these issues:

The term *chemical carcinogenesis* generally means the induction by chemicals of neoplasms not usually observed, the induction by chemicals of more neoplasms than are generally found, or the earlier induction by chemicals of neoplasms that are commonly observed. Different mechanisms may be involved in these situations. Etymologically, the term *carcinogenesis* means induction of cancer, that is, of malignant neoplasms; however, the commonly accepted meaning is the induction of various types of neoplasms or of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms. In the Technical Reports, the words *tumor* and *neoplasm* are used interchangeably.

CONTRIBUTORS

The NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, is based on the 13-week studies that began in October 1978 and ended in January 1979 and on the 2-year studies that began in February 1980 and ended in February 1982 at Battelle Columbus Laboratories (Columbus, Ohio).

National Toxicology Program (Evaluated Experiment, Interpreted Results, and Reported Findings)

J.W. Spalding, Ph.D., Chemical Manager

John Bucher, Ph.D. Scot L. Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. Joseph K. Haseman, Ph.D. James Huff, Ph.D.

(Discipline Leaders and Principal Contributors)

Jack Bishop, Ph.D. C.W. Jameson, Ph.D. E.E. McConnell, D.V.M. John Mennear, Ph.D.

G.N. Rao, D.V.M., Ph.D. B.A. Schwetz, D.V.M., Ph.D. James K. Selkirk, Ph.D.

NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Rats on 3/18/86)

Robert Sauer, V.M.D. (Chair) (PATHCO) Gary A. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Michael Elwell, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Scot L. Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP)

Micheal Jokinen, D.V.M. (Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc.) Kunitoshi Mitsumori, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Charles Montgomery, D.V.M. (NTP)

NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Mice on 10/6/83)

Robert Sauer, V.M.D. (Chair) (Clement Associates) LeRoy Hall, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Gary A. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Scot L. Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP)

Henk Solleveld, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Katsuhiko Yoshitomi, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP)

Principal Contributors at Battelle Columbus Laboratories (Conducted Studies and Evaluated Tissues)

A. Peters, D.V.M. M. Chang, Ph.D. J. Moe, D.V.M., Ph.D.

F. Wilson, D.V.M. R. Wilson, B.S. A. Killmeyer, B.S.

Principal Contributors at Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (Provided Pathology Quality Assurance)

J. Gauchat Jerry Hardisty, D.V.M. Deborah Banas, D.V.M.

Principal Contributors at Carltech Associates, Inc. (Contractor for Technical Report Preparation)

William D. Theriault, Ph.D. Abigail C. Jacobs, Ph.D.

John Warner, M.S.

PEER REVIEW PANEL

The members of the Peer Review Panel who evaluated the draft Technical Report on malonaldehyde, sodium salt, on March 4, 1987, are listed below. Panel members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, Panel members have five major responsibilities: (a) to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted, (b) to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate, (c) to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly, (d) to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and (e) to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenic activity and other observed toxic responses.

National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee

Robert A. Scala, Ph.D. (Chair) Senior Scientific Advisor, Medicine and Environmental Health Department Research and Environmental Health Division, Exxon Corporation East Millstone, New Jersey

Michael A. Gallo, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Associate Professor, Director of Toxicology Department of Environmental and Community Medicine, UMDNJ - Rutgers Medical School Piscataway, New Jersey Frederica Perera, Dr. P.H.* Division of Environmental Sciences School of Public Health Columbia University New York, New York

Ad Hoc Subcommittee Panel of Experts

11

- Charles C. Capen, D.V.M., Ph.D. Department of Veterinary Pathobiology Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio
- Vernon M. Chinchilli, Ph.D. Department of Biostatistics Medical College of Virginia Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, Virginia

John J. Crowley, Ph.D.* Division of Public Health Science The Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center Seattle, Washington

Kim Hooper, Ph.D. Hazard Evaluation System and Information Services Department of Health Services State of California Berkeley, California

Donald H. Hughes, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Scientific Coordinator, Regulatory Services Division, The Procter and Gamble Company Cincinnati, Ohio

- Franklin E. Mirer, Ph.D.* Director, Health and Safety Department International Union, United Auto Workers, Detroit, Michigan
- James A. Popp, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Head, Department of Experimental Pathology and Toxicology Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology Research Triangle Park, North Carolina
- I.F.H. Purchase, B.V.Sc., Ph.D., F.R.C. Path.* Director, Central Toxicology Laboratory Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC Alderley Park, England

Andrew Sivak, Ph.D. Vice President, Biomedical Science Arthur D. Little, Inc. Cambridge, Massachusetts

^{*}Unable to attend

SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

On March 4, 1987, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, received peer review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts. The review meeting was held at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Dr. J.W. Spalding, NIEHS, began the discussion by reviewing the experimental design, results, and proposed conclusions (clear evidence of carcinogenic activity for male or female rats, no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male or female mice).

Dr. Hughes, a principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions for male and female mice. He proposed that the conclusions for male and female rats be changed to some evidence of carcinogenic activity because he felt that the maximum tolerated dose was exceeded in rats, possibly perturbing the endocrine axis and perhaps leading to endocrine tumor response through an indirect mechanism, because the total incidence of adenomas and carcinomas of the thyroid gland was low, because both short-term studies and initiation/promotion studies yielded mixed results, and because there was not a dose response for pancreatic islet cell tumors in male rats. Dr. Spalding pointed out that thyroid gland neoplasms are uncommon and the incidences in male and female rats at the top dose were well above the historical control range. Commenting on the inconsistencies in the short-term studies and initiation/promotion data cited, Dr. Spalding said that pre-1980 studies used mixtures of malonaldehyde and intermediates in its synthesis which had mutational activity. Dr. J. Huff, NIEHS, commented that the conclusions for rats were based on the thyroid gland neoplasia, not on the low dose effect for pancreatic tumors.

As a second principal reviewer, Dr. Popp agreed with the conclusions. He agreed with Dr. Hughes that the maximum tolerated dose had been exceeded for high dose male and female rats but felt that the implications were unclear. He suggested that the lower incidence of rats with pituitary gland neoplasms in high dose groups was probably due to reduced survival and was not a primary effect of the chemical, whereas the nonneoplastic eye lesions probably were chemically related. Dr. Spalding agreed that the eye lesions were chemically related and said that the discussion would be expanded.

As a third principal reviewer, Dr. Gallo agreed with the conclusions but noted that when the maximum tolerated dose is exceeded, interpretation of either positive or negative findings is sometimes difficult. He added, however, that based on the 13-week studies, the doses selected for the 2-year studies were appropriate. Since the chemical is an intermediate in the biosynthesis of prostaglandins, he suggested that the toxicity may override control mechanisms in the synthetic pathway. Dr. Gallo thought that the rationale for deciding to study malonaldehyde was weak.

In other discussion, Dr. Sivak proposed that a statement be included for the rat studies which indicates reduced survival and body weight gain in top dose groups. Dr. Hooper requested that all the genetic toxicology data be organized into a summary table to help the reader draw conclusions about the mutagenic activity of the malonaldehyde salt (see page 8 and Appendix E).

SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS (Continued)

Dr. Hughes moved that the Technical Report on malonaldehyde, sodium salt, be accepted with the conclusions as written for mice, no evidence of carcinogenic activity, but with the conclusions for rats changed to some evidence of carcinogenic activity, along with a statement that the maximum tolerated dose had been exceeded. Dr. Sivak asked that the statement be amended to replace the expression maximum tolerated dose with a description of the biologic alterations themselves, i.e., that there was decreased survival and a greater than 10% decrease in body weight gain in high dose groups. Dr. Hughes agreed. Dr. Gallo seconded the amended motion, and after considerable discussion, it was defeated by six votes to one (Dr. Hughes). Dr. Gallo moved that the Technical Report be accepted with the conclusions as written for mice, no evidence of carcinogenic activity, and for rats, clear evidence of carcinogenic activity, with Dr. Sivak's amendment. Dr. Sivak seconded the amended motion, and it was approved by six votes to one (Dr. Hughes).

Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331 14

I. INTRODUCTION

Occurrence Toxicity and Metabolism Carcinogenicity Studies Genetic Toxicology Study Rationale



MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

CAS No. 24382-04-5

C₃H₃O₂Na

Molecular weight 94.04

Synonyms: malonaldehyde, enol, sodium salt; propanedial, sodium; 3-hydroxy-2-propenal, sodium salt; sodium β-oxyacrolein



Malonaldehyde

Enol tautomer

CAS No. 542-78-9

 $C_3H_4O_2$

Molecular weight 72.06

Malonaldehyde is a three-carbon dialdehyde that in dilute aqueous solution exists in equilibrium with its enol form (Mashio and Kimura, 1960). Malonaldehyde exists in stable form as the enolate sodium salt (Huttel, 1941) and can be prepared from either 1,1,3,3-tetraethoxypropane or 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane by acid hydrolysis.

Occurrence

Malonaldehyde occurs as a natural byproduct of the cyclooxygenase reaction in prostaglandin biosynthesis (Draper et al., 1986) and is formed as an end product of polyunsaturated lipid peroxidation (Bernheim et al., 1948; Hamberg and Samuelsson, 1967; Diczfalusy et al., 1977). The relative content of malonaldehyde in foods has been associated with oxidative rancidity; detection of malonaldehyde at low or minimal levels has been used for many years as a measure of the wholesomeness and freshness of foods. The reaction of malonaldehyde with 2-thiobarbituric acid to form a chromogen of extremely high absorptivity is the basis of a sensitive method for the qualitative measurement of malonaldehyde in food and food products (Crawford et al., 1965).

Two surveys have been conducted in North America to measure the malonaldehyde content of food items. In a study of 96 samples of fresh meat and fish obtained from supermarkets in Ontario, Canada, Siu and Draper (1978) found that the malonaldehyde content ranged from $0.14 \mu g/g$ in cooked ham to $10.05 \mu g/g$ in cooked chicken. The levels of malonaldehyde found by the Canadian study were somewhat lower than those described in a study by Shamberger et al. (1977), who reported that malonaldehyde levels ranged from 1 to $14 \mu g/g$ of tissue in food items obtained from Cleveland, Ohio, supermarkets and that cooking of the meat resulted in small decreases or twofold to fivefold increases in malonaldehyde content. Assuming that the average U.S. citizen consumes 120 g of meat daily (NAS, 1981), and based on the malonaldehyde content of cooked meat reported by Shamberger et al. (1974), it is estimated that the amount of malonaldehyde ingested per person per day through meat consumption alone could range from 240 to 1,200 µg from beef or turkey to 3,600 µg from chicken.

The impact, if any, of malonaldehyde on human health at these concentrations is not known. However, reports on the potential mutagenic and carcinogenic character (Shamberger et al., 1974) of this chemical have suggested the desirability of minimizing its occurrence during storage, marketing, and preparation of food.

Malonaldehyde in the presence of nitrite has been reported to facilitate the formation of nitrosamines from dimethylamine, diethylamine, piperidine, pyrrolidine, and morpholine (Kikugawa et al., 1980), although it is not clear whether malonaldehyde's stimulation of nitrosamine formation is much greater than that observed for the unsaturated fatty acids from which malonaldehyde is derived (Goutefongea et al., 1977).

Toxicity and Metabolism

The mean LD_{50} value for malonaldehyde, sodium salt, administered by gavage was determined to be 632 mg/kg body weight in male Wistar rats (Crawford et al., 1965). The mean LD_{50} value for malonaldehyde administered by gavage to female Swiss mice was 606 mg/kg body weight (Apaja, 1980).

After intubation of two male Wistar rats with $[1,3^{-14}C]$ malonaldehyde (approximately 27.5 µg/kg), 84%-96% of the radiolabel was eliminated in 12 hours (Siu and Draper, 1982). Between 60% and 70% of the total dose was expired as $[1^{4}C]$ carbon dioxide, 9%-17% was recovered in the urine, and 5%-15%, in the feces. In vitro studies with $[1,3^{-14}C]$ malonaldehyde demonstrated that malonaldehyde is metabolized primarily in the mitochondria. The probable

pathway of malonaldehyde metabolism involves oxidation by mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase followed by decarboxylation to produce carbon dioxide and acetate.

Malonaldehyde reacts readily with sulfhydryl and amino groups of proteins (Shin et al., 1972). It produces intramolecular and intermolecular linkages that result in the inactivation and polymerization of enzymes (Chio and Tappel, 1969). It can react with the nitrogenous bases of DNA (Brooks and Klamerth, 1968; Reiss et al., 1972) and thus inhibit DNA, RNA, and protein synthesis (Bird and Draper, 1980).

Carcinogenicity Studies

Groups of 50 female ICR Swiss mice were exposed for 12 months to a preparation of malonaldehyde, sodium salt (greater than 98% pure), in drinking water (acidified to pH 4 to prevent malonaldehyde polymerization) at concentrations calculated to provide a daily dose of 0, 0.1, 1, or 10 mg/kg (Bird et al., 1982a). There was no significant increase in tumors observed at any site in the dosed animals. Compound-related lesions were confined to the liver, but the total liver lesions were not dose dependent. The liver lesions included anisokaryosis, changes in cytoplasmic volume of hepatocytes, hepatocellular nodular hyperplasia (control, 0/48; 0.1 mg/kg, 1/49; 1 mg/kg, 2/50; 10 mg/kg, 2/48), and hepatomas (0/48; 0/49; 2/50; 0/48).

A malonaldehyde preparation of undefined purity administered to 25 animals in each dose group for 100 weeks in drinking water at concentrations of 1,250, 2,500, or 5,000 ppm did not increase the tumor incidence in male or female Swiss mice (Apaja, 1980). More males than females died at all three concentrations. At the highest concentration, there were no surviving males at week 80, and only one female survived to the end of the study. In a two-stage dermal carcinogenesis study, application of a single dose of either 6 or 12 mg of malonaldehyde in acetone to the backs of female Swiss mice (30 mice per dose group) was followed 3 weeks later by the application (5 days per week) of 0.1% croton oil for 27 weeks (Shamberger et al., 1974). Fifty-two percent of the dosed mice developed keratoacanthomas: the first tumors were seen at 11 weeks. The interpretation of these results is confounded because the malonaldehyde preparation used in this study was of undefined purity and the doses of 6 and 12 mg are estimates based on the assumption that malonaldehyde was the only hydrolysis product of 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane. Marnett and Tuttle (1980) subsequently demonstrated that the acid hydrolysis of 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane also produces several reactive chemical intermediate species, such as β -methoxyacrolein and 3,3-dimethoxypropionaldehyde, which are 20-30 times more mutagenic than the pure form of malonaldehyde or malonaldehyde, sodium salt.

In a later two-stage dermal carcinogenicity study of 28-52 weeks' duration, purified malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in acetone:dimethyl sulfoxide (80:20) was found to be inactive as a tumor initiator, promoter, or complete carcinogen for male and female SENCAR mice (40 mice per dose group) (Fischer et al., 1983). Doses of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, ranging from 20 to 500 µg were administered as single applications in studies to detect activity as an initiator or a complete carcinogen, and the same doses were administered in repetitive applications in a study designed to detect promoter activity. Benzo[a]pyrene served as a positive control for tumor initiation and as the complete carcinogen in this study, whereas 12-O-tetradecanoyl phorbol-13-acetate was used as the positive control for promotion. However, the highest dose (500 µg) of malonaldehyde used in these studies was tenfold less than the lowest dose applied in the study reported by Shamberger et al. (1974). Malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was also inactive in the in vitro Chinese hamster V-79 cell metabolic cooperation assay for promoters (Fischer et al., 1983).

Genetic Toxicology

Malonaldehyde was first shown to be mutagenic in several excision-repair-competent frameshift mutant strains of Salmonella in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (Mukai and Goldstein, 1976; Shamberger et al., 1979). The tester strain D3052 was the most sensitive to malonaldehyde activity in both studies. However, the purity of malonaldehyde in these studies was undefined. In the earlier study (Mukai and Goldstein, 1976), malonaldehyde was generated by the acid hydrolysis of 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane, and the hydrolysate was used directly as the source of malonaldehyde; the quantification of malonaldehyde was based on the amount of starting material 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane and on the assumption that the reaction went to completion with malonaldehyde as the only end product. In the Shamberger et al. study (1979), neither the source nor method of malonaldehyde preparation was given, although in an earlier in vivo study reported by the same laboratory (Shamberger et al., 1974), malonaldehyde was prepared from 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane in the manner described above. Subsequent studies (Marnett and Tuttle, 1980; Basu and Marnett, 1983) demonstrated that the product of 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane hydrolysis included substantial amounts of reactive intermediates such as β -methoxyacrolein and 3,3dimethoxypropionaldehyde, which were 125-160 and 105-135 times more active, respectively, as Salmonella mutagens than was malonaldehyde. Therefore, the mutagenic activity attributed to malonaldehyde in the earlier investigations is suspect. The mutagenicity of a highly purified preparation of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in Salmonella strain D3052 was confirmed by Marnett and Tuttle (1980), although the magnitude of response was much less than that reported by the earlier investigators. Malonaldehyde was also found to be inactive in Salmonella strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1538, which are deficient in excision-repair capability. These observations confirmed the earlier studies of Mukai and Goldstein (1976) and Shamberger et al. (1979).

In a later study, further confirmation of the mutagenicity of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in Salmonella came from the results of plate incorporation assays (Basu and Marnett, 1983) which demonstrated a dose-dependent increase in revertant colonies of the excision-repair-competent frameshift mutant strain D3052 over a dose range of 0-20 μ mol/plate. The mutation frequency was low, about 5 revertants/ μ mol of malonaldehyde. A similar mutation frequency in two recently developed excision-repair-competent *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA102 and TA104, which are base-substitution mutants that are highly sensitive to carbonyl compounds, was observed after exposure to malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in a liquid preincubation procedure without S9 metabolic activation (Marnett et al., 1985). Malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was also reported to be an effective mutagen through base substitution in repair-competent Escherichia coli H/r30 but not in E. coli strains that were repair deficient (Yonei and Furui, 1981). The mutagenicity of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was not observed by NTP in S. typhimurium assays with a preincubation protocol with the excisionrepair-deficient strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, or TA1537 with or without Aroclor 1254-induced liver S9 from male Sprague Dawley rats or Syrian hamsters (Mortelmans et al., 1986; Table E1). These results reported by the NTP do confirm the earlier observations of Marnett and Tuttle (1980) that Salmonella tester strains that are deficient in excision-repair capability are not sensitive to malonaldehyde.

In NTP tests with mouse L5178Y lymphoma cells, malonaldehyde, sodium salt, induced forward mutations at the TK locus over a concentration range of 125-1,000 µg/ml in the absence of S9; it was not tested with S9 (Table E2). Yau (1979) also reported the induction of mutations in mouse L5178Y cells by malonaldehyde (undetermined purity and generated from the acid hydrolysis of tetramethoxypropane) within a concentration range of 10-100 uM and in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation. Feeding malonaldehyde (unspecified purity) to Drosophila larvae at a dose that resulted in 50% lethality induced a low frequency of somatic mosaicism that was detected in adult wing and eye tissue but did not induce sex-linked recessive lethal mutations (Szabad et al., 1983). Likewise, in NTP tests, no induction of sex-linked recessive lethal mutations was seen in Drosophila when malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was fed to adult males at a concentration of 25,000 ppm or injected as a 10,000-ppm solution (Woodruff et al., 1985; Table E5). Dose-related increases in micronuclei and chromosomal aberrations were observed in cultured rat skin fibroblast cells

treated with 10-4 to 10-2 M concentrations of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, generated by acid hydrolysis of tetramethoxypropane and judged to be approximately 98% pure (Bird et al., 1982b). In NTP cytogenetic assays with cultured Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, malonaldehyde, sodium salt, produced a clear doserelated increase in the frequency of sister chromatid exchanges both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9. No increase in chromosomal aberrations was observed in CHO cells after treatment with up to 409 µg/ml of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in the absence of S9 or up to 3,270 µg/ml in the presence of S9 (Tables E3 and E4).

Study Rationale

Malonaldehyde was nominated by the NCI for toxicology and carcinogenesis studies because of reports in the literature that this byproduct of lipid peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids was mutagenic in bacteria (Mukai and Goldstein, 1976) and active as an initiator in a twostage dermal carcinogenicity study in rodents (Shamberger et al., 1974). Further, malonaldehyde (I) has a structural resemblance to two known carcinogens, glycidaldehyde (II) and β -propiolactone (III) (Van Duuren et al, 1963). The oral route of administration was chosen for the present studies because human exposure to exogenous malonaldehyde is through the diet



19

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT
PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES
FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES
THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
TWO-YEAR STUDIES
Study Design Source and Specifications of Animals Animal Maintenance Clinical Examinations and Pathology

Statistical Methods

PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

3-Hydroxy-2-propenal, sodium salt (referred to in this report as malonaldehyde, sodium salt), was synthesized at the Midwest Research Institute (MRI) (Kansas City, Missouri) by the hydrolysis of 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane. The starting material was obtained in 14 lots from Aldrich Chemical Company (Table 1). Each lot was converted to malonaldehyde, sodium salt, by mixing it with 1.0 N hydrochloric acid and stirring for 3 hours under nitrogen at 0° C. After storage for 48 hours under nitrogen at 5° C, sodium hydroxide was added to produce a reaction mixture with a pH of 10 which was maintained at 0° C. Malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was precipitated from the reaction mixture by the addition of acetone, separated by suction filtration, and dried in a desiccator over sodium hydroxide.

The study material was characterized by elemental analysis, ultraviolet/visible and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, and Karl Fischer water analysis. The nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum agreed with that expected for the structure of malonaldehyde enolate for all the batches prepared (Figure 1). The nuclear magnetic resonance spectra did not detect the presence of 3,3-dimethoxypropionaldehyde or methoxyacrolein, which are potential toxic impurities in the study material. Table 2 presents a summary of the analysis for each batch of study material. The analytical reports for the analyses performed in support of the malonaldehyde, sodium salt, studies are on file at NIEHS.

Stability studies of the bulk malonaldehyde, sodium salt, were run for 3 weeks at -20° C. Purity analysis by ultraviolet spectroscopy (266 nm) established that no degradation of the chemical occurred. Further confirmation of the stability of the bulk material during the toxicity studies (storage at -20° C) was obtained by Karl Fischer water analysis and ultraviolet spectroscopic analysis. No notable degradation was seen over the course of the studies.

TABLE 1.	IDENTITY	AND SO	URCE OF	^r 1,1,3,3-TE	TRAMET	HOXYP	ROPANE	LOTS	USED	IN THE
1	PREPARATI	ON OF M	IALONAI	LDEHYDE,	SODIUM	SALT,	FOR TH	E GAVA	AGE ST	UDIES

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Lot Number	IHW11 DF 119778 DF 119978	DF 122078 DC80.23 CR32880
	JIIWII, DE 112770, DE 112970	GB80-80-2A, GB80-80-5G, GB80-80-5F, GB80-80-8, GB80-80-9-11, KK80-160-12, LK80-160-13, GO-80-160-20
Date of Initial Use		
Rats8/24/78; mice8/21/78	10/24/78, 12/19/78, 1/9/79	DE 122078: 3/17/80; DC80-23: 4/14/80; GB32880: 5/1/80; GB80-80-2A: 5/5/80; GB80-80-5G: 5/19/80; GB80-80-5F: 7/10/80; GB80-80-8: 8/6/80; GB80-80-9-11: rats9/18/80, mice10/2/80; KK80-160-12: rats12/18/80, mice 1/22/81; LK80-160-13: rats6/4/81, mice 5/6/81; GO-80-160-20: 9/21/81
Supplier Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies

FIGURE 1. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (LOT NO. LK80-160-13)



Lot No. of Starting Material	Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt (percent) (a)	Water (percent) (b)	Chloride (percent) (c)	Acetone (percent) (d)
JHW11	70.1 ± 1.4	30.0 ± 0.0		0.8
DE 112778	68.9 ± 0.5	30.6 ± 0.5	0.28	Trace
DE 112978	70.3 ± 0.3	31.5 ± 1.1	0.74	Trace
DE 122078	76.4 ± 0.9	24.4 ± 0.3	0.69	
DC80-23	78.9 ± 0.4	21.9 ± 0.4	0.28	
GB32880	66.6 ± 1.5	32.2 ± 0.6	1.0	Trace
GB80-80-2A	64.3 ± 2.2	35.2 ± 0.8	0.1	
GB80-80-5G	64.4 ± 1.3	37.3 ± 0.8	0.5	
GB80-80-5F	64.8 ± 0.5	37.8 ± 0.9	0.5	
GB80-80-8	63.4 ± 0.4	36.0 ± 0.2	0.87	
GB80-80-9-11	65.6 ± 0.3	33.1 ± 0.9	0.76	
KK80-160-12	63.4 ± 1.1	35.2 ± 0.3	0.3	
LK80-160-13	71.2 ± 0.5	29.6 ± 0.5	0.2	
GO-80-160-20	67.8 ± 1.0	35.0 ± 1.5	0.6	

 TABLE 2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF PURITY ANALYSIS OF THE LOTS USED IN THE GAVAGE

 STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

(a) Based on ultraviolet spectroscopic analysis at 266 nm; mean \pm standard deviation.

(b) Karl Fischer titration; mean \pm standard deviation.

(c) By elemental analysis

(d) By nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy

PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES

Dose mixtures were prepared by dissolving enough study material in distilled water to give the desired concentration (w/v) of anhydrous malonaldehyde, sodium salt (Table 3). Dose mixture stability studies by ultraviolet spectroscopy indicated that a solution of 1% malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in water was stable at -20° C for 7 days. Aliquots of formulated solutions of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in water were stored at -20° C for no longer than 7 days in the 2-year studies.

Periodic analysis for malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in dose mixtures was performed by the study and analytical chemistry laboratories by the same spectrophotometric method to determine if the dose mixtures contained the correct concentrations of malonaldehyde, sodium salt. The results of analysis of one dose formulation

(100 mg/ml) during the 13-week studies indicated that the concentration (99.6 mg/ml) was within specifications ($\pm 10\%$ of the target concentration). During the 2-year studies, the dose mixtures were analyzed once every 2 months; concentrations varied from 53.5% to 127.1% of the target concentrations (Table 4). All dose mixtures except those mixed on 9/25/80 were within 91.0%-110.4% of the target concentrations. The dose mixtures prepared on 9/25/80 were outside of the specification limits and, therefore, not administered to the animals. The dose mixtures subsequently prepared on 9/26/80 were within specifications and were administered to the animals. Because 48/52 dose mixtures analyzed were within 10% of the target concentration, it is estimated that the dose mixtures were prepared within specifications 92% of the time. Results of periodic referee analysis performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory indicated variable agreement with the results from the study laboratory (Table 5).

TABLE 3. PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OFMALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Preparation A stock solution was prepared by placing a weighed portion of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in a graduated cylinder, adding distilled water to the proper volume, and mechanically stirring for 40 min. Dose mixtures were prepared by serial dilution of the stock solution	Similar to 14-d studies	Similar to 14-d studies
Maximum Storage Time 17 d	7 d	7 d
Storage Conditions — 20° C in the dark; vials of dose mixture kept at room temperature for 30-60 min before gavage administration	Same as 14-d studies	Similar to 14-d studies

TABLE 4. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OFMALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Concentra	tion of Malonald	ehyde, Sodium S	alt, in Water	
Date Mixed	10	12	20	24	
02/21/80	10.6	12.5	19.85	25.15	
04/10/80	9.5	12.15	19.85	24.0	
06/05/80	9.75	13.25	20.5	24.35	
08/07/80	10.0	11.9	19.8	25.2	
09/25/80	(b) 6.1	(b) 8.4	(b) 10.7 ·	(b) 30.5	
09/26/80	(c) 10.1	(c) 12.5	(c) 19.9	(c) 24.8	
11/20/80	10.7	12.5	18.6	24.2	
01/29/81	9.71	11.39	19.96	24.66	
03/12/81	10.3	12.9	20.5	26.2	
05/14/81	9.8	13.0	20.4	22.8	
07/09/81	9.8	12.6	19.2	24.3	
09/17/81	9.7	12.0	20.3	24.6	
11/06/81	9.8	11.8	19.6	24.0	
01/08/82	9.1	11.4	18.8	24.2	
Mean (mg/ml)	9.6	12.0	19.1	24.9	
Standard deviation	1.14	1.22	2.59	1.85	
Coefficient of variation (percent)	11.9	10.2	13.6	7.4	
Range (mg/ml)	6.1-10.7	8.4-13.25	10.7-20.5	22.8-30.5	
Number of samples	13	13	13	13	

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

(b) Out of specifications, not administered to animals (c) Remix, not included in the mean

		Determined Con	centration (mg/ml
Date Mixed	Target Concentration (mg/ml)	Study Laboratory (a)	Referee Laboratory (b)
04/10/80	12	12.15	8.8
09/25/80	10	6.1	9.5
03/12/81	24	26.2	24.3
09/10/81	20	(c) 19.9	(c) 14.8

10

TABLE 5. RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

01/08/82

(b) Results of triplicate analysis

(c) Results from samples taken from animal room during dosing

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories and held for 14 days (rats) and 17 days (mice) before the studies began. Groups of five males and five females of each species were administered 250, 500, 750, 1,000, or 1,500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in distilled water by gavage for 14 consecutive days. (Dose mixtures were adjusted for water content in the bulk chemical; animals were actually given 360, 720, 1,080, 1,440, or 2,160 mg/kg of the bulk malonaldehyde, sodium salt.) Controls were untreated.

Rats and mice were housed five per cage. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Details of animal maintenance are presented in Table 6. Rats and mice were observed two times per day and weighed initially and at the end of the studies. A necropsy was performed on all animals.

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Thirteen-week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated administration of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, and to determine the doses to be used in the 2-year studies.

Four- to five-week-old male and female F344/N rats and $B6C3F_1$ mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, held for 17 days, and then assigned to cages according to a table of random numbers. Vehicle control and

dosed groups were assigned to cages according to another table of random numbers.

8.9

9.1

Groups of 10 rats and 10 mice of each sex were administered 0, 30, 60, 125, 250, or 500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt (anhydrous equivalent), in distilled water by gavage 5 days per week for 13 weeks.

Rats and mice were housed five per cage in polycarbonate cages. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Further experimental details are summarized in Table 6. Animals were checked two times per day; moribund animals were killed. Individual animal weights were recorded once per week.

At the end of the 13-week studies, survivors were killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals except those excessively autolyzed or cannibalized. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 6.

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Study Design

Groups of 50 male and 50 female rats were administered malonaldehyde, sodium salt, at doses equivalent to 0, 50, or 100 mg/kg anhydrous malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in distilled water by gavage 5 days per week for 103 weeks. Groups of 50 male and 50 female mice were administered 0, 60, or 120 mg/kg on the same schedule.

TABLE 6. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE STUDIESOF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN		
Size of Study Groups 5 males and 5 females of each species	10 males and 10 females of each species	50 males and 50 females of each species
Doses 250, 500, 750, 1,000, or 1,500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in dis- tilled water by gavage; dose vol 10 ml/kg; controls were untreated	0, 30, 60, 125, 250, or 500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in distilled water by gavage; dose vol -5 ml/kg	Rats0, 50, or 100 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium sait, in distilled water by gavage; mice0, 60, or 120 mg/kg; dose vol5 ml/kg
Date of First Dose Rats8/24/78; mice8/21/78	10/24/78	Rats2/18/80; mice2/25/80
Date of Last Dose Rats9/6/78, mice9/3/78	1/22/79	Rats2/5/82; mice2/12/82
Duration of Dosing 14 consecutive d	5 d/wk for 13 wk	5 d/wk for 102 wk
Type and Frequency of Observati Observed $2 \times d$; weighed initially and at the end of the studies	on Observed 2 × d; weighed initially and 1 × wk thereafter	Observed 2 \times d; weighed initially, 1 \times wk for 13 wk, and 1 \times mo thereafter
Necropsy and Histologic Examina Necropsy performed on all animals, histologic examination not performed	tion Necropsy performed on all animals; the following tissues examined histo- logically for vehicle control and high dose groups, for all animals dying be- fore terminal kill, and for lower dose animals with compound-related lesions: adrenal glands, brain, colon, esophagus, femur, gallbladder (mice), heart, kid- neys, liver, lungs and mainstem bron- chi, mammary gland, mandibular lymph nodes, pancreas, parathyroids, pituitary gland, prostate/testes or ovaries/uterus, salivary glands, small intestine, stom- ach, thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, and urinary bladder	Necropsy and histologic examination per- formed on all animals; the following tissues were examined: adrenal glands, brain, ce- cum, colon, duodenum, esophagus, eyes, fe- mur including marrow, gallbladder (mice), gross lesions, heart, kidneys, larynx, liver, lungs and mainstem bronchi, mammary gland, mandibular lymph nodes, pancreas, parathyroids, pituitary gland, prostate/ testes or ovaries/uterus, salivary glands, skin, small intestine, spleen, stomach, thymus, thyroid gland, tissue masses, trachea, and urinary bladder
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINT	ENANCE	
Strain and Species F344/N rats; B6C3F ₁ mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F1 mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F1 mice
Animal Source Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	Same as 14-d studies	RatsCharles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI); miceCharles River Breeding Laboratories (Kingston, NY)
Study Laboratory Battelle Columbus Laboratories	Battelle Columbus Laboratories	Battelle Columbus Laboratories
Method of Animal Identification By cage	Toe clip	Toe clip and ear notch
Time Held Before Study Rats14 d, mice17 d	17 d	Rats18 d; mice20 d
Age When Placed on Study 6 wk	7 wk	Rats7 wk, mice8 wk

TABLE 6. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE STUDIESOF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAIN	TENANCE (Continued)	
Age When Killed 8 wk	20 wk	Rats111-112 wk; mice112-113 wk
Necropsy Dates Rats9/8/78; mice9/5/78	Rats1/23/79; mice1/24/79	Rats2/16/82-2/19/82; mice2/22/82-2/25/82
Method of Animal Distribution Distributed according to tables of random numbers	Same as 14-d studies	Animals distributed to weight classes and then assigned to cages according to one table of random numbers and to groups according to another table
Feed Purina Lab Chow® pellets (Ralston Purina Co., St. Louis, MO); available ad libitum	Same as 14-d studies	NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration (Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA) except for week of 4/27/81 when Purina Lab Chow® was used; available ad libitum
Bedding Absorb-Dri (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, NJ)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
Water Automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Waterford, WI); available ad libitum	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
Cages Polycarbonate (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, NJ)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
Cage Filters Reemay spun-bonded polyester filters (Snow Filtration, Cincinnati, OH)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
Animals per Cage 5	5	5
Other Chemicals on Study in the None	Same Room None	None
Animal Room Environment Temp20°-23° C; humidity40%-60%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 15 room air changes/h	Same as 14-d studies	Temp17°-26° C; humidity15%-63%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 15 room air changes/h

Source and Specifications of Animals

The male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 (C57BL/6N, female \times C3H/HeN MTV⁻, male) mice used in these studies were produced under strict barrier conditions at Charles River Breeding Laboratories under a contract to the Carcinogenesis Program. Breeding stock for the foundation colonies at the production facility originated at the National Institutes of Health Repository. Animals shipped for study were progeny of defined microflora-associated parents that were transferred from isolators to barriermaintained rooms. Rats were shipped to the study laboratory at 4 weeks of age and mice at 5 weeks of age. The rats were quarantined at the study laboratory for 18 days and the mice for 20 days. Thereafter, a complete necropsy was performed on five animals of each sex and species to assess their health status. The rats were placed on study at 7 weeks of age and the mice at 8 weeks of age. The health of the animals was monitored during the course of the studies according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix F).

A quality control skin grafting program has been in effect since early 1978 to monitor the genetic integrity of the inbred mice used to produce the hybrid $B6C3F_1$ study animal. In mid-1981, data were obtained that showed incompatibility between the NIH C3H reference colony and the C3H colony from a Program supplier. In August 1981, inbred parental lines of mice were further tested for genetic integrity via isozyme and protein electrophoresis profiles that demonstrate phenotype expressions of known genetic loci.

The C57BL/6N mice were homogeneous at all loci tested. Eighty-five percent of the C3H mice monitored were variant at one to three loci, indicating some heterogeneity in the C3H line from this supplier. Nevertheless, the genome of this line is more homogeneous than that of randomly bred stocks.

Male mice from the C3H colony and female mice from the C57BL/6N colony were used as parents for the hybrid $B6C3F_1$ mice used in these studies. The influence of the potential genetic nonuniformity in the hybrid mice on these results is not known, but results of the studies are not affected because concurrent controls were included in each study.

Animal Maintenance

Rats and mice were housed five per cage. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Cages were not rotated during the studies (Figure 2). Details of animal maintenance are summarized in Table 6.

Clinical Examinations and Pathology

All animals were observed two times per day, and clinical signs were recorded once per day for the first 20 months and once per month thereafter. Body weights by cage were recorded once per week for the first 13 weeks of the studies and once per month thereafter. Mean body weights were calculated for each group. Animals found moribund and those surviving to the end of the studies were humanely killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals including those found dead, unless they were excessively autolyzed or cannibalized, missexed, or found missing. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and is not necessarily equal to the number of animals that were placed on study.

During necropsy, all organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissues examined microscopically are listed in Table 6.

When the pathology evaluation was completed, the slides, paraffin blocks, and residual wet tissues were sent to the NTP Archives for inventory, slide/block match, and wet tissue audit. The slides, individual animal data records, and pathology tables were sent to an independent quality assessment laboratory. The individual animal records and tables were compared for accuracy, slides and tissue counts were verified, and histotechnique was evaluated. All tumor diagnoses, all target tissues, and all tissues from a randomly selected 10% of the animals were evaluated by a quality assessment pathologist. The

21-25	Г	26-30	Ч	ċ€-1€	3	36-40	LI	<u>5</u>	цц	46-50	5	R-2	Sem				·			R-SF	11-15	R-SF	6-10	R-SF	1-5
CH-14	MV	46-24	NN	1-5	17	6-10	2	11-15	LM	16-20	LM	RUCK	UNEL .			12									
11-15	M	16-20	M	21-25	Ч	26.95	ž	31-35	νM	36-96	MN	RAIS	RAIS .			NSE HYH						ĺ			
31-35	НИ	36-45	HΜ	41-45	푀	5	MH H	ź	БУ	10-10	MM	MALE	nd HIC			HS HS									_
1-5	HM	01-01	MH	11-15	мн	16-20	ЦH	21-25	НΜ	26.30	HΜ		Ē							R-SM	11-15	R-SM	6.10	R-SM	1.5
						<u> </u>						•													
21-25	LM	26-35	L L	31-35	2	36-46	ξ	41-45	ξ	8.3	٢	80							_						
41-45	хη	400	٨N	1-5	E	¢-1	FM	11-15	Ξ	10-20	ΓM	Sibe	Fe	HF	26.30	H	21-25	H	16-20	HE	11-15	HF	6-10	HF	5-
11-15	٨N	16.20	MV	21-25	ž	26-30	ž	31-35	ž	36-40	M	1166	MALE	VF	6-10	VF	1-5	HF	46-50	HF	41-45	HE	36 40	HE	31-35
31-35	нМ	36-46	ΗМ	ch-14	M	16-50	MH	5.1	ž	01-1	VM	1 376	RAIS	V٣	34-40	٧F	31-35	V FI	26-30	V T	21-25	VF	16-20	ΥF	11-15
1-5	HM	01-9	нМ	11-15	ИН	16-20	ЧЧ	21-25	HM	26-30	MM	ž	RACE	LF	16-20	5	11-15	5	6.0	Ĩ	1-5	Ś	46-50	VF	41-45
\triangleright	Ś	\geq	\langle	>	$\left< \right.$	>	\langle	\sum	\langle	\geq	\langle		81	F	46-50	Ę	41-45	LF	36-40	LE	76-15	5	76 30	F	21-25
21-25	LF.	26-20	LF	31-35	LF.	36-40	1	sir-1h	L L	46.50	LF I	Đ													
ch-14	٧F	20-94	νF	1-5	1	6-10	Γ	11-15	LF	16-20	LF	SIDE													BCI
1-15	۲F	0791	٧F	CZ-17	ΥF	26.95	77	31-35	ΥF	36-40	۲F	166													. +16
4-35	, 1 1 1	36-40	ПП	¢+-45	μĩ	46-54	HE	<i>i</i> .)	ΧĒ	10,0	VF	PLE M													
	-	~		10	. 1	0		3	11	2	11	ŝ													

CLEAN CORRIDOR

20118807 997105

FIGURE 2. CAGE LOCATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331

30

quality assessment report and slides were submitted to the Pathology Working Group (PWG) Chairperson, who reviewed all target tissues and those about which there was a disagreement between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists.

Representative slides selected by the Chairperson were reviewed by the PWG without knowledge of previously rendered diagnoses. When the consensus diagnosis of the PWG differed from that of the laboratory pathologist, the laboratory pathologist was asked to reconsider the original diagnosis. This procedure has been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). The final diagnoses represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Group. For subsequent analysis of pathology data, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type are combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

Slides/tissues are generally not evaluated in a blind fashion (i.e., without knowledge of dose group) unless the lesions in question are subtle or unless there is an inconsistent diagnosis of lesions by the laboratory pathologist. Nonneoplastic lesions are not examined routinely by the quality assessment pathologist or PWG unless they are considered part of the toxic effect of the chemical.

Statistical Methods

Data Recording: Data on this experiment were recorded in the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, survival, body weight, and individual pathology results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969).

Survival Analyses: The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals were censored from the survival analyses at the time they were found to be missing or dead from other than natural causes; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test for a doserelated trend. When significant survival differences were detected, additional analyses using these procedures were carried out to determine the time point at which significant differences in the survival curves were first detected. All reported P values for the survival analysis are two-sided.

Calculation of Incidence: The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site to the number of animals in which that site was examined. In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which the site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) prior to histologic sampling, or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence: Three statistical methods are used to analyze tumor incidence data. The two that adjust for intercurrent mortality employ the classical method for combining contingency tables developed by Mantel and Haenszel (1959). Tests of significance included pairwise comparisons of high dose and low dose groups with vehicle controls and tests for overall dose-response trends.

For studies in which compound administration has little effect on survival, the results of the three alternative analyses will generally be similar. When differing results are obtained by the three methods, the final interpretation of the data will depend on the extent to which the tumor under consideration is regarded as being the cause of death. Continuity-corrected tests are used in analysis of tumor incidence, and reported P values are one-sided. The procedures described below were also used to analyze the incidence of selected nonneoplastic lesions.

Life Table Analyses--The first method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals dying before the end of the

studies were "fatal"; i.e., they either directly or indirectly caused the death of the animal. According to this approach, the proportions of tumor-bearing animals in the dosed and vehicle control groups were compared at each point in time at which an animal died with a tumor of interest. The denominators of these proportions were the total number of animals at risk in each group. These results, including the data from animals killed at the end of the studies, were then combined by the Mantel-Haenszel method to obtain an overall P value. This method of adjusting for intercurrent mortality is the life table method of Cox (1972) and of Tarone (1975). The underlying variable considered by this analysis is time to death due to tumor. If the tumor is rapidly lethal, then time to death due to tumor closely approximates time to tumor onset. In this case, the life table test also provides a comparison of the time-specific tumor incidences.

Incidental Tumor Analyses--The second method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals that died before the end of the studies were "incidental"; i.e., they were merely observed at necropsy in animals dying of an unrelated cause. According to this approach, the proportions of tumor-bearing animals in dosed and vehicle control groups were compared in each of five time intervals: weeks 0-52, weeks 53-78, weeks 79-92, week 93 to the week before the terminal-kill period, and the terminal-kill period. The denominators of these proportions were the number of animals actually examined for tumors during the time interval. The individual time interval comparisons were then combined by the previously described method to obtain a single overall result. (See Haseman, 1984, for the computational details of both methods.)

Unadjusted Analyses--Primarily, survival-adjusted methods are used to evaluate tumor incidence. In addition, the results of the Fisher exact test for pairwise comparisons and the Cochran-Armitage linear trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979) are given in the appendixes containing the analyses of primary tumor incidence. These two tests are based on the overall proportion of tumor-bearing animals and do not adjust for survival differences.

Historical Control Data: Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for evaluation, there are certain instances in which historical control data can be helpful in the overall assessment of tumor incidence. Consequently, control tumor incidences from the NTP historical control data base (Haseman et al., 1984, 1985) are included for those tumors appearing to show compound-related effects.

III. RESULTS

RATS

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

MICE

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All rats that received 1,500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, 2/5 males and 3/5 females that received 1,000 mg/kg, and 1/5 males that received 750 mg/kg died before the end of the studies (Table 7). Final mean body weights of rats that received 750 or 1,000 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, were 15% or 7% lower than that of the controls for males and 24% or 25% lower for females. All dosed animals had rough hair coats. By day 4, the color of the urine of all dosed animals was a shade similar to that of the study material. By day 11, all surviving rats at. 750 and 1,000 mg/kg exhibited generalized body weakness. The tissues from animals in the 1.4day studies were not examined microscopically.

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Nine of 10 male rats and 10/10 female rats that received 500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, died before the end of the studies (Table 8). Final mean body weights of males that received 125, 250, or 500 mg/kg were 5%, 16%, or 39% lower than that of the vehicle controls. Final mean body weights of females that received 125 or 250 mg/kg were 5% or 14% lower than that of the vehicle controls.

During the course of the 13-week studies, the clinical signs preceding the early deaths or moribund termination in the highest dosed animals were rough hair coats, red exudate around the eyes, and impairment of hindleg motor ability to the extent of complete immobilization in some animals.

 TABLE 7. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY GAVAGE

 STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

		Mear	n Body Weight	Final Weight Relative				
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change (b)	to Controls (percent)			
ÍALE		, * *, <u></u>						
(c) 0	5/ 5	117	177	+60				
250	5/5	115	165	+ 50	93.2			
500	5/5	123	178	+55	100.6			
750	(d) 4/5	107	151	+44	85.3			
1,000	(e) 3/5	124	165	+41	93.2			
1,500	(f) 0/5	109	(g)	(g)	(g)			
EMALE								
(c) 0	5/5	98	141	+43				
250	5/5	102	136	+34	96.5			
500	5/5	102	129	+27	91.5			
750	5/5	97	107	+10	75.9			
1,000	(h) 2/5	103	106	+3	75.2			
1,500	(i) 0/5	102	(g)	(g)	(g)			

(a) Number surviving/number in group

(b) Mean body weight change of the survivors

(c) Controls were untreated.

(d) Day of death: 15 (1 day after last dose)

(e) Day of death: 4,7

(f) Day of death: 4,4,5,6,8

(g) No data are reported due to the 100% mortality in this group.

(h) Day of death: 9,11,14

(i) Day of death: 3,4,5,7,7
		Mean	Body Weights	Final Weight Relative	
Dose Survival (a) (mg/kg)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)	
MALE		·······		····	
0	10/10	132 ± 4	331 ± 6	+199 ± 4	
30	10/10	137 ± 5	347 ± 2	$+210 \pm 5$	105
60	10/10	139 ± 4	345 ± 10	$+206 \pm 8$	104
125	10/10	142 ± 4	314 ± 6	$+172 \pm 5$	95
250	10/10	139 ± 4	278 ± 8	$+139 \pm 9$	84
500	(d) 1/10	138 ± 3	203 ± 0	$+68\pm0$	61
FEMALE					
0	10/10	113 ± 1	195 ± 3	$+82 \pm 2$	
30	10/10	107 ± 2	187 ± 4	$+80 \pm 2$	96
60	10/10	105 ± 3	188 ± 3	$+83 \pm 1$	96
125	10/10	110 ± 3	185 ± 6	$+75 \pm 3$	95
250	10/10	112 ± 2	167 ± 4	$+55 \pm 4$	86
500	(e) 0/10	110 ± 2	(f)	(f)	(f)

TABLE 8. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Initial mean group body weight \pm standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on those animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors \pm standard error of the mean

(d) Week of death: 3,6,7,8,8,8,8,10,12

(e) Week of death: 5,5,7,7,7,7,7,8,8

(f) No data are reported due to the 100% mortality in this group.

Compound-related lesions were present in the stomach, kidney, and testis, primarily in the two highest dose groups (Table 9). Lesions in the kidney consisted of thickenings in the glomerular tuft, which appeared to involve basement membranes and mesangial areas. The designation "membranous glomerular nephropathy" was given to the lesion for which evidence of thickening of Bowman's capsule or proliferation of visceral or parietal epithelium was present without associated inflammation. These kidney lesions occurred in nine males and eight females at 500 mg/kg, one male and nine females at 250 mg/kg, and six females at 125 mg/kg. Mild mineralization of the kidney was present in male rats from the two highest dose groups and in female rats from the four highest dose groups. Renal tubular pigmentation and/or basophilia occurred in several rats from the 500 mg/kg group. Renal tubular pigmentation was observed in 10 females in the 250 mg/kg group. Focal and multifocal erosive lesions were observed in the

gastric mucosa of the glandular stomach in 500 mg/kg male and female rats. A diffuse degeneration of virtually all the testicular germinal epithelium was observed in 3/10 males that received 250 mg/kg and in 9/10 males that received 500 mg/kg; the lesions in the 250 mg/kg group were less severe than those in the 500 mg/kg group. Lymphoid depletion in the spleen, thymus, and mandibular lymph nodes occurred in the two highest male and female dose groups, and increased splenic extramedullary hematopoiesis and bone marrow hyperplasia were observed in the 500 mg/kg groups.

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of reduced weight gain and compound-related lesions in the bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes, thymus, stomach, kidney, and testis at the higher doses in the 13-week studies, doses selected for rats for the 2-year studies were 50 and 100 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, administered in distilled water by gavage 5 days per week.

	Dose (mg/kg)						
Site/Lesion	0	60	125	250	500		
MALE	<u>, , ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,</u>						
Number of rats examined	10	10	10	10	10		
Stomach, gastric mucosa							
Erosion	0	0	0	0	5		
Kidney					-		
Membranous glomerular nephropathy	0	0	0	1	9		
Mineralization	0	0	0	6	9		
Tubular pigmentation	0	0	0	0	4		
Tubular basophilia	0	0	0	0	3		
Bone marrow							
Hyperplasia	0	0	0	0	5		
Spleen							
Lymphoid depletion, B-cell area	0	0	0	9	6		
Lymphoid depletion	0	0	0	0	4		
Extramedullary hematopoiesis	0	0	0	0	7		
Mandibular lymph node							
Lymphoid depletion	0	0	0	0	2		
Thymus							
Lymphocytic depletion	0	0	0	0	3		
Pestis seminiferous tubules	•	•	•	•	•		
Degeneration	0	0	0	3	9		
DeBoueranou	v	v	v	•	v		
FEMALE							
Number of rats examined	10	10	10	10	10		
Stomach, gastric mucosa							
Erosion	0	0	0	0	4		
Kidney		-					
Membranous glomerular nephropathy	0	0	6	10	8		
Mineralization	Ō	2	6	7	5		
Tubular pigmentation	õ	ō	õ	10	ĭ		
Tubular hasonhilia	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	õ	ĥ		
Rone marrow	v	v	v	v	v		
Hynernlesia	٥	0	0	0	A		
rig per piasia Salaan	v	v	v	v	*		
I umphoid doplation P coll arcs	0	٥	0	10	7		
Lymphoid depiction, D-cell area	0	U A	U A	10	1		
Lymphola depietion	U A	v	U A	0	3		
Extramedullary nematopolesis	U	U	U	U	9		
Mandioular lymph node	0	0	•	0	•		
	U	U	U	U	3		
inymus I amabaastis destation	0	•	0	0			
Lymphocytic depietion	U	U	U	U	5		

TABLE 9. NUMBER OF RATS WITH LESIONS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of high dose male rats were 10%-20% lower than those of the vehicle controls from week 33 to week 72 and 20%-26% lower from week 72 to the end of the study (Table 10 and Figure 3). Mean body weights of low dose male rats were 3%-7% lower than those of the

vehicle controls from week 67 to the end of the study. Mean body weights of high dose female rats were 10%-20% lower than those of the vehicle controls from week 54 to week 72 and 21%-36% lower from week 72 to the end of the study. Mean body weights of low dose and vehicle control female rats were similar throughout the study. No compound-related clinical signs were observed.

Weeks	Vehicle	Control		50 mg/kg			100 mg/kg	
on	Av. Wt.	No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (percent	No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (percent	No. of
Study	(grams)	Survivors	(grams)	of veh. controls)	Survivors	(grams)	of veh. controls)	Survivors
MALE				<u> </u>				
0	111	50	115	1 04	50	112	101	50
1	171	50	170	99	50	171	100	50
2	199 219	50 50	198 219	99	50	200	101	50 50
4	234	50	238	100	50	240	103	50
5	250	50	255	102	50	259	104	50
6	264	50	265	100	50	267	101	50
7 8	267	50	269	101	50 50	271 279	101	50
9	293	50	294	100	50	295	101	50
10	304	50	305	100	50	305	100	50
11	309	50	310	100	50	302	98	50
12	318	50	317	99	50	313	97	50
16	342	50	340	99	50	328	96	50
20	359	50	358	100	50	344	96	50
25	391	50 50	388	99 102	50	367	94	50
33	408	50	401	98	50	369	90	50
37	420	50	417	99	50	378	90	50
41	425	50	423	100	50	372	88	49
46	431	50	432	100	50	383	89 97	48
54	440	50	445	98	50	385	85	48
59	460	49	447	97	50	381	83	48
63	457	48	447	98	50	368	81	47
67 79	460	48	448	97	50 50	375	82	47
76	469	48	432	96	48	350	78	40
78	456	48	424	93	48	351	77	43
81	455	44	429	94	47	345	76	37
85	452	43	427	94	46	353	78	32
94	461	39	445	96 97	42	341	74	23
99	448	37	434	97	36	334	75	19
103	447	37	430	96	33	332	74	15
FEMALE								
0	98	50	102	104	50	101	103	50
1	126	50	130	103	50	127	101	50
2	137	50	139	101	50 50	130	101	50
4	152	50	156	103	50	152	100	50
5	160	50	161	101	50	159	99	50
6	164	50	166	101	50	164	100	50
8	167	50 50	160	101	50 50	166	99	50
9	171	50	173	101	50	169	99	50
10	174	50	175	101	50	173	99	50
11	174	50 50	175	101	50	171	98 97	50 50
13	181	50	183	101	49	173	98	50
16	189	50	187	99	49	184	97	50
20	190	50	192	101	49	190	100	50
25	205	49	207	101	49	203	99	50 50
33	208	49	211	100	49	202	98	50
37	213	48	215	101	49	205	96	50
41	216	48	220	102	49	207	96	50
46 50	223	48 48	228	102	48 47	215	96 91	20 50
54	240	48	243	103	47	214	89	49
59	245	48	251	102	47	206	84	43
63 67	253	47	256	101	47	214	85	39
67 79	260	47	262 276	101	46 45	215 217	83 79	39 37
76	269	47	272	101	45	211	78	35
78	271	46	268	99	45	207	76	34
81	272	46	267	98	45	215	79 76	31
90	286	42	275	98 98	43	213	72	25
94	282	42	280	99	42	199	71	23
99	286	39	286	100	39	203	71	16
103	290	31	289	100	37	197	04	14

TABLE 10. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT



FIGURE 3. GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT, IN WATER BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331

38

Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female rats administered malonaldehyde, sodium salt, at the doses used in these studies and for vehicle controls are shown in Table 11 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 4. Survival of the high dose groups of both male (after week 88) and female (after week 68) rats was significantly lower than that of the vehicle controls.

Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of rats with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the thyroid gland, pancreatic islets, pancreas, subcutaneous tissue, hematopoietic system (bone marrow, spleen, or multiple organs), adrenal gland, anterior pituitary gland, liver, stomach, and eye.

Lesions in male rats are summarized in Appendix A. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms are summarized in Table A1. Table A2 gives the survival and tumor status for individual male rats. Table A3 contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Table A3 (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in control male rats are listed in Table A4. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Table A5.

Lesions in female rats are summarized in Appendix B. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms are summarized in Table B1. Table B2 gives the survival and tumor status for individual female rats. Table B3 contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Table B3 (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in control female rats are listed in Table B4. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Table B5.

TABLE 11.	SURVIVAL OF	'RATS IN	THE T	WO-YEAR	GAVAGE	STUDIES	OF MALO	NALDEHYDE,
				SODIUM	SALT			

	Vehicle Control	Vehicle Control 50 mg/kg	
MALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	13	17	34
Accidentally killed	0	0	1
Killed at termination	37	33	15
Survival P values (c)	< 0.001	0.633	< 0.001
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	13	12	34
Accidentally killed	0	1	2
Killed at termination	37	37	14
Survival P values (c)	< 0.001	0.846	< 0.001

(a) Terminal-kill period: male--week 104; female--weeks 104-105

(b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the vehicle control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the vehicle controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 4. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT, IN WATER BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331

40

Thyroid Gland: Follicular cell hyperplasia was observed at an increased incidence (P < 0.001) in high dose female rats (Table 12). Hyperplasia was characterized by micropapillary folds of columnar cells or microfollicle formation on the wall of slightly enlarged follicles that did not compress adjacent structures.

Follicular cell adenomas, carcinomas, and adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in male and female rats occurred with positive trends (Table 12). The incidences of adenomas in high dose male and female rats and carcinomas in high dose male rats were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls by the life table test; the incidences of follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in high dose male and female rats were significantly (P < 0.05) greater than those in the vehicle controls by the life table and incidental tumor tests. Two high dose male rats had bilateral follicular cell tumors: one had a bilateral carcinoma, and the second had an adenoma in one lobe and a carcinoma in the other lobe. A third high dose male had two adenomas in one lobe. Follicular cell adenomas were usually well-circumscribed masses consisting of variably sized follicles often with papillary

fronds extending into the lumen. The follicular cell carcinomas (Figures 5-8) were more pleomorphic (Figure 7) and consisted of irregular follicular structures lined by anaplastic epithelial cells; a scirrhous response (Figure 7) was commonly seen with these tumors.

An increased incidence of thyroid gland follicular cell hyperplasia is often associated with an increased incidence of follicular cell adenomas and/or carcinomas. In this study, however, the increased incidence of follicular cell tumors in low and high dose male rats was not accompanied by increased follicular cell hyperplasia. However, in high dose female rats, a significant increase in follicular cell hyperplasia was associated with an increase in thyroid gland follicular cell tumors.

Ultimobranchial cysts occurred at an increased incidence (P < 0.001) in high dose female rats (male: vehicle control, 0/50; low dose, 0/49; high dose, 2/50; female: 1/50; 0/50; 12/50). These embryologic remnants of the ultimobranchial bodies were unilocular or multilocular spaces lined by squamous epithelium.

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
MALE		······································	
Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	9/50 (18%)	7/49(14%)	7/50 (14%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	3/49 (6%)	9/50 (18%)
Adjusted Rates	7.3%	9.1%	34.4%
Terminal Rates	2/37 (5%)	3/33 (9%)	3/15 (20%)
Week of First Observation	55	104	81
Life Table Tests	P = 0.003	P = 0.618	P = 0.007
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.026	P = 0.618	P = 0.068
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	5/49 (10%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	2.1%	13.3%	19.8%
Terminal Rates	0/37 (0%)	3/33 (9%)	2/15(13%)
Week of First Observation	79	87	79
Life Table Tests	P=0.019	P=0.099	P = 0.041
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.105	P = 0.109	P = 0.116
Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)			
Overall Rates	4/50 (8%)	8/49 (16%)	13/50 (26%)
Adjusted Rates	9.2%	22.0%	48.1%
Terminal Rates	2/37 (5%)	6/33 (18%)	5/15 (33%)
Week of First Observation	55	87	7 9
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.154	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.008	P = 0.168	P = 0.015
FEMALE			
Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	10/50 (20%)	10/50 (20%)	26/50 (52%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	5.3%	0.0%	30.4%
Terminal Rates	1/37 (3%)	0/37 (0%)	4/14 (29%)
Week of First Observation	103		69
Life Table Tests	P = 0.015	P = 0.240N	P = 0.020
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.069	P = 0.240N	P = 0.083
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (c)			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates	5.3%	2.7%	37.6%
Terminal Rates	1/37 (3%)	1/37 (3%)	4/14 (29%)
Week of First Observation	103	105	69
Life Table Tests	P = 0.001	P = 0.500N	P = 0.003
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.026	P = 0.500N	P = 0.045

TABLE 12. ANALYSIS OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL LESIONS IN RATS IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (a)

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Table A3 (footnotes). (b) Historical incidence in water gavage controls (mean \pm SD): 2/144 (1% \pm 1%); historical incidence in untreated controls (mean ± SD): 27/1,928 (1% ± 2%)

(c) Historical incidence in water gavage controls (mean \pm SD): 4/146 (3% \pm 3%); historical incidence in untreated controls (mean \pm SD): 20/1,952 (1% \pm 1%)



Figure 5 Photomicrograph of thyroid gland with a follicular cell carcinoma from a male rat given malonaldehyde, sodium salt. Over half the normal tissue is replaced by neoplastic follicular epithelium. Normal thyroid follicles containing colloid are present in the right hand portion of the gland H&E, magnification $9 \times$



Figure 6 Photomicrograph of a thyroid follicular cell carcinoma that has totally obliterated the gland and invaded adjacent skeletal muscle H&E, magnification $9\times$



Figure 7 Photomicrograph of the thyroid follicular cell carcinoma shown in Figure 6 The neoplastic epithelium is arranged in pleomorphic tubular structures and is invading the adjacent muscle. The neoplasm has elicited a scirrhous response characterized by the formation of collagenous connective tissue that is interspersed among the neoplastic follicular epithelium. H&E, magnification $25 \times$



Figure 8 Photomicrograph of a moderately well differentiated thyroid follicular cell carcinoma This neoplasm has not elicited a scirrhous response, and the follicular epithelium is arranged in tubular and follicle like structures H&E magnification $25\times$

Pancreatic Islets: The incidences of islet cell adenomas and adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in low dose male rats were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls (Table 13) and exceeded the range for historical control males (0/50-7/49).

Pancreas: An increased incidence and severity of diffuse atrophy of the acinar pancreas occurred in dosed male and female rats (male: vehicle control, 8/49; low dose, 26/50; high dose, 38/49; female: 5/50; 27/50; 42/50). This lesion was characterized by reduction in the number of secretory granules and reduction in the size of pancreatic acini and lobules. This lesion was distinct from the spontaneously occurring focal atrophy that was also diagnosed and characterized by fibrosis and atrophy of a single lobule.

Subcutaneous Tissue: Although the incidence of fibromas, fibrosarcomas, or myxosarcomas

(combined) was slightly increased in low dose male rats, it was not significantly different from that in the vehicle controls (vehicle control, 2/50; low dose, 7/50; high dose, 3/50).

Hematopoietic System:

Bone Marrow--The incidences of hematopoietic hyperplasia in high dose female rats and reticulum cell hyperplasia in low dose female rats were greater (P < 0.01) than those in the vehicle controls (hematopoietic hyperplasia--male: vehicle control, 5/50; low dose, 2/50; high dose, 5/50; female: 2/50; 4/50; 11/50; reticulum cell hyperplasia--male: 5/50; 3/50; 1/50; female: 6/50; 19/50; 7/50).

Spleen--Hematopoiesis was observed at an increased incidence (P < 0.01) in high dose female rats (male: vehicle control, 3/50; low dose, 4/50; high dose, 1/50; female: 1/50; 2/50; 9/50).

TABLE 13. ANALYSIS OF PANCREATIC ISLET CELL TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	
Adenoma				
Overall Rates	0/49 (0%)	9/50 (18%)	1/49 (2%)	
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	26.1%	6.7%	
Terminal Rates	0/37 (0%)	8/33 (24%)	1/15(7%)	
Week of First Observation		97	104	
Life Table Tests	P = 0.092	P = 0.002	P = 0.320	
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.138	P = 0.002	P = 0.320	
Carcinoma				
Overall Rates	1/49 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	0/49 (0%)	
Adenoma or Carcinoma (a)				
Overall Rates	1/49 (2%)	9/50 (18%)	1/49 (2%)	
Adjusted Rates	2.7%	26.1%	6.7%	
Terminal Rates	1/37 (3%)	8/33 (24%)	1/15 (7%)	
Week of First Observation	104	97	104	
Life Table Tests	P = 0.169	P = 0.006	P = 0.548	
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.235	P = 0.009	P = 0.548	

(a) Historical incidence in water gavage controls (mean \pm SD): 12/147 (8% \pm 4%); historical incidence in untreated controls (mean \pm SD): 102/1,913 (5% \pm 4%)

Multiple Organs--Mononuclear cell leukemia in male rats occurred with a significant positive trend by life table analysis; the incidences in low and high dose males were not significantly different from that in vehicle controls and did not exceed the mean historical control incidence (Table 14).

Adrenal Gland: The incidence of lipoid degeneration of the adrenal gland cortex was significantly (P < 0.05) increased in high dose male rats (vehicle control, 12/50; low dose, 13/50; high dose, 23/50) and in high dose female rats (19/50; 20/50; 30/50). Pheochromocytomas in male rats occurred with a significant positive trend; the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls by the life table test but not by the incidental tumor test (Table 15), which is the more appropriate test for this generally nonfatal tumor.

Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenomas and adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in male and female rats occurred with significant negative trends, and the incidences in the high dose groups were significantly lower than those in the vehicle controls by the incidental tumor test (Table 16).

TABLE 14. ANALYSIS OF MONONUCLEAR CELL LEUKEMIA IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (a)

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
Overall Rates	7/50 (14%)	10/50 (20%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates	15.2%	23.7%	34.9%
Terminal Rates	1/37 (3%)	3/33 (9%)	0/15(0%)
Week of First Observation	55	74	79
Life Table Tests	P = 0.039	P = 0.306	P = 0.067
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.245N	P = 0.503	P = 0.272N

(a) Historical incidence of leukemia in water gavage controls (mean \pm SD): 74/150 (49% \pm 11%); historical incidence in untreated controls (mean \pm SD): 583/1,977 (29% \pm 12%)

TABLE 15. ANALYSIS OF ADRENAL GLAND LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Vehicle Control 50 mg/kg		100 mg/kg
Medullary Focal Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	18/50 (36%)	21/50 (42%)	16/50 (32%)
Pheochromocytoma (a)			
Overall Rates	5/50 (10%)	6/50 (12%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates	13.5%	16.8%	40.0%
Terminal Rates	5/37 (14%)	5/33 (15%)	5/15 (33%)
Week of First Observation	104	74	76
Life Table Tests	P = 0.014	P = 0.430	P = 0.016
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.048	P = 0.427	P=0.055

(a) Historical incidence of pheochromocytomas or malignant pheochromocytomas (combined) in water gavage controls (mean \pm SD): 63/149 (42% \pm 4%); historical incidence in untreated controls: 452/1,950 (23% \pm 12%)

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
MALE			
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	20/47 (43%)	14/49 (29%)	7/49 (14%)
Adjusted Rates	50.8%	38.3%	30.7%
Terminal Rates	16/35 (46%)	11/33 (33%)	3/15 (20%)
Week of First Observation	80	93	84
Life Table Tests	P = 0.175N	P=0.189N	P = 0.242N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.010N	P = 0.080N	P = 0.016N
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/47 (0%)	0/49 (0%)	1/49 (2%)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (a)			
Overall Rates	20/47 (43%)	14/49 (29%)	8/49 (16%)
Adjusted Rates	50.8%	38.3%	36.5%
Terminal Rates	16/35 (46%)	11/33 (33%)	4/15 (27%)
Week of First Observation	80	93	84
Life Table Tests	P = 0.264N	P = 0.189N	P = 0.357 N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.022N	P = 0.080 N	P = 0.039N
FEMALE			
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	16/49 (33%)	10/49 (20%)	2/48 (4%)
Adjusted Rates	39.8%	26.2%	14.3%
Terminal Rates	13/37 (35%)	8/36 (22%)	2/14 (14%)
Week of First Observation	83	102	104
Life Table Tests	P = 0.032N	P = 0.147N	P=0.060N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.005N	P = 0.146N	P = 0.015N
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	2/49 (4%)	0/49 (0%)	0/48 (0%)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)			
Overall Rates	18/49 (37%)	10/49 (20%)	2/48 (4%)
Adjusted Rates	43.7%	26.2%	14.3%
Terminal Rates	14/37 (38%)	8/36 (22%)	2/14 (14%)
Week of First Observation	83	102	104
Life Table Tests	P = 0.013N	P = 0.074N	P = 0.034N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.001 N	P = 0.068N	P = 0.004 N

TABLE 16. ANALYSIS OF ANTERIOR PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

(a) Historical incidence in water gavage controls (mean \pm SD): 51/150 (34% \pm 9%); historical incidence in untreated controls (mean \pm SD): 428/1,861 (23% \pm 11%)

(b) Historical incidence in water gavage controls (mean \pm SD): 72/143 (50% \pm 2%); historical incidence in untreated controls (mean \pm SD): 931/1,952 (48% \pm 11%)

Liver: Cystic degeneration, cytoplasmic vacuolization, bile duct hyperplasia, and bile duct fibrosis occurred with increased incidences and/or severity in male and female rats (Table 17). Cystic degeneration (also referred to as spongiosis hepatis) consists of focal multilocular cystic formations containing granular material or, occasionally, erythrocytes. The cytoplasmic vacuolization affected randomly distributed clusters of hepatocytes and probably represents lipid accumulation within the cells. Bile duct hyperplasia and fibrosis occur spontaneously in aged rats. and the lesions in dosed rats were qualitatively similar. In affected rats, some of the portal triads in sections of the liver contained increased numbers of bile ducts or ductules surrounded by dense collagenous connective tissue.

Stomach: Acute and chronic inflammation, necrotizing inflammation characterized by epithelial necrosis and erosion of the glandular mucosa, and ulcers were observed at increased incidences in the glandular stomach of dosed male rats and high dose female rats (Table 18). Epithelial hyperplasia was observed at increased incidences in the forestomach near the junction with the glandular stomach in dosed male and high dose female rats.

Eye: Corneal inflammation in high dose male and female rats and retinal atrophy and cataracts of the crystalline lens were observed at increased incidences in dosed male and female rats (Table 19). The incidences of eye lesions in the dosed groups were not related to the rack position, e.g., top row and outside columns of rack versus inside (see Figure 2). Cages were not rotated on racks during the studies.

The increased incidences of stomach and eye lesions in dosed rats are compound related and appear to occur in response to the toxicity of the compound.

TABLE 17.	NUMBER (OF RATS	WITH L	ESIONS	OF THE	LIVER, I	N THE	TWO-YEAR	GAVAGE
		STU	DIES OI	F MALO	NALDEH	YDE, SOI	DIUM S	ALT	

		Male		Female			
Site/Lesion	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	
Number of liver examined	50	50	50	50	50	50	
Cytoplasmic vacuolization Cystic degeneration Bile duct hyperplasia (a) Bile duct fibrosis (a)	7 13 50 (2.5) 4 (1.3)	7 26 45 (2.9) 8 (1.7)	1.2 24 50 (3.5) 28 (2.1)	6 0 17 (1.6) 1	6 0 15 (2.1) 0	18 5 35 (2.6) 1	

(a) Mean grade of severity of hyperplasia or fibrosis for affected rats is given in parentheses: minimal = 1, mild = 2, moderate = 3, and marked = 4.

TABLE 18. NUMBER OF RATS WITH LESIONS OF THE STOMACH IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

		Male		Female		
Site/Lesion	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
Number of stomachs examined	50	49	50	50	50	50
Glandular stomach						
Inflammation	1	4	(a)7	1	2	3
Inflammation, necrotizing	1	(a)7	4	0	1	(b) 9
Ulcer	0	(a) 5	(b) 15	1	2	(b) 19
Forestomach						
Epithelial hyperplasia	3	8	(b) 18	4	5	(b) 18
Squamous cell papilloma	Ó	1	1	1	0	1

(a) P<0.05 vs. vehicle controls

(b) P < 0.01 vs. vehicle controls

TABLE 19. NUMBER OF RATS WITH LESIONS OF THE EYE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIESOF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

		Male			Female		
Site/Lesion	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg	
Number of rats examined	50	50	50	50	50	50	
Corneal inflammation	2	2	(a) 19	2	2	(a) 25	
Retinal atrophy	5	9	(a) 24	3	(a) 31	(a) 30	
Crystalline lens cataract	4	(a) 14	(a) 19	4	(a) 26	(a) 31	

(a) P<0.01 vs. vehicle controls

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All mice that received 1,500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, 4/5 males that received 1,000 mg/kg, and 5/5 males that received 750 mg/kg died before the end of the studies (Table 20). The final mean body weights of males that received 500 or 1,000 mg/kg were 18% or 23% lower than that of the controls. The final mean body weight of females that received 1,000 mg/kg was 16% lower than that of the controls.

After 8 days, the urine of all dosed mice was nearly the same shade of yellow as the dose mixture. Male mice that received 750 mg/kg or more and female mice that received 1,500 mg/kg had rough hair coats and were inactive. No compound-related effects were observed at necropsy.

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Three of 10 male mice and 1/10 female mice that received 500 mg/kg and 1/10 males and 1/10 females that received 125 mg/kg died before the end of the studies (Table 21). The final mean body weights of mice that received 500 mg/kg were 38% lower than that of the vehicle controls for males and 18% lower for females.

TABLE 20. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

		Mean	Body Weight	Final Weight Relative	
Dose Survival (a) (mg/kg)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Controls (percent)	
IALE					
(d) 0	5/5	25.4	27.4	+2.0	
250	5/5	26.6	26.0	-0.6	94.9
500	5/5	27.8	22.4	-5.4	81.8
750	(e) 0/5	23.6	(f)	(f)	(f)
1,000	(g) 1/5	24.8	21.0	-3.8	76.6
1,500	(h) 0/5	24.8	(f)	(f)	(f)
EMALE					
(d) 0	5/5	19.6	20.8	+1.2	
250	5/5	19.4	19.0	-0.4	91.3
500	5/5	20.0	19.8	-0.2	95.2
750	5/5	20.6	20.0	-0.6	96.2
1,000	5/5	18.6	17.4	-1.2	83.7
1,500	(i) 0/5	18.8	(f)	(f)	(f)

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial mean group body weight

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors

(d) Controls were untreated.

(e) Day of death: 7,7,7,8,13

(f) No data are reported due to the 100% mortality in this group.

(g) Day of death: 10,10,11,11

(h) Day of death: 4,4,4,5,6

(i) Day of death: 7,7,7,8,8

		Mea	n Body Weights	Final Weight Relative		
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)	
IALE			<u>. </u>			
0	10/10	22.9 ± 0.5	34.1 ± 0.7	$+11.2 \pm 0.8$		
30	10/10	24.4 ± 0.5	35.6 ± 0.7	$+11.2 \pm 0.4$	104.4	
60	10/10	23.7 ± 0.8	33.6 ± 0.8	$+9.9 \pm 0.8$	98.5	
125	(d) 9/10	25.3 ± 0.5	34.1 ± 0.9	$+8.7 \pm 0.7$	100.0	
250	10/10	23.9 ± 0.6	34.2 ± 1.0	$+10.3 \pm 1.0$	100.3	
500	(e) 7/10	23.4 ± 0.6	21.0 ± 1.0	-2.9 ± 1.3	61.6	
EMALE						
0	10/10	18.8 ± 0.4	26.0 ± 0.7	$+7.2 \pm 0.7$		
30	10/10	19.1 ± 0.4	25.3 ± 0.5	$+6.2 \pm 0.5$	97.3	
60	10/10	19.1 ± 0.4	24.9 ± 0.6	$+5.8 \pm 0.4$	95.8	
125	(d) 9/10	18.9 ± 0.3	25.1 ± 0.6	$+6.1 \pm 0.6$	96.5	
250	10/10	19.1 ± 0.3	25.3 ± 1.0	$+6.2 \pm 0.7$	97.3	
500	(f) 9/10	18.8 ± 0.4	21.4 ± 0.5	$+2.7 \pm 0.4$	82.3	

TABLE 21. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial mean group body weight \pm standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on those animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors \pm standard error of the mean

(d) Week of death: 9

(e) Week of death: 7,11,13

(f) Week of death: 1

Compound-related lesions were observed in the pancreas, stomach, and testis (Table 22). Lesions the pancreas diagnosed as exocrine atrophy were characterized by an accumulation of a 'ipose tissue in the interstitium, with a reduced amount of normal-appearing pancreatic acinar tissue. This lesion was present in most mice at 250 and 500 mg/kg and in one male at 125 mg/kg. Mild dilatation of the gastric glands was observed in six males in the 500 mg/kg group. Degeneration of the germinal epithelium was observed in the testis of 2/10 males that received 500 mg/kg and 9/10 males that received 250 mg/kg. Lesions in lymphoid organs included lymphoid depletion. Increased splenic extramedullary hematopoiesis was present in three males in the 500 mg/kg group.

			Dose (mg/kg))	
Site/Lesion	0	60	125	250	500
MALE					<u></u> ,
Number of mice examined	10	10	10	10	10
Pancreas					
Exocrine atrophy	0	0	1	10	8
Lymphoid depletion	0	0	0	0	6
Extramedullary hematopoiesis Mandibular lymph node	0	0	0	0	3
Lymphoid depletion	0	0	0	0	3
Stomach mucosa, gastric glands	0	0	0	0	c
Testis, germinal epithelium	U	U	U	U	0
Degeneration	0	0	0	9	2
FEMALE					
Number of mice examined	10	10	10	10	10
Pancreas					
Exocrine atrophy	0	0	0	8	9
Spleen	•	2		•	
Lymphold depletion	0	0	0	0	1
Extramedulary nematopolesis Mandibular lymph node	0	0	0	U	0
Lymphoid depletion	0	0	0	0	0
Stomach mucosa, gastric glands	-				
Dilatation	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 22. NUMBER OF MICE WITH LESIONS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OFMALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of compoundrelated lesions in the pancreas, spleen, lymph nodes, stomach, and testis, doses selected for mice for the 2-year studies were 60 and 120 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, administered in distilled water by gavage 5 days per week.

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of dosed and vehicle control

male mice were comparable throughout most of the study (Table 23 and Figure 9). After week 93, mean body weights of the high dose male group were lower than those of the vehicle controls. Mean body weights of dosed female mice were greater than those of the vehicle controls throughout most of the study. Hair color of high dose mice changed from wild agouti to gray during the studies. Eczema, alopecia, rough hair coat, skin wounds, and genital inflammation or infection observed in all groups of male mice were considered to be a consequence of fighting.

Weeks	Vehicle	e Control	60 mg/kg			120 mg/kg				
on	Av. Wt.	No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (percent	No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (percent	No. of		
Study	(grams)	Survivors	(grams)	of veh. controls)	Survivors	(grams)	of veh. controls)	Survivors		
MALE										
1	27 9	50	28 9	104	50	29 2	105	50		
2	29 2	50	29 6	101	50	29 1	100	50		
3	31 0	50	30 9	100	50	30 3	98	50		
4	31 5	50	31 2	99	50	29 8	95	50		
5	317	50	316	100	50	30 3	96	50		
8	34 2	50	324	95	50	33 1	95	50		
9	35 1	50	34 4	98	50	33 3	95	50		
10	33 6	50	31 8	95	50	31 6	94	50		
11	35 3	50	32 9	93	50	32 3	92	50		
12	36 0	49	34 9	97	50	33 7	94	50		
13	365	49	33 5	92	50	34.9	96	50		
21	38.9	45	375	96	49	39.6	101	50		
26	40 9	48	41 0	100	49	428	105	50		
30	41 3	48	41 3	100	49	43 4	105	50		
33	42 1	48	43 3	103	49	44 6	106	50		
38	42 2	48	42 4	100	49	44 0	104	50		
42	407	47	419	103	48	42 5	104	50		
50	43 6	47	44 7	102	45	43 3	103	50		
54	43 7	47	416	95	45	42 8	98	50		
58	44 4	47	44 3	100	45	44 1	99	50		
63	43 2	45	44 2	102	42	44 0	102	50		
67	43 2	45	44 0	102	42	42 9	99	48		
71	439	45	451	103	41	438	100	40		
80	43 7	43	43 1	101	38	428	98	44		
84	43 0	39	42 4	99	33	41 5	97	43		
89	41 9	36	41 9	100	33	40 1	96	35		
93	42 6	35	43 6	102	31	40 1	94	25		
98	40 0	29	40 8	102	24	37 1	93	17		
104	398	24	397	100	20	36 6	92	14		
FEMALE										
1	20 8	50	20 7	100	50	20 4	98	50		
2	20 7	50	20 8	100	50	21 5	104	50		
3	21 9 22 A	50	22 G 22 A	100	50	22 2 99 4	101	50		
5	22 6	50	22 6	100	50	23 0	100	50		
6	23 1	50	22 9	99	50	23 6	102	50		
8	23 3	50	24 6	106	50	23 2	100	50		
9	23 8	50	24 6	103	50	24 6	103	50		
10	23 8	50	22.8	96	50	23 8	100	50		
11	250	50	23 5	94	50	24.8	99	50		
13	25.9	50	25 1	97	50	24 3	98	50		
17	25 7	50	26 3	102	50	26 9	105	50		
21	26 8	50	26 6	99	50	27 5	103	50		
26	29 4	50	29 6	101	50	30 0	102	50		
30	29 0	50	29 5	102	50	30 9	107	50		
33	30 2	50	31 9	106	50	33 7	112	50		
38	30.9	50	317	103	49	33 0	109	50		
46	30 4	50	32.3	106	49	35.3	116	50		
50	317	49	34 2	108	49	36 9	116	50		
54	32 8	49	33 5	102	49	37 3	114	49		
58	33 4	49	35 8	107	49	377	113	49		
63	33 8	49	35 7	106	49	39 1	116	49		
67 71	34 4 30 0	49	367	107	48	40 2	117	49		
11 78	000 920	459 A 9	393	100	40 A7	41 / /10	113	45		
80	36 6	47	38 9	106	46	418	114	46		
84	37 0	46	39 1	106	44	40 8	110	42		
89	37 7	46	41 5	110	44	40 6	108	41		
93	40 4	43	43 0	106	44	378	94	38		
98	366	42	38 5	105	42	356	97	32		
104	39 8	41	37 3	104	38	35 1	23	30		

52

TABLE 23. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIESOF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT



FIGURE 9. GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT, IN WATER BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

53 Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331

Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female mice administered malonaldehyde, sodium salt, at the doses used in these studies and for vehicle controls are shown in Table 24 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 10. The survival of the high dose group of male mice was significantly lower than that of the vehicle controls after week 92. No other significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of either sex.

Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of mice with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the skin, pancreatic acinus, and uterus.

Lesions in male mice are summarized in Appendix C. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms are summarized in Table C1. Table C2 gives the survival and tumor status for individual male mice. Table C3 contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Table C3 (footnotes). Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Table C4.

Lesions in female mice are summarized in Appendix D. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms are summarized in Table D1. Table D2 gives the survival and tumor status for individual female mice. Table D3 contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Table D3 (footnotes). Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Table D4.

	Vehicle Control	60 mg/kg	120 mg/kg
MALE (a)	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	<u> </u>	
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	23	28	36
Accidentally killed	3	2	0
Killed at termination	23	19	14
Died during termination period	1	1	0
Survival P values (c)	0.014	0.333	0.017
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	9	11	13
Accidentally killed	0	1	(d) 7
Killed at termination	41	38	30
Survival P values (c)	0.297	0.787	0.368

TABLE 24. SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE,
SODIUM SALT

(a) Terminal-kill period: male--week 104; female--weeks 104-105

(b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the vehicle control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the vehicle controls are in the dosed columns.

(d) During week 94, five high dose female mice in one cage died from drowning because of a malfunction of the waterdispensing system.



FIGURE 10. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT, IN WATER BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331

55

At no site was an increased incidence of neoplasms observed in dosed mice. A significant increase in the incidence of nonneoplastic lesions occurred at the following sites:

Skin: An increased incidence (P < 0.001) of pigmentation loss (listed as pigmentation, NOS, in Table C4) in hair shafts was observed in high dose mice (male: vehicle control, 0/50; low dose, 1/50; high dose, 31/50; female: 2/50; 0/50; 27/50).

Pancreatic Acinus: Atrophy was observed at increased incidences (P < 0.001) in dosed mice (male: vehicle control, 5/43; low dose, 18/43; high dose, 31/45; female: 8/47; 26/50; 41/48).

Uterus: Dilatation was observed at increased incidences (P < 0.001) in dosed female mice (vehicle control, 0/49; low dose, 12/48; high dose, 16/47.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Results of Thirteen-Week Studies Two-Year Studies in Rats Two-Year Studies in Mice Genetic Toxicology Audit Conclusions Malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was studied for potential toxicity and carcinogenicity in male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice. The chemical was administered by gavage in distilled water. Since 1/5 male rats and 5/5 male mice that received 750 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, did not survive to the end of the 14day studies, doses for rats and mice in the 13week studies were set at 0, 30, 60, 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg.

Results of Thirteen-Week Studies

Nine of 10 male rats and 10/10 female rats that received 500 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, did not survive to the end of the 13-week studies. The final mean body weights of male and female rats that received 125 and 250 mg/kg were lower than those of vehicle controls at the end of 13 weeks. The final mean body weight of male rats administered 500 mg/kg was 61% that of vehicle controls. Both male and female rats had compound-related lesions in the stomach and kidney; these lesions occurred primarily in the two highest dose groups. Male rats at the two highest doses also had lesions of the testis. Although degeneration of the testicular germinal epithelium is frequently observed in moribund or debilitated rats, this lesion occurred in three male rats receiving 250 mg/kg, and all animals in this group survived until the end of the study. Thus, the testicular degeneration may have been compound related. The hyperplastic changes observed in the bone marrow and the spleen (hematopoiesis) may be secondary to the acute inflammatory changes associated with necrosis and erosion in the glandular stomach. Lymphoid depletion in the spleen, thymus, and mandibular lymph nodes is frequently observed in moribund or debilitated rats, and it may not be a primary, compound-related lesion.

Three of 10 male mice and 1/10 female mice that received 500 mg/kg died before the end of the 13week studies. The final mean body weights of male and female mice that received 500 mg/kg were lower than those of the vehicle controls. Atrophy of the exocrine pancreas occurred in most mice in the two highest dose groups. Other lesions occurred in the stomach, spleen, and lymph nodes of male mice in the highest dose group and in the testis of the two highest dose groups. Based on these results, the doses of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, selected for the 2-year studies were 50 and 100 mg/kg for rats and 60 and 120 mg/kg for mice, administered in distilled water by gavage, 5 days per week.

Two-Year Studies in Rats

Survival and weight gains were poor in high dose rats. Survival of the high dose groups of male rats (after week 88) and female rats (after week 68) was significantly reduced compared with that of the vehicle controls. A 10% reduction in mean body weight was observed in the high dose groups as early as 33 and 54 weeks. Mean body weights of high dose males and females were 26% and 36% lower than those of vehicle controls at the end of the studies. The final mean body weight of low dose males was 4% lower than that of the vehicle controls, and the survival rate was 8% lower. Mean body weights and survival rates of low dose female rats were similar to those of vehicle controls throughout the study. No compound-related clinical signs were observed.

The incidences of thyroid gland follicular cell adenomas were increased in high dose rats (see Table 12). The occurrence of bilateral follicular cell neoplasms in two high dose male rats is an unusual finding and provides additional support for a compound-related effect. It should also be noted that the incidence of combined adenomas and carcinomas in the male rat vehicle controls (8%) was greater than the historical incidence (1.4%) in water gavage controls in three other studies at Battelle Columbus Laboratories and greater than the overall historical incidence (1.4%) in all untreated controls (Table A4). Follicular cell hyperplasia and ultimobranchial cysts occurred at increased incidences in high dose female rats; the incidences of these nonneoplastic lesions in dosed male rats were not markedly different from those in vehicle controls. Although follicular cell hyperplasia is a lesion that often precedes or is associated with the appearance of thyroid gland follicular cell neoplasms, analyses of thyroid tumor and follicular cell hyperplasia incidences in previous NTP/NCI studies indicate that there is no general pattern of correlation. Among four structurally related chemicals, 4,4'-thiodianiline (NCI, 1978), 4,4'-methylenedianiline dihydrochloride (NTP, 1983), 4,4'-oxydianiline (NCI, 1980), and C.I. Basic Red 9 monohydrochloride (NTP, 1986), which all induced thyroid follicular cell tumors in both male and female rats, there was no consistent correlation between the induction of tumors and an increase in follicular cell hyperplasia. However, most of the positive correlations did occur at the highest doses.

The incidence of pancreatic islet cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in low dose male rats was significantly greater than that in vehicle controls (vehicle control, 1/49; low dose, 9/50; high dose, 1/49). Although the combined incidence of fibromas, fibrosarcomas, or myxosarcomas was slightly increased in low dose male rats (2/50; 7/50; 3/50), it was not significantly different from that in the vehicle controls. Since this increase was observed only at the low dose, with no significant dose-response trend evident, it was not considered to be related to the administration of malonaldehyde, sodium salt.

The incidences of bone marrow hematopoietic hyperplasia in high dose female rats (vehicle control, 2/50; low dose, 4/50; high dose, 11/50) and reticulum cell hyperplasia in low dose female rats (6/50; 19/50; 7/50) were greater than those in the vehicle controls. Hematopoiesis of the spleen occurred at an increased incidence in high dose female rats (1/50; 2/50; 9/50). This increased hematopoiesis in some animals may be related to the inflammation and erosion that occurred in the glandular stomach. Mononuclear cell leukemia in male rats (7/50; 10/50; 11/50) occurred with a significant positive trend by the life table test, but the incidence in dosed males was not significantly different from that in vehicle controls. The historical incidence of leukemia in male water gavage control rats at Battelle Columbus Laboratories and in all untreated controls is 49% and 29%, respectively; both of these values are greater than the 22% incidence for the high dose group in the present studies; therefore, this is probably not a chemically related effect.

Pheochromocytomas of the adrenal gland in male rats occurred with a significant positive trend; the incidence (16%) in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the

vehicle controls by the life table test but not by the more appropriate incidental tumor test. Adenomas or carcinomas (combined) of the anterior pituitary gland in male and female rats occurred with significant negative trends; the incidences in the male and female high dose groups, 16% and 4%, respectively, were significantly lower than those in the vehicle controls. 43% and 37%. The decrease in the incidences of anterior pituitary gland neoplasms in the high dose groups of male and female rats cannot be explained by reduced survival because the decrease is still observed when the incidences in animals of the same age are compared at the end of the studies. The conclusion is that the reduced incidence of anterior pituitary gland neoplasms is chemically related.

Compound-related nonneoplastic lesions were observed in the liver. Cystic degeneration was observed at increased incidences in dosed male rats and high dose female rats (male: vehicle control, 13/50; low dose, 26/50; high dose, 24/50; female: 0/50; 0/50; 5/50). Cytoplasmic vacuolization and bile duct hyperplasia were observed at increased incidences and/or severity in high dose female rats. Bile duct fibrosis was seen at an increased incidence and/or severity in dosed male rats (4/50; 8/50; 28/50).

The dose-related increase in diffuse pancreatic acinar atrophy in male and female rats may be secondary to debilitation, reduced feed consumption, or reduced weight gain in dosed animals.

Inflammation, necrotizing inflammation, and ulcers were observed at increased incidences in the glandular stomach of dosed male rats and high dose female rats. The presence of focal epithelial necrosis, erosion, and ulceration, fibroplasia, scarring, and chronic inflammation suggests a process of repeated injury and healing of the glandular mucosa. Since these lesions occur at the site of compound administration by water gavage, they are probably an indication of chronic malonaldehyde toxicity. Epithelial hyperplasia occurring near the junction of the glandular stomach and forestomach was observed at increased incidences in the forestomach of dosed male rats and high dose female rats. Squamous cell papillomas were observed in one animal in the low and high dose groups of male

59

rats and in the vehicle control and high dose groups of female rats.

Corneal inflammation in high dose male and female rats and retinal atrophy and cataracts of the crystalline lens were observed at increased incidences in dosed male and female rats. These eye lesions occurred in 28%-48% of dosed male and 50%-62% of dosed female rats. Although the cage positions were not changed during the course of the study, the increased incidences of eye lesions in the dosed groups were not related to the rack position of the cages (top row and outside columns of rack vs. inside). When the cages and rack positions of the individual animals with eye lesions were identified (see Figure 2), it was clear that the eye lesions occurred at similar incidences whether the animals were located on the top and outside positions or on the interior positions of the rack; therefore, the increased incidences of eye lesions were considered to be chemically related.

The increased incidences of thyroid follicular cell tumors and of most of the nonneoplastic lesions were observed in high dose rats, groups in which malonaldehyde, sodium salt, toxicity was indicated by reduced survival rates of 59% and 62% and by a reduction of 26% and 36% of final body weights in the high dose male and female rat groups, respectively.

Two-Year Studies in Mice

Malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was administered to mice by gavage at 60 mg/kg and 120 mg/kg for 2 years. No significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of female mice. Survival in all male groups was similar at 89 weeks, but at the end of the study, high dose male mice showed reduced (P=0.017) survival relative to that of the vehicle controls. Mean body weights of dosed and vehicle control male mice were comparable throughout most of the study, but after week 93, the mean body weights of the high dose male group were 6%-8% lower than those of vehicle controls. Mean body weights of dosed female mice were greater than those of the vehicle controls throughout most of the study. The only compound-related clinical sign was the change in hair color from wild agouti to gray in high dose mice.

There was no increase in the incidences of neoplasms at any site in dosed mice. Several nonneoplastic lesions appeared to be compound related. The incidence of depigmentation of hair shafts was markedly increased in high dose male and female mice vs. that in vehicle controls. An increase in the incidences of pancreatic acinar cell atrophy was dose related in male and female mice. Dilatation of the uterus was observed at increased incidences in dosed female mice.

The increase in the number of natural deaths and of animals killed because they were moribund in high dose male mice and the increased incidences of nonneoplastic lesions in dosed mice are indicative of the chronic toxic effects produced by malonaldehyde, sodium salt, at the doses used in these studies. Even though there was poor survival in all groups of males at the end of the study (vehicle control, 24/50; low dose, 20/50; high dose, 14/50), the study was not considered to be inadequate because there was no evidence of even marginal neoplastic lesions seen in the dosed groups of either male or female mice: survival of the male mice was 50% or more in all groups (35/50; 31/50; 25/50) at week 93, after which the rate of deaths accelerated; the mice were housed five per cage, and clinical observations indicated fighting and bite wounds, a relatively common occurrence for group-housed B6C3F₁ mice. (Currently, all mice in NTP 2year studies are housed individually.) Thus, this long-term study, although somewhat reduced in biologic and statistical sensitivity, is considered to be adequate for assessment of carcinogenicity.

Genetic Toxicology

Malonaldehyde, sodium salt, exhibited genetic toxicity in two of five assays sponsored by the NTP (Appendix E). The chemical induced forward mutations in mouse L5178Y lymphoma cells in the absence of an exogenous metabolic activation component; it was not tested with S9 in this assay. In the cytogenetic assays that detect chromosomal damage in cultured Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, malonaldehyde, sodium salt, increased the frequency of sister chromatid exchanges, both in the presence and absence of rat liver S9; no increase in chromosomal aberrations was observed in CHO cells in the presence or absence of rat liver S9. No induction of sex-linked recessive lethal mutations was seen in Drosophila after exposure to malonaldehyde.

Malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was not mutagenic in any of the four Salmonella typhimurium strains (TA98, TA100, TA1535, or TA1538) used routinely in the NTP-sponsored Salmonella/microsome assay. These results confirmed earlier reports by Marnett and Tuttle (1980), who also observed that malonaldehyde, sodium salt, was inactive in these strains. This inactivity can be attributed to the fact that these mutant tester strains are all deficient in excision-repair capability, which has been shown to be a requirement for the expression of malonaldehydeinduced mutagenicity in Salmonella (Mukai and Goldstein, 1976; Marnett and Tuttle, 1980).

Independent studies of the genetic toxicity of malonaldehyde have yielded mixed results. Mukai and Goldstein (1976) were the first to report the mutagenicity of malonaldehyde preparations in Salmonella but only in those tester strains that retained excision-repair capability; the tester strain D3052 was the most sensitive to malonaldehyde. These results were later confirmed by Shamberger et al. (1979). In both of these studies, as in most investigations concerned with malonaldehyde toxicity conducted through 1980, the malonaldehyde preparations were of undefined purity and contained the more reactive intermediates, such as β -ethoxyacrolein, β -methoxyacrolein, and 3.3-dimethoxypropionaldehyde, which along with malonaldehyde

are the products of the acid hydrolysis of the tetraalkoxypropanes (Marnett and Tuttle, 1980). The quantification of malonaldehyde in these hydrolysates was based on the amount of starting material and on the assumption that malonaldehyde was the only end product of the reaction. Thus, interpretation of these earlier studies is confounded because no consideration was given to the mutagenic activity contributed by the active intermediates and no further purification of malonaldehyde from the hydrolysis mixture was performed. Marnett and Tuttle (1980) were the first to report that the reaction intermediates produced by tetraalkoxypropane hydrolysis were more potent Salmonella mutagens than the pure malonaldehyde, sodium salt. These investigators also confirmed that malonaldehyde was mutagenic only in those Salmonella tester strains that retained excision-repair capability.

Audit

The experimental and tabulated data for the NTP Technical Report on malonaldehyde, sodium salt, were examined for accuracy, consistency, and compliance with Good Laboratory Practice requirements. As summarized in Appendix H, the audit revealed no major problems with the conduct of the studies or with collection and documentation of the experimental data. No discrepancies were found that influenced the final interpretation of the results of these studies.

Conclusions

Under the conditions of these 2-year gavage studies, there was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity* for male and female F344/N rats administered malonaldehyde, sodium salt, as shown by the increased incidences of follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) of the thyroid gland. Pancreatic islet cell adenomas were also observed at an increased incidence in low dose male rats. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity for B6C3F₁ mice administered 60 or 120 mg/kg malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in distilled water by gavage 5 days per week for 2 years.

Chemically related increased incidences of nonneoplastic lesions included ulcers and inflammation of the glandular stomach and epithelial hyperplasia of the forestomach; corneal inflammation, retinal atrophy, and cataracts of the crystalline lens; and cystic degeneration of the liver, bile duct fibrosis, and bile duct hyperplasia in rats. Most of these nonneoplastic lesions as well as the thyroid gland follicular cell neoplasms occurred primarily in the high dose rat groups, in which survival and final body weights were reduced in high dose male and female rats. Increased incidences of atrophy of the pancreatic acinus and pigmentation loss in hair shafts were seen in high dose mice.

^{*}Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 9.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on pages 12-13.

V. REFERENCES

63

1. Apaja, M. (1980) Evaluation of toxicity and carcinogenicity of malonaldehyde. An experimental study in Swiss mice. Acta Universitatis Ouluensis, Series D, Medica 55. Anat. Pathol. Microbiol. (Finland) 8:1-61.

2. Armitage, P. (1971) Statistical Methods in Medical Research. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 362-365.

3. Basu, A.K.; Marnett, L.J. (1983) Unequivocal demonstration that malondialdehyde is a mutagen. Carcinogenesis 4:331-333.

4. Berenblum, I., Ed. (1969) Carcinogenicity Testing: A Report of the Panel on Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of UICC, Vol. 2. Geneva: International Union Against Cancer.

5. Bernheim, F.; Bernheim, M.L.C.; Wilbur, K.M. (1948) The reaction between thiobarbituric acid and the oxidation products of certain lipides. J. Biol. Chem. 174:257-264.

6. Bird, R.P.; Draper, H.H. (1980) Effect of malonaldehyde and acetaldehyde on cultured mammalian cells: Growth, morphology, and synthesis of macromolecules. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 6:811-823.

7. Bird, R.P.; Draper, H.H.; Valli, V.E.O. (1982a) Toxicological evaluation of malonaldehyde: A 12-month study of mice. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 10:897-905.

8. Bird, R.P.; Draper, H.H.; Basrur, P.K. (1982b) Effect of malonaldehyde and acetaldehyde on cultured mammalian cells. Production of micronuclei and chromosomal aberrations. Mutat. Res. 101:237-246.

9. Boorman, G.A.; Montgomery, C.A., Jr.; Eustis, S.L.; Wolfe, M.J.; McConnell, E.E.; Hardisty, J.F. (1985) Quality assurance in pathology for rodent carcinogenicity studies. Milman, H.; Weisburger, E., Eds.: Handbook of Carcinogen Testing. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Publications, pp. 345-357.

10. Brooks, B.; Klamerth, O. (1968) Interaction of DNA with bifunctional aldehydes. Eur. J. Biochem. 5:178-182.

11. Chio, K.; Tappel, A. (1969) Inactivation of ribonuclease and other enzymes by peroxidized lipids and by malonaldehyde. Biochemistry 8:2827-2832.

12. Clive, D.; Johnson, K.O.; Spector, J.F.S.; Batson, A.G.; Brown, M.M.M. (1979) Validation and characterization of the $L5178Y/TK^{+/-}$ mouse lymphoma mutagen assay system. Mutat. Res. 59:61-108.

13. Cox, D.R. (1972) Regression models and life tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. B34:187-220.

14. Crawford, D.L.; Sinnhuber, R.O.; Stout, F.M.; Oldfield, J.E.; Kaufmes, J. (1965) Acute toxicity of malonaldehyde. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 7:826-832.

15. Diczfalusy, U.; Falardeau, P.; Hammarstrom, S. (1977) Conversion of prostaglandin endoperoxides to C_{17} -hydroxy acids catalyzed by human platelet thromboxane synthase. FEBS Lett. 84:271-274.

16. Draper, H.H.; McGirr, L.G.; Hadley, M. (1986) The metabolism of malonaldehyde. Lipids 21:305-307.

17. Fischer, S.M.; Ogle, S.; Marnett, L.J.; Nesnow, S.; Slaga, T.J. (1983) The lack of initiating and/or promoting activity of sodium malondialdehyde on SENCAR mouse skin. Cancer Lett. 19:61-66.

18. Galloway, S.M.; Bloom, A.D.; Resnick, M.; Margolin, B.H.; Nakamura, F.; Archer, P.; Zeiger, E. (1985) Development of a standard protocol for in vitro cytogenetic testing with Chinese hamster ovary cells: Comparison of results for 22 compounds in two laboratories. Environ. Mutagen. 7:1-51.

19. Gart, J.J.; Chu, K.C.; Tarone, R.E. (1979) Statistical issues in interpretation of chronic bioassay tests for carcinogenicity. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 62:957-974.

20. Goutefongea, R.; Cassens, R.; Woolford, G. (1977) Distribution of sodium nitrite in adipose tissue during curing. J. Food Sci. 42:1637-1641.

21. Hamberg, M.; Samuelsson, B. (1967) Oxygenation of unsaturated fatty acids by the vesicular gland of sheep. J. Biol. Chem. 242:5344-5354.

22. Haseman, J.K. (1984) Statistical issues in the design, analysis and interpretation of animal carcinogenicity studies. Environ. Health Perspect. 58:385-392.

23. Haseman, J.K.; Huff, J.; Boorman, G.A. (1984) Use of historical control data in carcinogenicity studies in rodents. Toxicol. Pathol. 12:126-135.

24. Haseman, J.K.; Huff, J.; Rao, G.N.; Arnold, J.; Boorman, G.A.; McConnell, E.E. (1985) Neoplasms observed in untreated and corn oil gavage control groups of F344/N rats and (C57BL/6N \times C3H/HeN)F₁ (B6C3F₁) mice. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 75:975-984.

25. Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Mortelmans, K.; Speck, W.; Zeiger, E. (1983) Salmonella mutagenicity test results for 250 chemicals. Environ. Mutagen. Suppl. 1:3-142.

26. Huttel, R. (1941) Uber Malondialdehyd, I. Mitteilung. Chem. Ber. 74:1825-1829.

27. Kaplan, E.L.; Meier, P. (1958) Nonparametric estimation of incomplete observations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 53:457-481.

28. Kikugawa, K.; Tsukuda, K.; Kurechi, T. (1980) Studies on peroxidized lipids. I. Interaction of malondialdehyde with secondary amines and its relevance to nitrosamine formation. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 28:3323-3331.

29. Linhart, M.S.; Cooper, J.; Martin, R.L.; Page, N.; Peters, J. (1974) Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System. Comput. Biomed. Res. 7:230-248.

30. Mantel, N.; Haenszel, W. (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 22:719-748.

31. Margolin, B.H.; Collings, B.J.; Mason, J.M. (1983) Statistical analysis and sample-size determinations for mutagenicity experiments with binomial responses. Environ. Mutagen. 5:705-716. 32. Marnett, L.J.; Tuttle, M.A. (1980) Comparison of the mutagenicities of malondialdehyde and the side products formed during its chemical synthesis. Cancer Res. 40:276-282.

33. Marnett, L.J.; Hurd, H.K.; Hollstein, M.C.; Levin, D.E.; Esterbauer, H.; Ames, B.N. (1985) Naturally occurring carbonyl compounds are mutagens in Salmonella tester strain TA104. Mutat. Res. 148:25-34.

34. Maronpot, R.R.; Boorman, G.A. (1982) Interpretation of rodent hepatocellular proliferative alterations and hepatocellular tumors in chemical safety assessment. Toxicol. Pathol. 10:71-80.

35. Mashio, F.; Kimura, J. (1960) Spectrophotometric determination of malonaldehyde in aqueous solution. Nippon Kagaku Zasshi 81:434-437.

36. McConnell, E.E.; Solleveld, H.A.; Swenberg, J.A.; Boorman, G.A. (1986) Guidelines for combining neoplasms for evaluation of rodent carcinogenesis studies. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 76:283-289.

37. Mortelmans, K.; Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Speck, W.; Tainer, B.; Zeiger, E. (1986) Salmonella mutagenicity tests. II. Results from the testing of 270 chemicals. Environ. Mutagen. 8(Suppl. 7):1-119.

38. Mukai, F.H.; Goldstein, B.D. (1976) Mutagenicity of malonaldehyde, a decomposition product of peroxidized polyunsaturated fatty acids. Science 191:868-869.

39. Myhr, B.; Bowers, L.; Caspary, W.J. (1985) Assays for the induction of gene mutations at the thymidine kinase locus in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells in culture. Prog. Mutat. Res. 5:555-568.

40. National Academy of Sciences (NAS) (1981) The Health Effects of Nitrate, Nitrite, and N-Nitroso Compounds. Part 1 of a 2-Part Study by the Committee on Nitrite and Alternative Curing Agents in Food. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. 544 p. 41. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1976) Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small Rodents. NCI Technical Report No. 1. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health. 65 p.

42. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1978) Bioassay of 4,4'-Thiodianiline for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 47. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health. 106 p.

43. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1980) Bioassay of 4,4'-Oxydianiline for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 205. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

44. National Institutes of Health (NIH) (1978) Open Formula Rat and Mouse Ration (NIH-07). Specification NIH-11-1335. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.

45. National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1983) Carcinogenesis Studies of 4,4'-Methylenedianiline Dihydrochloride in F344/N Rats and B6C3F₁ Mice. NTP Technical Report No. 248. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health. 182 p.

46. National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1986) Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of C.I. Basic Red 9 Monohydrochloride in F344/N Rats and B6C3F₁ Mice. NTP Technical Report No. 285. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health. 228 p.

47. Reiss, U.; Tappel, A.L.; Chio, K.S. (1972) DNA-malonaldehyde reaction: Formation of fluorescent products. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 48:921-926. 48. Shamberger, R.J.; Andreone, T.L.; Willis, C.E. (1974) Antioxidants and cancer. IV. Initiating activity of malonaldehyde as a carcinogen. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 53:1771-1773.

49. Shamberger, R.J.; Shamberger, B.A.; Willis, C.E. (1977) Malonaldehyde content of food. J. Nutr. 107:1404-1409.

50. Shamberger, R.J.; Corlett, C.L.; Beaman, K.D.; Kasten, B.L. (1979) Antioxidants reduce the mutagenic effect of malonaldehyde and β -propiolactone. Mutat. Res. 66:349-355.

51. Shin, B.; Huggins, J.; Carraway, K. (1972) Effects of pH, concentration and aging on the malonaldehyde reaction with proteins. Lipids 7:229-233.

52. Siu, G.M.; Draper, H.H. (1978) A survey of the malonaldehyde content of retail meats and fish. J. Food Sci. 43:1147-1149.

53. Siu, G.M.; Draper, H.H. (1982) Metabolism of malonaldehyde in vivo and in vitro. Lipids 17:349-355.

54. Szabad, J.; Soos, I.; Polgar, G.; Hejja, G. (1983) Testing the mutagenicity of malondialdehyde and formaldehyde by the Drosophila mosaic and the sex-linked recessive lethal tests. Mutat. Res. 113:117-133.

55. Tarone, R.E. (1975) Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682.

56. Van Duuren, B.; Nelson, N.; Orris, L.; Palmes, E.; Schmitt, F. (1963) Carcinogenicity of epoxides, lactones and peroxy compounds. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 31:41-55.

57. Woodruff, R.; Mason, J.; Valencia, R.; Zimmering, S. (1985) Chemical mutagenesis testing in Drosophila: V. Results of 53 coded compounds tested for the National Toxicology Program. Environ. Mutagen. 7:677-702.

58. Yau, T.M. (1979) Mutagenicity and cytotoxicity of malonaldehyde in mammalian cells. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development. 11:137-144. 59. Yonei, S.; Furui, H. (1981) Lethal and mutagenic effects of malondialdehyde, a decomposition product of peroxidized lipids, on *Escherichia coli* with different DNA-repair capacities. Mutat. Res. 88:23-32. 60. Zimmering, S.; Mason, J.M.; Valencia, R.; Woodruff, R.C. (1985) Chemical mutagenesis testing in *Drosophila*. II. Results of 20 coded compounds tested for the National Toxicology Program. Environ. Mutagen. 7:87-100.

Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF

MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

TABLE A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	71
TABLE A2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	74
TABLE A3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	80
TABLE A4a	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	85
TABLE A4b	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ADRENAL GLAND CORTICAL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	86
TABLE A4c	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ADRENAL GLAND MEDULLARY TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	87
TABLE A4d	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF LEUKEMIA IN MALE F344/N RATS	88
TABLE A4e	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PANCREATIC ISLET CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	89
TABLE A4f	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	90
TABLE A4g	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS	91
TABLE A5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	92

PAGE
TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

v	ehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50	<u></u>	50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	7 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Papılloma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Squamous cell papilloma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Squamous cell carcinoma					1	(2%)
Sebaceous adenoma		(0.21)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Sebaceous adenocarcinoma	1	(2%)	0	(00)	0	(00)
Keratoacanthoma	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	3	(6%)
"Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(00)	(50)	(1901)	(50)	(401)
r loroma Fibrosorome	1	(2%)	0	(12%)	2	(4%)
Muxosarcoma	1	(20%)	1	(270)	1	(2%)
Myxosarcoma	1	(270)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	(m
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic				(0~)	1	(2%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	_		1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	7	(14%)	10	(20%)	11	(22%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM None						<u> </u>
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papılloma					1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Neoplastic nodule	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	2	(4%)	(50)		2	(4%)
#Pancreas	(49)	(90)	(50)		(49)	
#Forestomach	(50)	(270)	(49)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	(00)		1	(2%)	1	(2%)
IRINARY SYSTEM				<u> </u>		
#Kidnev	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Tubular cell adenocarcinome	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
#Urinary bladder	(47)		(46)		(49)	
Transitional cell papilloma	1	(2%)			/	
NDOCRINE SYSTEM		·····				
#Anterior pituitary	(47)		(49)		(49)	
Carcinoma, NOS	,		(10)		1	(2%)
Adenoma, NOS	20	(43%)	14	(29%)	7	(14%)
#Adrenal	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cortical adenoma			1	(2%)	3	(6%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Pheochromocytoma	5	(10%)	6	(12%)	8	(16%)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)			<u></u>			
#Thyroid	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Follicular cell adenoma	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	9	(18%)
Follicular cell carcinoma	1	(2%)	5	(10%)	5	(10%)
C-cell adenoma	10	(20%)	11	(22%)	2	(4%)
C-cell carcinoma	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	(10)	
#Parathyroid	(42)	(501)	(37)	(1107)	(42)	(90)
#Pancreatic islats	ے (10)	(3%)	(50)	(11%)	(49)	(2%)
Islet cell adenoma	(43)		(00) 9	(18%)	(43)	(2%)
Islet cell carcinoma	1	(2%)	Ū	(10,0)	•	(2,0)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibroadenoma	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)		
Adenocarcinoma, NOS		10.00	1	(2%)		
Sebaceous adenoma	1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
# resus	(50)	(80%)	(50)	(00%)	(50)	(79%)
*Endidymis	40 (50)	(80%)	(50)	(90%)	(50)	(1270)
Leiomyosarcoma	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
NERVOUS SYSTEM		·····	····			
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive					1	(2%)
Choroid plexus papilloma	1	(2%)				
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS None						
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None						
BODY CAVITIES				······		
*Tunica vaginalis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mesothelioma, NOS	2	(4%)			2	(4%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS						
*Multiple organs	(50)	(4.04)	(50)		(50)	(00)
Mesothelioma, NOS	2	(4%)			3	(6%)
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY		_				
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Natural death	2		10		19	
Moribund sacrifice	11		7		15	
Dosing accident	37		00		10	
Soome accident					1	

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	High Dose
TUMOR SUMMARY			
Total animals with primary tumors**	49	49	47
Total primary tumors	116	132	108
Total animals with benign tumors	47	48	46
Total benign tumors	95	107	77
Total animals with malignant tumors	16	19	22
Total malignant tumors	16	23	25
Total animals with secondary tumors##			2
Total secondary tumors			2
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant	5	2	6
Total uncertain tumors	5	2	6

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically

** Primary tumors all tumors except secondary tumors # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Secondary tumors metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: VEHICLE CONTROL

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 0	0 3 3	0 2 8	0 1 4	0 3 1	0 3 7	0 1 2	0 0 2	0 0 4	0 2 9	0 3 2	0 1 5	0 4 7	0 0 1	0 0 3	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 1	0 1 3	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 5 5	0 6 0	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 0	0 8 0	0 8 5	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 5	0 9 8	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Papilloma, NOS Squamous cell papilloma Sebaceous adenocarcinoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Murace and	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	++	N N	++	N N	+	+	++	++	++	++	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Trachea	+++	+++	++	+ +	 + +	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+ + - +	+ + +	++++++	+++++	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++-	++-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	++++++	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	++++-	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
Hepatoceliniar carcinoma Bile duct Pancreas Actuar cell adenoma Esophagus Stomach	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++++++	+ + + +	+++++	++-+-	+ - + + -	++++-	+++++	++++-	++++-	+++++	++++-	++++-	++++	++++-	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++	++++-	+++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ 	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Urinary bladder Transitional cell papilloma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Ptutary Adenoma, NOS Adrenai Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follienlar cell adenoma Follienlar cell adenoma	+ + *	+ + +	 + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	* + +	+ + *	- + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + X +	+ X + +	+ + +	* + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
C cell denoma C cell carcinoma Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS Pancreatic islets Islet cell carcinoma	+	+ +	- +	+ +	+ +	- +	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	- +	+ +	X + +	x +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadeanoma Testis Interstitual cell tumor	+++	N +	+ + x	+ +	N +	N + X	N + X	+ + X	N + X	+ + X	N +	N + X	N +	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + X	+ + X	N + X	+ X + X	N + X	+ + X
Prostate Preputral/clitoral gland Adanoma, NOS Sebaceous adenoma	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	N	+ N	n N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N X	+ N	n N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N X	+ N	+ N	+ N
Leiomyosarcoma NERVOUS SYSTEM	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N 	N	N	N	N	IN	N	N	N 	IN	IN	N	IN	N	N	IN	N	IN
Brain Choroid plexus papilloma BODY CLUTTE	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multuple organs, NOS Mesothehoma, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N

Tissue examined microscopically
 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 Tumor incidence
 Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 Animal missexed

No tissue information submitted C Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A Autolysis A Animal missing B No necropsy performed

74

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 6	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{array} $	0 3 0	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 4	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 8	0 4 9	0 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES								
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Papilloma, NOS Squamous cell papilloma Sebaceous adenocarcinoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	x +	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	1 1 3 *50
Fibroma Myxosarcoma								X																		1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Trachea	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	50 50							
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Lymph nodes Thymus	+	+	+++	+	++	+	++	++	+	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	++	+++	+	+	46 37
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule	+++	+ +	, +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	49 50 1
Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Pancreas Acinar cell adenoma	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + + x	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	2 50 49							
Esophagus Stomach	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++++	++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	49 50
Large intestine	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder Transitional cell papilloma	+	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 47 1
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+ x	_	+	+ X	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	* X	* X	* x	+	+	+	* x	+	* x	+	+	*	* X	+	47 20
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	×	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	50 5 50
Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma C cell adenoma C cell adenoma			x							x		x		x	x	x			X	x	•				x	3 1 10 2
Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	-	+	+	~	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	42 2
Pancreatic islets Islet cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	49 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	+	+	+	* X	N	N	+	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Interstitial cell tumor	x x	+	x x	x x	X X	x ×	x x	x x	X X	x x	x x	x x	X ×	x x	x x	×	x x	x ±	x -	+	x ±	x ×	+	+	x ±	50 40
Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Sebareous adenoma	N	Ņ	Ń	Ņ	Ņ	Ń	Ņ	N	Ń	Ń	Ń	Ń	Ņ	Ņ	N X	Ņ	Ń	Ņ	N X	Ņ	Ņ	Ņ	Ņ	Ņ	Ń	*50
Epididymis Leiomyosarcoma	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	*50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Choroid plexus papilloma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2 7
	·																									

* Animals necropsied

TABLE A2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR O	GAVAGE
	STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: LOW DOSE	

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 3	0 2 8	0 1 6	0 4 5	0 1 0	0 0 2	0 3 2	0 3 8	0 0 9	0 0 3	0 2 1	0 3 3	0 4 3	${0 \\ 2 \\ 2}$	0 2 5	0 2 9	0 3 9	0 0 1	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 1 1	0 1 2
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 9	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 3	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\3\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\4\end{array}$	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\4\end{array}$	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skun Squamous cell papilloma Sebaceous adenoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tassue	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	* *	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	++	+	+
Fibroma Fibrosarcoma							x								X									x	
Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+ 	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ +	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + - +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + -	+++++	+ + + +	++++-	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++-	++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + - +	+ + + -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	+++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ ++++ ++	+++++-++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++++-+	-+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	* + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+	+++	++++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Folincular cell adenoma Folincular cell acronoma C cell adenoma C cell acerinoma Parathyroid Adenoma NOS	+ + X +	- + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + X +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ X + +	+ + + x	+ + + X +	+ + + X	+ + +	+ + -	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ X + X + X - X	+ + X + X -	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X + X -	++++++
Informatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
REFRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Testis Interstital cell tumor Prostate Preputal/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N + X + N	N + X - N	N + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	N + + N	+ + X + N	N + + N	N + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	N + + N	+ + X + N	N + X + N	+ + X + N	N +X +N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	N + + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	N + X + N	N + X + N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER 0 4 9 0 5 0 0 1 5 0 3 5 0 3 6 0 3 7 0 4 8 0 4 6 20 18 27 34 4 4 47 $\frac{1}{3}$ 1 17 1 9 31 $^{2}_{6}$ 4 42 24 3 TOTAL WEEKS ON STUDY 1 0 4 \mathbf{T} 1 0 4 1 0 4 1 0 4 1 0 4 1 0 4 1 0 4 1 0 4 1 0 4 1 0 4 1 0 4 04 04 04 04 0 4 04 04 0 4 04 0 4 TUMORS ô 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Sebaceous adenoma *50 + + ŧ 1 X ī Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma 3 *50 6 1 * x + x⁺ Fibrosarcoma RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma 50 + $\frac{1}{47}$ Trachea + + + + + + + + + + HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus 50 50 45 35 4 ++++ ++++ ++++ +++-++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ +++-+ + + ++++ +++++ ++-+ +++-+++ ++++ + - + +++ ++++ ; -+ ++ ++ ++++ ++++ 4 CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart 50 + + + + + + + ÷ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach 47 50 2 50 50 50 49 +++ +++ +++ ++ + X + + + X + + + + + ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ +++ ++ + + ++++ ++ +++ ++++ ++ ++ +++ ++++ +++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ + + + X + Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine 1 46 48 ++ ++ ++ + URINARY SYSTEM 50 46 Kidney Urinary bladder +++ +++ + +++ + +++ +++ +++ +++ ++ ++ +++++ +++ ++++ ++++ +++ ++ +++ +++ ++ ++ ENDOCRINE SYSTEM ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C cell carcinoma C cell carcinoma Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma 49 + + + + X + + + X + + X + + X + + ÷ +++ + X + x × 14 50 X + X X + + + + . . . + 1 49 3 5 11 X X + + X Х X X X X + X х X х х х X 4 37 + + + + + X 4 50 X + + + x * * x + * + * \mathbf{x}^+ 9 х REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma + Ν + + N N + N Ν + X Ν Ν + N N + N + N *50 + + + + 1 50 45 48 *50 , X **x** + X + N + X + N Testis + X + N + X + N + X + N + X + N + X + N + + X + N + X + N + X + N + X + N + X + N + X + N , X * X + X + N $\overset{+}{\mathbf{x}}$ x x Testis Interstitual cell tumor Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS + X + N X + X + N + + + N + + N + N + N + N + N Ň Ň N 1 1 x NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain + + + + + + + 50 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Leukemia, mononuclear cell Ν N N *50 10 х х

77

* Animals necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 4	0 3 4	0 0 7	0 1 0	0 4 1	0 4 8	0 1 2	0 3 2	0 3 8	0 0 6	0 0 8	0 4 5	0 4 7	0 2 5	0 2 1	0 1 4	0 3 5	0 1 9	0 0 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 3 9	0 4 3	0 2 8	0 1 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 3 9	0 4 3	0 6 2	0 7 0	0 7 6	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 9	0 7 9	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 9 0	0 9 3
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma	N	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N
Sebaceous adenoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	N	+	л +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	x + x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	N
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+ X +	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++-+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++	+ + - +	+ + - +	+ + +	+++++++	+ + + +	++++	+++++++	+++++	++++++	+++-+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	++++++	+++++++	++++	++++-	++	+ + + +	++++++	++++++	+ + + -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland	N +	N 	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N + +	N + +	N +	N +	N +	N + +	N +	N +	N + +	N + +	N + +
Neoplastic nodule Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ +++ ++	+ + + + - +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + − + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + - +	++++ ++++-+	++++	+++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ ++ + + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urnary bladder	++++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+	++++++	+++	+++	+++	 + +	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Adenoma	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+ X +
Cortral adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma	+	х +	+	+	X +	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+ X X	+	+ X	x + x	+	+ X	x + x	+	+	+	+	+	x +
C cell adenoma Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	+ +	 +	+ -	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	 +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testus Interstitual cell tumor Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	+ + X +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + X +	N + X +	+ + X +	N + +	N + X +	+ + X +	N + X +	+ + X +	N + +	N + X +	N + +	N + X +	N + +	N + X +	N + X +	N + X +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES Tunca vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X X	N

TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: HIGH DOSE

TABLE A2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	MALE	RATS:	HIGH	DOSE
				(Continued	1)				

ANIMAL NUMBER	050	0 0 3	0	0 4 2	0 2 7	0 3 6	0 3 0	0 2 6	0 2 0	0 2 9	0 0 1	002	0 0	0 1 1	0 1 8	$\frac{0}{2}$	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 3 7	0 4 0	0 4 4	0 4 6	0 4 9	
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 2	1 0 2	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TOTAL. TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2 1
Sebaceous adenoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+ X	+	X + X	+	1 3 *50 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachee	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 2 49
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			+	т 				· · ·	+	+	т		T			т —		- T		т —	т		т 	т 		45
Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Spleen	1 +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Lymph nodes Thymus	++	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+		39
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	1 49
Liver Neoplastic nodule	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
Hepatocellular carcinoma	Ι.												X			X										2
Pancreas	1 #	- -	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- -	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	- +	+	+	+	+	49
Esophagus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Stomach	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	++++	+ +	- +	+ +	- +	+ +	+ +	~ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	А + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	40 47
																										J
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS		X	·	·	•			·				·							x	x		X			x	1 7
Adrenal Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 3
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	X +	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	X +	X +	+	+	8 50
Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma								X					X					x	X	X				X		9
C cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+		х +	Х +	+	+	+	42
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	х + Х	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	N	+	+	+	N	+	N	+	+	N	N	N	+	+	N	+	N	N	N	+	N	N	+	+	+	*50
Testis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	X +	+	X +	х —	X +	Х +	Х +	х +	X +	X +	X +	Х +	X +	X +	Х +	Х +	X +	+	36 49							
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	50 1
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothehoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 3 1
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	X	X		х					X	x																11

* Animals necropsied

TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
Skin: Keratoacanthoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	81%	91%	15 4%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/37 (8%)	3/33 (9%)	2/15(13%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	81
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.230	P = 0.610	P = 0.299
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.271	P = 0.610	P = 0.355
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.583		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.661	P = 0.661
Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carc	inoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	27%	2.3%	15 2%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/37 (3%)	0/33 (0%)	2/15 (13%)
Week of First Observation	104	88	70
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.081	P = 0.756	P = 0.107
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.163	P = 0.719	P = 0.151
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.202	D 0 550	D 0 000
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.753	P = 0.309
Skin: Papilloma or Squamous Cell Papil	loma or Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5 4%	2 3%	15 2%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/37 (5%)	0/33 (0%)	2/15 (13%)
Week of First Observation	104	88	70
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.188	P = 0.518N	P = 0.200
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.304	P = 0.555N	P = 0.261
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.399	P = 0.500 N	P = 0500
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	6/50 (12%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	27%	17.5%	13 3%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/37 (3%)	5/33(15%)	2/15(13%)
Week of First Observation	104	103	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.092	P = 0.044	P = 0.205
Cashnan Amustana Turud Tast (1)	P = 0.154	P = 0.066	P = 0.205
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.417	D 0.050	D 0 500
Fisher Exact Lest (d)		P = 0.026	P = 0.500
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibros	arcoma	7/50 (14 %)	0/50 (00)
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	1/50(14%)	3/50 (6%)
Torminal Pates (a)	2 170 1/97 (901)	194%	10 4%
Week of First Observation	1/37 (3%)	0/33(10%) 99	2/13(13%)
L fo Toble Tosta (d)	104	00 = 0.096	$\frac{61}{105}$
Incidental Tumor Tosta (d)	P = 0.051 P = 0.126	P = 0.026	P = 0 105 P = 0 120
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.130	P = 0.035	P=0 139
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r -0 203	$P = 0 \ 030$	P = 0.309
Subcutaneous Tissue, Fibroma Fibrosa	coma or Muyosanaama		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (10%)	7/50 (14%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (h)	1 7%	10 (14/0)	15 4%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/37 (30)	10 40 5/33 (15 <i>0</i> 4)	9/15 (1304)
Week of First Observation	60	9/99(10%) 88	2/10(1070) 81
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.194	00 P - 0 070	01 P=0.965
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	r = 0.124 D = 0.909	r - 0 070 P-0.089	P = 0.396
Cachran Armitage Trend Test (d)	D = 0.497	1 -0 000	r - V 030
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0 +21	P = 0.080	P = 0.500
· monte france (could)		1 - 0 000	1 -0 000

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cel	l Leukemia	···	
Overall Rates (a)	7/50 (14%)	10/50 (20%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.2%	23.7%	34.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/37 (3%)	3/33 (9%)	0/15(0%)
Week of First Observation	55	74	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.039	P = 0.306	P = 0.067
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.245N	P = 0.503	P = 0.272N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.185		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.298	P = 0.218
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule or Hepatocellu	lar Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	81%	6.1%	20.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/37 (8%)	2/33 (6%)	3/15 (20%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.216	P = 0.552N	P = 0.233
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.216	P = 0.552N	P = 0.233
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.588		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500 N	P = 0.661 N
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	20/47 (43%)	14/49 (29%)	7/49 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	50.8%	38.3%	30.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	16/35 (46%)	11/33 (33%)	3/15 (20%)
Week of First Observation	80	93	84
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.175N	P = 0.189N	P = 0.242N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.010N	P = 0.080N	P = 0.016N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.002N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.112N	P = 0.002N
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	20/47 (43%)	14/49 (29%)	8/49 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	50.8%	38.3%	36.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	16/35 (46%)	11/33 (33%)	4/15 (27%)
Week of First Observation	80	93	84
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.264N	P = 0.189N	P = 0.357 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.022N	P = 0.080N	P=0.039N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.003 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.112N	P = 0.005 N
Adrenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0 0%	3.0%	8.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/37 (0%)	1/33 (3%)	0/15 (0%)
Week of First Observation		104	43
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.028	P = 0.477	P = 0.085
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.203	P = 0.477	P = 0.500
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.060		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.121
Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma			0/20/10/20
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	6/50 (12%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	13.5%	16.8%	40.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/37 (14%)	5/33 (15%)	5/15 (33%)
Week of First Observation	104	74	76
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.014	P=0.430	P = 0.016
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.048	P=0.427	P = 0.055
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.226		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.277

TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF
MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma		······	
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	3/49 (6%)	9/50 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7 3%	91%	34 4%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/37 (5%)	3/33 (9%)	3/15 (20%)
Week of First Observation	55	104	81
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.003	P = 0.618	P = 0.007
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.026	P = 0.618	P = 0.068
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.034		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.651	P = 0.061
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	5/49 (10%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	21%	13 3%	198%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/37 (0%)	3/33 (9%)	2/15 (13%)
Week of First Observation	79	87	79
Life Table Tests (d)	$P = 0 \ 019$	P = 0.099	P = 0.041
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.105	P = 0.109	P = 0.116
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0 090		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.098	P = 0.102
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	8/49 (16%)	13/50 (26%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9 2%	22 0%	481%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/37 (5%)	6/33 (18%)	5/15 (33%)
Week of First Observation	55	87	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0 001	P = 0.154	P<0 001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.008	P = 0.168	P = 0.015
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	$P = 0 \ 011$	P = 0.168	P = 0.016
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	11/49 (22%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	27 0%	32 0%	13 3%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/37 (27%)	10/33 (30%)	2/15(13%)
Week of First Observation	104	97	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.296N	P = 0.388	P = 0.245N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.231 N	P = 0440	P = 0.245N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.019N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0 479	P = 0.014N
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	4/49 (8%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5 4%	11 5%	0 0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/37 (5%)	3/33 (9%)	0/15(0%)
Week of First Observation	104	98	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0 493N	P = 0.295	P = 0.452N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.353N	P = 0.377	P = 0.452N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.223 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.329	P = 0.247 N
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinon	na		
Overall Rates (a)	11/50 (22%)	13/49 (27%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	29 7%	36 7%	13 3%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/37 (30%)	11/33 (33%)	2/15(13%)
Week of First Observation	104	97	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.274N	P = 0.296	P = 0.191 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.161 N	P = 0.389	P = 0.191 N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.013N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.385	P = 0.007 N

TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OFMALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
Parathyroid: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/42 (5%)	4/37 (11%)	1/42 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	61%	11 6%	7 7%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/33 (6%)	2/26 (8%)	1/13 (8%)
Week of First Observation	104	79	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.495	P = 0.275	P = 0.676
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.566N	P = 0.266	P = 0.676
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.408N	. 0100	
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.279	P = 0.500 N
Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/49 (0%)	9/50 (18%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0 0%	26 1%	67%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/37 (0%)	8/33 (24%)	1/15(7%)
Week of First Observation		97	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.092	P = 0.002	P = 0.320
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.138	P = 0.002	P = 0.320
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0 420		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		$P = 0 \ 001$	P = 0500
Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma or (Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/49 (2%)	9/50 (18%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.7%	26 1%	6 7%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/37 (3%)	8/33 (24%)	1/15 (7%)
Week of First Observation	104	97	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.169	P = 0.006	P = 0.548
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.235	$P = 0\ 009$	P = 0.548
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.576	P=0 009	P = 0.753
Preputial Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/50(2%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.9%	3.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/37 (5%)	1/33 (3%)	0/15(0%)
Week of First Observation	98	104	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.147N	P = 0.337N	P = 0.293 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.065N	P = 0.224N	P = 0.103N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.060 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0 309N	P = 0.121 N
Preputial Gland: Adenoma or Sebaceous	Adenoma		
Överall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10 5%	30%	0 0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/37 (8%)	1/33 (3%)	0/15(0%)
Week of First Observation	98	104	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.081 N	P = 0.210N	P = 0.213N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.035N	P = 0.131N	P = 0.077 N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.026N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.181N	P = 0.059 N
Preputial Gland: Adenoma, Sebaceous Ad	enoma, or Adenocarcinor	na	
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10 5%	61%	0 0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/37 (8%)	2/33 (6%)	0/15(0%)
	00	104	
Week of First Observation	98	104	
Week of Fırst Observation Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.127N	P = 0.382N	P = 0.213 N
Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	98 P=0 127N P=0 065N	P = 0.382N P = 0.285N	P = 0 213N P = 0 077N
Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0 127N P = 0 065N P = 0 037N	P = 0.382N P = 0.285N	P = 0 213N P = 0 077N

TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OFMALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

Testis: Interstitial Cell Tumor Overall Rates (a) 40/50 (80%) 45/50 (90%) 36/50 (72%) Adjusted Rates (b) 90.8% 95.7% 97.1% 97.1% Terminal Rates (c) 33/37 (89%) 31/33 (94%) 14/15 (93%) Week of First Observation 79 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) F =0.278 P =0.072 P =0.275 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) I = 0.188N P =0.131 P =0.2275 Fisher Exact Test (d) 10.3% 0.0% 27.2% Overall Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 0/50 (0%) 5/50 (10%) Adjusted Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 0/33 (0%) 3/15 (20%) Week of First Observation 89 90 10 10.3% Locdental Tumor Tests (d) P =0.127 P =0.085N P =0.111 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P =0.304 P =0.085N P =0.317 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P =0.417 P =0.059N P =0.500 Adjusted Rates (a) 47/50 (94%) 48/50 (96%) 46/50 (92%)		Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Testis: Interstitial Cell Tumor		·····	
$\begin{array}{cccc} Adjusted Rates (b) & 90.8\% & 95.7\% & 97.1\% \\ Termunal Rates (c) & 33/37 (89\%) & 31/33 (94\%) & 14/15 (93\%) \\ Week of First Observation & 79 & 74 & 70 \\ Life Table Tests (d) & P < 0.001 & P = 0.076 & P < 0.001 \\ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) & F = 0.278 & P = 0.072 & P = 0.275 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & F = 0.278 & P = 0.072 & P = 0.275 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & F = 0.278 & P = 0.072 & P = 0.275 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & F = 0.278 & P = 0.072 & P = 0.275 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & F = 0.188 & P = 0.131 & P = 0.242 \\ \hline All Sites: Mesothelioma & 0/50 (0\%) & 5/50 (10\%) & 3/15 (20\%) \\ Adjusted Rates (b) & 10.3\% & 0.0\% & 27.2\% & 90 \\ Terminal Rates (c) & 3/37 (8\%) & 0/33 (0\%) & 3/15 (20\%) & 90 \\ Uife Table Tests (d) & P = 0.127 & P = 0.075 & P = 0.111 \\ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) & P = 0.304 & P = 0.085 & P = 0.317 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & P = 0.304 & P = 0.085 & P = 0.317 \\ Fisher Exact Test (d) & P = 0.417 & P = 0.075 & N = 0.111 \\ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) & P = 0.417 & P = 0.075 & N = 0.000 \\ \hline All Sites: Benign Tumors & 2/33 (97\%) & 3/2/3 (97\%) & 15/15 (100\%) \\ Terminal Rates (c) & 36/37 (97\%) & 32/33 (97\%) & 15/15 (100\%) \\ Terminal Rates (c) & 36/37 (97\%) & 32/33 (97\%) & 15/15 (100\%) \\ Terminal Rates (c) & 36/37 (97\%) & 32/33 (97\%) & 15/15 (100\%) \\ Terminal Rates (c) & 36/37 (27\%) & P = 0.433 & P = 0.408 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & P = 0.335 & P = 0.433 & P = 0.408 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & P = 0.005 & P = 0.005 \\ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) & P = 0.003 & P = 0.305 & P = 0.008 \\ All Sites: Malignant Tumors & 0 \\ Overall Rates (b) & 34.5\% & 44.1\% & 68.6\% \\ Terminal Rates (c) & 86/37 (22\%) & 10/33 (30\%) & 7/15 (47\%) \\ Week of Frst Observation & 55 & 74 & 70 \\ Life Table Tests (d) & P = 0.032 & P = 0.399 & P = 0.321 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & P = 0.228 & P = 0.399 & P = 0.321 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & P = 0.200 & P = 0.328 & P = 0.151 \\ \hline All Sites: All Tumor S & 96.0\% & 98.0\% & 90.0\% \\ Terminal Rates (c) & 36/37 (97\%) & 32$	Overall Rates (a)	40/50 (80%)	45/50 (90%)	36/50 (72%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Adjusted Rates (b)	90.8%	95.7%	97.1%
Week of Pirst Observation 79 74 70 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.076$ $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.072$ $P = 0.275$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $F = 0.278$ $P = 0.072$ $P = 0.275$ $P = 0.131$ $P = 0.242N$ All Sites: Mesothelioma $P = 0.131$ $P = 0.242N$ $P = 0.336$ $P = 0.275$ Adjusted Rates (a) 450 (3%) 0.50 (0%) 550 (10%) $Adjusted Rates (a)$ $Adjusted Rates (b)$ 10.3% 0.0% 27.2% Adjusted Rates (b) 10.3% 0.0% 27.2% 90 90 111 10.3% 0.0% 27.2% 90 116 Table Tests (d) $P = 0.127$ $P = 0.075N$ $P = 0.317$ $P = 0.075N$ $P = 0.317$ $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.304$ $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.304$ $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.500$ All Sites: Benign Tumors $V = 0.075$ $P = 0.407$ $P = 0.304$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.00$	Terminal Rates (c)	33/37 (89%)	31/33 (94%)	14/15 (93%)
Life Table Tests (d) P<0.001 P=0.076 P<0.001 P=0.076 P<0.001 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) F=0.278 P=0.072 P=0.275 Cohran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.213 P=0.275 Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.131 P=0.242N P=0.076 P=0.242N All Sittes: Mesothelioma 0/000 27.2% S50 (10%) 550 (10%) Overall Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 0/33 (0%) 3/15 (20%) 90 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.127 P=0.075N P=0.111 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.417 P=0.059N P=0.317 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.417 P=0.059N P=0.500 All Sites: Benign Tumors 0 3/37 (9%) 3/2/3 (97%) 4/50 (92%) Adjusted Rates (b) 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% 100.0% Terrunal Rates (c) 3/37 (97%) 3/2/3 (97%) 15/15 (100%) Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Line Table Tests (d) P<0.001	Week of First Observation	79	74	70
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $F = 0.278$ $P = 0.072$ $P = 0.275$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $I = 0.188N$ $P = 0.131$ $P = 0.242N$ All Sites: Mesothelioma $P = 0.131$ $P = 0.242N$ Overall Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 0/50 (0%) 5/50 (10%) Adjusted Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 0/33 (0%) 3/15 (20%) Week of First Observation 89 90 91 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.127$ $P = 0.075N$ $P = 0.111$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.304$ $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.317$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.417$ $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.500$ All Sites: Benign Tumors $P = 0.417$ $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.500$ Overall Rates (a) 47/50 (94%) 48/50 (96%) 46/50 (92%) Adjusted Rates (b) 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% Termunal Rates (c) 36/37 (97%) 32/33 (97%) 15/15 (100%) Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.335$ P	Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.076	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) I $0.188N$ P=0.131 P=0.242N All Sites: Mesothelioma	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	F = 0.278	P = 0.072	P = 0.275
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.131$ $P = 0.242N$ All Sites: Mesothelioma 0verall Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 0/50 (0%) 5/50 (10%) Adjusted Rates (b) 10.3% 0.0% 27.2% Terminal Rates (c) 3/37 (8%) 0/33 (0%) 3/15 (20%) Week of First Observation 89 90 90 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.127$ $P = 0.075N$ $P = 0.317$ Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.304$ $P = 0.085N$ $P = 0.317$ Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.417$ $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.500$ All Sites: Benign Tumors 0verall Rates (a) 47/50 (94%) 48/50 (96%) 46/50 (92%) Adjusted Rates (b) 97.9% 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) 36/37 (97%) 32/33 (97%) 15/15 (100%) Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.335$ $P = 0.433$ $P = 0.408$ Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.327$ $P < 0.001$ $P $	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	F = 0.188N		
All Sites: Mesothelioma $\sqrt{50}$ (3%) $0/50$ (0%) $5/50$ (10%) Adjusted Rates (a) 10.3% 0.0% 27.2% Terminal Rates (c) 3/37 (8%) 0/33 (0%) 3/15 (20%) Week of First Observation 89 90 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.127 P=0.075 N P=0.111 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.304 P=0.085 N P=0.317 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.417 P=0.059 N P=0.500 All Sites: Benign Tumors P=0.059 N P=0.500 Overall Rates (a) 47/50 (94%) 48/50 (96%) 46/50 (92%) Adjusted Rates (b) 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) 36/37 (97%) 32/33 (97%) 15/15 (100%) Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.335 P=0.408 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.317 Prisher Exact Test (d) P=0.417 P=0.500 P=0.500 N All Sites: Malignant Tumors Verall Rates (a) 16/50 (32%) 19/50 (38%) 22/50 (44%) Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5%	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.131	P = 0.242N
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	All Sites: Mesothelioma			
Adjusted Rates (b)10.3%0.0%27.2%Termmal Rates (c)3/37 (8%)0/33 (0%)3/15 (20%)Week of First Observation8990Life Table Tests (d)P=0.127P=0.075NP=0.111Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.304P=0.085NP=0.317Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.417Tendental Tumor STendental Rates (a)40/50 (96%)46/50 (92%)Adjusted Rates (b)97.9%97.9%100.0%Terminal Rates (a)36/37 (97%)32/33 (97%)15/15 (100%)Week of First Observation557443Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.317Week of First Observation557443Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.325P=0.408Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.417NP=0.500P=0.500P=0.500Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.417NP=0.500P=0.500P=0.500Musted Rates (a)16/50 (32%)19/50 (38%)22/50 (44%)Adjusted Rates (a)16/50 (32%)19/50 (38%)22/50 (44%)Adjusted Rates (a)16/50 (32%)19/50 (38%)22/50 (44%)Adjusted Rates (b)34.5%44.1%68.6%Musted Rates (c)8/37 (22%)10/33 (30%)7/15 (47%)Week of First Observation557470Life Table Tests (d)P=0.328P=0.399P=0.321Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.129P=0.338P=0.151Hister: Hall TumorsWeek of First Observation55 <td>Overall Rates (a)</td> <td>4/50 (8%)</td> <td>0/50 (0%)</td> <td>5/50 (10%)</td>	Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)
Terminal Rates (c) $3/37 (8\%)$ $0/33 (0\%)$ $3/15 (20\%)$ Week of First Observation 89 90 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.127 P=0.075N P=0.111 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.304 P=0.085N P=0.317 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.417 P=0.059N P=0.500 All Sites: Benign Tumors 0verall Rates (a) $47/50 (94\%)$ $48/50 (96\%)$ $46/50 (92\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% 15/15 (100%) Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) P<0.001	Adjusted Rates (b)	10.3%	0.0%	27.2%
Week of First Observation 89 90 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.127 P=0.075N P=0.111 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.304 P=0.085N P=0.317 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.417 P=0.059N P=0.500 All Sites: Benign Tumors Verall Rates (a) 47/50 (94%) 48/50 (96%) 46/50 (92%) Adjusted Rates (b) 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) 36/37 (97%) 32/33 (97%) 15/15 (100%) Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.417N Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.335 P=0.433 P=0.408 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.500 P=0.500N All Sites: Malignant Tumors Verall Rates (a) 16/50 (32%) 19/50 (38%) 22/50 (44%) Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) 8/37 (22%) 10/33 (30%) 7115 (47%) Week of First Observation 55 74 70 116 Life Table Tests (d)	Terminal Rates (c)	3/37 (8%)	0/33 (0%)	3/15 (20%)
Lafe Table Tests (d) $P=0.127$ $P=0.075N$ $P=0.111$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.304$ $P=0.085N$ $P=0.317$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.417$ $P=0.059N$ $P=0.500$ All Sites: Benign Tumors $P=0.417$ $P=0.059N$ $P=0.500$ All Sites: Benign Tumors $P=0.317$ $P=0.059N$ $P=0.500$ All Jites: Contract Contract (d) 97.9% 97.9% 97.9% Adjusted Rates (h) 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.335$ $P=0.433$ $P=0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.417N$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.417N$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $P=0.417N$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.500N$ Overall Rates (a) $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.328$ $P=0.399$ $P=0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.129$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $P=0.129$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Overall Rates (c) $36/37 (9$	Week of First Observation	89		90
$\begin{array}{cccc} Incidental Tumor Tests (d) & P=0.304 & P=0.085N & P=0.317 \\ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) & P=0.417 \\ Fisher Exact Test (d) & P=0.059N & P=0.500 \\ \end{array}$	Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.127	P = 0.075 N	P = 0.111
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.417$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.500$ All Sites: Benign Tumors V $V=0.059N$ $P=0.500$ Overall Rates (a) $47/50 (94\%)$ $48/50 (96\%)$ $46/50 (92\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P<0.355$ $P=0.433$ $P=0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.417N$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.417N$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors V $P=0.417N$ $P=0.500$ Overall Rates (a) $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.003$ $P=0.305$ $P=0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.129$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors V $P=0.129$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors V $P=0.328$ $P=0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $P=0.003$ $P=0.329$ $P=0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.001$ $P=0.329$ $P=0.005$ Incidental Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ </td <td>Incidental Tumor Tests (d)</td> <td>P = 0.304</td> <td>P=0.085N</td> <td>P = 0.317</td>	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.304	P=0.085N	P = 0.317
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.500$ All Sites: Benign Tumors Overall Rates (a)47/50 (94%)48/50 (96%)46/50 (92%)Adjusted Rates (b)97.9%97.9%100.0%Terminal Rates (c)36/37 (97%)32/33 (97%)15/15 (100%)Week of First Observation557443Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.335$ $P=0.433$ $P=0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.317N$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.417N$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $P=0.417N$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.500N$ Overall Rates (a)16/50 (32%)19/50 (38%)22/50 (44%)Adjusted Rates (b)34.5%44.1%68.6%Terminal Rates (c)8/37 (22%)10/33 (30%)7/15 (47%)Week of First Observation557470Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.328$ $P=0.399$ $P=0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.129$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $P=0.129$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors 98.0% 98.0\%100.0\%Overall Rates (a)49/50 (98%)47/50 (94%)Af/50 (94%)Adjusted Rates (b)98.0\%98.0\%100.0\%Terminal Rates (c)36/37 (97%)32/33 (97%)15/15 (100%)Week of First Observation557443Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.001$ $P=0.329$ $P=0.001$ Incidental Rates (c)3	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.417		
All Sites: Benign Tumors $47/50 (94\%)$ $48/50 (96\%)$ $46/50 (92\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.335$ $P = 0.433$ $P = 0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $V = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $V = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $V = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $V = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ Adjusted Rates (a) $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $9/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 $15/15 (47\%)$ Gochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P $	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.059 N	P = 0.500
Overall Rates (a) $47/50 (94\%)$ $48/50 (96\%)$ $46/50 (92\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b)97.9%97.9%100.0%Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.335$ $P = 0.433$ $P = 0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ Overall Rates (a) $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors Q $Q = 0.005$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors Q $Q = 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Overall Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $99/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 90.0% 100.0% Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.00$	All Sites: Benign Tumors			
Adjusted Rates (b) 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37$ (97%) $32/33$ (97%) $15/15$ (100%)Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.335$ $P = 0.433$ $P = 0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $S55$ 74 68.6% Overall Rates (a) $16/50$ (32%) $19/50$ (38%) $22/50$ (44%)Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37$ (22%) $10/33$ (30%) $7/15$ (47%)Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Overall Rates (a) $49/50$ (98%) $49/50$ (98%) $47/50$ (94%)Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d)	Overall Rates (a)	47/50 (94%)	48/50 (96%)	46/50 (92%)
Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.335$ $P = 0.433$ $P = 0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ Overall Rates (a) $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $Q = 0.005$ 100.0% $10/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.736$	Adjusted Rates (b)	97.9%	97.9%	100.0%
Week of First Observation557443Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.335$ $P = 0.433$ $P = 0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $V = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $V = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $S = 0.328$ $P = 0.303$ $P = 0.500N$ Overall Rates (a) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $S = 0.005$ 98.0% 90.0% 100.0% Overall Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P =$	Terminal Rates (c)	36/37 (97%)	32/33 (97%)	15/15 (100%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.257$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.335$ $P = 0.433$ $P = 0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $0 verall Rates (a)$ $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Overall Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$	Week of First Observation	55	74	43
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.335$ $P = 0.433$ $P = 0.408$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.417N$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors $P = 0.408$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors 0 verall Rates (a) $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors 0 verall Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.616N$	Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.257	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.417N$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) $16/50 (32\%)$ 34.5% $19/50 (38\%)$ 44.1% 68.6% $7erminal Rates (c)$ $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ $7/15 (40\%)$ $7/15 (40\%)$ <br< td=""><td>Incidental Tumor Tests (d)</td><td>P = 0.335</td><td>P = 0.433</td><td>P = 0.408</td></br<>	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.335	P = 0.433	P = 0.408
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500N$ All Sites: Malignant Tumors Overall Rates (a) $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors 000% 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Overall Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.616N$	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.417N		
All Sites: Malignant Tumors $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.003 P=0.305 P=0.005 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.328 P=0.399 P=0.321 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.129 P=0.338 P=0.151 All Sites: All Tumors 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Overall Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.407N$ $P=0.329$ $P<0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.202N$ $P=0.736N$ $P=0.616N$	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.500 N
Overall Rates (a) $16/50 (32\%)$ $19/50 (38\%)$ $22/50 (44\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors 0 verall Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$	All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Adjusted Rates (b) 34.5% 44.1% 68.6% Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors 0 verall Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$	Overall Rates (a)	16/50 (32%)	19/50 (38%)	22/50 (44%)
Terminal Rates (c) $8/37 (22\%)$ $10/33 (30\%)$ $7/15 (47\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 70 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $P = 0.30\%$ 98.0% $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.736N$	Adjusted Rates (b)	34.5%	44.1%	68.6%
Week of First Observation557470Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $P = 0.30\%$ 98.0% $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.736N$	Terminal Rates (c)	8/37 (22%)	10/33 (30%)	7/15 (47%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.305$ $P = 0.005$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $0 = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $0 = 0.005$ 98.0% $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.616N$	Week of First Observation	55	74	70
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.321$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.129$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $P = 0.328$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$	Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.003	P = 0.305	P = 0.005
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.129$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.129$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.338$ All Sites: All TumorsOverall Rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% Perminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.407N$ P=0.329 $P<0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.202N$	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.328	P = 0.399	P = 0.321
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.151$ All Sites: All Tumors Overall Rates (a)49/50 (98%)49/50 (98%)47/50 (94%)Adjusted Rates (b)98.0%98.0%100.0%Terminal Rates (c)36/37 (97%)32/33 (97%)15/15 (100%)Week of First Observation557443Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P < 0.001$	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.129		
All Sites: All Tumors Overall Rates (a) 49/50 (98%) 49/50 (98%) 47/50 (94%) Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) 36/37 (97%) 32/33 (97%) 15/15 (100%) Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) P<0.001	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.338	P=0.151
Overall rates (a) $49/50 (98\%)$ $49/50 (98\%)$ $47/50 (94\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.502N$	All Sites: All Tumors	10 # 0 (00 %)	10/50 (000)	
Adjusted rates (b) 98.0% 98.0% 100.0% Terminal Rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$	Overall Rates (a)	49/50 (98%)	49/50 (98%)	47/50 (94%)
Terminal rates (c) $36/37 (97\%)$ $32/33 (97\%)$ $15/15 (100\%)$ Week of First Observation 55 74 43 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$	Adjusted Rates (b)	98.0%	98.0%	100.0%
week of First Observation557443Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$	Ierminal Kates (c)	36/37 (97%)	32/33 (97%)	15/15 (100%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.329$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.407N$ $P = 0.736N$ $P = 0.616N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.202N$ $P = 0.202N$	week of First Observation	55	74	43
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Incidental lumor lests (d)} & P=0.407\text{N} & P=0.736\text{N} & P=0.616\text{N} \\ \text{Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)} & P=0.202\text{N} \end{array}$	Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.329	P<0.001
= -0.2021	Incluental Lumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.407 N P = 0.909 N	P = 0.736N	P=0.0101
Fisher Exact Tost (d) D=0.900N	Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.202 IN	D-0.759N	D-0 200N

TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

⁽d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

TABLE A4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

	Incidence in Controls				
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma		
Historical Incidence in Water Gavage Cont	rols at Battelle Co	lumbus Laboratories	s (b)		
Chlorpheniramine maleate	0/50	1/50	1/50		
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride	0/47	0/47	0/47		
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate	0/47	1/47	1/47		
TOTAL	0/144 (0.0%)	2/144 (1.4%)	2/144 (1.4%)		
SD (c)	0.00%	1.19%	1.19%		
Range (d)					
High	0/50	1/47	1/47		
Low	0/50	0/47	0/47		
Overall Historical Incidence in Untreated (Controls				
TOTAL	(e) 16/1.928 (0.8%)	(f) 11/1.928 (0.6%)	(e,f) 27/1,928 (1.4%)		
SD (c)	1.41%	0.91%	1.75%		
Range (d)					
High	2/44	2/89	3/50		
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50		

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base. (c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals. (e) Includes one cystadenoma and one papillary cystadenoma

(f) Includes one papillary adenocarcinoma

TABLE A4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ADRENAL GLAND CORTICAL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

	Inciden	ce in Controls
Study	Adenoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
Historical Incidence in Water Gavage Controls	at Battelle Columbus L	aboratories (b)
Chlorpheniramine maleate	0/49	0/49
Tetrakıs(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride	0/50	0/50
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate	3/50	3/50
TOTAL	3/149 (2 0%)	3/149 (2 0%)
SD(c)	3 46%	3 46%
Range (d)		
High	3/50	3/50
Low	0/50	0/50
Overall Historical Incidence in Untreated Con-	trols	
TOTAL	28/1,950 (1 4%)	30/1,950 (1 5%)
SD (c)	1 81%	1 84%
Range (d)		
High	4/49	4/49
Low	0/50	0/50

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks(b) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base

(c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals

TABLE A4c. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ADRENAL GLAND MEDULLARY TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

Pheochromocytoma	Malignant Pheochromocytoma	Pheochromocytoma or Malignant Pheochromocytoma
ntrols at Battelle Col	umbus Laboratories (b)	
21/49	0/49	21/49
e 19/50	0/50	19/50
22/50	1/50	23/50
62/149 (41.6%)	1/149 (0.7%)	63/149 (42.3%)
3 19%	1.15%	4.03%
22/50	1/50	23/50
19/50	0/50	19/50
Controls		
427/1.950 (21.9%)	30/1.950 (1.5%)	452/1.950 (23.2%)
12.41%	2.00%	12.39%
31/49	4/49	32/49
2/50	0/50	3/50
	Pheochromocytoma ntrols at Battelle Col 21/49 21/49 22/50 62/149 (41.6%) 3 19% 22/50 22/50 19/50 Controls 427/1,950 (21.9%) 12.41% 31/49 2/50	Incidence in Controls Malignant Pheochromocytoma Malignant Pheochromocytoma at Battelle Columbus Laboratories (b) at Battelle Columbus Laboratories (b) at 19/50 0/49 22/50 1/50 62/149 (41.6%) 1/149 (0.7%) 3 19% 1.15% 22/50 1/50 19/50 0/50 Controls 30/1,950 (1.5%) 427/1,950 (21.9%) 30/1,950 (1.5%) 12.41% 2.00% 31/49 4/49 2/50 0/50

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base. (c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE A4d. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF LEUKEMIA IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

Study	Incidence in Controls	
Historical Incidence in Water Gavage Controls at Ba	ttelle Columbus Laboratories (b)	
Chlorpheniramine maleate	25/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride	19/50	
Tetrakıs(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate	30/50	
TOTAL	74/150 (49 3%)	
SD (c)	11 02%	
Range (d)		
High	30/50	
Low	19/50	
Overall Historical Incidence in Untreated Controls		
TOTAL	583/1.977 (29 5%)	
SD (c)	11 59%	
Range (d)		
High	30/50	
Low	5/50	

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base (c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals

TABLE A4e. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PANCREATIC ISLET CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

]	Incidence in Controls				
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Historical Incidence in Water Gavage Control	ols at Battelle Colum	bus Laboratories (b)			
Chlorpheniramine maleate	3/50	2/50	5/50			
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride	2/49	0/49	2/49			
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate	4/48	1/48	5/48			
TOTAL	9/147 (6.1%)	3/147 (2.0%)	12/147 (8.2%)			
SD (c)	2.13%	2.00%	3.54%			
Range (d)						
High	4/48	2/50	5/48			
Low	2/49	0/49	2/49			
Overall Historical Incidence in Untreated Co	ntrols					
TOTAL	63/1.913 (3.3%)	40/1.913 (2.1%)	102/1,913 (5.3%)			
SD(c)	3.35%	2.54%	3.58%			
Range (d)						
High	6/49	4/49	7/49			
Low	0/88	0/50	0/50			

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base.
(c) Standard deviation
(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE A4f. HISTORICAL INCIDENC	E OF PITUITARY	GLAND TUMORS IN	MALE F344/N RATS	(a)
--------------------------------	----------------	------------------------	------------------	-----

Incidence in Controls				
Adenoma (b)	denoma or Carcinoma (b,c)			
ols at Battelle Colun	nbus Laboratories ((d)		
12/50	0/50	12/50		
17/50	1/50	18/50		
21/50	0/50	21/50		
50/150 (33.3%)	1/150 (0.7%)	51/150 (34.0%)		
9.02%	1.15%	9.17%		
21/50	1/50	21/50		
12/50	0/50	12/50		
ontrols				
387/1.861 (20.8%)	41/1.861 (2.2%)	428/1,861 (23.0%)		
11.25%	2.88%	11.10%		
24/46	5/45	25/46		
2/39	0/50	2/39		
	Adenoma (b) ols at Battelle Colum 12/50 17/50 21/50 50/150 (33.3%) 9.02% 21/50 12/50 ontrols 387/1,861 (20.8%) 11.25% 24/46 2/39	Incidence in Con Adenoma (b) Carcinoma (c) Ad ols at Battelle Columbus Laboratories 12/50 0/50 12/50 0/50 17/50 17/50 1/50 21/50 50/150 (33.3%) 1/150 (0.7%) 9.02% 1.15% 21/50 1/50 12/50 0/50 50/112/50 1/50 12/50 1/50 12/50 1/50 12/50 1/50 21/50 1/50 12/50 0/50 21/46 5/45 2/39 0/50		

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Includes adenoma, NOS, chromophobe adenoma, and acidophil adenoma.
(c) Includes carcinoma, NOS, and chromophobe carcinoma
(d) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base.

(e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE A4g. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

	Incidence in Controls			
Study	Fibroma (b)	Fibrosarcoma (c)	Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma (b,c	
Historical Incidence in Water Gavage Cont	rols at Battelle Co	olumbus Laboratories (d)	
Chlorpheniramine maleate	2/50	2/50	4/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride	0/50	1/50	1/50	
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate	0/50	3/50	3/50	
TOTAL	2/150 (1.3%)	6/150 (4.0%)	8/150 (5.3%)	
SD (e)	2.31%	2.00%	3.06%	
Range (f)				
High	. 2/50	3/50	4/50	
Low	0/50	1/50	1/50	
Overall Historical Incidence in Untreated	Controls			
TOTAL	110/1.977 (5.6%)	39/1.977 (2.0%)	148/1.977 (7.5%)	
SD (e)	3.15%	2.72%	4.27%	
Range (f)				
High	6/50	7/50	12/50	
Low	0/50	0/50	0/49	

91

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Includes neurofibroma

(c) Includes sarcoma, NOS, and neurofibrosarcoma

(d) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base.

(e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

v	ehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY		<u>194 2</u>	50	<u></u>	50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					***	
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	2	(4%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)
Ulcer, NOS	1	(2%)				
Fibrosis	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst					2	(4%)
LESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Trachea	(50)		(47)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, NOS	6	(12%)	3	(6%)	5	(10%)
Foreign material, NOS					1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS					1	(2%)
Alveolar macrophages	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium Metaplasia, osseous	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%) (2%)
TEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		<u></u>				
#Bone marrow	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, granulomatous focal	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Atrophy, NOS	-	(= ///	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, hematopoietic	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	5	(10%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	5	(10%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
#Spleen	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Collapse			1	(2%)		
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Fibrosis	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Necrosis, coagulative	1	(2%)				
Hemosiderosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Depletion, lymphoid					1	(2%)
Hematopoiesis	3	(6%)	4	(8%)	. 1	(2%)
#Mandibular lymph node	(46)		(45)	(1.00)	(39)	
Dilatation/sinus	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(3%)
Epidermal inclusion cyst			1	(2%)		
Hemorrhage	-	(9.97)	-	(07)	1	(3%)
Plasmacytosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
# mediastinal lymph node	(46)		(45)		(39)	(0.01)
nemorrnage		(971)			1	(3%)
Inflammation, chronic diffuse	1	(2%)	•	(90)		
Flasmacylosis #Denorootic lymph pode	(40)			(2%)	(00)	
#rancreaucitymph node	(46)		(45)	(90)	(39)	
#Lumbar lumph pada				(270)	(00)	
Homorrhago	(40)		(40)	(90)	(39)	
#Mesenteric lymph node	(10)		(45)	(270)	(90)	
milesenteric lymph node Dilesetion/sinus	(40)		(40)	(796)	(39)	(20)
Inflammation granulomatous	1	(906)	ა	(170)	1	(370) (50)
inianiniación, granulomacous	1	(270)			2	(0%)

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)				<u> </u>		
#Ileum	(49)		(46)		(40)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)		
#Thymus	(37)		(35)		(32)	
Cyst, NOS			1	(3%)		
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Heart/ventricle	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0~)
Thrombosis, NOS					1	(2%)
#Myocardium	(50)	(0.4.02.)	(50)	(000)	(50)	(0.4~
Degeneration, NUS	47	(94%)	46	(92%)	42	(84%)
#Cardiac valve Inflammation_acute foce1	(50)		(50)		(50)	(296)
						(2,0)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	(10)				(10)	
#Salivary gland	(49)		(47)		(49)	(07)
Dilatation/ducts		(0.02)	0	(400)	1	(2%)
Focal cellular change	4	(8%)	2	(4%)		(00)
Atrophy, NOS	(50)		1	(2%)	4	(8%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
Abnormal curvature			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute	1	(90)	1	(2%)	1	(971)
Fibuscia condensation	1	(2%)	2	(41%)	1	(2%)
Profession evention	12	(270)	96	(590)	94	(490()
Necrosis coegulative	5	(10%)	20	(32%)	24	(4070) (AGL)
Hyperchromatism	1	(296)	1	(270)	2	(470)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	7	(1496)	7	(14%)	12	(24%)
Basophilic cyto change	28	(56%)	23	(46%)	7	(14%)
Focal cellular change	27	(54%)	24	(48%)	10	(20%)
Hyperplasia, nodular	1	(2%)		(10,0)	10	(40.0)
Angiectasis	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(296)
#Bile duct	(50)		(50)		(50)	(=)
Fibrosis	4	(8%)	8	(16%)	28	(56%)
Pigmentation, NOS	-		· ·	= . = ,	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	50	(100%)	45	(90%)	50	(100%)
#Pancreas	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Dilatation/ducts	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Pancreatic duct	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	4	(8%)				
#Pancreatic acinus	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)		_		
Focal cellular change	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Atrophy, focal	23	(47%)	21	(42%)	25	(51%)
Atrophy, diffuse	8	(16%)	26	(52%)	38	(78%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	7	(14%)
#Esophagus	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Scar					1	(2%)
#Gastric fundal gland	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS			2	(4%)	6	(12%)

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)		- , <u>.</u> .				
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	7	(14%)
Ulcer, NOS			5	(10%)	15	(30%)
Inflammation, necrotizing	1	(2%)	7	(14%)	4	(8%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(49)		(50)	.=
Inflammation, NOS			3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Ulcer, acute			3	(6%)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial	3	(6%)	8	(16%)	18	(36%)
#Jejunum	(49)		(46)		(40)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
#Ileum	(49)		(46)		(40)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
Ulcer, healed	1	(2%)				
#Colon	(48)	(07)	(48)		(47)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst	1	(2%)		(0.00)		(
Parasitism	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
URINARY SYSTEM				<u></u>		
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	2	(4%)				
Multiple cysts	1	(2%)				
Hemorrhagic cyst	1	(2%)				
Pyelonephritis, acute					1	(2%)
Nephropathy	50	(100%)	45	(90%)	47	(94%)
Nephrosis, NOS			4	(8%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, coagulative			1	(2%)		
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute			·		2	(4%)
#Urinary bladder	(47)		(46)		(49)	
Calculus, gross observation only	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
Mineralization			1	(2%)		
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, NOS			1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(47)		(49)		(49)	
Colloid cvst	4	(9%)	1	(2%)	(10)	
Congestion, NOS	-		1	(2%)		
Pigmentation. NOS			1	(2%)		
Clear cell change			1	(2%)		
Atrophy, diffuse			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, chromophobe cell	9	(19%)	12	(24%)	- 6	(12%)
Angiectasis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Adrenal	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, coagulative					3	(6%)
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, cystic					1	(2%)
Degeneration, lipoid	12	(24%)	13	(26%)	23	(46%)
Necrosis, coagulative	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	13	(26%)	9	(18%)	5	(10%)
Eosinophilic cyto change	7	(14%)	6	(12%)	5	(10%)
Clear cell change	1	(2%)				
Hypertrophy, focal	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	14	(28%)	14	(28%)	16	(32%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal	18	(36%)	21	(42%)	16	(32%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Ultimobranchial cyst					2	(4%)
Follicular cyst, NOS	4	(8%)	5	(10%)	3	(6%)

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331 94

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Thyroid (Continued)	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Focal cellular change					2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell	39	(78%)	39	(80%)	27	(54%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell	9	(18%)	7	(14%)	7	(14%)
#Parathyroid	(42)		(37)		(42)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	2	(5%)	3	(8%)	6	(14%)
#Pancreatic islets	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Atrophy, NOS			1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					<u></u> <u>_</u>	
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation/ducts	2	(4%)	2	(4%)		
Galactocele	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS			4	(8%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, cystic	1	(2%)	4	(8%)		
Lactation			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
*Mammary duct	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial			1	(2%)		
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Impaction, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, NOS	3	(6%)	2	(4%)		
#Prostate	(47)		(48)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS			2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, NOS	11	(23%)	15	(31%)	16	(33%)
Necrosis, NOS					1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS					2	(4%)
*Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, NOS	1	(2%)	-		1	(2%)
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)
#Testis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	-				1	(2%)
Inflammation, NOS	2	(4%)			_	
Infarct, NOS			-		1	(2%)
Atrophy, NOS	5	(10%)	8	(16%)	17	(34%)
Hyperplasia, interstitial cell	24	(48%)	23	(46%)	21	(42%)
Angiectasis			1	(2%)		
#Testis/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, coagulative			1	(2%)		
Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS			3	(6%)	2	(4%)
f'ibrosis	1	(2%)				
ERVOUS SYSTEM				<u></u>		
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	_
Hydrocephalus, NOS					1	(2%)
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)		
Hemorrhage	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, granulomatous focal					1	(2%)
Necrosis, ischemic					1	(2%)
#Brain/thalamus Atrophy, pressure	(50) 1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation NOS	(50)		(00)		(00)	(9.04-)
Synechia NOS					4 1 1	(070) (996)
*Eve/anterior chamber	(50)		(50)		(50)	(470)
Inflammation chronic diffuse	(00)		(50)		(00)	(996)
mammanon, chrome uniuse					1	(4.70)

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS (Continued)			<u> </u>			
*Eye/cornea	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	19	(38%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial					1	(2%)
*Eye/retina	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Atrophy, NOS	5	(10%)	9	(18%)	24	(48%)
*Eye/crystalline lens	(50)		(50)	,	(50)	
Cataract	4	(8%)	14	(28%)	19	(38%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			·· <u>····</u> ······		,	
*Femur	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrous osteodystrophy	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
*Skeletal muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS					1	(2%)
BODY CAVITIES					and a start start	
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Vegetable foreign body					1	(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous					1	(2%)
*Peritoneal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute fibrinous					1	(2%)
*Pleural cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	(=,
Inflammation, acute fibrinous	((,		1	(2%)
*Pleura	(50)		(50)		(50)	(=,
Inflammation. chronic	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
*Mesentery	(50)	(=)	(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	(00)				1	(2%)
Inflammation. chronic			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous			ī	(2%)	-	,
Necrosis, fat	1	(2%)	8	(16%)	2	(4%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				·		
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)	((23)	
Congestion, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	8	(16%)
Necrosis, coagulative	_		1	(2%)	-	,

TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY

None

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN

THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF

MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

TABLE B1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	99
TABLE B2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	102
TABLE B3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	108
TABLE B4a	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	111
TABLE B4b	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF LEUKEMIA IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	112
TABLE B4c	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	113
TABLE B5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	114

TABLE B1.	SUMMARY	OF THE	INCIDENCE	OF NEO	PLASMS	IN FEMALE	RATS IN	THE TWO-YEAR
		GAVAG	E STUDY O	F MALON	ALDEHYI	DE, SODIUM	SALT	

V	ehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma			1	(2%)		
Basal cell tumor			1	(2%)	(= 0)	
Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)	(0~)	(50)	(0.0)
Fibroma			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				,		
#Trachea	(48)		(49)		(49)	
Follicular cell carcinoma, invasive					1	(2%)
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma					1	(2%)
C-cell carcinoma, metastatic			1	(2%)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		; <u> </u>			······	
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	5	(10%)	12	(24%)	4	(8%)
#Renal lymph node	(43)		(43)		(39)	
Pheochromocytoma, metastatic	1	(2%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM None				<u></u>		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma	1	(2%)				
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Neoplastic nodule					1	(2%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Jejunum	(50)	(07)	(48)		(44)	
Leiomyoma	1	(2%)				
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Tubular cell adenoma			1	(2%)		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary intermedia	(49)		(49)		(48)	
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Anterior pituitary	(49)		(49)		(48)	
Carcinoma, NOS	2	(4%)				
Adenoma, NOS	16	(33%)	10	(20%)	2	(4%)
#Adrenal	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cortical adenoma			1	(2%)		
#Adrenal medulla	(50)	(07)	(50)		(50)	(0.01)
Pheochromocytoma	4	(8%)	2	(496)	1	(2%)
Pheochromocytoma, malignant	1	(2%)				

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Follicular cell adenoma	2	(4%)		(07)	5	(10%)
Follicular cell carcinoma	0	(190)	I c	(2%)	2	(4%) (9%)
C-cell carcinoma	9	(10%)	0	(1270)	1	(270)
#Pancreatic islets	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)	
Islet cell adenoma	x ==,		1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Fibroadenoma	6	(12%)	10	(20%)	1	(2%)
*Clitoral gland	(50)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
*Vagina	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	(00)	(2%)	(50)		(00)	
Sarcoma, NOS	1	(2,0)	1	(2%)		
#Uterus	(50)		(48)	(=,	(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Endometrial stromal polyp	9	(18%)	9	(19%)	5	(10%)
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)	(0.4)	(48)		(50)	
Papilloma, NOS	1	(2%)				
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	2	(4%)				
Astrocytoma	1	(2%)				
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS None						
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None						
BODY CAVITIES None						
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS None						
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY	·····			. <u> </u>		
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Natural death	4		5		18	
Moribund sacrifice	.9		7		16	
Dosing accident	37		37		14	
L'USING ACCIVENT			I		2	

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	High Dose
TUMOR SUMMARY	,,,,		
Total animals with primary tumors**	37	37	21
Total primary tumors	64	58	26
Total animals with benign tumors	31	28	17
Total benign tumors	52	42	19
Total animals with malignant tumors	12	16	6
Total malignant tumors	12	16	6
Total animals with secondary tumors##	3	1	1
Total secondary tumors	3	1	1
Total animals with tumors uncertain benign or malignant			1
Total uncertain tumors			1

Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

TABLE B2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR	
	GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: VEHICLE CONTROL	

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3 7	0 4 5	0 3 0	0 0 6	0 0 4	0 4 1	0 1 6	0 3 2	0 0 1	0 0 9	0 5 0	0 0 2	0 4 4	0 0 3	0 0 5	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 7	0 1 8
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 2 4	0 3 6	0 6 2	0 7 7	0 8 3	0 8 3	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 8	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Trachea	++++	+++	++++	+ +	+	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	+++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+++++	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Pheochromocytoma, metastatic Thymus	+++++	+ + + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + - +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + - +	+ + + +	+ + + + X +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell carcinoma	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Salivary gland Liver Bils duct Pancreas Esophagus	+++++	+++++	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+++++	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+++++	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Leiomyoma Large intestine	+++	+ + +	+ +	+ +	¥ + +	+ +	+ +	+ + +	+ +	+ +	+ + +	+ X +	+ + +	+ -	+ + +	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	+ + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	++++	+ +	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Adrenal	+	+	-+	++	+ X +	+	+	+	+	* x +	+ X +	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	+ X +
Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma Parathurud	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	*	x + x	+	+	X +	+	+ X	+	* x	+	+ X	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM		+	+		+	+			+		+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+		+	+		+	+
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputial/citoral gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Vagna Squamous cell papilloma Utarus Papilloma, NOS	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N X +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	X X +	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Astrocytoma	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

Tissue examined microscopically Required tissue not examined microscopically Tumor incidence Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination Animal missexed

C A. M B

+ - X N S

No tissue information submitted Necropsy, no histology due to protocol Autolysis Animal missing No necropsy performed

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 7	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 4 9	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Trachea	++++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+ +	++++	++	+++	+ +	+ +	++++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	50 48
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Pheochromocytoma, metastatic Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+ + +	++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	+++-+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + -	++++++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + - +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	50 50 43 1 38
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell carcinoma Salvary gland	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	*50 1 50
Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	++++	++++	·+++	++++	· + + + + ·	+++++	· + + + + ·	· + + + + ·	· + + + ·	·+++	+ + +	· + + + + ·	· + + + + ·	· + + + + ·	+++++	+ + + +	· + + + + ·	+ + + +	+ + +	++++	.++++	· + + + ·	· + + + + ·	++++	++++-	50 50 50 50
Scomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Leiomyoma Large intestine	+ + +	++++	+	+ + +	++++	++++	+ + +	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	++++	++++	+	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+ + +	50 1 50 1 47
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	 + +	++++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	++++	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+++++	+ +	50 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+ x	+	+	+	+ x	+ x	+	+ x	+ x	+ x	+ x	+	+ x	+	+	+	+ X	+ x	*	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	49 2 17
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant	*	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 4 1
Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma C cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	+ X ~	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	+ x	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	50 2 9 32
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Fibroadenoma Preputal/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Vagina	X N X N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	X N N	N N	X N N	N N	N N	X N N	N N	N N	N N	X N N	N N	N N	N N	X N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	6 *50 1 *50
Squamous cell papilloma Uterus Papilloma, NOS Adenocarcunoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50 1 1
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	Х +	+	+	X +	X +	* +	9 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcnioma, NOS, invasive Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear ceil	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 5

* Animals necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 9	0 3 4	0 3 0	0 1 6	0 2 6	0 0 1	0 5 0	0 3 9	0 2 3	0 1 7	0 1 3	0 1 8	0 1 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 2	0 1 4	0 1 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 2	0 4 5	0 4 7	0 6 7	0 6 7	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 9	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell carcinoma Basal cell tumor	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: C cell carcinoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	* X +	+ +	+ +	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + - +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+++-	++-++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + -	++-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + -	+ + - +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++	++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++	+++++++	-++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kıdney Tubular cell adenoma Urınary bladder	++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ + +	++	+	+++	++	++	+++	+	++	+++	++	+++	+	+++	++	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Primitary Adenoma, NOS Adronal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma C-cell adenoma C-cell adenoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Parate adenome	+ + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + - +	+ + + +	+ + X + +	+ + X + +	+ x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + × + × +	+ + + +	+ * * +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + -++	+ + + X +	+ + + + +	+ + + + X + +	+ X + + X X + +	+ + + +	+ + + X + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Vagina Sarcoma, NOS Utarus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+ N + +	+ NX+ +	+ N - +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N + +	N N +	+ N + +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ x N + +	+ N +	+ N + +	+ N + X +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N + X +	+ N + X +	+ X N + +	+ N - +	+ N + X +	+ N +	+ x N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multuple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: LOW DOSE

TABLE B2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAI	. TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	FEMALE	RATS:	LOW	DOSE
			(Continue	d)				

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 7	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 4	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TISSUES														
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Smamous cell carcinoma	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Basal cell tumor Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 *50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi C-cell carcinoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 49
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	.											.,				·'			·•			•	· ·			
Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Lymph nodes Thymus	+	+ + +	+ -	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+	+ + +	+	+ + +	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	+ -	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	- +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	43 41
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salıvary gland	L	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Bile duct Pancreas	‡	+	++	+	+	+++	++	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	+++	++	50
Esophagus	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	50
Stomach	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Large intestine	+	++	++	+	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+++	48
TIDINIADV EVENEN		-		·											·		· · ·									
Kidney	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Tubular cell adenoma Urinary bladder	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM																									<u> </u>	
Pituitary	1 ‡	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	~	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	49
Adenoma, NOS Adrenal	1	X	1	L.	+	-	+	-	+	X	+	1	+	<u>т</u>	-	1	+	+	-	_	+	+	X	X	X	10
Cortical adenoma	1'	•	r			Ŧ	Ŧ	T.	F	T	T .	Ŧ		Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	r	r	1
Pheochromocytoma																										2
Follicular cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma		+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 6
C-cell carcinoma																										1
Paratnyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	++	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	42 50 1												
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM																										
Mammary gland	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Fibroadenoma	X	B.T	N	N	B.T	NT	NT	NT	X	N	X	X	NT.	B.T	X	ħ7	X	X	N	NT	NT	NT	B.T	B.T	N	10
Sarcoma, NOS		14	14	N	14	N	N	N	14	IN	ы	14	IN	IN	N	N	IN	N	IN	IN .	IN	IA	IN	IN	14	-50
Uterus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Endometrial stromal polyp					X					X					X			X		X						9
Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	*50 12

* Animals necropsied

ÂNIMAL NUMBER	0 0 6	0 0 2	0 1 3	0 1 2	0 2 1	0 2 7	0 2 9	0 2 5	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 4 2	0 4 6	0 4 8	0 1 6	0 2 3	0 3 6	0 0 8	0 0 4	0 2 2	0 2 4	0 1 4	0 5 0	0 1 0	0 4 5	0 1 9
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 5 4	0 5 5	0 5 5	0 5 6	0 5 9	0 5 9	0 5 9	0 6 0	0 6 2	0 6 2	0 6 3	0 6 9	0 6 9	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 9	0 9 3
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Aiveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea Follicular cell carcinoma, invasive	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	++	++++-	+ + + -	+ + + +	++-+	+ + + + +	++-++-++	+ + - +	++-++-++	+ + + +	+ + + +	++	+++-	+++++	++	++++-	+ + - +	+ + + +	++++-	+ + - -	+++-	+ + - +	+ + + +	++++-	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +++ ++++++++	-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + -	+++++X++	++ ++++	++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++-+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++ ++	++++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++ ++	++ ++++ ++	++ +++ ++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + +	++ +++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+	++++	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+++++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + x +	+ + +	++++	- + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + X +	+ + + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	* * +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + X +	N + +	+++++	N + +	N + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + * *	+ + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: HIGH DOSE
TABLE B2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	FEMALE	RATS:	HIGH I	DOSE
			(Continued	ł)				

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 0	0 2 6	0 0 7	0 3 3	0 4 1	0 0 9	0 0 3	0 0 1	0 2 0	0 2 8	0 3 1	0 0 5	0 1 1	0 1 5	0 3 0	0 3 2	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 3	0 4 4	0 4 7	0 4 9	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 1	1 0 1	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Traches	++++	++	++	+++	+ +	++	+++	+++	++	++	+	+++	+	++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	++	+ +	* X +	++	+	+++	50 1 49
Follicular cell carcinoma, invasive HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thumes	++++	++++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++	++++	++	× + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	++++	+++++	1 50 50 39 34
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	 +	+	+	, 	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- <u>-</u> +	+		+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Lıver Neoplastic nodule	 +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ + X	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	++++	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	48 50 1
Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	50 50 50 50
Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	1 44 45
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	++	+ +	 + +	+ +	++	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal	+	+	-+	+	+++	* *	++	++	+++	+	+	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	* *	+++	+	* *	+	+	++	+++	+++	48 3 50
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	* x	* X	+	* X	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50 5 2
C-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+		+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Х +	+	+	+	+	1 42
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma	+	+	N	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	* *	+ +	+ +	+ +	* *	+ +	+ +	50 5 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 4

* Animals necropsied

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDYOF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell	Leukemia		
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	12/50 (24%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.2%	27.6%	21.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/37 (3%)	6/37 (16%)	2/14 (14%)
Week of First Observation	62	67	93
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.202	P = 0.070	P = 0.397
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.221 N	P = 0.034	P = 0.317N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.443N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.054	P = 0.500N
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	16/49 (33%)	10/49 (20%)	2/48 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	39.8%	26.2%	14.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	13/37 (35%)	8/36 (22%)	2/14 (14%)
Week of First Observation	83	102	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.032N	P = 0.147N	P = 0.060 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.005 N	P = 0.146N	P = 0.015N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.126N	P<0.001N
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	18/49 (37%)	10/49 (20%)	2/48 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	43.7%	26.2%	14.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	14/37 (38%)	8/36 (22%)	2/14 (14%)
Week of First Observation	83	102	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.013N	P = 0.074N	P=0.034N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.001 N	P = 0.068N	P = 0.004N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.058N	P<0.001N
Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.1%	4.9%	3.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/37 (8%)	0/37 (0%)	0/14 (0%)
Week of First Observation	83	98	89
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.300 N	P = 0.340N	P = 0.457 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.086N	P = 0.359N	P = 0.275N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.118N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.339N	P = 0.181 N
Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma or Ma	alignant Pheochromocyto	ma	
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.7%	4.9%	3.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/37 (11%)	0/37 (0%)	0/14 (0%)
Week of First Observation	83	98	89
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.197N	P = 0.222N	P = 0.364N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.051N	P = 0.235N	P = 0.210N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.060N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.218N	P = 0.102N
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.3%	0.0%	30.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/37 (3%)	0/37 (0%)	4/14 (29%)
Week of First Observation	103		69
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.015	P = 0.240N	P=0.020
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.069	P = 0.240N	P=0.083
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.118		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.247 N	P = 0.218

		JU mg/Kg	100 mg/kg
hvroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma o	r Carcinoma		····
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.3%	2.7%	37.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/37 (3%)	1/37 (3%)	4/14 (29%)
Week of First Observation	103	105	69
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.001	P = 0.500 N	P = 0.003
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.026	P = 0.500N	P = 0.045
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.036	1 0100011	1 00010
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500 N	P = 0.080
hyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	9/50 (18%)	6/50 (12%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	23.5%	15.8%	7.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/37 (22%)	5/37 (14%)	1/14(7%)
Week of First Observation	95	103	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.102N	P = 0.287 N	P = 0.156N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.050N	P = 0.287N	P = 0.100N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.008N	1 -0.2011	1 -0.10014
Fisher Exact Test (d)	I -0.0001	P = 0.288N	P = 0.008 N
hyroid Gland, C.Coll Adonomo on Consin			
Overall Potes (a)	0/50/19/25	7/50 (1401)	1/50 (97)
A limited B=4 = (1)	9/50(18%)	(/50(14%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	23.5%	18.4%	7.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/37 (22%)	6/37 (16%)	1/14 (7%)
Week of First Observation	95	103	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.126N	P = 0.392N	P = 0.156N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.065N	P = 0.392N	P = 0.100 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.009N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.393N	P = 0.008 N
ammary Gland: Fibroadenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	10/50 (20%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	16.2%	26.3%	2.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/37 (16%)	9/37 (24%)	0/14(0%)
Week of First Observation	104	102	62
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.462N	P = 0.204	P = 0.297 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.278N	P = 0.204	P = 0.168N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	D = 0.079 N	1 -0.204	F = 0.1081
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.078 M	P = 0.207	P = 0.056N
erus: Endometriai Stromai Polyp Overall Rates (a)	9/50 (18%)	9/48 (100)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (h)	99 8%	3/140(1370) 95.0%	96 A%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/37 (1904)	20.0 /0 0/36 (950L)	20, ± /0 2/1/ (2104)
Wook of First Observation	(/J/(10%) 96	3/30(20%) 105	3/14(21%) 79
tife Table Teste (d)	00 D=0.259	100	14
Line Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (4)	r = 0.358	P=0.580	P=0.431
Contract a future for a futur	P = 0.508N	P=0.566	P = 0.469 N
Countran-Armitage Frend Test (d)	P = 0.167 N	D 0 5 6 5	D 01011
risner Exact Test (d)		P = 0.565	P = 0.194N
I Sites: Benign Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	31/50 (62%)	28/50 (56%)	17/50 (34%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	72.0%	66.6%	77.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	25/37 (68%)	23/37 (62%)	10/14(71%)
Week of First Observation	83	67	59
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.210	P = 0.352N	P = 0.186
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.085 N	P = 0.356N	P = 0.179N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.003 N		-
Fisher Exact Test (d)	-	P = 0.342N	P = 0.005 N

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	50 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	12/50 (24%)	16/50 (32%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	26.2%	35.9%	29.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/37 (14%)	9/37 (24%)	2/14 (14%)
Week of First Observation	36	45	93
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.474	P = 0.278	P = 0.567N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.041 N	P = 0.241	P = 0.048N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.094N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.252	P = 0.097 N
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	37/50 (74%)	37/50 (74%)	21/50 (42%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	78.6%	80.4%	85.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	27/37 (73%)	28/37 (76%)	11/14 (79%)
Week of First Observation	36	45	59
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.168	P=0.568N	P=0.184
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.018N	P=0.576	P = 0.054N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.590N	P = 0.002N

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests_compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

TABLE B4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

	Incidence in Controls								
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma						
Historical Incidence in Water Gavage Cont	rols at Battelle Colu	umbus Laboratorie	s (b)						
Chlorpheniramine maleate	0/47	0/47	0/47						
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride	3/50	0/50	3/50						
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate	0/49	1/49	1/49						
TOTAL	3/146 (2.1%)	1/146 (0.7%)	4/146 (2.7%)						
SD (c)	3.46%	1.18%	3.05%						
Range (d)									
High	3/50	1/49	3/50						
Low	0/49	0/50	0/47						
Overall Historical Incidence in Untreated (Controls								
TOTAL	(e) 13/1,952 (0.7%)	(f) 7/1,952 (0.4%)	(e,f) 20/1,952 (1.0%)						
SD (c)	1.11%	0.78%	1.34%						
Range (d)									
High	2/42	1/47	2/42						
Low	0/50	0/86	0/50						

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base. (c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

(e) Includes one papillary adenoma, one cystadenoma, and one papillary cystadenoma (f) Includes one papillary carcinoma and one papillary cystadenocarcinoma

TABLE B4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF LEUKEMIA IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

Study	Incidence in Controls	
Historical Incidence in Water Gavage Controls at Bat	telle Columbus Laboratories (b)	<u></u>
Chlorpheniramine maleate Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate	11/50 4/50 23/49	
TOTAL SD (c)	38/149 (25.5%) 19.72%	
Range (d) High Low	23/49 4/50	
Overall Historical Incidence in Untreated Controls		
TOTAL SD (c)	375/2,021 (18.6%) 6.55%	
Range (d) High Low	19/50 3/50	

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base.
(c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE B4C. HISTURICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMURS IN FEMALE F344/N RAIS	4c. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F34	44/N RATS (a)
---	--	---------------

	Incidence in Controls								
Study	Adenoma (b)	Carcinoma (c)	Adenoma or Carcinoma (b,c)						
Historical Incidence in Water Gavage Cont	rols at Battelle Co	lumbus Laborato	ories (d)						
Chlorpheniramine maleate	24/48	1/48	25/48						
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride	24/49	0/49	24/49						
Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium sulfate	23/46	0/46	23/46						
TOTAL	71/143 (49.7%)	1/143 (0.7%)	72/143 (50.3%)						
SD (e)	0.59%	1.20%	1.58%						
Range (f)									
High	24/48	1/48	25/48						
Low	24/49	0/49	24/49						
Overall Historical Incidence									
TOTAL	862/1.952 (44.2%)	71/1.952 (3.6%)	931/1.952 (47.7%)						
SD (e)	11.56%	3.97%	11.02%						
Range (f)									
High	33/47	8/49	33/47						
Low	7/39	0/50	9/39						

(a) Data as of August 30, 1985, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Includes adenoma, NOS, chromophobe adenoma, and acidophil adenoma
(c) Includes carcinoma, NOS, and chromophobe carcinoma

(d) No other water gavage studies are included in the historical data base. (e) Standard deviation

(f) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALI	.Y 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS	1	(2%)	† 2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)				
Acanthosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst	2	(4%)			3	(6%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(0.21)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Trachea	(48)		(49)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute	2	(4%)				
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Vegetable foreign body			_		1	(2%)
Congestion, NOS			3	(6%)	4	(8%)
Hemorrhage		(10)		(0~~)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, NOS	6	(12%)	1	(2%)	7	(14%)
Pneumonia, aspiration	•	(10)			2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
#Bone marrow	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, granulomatous	1	(2%)				
Atrophy, NOS	3	(6%)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, hematopoietic	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	11	(22%)
Hyperplasia, granulocytic		(10~)	1	(2%)	-	(1.1.2)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	6	(12%)	19	(38%)	(50)	(14%)
#Spieen	(00)		(00)		(00)	(90)
Hemesideresis	7	(1.406)	2	(60)	1	(2%)
Depletion lymphoid	1	(1470) (AGL)	3	(070)	1	(2%)
Angiectasis	4	(470)	9	(196)	1	(270)
Hemetonoiesis	1	(996)	2 9	(496)	9	(18%)
#Snlenic cansule	(50)	(2,10)	(50)	(4,0)	(50)	(10%)
Hematoma, NOS	(00)		2	(4%)	(00)	
#Mandibular lymph node	(43)		(43)	(=,	(39)	
Inflammation, acute					2	(5%)
Inflammation, granulomatous			1	(2%)		
#Mediastinal lymph node	(43)		(43)		(39)	
Inflammation, granulomatous			1	(2%)		
#Mesenteric lymph node	(43)		(43)		(39)	
Dilatation/sinus	1	(2%)	3	(7%)		
Hemorrhage					1	(3%)
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis	/= =:		1	(2%)		
#Thymus	(38)		(41)		(34)	
Ultimobranchial cyst	1	(3%)	-	(0~)		
Cyst, NOS	2	(5%)	1	(2%)		
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)	-	(00)
Inflammation, acute		(90)			1	(3%)
r IOFOSIS, IOCAI Depletion lumphoid	1	(3%)				(90)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(3%)			1	(070)
? her housed abreaster	•	(2.0)				

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)	/		,	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS					1	(2%)
#Myocardium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS	41	(82%)	38	(76%)	26	(52%)
Necrosis, coagulative			1	(2%)		
#Jejunum	(50)		(48)		(44)	
Lymphangiectasis					1	(2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Salivary gland	(50)		(49)		(48)	
Edema, NOS					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	2	(4%)				
Focal cellular change	4	(8%)	3	(6%)		
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Atrophy, focal	3	(6%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS					1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Abnormal curvature	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Hemorrhage, chronic			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute		(90)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%) (6%)	•	(69)		
Innamination, granulomatous	3	(070)	3	(0%)	F	(10%)
Degeneration, cystic			1	4996)	5	(10%)
Necrosis congulative	9	(496)	1	τ470) (AGL)	Z	(4170)
Cytonlasmic vacualization	2	(1296)	2	(19%)	19	(3694)
Basophilic cyto change	36	(72%)	40	(80%)	10	(8694)
Focal cellular change	30 R	(16%)		(14%)	40 10	(204)
Hyperplasia, nodular	0	(10,0)	2	(4%)	1	(296)
Angiectasis			2	(4%)	L	(2,77)
#Bile duct	(50)		(50)	(- / v /	(50)	
Fibrosis	1	(2%)	(00)		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	17	(34%)	15	(30%)	35	(70%)
#Pancreas	(50)		(50)	,	(50)	,
Dilatation/ducts	2	(4%)	(20)		(00)	
Edema, NOS	_				2	(4%)
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)			-	
#Pancreatic acinus	(50)	-	(50)		(50)	
Edema, NOS					1	(2%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Focal cellular change					2	(4%)
Eosinophilic cyto change					1	(2%)
Atrophy, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)		
Atrophy, focal	8	(16%)	14	(28%)	12	(24%)
Atrophy, diffuse	5	(10%)	27	(54%)	42	(84%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
*Pharynx	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
#Esophagus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute necrotizing					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic					1	(2%)
#Gastric fundal gland	(50)		(50)	(0.01)	(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)	5	(10%)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)	<u></u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, NOS	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Ulcer, NOS	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	19	(38%)
Inflammation, necrotizing			1	(2%)	9	(18%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, NOS		(0~)	-	(100)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	4	(8%)	5	(10%)	18	(36%)
#Duodenum	(50)	(901)	(48)		(44)	
# Joinnum	(50)	(270)	(48)		(44)	
Edema NOS	(50)		(40)		(44)	(2%)
Inflammation granulomatous	1	(296)			-	(2,0)
#Colon	(47)	(2,0)	(49)		(45)	
Parasitism	(,		1	(2%)	3	(7%)
#Cecum	(47)		(49)	(=,	(45)	
Edema, NOS	,				1	(2%)
LIRINARY SYSTEM	<u>.</u>				***	
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Prolonenhritis acute	(00)		(00)		(00)	(2%)
Nenbronsthy	38	(76%)	38	(76%)	48	(96%)
#Urinary bladder	(49)	(10,0)	(48)	(10,0)	(49)	(00,0)
Edema, NOS	(40)		1	(2%)	(10)	
Inflammation, NOS			1	(2%)		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			(10)		(10)	
#Pituitary intermedia	(49)		(49)	(07)	(48)	
Cyst, NOS	(10)			(2%)	(40)	
#Anterior pituitary	(49)	(00)	(49)		(48)	
Cyst, NUS Callaid grat	14	(2%)	7	(1.40)	1	(90)
Colloid Cyst	14	(29%)	1	(1470)	1	(270)
Clean call change					1	(2%)
Unamlagia shramanbaha sall	15	(210)	16	(2396)	3	(6%)
Angiectasis	10	(696)	5	(10%)	J	
#Adrenal	(50)	(0,0)	(50)	(10%)	(50)	
Congestion NOS	(00)		(00)	(2%)	4	(8%)
Necrosis coggilative			-	(1,0)	1	(2%)
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	,
Degeneration. lipoid	19	(38%)	20	(40%)	30	(60%)
Necrosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
Necrosis, coagulative			1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Eosinophilic cyto change	5	(10%)	10	(20%)		
Hypertrophy, focal	5	(10%)	6	(12%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	12	(24%)	18	(36%)	14	(28%)
Angiectasis	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal	10	(20%)	14	(28%)	4	(8%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0.1.27)
Ultimobranchial cyst	1	(2%)	-	(19)	12	(24%)
Follicular cyst, NOS	4	(8%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Focal cellular change		(0.02)		(00%)	4	(8%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell	43	(86%)	44	(88%)	27	(54%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell	10	(20%)	10	(20%)	26	(52%)
#Parathyroid	(32)		(42)	(1.400)	(42)	(00)
Hyperplasia, NOS			6	(14%)	1	(2%)

TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Pancreatic islets	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal			1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation/ducts	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	3	(6%)	3	(6%)		
Hyperplasia, focal		(2%)	94	(490)		
Hyperplasia, cystic	10	(32%)	Z4 (50)	(4870)	(50)	
Enidormal inclusion evet	(50)		(50)	(296)	(00)	(696)
*Clitorel gland	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)	(0,2)
Impaction, NOS	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, NOS			ī	(2%)		
#Uterus	(50)		(48)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS	3	(6%)	6	(13%)	. ,	
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)		(48)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	4	(8%)	4	(8%)	11	(22%)
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, cystic	2	(4%)			4	(8%)
#Ovary/parovarian	(50)		(50)	(07)	(50)	
Inflammation, granulomatous	(50)		1	(2%)	(50)	
#Uvary	(50)	(1.40)	(50)	(1.40%)	(50)	(100)
Cyst, NOS Inflormation conte	1	(1470)	1	(1470)	5	(10%)
Fibrosia	1	(994)			1	(270)
		(270)			····	
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
#Brain	(50)	(0.0)	(50)		(50)	
Hydrocephalus, NOS	1	(2%)			0	(
Sponglosis Homorrhogo	9	(10)	9	(AGL)	2	(4%)
nemorrnage	۷	(4270)	2	(470)		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Eye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Collapse	-	(07)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
inflammation, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Trye/cornea	(50)	(406)	(50)	(19)	(50)	(500)
*Eve/retine	Z (50)	(**70)	(50)	(470)	20	(00%)
Atronhy NOS	(00) R	(6%)	(00)	(62%)	30	(60%)
*Eve/crystalline lens	(50)		(50)	(32,07	(50)	
Cataract	4	(8%)	26	(52%)	31	(62%)
*Zymbal gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Impaction, NOS			1	(2%)		
MUSCHLOSKELETAL SVSTEM						
AUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM *Femur Fibrous osteodystrophy	(50)		(50)		(50) 1	(296)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM *Femur Fibrous osteodystrophy *Skeletal muscle	(50) (50)		(50) (50)		(50) 1 (50)	(2%)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
BODY CAVITIES				- <u> </u>		
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
Abscess, chronic	1	(2%)				
Granuloma, NOS					1	(2%)
*Pleural cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Foreign material, NOS			1	(2%)	. ,	
*Pleura	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute fibrinous			1	(2%)		
*Pericardium	(50)		(50)	- ,	(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	(()	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	ī	(2%)				
Granuloma, foreign body	-	<u> </u>			1	(2%)
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)	(_ /• /
Inflammation, granulomatous	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
Necrosis, fat	5	(10%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				··· <u>·</u> ································		
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)
Hemorrhage	-	•	-	•	1	(2%)
Inflammation, fibrinous	1	(2%)				

SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY None

 $\label{eq:stars} \bullet \textbf{Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.}$

† Multiple occurrence of morphology in the same organ; tissue is counted once only. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

		PAGE
TABLE C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	121
TABLE C2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	124
TABLE C3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	130
TABLE C4	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	134

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAL	LY 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				<u></u>		
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosarcoma	1	(2%)				
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	((50)		(50)	(0)
Sarcoma, NUS	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	1	(2%)
f Ibroma Fibrocoro	2	(4470) (1694)	3	(10%)	2	(69)
Neurofibrosarcoma	0 1	(2%)	Ö	(1270)	5 1	(2%)
PECDIDATORY SYSTEM			<u></u>			
#Lang	(47)		(50)		(49)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic	(**/) A	(13%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	7	(15%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	5	(11%)	ĭ	(2%)	4	(8%)
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	2	(4%)	-	(,	2	(4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u></u>			
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Kidney Malignant lymphoma, NOS	(50)		(47)		(49) 1	(2%)
*Multinle organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
*Lower extremity	(50)		(50)		(50)	(=)
Hemangiosarcoma	(00)		1	(2%)	()	
#Spleen	(47)		(48)		(50)	
Hemangioma			1	(2%)		
Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic			1	(2%)		
#Heart	(47)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangioma	1	(2%)				
#Liver Hemangiosarcoma	(50)		(49)		(49)	(296)
		<u></u>			<u> </u>	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Hepatocellular adenoma	4	(8%)	6	(12%)	5	(10%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	14	(28%)	15	(31%)	14	(29%)
Mixed hepatocellular and bile duct carcinoma	1		1	(2%)		
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
#Pancreatic acinus	(43)		(43)		(45)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Gastric fundal gland	(44)		(46)	(0~)	(39)	
Adenomatous polyp, NUS			1	(2%)	(00)	
	(44)		(46)	(90)	(39)	
squamous cen carcinoma			1	(2%)		

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	High Dose
URINARY SYSTEM None		<u> </u>	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	·····	,	
#Adrenal	(44)	(45)	(46)
Pheochromocytoma		(45)	1 (2%)
#Agrenal megula Pheochromocytome	(44)	(40)	(40)
#Thyroid	(45)	(48)	(45)
Follicular cell adenoma	(/	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	· · · · ·		
#Prostate	(42)	(44)	(47)
Adenoma, NOS	1 (2%)		
# 1 CSUS Interstitiel cell tumor	(40)	(44)	(44)
*Epididymis	(50)	(50)	(50)
Leiomyoma	1 (2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM None	**************************************		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*Harderian gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenoma, NOS	3 (6%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS		1 (2%)	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	(50)		(50)
Sarcoma, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
BODY CAVITIES			
*Mediastinum	(50)	(50)	(50)
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic			1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*Multiple organs Mized basets and bile dust service meter	(50)	(50)	(50)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic	1 (296)	1 (270)	1 (2%)
Sarcoma, NOS	- ()	1 (2%)	- (-10)
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	16	22	25
Moribund sacrifice	0 22	7	11
Accidentially killed. nda	20 3	2	1.4
	-	-	

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	High Dose
TUMOR SUMMARY			
Total animals with primary tumors**	39	37	31
Total primary tumors	58	56	41
Total animals with benign tumors	19	16	11
Total benign tumors	21	19	12
Total animals with malignant tumors	30	31	25
Total malignant tumors	37	37	29
Total animals with secondary tumors ##	9	4	5
Total secondary tumors	9	4	7

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.

** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors

Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: VEHICLE CONTROL

																		-					_		<u> </u>
ANIMAL Number	0 2 6	0 3 0	0 2 1	0 3 8	0 4 6	0 2 0	0 0 7	0 1 2	0 2 2	0 4 2	0 4 4	0 0 3	0 3 2	0 4 7	0 1 8	0 1 7	0 1 1	0 2 3	0 1 5	0 3 7	0 4 0	0 0 6	0 3 5	0 0 8	0 2 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 1	0 1 8	0 3 9	0 5 8	0 6 2	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 2	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 9 0	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 8	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 3
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Fibrosarcoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibrosarcoma Neurofibrosarcoma	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	N N	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	++	++	+ + x	+ + X	+ +	+ + X
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	+	++	+	+ X +	+	* * +	+ X -	+	+	++	+++	+	+	* *	+	+	+ X +	+ X -	-	+++	+	++	+ X +	+++	* *
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	++	+ + + +	++	++++	+ + + +	++++-	++	+++-	+ + -	+ - + -	++++++	+++-	++++	+ + + +	+ + -	++++-	++	+	++	+ -+ -	+ + + +	+++-	+ + + +	++++-	+ + - -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Hemangioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Adenocarcinoma, NOS Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +++ ++++	++ +Z- ++-+	++ ++- ++++	++ X+N+ ++-+	++ X+N - ++	-+x +N - +	++ +++ ++-+	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +	++ +Z+ ++-	++ X+N - +	++ +Z+ ++	++ X+N+ ++++	++ +N+N+++-	++ +++ ++++	++ X+N+ +-++	++ +Z+ +++	++ +N+ ++++	++ X+N+ ++++	++ X+++ ++++	++ +++ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ X+N+ ++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	 + +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+	+++	+	+	+ +	+	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid	+++	- + +	++++-	++++++	+++++	+ - + -	-	+++	++++-	+ + + -	- + +	 + +	++++-	 + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	- + +	+++	+ + + -	+ + +	 + + +	+ + + -	 + +	++++-	 + + -
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Interstitual cell tumor Prostate Adanoma, NOS Epididymis Leiomyoma	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N - N	N + + N	N - N	N - + X N	N + - N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N - - N						
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

No tissue information submitted C Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B. No necropsy performed

Tissue examined microscopically
 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 X. Tumor incidence
 N. Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 S. Animal missexed

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

																									_	
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 8	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 6	0 1 9	0 2 4	0 2 7	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 6	0 3 9	0 4 1	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 9	0 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES										
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM							~~~~														-					
Skin Fibrosarcoma	N	+	+	+	+	x +	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Subcutaneous tissue	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Fibroma					X			X				_											A			2
Fibrosarcoma Neurofibrosarcoma												X				X										8
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM																										
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic	+	+	+	x	x	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x	+	+	6
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma				x				х										X	X X		X	х			XX	7
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic																										2
				+		_	_		+			-	_	-	+	+	+	+	-		+	+	+		+	
Bone marrow	+	+	+	+		+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Spleen Lymph podes	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
Thymus	1 +	+	-	+	-	-	_	+	+	-	+	÷	+	+	-	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	-	-	25
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM																										
Heart Hemangioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	47 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	-																									40
Liver	I Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	50
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma			X	X	x		x	X							x				x				x			4
Bile duct	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Gailbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	+	+++	++	N +	N +	N +	+++	+++	N	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	N +	N +	N +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	N +	+++	+++	*50
Adenocarcinoma, NOS																										1
Stomach	+	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	+++++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	48
Small intestine	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	-	+	+	39
			·		·										·					· · · · ·		,				
Kidney	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Urinary bladder	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	41
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM																										
Adrenal	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u> </u>	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	44
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	45
Parathyroid	-	-	-	÷	<u> </u>	-	-	-	÷	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	÷	÷	-	-	+	-	-	-	÷	÷	-	÷	13
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM																										
Mammary gland Testis	N +	м +	м +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N 	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	א +	N +	+50								
Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	+	_	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	~	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Adenoma, NOS					•••														,							1
Leiomyoma		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
NERVOUS SYSTEM	-														-											
Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Adenoma, NOS				14		14					14	X	x	14	1.	14	14	1,	14							3
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM																										
Bone Samama NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	*50
																										L
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, meta		_ •						_ •		v																1
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	x									~																2
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type																										1
	·			_																						

* Animals necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 3	0 0 5	0 2 0	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 0 4	0 5 0	0 2 7	0 0 1	0 3 7	0 3 3	0 2 6	0 2 8	0 3 1	0 1 0	0 2 5	0 1 8	0 4 5	0 1 1	0 0 2	0 2 9	0 4 9	0 0 6	0 4 2	0 4 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 7	0 3 4	0 4 3	4	44	0 5 9	0 6 0	0 6 1	0 7 0	0 7 6	0 7 9	8 0	0 8 1	0 8 3	0 9 0	0 9 0	9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	+	* x	N	N	+	+	+	+ X	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+ x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangroma Hemangrosarcoma, metastatic Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	++	+ - -	++++-	++ -+	++++	+++++	++++	+++	+	+ +	++++-	++	+++++	+ + +	++++-		+++	 ++ +-	+++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	++ ++ ++	+ + - +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Sa'vary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Mixed hepato/cholangiocarcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell carcinoma Adenomatous polyp, NOS Small untesting	++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ +N+++ +	++ +2+++ +		++x +z+++ -	++ +N+++ +	++ X +N+++ -	++ ++++ +	++ x +N+++ +	++ + <u>N</u> -+-	-+x +n +	++ X +N+++ +	++ X ++++ +	++ X +N+++ +	++ ++-++ +	++ X ++-++ +	++ ++++ -	++ X +N-++ +	++ X +N-++ +	++ X ++++ +	++X +N+++X +	++ + X +++ +	++ X++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Uraney bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ 	- +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+++++	+ + +	++++	- - + 	+ + + +	+++ ~	-++ ++	++++	, + + + +	+ + + +	+ +	- + +	+++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	-++	+ + + +	++++	++++-	+	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++	++++++++++	++++-	+ + + +	+ + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testas Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	N -	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N 	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + -	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	 + + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardernan gland Adeaoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mised hepato/cholangno carcinoma, metastatic Sarooma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, mised type Lower extremity, NOS Hemangtosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF MALONALDHYDE, SODIUM SALT: LOW DOSE

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 4	0 0 7	0 3 2	0 2 1	0 1 2	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 3 0	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 7	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Fibrona Fibrosarcoma												x	x					X X		X				x		36
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	* *	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ x	+	+	+	+	50 2 5
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	1 48
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++		+++	+ +	47 48
Hemangiona Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic Lymph nodes Thymus	-+	+	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	x + +	- +	+ +	x + -	+ +	1 1 37 30							
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ + x	++	+ +	+ + x	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+++	+ + x	++	++	+++	++	+ + x	+++	+ + X	+ +	48 49 6 15
Mixee nepsto/cholanglocarcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Econharge	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	++++	+ + +	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+ N + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + +	+ N + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	1 49 *50 43 50
Stomach Stomach Adenomatous polyp, NOS Small intestine	+	++	+	++	++	++	++	+ +	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	, + +	++	++	+	++	++	++	, + +	++	+ X +	46 1 1 44
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kidney	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	47
Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
ENDOCKINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Thyroid Follocular cell adenoma	++++++	+ + +	- + +	- + +	 + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + X	- + +	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	- - +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +		+ + +	40 45 48							
Parathyroid	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	~	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	31
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	N +	+++++	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N +	N + +	N -	N	N	N +	N +	N +	N + +	N +	N	N + +	N + +	N +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	*50 44 44
NERVOUS SYSTEM	+	+	+		+	 +	 +	 +		+	, 		•	+	+			+							 +	48
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderan gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	*50 2 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mixed hepato/cholangio carcinoma, meta Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1
Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lower extremity, NOS Hemangusarcoma											x		x			x	x								x	1 4 1
								-			-					÷		~	_	_		_			/	I

* Animals necropsied

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: HIGH DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 8	0 4 7	0 1 2	0 0 5	0 4 9	0 2 4	0 3 9	0 1 4	0 1 1	0 2 2	0 1 3	0 1 6	0 2 6	0 5 0	0 0 2	0 0 1	0 0 9	0 3 7	0 4 1	0 4 6	0 2 3	0 2 5	0 0 3	0 3 1	0 3 2
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 5	0 6 5	0 6 7	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 7 3	0 8 0	0 8 2	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 2
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma Neurofibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	+ x +	+	* *	+ x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + -	++++-	++-	++++-	+++++	++	+++-	+++-	+ + -	++	++	+++-	++++	+++-	+++	 + 	+++-+	++	++++-	++++-
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Fibrosarroma, metastatic	+ + X	+++++	++++	+ +	+ + x	+ + x	+++++	+ +	<u>+</u>	+ + x	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ +	- + X	+ + X	+ + x	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	++++	+++
Hemanguosarcoma Bule duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Escophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++++++	++++	++-+	+ N +	+ N + + + + +	+ 2 + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+++++	- X + +	+ 2 + + +	++++++	+ 2 + +	++++ +	++++++	+ N +	+++-++	++++++	++++++	++-+++	++++ ++	++++ ++	+++++++	++++++	+ X + + + + +	++-+++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Malignant lymphoma, NOS Urinary bladder	++++	+	+++	+	+++	+	+++	++++	-	+	+++	+	+	+++	* *	+ -	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ + +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	++++++	- + +	- + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	- + +	++++	++	- + +	++++-	- - + -	+ + + -	- + +	+++++-		++	+ + + +	+ + + -	+++++	+++++++	++++-	+ - + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	+ + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N 	N + +	N +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N - +	N -	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	Z + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenan gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Mediastinum Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALC OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Alveolar/Dronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic Hemangicosarcoma Malignant jymphoma, NOS Malignant jymphoma, indifferentiated type Malignant jymphoma, histiocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 0	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 8	0 0 6	0 2 7	0 4 4	0 4 5	0 3 6	0 2 1	0 4 0	0 0 4	0 0 7	0 1 5	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 3	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 8	
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 9	1 0 1	1 0 2	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TUTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tassue Sarcoma, NOS Fibrosarcoma Neurofibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	*50 1 3 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Aiveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	+	+	-+	+ X +	+	+	+	+ X +	+++	+	+	+	++	+ x +	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+ X +	49 2 4 4 2 40
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	- + +	++	++++-	+ + - +	+++-	+++-	+ + + +	- + +	+ + + +	+++-	+ + + +	++++-	+ + + +	+++-	++++-	- + + +	+ + + +	++++-	+++	++++-	+++++	++++	+ + + +	+++-	+ + + +	45 50 41 17
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Hepatocellular daenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	+ +	+ + X	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	+++	+ + X	+ + x	+++	++++	++++	+ + X	+ + x	++++	+ + X X	++++	+ + x	+++	+ + X	+ + X X	49 49 5 14 1
Fremangnosarcoma Bale duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+N+++++	++++++	+++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++++	+ 2 + + + + + +	++++++	+++++-+	+ 2 + - + + +	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+ z + + + + +	++++++	++++++	x+N+++++	+ Z + + + + +	++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	1 49 *50 45 48 39 38 42
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Malignant lymphoma, NOS Urinary bladder	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	++	+++	+++	++	++	49 1 41
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+++++-	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++-	++++-	+ + + + + +	- + +	+ + + +	++++-	+ + + + + +	 + +	- + +	+ + + +	++++-	+ + + + x +	- + +	++++-	++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	39 46 1 45 1 21
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testas Prostate	N + +	N - +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N 	N + +	++++	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N ++	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	*50 44 47
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Mediastinum Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metast Hemangiosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1 1 1 1

TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

* Animals necropsied

	Vehicle Control	60 mg/kg	120 mg/kg
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma		<u> </u>	
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.3%	12.6%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/24 (8%)	2/20 (10%)	0/14 (0%)
Week of First Observation	104	91	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.333N	P = 0.428	P = 0.362N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.268N	P = 0.433	P = 0.362N
Cochran Armitaga Trand Test (d)	P = 0.20010	- 0.100	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.2021	P = 0.500	P = 0.247 N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	25.0%	24.8%	11.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/24 (8%)	4/20 (20%)	1/14 (7%)
Week of First Observation	93	61	80
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.260N	P = 0.527 N	P = 0.307N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.006N	P = 0.417N	P=0.094N
Cashran Armitaga Trend Test (d)	D-0.079N	1 - 0.31 (11	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.070 M	P = 0.387N	P=0.100N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibros	arcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	8/50 (16%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (h)	31 94	31 5%	11.8%
Terminel Poten (a)	J1.570 A/DA (1770)	5/30 (95 <i>4</i> L)	1/1 A (70L)
Ierminal Rates (C)	4/24 (1770)	5/20 (25%)	1/14(70)
week of First Observation	93	61 D 0 75 ())	0U D_0.104N
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.160N	P = 0.554 N	P = 0.184N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.044 N	P = 0.454 N	P = 0.047 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.031N	P=0.398N	P=0.036N
Integumentary System: Fibrosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	9/50 (18%)	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	28.5%	24.8%	11.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/24 (13%)	4/20 (20%)	1/14 (7%)
Week of First Observation	93	61	80
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.189N	P = 0.429N	P = 0.239N
Insidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.063N	P = 0.320N	P = 0.067N
Cochean Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.00011	1 = 0.52011	1 -0.00111
Fisher Exact Test (d)	F=0.04511	P = 0.288N	P = 0.061 N
Overall Retector	11/50 (99%)	8/50 (16%)	3/50 (6%)
A diversal Deter (b)	11/00 (2270) 95 90/	0/00 (1070) 91 50L	11 202
Aujusted Rates (b)	5/04 (01 <i>0</i> 1)	51.070 5/90/(95//)	1/1 <i>A (70</i> L)
I erminal nates (C)	0/24 (Z1%)	0/20 (20%)	1/14(170)
week of First Observation	93	01 D 0 40437	0U D
Lite Table Tests (d)	P = 0.113N	P = 0.464N	P=0.139N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.028N	P = 0.364N	P=0.033N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.017N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.306N	P = 0.020N
ntegumentary System: Sarcoma, Fibrosa	rcoma, or Neurofibrosarcon	na	
Overall Rates (a)	12/50 (24%)	10/50 (20%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	35.1%	34.9%	20.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/24 (17%)	4/20 (20%)	1/14 (7%)
Week of First Observation	81	61	80
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.227N	P=0.569N	P = 0.252N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.035N	P = 0.449N	P=0.042N
Cochran. Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.045N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.04011	P = 0.405N	P = 0.054N
A ISHUL MARCE I COL (U)		1 - 0.10011	. 0.00-11

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Vehicle Control	60 mg/kg	120 mg/kg
Integumentary System: Fibroma, Sarcow	a. Fibrosarcoma, or Neur	ofibrosarcome	
Overall Rates (a)	14/50 (28%)	11/50 (22%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	41.6%	38.9%	20.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/24 (25%)	5/20 (25%)	1/14 (7%)
Week of First Observation	81	61	80
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.141 N	P = 0.504N	P = 0.158N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.017N	P = 0.385N	P = 0.021 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.017N	1 0.0001	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.02711	P = 0.322N	P = 0.020N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	7/47 (15%)	5/50 (10%)	4/49 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	28.8%	20.7%	19.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/22 (27%)	3/20 (15%)	2/14 (14%)
Week of First Observation	58	81	70
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.412N	P = 0.451 N	P = 0.486N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.290N	P = 0.393 N	P = 0.381 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.187N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.336N	P=0.238N
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/47 (11%)	1/50 (2%)	4/49 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	18.2%	5.0%	23.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/22 (14%)	1/20 (5%)	2/14 (14%)
Week of First Observation	79	104	95
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.516	P = 0.131N	P = 0.507
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.514N	P = 0.116N	P = 0.607 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.398N	P = 0.088N	P=0.473N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	10/47 (21%)	5/50 (10%)	8/49 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	36.8%	20.7%	40.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/22 (32%)	3/20 (15%)	4/14 (29%)
Week of First Observation	58	81	70
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.465	P = 0.184N	P=0.457
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.446N	P = 0.137N	P=0.546N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.306N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.105N	P = 0.360N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	oma, Histiocytic Type		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.8%	18.1%	4.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/24 (0%)	3/20 (15%)	0/14 (0%)
Week of First Observation	96	97	93
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.553	P = 0.261	P = 0.681 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.503N	P = 0.295	P = 0.500N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.406N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.339	P = 0.500N
lematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All M	lalignant	A/FA (40~	
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	6/50 (12%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.9%	25.1%	15.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/24 (4%)	4/20 (20%)	1/14 (7%)
Week of First Observation	85	90	86
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.338	P = 0.281	P = 0.464
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.562N	P = 0.315	P = 0.569N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.568		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.370	P=0.643

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	60 mg/kg	120 mg/kg
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	6/49 (12%)	5/49 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	14.5%	20.9%	35.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/24 (13%)	2/20 (10%)	5/14 (36%)
Week of First Observation	79	44	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.196	P = 0.287	P = 0.214
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.225	P = 0.333	P = 0.250
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.421		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.357	P=0.487
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	14/50 (28%)	15/49 (31%)	14/49 (29%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	40.5%	42.7%	49.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/24 (25%)	5/20 (25%)	5/14 (36%)
Week of First Observation	62	17	65
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.260	P = 0.350	P = 0.264
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.404 N	P = 0.513	P = 0.490N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.518		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 0.010	P = 0.474	P = 0.563
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcino	ma		
Overall Rates (a)	17/50 (34%)	21/49 (43%)	17/49 (35%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	48.3%	56.4%	66.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/24 (33%)	7/20 (35%)	8/14 (57%)
Week of First Observation	62	17	65
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.194	P=0.163	P=0.195
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.506N	P = 0.263	P=0.577N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.511		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.242	P = 0.555
Harderian Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.5%	10.0%	7.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/24 (8%)	2/20 (10%)	1/14 (7%)
Week of First Observation	100	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.405N	P = 0.584N	P=0.517N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.368N	P = 0.567N	P=0.456N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.222N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.500N	P = 0.309 N
Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Adenocarci	noma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.5%	15.0%	7.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/24 (8%)	3/20 (15%)	1/14 (7%)
Week of First Observation	100	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.445N	P = 0.576	P = 0.517N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.409N	P = 0.592	P = 0.456N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.238N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	0120011	P=0.661	P = 0.309 N
All Sites: Benign Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	19/50 (38%)	16/50 (32%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	66.4%	56.2%	62.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/24 (63%)	9/20 (45%)	8/14 (57%)
Week of First Observation	58	44	70
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.418N	P = 0.568N	P = 0.491 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.223N	P = 0.472N	P = 0.303N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.052N	1 0.4.411	. 0.00011
Fisher Exact Test (d)	A - 0.00011	P = 0.338N	P = 0.063 N

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	60 mg/kg	120 mg/kg
All Sites: Malignant Tumors	<u></u>		
Overall Rates (a)	30/50 (60%)	31/50 (62%)	25/50 (50%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	69.2%	79.6%	76.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/24 (46%)	13/20 (65%)	8/14 (57%)
Week of First Observation	62	17	65
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.279	P = 0.256	P = 0.312
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.094N	P = 0.441	P = 0.112N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.181 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.211N
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	39/50 (78%)	37/50 (74%)	31/50 (62%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	88.4%	91.8%	92.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	19/24 (79%)	17/20 (85%)	12/14 (86%)
Week of First Observation	58	17	65
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.273	P = 0.344	P = 0.289
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.061 N	P = 0.527 N	P = 0.066N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.049N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.408N	P = 0.063N

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICA	LLY 50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization			1	(2%)		
Dilatation, NOS			1	(2%)		
Ulcer, NOS					1	(2%)
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate			1	(2%)		
Abscess, NOS	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Ulcer, chronic	1	(2%)	5	(10%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic focal					2	(4%)
Inflammation, chronic diffuse	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic suppurative					2	(4%)
Abscess, chronic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation with fibrosis			4	(8%)		
Fibrosis	1	(2%)				
Fibrosis, focal	4	(8%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Fibrosis, diffuse	3	(6%)	6	(12%)	3	(6%)
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(2%)	31	(62%)
Atrophy, focal			1	(2%)		
Atrophy, diffuse					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, adenomatous	1	(2%)				
Acanthosis	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Metaplasia, osseous	1	(2%)				
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Foreign body, NOS	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute suppurative			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic			3	(6%)		
Inflammation, chronic suppurative	1	(2%)				
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Trachea	(27)		(48)		(40)	
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(4%)				
#Tracheal gla nd	(27)		(48)		(40)	
Hyperplasia, focal					1	(3%)
Dysplasia, NOS	1	(4%)				
#Lung/bronchiole	(47)		(50)		(49)	
Vegetable foreign body			1	(2%)		
#Lung	(47)		(50)		(49)	
Aspiration, foreign body	1	(2%)				
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis	1 1	(2%) (2%)	1	(2%)		
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute	1 1 6	(2%) (2%) (13%)	1 13	(2%) (26%)	9	(18%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage	1 1 6 4	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%)	1 13 7	(2%) (26%) (14%)	9 4	(18%) (8%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial	1 1 6 4 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%)	9 4 2	(18%) (8%) (4%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal	1 1 6 4 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%)	9 4 2 1	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic	1 1 6 4 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4 1	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%)	9 4 2 1	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic Fibrosis, focal	1 1 6 4 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4 1	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%)	9 4 2 1 1	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic Fibrosis, focal Foreign material, NOS	1 1 6 4 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4 1	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%) (2%)	9 4 2 1 1	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic Fibrosis, focal Foreign material, NOS Alveolar macrophages	1 1 6 4 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4 1	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%) (2%)	9 4 2 1 1 1	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic Fibrosis, focal Foreign material, NOS Alveolar macrophages Hyperplasia, focal	1 1 6 4 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4 1 1	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%) (2%)	9 4 2 1 1 1	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic Fibrosis, focal Foreign material, NOS Alveolar macrophages Hyperplasia, focal Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium	1 1 6 4 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4 1 1 1	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%)	9 4 2 1 1 1	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic Fibrosis, focal Foreign material, NOS Alveolar macrophages Hyperplasia, focal Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium Histiocytosis	1 1 6 4 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 133 7 4 1 1 1 1 2	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (4%)	9 4 2 1 1 1	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%) (2%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic Fibrosis, focal Foreign material, NOS Alveolar macrophages Hyperplasia, focal Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium Histiocytosis #Lung/alveoli	1 1 6 4 1 (47)	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4 1 1 1 1 2 (50)	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (4%)	9 4 2 1 1 1 (49)	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%) (2%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic Fibrosis, focal Foreign material, NOS Alveolar macrophages Hyperplasia, focal Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium Histiocytosis #Lung/alveoli Hemorrhage	1 1 6 4 1 (47) 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 13\\ 7\\ 4\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ (50) \end{array} $	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (4%)	9 4 2 1 1 1 (49)	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%) (2%) (2%)
Aspiration, foreign body Atelectasis Congestion, acute Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal Inflammation, acute/chronic Fibrosis, focal Foreign material, NOS Alveolar macrophages Hyperplasia, focal Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium Histiocytosis #Lung/alveoli Hemorrhage Inflammation, interstitial	1 1 6 4 1 (47) 1	(2%) (2%) (13%) (9%) (2%)	1 13 7 4 1 1 1 1 2 (50) 1	(2%) (26%) (14%) (8%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (4%)	9 4 2 1 1 1 (49)	(18%) (8%) (4%) (2%) (2%)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis			1	(2%)		
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis					1	(2%)
*Blood	(50)		(50)	(- -).	(50)	· • • • •
Leukocytosis, neutrophilic	<i></i>		1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Bone marrow	(48)		(47)		(45)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)		((100)
Congestion, acute	4	(8%)	6	(13%)	8	(18%)
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Abscess, NUS		(07)			1	(2%)
Necrosis, local	1	(2%)				(00)
Hypopiasia, NUS	2	(4%)		(110)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, diffuse	1	(19%)	5	(11%)	11	(24%)
Hyperplasia, erythroid	r	(100)	17	(2%)	c	(1901)
#Sploon	0 (477)	(1070)	11 (AQ)	(0070)	(EO)	(1070)
Depletion lymphoid	(47)	(994)	(40)	(994)	(00)	(94)
Hypoplasia lymphoid	-	(270)		(270)	1	(270)
#Splenic follicles	(47)		(48)		(50)	(270)
Necrosis focal	(47)		(40)		(00)	(90)
Atronhy diffuse	7	(1594)		(94)	3	(694)
Hypernlasia diffuse	1	(10%)	-	(070)	0 1	(0%) (9%)
Hyperplasia, unuse	1 9	(470) (AGL)	1	(94)	1 9	(470) (AGL)
Hypoplasia, lymphoid		(470) (904)	1	(270)	2	(40)
#Splanic red pulp	1 (47)	(270)	(49)	(270)	(50)	(4870)
Congestion scute	(47)		(40)	(994)	(00)	(294)
Hemetonoiesis	10	(4096)	20	(470) (490L)	19	(270) (360L)
#I ymph node	17 (27)	(4070)	(37)	(4270)	(41)	(30%)
Congestion soute	(37)		(31)	(50)	(41)	
Homorrhago	1	(90)	2	(110)	4	(100)
Hyperplagie reticulum coll	1	(370)	1	(1170)	4	(1070)
Hyperplasia, lenculum cen Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(370)	2	(0%)
Hemetonologie	1	(20)	1	(370)	1	(994)
Hyponlesie lymphoid	1	(370)	•	(370)	1	(270) (90L)
#Mandibular lymph node	(27)		(27)		(41)	(270)
Hemorrhage	(07)	(201)	(37)	(901)	(41)	(90)
Depletion lymphoid	1	(370)		(370)	1	(270)
Hyperplasia rationlum cell	9	(801)			1	(270)
Mastocytosia	2	(070)	1	(20)		
Hypoplasia lymphoid			1	(370)		
#Tracheal lymph node	(37)		(37)	(370)	(41)	
Hemorrhage	1	(396)	(37)		(41)	
#Mediastinal lymph node	(37)		(37)		(41)	
Histiocytosis	(01)		(01)		1	(296)
#Mesenteric lymph node	(37)		(37)		(41)	(2,2)
Edema, NOS	(01)		(01)		1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	6	(16%)	3	(8%)	7	(17%)
Necrosis, focal	-		•	(0.07	i	(2%)
Histiocytosis	1	(3%)			•	
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	ī	(3%)				
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	-		1	(3%)	2	(5%)
Hematopoiesis	1	(3%)	ī	(3%)	-	,
Hypoplasia, lymphoid	•		•		1	(2%)
#Renal lymph node	(37)		(37)		(41)	,
Necrosis, focal					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					i	(2%)
#Inguinal lymph node	(37)		(37)		(41)	,
Inflammation should farel					1	(2%)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Lung	(47)		(50)		(49)	
Leukocytosis, NOS			2	(4%)		
#Alveolar wall	(47)		(50)		(49)	
Leukocytosis, neutrophilic					1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Leukocytosis, neutrophilic					1	(2%)
Hematopoiesis	4	(8%)	7	(14%)		
#Hepatic sinusoid	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Hematopoiesis	1	(2%)				
#Small intestine	(39)		(44)		(38)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					1	(3%)
#Thymus	(25)		(30)		(17)	
Congestion, acute			1	(3%)		
Hemorrhage	1	(4%)				
Inflammation, active chronic	1	(4%)				
Atrophy, diffuse					2	(12%)
#Thymic cortex	(25)		(30)		(17)	
Necrosis, NOS	-		1	(3%)		
#Thymic lymphocytes	(25)		(30)		(17)	
Degeneration, NOS	1	(4%)				
Necrosis, NOS			1	(3%)		
Necrosis, diffuse					1	(6%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Heart	(47)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation acute/chronic	(*)		2	(4%)	(00)	
#Heart/atrium	(47)		(50)	(4%)	(50)	
Thrombosis NOS	1	(296)	(00)		(00)	
Thrombus organized	-	(2,0)			1	(296)
#Heart/ventricle	(47)		(50)		(50)	(2,0)
Mineralization	(41)		1	(296)	(00)	
Histiocytosis			1	(2%)		
#Myocardium	(47)		(50)	(= ,,,)	(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	()		(00)		1	(296)
Degeneration, NOS			1	(2%)	-	(=,0)
Atronhy focal			-	(2,2)	1	(296)
*Renal vein	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2,0)
Inflemmation with fibrosis	(00)	(294)	(50)		(00)	
#Prostate	(49)	(270)			(47)	
Thrombus organized	(42)	(94)	(44)		(41)	
Thromous, organized		(270)				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Salivary gland	(49)		(48)		(49)	
Atrophy, focal			5	(10%)	1	(2%)
Atrophy, diffuse	3	(6%)			1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Congestion, acute			1	(2%)		10.01
Congestion, chronic					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)	-	(0.01)
Inflammation, acute focal					1	(2%)
inflammation, granulomatous focal			-	(4.00)	1	(2%)
Inflammation with fibrosis			2	(4%)		
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
Necrosis, coagulative	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, ischemic					1	(2%)
Focal cellular change					3	(6%)
Angiectasis	1	(2%)				
#Liver/centrilobular	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Degeneration, NOS					1	(2%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization					2	(4%)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
IGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)				<u> </u>		
#Liver/hepatocytes	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Degeneration, lipoid					1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal					1	(2%)
Focal cellular change	1	(2%)			ĩ	(2%)
Atrophy, focal	-	()			ĩ	(2%)
Atrophy, diffuse			1	(2%)	-	(,
Hyperplasia, focal			-	(2,2)	1	(2%)
*Gallbladder	(50)		(50)		(50)	(=)
Cvst. NOS	(,		(++)		1	(2%)
#Bile duct	(50)		(49)		(49)	(=,
Dilatation, NOS	1	(2%)	((,	
Hypernlasia cystic	1	(296)				
#Pancreas	(43)	(= ~)	(43)		(45)	
Multiple cysts	(40)	(2%)	(10)		(10)	
Hemorrhage, chronic	1	(296)				
Inflammation supportive	1	(296)				
Inflammation chronic focal	1	(296)				
Negrosig ischemie	1	(270)			1	(994)
Necrosis, ischenne					1	(270)
Histicoutosic					1	(270)
#Dancreatic acinus	(42)		(49)		(45)	(270)
Norrasis food	(40)	(99)	(40)		(40)	
Feed cellular change	1	(270)	2	(70)		
Atrophy food	E	(1906)	ა 19	(170) (A994)	20	(CAQ)
Atrophy, local	5	(1270)	10	(4270)	49	(0470)
*Reanhageal lumen	(50)		(50)		(50)	(4170)
Hemorrhage	(00)	(29)	(00)		(00)	
#Esonhagus	(48)		(50)		(48)	
Lacerated wound	(40)	(496)	(00)	(294)	(40)	
#Perjesonhageal tissue	(48)	(4,0)	(50)		(48)	
Inflammation active chronic	(40)	(29)	(50)		(40)	
#Stomach	(44)	(210)	(46)		(39)	
Inflammation sunnurative	(**)		(40)	(94)	(03)	
Lilcor acute			1	(270)		
Inflammation acute/chronic			1	(270)		
Inflammation, acute/chrometous	1	(90)	1	(2.10)		
Hunarkaratasia	1	(270)			1	(20)
Acenthosis	1	(90)			1	(370)
#Castria mussas	(44)	(270)	(46)		(20)	(370)
Ulcer soute	(44)	(90)	(40)		(39)	
#Costric fundel gland		(270)	(46)		(20)	
Motoplacia NOS	(44)		(40)		(39)	(20)
Motanlasia, 1900 Motanlasia, emamone	1	(99 6)			1	(070)
#Cardiac stomach	1 (44)	(470)	(AC)		(20)	
Hunarkaratogia	(44)		(40)	(94)	(39)	
A conthecia			1	(470) (904)		
Acanthosis 49mall interting	(00)		1	(270)	(00)	
#oman intestine	(39)	(90)	(44)		(38)	
Innammation, acute necrotizing	1	(3%)			-	(00)
					1	(3%)
#Small intestine/mucous membrane	(39)		(44)		(38)	(A A •
Aurophy, local			1	(2%)	1	(3%)
Atrophy, attuse			1	(2%)		
#Intestinal villus	(39)		(44)		(38)	
Atrophy, NOS			1	(2%)		
Atrophy, diffuse			2	(5%)	1	(3%)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
#Colon	(43)		(47)		(42)	
Parasitism	3	(7%)	4	(9%)	5	(12%)
#Colonic crypt of Lieberkuhn	(43)		(47)		(42)	
Atrophy, diffuse					1	(2%)
#Cecum	(43)		(47)		(42)	
Inflammation, chronic necrotizing					1	(2%)
Parasitism	1	(2%)				
JRINARY SYSTEM						
#Urinary bladder/cavity	(41)		(46)		(41)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
#Kidney	(50)		(47)		(49)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Hydronephrosis			1	(2%)		_
Congestion, acute					1	(2%)
Inflammation, interstitial	-		1	(2%)	-	
Pyelonephritis, acute	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Pyelonephritis, chronic	-	(07)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Nephropathy	1	(2%)		(00)		
Hyperplasia, tubular cell	(50)		1	(2%)	(40)	
# Kidney/cortex	(50)	(4.02)	(47)		(49)	(00)
Mineralization	2	(4%)			3	(0%)
Nanhuanathu	2	(4970) (4974)	17	(260)	1 00	(450)
Information formation	21	(4270)	17	(30%)	22	(4070)
Infarct healed	1	(2%)				
Materlasia Assonis	•	(4 10)			1	(296)
#Kidney/glomerulug	(50)		(47)		(49)	
Atrophy. diffuse			1	(2%)	(40)	
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(47)	(2.0)	(49)	
Mineralization	(007		1	(2%)	(
Dilatation, NOS	1	(2%)	ī	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute suppurative	-		1	(2%)		
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Atrophy, focal					2	(4%)
Atrophy, diffuse			3	(6%)		
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(47)		(49)	
Hydronephrosis			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(0.0)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)	(20)		(EA)	
Ureter Inflammation abrania communities	(00)		(00)	(994)	(00)	
HIIIAMMALION CHIONIC SUPPURALIVE	(41)		1 (AG)	(470)	(41)	
T umphosytic inflammatory infiltrate	(41)		(40)		(41)	(296)
Inflammation scute diffuse	1	(296)			1	(2,0)
Inflammation, active untuse	I		1	(2%)	2	(5%)
Inflammation, chronic diffuse			1	(2%)	4	
Inflammation, chronic necrotizing			•		2	(5%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial					ĩ	(2%)
#Urinary bladder/mucous membrane	(41)		(46)		(41)	-
Necrosis, diffuse	1	(2%)	• •			
*Prostatic urethra	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Nameria NOS	1	(906)				

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary	(39)		(40)		(39)	
Cyst, NOS	2	(5%)	2	(5%)	1	(3%)
Multiple cysts					1	(3%)
Congestion, acute			1	(3%)	3	(8%)
Hyperplasia, focal					1	(3%)
#Anterior pituitary	(39)		(40)		(39)	
Embryonal duct cyst			1	(3%)		
#Adrenal	(44)		(45)		(46)	
Hypertrophy, focal		(2.2.)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal	2	(5%)			(10)	
#Adrenal cortex	(44)		(45)	(0.0)	(46)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate	•		1	(2%)		(00)
Hypertrophy, local	Z	(5%)	D (AF)	(11%)	4	(9%)
# ZONA lasciculata	(44)		(40)		(40)	(97)
Advensional medulle			(48)		(46)	(270)
Personantian NOS	(44)		(40)		(40)	(90)
Huperplasia focel			1	(9aL)	1	(270)
#Derindronal tissue			(AK)	(270)	(46)	
Hemorrhage	(444)		(40)	(94)	(40)	
#Thyroid	(45)		(49)	(270)	(45)	
Cyst NOS	(40)		(40)		1	(296)
Hyperplasia cystic					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, cyshe Hyperplasia, follicular coll	3	(7%)			*	(4 %)
#Thyroid follicle	(45)	(1,2)	(48)		(45)	
Atronhy, focal	1	(296)			(40)	
Hyperplasia, cystic	•		1	(296)	2	(4%)
#Parathyroid	(13)		(31)		(21)	(10)
Thyroglossal duct cyst	1	(8%)	(00)		(+=)	
Hyperplasia, diffuse	Ĩ	(8%)				
#Pancreatic islets	(43)		(43)		(45)	
Hyperplasia, focal					2	(4%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Penis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute suppurative	(00)		1	(2%)		
*Prepuce	(50)		(50)	(2.07)	(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst	1	(2%)				
Inflammation with fibrosis	1	(2%)				
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS	1	(2%)				
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
#Prostate	(42)		(44)		(47)	
Mineralization			1	(2%)		
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			-	
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)	-		1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute diffuse			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute suppurative	1	(2%)	6	(14%)	6	(13%)
inflammation, acute/chronic			2	(5%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, chronic local			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Annaha difference					1	(470)
Auropny, alliuse *Sominal vasiala	(20)		/EA1		1	(2%)
Dilatation NOS	(50)	(1.496.)	(00)	(404)	(00)	(160)
Hemorrhage	1	(1970) (996)	2	(470)	ð	(1070)
Inflammation chronic focal	1	(90L)				
Inflammation with fibrosis	1	(296)				
Hyperplasia, focal	2	(496)			1	(2%)
	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4	· - / · /

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Testis	(45)		(44)		(44)	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
Metaplasia, osseous					1	(2%)
Dysplasia, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Testis/tubule	(45)		(44)	<i>(</i> a a a a a a a a a a	(44)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)	5	(11%)		(00)
Degeneration, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Atrophy, local				(20)	1	(2%)
Atrophy, dinuse	(50)		3	(1%)	3	(1%)
	(50)		(50)	(00)	(50)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory inflitrate		(10)	1	(2%)	0	(10)
Inflammation, acute/chronic	Z	(4%)	1	(2%)	Z	(4%)
Inflammation, chronic local	1	(2%)				(00)
Inflammation, chronic suppurative					I A	(2%)
Granuloma, spermatic					4	(8%)
Inflammation with fibrosis					1	(2%)
INECTOSIS, IOCAL Atrophy diffuso					1	(2%)
Aurophy, alluse		(90)			1	(2%)
riyperplasia, local		(2%)	/EA\		(20)	
Derotum Inflommation charges freel	(50)		(00)		(00)	(90)
innammation, chronic focal					1	(2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain/meninges	(48)		(48)		(46)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Brain/ependyma	(48)		(48)		(46)	
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
#Brain	(48)		(48)		(46)	
Mineralization	14	(29%)	15	(31%)	21	(46%)
Hydrocephalus, NOS					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Cerebral white matter	(48)	(0~)	(48)		(46)	(00)
Mineralization	1	(2%)	(10)		1	(2%)
#Brain/thalamus	(48)		(48)	(0.0)	(46)	(07)
			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS					1 U U	
*Eye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Phthisis bulbi					1	(2%)
*Eye/cornea	(50)		(50)	_	(50)	
Inflammation, chronic suppurative			1	(2%)		
IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Joint of lower extremity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic suppurative					1	(2%)
Abscess, chronic	1	(2%)			-	
*Intercostal muscle	(50)	,,	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, necrotizing granulomatous	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)	
RODY CAVITIES					<u> </u>	
*Modiactinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Foreign body NOS	(00)	(496)	(00)	(296)	(00)	
Inflammation south suppurative	2	(40L)	1	(294)		
			-			

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High Do	se
BODY CAVITIES (Continued)						
*Peritoneum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, fat					1 (2%	6)
*Peritoneal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Abscess, chronic	1	(2%)				
*Pleural cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Foreign body, NOS	2	(4%)				
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute suppurative	2	(4%)				
*Pleura	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, pyogranulomatous			1	(2%)		
*Subpleural tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate			1	(2%)		
*Mediastinal pleura	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
*Pericardial cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic suppurative	1	(2%)				
*Pericardium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic suppurative			1	(2%)		
*Epicardium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute suppurative	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, necrotizing granulomatous	i		1	(2%)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS	<u> </u>		<u></u>			
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, acute	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1 (2%)
Periorbital region		•		-	_	
Inflammation, chronic suppurative	-				1 ,	2

SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY None

Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN

THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF

MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

TABLE D1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	145
TABLE D2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	148
TABLE D3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	154
TABLE D4	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	157

PAGE

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

Ve	ehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Trichoepithelioma					1	(2%)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosarcoma			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic					2	(4%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	4	(8%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic			2	(4%)		
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	3	(6%)	7	(14%)	2	(4%)
#Splenic follicles	(47)		(50)		(49)	(a a)
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type		(0~)			1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	1	(2%)	(44)		(45)	
# Mediastinal lymph node	(45)		(41)	(90)	(45)	
#Denerontia lumph node	(45)		(41)	(270)	(45)	
Malignant lymphoma lymphosytic type	(40)		(41)		(40)	(296)
#Mesenteric lymphone.	(45)		(41)		(45)	(2 %)
Sarcoma, NOS, unclear primary or metastatic	(10)		()		1	(2%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)	(20)		(
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	· -	(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)		
#Bone marrow	(45)		(43)		(46)	
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)		
#Spleen	(47)		(50)		(49)	
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)		
#Splenic red pulp	(47)		(50)		(49)	
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)		
#Ovary	(44)		(45)		(46)	
Hemangioma	1	(2%)				
IGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hepatocellular adenoma					2	(4%)
	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	3	(6%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	-				(40)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma #Cardiac stomach	(46)		(47)		(48)	

None

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>		
#Pituitary	(43)		(40)		(40)	
Adenoma, NOS	2	(5%)	4	(10%)		
#Pituitary intermedia	(43)		(40)		(40)	
Adenoma, NOS			1	(3%)	1	(3%)
#Adrenal	(48)		(45)		(45)	
Pheochromocytoma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Adrenal/capsule	(48)		(45)		(45)	
Carcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Adenoma, NOS	(40)			(2%)	(45)	
#Adrenal medulia	(48)		(40)		(40)	(90)
rneocnromocytoma Thuroid	(49)		(48)		(14)	(2%)
# Inyrolu Follicular cell adenome	(40)	(69)	(40)	(6%)	(***)	
#Pencreatic islate	(47)	(0%)	(50)	(0,0)	(48)	
Islet cell carcinoma	1	(296)	(00)		(40)	
		(2 %)				
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Fibroadenoma			1	(2%)		
#Uterus	(49)		(48)		(47)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, in situ					1	(2%)
Endometrial stromal polyp	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Ovary	(44)	(07)	(45)		(46)	
Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	1	(2%)		(00)		
Papillary cystadenocarcinoma NOS			I ·	(2%)		
Teratoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM None						
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS					2	(4%)
IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Bone/lower extremity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Osteoma	1	(2%)				
ODY CAVITIES						
*Thoracic cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic	~~~~		1	(2%)	C <i>i</i>	
LL OTHER SYSTEMS None						
NIMAL DISDOSITION STIMMADY	· <u>·</u> ····			<u> </u>		
Animale initially in study	20		E0.		ED	
Natural death	00		50		50	
I ALUI AI UPALII	0		4		ō	
Marihund sacrifice	9		"		F	
Moribund sacrifice Terminal sacrifice	3 41		7 39		5	

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	High Dose
TUMOR SUMMARY		//////////////////////////////////////	
Total animals with primary tumors**	27	31	26
Total primary tumors	35	44	31
Total animals with benign tumors	15	13	9
Total benign tumors	15	14	9
Total animals with malignant tumors	18	23	20
Total malignant tumors	20	29	21
Total animals with secondary tumors##		3	2
Total secondary tumors		4	2
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant		1	
Total uncertain tumors		1	
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
primary or metastatic			1
Total uncertain tumors			ī

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

• Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. ** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

.

Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: VEHICLE CONTROL

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 7	0 4 4	0 4 0	0 2 8	0 3 0	0 1 0	0 3 7	0 2 0	0 3 9	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array} $	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 4 6	0 7 3	0 7 7	0 8 2	0 8 9	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 5	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+++	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	* * +	+	+	++	* * +	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+ X +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Mahgnant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Thymus	+ - +	+ -+ ++	+++-	++++++	++++-	+++++	+++-	++ ++ ++	- + +	++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++-	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	++++-	- + + +	+ + +		+ + + +	+ + + -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	+ + + N - +	++X+N-++X	++ +2++	+++ +N -+	-+ +++++ ++	++ ++++ ++	++ +++++ ++	++ +N+++ ++	++ ++++ ++	++ +N+++ ++	++ +N+++ ++	-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++ ++	++ ++++ ++	++ ++++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +++++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidnəy Urinary bladdər	+++++	+	+	+	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++	++++	+++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Carcinoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Foilicular cell adenoma Farathyroid	- + -	++++	- + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + -	++++~	+ + + X +	- + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ X + +	++++++	+++++	++++	- + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + X	++++	+ x + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus	N 	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	* *	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	+ +	N +	+++
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Papillary cystadenoma, NOS Hemangroma	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	-	+ X	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Hemangiosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N X	N	N X X	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X

+: Tissue examined microscopically - Required tissue not examined microscopically X: Tumor incidence N Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination S: Animal missexed

No tissue information submitted C Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A Autolysis Animal missing B No necropsy performed

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 8	019	0 2 1	022	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 7	0 2 9	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3	0 3 5	036	0 3 8	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 8	0 4 9	0 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TOTAL TISSUES TUMORS
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 4 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	• ++ ++ ++	• ++×++	++	• • • • • • • •	+ + + +	++ ++ +	, + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ +	+ + + + +	, ++ ++ ++	- + +	• • • • • • • • • •	, ++ ++ ++	+ + + +	++ ++ ++	++++-	+ + + +	, + + + +	• • • • •	, + + + -	• • • • • •	+ + + +	45 47 1 45 34
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + X +	+ + +	+ + +	47 50 2 50
Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	N+++	++++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	++++++	+++++	+ + + +	++++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	*50 47 50 46 1
URINARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	45
Urinary bladder ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	46
Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Carcinoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	+ -	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	- *	+ + X	+ +	43 2 48 1 1
Folicular cell adenoma Folicular cell adenoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell carcinoma	+++	x - +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	- +	+ +	- +	+ +	- +	+ + X	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + +	3 29 47 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	+	+	N	+	N	N	+	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	+	*50 1
Oterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Papillary cystadenoma, NOS Hemangioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* +	49 1 44 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Hemangiosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	*50 1 3 1 5 3

* Animals necropsied

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: LOW DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	042	008	025	0 3 7	0 1 8	0 3 8	0 1 5	0 1 3	02	046	049	0 2 1	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 4	0 1 6
weeks on Study	035	065	0 7 2	0 7 8	80	8 0	0 9 5	9 7	0 9 9	1 0 0	100	103	1 0 5												
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	-	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	* x	+ X	+	+	+	+	+
Trachea	+	+	+	-	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	t	+		+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Hemangiosarcoma Spleen	+	+++	+	+ x +	+++	+++	+	++	++	+++	+	++	+++	++	+	++	+ +	++	+	+	 +	+	- +	+++	+++
Hemangtosarcoma Lymph nodes Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Thumus	-	+	+	× +	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	× + +	+	+	+	+	-+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	-	+	+	+	+	 +	+	+	+	+	+	 +	+	 +	+	+	+	 +	+	+	+	 +	+	 +	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	-								+				 +				+	+	+	+	+	+	- <u>-</u>	+	+
Sanvary gland Liver Hepatocellular carvinoma	Ŧ	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	++++++	+ N + +	× N + +	++	+ N + +	+ N + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+N + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++						
Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++++++	++++	++++	-	+ + +	- - +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+ + +	++									
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	++	+	+ +	+	++++	++	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+	+ +	+	- +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	÷
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	•+	+	+	+	+	-	* x	+	+	+	+	*
Adenoma, NOS		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	++	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	×	+	+ X +	+	-
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adeaocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma	N	+	+	+	N	N	N	*	N	N	N	*	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Uterus Ovary Papillary cystadenocarcinoma, NOS Teratoma, NOS	+++	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	-	+ +	+ * X	+ +	+ +	1 1	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++							
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES Pleura	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic								-	-	-	-	-	-		X	-			-		-		-		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type		X				X	x				x													x	

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 7	0 2 8	029	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 3	0 4 4	0 4 5	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	N	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+ X	+	+	*50 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	*	+ X X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	50 4 3 2
Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Hemangnosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	43 1
Spleen Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2
Lymph nodes Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	-	+	+	41
Thymus	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-		+	-	+	+	_	+	_	+	-	+	+	+	+	32
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	<u> </u>																									
Salıvary gland Liver Hanatocellular carcinoma	+++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	++++	+++++	+++	+++	+ +	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	48 50 3
Bile duct	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Pancreas	Ŧ,	Ŧ	÷	+	+	Ŧ	÷	÷	÷	+	14 +	+	++	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	++	+	÷	Ŧ	+	++	50
Esophagus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Sconach Small intestine Large intestine	++++	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ +	+ + +	+ + +	+ +	-+ +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	48 48
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	 + +	+++	+++	+ +	+	++	++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+	+ +	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	49 47
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	-	+	+	+	*	+	-	+	+	-	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	_	*	_	+	+	40 5
Adrenal	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	‡	+	-	+	-	+	+	45
Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	-	+	+	+	+	-	+		+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	_	+	X +	+	-	3 32
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Fibroadenoma	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1
Ovary Papillary cystadenocarcinoma, NOS Teratoma, NOS	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷ x	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	45 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metast	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, bistocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	*50 1 4 2
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type																	x		x		x			x		7

* Animals necropsied

TABLE D2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR	
	GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT: HIGH DOSE	

ANIMAL NUMBER	037	003	002	0 3 0	028	0 3 4	035	0 2 6	0 1 3	0 1 1	0 1 0	0 1 4	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 4 9	0 5 0	0 1 6	0 2 3	0 3 9	0 0 1	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 5 2	0 7 4	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 9 0	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 4	9 4	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Trichoepithelioma Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	+++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	N N	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	++	N N	+ +
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Lymph nodes Sarcoma, NOS, unclear primary or metastatic Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Thymus	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ - + +	++	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + +	+ + + +	++ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + -	++ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	++ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + - +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carrange	++++	+ +	++++	++++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	- +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ + *	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	++++
Appartentiate Cartanana Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+ 2 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + + +	++++++	+ 2 + + +	++++++	+N+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + +	++++++	+ 2 + + + + +	++-+++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	{++++ ++++	++-+++	++++++	+ + + + + + +	+ + + + + + +	++++++	+ z + + + + +	+++ +++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+	<u>+</u>	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+ +	+	+++	+ + +	- +	+ +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid	++++-	+ + + + +	+++++	++++-	+ + + + + +	+++	- + + +	**+ 	+ + + + +	+ + +	+++-	+++++	- + + +	+++++	+++++	- + -	++++++	 ++	+++	++++	+ + + +	- + +	+ - + -	+ + X -	- + -
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus Squamous cell carcinoma, in situ Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	N + +	N + +	+++++	** +	N + +	N + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	N + +	+++++	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	* * +	N + X +	+ -	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardeman gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N

TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 2	0 1 5	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 4	025	0 2 7	0 2 9	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 6	0 3 8	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 4	0 4 5	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TIŠSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	<u> </u>								<u> </u>															 		±==
Trichoepithelioma Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	1 *50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 2 2
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	х _	+	+	X +	2 42
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	+	+	+	 +	 +	+	+	 +	 +	+	+	 +	+		+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	46
Spleen	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	49
Lymph nodes Sarcoma, NOS, unclear primary or meta	+	_	+	+	л +	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	45 1
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Thymus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 44
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Sahvary gland		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	x x	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	50 2 3
Bile duct	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++++	+++	+++	N +	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	N +	+++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	*50 48
Esophagus	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	-	÷	÷	+	48
Small intestine	++	+	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	48
Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+	++++	49 45
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+		-	+	+	+	40
Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	45 2
Thyroid Parathyroid	+ +	+ +	-	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	++++	+	<u>+</u>	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	44 29
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adaporary nome NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Uterus Squamous cell carcinoma, in situ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	47
Endometriai stromal polyp Ovary	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	1 46
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	*50 2 3 1 2

* Animals necropsied

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

	Vehicle Control	60 mg/kg	120 mg/kg
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.4%	9.9%	6.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/41 (7%)	3/38 (8%)	1/29 (3%)
Week of First Observation	93	80	94
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.400 N	P = 0.607	P = 0.470N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.243N	P = 0.638	P=0.319N
Cochron-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.24011	x = 0.000	1 -0.01010
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.2021	P = 0.643	P=0.349N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.4%	7.9%	6.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/41 (2%)	3/38 (8%)	2/29 (7%)
Week of First Observation	104	105	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.270	P = 0.278	P = 0.380
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.270	P = 0.278	P = 0.380
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.391		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.309	P=0.492
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	7/50 (14%)	4/49 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.8%	17.6%	12.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/41 (10%)	6/38 (16%)	3/29 (10%)
Week of First Observation	93	80	94
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.495	P = 0.335	P = 0.592
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.495N	P = 0.360	P = 0.561 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.449N	P = 0.380	P = 0.513N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lympl	noma, Lymphocytic Type		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.4%	10.0%	10.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/41 (2%)	3/38 (8%)	2/30 (7%)
Week of First Observation	104	97	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.088	P = 0.165	P = 0.127
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.167	P = 0.187	P = 0.210
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.146		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.181	P = 0.181
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	ioma, Histiocytic Type		
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.4%	4.2%	5.6%
Terminal Kates (c)	3/41 (7%)	0/38(0%)	1/30 (3%)
week of First Observation		65	84
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.206N	P = 0.238N	P = 0.321 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.062N	P = 0.161 N	P = 0.156N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.146N		D
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.218N	P = 0.218N
lematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	oma, Mixed Type	HING (A SHORE)	0.000
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	7/50 (14%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.3%	17.2%	5.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/41 (7%)	5/38 (13%)	0/30 (0%)
Week of First Observation	82	95	83
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.446N	P = 0.233	P = 0.444N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.192N	P = 0.273	P = 0.180N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.297 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.262	P = 0.339N

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	60 mg/kg	120 mg/kg
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malign	ant		
Overall Rates (a)	13/50 (26%)	14/50 (28%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	27.8%	31.9%	25.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/41 (20%)	9/38 (24%)	4/30 (13%)
Week of First Observation	46	65	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.519N	P = 0.442	P = 0.534N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.102N	P = 0.550	P = 0.119N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.281 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.318N
Circulatory System: Hemangiosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.4%	7.3%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/41 (0%)	2/38 (5%)	0/30 (0%)
Week of First Observation	103	78	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.455N	P = 0.288	P = 0.567N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.332N	P = 0.366	P = 0.338N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.378N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.309	P = 0.500 N
Circulatory System: Hemangioma or Hemangi	osarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.8%	7.3%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/41 (2%)	2/38 (5%)	0/30 (0%)
Week of First Observation	103	78	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.272N	P = 0.473	P = 0.313N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.184N	P = 0.552	P = 0.182N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.202N	D	5
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.247N
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma	0.000		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.4%	7.9%	9.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/41 (2%)	3/38 (8%)	2/30 (7%)
Week of First Observation	73	105	98
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.298	P = 0.469	P = 0.395
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.373	P = 0.509	P = 0.522
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.412		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.500
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.4%	7.9%	16.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/41 (2%)	3/38 (8%)	4/30 (13%)
Week of First Observation	73	105	98
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.085	P = 0.469	P = 0.130
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.117	P = 0.509	P = 0.194
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.158		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.218
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/43 (5%)	4/40(10%)	0/40 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.6%	12.9%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/36 (6%)	4/31 (13%)	0/24 (0%)
Week of First Observation	104	105	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.355N	P = 0.269	P = 0.331 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.355N	P = 0.269	P = 0.331 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.245N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.304	P = 0.265 N

	Vehicle Control	60 mg/kg	120 mg/kg
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/48 (6%)	3/48 (6%)	0/44 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.3%	7.9%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/40 (5%)	3/38 (8%)	0/26 (0%)
Week of First Observation	103	105	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.182N	P = 0.636	P = 0.202N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.139N	P = 0.651 N	P = 0.126N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.115N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.661	P = 0.138N
All Sites: Benign Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	15/50 (30%)	13/50 (26%)	9/50 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	33.9%	33.1%	26.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	12/ 41 (2 9%)	12/38 (32%)	6/30 (20%)
Week of First Observation	73	80	83
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.309N	P = 0.499N	P = 0.347N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.140N	P = 0.440N	P = 0.141 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.101 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.412N	P = 0.121 N
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	18/50 (36%)	23/50 (46%)	20/50 (40%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	36.6%	50.7%	49.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/41 (24%)	16/38 (42%)	11/30 (37%)
Week of First Observation	46	65	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.131	P=0.183	P = 0.172
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.472N	P = 0.280	P = 0.484N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.380		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.208	P = 0.418
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	27/50 (54%)	31/50 (62%)	26/50 (52%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	54.0%	68.6%	64.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	18/41 (44%)	24/38 (63%)	16/30 (53%)
Week of First Observation	46	65	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.175	P = 0.212	P = 0.230
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.361 N	P=0.329	P=0.346N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.460N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.272	P = 0.500N

TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

v	ehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	Y 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Abscess, NOS	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute/chronic			1	(2%)		(0.7)
Ulcer, chronic					1	(2%)
Fibrogia diffuso					1	(2%)
Pigmentation NOS	9	(496)			27	(270) (54%)
Acanthosis	-	(470)	1	(2%)	21	(0470)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)	
Metaplasia, cartilaginous	1	(2%)	()			
	- <u> </u>					<u> </u>
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	(47)				(40)	
#Peritracneal tissue	(47)		(47)		(42)	(9a)
#Lung/bronchiole	(50)		(50)		(49)	(270)
Vegetable foreign body	(30)		(50)		(43)	(296)
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(49)	(2,2)
Congestion, acute	1	(2%)	6	(12%)	3	(6%)
Hemorrhage	6	(12%)	5	(10%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, interstitial			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Pneumonia, giant cell	1	(2%)				
Pneumonia, aspiration					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium			1	(2%)		
Histiocytosis	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
#Lung/Alveoli Histiocytosis	(00)		(50)		(49)	(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis					1	(2%)
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)				
#Bone marrow	(45)	(19)	(43)	(0 ~)	(46)	(10)
Congestion, acute	2	(4%) (9%)	4	(9%)	Z	(4%)
Hypoplasia NOS	2	(2%) (A%)	3	(796)	6	(1396)
Atrophy, focal	4	(4,0)	1	(2%)	U	(10 %)
Hyperplasia, focal			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, diffuse	9	(20%)	5	(12%)	1	(2%)
Myelofibrosis					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, granulocytic	3	(7%)	6	(14%)	3	(7%)
#Splenic follicles	(47)		(50)		(49)	
Atrophy, diffuse	4	(9%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)
Hyperplasia, diffuse	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	-	(100)	1	(2%)		(0=+)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	6	(13%)	9	(18%)	13	(27%)
nypopiasia, lympnoid #Splania rad pulp	(417)		2	(41%)	3	(0%)
Congestion acute	(47)	(196)	(50)		(49) 1	(996)
Pigmentation NOS	2 9	(196)			1	(470)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)				
Hematopoiesis	18	(38%)	20	(40%)	15	(31%)

	Vehicle	e Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Lymph node	(45)		(41)		(45)	
Congestion, acute					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)	2	(5%)		
#Mandibular lymph node	(45)		(41)		(45)	
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	1	(2%)	-			
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			2	(5%)	1	(2%)
#Tracheal lymph node	(45)		(41)		(45)	(0.0)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(4.5)				1	(2%)
# Mediastinal lymph node	(45)		(41)	(99)	(45)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(45)		1 (41)	(2%)	(45)	
# Mesenteric lymph hode	(40)		(41)		(40)	(294)
Hemorrhege chronic					1	(2%)
Inflammation acute sunnurative					1	(2.6)
Inflammation, acute supportative					1	(2%)
Hematonoiesis					i	(2%)
#Renal lymph node	(45)		(41)		(45)	(=,0)
Hemorrhage	(10)		()		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)				(=,
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Leukocytosis, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			2	(4%)		
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)			2	(4%)
Hematopoiesis	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
#Pancreas	(47)		(50)		(48)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
#Pancreatic interstitial tissue	(47)		(50)		(48)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	(10)		(10)	
#Peyer's patch	(45)		(48)		(49)	(00)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Kidney	(50)	(40)	(49)		(49)	(001)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	Z (FO)	(4%)	(40)		1 (40)	(270)
# Aloney/cortex	(50)		(49)	(90)	(49)	
Hyperplasia, lympholo	(50)		(49)	(270)	(49)	
# Klulley/pervis	(50)	(90)	(48)	(994)	(43)	
#Overv	(44)	(270)	(45)	(2 n)	(46)	
Hypernlasia lymnhoid	(1	(2%)	(40)	
#Adrenal	(48)		(45)	(=)	(45)	
Hematopoiesis	(10)		(1	(2%)
#Thymus	(34)		(32)		(44)	,
Cyst. NOS	(1	(3%)		
Hemorrhage	1	(3%)				
Depletion, lymphoid					1	(2%)
Histiocytosis					1	(2%)
#Thymic medulla	(34)		(32)		(44)	
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(3%)				
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(3%)			1	(2%)
#Thymic lymphocytes	(34)		(32)		(44)	_
Necrosis, diffuse					1	(2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM		_		- <u></u>		
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Arteriosclerosis, NOS					1	(2%)
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(49)	(0~)
Periarteritis			1	(2%)	1	(2%)

Malonaldehyde, Sodium Salt, NTP TR 331 1

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Thrombus, organized					1	(2%)
#Heart/ventricle	(50)		(50)	(0~)	(49)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	(50)		1	(2%)	(50)	
#Liver	(50)	(90)	(50)	(90)	(50)	
#Urinery bladder	(46)	(270)	(47)	(270)	(45)	
Periarteritis	(40)		1	(2%)	(40)	
#Uterus/endometrium	(49)		(48)	(=)	(47)	
Thrombus, organized	1	(2%)	((,	
#Thyroid	(48)		(48)		(44)	
Periarteritis			1	(2%)		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Salivary gland	(47)		(48)		(46)	
Atrophy, focal	4	(9%)	3	(6%)	8	(17%)
Atrophy, serous	1	(2%)	3	(6%)		
#Salivary gland interstitial tissue	(47)		(48)		(46)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)	-		(20)	
FLIVER	(50)		(50)		(50)	(901)
Congestion, acute Hemorrhage	0	(194)			4	(0%) (90%)
Inflammation ecute/chronic	11	(4170)	5	(10%)	2	(4%) (4%)
Degeneration linoid	11	(2270)	5	(10%)	2	(9%)
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)			•	(270)
Infarct, focal	-	(=,,,,,	1	(2%)		
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(2%)		
Focal cellular change	1	(2%)		(,		
Hyperplasia, nodular			1	(2%)		
Histiocytosis			1	(2%)		
#Liver/centrilobular	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, lipoid					1	(2%)
Necrosis, diffuse			1	(2%)		
Atrophy, diffuse					1	(2%)
#Liver/hepatocytes	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			_	
Degeneration, lipoid				(901)	1	(2%)
INECTOSIS, IOCAI Necrosia, and gulating	4	(90)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Necrosis, coaguiative	1	(270) (196)				
Cytonlasmic vacualization	Z	(**70)			1	(2%)
Focal cellular change			9	(4%)	1	(2,10)
Atrophy, diffuse	1	(2%)	2	(2,0)		
Dysplasia, NOS	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
*Gallbladder	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, cystic	1	(2%)				
#Bile duct	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)				
#Pancreas	(47)	(07)	(50)		(48)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic suppurative	1	(2%)				(901)
INECTOSIS, IAU #Demorphic duct	(47)		(50)			(2%)
Nacrosia NOS	(47)		(00)		(48)	(90)
#Pancreatic acinus	(47)		(50)		1 (48)	(270)
Inflammation with fibrosic	(47)		(00)		(40)	(2%)
Atrophy. focal	7	(15%)	25	(50%)	41	(85%)
Atrophy, diffuse	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	*1	(30 %)
Hyperplasia focal	•	(-	(,	1	(2%)

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Periesophageal tissue	(50)		(49)		(48)	
Foreign body, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute suppurative			1	(2%)		
#Stomach	(46)		(47)		(48)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)				
Ulcer, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute suppurative			1	(2%)		(0~)
Inflammation, acute/chronic				(00)	1	(2%)
Inflammation with fibrosis	0	(40)	1	(2%)		
Aconthesis	2	(4270)	1	(90)		
Acanthosis Motoplacia NOS	2	(49)	1	(2%)		
Metaplasia, NOS			1	(270)		
#Castria mucasa	(46)		(47)	(270)	(48)	
Inflemmation acute/chronic	(40)		(41)	(296)	(40)	
Acanthosis			1	(2%)		
#Gastric fundal gland	(46)		(47)	(2,0)	(48)	
Dilatation NOS	(40)		(41)		1	(2%)
Edema, NOS	1	(2%)			-	(= ,0)
Atrophy, focal	ī	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)				
#Forestomach	(46)		(47)		(48)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial			1	(2%)		
#Cardiac stomach	(46)		(47)		(48)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic					1	(2%)
#Small intestine	(45)		(48)		(49)	
Parasitism					1	(2%)
Atrophy, focal			1	(2%)		
Atrophy, pressure			1	(2%)		
#Intestinal villus	(45)		(48)		(49)	
Atrophy, focal					1	(2%)
#Colon	(45)		(48)		(48)	
Parasitism	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Glomerulonephritis, membranous	1	(2%)				
Glomerulonephritis, chronic	2	(4%)				
#Kidney/cortex	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Glomerulonephritis, membranous			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
Nephropathy	11	(22%)	9	(18%)	12	(24%)
Infarct, healed	1	(2%)				(0~)
Metaplasia, osseous	(50)		(40)		(40)	(2%)
# Minorolization	(50)	(90)	(49)		(49)	
Degeneration NOS	1	(270)				
Degeneration, recoular	1	(270)	1	(296)		
Atronhy focal	1	(2%)	•	(2,10)		
*Ureter	(50)	(2,0)	(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
#Urinary bladder	(46)	· · · ·	(47)		(45)	
Congestion, acute	1	(2%)				
NDOCRINE SYSTEM		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
#Pitnitary	(43)		(40)		(40)	
r i tultal y Cypet NOS	(43)		(4LU) 0	(896)	(440)	(896)
Ust, 1100 Hemorrhege			J 1	(370)	3	(070)
Hemorrhagio ovet	9	(7%)	1	(0.0)		
Hemorrhage chronic	3				1	(3%)
					1	

	Vehicle	Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
NDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary (Continued)	(43)		(40)		(40)	
Hypertrophy, focal			1	(3%)		
Hyperplasia, focal	6	(14%)	2	(5%)		
Hyperplasia, chromophobe cell	3	(7%)				
#Anterior pituitary	(43)		(40)		(40)	
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)				
#Adrenal	(48)		(45)		(45)	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute suppurative			1	(2%)		
#Adrenal/capsule	(48)		(45)		(45)	
Fibrosis, focal	1	(2%)				
#Adrenal cortex	(48)		(45)		(45)	
Congestion, NOS					1	(2%)
Congestion, acute					1	(2%)
Degeneration, NOS			1	(2%)		
Hypertrophy, focal	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
#Thyroid	(48)		(48)		(44)	
Embryonal duct cyst			1	(2%)		
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, follicular cell	3	(6%)	3	(6%)		
Histiocytosis	1	(2%)				
#Thyroid follicle	(48)		(48)		(44)	
Atrophy, focal			1	(2%)		
Atrophy, diffuse					1	(2%)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
#Uterus	(49)		(48)		(47)	
Dilatation, NOS			12	(25%)	16	(34%)
Hemorrhage			2	(4%)		
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute suppurative	2	(4%)				
Polypoid hyperplasia			1	(2%)		
#Uterus/endometrium	(49)		(48)		(47)	
Edema, NOS					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute suppurative	2	(4%)				
Hypoplasia, NOS			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Atrophy, focal					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, diffuse			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, cystic	45	(92%)	42	(88%)	31	(66%)
#Uterus/myometrium	(49)		(48)		(47)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
#Ovary/parovarian	(44)		(45)		(46)	
Hemorrhagic cyst	v = - y		· - /		1	(2%)
#Ovary	(44)		(45)		(46)	, .
Dilatation, NOS	/		1	(2%)		
Cyst, NOS	10	(23%)	10	(22%)	8	(17%)
Follicular cyst, NOS	3.			-	ĩ	(2%)
Multiple cysts	2	(5%)				
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Hemorrhagic cyst	2	(5%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, acute suppurative	2	(5%)	_	-	_	
Necrosis, fat	-		1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS				-	3	(7%)
Atrophy, senile					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, cystic			2	(4%)		-
Histiocytosis	1	(2%)				
			(45)		(46)	
#Ovary/follicle	(44)		(45)		(40)	

(48) (48) 18 (38%) (50) (50)	(50) 1 (50) 20 1 (50) 1 (50) 2 (50) 1	(2%) (40%) (2%) (2%)
(48) (48) 18 (38%) (50) (50)	(50) 1 (50) 20 1 (50) 1 (50) 2 (50) 2 (50) 2 (50) 2 (50)	(2%) (40%) (2%) (2%)
(48) 18 (38%) (50) (50)	(50) (50) (50)	(2%) (40%) (2%) (2%)
(48) 18 (38%) (50) (50)	1 (50) 20 1 (50) 1 (50)	(2%) (40%) (2%) (2%)
(48) 18 (38%) (50) (50)	1 (50) 20 1 (50) 1 (50)	(2%) (40%) (2%) (2%)
(48) 18 (38%) (50) (50)	(50) 20 1 (50) 1 (50)	(40%) (2%) (2%)
18 (38%) (50) (50)	20 1 (50) 1 (50)	(40%) (2%) (2%)
(50)	(50)	(2%)
(50) (50)	(50) 1 (50)	(2%)
(50)	(50) 1	(2%)
(50)	(50)	(2%)
(50)	(50)	
(50)	(50)	
(50)	(50)	
	9	
	4	(4%)
	1	(2%)
	2	(4%)
	1	(2%)
(50)	(50)	
((50)	
(50)	(50)	(00)
J (0%)	(50)	(270)
(50)	(50)	(94)
	1	(2%)
	1	(2.6)
(50)	(50)	(4,10)
1 (2%)	(00)	
(50)	(50)	
	1	(2%)
	(50) (50) 1 (2%) (50)	(50) (50) 1 1 (50) (50) 1 (2%) (50) (50) 1

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examinations; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

APPENDIX E

GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF

MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

		PAGE
TABLE E1	MUTAGENICITY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT, IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM	164
TABLE E2	MUTAGENICITY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT, IN MOUSE L5178Y LYMPHOMA CELLS	165
TABLE E3	INDUCTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	166
TABLE E4	INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	168
TABLE E5	INDUCTION OF SEX-LINKED RECESSIVE LETHAL MUTATIONS IN DROSOPHILA BY MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT	169

•

						Re	vertan	ts/plate (h	<u>)</u>				
Strain	Dose			<u>- S9</u>			+ S9 (1	<u>hamster)</u>			+ S9	(rat)	
	(µg/plate)	Tri	al 1	Tria	al 2	Trial	1	Tri	al 2	Tri	al 1	Trial	2
TA100	0	141 ±	10.7	100 ±	2.3	160 ±	3.4	151 ±	20.5	161 ±	7.8	150 ±	3.8
	33					153 ±	4.3	118 ±	13.5	162 ±	13.6	125 ±	4.0
	100	117 ±	8.4	99 ±	9.7	155 ±	3.5	125 ±	7.2	164 ±	10.8	142 ±	5.8
	333	120 ±	6.0	84 ±	3.2	150 ±	5.7	138 ±	13.0	148 ±	11.2	$135 \pm$	9.7
	1,000	$113 \pm$	6.8	98 ±	2.7	$150 \pm$	12.7	169 ±	10.7	$157 \pm$	16.3	$158 \pm$	2.7
	3,333	$113 \pm$	7.1	95 ±	3.2	167 ±	9.1	162 ±	3.0	160 ±	13.7	182 ±	8.5
	10,000	115 ±	0.3	97 ±	2.0								
Tria	al summary	Negativ	ve	Negat	ive	Negat	tive	Negat	tive	Negat	tive	Nega	tive
Posit	ive												
cont	rol (c)	1,417 ±	76.4	1,034 ± :	140.2	2,712 ±	147.0	2,550 ±	299.1	1,114 ±	45.0	1,940 ±	283.6
TA1535	0	11 ±	1.7	16 ±	1.0	13 ±	3.4	21 ±	1.5	10 ±	1.2	13 ±	2.2
	33					19 ±	3.0	18 ±	3.5	11 ±	1.5	13 ±	0.9
	100	14 ±	1.2	14 ±	0.6	12 ±	0.3	18 ±	1.2	15 ±	1.8	13 ±	0.6
	333	9 ±	2.7	17 ±	2.6	9 ±	2.4	12 ±	1.0	11 ±	0.9	17 ±	0.7
	1,000	12 ±	3.7	12 ±	1.5	12 ±	0.3	15 ±	0.3	12 ±	1.3	16 ±	1.9
	3,333	10 ±	1.5	14 ±	1.3	11 ±	2.5	17 ±	1.5	5 ±	1.0	13 ±	1.5
	10,000	5 ±	0.3	8 ±	1.7								
Tri	al summary	Negativ	ve	Negat	ive	Negat	tive	Negat	tive	Negat	tive	Nega	tive
Posit	ive	000 1				212		0101				200	
cont	rol (c)	983 ±	64.1	571 ±	16.0	$312 \pm$	16.8	216 ±	11.6	193 ±	10.5	220 ±	13.0
TA1537	0	13 ±	3.0	7 ±	1.7	$13 \pm 10 \pm 10$	0.3	12 ±	4.1	17 ±	1.7	10 ± 0.1	2.9
	33		1.0			19 I	2.0	71	0.3		2.0	8 <u>T</u>	0.7
	100	14 I 14 I	1.3	8 I 7 1	0.7	18 I 10 I	3.2	6 <u>T</u>	1.8	10 1	3.2	0 I 0 I	2.3
	1 000	14 I 16 I	1.5	1 1	0.0	18 ±	3.2		1.0	10 I	2.1	0 I 5 I	1.0
	1,000	10 1	0.3	8 I 6 I	1.2	17 ±	2.4		2.0	$21 \pm 14 \pm$	2.8	5 I 6 I	1.2
	3,333	14 1	1.0	01	0.9	18 I	1.9	11 I	2.5	14 I	4.1	0 I	0.9
	10,000	7 1	1.2	ΖI	0.3								
Tria	al summary	Nega	tive	Negat	tive	Negat	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive
Posit	ive												• •
cont	rol (c)	758 ±	40.5	154 ±	7.0	372 ±	30.3	231 ±	45.4	252 ±	23.2	$153 \pm$	9.8
TA98	0	26 ±	1.8	15 ±	1.7	38 ±	7.2	25 ±	2.5	39 ±	3.1	24 ±	2.9
	33					$32 \pm$	3.7	$23 \pm$	2.3	29 ±	2.4	$25 \pm$	1.0
	100	$31 \pm$	4.Z	16 ±	1.2	43 ±	3.9	22 ±	1.2	$33 \pm$	3.4	$22 \pm 10 \pm$	2.2
	333	34 ±	4.Z	18 1	0.6	42 ±	4.9	29 I	4.7	31 ±	Z.4	19 I	1.0
	1,000	26 I	3.2	16 ±	0.7	34 I	3.7	31 ±	3.2	36 I	1.5	24 <u>±</u>	3.5
	3,333	26 ±	4.4	16 ±	3.8	44 ±	3.7	27 ±	1.2	36 I	5.8	18 ±	2.3
	10,000	10 I	0.3	17 1	3.8								
Tria	al summary	Nega	tive	Negat	tive	Negat	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive
Posit	ive												
cont	rol (c)	162 ±	7.1	292 ±	14.4	942 ±	2 9 .6	2,121 ±	390.8	463 ±	15.3	1,162 ±	175.6

TABLE E1. MUTAGENICITY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT, IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM (a)

(a) Study performed at Case Western Reserve University. The detailed protocol is presented in Haworth et al. (1983). Cells and study compound or solvent (distilled water) were incubated in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (-S9) or with Aroclor 1254-induced S9 from male Syrian hamster liver or male Sprague Dawley rat liver. High dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 10 mg/plate; 0 µg/plate dose is the solvent control.

(b) Revertants are presented as mean \pm standard error from three plates.

(c) Positive control; 2-aminoanthracene was used on all strains in the presence of S9. In the absence of metabolic activation, 4nitro-o-phenylenediamine was used with TA98, sodium azide was used with TA100 and TA1535, and 9-aminoacridine was used with TA1537.

Compound	Concentration (µg/ml)	Cloning Efficiency (percent)	Relative Total Growth (percent)	Mutant Count	Mutant Fraction (c)
Trial 1					
Distilled water					
		72.3 ± 3.2	100.0 ± 32.0	112.0 ± 9.0	51.3 ± 2.0
Malonaldehyde, sodium s	alt				
•	125	71.3 ± 9.2	128.7 ± 10.5	166.7 ± 11.3	(d) 79.3 ± 6.4
	250	79.3 ± 9.9	88.7 ± 5.8	157.7 ± 10.7	67.0 ± 5.0
	500	63.0 ± 12.0	59.0 ± 4.0	268.0 ± 23.0	(d) 145.5 ± 15.5
	1,000	Lethal			
Methyl methanesulfonate	8				
•	5	46.3 ± 10.2	46.7 ± 9.8	787.7 ± 122.0	(d) 596 .7 ± 71.5
Trial 2					
Distilled water					
		89.5 ± 2.5	100.0 ± 2.3	106.8 ± 7.0	39.8 ± 2.3
Malonaldehyde, sodium s	alt				
	300	83.7 ± 3.3	69.7 ± 3.5	165.7 ± 7.5	(d) 66.0 \pm 1.2
	400	74.7 ± 4.4	67.0 ± 3.1	226.7 ± 8.4	(d) 101.7 ± 3.7
	500	84.3 ± 2.4	54.0 ± 1.5	273.0 ± 24.6	(d) 107.7 ± 7.2
	600	73.3 ± 1.9	51.0 ± 7.6	222.7 ± 15.2	(d) 101.0 ± 6.1
	800	58.0 ± 4.0	35.3 ± 2.8	360.3 ± 11.3	(d) 210.3 ± 19.0
	1,000	54.3 ± 6.6	11.3 ± 0.9	442.0 ± 21.4	(d) 279.3 ± 27.4
Methyl methanesulfonate	e				
-	5	52.0 ± 8.5	28.7 ± 3.5	610.0 ± 46.5	(d) 405.3 ± 48.0

TABLE E2. MUTAGENICITY OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT, IN MOUSE L5178Y LYMPHOMACELLS (a,b)

(a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. The experimental protocol is presented in detail by Myhr et al. (1985) and follows the basic format of Clive et al. (1979). The highest dose of study compound is determined by solubility or toxicity and may not exceed 5 mg/ml. Cells (6×10^{5} /ml) were treated for 4 hours at 37° C in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at 37° C. After expression, 3×10^{6} cells were plated in medium and soft agar supplemented with trifluoro-thymidine for selection of cells that were mutant at the thymidine kinase (TK) locus, and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium and soft agar to determine the cloning efficiency.

(b) Mean \pm standard error of replicate trials of approximately 3×10^6 cells each. All data are evaluated statistically for both trend and peak response (P<0.05 for at least one of the three highest dose sets). Both responses must be significantly (P<0.05) positive for a chemical to be considered mutagenic. If only one of these responses is significant, the call is "equivocal"; the absence of both trend and peak response results in a "negative" call.

(c) Mutant fraction (frequency) is a ratio of the mutant count to the cloning efficiency, divided by 3 (to arrive at MF per 1×10^6 cells treated); MF = mutant fraction.

(d) Significant positive response; occurs when the relative mutant fraction (average MF of treated culture/average MF of solvent control) is greater than or equal to 1.6.

Compound	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Chromo- somes	No. of SCEs	SCEs/ Chromo- some	SCEs/ Cell	Hours in BrdU	Relative SCEs/Cell (percent) (b)
- S9 (c)								
Trial No. 1Summary: Pos	itive							
Medium		50	1,042	393	0.38	7. 9	26.5	
Malonaldehvde sodium s	alt							
	14.9	50	1,049	394	0.38	7.9	26.5	100.0
	49.8	50	1,044	479	0.46	9.6	26.5	121.5
	149	50	1,041	596	0.57	11.9	26.5	150.6
Mitomycin C								
	0.002	50	1,045	660	0.63	13.2	26.5	167.1
	0.010	10	208	331	1.59	33.1	26.5	419.0
Trial No. 2Summary: Posi	itive							
Medium		50	1,040	428	0.41	8.6	26.0	
Malonaldehyde, sodium sa	alt							
•	15	50	1,048	52 9	0.50	10.6	26.0	123.3
	50	50	1,036	568	0.55	11.4	26.0	132.6
	150	50	1,045	726	0.69	14.5	26.0	168.6
	300	50	1,038	1,018	0.98	20.4	20.0	231.2
Mitomycin C								
	0.002 0.010	50 10	1,036 209	496 93	0.48 0.44	9.9 9.3	26.0 26.0	115.1 108.1
· Co (J)					••••			
r 37 (0)								
Trial No. 1Summary: Posi	itive							
Medium		50	1,047	453	0.43	9.1	26.0	
Malonaldehyde, sodium sa	alt							
	149	50	1,043	438	0.42	8.8	26.0	96.7
	498	50	1,047	533	0.51	10.7	26.0	117.6
	1,490	50	1,042	691	0.66	13.8	26.0	151.6
Cyclophosphamide								
	0.500	50	1,034	416	0.40	8.3	26.0	91.2
	2.500	10	206	292	1.42	29.2	26.0	320.9
Trial No. 2Summary: Posi	tive							
Medium		50	1,047	470	0.45	9.4	26.0	
Malonaldehvde, sodium sa	ılt							
	150	50	1,049	543	0.52	10.9	26.0	116.0
	500	50	1,042	655	0.63	13.1	26.0	139.4
	1,000	50	1,039	613	0.59	12.3	26.0	130.9
	2,000	50	1,032	818	0.79	16.4	(e) 30.0	174.5
Cyclophosphamide								
	0.500	100	2,092	2,049	0.98	20.5	26.0	218.1
	2 500	10	213	460	216	46.0	26.0	489.4

TABLE E3. INDUCTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS
BY MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (a)

TABLE E3. INDUCTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (Continued)

(a) Study performed at Bioassay Systems Corp. SCE = sister chromatid exchange; BrdU = bromodeoxyuridine. A detailed description of the SCE protocol is presented by Galloway et al. (1985). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or medium as described in (c) or (d) below and cultured for sufficient time to reach second metaphase division. Cells were then collected by mitotic shake-off, fixed, air-dried, and stained.

(b) SCEs/cell of culture exposed to study chemical relative to those of culture exposed to medium

(c) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or medium for 2 hours at 37°C. Then BrdU was added, and incubation was continued for 24 hours. Cells were washed, fresh medium containing BrdU and colcemid was added, and incubation was continued for 2-3 hours.

(d) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or medium for 2 hours at 37° C. Then cells were washed, and medium containing BrdU was added. Cells were incubated for a further 26 hours, with colcemid present for the final 2-3 hours. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

(e) Because some chemicals induce a delay in the cell division cycle, harvest times are occasionally extended to maximize the proportion of second division cells available for analysis.

Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs
- S9 (b) Trial 1H	arvest tim	e 10.5 hours			- S9 (b) Trial 2H	arvest tim	e 20.0 hours	(c)	<u> </u>
Mediun	n				Mediun	n			
	100	8	0.08	7		100	5	0.05	4
Malona	ldehyde, so	odium salt			Malona	ldehyde, s	odium salt		
81.8 245 409	100 100 100	6 5 3	0.06 0.05 0.03	6 5 3	42.9 71.5 143 215	100 100 100 100	4 6 5 4	0.04 0.06 0.05 0.04	4 6 5 3
Summa	ıry: Negati	ive			Summa	ry: Negat	ive		
Mitomy	vcin C				Mitomy	vcin C			
5	100	69	0.69	46	1 5	10 10	13 81	1.3 8.1	50 90
+ S9 (d) Trial 1H	arvest tim	e 12.0 hours							
Mediun	n								
	100	2	0.02	2					
Malona	ldehyde, so	odium salt							
409 1,640 3,270	100 100 100	3 2 5	0.03 0.02 0.05	3 2 4					
Summa	ry: Negati	ive							
Cycloph	nosphamid	e							
50	100	38	0.38	33					

TABLE E4. INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (a)

(a) Study performed at Bioassay Systems Corporation. Abs = aberrations. A detailed presentation of the technique for detecting chromosomal aberrations is found in Galloway et al. (1985). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (medium) as indicated in (b) or (d). Cells were arrested in first metaphase by addition of colcemid and harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa.

(b) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (medium) for 8-10 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, and fresh medium containing colcemid was added for an additional 2-3 hours followed by harvest.

(c) Because of significant chemically induced cell-cycle delay, incubation time before addition of colcemid was lengthened to provide sufficient metaphases at harvest.

(d) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (medium) for 2 hours at 37°C. Cells were then washed, medium was added, and incubation was continued for 8-10 hours. Colcemid was added for the last 2-3 hours of incubation before harvest. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

TABLE E5. INDUCTION OF SEX-LINKED RECESSIVE LETHAL MUTATIONS IN DROSOPHILA BY MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (a)

Route of	Dose (ppm)	Incidence of Deaths (percent)	Incidence of Sterility (percent)	No. of Lethals/No. of X Chromosomes Tested			Overall
Exposure				Mating 1	Mating 2	Mating 3	Total (b)
Injection	10,000 0	22	8	3/2,388 2/2,476	3/2,105 4/2,388	1/1,472 6/2,195	7/5,965 (0.12%) 12/7,059 (0.17%)
Feeding	25,000 0	24	11	4/2,602 1/3,784	2/1,992 2/2,863	0/1,177 1/2,128	6/5,771 (0.10%) 4/8,775 (0.05%)

(a) Study performed at Bowling Green State University. A detailed protocol of the sex-linked recessive lethal assay is presented in Zimmering et al. (1985). (Exposure by feeding was done by allowing 24-hour-old Canton-S males to feed for 3 days on a solution of the study chemical dissolved in 5% sucrose. In the injection experiments, 24-hour-old Canton-S males were treated with a solution of the chemical dissolved in 0.7% saline and allowed 24 hours to recover.) Exposed males were mated to three *Basc* females for 3 days and given fresh females at 2-day intervals to produce three broods of 3, 2, and 2 days; sample sperm from successive matings were treated as spermatozoa (mating 1), spermatids (mating 2), and spermatocytes (mating 3). F_1 heterozygous females were crossed to their siblings and placed in individual vials. F_1 daughters from the same parental male were kept together to identify clusters; no clusters were found. After 17 days, presumptive lethal mutations were identified as vials containing no wild-type males; these were retested. Results were not significant at the 5% level (Margolin et al., 1983). (b) Combined total of number of lethal mutations/number of X chromosomes tested for three mating trials

APPENDIX F

SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

TABLE F1MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE
TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT

PAGE

173

I. Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via viral serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals are untreated, and these animals and the study animals are both subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Fifteen $B6C3F_1$ mice and 15 F344/N rats of each sex are selected at the time of randomization and allocation of the animals to the various study groups. Five animals of each designated sentinel group are killed at 6, 12, and 18 months on study. Data from animals surviving 24 months are collected from 5/50 randomly selected vehicle control animals of each sex and species. The blood from each animal is collected and clotted, and the serum is separated. The serum is cooled on ice and shipped to Microbiological Associates' Comprehensive Animal Diagnostic Service for determination of the viral antibody titers. The following tests are performed:

	Hemagglutination <u>Inhibition</u>	Complement <u>Fixation</u>	<u>ELISA</u>
Mice	PVM (pneumonia virus of mice) Reo 3 (reovirus type 3) GDVII (Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus) Poly (polyoma virus) MVM (minute virus of mice) Ectro (infectious ectromelia) Sendai	M. Ad. (mouse adenovirus) LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus) MHV (6, 12, 18 mo)	MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) (24 mo)
Rats	PVM KRV (Kilham rat virus) H-1 (Toolan's H-1 virus) Sendai	RCV (rat coronavirus)	

II. Results

Results are presented in Table F1.

Inte	erval (months)	No. of Animals	Positive Serologic Reaction for
RATS			
	6	6/10	KRV
	12	5/10	KRV
	18	3/10	KRV
	24	4/10	KRV
MICE			
	6	0/10	None positive
	12	2/10	MVM
	18	1/7	GDVII
	24	5/10	Reo 3

TABLE F1. MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
GAVAGE STUDIES OF MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM SALT (a)

(a) Blood samples were taken from sentinel animals at 6, 12, and 18 months after the start of dosing and from the vehicle control animals just before they were killed; samples were sent to Microbiological Associates (Bethesda, MD) for determination of antibody titers.

APPENDIX G

INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Pelleted Diet: December 1979 to January 1982

(Manufactured by Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA)

		PAGE
TABLE G1	INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	176
TABLE G2	VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	176
TABLE G3	NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	177
TABLE G4	CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	178

TABLE G1. INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

Ingredients (b)	Percent by Weight		
Ground #2 yellow shelled corn	24.50		
Ground hard winter wheat	23.00		
Soybean meal (49% protein)	12.00		
Fish meal (60% protein)	10.00		
Wheat middlings	10.00		
Dried skim milk	5.00		
Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17% protein)	4.00		
Corn gluten meal (60% protein)	3.00		
Sov oil	2.50		
Brewer's dried yeast	2.00		
Dry molasses	1.50		
Dicalcium phosphate	1.25		
Ground limestone	0.50		
Salt	0.50		
Premixes (vitamin and mineral)	0.25		

(a) NCI, 1976; NIH, 1978(b) Ingredients ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed

	Amount	Source
Vitamins		
Α	5,500,000 IU	Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate
D_3	4.600.000 IU	D-activated animal sterol
K ₂	2.8 g	Menadione activity
d-a-Tocopheryl acetate	20.000 IŬ	
Choline	560.0 g	Choline chloride
Folic acid	2.2 g	
Niacin	30.0 g	
d-Pantothenic acid	18.0 g	d-Calcium pantothenate
Riboflavin	3.4 g	•
Thiamine	10.0 g	Thiamine mononitrate
B ₁₂	4,000 µg	
Pyridoxine	1.7 g	Pyridoxine hydrochloride
Biotin	140.0 mg	d-Biotin
Minerals		
Iron	120.0 g	Iron sulfate
Manganese	60.0 g	Manganous oxide
Zinc	16.0 g	Zincoxide
Copper	4 .0 g	Copper sulfate
Iodine	1.4 g	Calcium iodate
Cobalt	0.4 g	Cobalt carbonate

TABLE G2. VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) Per ton (2,000 lb) of finished product

TABLE G3. NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

Nutrients	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	No. of Samples
Crude protein (percent by weight)	24.29 ± 0.81	22.7-26.1	24
Crude fat (percent by weight)	4.81 ± 0.38	4.1-5.5	24
Crude fiber (percent by weight)	3.31 ± 0.50	1.4-4.3	24
Ash (percent by weight)	6.76 ± 0.44	5.83-7.43	24
Amino Acids (percent of total die	et)		
Arginine	1.260	1.21-1.31	2
Cystine	0.395	0.39-0.40	2
Glycine	1.175	1.15-1.20	2
Histidine	0.553	0.530-0.576	2
Isoleucine	0.908	0.881-0.934	2
Leucine	1.905	1.85-1.96	2
Lysine	1.250	1.20-1.30	2
Methionine	0.310	0.306-0.314	2
Phenylalanine	0.967	0.960-0.974	2
Threonine	0.834	0.840-0.827	2
Tryptophan	0.175	0.171-0.178	2
Tyrosine	0.587	0.566-0.607	2
Valine	1.085	1.05-1.12	2
Essential Fatty Acids (percent of	total diet)		
Linoleic	2.37		1
Linolenic	0.308		1
Arachidonic	0.008		1
Vitamin			
Vitamin A (IU/kg)	$10,192 \pm 2,534$	6,700-17,000	24
Vitamin D (IU/kg)	6,300		1
a-Tocopherol (ppm)	37.6	31.1-44.0	2
Thiamine (ppm) (b)	16.2 ± 4.5	7.4-27.0	23
Riboflavin (ppm)	6.9	6.1-7.4	2
Niacin (ppm)	75	65-85	2
Pantothenic acid (ppm)	30.2	29.8-30.5	2
Pyridoxine (ppm)	7.2	5.6-8.8	2
Folic acid (ppm)	2.1	1.8-2.4	2
Biotin (ppm)	0.24	0.21-0.27	2
Vitamin B ₁₂ (ppb)	12.8	10.6-15.0	2
Choline (ppm)	3,315	3,200-3,430	2
Minerals			
Calcium (percent)	1.34 ± 0.20	0.81-1.69	24
Phosphorus (percent)	1.01 ± 0.08	0.82-1.10	24
Potassium (percent)	0.809	0.772-0.846	2
Chloride (percent)	0.557	0.479-0.635	2
Sodium (percent)	0.304	0.258-0.349	2
Magnesium (percent)	0.172	0.166-0.177	2
Sulfur (percent)	0.278	0.270-0.285	2
Iron (ppm)	418	409-426	2
Manganese (ppm)	90.8	86.0-95.5	2
Zinc (ppm)	55.1	54.2-56.0	2
Copper (ppm)	12.68	9.65-15.70	2
lodine (ppm)	2.58	1.52-3.64	2
Chromium (ppm)	1.86	1.79-1.93	2
Cobalt (ppm)	0.57	0.49-0.65	2

(a) One or two batches of feed analyzed for nutrients reported in this table were manufactured in January and/or April 1983.
(b) One batch (7/22/81) was not analyzed for thiamine.

TABLE G4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Contaminants	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	No. of Samples
Arsenic (ppm)	0.39 ± 0.23	<0.05-1.06	24
Cadmium (ppm) (a)	0.11 ± 0.07	<0.05-0.40	24
Lead (ppm)	0.91 ± 0.51	0.50-2.65	24
Mercury (ppm) (b)	< 0.05		24
Selenium (ppm)	0.29 ± 0.09	0.10-0.52	24
Aflatoxins (ppb) (b,c)	<10	<10-<5	24
Nitrate nitrogen (ppm) (d,e)	7.00 ± 3.70	<0.1-13.0	24
Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) (d,e)	1.45 ± 1.02	<0.1-4.0	24
BHA (ppm) (f,g)	3.83 ± 3.88	<0.2-13.0	24
BHT (ppm) (f)	2.97 ± 1.74	0.8-7.6	24
Aerobic plate count (CFU/g) (h)	$48,786 \pm 32,701$	5,500-120,000	22
Aerobic plate count (CFU/g) (i)	$70,970 \pm 81,410$	5,500-320,000	24
Coliform (MPN/g) (j)	39 ± 57	<3-240	20
Conform (MPN/g) (k)	270 ± 580	<3-2,400	24
E. coli (MPN/g) (l)	<3		24
Total nitrosamines (ppb) (m.n)	7.63 ± 6.67	2.2-24.5	21
Total nitrosamines (ppb) (m.o)	29.77 ± 64.59	2.2-273	24
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (m.n)	5.81 ± 6.30	1.1-20.0	21
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (m.o)	27.79 ± 64.31	1.1-272	24
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb)	1.44 ± 0.89	0.5-3.5	24
Pesticides (ppm)			
a-BHC (b,p)	< 0.01		24
β-BHC (b)	<0.02		24
y-BHC-Lindane (b)	< 0.01		24
δ -BHC (b)	< 0.01		24
Heptachlor (b)	< 0.01		24
Aldrin (b)	< 0.01		24
Heptachlor epoxide (b)	< 0.01		24
	< 0.01		24
	< 0.01		24
	< 0.01		24
Mirey (b)	< 0.01		24
Methoxychlor (a)	< 0.01	0.09 (8/26/81)	24
Dieldrin (b)	< 0.01		24
Endrin (b)	< 0.01		24
Telodrin (b)	< 0.01		24
Chlordane (b)	< 0.05		24
Toxaphene (b)	<0.1		24
Estimated PCBs (b)	<0.2		24
Ronnel (b)	<0.01		24
Ethion (b)	<0.02		24
Trithion (b)	<0.05	0.0(4)07(01)	24
Diazinon (q)	<0.1	0.2 (4/27/81)	24
Methyl parathion (b)	< 0.02		24 94
Ethyl parathion (b)		<0.05.0.97	24 97
Malathion (r)	V.10 I U.U7	~ 0.00-0.2 <i>i</i>	24 91
Endosulfan I (b)			2 4 94
Endocultan m (b)	<0.01		24
Linusunan sunave (D)	~ 0.00		
TABLE G4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (Continued)

(g) Six batches contained less than 0.5 ppm.

- (i) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the two extreme values given in footnote (h).
- (j) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude four very high values in the range of 1,100-2,400 obtained for batches produced
- on 2/4/80, 2/26/80, 5/29/80, and 12/16/80.
- (k) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the very high values listed in footnote (j).
- (1) MPN = most probable number; all values were less than 3 MPN/g.
- (m) All values were corrected for percent recovery.

(n) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude three very high values in the range of 115-280 ppb obtained for batches produced on 1/26/81, 2/23/81 and 4/27/81.

(o) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the very high values given in footnote (n).

- (p) BHC = hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride
- (q) One observation was above the detection limit. The value and the date it was obtained are listed under the range.
- (r) Nine batches contained more than 0.05 ppm.

⁽a) Three batches contained more than 0.1 ppm.

⁽b) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the table as the mean.

⁽c) The detection limit was reduced from 10 ppb to 5 ppb after 7/81.

⁽d) Source of contamination: alfalfa, grains, and fish meal

⁽e) Two batches contained less than 0.1 ppm.

⁽f) Source of contamination: soy oil and fish meal

⁽h) CFU=colony forming units; mean, standard deviation, and range exclude two extreme values (300,000 and 320,000) obtained for batches produced on 12/21/79 and 2/26/80.

APPENDIX H

AUDIT SUMMARY

APPENDIX H. AUDIT SUMMARY

The experimental data, documents, pathology materials, and draft Technical Report for the 2-year toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of malonaldehyde, sodium salt, in rats and mice were audited for accuracy, consistency, and completeness. Animal exposures for the 2-year studies began in February 1980. The laboratory experiments were conducted for the NTP by Battelle Columbus Laboratories (Columbus, Ohio) under a subcontract with Tracor Jitco, Inc. The retrospective audit was conducted at the NTP Archives in May 1986 by Program Resources, Inc., William L. Oller, Ph.D., Principal Investigator. The other individuals who conducted the audit are listed in the full report that is on file at the NIEHS.

The audit included, as minimum requirements, a review of:

- (1) All records concerning animal receipt, quarantine, randomization, and disposition prior to study start.
- (2) All chemistry records.
- (3) Body weight and clinical observation data for a random 10% sample of the study animals.
- (4) Ten percent random sample of the dose preparation records.
- (5) All inlife records concerning environmental conditions, palpable masses, mortality, and animal identification.
- (6) All postmortem records for individual animals concerning identification, disposition codes, condition codes, and correlation between gross observations and microscopic diagnoses.
- (7) Wet tissues from a random 10% sample of the study animals to verify animal identification and to examine for untrimmed potential lesions.
- (8) Slides and blocks of tissues from all vehicle control and high dose animals to examine for proper match and inventory.
- (9) Tabulated pathology diagnoses for a random 10% of study animals to verify computer data entry.

Review of the inlife data revealed no discrepancies or problems that would influence the validity of the studies. The number of masses (10 in the rat and 9 in the mouse studies) that were observed clinically but did not correlate with necropsy findings was small. The review of analytical chemistry data revealed no discrepancies. Review of the pathology documents resulted in a change of the disposition code for one rat and eight mice from natural death or moribund kill to accidental death because of gavage trauma. Review of the pathology specimens revealed no discrepancies that would influence interpretation of the study results.

The minor discrepancies identified in this audit were adequately resolved or were considered not to affect the interpretation of these studies of malonaldehyde, sodium salt. Thus, the records and specimens examined in the audit support the data and results presented in the NTP Technical Report.

★U.S. Government Printing Office : 1988 - 241-221/80379

NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM TECHNICAL REPORTS PRINTED AS OF JULY 1988

TR No	. CHEMICAL	TR No	. CHEMICAL
201	2.3.7.8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (Dermal)	275	2-Chloroethanol
206	Dibromochloropropane	276	8-Hydroxyquinoline
207	Cytembena	281	H.C. Red No. 3
208	FD & C Yellow No. 6	282	Chlorodibromomethane
209	2.3.7.8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (Gavage)	284	Diallylphthalate (Rats)
210	1.2-Dibromoethane (Inhalation)	285	C.I. Basic Red 9 Monohydrochloride
211	C.I. Acid Orange 10	287	Dimethyl Hydrogen Phosphite
212	Di(2-ethylbexyl)adipate	288	1,3-Butadiene
213	Butylbenzyl Phthalate	289	Benzene
214	Caprolactam	291	Isophorone
215	Bisphenol A	293	HC Blue No 2
216	11-Aminoundecanoic Acid	294	Chlorinated Trisodium Phosphate
217	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	295	Chrysotile Asbestos (Rats)
219	2.6-Dichloro-p phenylenediamine	296	Tetrakis(hydroxymethy)phosphonium Sulfate and
220	C.I. Acid Red 14		Tetrakis(hydroxymethy)phosphonium Chloride
221	Locust Bean Gum	298	Dimethyl Morpholinophosphoramidate
222	C.I. Disperse Yellow 3	299	C L Disperse Blue 1
223	Eugenol	300	3-Chloro-2-methylpropene
220	Tara Gum	301	o-PhenyInhenol
225	D& C Red No. 9	303	4-Vinvlovclobevene
220	C I Solvent Vollow 14	304	Chlorondic Acid
220	Cum Arabia	205	Chloringted Paroffing $(C = 42\% \text{ obloring})$
221	Cuar Cum	303	Dichloremethane
229	Agaz	207	Enhadring Sulfate
200	Agar Stannous Chlanda	207	Chloring Surface $(C = 60\% \text{ shloring})$
201	2 Punkanulamuna Hudraahlarida	308	Deerbarmed phenut Quide
200	A live Instruments	309	Manua Dissal Fuel and ID 5 Norm Fuel
204	Zapalanana	211	Tetrachlangethulang (Inhalation)
200	D Mannital	212	n Rutul Chlorido
200		312	<i>n</i> -butyi Chioride
200	Birthin Birthing 1 monther lather lather	014	Ouvrte transmission of Hudrook lando
239	Bis(2 chloro-1-methylethyl/ether	315	1 Chlore 2 methylanopane
240	Propyl Gallate	310	Chlambannamina Malaata
242	Diality Frichalate (Mice)	219	Ampieillin Tribudaata
244	Molamino	210	1 4 Dichlarshangana
240	L Agoorbia Agid	220	Peterene
241	A A' Mathulanadianilina Dihudrashlanida	320	Remodualleremethene
240	Amosita Ashestos	222	Phonylophrine Hydrochloride
249	Banzyl Acatata	322	Dimethyl Mathylphaenhonate
251	Taluana Dusaswanata	224	Borne Aad
251	Geranyl Acetato	324	Donta chloropitrobonzopa
252	Allyi Isayalarata	320	Ethelana Orada
255	1.2 Dichlorohongong	320	Ethylene Oxide
250	Distant dal Reserve al Ethen	327	Mathed Cash amata
207	Digiyciayi Resorcinoi Etner	328	Methyl Carbamate
209	Chlorohonzon	329	1.2-Epoxybulane
201	L 2 Dichloropenzene	330	4 Hexylresorcinol
200	1,2-Dichloropropane	33Z 222	N Dhawyl 9 namhthalaman
201	riopyiene Oxide	JJJ 204	Arrient Southanne
209	Leone II - I	334	2-Amino-ə-nitrophenoi
2/1		336	Penicilin V K
212	rropylene	337	Nitroiurazone
273	Tris(2-ethylhexyl)phosphate	338	2-Amino-4-nitrophenol

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge (and while supplies last) from the NTP Public Information Office, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.