National Cancer Institute CARCINOGENESIS Technical Report Series No. 26 1978

# BIOASSAY OF NITROFEN FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

CAS No. 1836-75-5

NCI-CG-TR-26

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health



**BIOASSAY OF** 

### NITROFEN

FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

DHEW<sup>3</sup>Publication No. (NIH) 78-826

#### REPORT ON THE BIOASSAY OF NITROFEN FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

# CARCINOGENESIS PROGRAM, DIVISION OF CANCER CAUSE AND PREVENTION NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE, NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

<u>CONTRIBUTORS</u>: This report presents the results of the bioassay of nitrofen conducted for the Carcinogen Bioassay and Program Resources Branch, Carcinogenesis Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. This bioassay was conducted by Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., Vienna, Virginia, initially under direct contract to the NCI and currently under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc., prime contractor for the NCI Carcinogenesis Bioassay Program.

The experimental design was determined by the NCI Project Officers, Dr. J. H. Weisburger (1,2) and Dr. E. K. Weisburger (1). The principal investigators for the contract were Dr. M. B. Powers (3), Dr. R. W. Voelker (3), Dr. W. A. Olson (3,4) and Dr. W. M. Weatherholtz (3). Chemical analysis was performed by Dr. C. L. Guyton (3,5); the technical supervisor of animal treatment and observation was Ms. K. J. Petrovics (3).

Histopathology was performed by Dr. R. H. Habermann (3) and reviewed by Dr. R. W. Voelker (3) at the Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., and the diagnoses included in this report represent the interpretation of these pathologists. Pathologists from NCI (1) and Tracor Jitco (6) have reviewed selected slides and concur with the overall histopathologic evaluation of the study.

Compilation of individual animal survival, pathology, and summary tables was performed by EG&G Mason Research Institute (7); the statistical analysis was performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner (6) and Mr. W. W. Belew (8), using methods selected for the Bioassay Program by Dr. J. J. Gart (9).

This report was prepared at METREK, a Division of The MITRE Corporation (8) under the direction of the NCI. Those responsible for this report at METREK are the project coordinator, Dr. L. W. Thomas (8), the task leader, Dr. M. R. Kornreich (8), and the senior biologist, Ms. P. Walker (8). The final report was reviewed by members of the participating organizations.

The following scientists at the National Cancer Institute were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. K. C. Chu (1), Dr. C. C. Cueto, Jr. (1), Dr. J. F. Douglas (1), Dr. D. G. Goodman (1), Dr. R. A. Griesemer (1), Dr. R. A. Squire (1), and Dr. J. M. Ward (1).

- 1. Carcinogenesis Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 2. Now with the Naylor Dana Institute for Disease Prevention, American Health Foundation, Hammon House Road, Valhalla, New York.
- 3. Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 9200 Leesburg Turnpike, Vienna, Virginia.
- 4. Now with the Center for Regulatory Services, 2347 Paddock Lane. Reston, Virginia.
- 5. Now with Rhodia, Inc., 23 Belmont Drive, Somerset, New Jersey.
- 6. Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- 7. EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- 8. The MITRE Corporation, METREK Division, 1820 Dolley Madison Boulevard, McLean, Virginia.
- 9. Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Field Studies and Statistics Branch, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

#### SUMMARY

A bioassay of technical-grade nitrofen for possible carcinogenicity was conducted using Osborne-Mendel rats and B6C3F1 mice. Nitrofen was administered in the feed, at either of two concentrations, to groups of 50 male and 50 female animals of each species. The time-weighted average high and low dietary concentrations of nitrofen were 3656 and 2300 ppm for male rats, 2600 and 1300 ppm for female rats, and 4696 and 2348 ppm for both male and female mice, respectively. After a 78-week treatment period, observation of the low dose and control male and all female rats continued for an additional 32 weeks; observation of the high dose male rats continued for an additional 4 weeks. All mice were observed for an additional 12 weeks after the 78-week treatment period.

For each species, 20 animals of each sex were placed on test as controls. No nitrofen was added to their diet.

The incidence of carcinomas of the pancreas had a statistically significant positive association with concentration of nitrofen in the diet of female rats. The incidence of this tumor in high dose female rats was significant when compared to controls. Poor survival related to chemical toxicity precluded the evaluation of the carcinogenicity of nitrofen in male rats.

In mice of both sexes, the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma at both high and low dose levels was highly significant when compared to the controls. The incidence of hemangiosarcoma of the liver had a statistically significant relationship with nitrofen concentration in the diet for mice of both sexes, and the incidence in high dose male mice was significant when compared to controls.

The results of this study indicate that orally administered technical-grade nitrofen is a liver carcinogen in B6C3F1 mice of both sexes. Nitrofen is also carcinogenic to female Osborne-Mendel rats.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCT	TION	1
II.	MATERIALS	S AND METHODS	3
	C. Anima D. Anima E. Selec F. Exper G. Clini	ary Preparation	3 3 4 4 6 7 10 12
III.	CHRONIC T	TESTING RESULTS: RATS	17
	B. Survi C. Patho		17 19 21 23
IV.	CHRONIC 7	TESTING RESULTS: MICE	35
	B. Survi C. Patho		35 35 38 39
V.	DISCUSSIC	DN	46
VI.	BIBLIOGRA	АРНҮ	48
APPEN	DIX A	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN	A-1
APPEN	DIX B	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN	B-1
APPEN	DIX C	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN	C-1
APPEN	DIX D	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN	D-1

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure Number		Page
1	GROWTH CURVES FOR NITROFEN CHRONIC STUDY RATS	18
2	SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF NITROFEN CHRONIC STUDY RATS	20
3	GROWTH CURVES FOR NITROFEN CHRONIC STUDY MICE	36
4	SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF NITROFEN CHRONIC STUDY MICE	37
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table Number		Page
1	DESIGN SUMMARY FOR OSBORNE-MENDEL RATS NITROFEN FEEDING EXPERIMENT	8
2	DESIGN SUMMARY FOR B6C3F1 MICENITROFEN FEEDING EXPERIMENT	9
3	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN	24
4	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN	27
5	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN	40
6	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN	43
A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN	A-3

Table Number

A2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN	A-7
B1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN	B-3
В2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN	B – 6
C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN	C-3
C2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN	C-8
D1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN	D-3
D2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN	D-6

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Nitrofen (NCI No. COO420), a substituted diphenyl ether, is one of several agricultural pesticides selected for bioassay by the National Cancer Institute because of a lack of adequate chronic toxicity data.

The Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Ninth Collective Index (1977) name for this compound is 2,4-dichloro-1-(4-nitrophenoxy)benzene.<sup>\*</sup> It is also known as 2,4-dichlorophenyl-p-nitrophenyl ether, nitrophene, Tok E-25, and Nip.

Nitrofen is a selective contact herbicide used for pre- and postemergence control of annual grasses and broadleaf weeds on a variety of food crops (Weed Science Society of America, 1974).

Postemergence treatment is restricted to certain highly tolerant crops and involves spraying the crops with 4 to 6 pounds of active ingredient per acre in a water carrier. For preemergence treatment, the spray is applied at a similar rate directly to the soil (Weed Science Society of America, 1974).

Although specific production figures are unavailable, the listing of nitrofen in the <u>1975 Directory of Chemical Producers, U.S.A.</u> (Stanford Research Institute, 1975) implies an annual commercial production in excess of 1000 pounds or \$1000 in value.

Occupational exposure to nitrofen, primarily through inhalation and dermal contact, may occur among workers at pesticide production

<sup>\*</sup>The CAS registry number is 1836-75-5.

facilities and among agricultural workers engaged in treatment of crops with the chemical. The major route of exposure for the general population, however, is ingestion due to possible persistence of residual quantities of nitrofen on food crops.

Adverse effects noted in agricultural workers following excessive exposure to nitrofen over prolonged periods of time include reduction in hemoglobin and leukocyte counts, inhibition of serum cholinesterase and abnormalities in erythrocyte catalase and serum transaminase levels (Doroshenko, 1975). In addition, dermal contact with the concentrated emulsion (Tok E-25) may cause skin irritation (Weed Science Society of America, 1974).

# A. Chemicals

Nitrofen, 2,4-dichloro-1-(4-nitrophenoxy) benzene, was purchased from Rohm and Haas Chemical Company by Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., Vienna, Virginia, where the chemical analysis was performed. The manufacturer's analysis indicated a purity of approximately 87 percent. Gas-liquid chromatography (GLC), utilizing the internal standard assay, suggested a purity of greater than 80 percent. The observed melting point (58° to 68°C) suggested the presence of significant impurities, because of its wide range and variance from that reported as an FDA standard (71° to 72°C). GLC total area analysis indicated the presence of at least five impurities.

The material was analyzed by GLC total area analysis after having been stored for one year. Five impurities were again detected and, although the change in area suggested a different distribution of these substances, no significant change in purity of the compound over the 12-month period was indicated. The nature of the impurities, as suggested by the manufacturer, include xylene, dichlorophenol, p-chloronitrobenzene, and chloronitrodiphenyl ethers.

Throughout this report the term nitrofen is used to represent this technical-grade material.

# B. Dietary Preparation

The basal laboratory diet for both control and dosed animals consisted of 2 percent Duke's<sup>®</sup> corn oil (S. F. Sauer Company)

by weight added to Wayne Lab-Blox<sup>®</sup> meal (Allied Mills, Inc.). Fresh mixtures of nitrofen in corn oil were prepared each week and stored in the dark. The mixtures of nitrofen in corn oil were incorporated into the appropriate amount of the basal laboratory diet in a twinshell blender fitted with an accelerator bar.

#### C. Animals

Two animal species, rats and mice, were used in the carcinogenicity bioassay. The Osborne-Mendel rat was selected on the basis of a comparative study of the tumorigenic responsiveness to carbon tetrachloride of five different strains of rats (Reuber and Glover, 1970). The B6C3F1 mouse was selected because it has been used by the NCI for carcinogenesis bioassays and has proved satisfactory in this capacity.

Rats and mice of both sexes were obtained through contracts with the Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute. The Osborne-Mendel rats were procured from the Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Ohio, and the B6C3F1 mice were obtained from the Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, Massachusetts. Upon receipt, animals were quarantined for at least 10 days, observed for visible signs of disease or parasites, and assigned to the various treatment and control groups.

#### D. Animal Maintenance

All animals were housed by species in temperature- and humiditycontrolled rooms. The temperature range was 20° to 24°C and the relative humidity was maintained between 45 and 55 percent. The air

conditioning system in the laboratory provided filtered air at a rate of 12 to 15 complete changes of room air per hour. Fluorescent lighting was provided on a 12-hour-daily cycle. The rats were individually housed in suspended galvanized-steel wire-mesh cages with perforated floors. Mice were housed by sex in groups of 10 in solidbottom polypropylene cages equipped with filter tops. Sanitized cages with fresh bedding (Sanichips<sup>®</sup>, Shurfire) were provided once each week for mice. Rats received sanitized cages with no bedding with the same frequency. Food hoppers were changed and heatsterilized once a week for the first 10 weeks and once a month thereafter. Fresh heat-sterilized glass water bottles were provided three times a week. Food and water were available ad libitum.

The nitrofen-treated and control rats were housed in the same room with rats treated with \* trifluralin (1582-09-8), dioxathion (78-34-2), dicofol (115-32-2), endosulfan (115-29-7), and mexacarbate (315-18-4). All mice used in the nitrofen study, including controls, were housed in the same room with mice treated with trifluralin (1582-09-8), dioxathion (78-34-2), sulfallate (95-06-7), p,p'-DDT (50-29-3), methoxychlor (72-43-5), p,p'-DDE (72-55-9), p,p'-TDE (72-54-8), dicofol (115-32-2), pentachloronitrobenzene (82-68-8), clonitralid (1420-04-8), endosulfan (115-29-7), chlorobenzilate (510-15-6), mexacarbate (315-18-4), amitrole (61-82-5), acetylaminofluorene (53-96-3), and safrole (94-59-7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>CAS registry numbers are given in parentheses.

### E. Selection of Initial Concentrations

In order to establish the maximum tolerated doses of nitrofen for administration to treated animals in the chronic studies, subchronic toxicity tests were conducted with both rats and mice. Animals of each species were distributed among six groups, each consisting of five males and five females. Nitrofen was premixed with a small amount of corn oil. The mixture was then incorporated into the basal laboratory diet and fed <u>ad libitum</u> to five of the six rat groups at concentrations of 1000, 1780, 3160, 5620, and 10,000 ppm and to five of the six mouse groups at concentrations of 1780, 3160, 5620, 10,000 and 17,800 ppm. The sixth group of each species served as a control group, receiving only the basal laboratory diet. The dosed dietary preparations were administered for a period of 6 weeks, followed by a 2-week observation period during which all animals were fed the basal diet of corn oil and laboratory chow.

A dosage inducing no mortality and resulting in a retardation in body weight gain (a retardation of approximately 20 percent) was to be selected as the initial high dose. When weight gain criteria were not applicable, mortality data alone were utilized.

In the male and female rats, no deaths were observed at any concentration. In males, body weight gain retardation, expressed as a percentage of the weight gain of the controls, was 10 and 25 percent at concentrations of 3160 and 5620 ppm, respectively. In females, body weight gain retardation was 17 and 26 percent at

concentrations of 1780 and 3<sup>1</sup>60 ppm, respectively. The initial high doses selected for the rat chronic bioassay were 4600 ppm for males and 2600 ppm for females.

In mice, retardation in body weight gain, although not clearly dose-related, was observed at concentrations of 3160 ppm and above. At the 3160 ppm concentration, body weight gain reduction was 12 percent for male mice and 8 percent for female mice. At 5620 ppm, body weight gain reduction was 37 percent for male mice and 40 percent for female mice. One male died at 5620 ppm. Mortality increased with concentration in both sexes. The initial high dose selected for the chronic study was 3550 ppm for both male and female mice.

### F. Experimental Design

The experimental design parameters for the chronic study (species, sex, group size, concentrations administered, duration of treated and untreated observation periods, and the time-weighted average concentrations) are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

At the initiation of the study the high dose, low dose, and control rats were all approximately 7 weeks old. The high and low concentrations of nitrofen initially utilized for male rats were 4600 and 2300 ppm, respectively. For female rats the initial high and low concentrations were 2600 and 1300 ppm, respectively. During week 46 the concentration administered to the high dose male rats was decreased to 2300 ppm as intolerance to the higher dosage was observed. All high and low dose rats were treated for 78 weeks. The low dose

# TABLE 1

# DESIGN SUMMARY FOR OSBORNE-MENDEL RATS NITROFEN FEEDING EXPERIMENT

	INITIAL GROUP SIZE	NITROFEN CONCENTRATION <sup>a</sup>	OBSERVAT TREATED (WEEKS)	ION PERIOD UNTREATED (WEEKS)	TIME-WEIGHTED AVERAGE CONCENTRATION <sup>D</sup>
MALE					
CONTROL	20	0		110	0
LOW DOSE	50	2300 0	78	32	2300
HIGH DOSE <sup>C</sup>	50	4600 2300 0	45 33	5	3627
FEMALE					
CONTROL	20	0		110	0
LOW DOSE	50	1300 0	78	32	1300
HIGH DOSE	50	2600 0	78	32	2600
•					

<sup>a</sup>Concentrations in parts per million.

.

<sup>b</sup>Time-weighted average concentration =  $\frac{\sum (\text{concentration X weeks received})}{\sum (\text{weeks receiving treatment})}$ 

<sup>C</sup>These animals were terminated in week 83.

# TABLE 2

# DESIGN SUMMARY FOR B6F3F1 MICE NITROFEN FEEDING EXPERIMENT

	INITIAL GROUP SIZE	NITROFEN CONCENTRATION <sup>ª</sup>	OBSERVAT TREATED (WEEKS)	ION PERIOD UNTREATED (WEEKS)	TIME-WEIGHTED AVERAGE CONCENTRATION <sup>D</sup>
MALE					
CONTROL	20	0		90	0
LOW DOSE	50	1775 2000 2500	6 15 57		2348
		0		12	
HIGH DOSE	50	3550 4000 5000 0	6 15 57	12	4696
FEMALE					
CONTROL	20	0		90	0
LOW DOSE	50	1775 2000 2500	6 15 57	10	2348
		0		12	
HIGH DOSE	50	3550 4000 5000 0	6 15 57	12	4696
8				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

<sup>a</sup>Concentrations in parts per million.

<sup>b</sup> Time-weighted average concentration =  $\frac{\Sigma(\text{concentration X weeks received})}{\Sigma(\text{weeks receiving treatment})}$ 

and control males were observed for an additional 32 weeks during which they were maintained on the basal laboratory diet and corn oil mixture. The high dose males were observed for an additional 4 weeks after treatment, during which they were maintained on the basal laboratory diet and corn oil mixture. All surviving high dose male rats were sacrificed during week 83 of the study. High and low dose female rats were treated for 78 weeks and then received the basal diet and corn oil for an additional 32-week observation period.

At the initiation of the study all mice were approximately weeks old. The high and low doses initially administered to the male and female mice were 3550 and 1775 ppm, respectively. During week 7 the high and low dosages administered to the male and female mice were increased to 4000 and 2000 ppm, respectively, as the animals had apparently tolerated the previous dosages. Dosages were increased again during week 22, to 5000 ppm for the high dose male and female mice, and to 2500 ppm for the low dose male and female mice. The treated mice were maintained on these nitrofen concentrations for 57 weeks, followed by a 12-week observation period during which the animals received the basal diet and corn oil. Control mice received the basal diet and corn oil for the entire study.

Both rat and mouse control groups were maintained and observed in the same manner as the treated animals.

#### G. Clinical and Histopathologic Examinations

Animals were weighed immediately prior to initiation of the experiment. From the first day, all animals were inspected daily

for mortality. Body weights, food consumption, and data concerning appearance, behavior, signs of toxic effects, and incidence, size, and location of tissue masses were recorded at weekly intervals for the first 10 weeks and at monthly intervals thereafter. The presence of tissue masses was determined by observation and palpation of each animal.

A necropsy was performed on each animal regardless of whether it died, was killed when moribund, or was sacrificed at the end of the bioassay. The animals were euthanized by exsanguination under sodium pentobarbital anesthesia, and were immediately necropsied. The histopathologic examination consisted of gross and microscopic examination of major tissues, organs, or gross lesions taken from sacrificed animals and, whenever possible, from animals found dead.

Slides were prepared from the following tissues: skin, subcutaneous tissue, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes, thymus, heart, salivary gland, liver, gallbladder (mice) and bile duct, pancreas, esophagus, stomach, small intestine, large intestine, kidney, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, pancreatic islets, testis, prostate, brain, muscle, uterus, mammary gland, and ovary.

Tissues for which slides were prepared were preserved in 10 percent buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin prior to microscopic examination. An

occasional section was subjected to special staining techniques for more definitive diagnosis.

A few tissues were not examined for some animals, particularly for those that died early. Also, some animals were missing, cannibalized, or judged to be in such an advanced state of autolysis as to preclude histopathologic interpretation. Thus, the number of animals for which particular organs, tissues, or lesions were examined microscopically varies and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on experiment in each group.

# H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

These data were analyzed using the statistical techniques described in this section. Those analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative sections.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report

in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time that they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and used Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods for testing a dose-related trend. One-tailed P-values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is only reported when its two-tailed P-value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site was examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control animals. As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher exact test (Cox, 1970, pp. 48-52) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control

group to that of a group of treated animals at each dose level. When results for a number of treated groups, k, are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966, pp. 6-10) requires that the P-value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.05/k. In cases where this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not, however, presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P-values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971, pp. 362-365), was also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determined if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the onetailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend was a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied when numerous early deaths resulted from causes that were not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occurred before the first tumor was observed were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived at least 52 weeks, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was

found. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which animals died naturally or were sacrificed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups; Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for the incidence of tumors which used life-table methods were one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity (P < 0.05, twotailed test) were also noted.

The approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared to its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is defined as  $p_t/p_c$  where  $p_t$  is the true binomial probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a treated group of animals and  $p_c$  is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a treated group and the proportion in a control group corresponds to a relative risk

of unity. Values in excess of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the treated group than in the control.


The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk have been included in the tables of statistical analy-The interpretation of the limits is that in approximately 95 ses. percent of a large number of identical experiments, the true ratio of the risk in a treated group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the experiment. When the lower limit of the confidence interval is greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result (a P < 0.025one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P < 0.050when the control incidence is zero) has occurred. When the lower limit is less than unity but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Observations

As indicated in Figure 1, a dose-related depression of body weight gain was observed in males and females throughout the 78-week treatment period. Growth curves for rats surviving beyond the treatment period tend to converge.

All animals exhibited generally normal appearance and behavior during the first 10 weeks of the study with the exception of intermittent observations of hunched appearance, abdominal urine stains, and labored respiration in a few treated rats. Beginning in week 14, a hunched appearance was observed in a gradually increasing number of treated rats and by week 78, at cessation of treatment, 75 percent of the low dose and 95 percent of the high dose rats appeared hunched. Urine stains and a slight decrease in body weight gain were also evident, particularly in the high dose groups. A bloody-appearing vaginal discharge was intermittently observed in one to five females in each of the treatment groups during the second year of the study and was consistently noted in these animals during the the last 3 months.

Respiratory signs, characterized by labored respiration, wheezing, and/or nasal discharge were observed at a low to moderate incidence in all groups during the second year of the study. The incidence increased as the animals aged. At termination of the study in week 110, most of the surviving treated and control rats






FIGURE 1 GROWTH CURVES FOR NITROFEN CHRONIC STUDY RATS

appeared hunched and were showing respiratory signs. Other signs often associated with aging that were noted at comparable rates in all groups included sores on the body and/or extremities, localized alopecia, reddened or squinted eyes, rough or stained fur, bloating, and palpable nodules or tissue masses. Isolated, apparently spontaneous symptoms observed in one or two rats included incoordination, ataxia, pale appearance, and hyperactivity.

#### B. Survival

The estimated probabilities of survival for male and female rats in the control and nitrofen-treated groups are shown in Figure 2.

For male rats the Tarone test for positive association between increased dosage and accelerated mortality was significant (P < 0.001). The departure from linear trend was also significant (P < 0.001), principally because of the accelerated mortality in the high dose group. Fifty percent of the high dose males were dead by week 45; the 15 males still surviving by week 83 were then sacrificed.

Sixty percent of the low dose and 45 percent of the control group survived until the end of the study. As such, there were adequate numbers of low dose and control males, but inadequate numbers of high dose males, at risk to perform a meaningful statistical analysis of the incidence of late-developing tumors.

For female rats the Tarone test indicated a significant (P = 0.032) positive association between increased dosage and accelerated




FIGURE 2 SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF NITROFEN CHRONIC STUDY RATS

mortality. Survival was adequate in all groups as 56 percent of the high dose, 74 percent of the low dose, and 80 percent of the control female rats survived until the end of the study.

#### C. Pathology

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are tabulated in Appendix A (Tables Al and A2); findings on nonneoplastic lesions are tabulated in Appendix C (Tables Cl and C2).

Long-term dietary intake of nitrofen was associated with an increased incidence of carcinoma of the pancreas in female rats. This unusual neoplasm occurred in 2/50 (4 percent) low dose and 7/50 (14 percent) high dose females. These were highly invasive neoplasms characterized microscopically by the proliferation of anaplastic epithelial cells forming glands, often sequestered in fibrous tissue, and ducts and papillary structures that were lined by one or more cell layers. Marked desmoplasia, ischemic necrosis, inflammation, and hemorrhage were often associated with these tumors. Most appeared to be ductal carcinomas, but in some areas, were so highly anaplastic and poorly differentiated that little pattern was observed. Poorly formed acini occasionally were recognized, consisting of polygonal, highly basophilic cells with abundant cytoplasm and hyperchromatic nuclei, but zymogen granules were not observed. Peritoneal spread and invasion of abdominal viscera were common, and all metastasized to the lung.

An equivocal increased incidence of neoplasms affecting the reproductive system of female rats was observed including the following: in the vagina, a squamous-cell carcinoma in 1/50 (2 percent) high dose females; in the uterus, a squamous-cell carcinoma in 1/50 (2 percent) low dose females; and uterine adenocarcinomas in 1/50 (2) percent) low dose and 1/49 (2 percent) high dose females. In the ovary, a granulosa-cell carcinoma appeared in 1/20 (5 percent) control and 1/50 (2 percent) low dose females, cystadenocarcinoma in 1/50 (2 percent) low dose females, and granulosa-cell tumors in 4/49 (8 percent) high dose females. Although these types of neoplasms occurred in small numbers, the vaginal and uterine carcinomas represent unusual forms of neoplasia in this strain. There were 3/50 (6 percent) histiocytic malignant lymphomas of multiple organs and 1/49 (2 percent) lymphocytic malignant lymphoma of the uterus in high dose females. A variety of other neoplasms were seen in all groups but appeared unrelated to treatment.

In male rats, a life-shortening effect related to intake of nitrofen was observed, particularly in high dose males. The high dose male group was terminated in week 83 because only 15 animals remained in the study. The principal toxic effect of nitrofen in the high dose male group was massive hemorrhage involving the genitalia and pelvic cavity. Massive centrilobular necrosis, a sequela of hypoxia due to acute hemorrhage, was frequently recognized in the livers of high dose animals. In low dose males, a high incidence

of chronic pneumonia, probably exacerbated by stress, was observed. Although a carcinogenic effect was not demonstrated in male rats, the possible masking effects of toxicity with early mortality should not be dismissed.

Increased incidences of malignant tumors of the pancreas and of the reproductive system provided evidence of carcinogenicity in female rats. The absence of histopathologic evidence of carcinogenicity in male rats could be the result of abbreviated life spans due to compound-related toxicity.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results

The results of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence in rats are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. The analysis for every type of tumor that was observed in more than 5 percent of any of the nitrofen-dosed groups of either sex is included.

Two control groups were used for statistical analyses: the control group originally assigned to nitrofen in the experimental design (designated in this section as the "matched" control group) and a pooled control group which combined the controls from the studies of nitrofen, chlorobenzilate, endosulfan, and mexacarbate. Each chlorobenzilate control group had 50 rats, each of the other groups had 20. The control rats used for the pool were of the same strain, were housed in the same room, were tested concurrently for over a year, and were diagnosed by the same pathologists.

#### TABLE 3

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN<sup>a</sup>

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma <sup>b</sup>	7/109(0.06)	3/20(0.15)	3/50(0.06)	0/50(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	P = 0.012(N)	N.S.	P = 0.021**(N)
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		 	0.934 0.161 3.880	0.000 0.000 1.128
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.400 0.060 2.801	0.000 0.000 0.659
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		107	89	
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	12/100(0.12)	2/20(0.10)	9/46(0.20)	1/44(0.02)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend	P = 0.025	P = 0.031		
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	  		1.630 0.647 3.868	0.189 0.004 1.212
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		1.957 0.462 17.603	0.227 0.004 4.167
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		71	99	84
Thyroid: Follicular-Cell Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	6/108(0.06)	1/20(0.05)	7/50(0.14)	0/47(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend	P = 0.006	P = 0.019		
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		2.520 0.760 8.549	0.000 0.000 1.440
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		 	2.800 0.402 123.408	0.000 0.000 7.942
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		103	90	

### TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPBOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH Dose
Thyroid: Follicular-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	9/108(0.08)	2/20(0.10)	8/50(0.16)	0/47(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S. $P = 0.035*(N)$
Departure from Linear Trend	P = 0.010	P = 0.042		
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			1.920 0.681 5.226	0.000 0.000 0.877
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		1.600 0.364 14.699	0.000 0.000 1.429
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		103	90	
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	0/108(0.00)	0/20(0.00)	1/50(0.02)	2/47(0.04)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.114 Infinite	Infinite 0.671 Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.022 Infinite	Infinite 0.130 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			112	58
Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma, NOS <sup>b</sup>	3/109(0.03)	1/20(0.05)	2/50(0.04)	0/50(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		1.453 0.124 12.215	0.000 0.000 3.635
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.800 0.045 46.273	0.000 0.000 7.475
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		111	83	

#### TABLE 3 (CONCLUDED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW Dose	HIGH Dose
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma <sup>b</sup>	1/109(0.01)	0/20(0.00)	2/50(0.04)	0/50(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit			4.360 0.231	0.000 0.000
Upper Limit	<b></b> _		252.025	40.652
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.123 Infinite	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			111	

 $^{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{D} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{d}}$  groups received time-weighted average doses of 2300 and 3627 ppm in feed.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

<sup>C</sup>Beneath the incidence of each of the controls is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when it is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant. Departure from linear trend is noted when it is below 0.05 for any comparison. Beneath the dose group incidence is the probability level for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of that dose group with the pooled control group (\*) and the matched control group (\*\*) when either is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant.

8 (N) Less incidence in the dose group(s) than in a control group results in a negative indication.

Relative Risk of the treated group versus the control group is shown along with the lower and upper limit of the 95% confidence interval for that relative risk.

~

### TABLE 4

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN<sup>4</sup>

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH Dose
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma <sup>b</sup>	6/110(0.05)	2/20(0.10)	0/50(0.00)	0/50(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.029(N)	P = 0.024(N)	N.S.	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend		P = 0.042		
Relative Risk (Poeled Control) <sup>d</sup>			0.000	0.000
Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.000	0.000
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup>			0.000	0.000
Lower Limit			0.000	0.000
Upper Limit	<del></del>		1.345	1.345
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		111		
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	1/110(0.01)	0/20(0.00)	0/50(0.00)	4/50(0.08)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.016	P = 0.039	N.S.	$P = 0.033^{*}$
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup>			0.000	8.800
Lower Limit			0.000	0.698
Upper Limit			41.028	424.104
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup>				Infinite
Lower Limit				0.386
Upper Limit				Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor				88
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenomab	37/107(0.35)	3/18(0.17)	15/49(0.31)	18/48(0.38)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup>			0.885	1.085
Lower Limit			0.496	0.645
Upper Limit			1.469	1.717
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup>			1.837	2.250
Lower Limit			0.618	0.783
Upper Limit			9.084	10.870
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		98	103	105

### TABLE 4 (CONTINUED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Thyroid: Follicular-Cell Adenoma	4/108(0.04)	1/19(0.05)	2/49(0.04)	4/50(0.08)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup>			1.102	2.160
Lower Limit			0.103	0.418
Upper Limit			7.363	11.071
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup>			0.776	1.520
Lower Limit			0.044	0.168
Upper Limit			44.838	73.309
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		111	103	112
Thyroid: Follicular-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma	5/108(0.05)	2/19(0.11)	3/49(0.06)	4/50(0.08)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup>			1.322	1.728
Lower Limit			0.211	0,357
Upper Limit			6.470	7.632
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup>			0.582	0.760
Lower Limit			0.074	0.122
Upper Limit			6.640	8.007
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		111	103	112
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	6/108(0.06)	0/19(0.00)	3/49(0.06)	2/50(0.04)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup>			1,102	0.720
Lower Limit			0.184	0.073
Upper Limit			4.897	3.836
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup>			Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.243	0.117
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			112	112

### TABLE 4 (CONTINUED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Pancreas: Carcinoma, NOS <sup>b</sup>	0/110(0.00)	0/20(0.00)	2/50(0.04)	7/50(0.14)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	<b>P</b> < 0.001	P = 0.021	N.S.	P<0.001*
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.640 Infinite	Infinite 4.206 Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		Infinite 0.123 Infinite	Infinite 0.809 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	~==		94	70
Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma, NOS <sup>b</sup>	3/110(0.03)	1/20(0.05)	3/50(0.06)	4/50(0.08)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.094	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		 	2.200 0.303 15,792	<b>2.933</b> <b>0.509</b> 19.228
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		 	1.200 0.105 61.724	1.600 0.175 77.169
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		98	14	94
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma <sup>b</sup>	32/110(0.29)	7/20(0.35)	10/50(0.20)	12/50(0.24)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.688 0.324 1.300	0.825 0.419 1.483
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		 	0.571 0.240 1.558	0.686 0.305 1.806
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		111	89	112

23

•

### TABLE 4 (CONTINUED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	Pooled Control	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW Dose	HIGH Dose
Mammary Gland: Fibroma or Fibroadenoma	32/110(0.29)	7/20(0.35)	11/50(0.22)	12/50(0.24)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.756 0.371 1.392	0.825 0.427 1.493
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.629 0.272 1.683	0.686 0.305 1.806
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		111	89	112
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp <sup>b</sup>	8/109(0.07)	2/20(0.10)	3/50(0.06)	1/49(0.02)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.834 0.147 3.222	0.284 0.006 1.977
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		0.612 0.077 6.860	0.208 0.004 3.754
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		111	107	112
Ovary: Granulosa-Cell Tumor <sup>b</sup>	1/109(0.01)	0/20(0.00)	0/50(0.00)	4/49(0.08)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.015	P = 0.038	N.S.	P = 0.032*
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		0.000 0.000 40,652	8.898 0.905 428.730
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 	 		Infinite 0.394 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor				112

В

TABLE 4	
(CONCLUDED)	

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Ovary: Granulosa-Cell Tumor or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	2/109(0.02)	1/20(0.05)	1/50(0.02)	4/49(0.08)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.050	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup>			1.090	4.449
Lower Limit			0.019	0.658
Upper Limit			20.319	47.561
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup>			0.400	1.633
Lower Limit			0.005	0.179
Upper Limit			30.802	78.704
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		99	112	112

 $^{a}$ Dosed groups received time-weighted average doses of 1300 and 2600 ppm in feed.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

<sup>C</sup>Beneath the incidence of each of the controls is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when it is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant. Departure from linear trend is noted when it is below 0.05 for any comparison. Beneath the dose group incidence is the probability level for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of that dose group with the pooled control group (\*) and the matched control group (\*\*) when either is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant.

 $\omega$  (N) Less incidence in the dose group(s) than in a control group results in a negative indication.

d Relative Risk of the treated group versus the control group is shown along with the lower and upper limit of the 95% confidence intercal for that relative risk. The incidence of carcinomas of the pancreas was high in female rats. The Cochran-Armitage test indicated a significant positive association between increased dosage and elevated tumor incidence when comparing either the pooled (P < 0.001) or the matched (P =0.021) control. The Fisher exact test confirmed these results with a statistically significant comparison (P < 0.001) of the high dose group to the pooled control. The lower limit of the 95 percent confidence interval on the relative risk of the high dose to the pooled control was greater than the value one.

In female rats the Cochran-Armitage test showed a significant positive association between increased dosage and an elevated incidence of lymphomas whether compared to the matched control (P = 0.039) or to the pooled control (P = 0.016). The Fisher exact test uid not confirm this increased incidence of lymphomas as the probability level (P = 0.033) of the comparison of the high dose to the pooled control was not significant under the Bonferroni criterion.

The incidence of granulosa-cell tumors of the ovary was also noted in female rats. The Cochran-Armitage test was significant in comparisons involving both the pooled (P = 0.015) and the matched (P = 0.038) controls. The Fisher exact tests did not support these findings, however, when the Bonferroni criterion was applied. When the incidences of ovarian carcinomas were combined with the incidences of ovarian granulosa-cell tumors, again the Fisher exact tests

did not indicate that the relationship between treatment and incidence was statistically significant.

Based upon these results, the statistical conclusion is that in female Osborne-Mendel rats the incidences of carcinomas of the pancreas were associated with the administration of nitrofen at the dose levels used in this experiment.

In male rats, for C-cell adenomas of the thyroid, the Fisher exact test comparing the high dose to the pooled control was significant (P = 0.031). The Cochran-Armitage test and the Fisher exact test comparing low dose to control did not confirm this finding. Because of the poor survival in the high dose male rats, an additional analysis was conducted based upon males that survived at least 52 weeks; however, no statistically significant results were found. Because of the lack of supporting results and because only two such tumors were found in the high dose group, there was insufficient statistical evidence to conclude that the tumor incidence was associated with the administration of nitrofen.

To provide additional insight, 95 percent confidence intervals on the relative risk have been estimated and entered in the tables based upon the observed tumor incidence rates. In many of the intervals shown in Tables 3 and 4, the value one is included; this indicates the absence of statistically significant results. It should also be noted that many of the confidence intervals have an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of a

significantly increased rate of tumor incidence induced in rats by nitrofen that could not be established under the conditions of this test.

\$

.

### **IV. CHRONIC TESTING RESULTS: MICE**

## A. Body Weights and Clinical Observations


As indicated in Figure 3, weight gain of high dose male mice was depressed relative to low dose male mice. Mean body weights of male controls were close to those of low dose males but occasionally fell as low as those of high dose males. A dose-related depression in body weight gain was evident for female mice.

During the first year of the bioassay, patterns of appearance and behavior were generally comparable for treated and control mice except that body sores and alopecia (usually associated with fighting) were observed as early as week 2 in the treated males. Other clinical signs often observed in group-housed laboratory mice were noted at a comparable rate in control and treated groups. These signs included a hunched appearance, penile, vulvar, or anal irritation, squinted or reddened eyes, palpable nodules, and rough or stained fur.

Beginning in week 54 and until termination of the study, bloating or pronounced abdominal distension was displayed by an increasing number of treated mice, particularly in the females. Necropsy of these animals revealed liver tumors that were subsequently diagnosed as hepatocellular carcinomas.

## B. Survival

The estimated probabilities of survival for male and female mice in the control and nitrofen-treated groups are shown in Figure 4.






FIGURE 3 GROWTH CURVES FOR NITROFEN CHRONIC STUDY MICE




FIGURE 4 SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF NITROFEN CHRONIC STUDY MICE

For male mice the Tarone test did not indicate a significant positive association between dosage and mortality. The survival of the control group was unexpectedly poor, as only 10 percent survived until the end of the test compared to 34 percent of the high dose and 54 percent of the low dose male mice. Sixty percent of the control mice were dead by week 67, leaving only eight animals at risk from late-developing tumors. No common cause for these early deaths could be detected, but 11 of the 12 had amyloidosis at multiple sites and chronic inflammation of the kidney.

For female mice, again, the Tarone test did not indicate a significant positive association between dosage and mortality. Survival was adequate in all groups as 62 percent of the high dose, 54 percent of the low dose, and 85 percent of the control female mice survived until the end of the study.

## C. Pathology

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are tabulated in Appendix B (Tables Bl and B2); findings on nonneoplastic lesions are tabulated in Appendix D (Tables Dl and D2).

Long-term dietary intake of nitrofen was associated with a high incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in both sexes and at all dose levels. This liver tumor occurred in 4/20 (20 percent) control males, 36/49 (73 percent) low dose males, 46/48 (96 percent) high dose males, 36/41 (88 percent) low dose females, and 43/44 (98 percent) high dose females. These were primarily confined to the liver, but a few

metastasized. The hepatocellular carcinomas were characterized microscopically by proliferating eosinophilic or basophilic swollen hepatocytes forming liver plates usually one or more cells in thickness and by compressed adjacent parenchyma. Although most tumors were relatively well-differentiated, occasional anaplastic lesions were composed of intensely basophilic staining hepatocytes forming pseudo-acini and thick blunted liver plates.

Hemangiosarcoma of the liver occurred in 1/49 (2 percent) low dose males, 2/48 (4 percent) high dose males, and 4/44 (9 percent) high dose females. Also considered spontaneous were subcutaneous fibrosarcomas which were deserved in 8/44 (18 percent) low dose males but not in high dose males, matched controls or treated females.

In the urinary bladder, 2/40 (5 percent) high dose males had transitional-cell carcinomas, while 1/41 (2 percent) high dose females had a transitional-cell papilloma. These are normally very infrequent tumors in the urinary bladder of this strain. However, the low incidence of these lesions does not provide adequate evidence for a carcinogenic effect.

The increased incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas in all groups of mice receiving nitrofen in the diet provides histopathologic evidence of carcinogenicity in B6C3F1 mice of both sexes.

# D. Statistical Analyses of Results

The results of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence in mice are summarized in Tables 5 and 6. The analysis for every type

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN<sup>4</sup>

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma <sup>b</sup>	0/74(0.00)	0/20(0.00)	2/44(0.05)	0/46(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend	P = 0.020			
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.494 Infinite	
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.140 Infinite	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	**=		92	
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma <sup>b</sup>	3/74(0.04)	0/20(0.00)	8/44(0.18)	0/46(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	P = 0.040** P = 0.014*	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend	P < 0.001	P < 0.001		
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			4.485 1.142 24.852	0.000 0.000 2.673
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 1.086 Infinite	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			75	
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma <sup>b</sup>	3/74(0.04)	0/20(0.00)	10/44(0.23)	0/46(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	P = 0.016 * * P = 0.003 *	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend	P < 0.001	P<0.001		
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			<b>5.606</b> 1.536 29.925	0.000 0.000 2.673
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 1.412 Infinite	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			75	

TABLE 5
(CONTINUED)

÷

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH Dose
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	1/74(0.01)	0/20(0.00)	1/44(0.02)	0/46(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			1.682 0.022 129.041	0.000 0.000 29.957
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		Infinite 0.025 Infinite	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			58	
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	9/74(0.12)	4/20(0.20)	36/49(0.73)	46/48(0.96
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P < 0.001	P< 0.001	P < 0.001** P < 0.001*	₽< 0.001** P< 0.001*
Departure from Linear Trend	P = 0.016	***		
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			6.041 3.285 11.623	7.880 4.927 10.091
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		3.673 1.616 11.735	4.792 2.360 8.369
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		62	58	57
Urinary Bladder: Transitional-Cell Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	0/69(0.00)	0/20(0.00)	0/47(0.00)	2/40(0.05)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.051	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit				Infinite 0.510 Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit				Infinite 0.153 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor				92

TABLE 5	
(CONCLUDED)	

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
All Sites: Hemangiosarcoma <sup>b</sup>	0/74(0.00)	0/20(0.00)	1/44(0.02)	4/48(0.08)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.010	P = 0.074	N.S.	$P = 0.022^*$
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		Infinite 0.090 Infinite	Infinite 1.417 Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 	 	Infinite 0.023 Infinite	Infinite 0.402 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			92	92

<sup>a</sup>Dosed groups received time-weighted average doses of 2348 and 4696 ppm in feed.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

.

£

<sup>C</sup>Beneath the incidence of each of the controls is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when it is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant. Departure from linear trend is noted when it is below 0.05 for any comparison. Beneath the dose group incidence is the probability level for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of that dose group with the pooled control group (\*) and the matched control group (\*\*) when either is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant. (N) Less incidence in the dose group(s) than in a control group results in a negative indication.

<sup>1</sup>Relative Risk of the treated group versus the control group is shown along with the lower and upper limit of the 95% confidence interval for that relative risk.

### TABLE 6

#### ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROPEN<sup>®</sup>

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	POOLED CONTROL	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	11/80(0.14)	2/20(0.10)	3/40(0.08)	2/45(0.05)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.056(N)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.545 0.102 1.913	0.323 0.036 1.390
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.750 0.095 8.508	0.444 0.035 5.844
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		92	91	81
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	0/80(0.00)	0/19(0.00)	36/41(0.88)	43/44(0.98)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001** P < 0.001*	P < 0.001** P < 0.001*
Departure from Linear Trend	P < 0.001	P< 0.001		
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 24.549 Infinite	Infinite 30.137 Infinite
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 6.116 Infinite	Infinite 7.434 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			62	64
All Sites: Hemangiosarcoma	2/80(0.03)	1/18(0.06)	0/41(0.00)	5/44(0.11)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.032	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.000 0.000 6.570	4.545 0.777 45.880
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 		0.000 0.000 8.171	2.045 0.258 94.395
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		87		87

<sup>a</sup>Dosed groups received time-weighted average doses of 2348 and 4696 ppm in feed.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

£

<sup>C</sup>Beneath the incidence of each of the controls is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when it is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant. Departure from linear trend is noted when it is below 0.05 for any comparison. Beneath the dose group incidence is the probability level for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of that dose group with the pooled control group (\*) and the matched control group (\*\*) when either is below 0.05, otherwise N.S. - not significant. (N) Less incidence in the dose group(s) than in a control group results in a negative indication.

d Relative Risk of the treated group versus the control group is shown along with the lower and upper limit of the 95% confidence interval for that relative risk.

of tumor that was observed in more than 5 percent of any of the nitrofen-dosed groups of either sex is included.

Two control groups were used for statistical analyses: the control group originally assigned to the nitrofen bioassay in the experimental design (designated in this section as the "matched" control group) and a pooled control group that combined the controls from the studies of nitrofen, chlorobenzilate, endosulfan, and mexacarbate. Each of the control groups had 20 mice. The control mice used for the pool were of the same strain, were housed in the same room, were tested concurrently for at least one year, and were diagnosed by the same pathologists.

A high incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma was observed in dosed mice. For both sexes the Cochran-Armitage test indicated a highly significant (P < 0.001) positive association between dosage and tumor incidence when compared with either the matched controls or the pooled controls. The departure from linear trend was significant because of the extremely high incidence in both high and low dose groups. For both sexes, both the high dose and low dose groups had a significantly (P < 0.001) higher incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas than either of the controls. In all cases the lower limit of the confidence interval on the relative risk was greater than the value one. Finally, in the historical controls on B6C3F1 mice (compiled to date for this specific laboratory by the NCI Bioassay Program) hepatocellular carcinomas were found in 13/180 (7 percent) of

the males and 4/180 (2 percent) of the females, substantially lower rates than observed in the dosed mice.

Based upon these results the statistical conclusion is that nitrofen had a carcinogenic effect on the livers of B6C3F1 mice at the dose levels of this experiment.

Hemangiosarcomas were also noted in both male and female mice. The Cochran-Armitage test showed a significant positive association between increased dose and elevated tumor incidence for both males (P = 0.010) and females (P = 0.032) when compared to the pooled controls. The Fisher exact test showed a significantly (P = 0.022)higher incidence in the high dose than in the pooled control for males, but for females the results were not significant.

Based on these results the statistical conclusion is that the incidence of hemangiosarcomas in male B6C3F1 mice was associated with the administration of nitrofen at the dose levels of this experiment.

In low dose male mice the Fisher exact tests showed a significantly higher incidence of either fibromas or fibrosarcomas of the subcutaneous tissue than in the pooled control (P = 0.014); the comparison of matched control to low dose was not significant under the Bonferroni criterion. It was questionable, however, whether this tumor was dose-related since none of these tumors were observed in the high dose group.

## V. DISCUSSION

Under the conditions of this study the administration of nitrofen was associated with a highly significant incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas and an elevated incidence of hemangiosarcoma of the liver in mice of both sexes. In female rats, nitrofen was associated with an increased incidence of carcinomas of the pancreas, and various tumors of the reproductive system. Because of an accelerated early mortality, the number of male rats at risk from late-developing tumors was inadequate for meaningful statistical analyses.

In mice of both sexes, the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma showed a highly significant positive association with concentration of nitrofen in the diet. The incidences of hepatocellular carcinomas for each dosed group were highly significant when compared to either control group. A statistically significant positive association between dosage and incidence of hemangiosarcomas of the liver was observed in mice of both sexes. The incidence in the high dose male mice, but not female or low dose male mice, was significantly higher than controls.

The incidence of carcinomas of the pancreas had a statistically significant positive association with concentration of nitrofen in diets of female rats. The high dose group had a statistically significant incidence of carcinoma of the pancreas when compared to the pooled controls. The fact that this is an unusual neoplasm in the

Osborne-Mendel rat is further indication that occurrence of this tumor is related to administration of nitrofen.

The results of this study indicate that nitrofen is a liver carcinogen, causing hepatocellular carcinomas in B6C3F1 mice of both sexes and hemangiosarcoma of the liver in male mice. In addition, the compound is carcinogenic to female Osborne-Mendel rats, causing an increased incidence of pancreatic carcinomas. Survival of the high dose male rats was not adequate for meaningful statistical analysis of tumor incidence.

### VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, Chapter 14. J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1971.
- Berenblum, I., editor, <u>Carcinogenicity Testing</u>. International Union Against Cancer, Technical Report Series, Vol. 2. International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.
- Chemical Abstracts Service, <u>The Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Ninth</u> <u>Collective Index</u>, Volumes 76-85, 1972-1976. American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1977.
- Cox, D.R., <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Chapters 4 and 5. Methuen and Co., Ltd., London, 1970.
- Cox, D.R., "Regression Models and Life-Tables." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series "B" 34:187-220, 1972.
- Doroshenko, G.V., "Hygenic Characteristics of Working Conditions and Health Status of Persons Handling a Complex of Pesticides in Gardening." <u>Gigiena Truda I Professional-nye Zabolevaniya</u> <u>2</u>:12-14, 1975.
- Environmental Protection Agency, <u>Compendium of Registered Pesticides</u>, Vol. I supplement. June 30, 1975.
- Gart, J.J., "The Comparison of Proportion: A Review of Significance Tests, Confidence Limits, and Adjustments for Stratification." International Statistical Institute Review 39:148-169, 1971.
- Kaplan, E.L., and P. Meier, "Nonparametric Estimation from Incomplete Observation." Journal of the American Statistical Association 53:457-481, 1958.
- Linhart, M.S., J.A. Cooper, R.L. Martin, N.P. Page, and J.A. Peters, "Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System." <u>Computers and Biomedical</u> <u>Research</u> 7:230-248, 1974.
- Miller, R.G., <u>Simultaneous Statistical Inference</u>. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966.
- Reuber, M.D., and E.L. Glover, "Cirrhosis and Carcinoma of the Liver in Male Rats Given Subcutaneous Carbon Tetrachloride." Journal of the National Cancer Institute 44:419-423, 1970.

- Saffiotti, U., R. Montesano, A.R. Sellakumar, F. Cefix, and D.G. Kaufman, "Respiratory Tract Carcinogenesis in Hamsters Induced by Different Numbers of Administration of Benzo (a) Pyrene and Ferric Oxide." <u>Cancer Research</u> 32:1073-1079, 1972.
- Stanford Research Institute, <u>1975 Directory of Chemical Producers</u>, U.S.A. Menlo Park California, 1975.
- Tarone, R.E., "Tests for Trend in Life-Table Analysis." <u>Biometrika</u> 62:679-682, 1975.
- Weed Science Society of America, "Nitrofen." <u>Herbicide Handbook</u>. Champaign, Illinois, 1974.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN

TABLE A1
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-M060	LOW DOSE 01-M061	HIGH DOSI 01-m062
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXANINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20 20 ** 20	50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUNENIARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN PAPILLOMA, NOS	(20)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50)
*SUBCUT TISSUE PISROMA PIBROSARCOMA PIBROUS HISTIOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT LIPOMA	(20) 1 (5%) 2 (10%)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
*LUNG ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC FIBROUS HISTIOCYTONA, METASTATIC MIXED TUMOR, METASTATIC	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)
HENATOPOILTIC SYSTEM			
#SPLEEN PIBHOSARCOMA, METASTATIC PIBROUS HISTLOCYTOMA, METASTATIC HEMANGIOMA HEMANGIOSARCOMA	(20) 1 (5%) 2 (10%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
*LIVER PIEROUS HISTIOCYTOMA, METASTATIC	(20)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(50)

# NUMBER OF AMINALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALI \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSILD

\*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

.

# TABLE AI (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-M060	LOW DOSE 01-M061	HIGH DOSE 01-m062
*PANCREAS FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC	(18)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48)
#COLUM ADENOMATOUS POLYP, NOS	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
RINARY SYSTEM			
*KIDNEY CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC MIXED TUMOR, MALIGNANT	(20) 1 (5 <b>%</b> )	(50) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50)
URINARY BLADDER TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINONA FIBROUS HISTICCYTONA, METASTATIC	(20)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(48)
NDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA	(20) 2 (10%)	(46) 9 (20%)	(44) 1 (2 <b>5</b> )
#ADRENAL CORTICAL CANCINONA MIXED TUNUR, METASTATIC	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 1 (2 <b>5</b> )	(49)
*TETROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA	(20) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(50) 7 (14\$) 1 (2\$)	(47)
C-CELL ADENOMA		1 (2%)	2 (4%)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS FIBROADELOMA	(20) 1 (5 <b>%</b> )	(50) 2 (4 <b>%</b> ) 2 (4 <b>%</b> )	(50)
TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR	(20)	(50) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%)

NONE

\* BUBBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECKOPSIED

## TABLE A1 (CONTINUED)

(20) (20)	(50) 1 (2%) (50)	(50)
	1 (2%) (50)	
(20)		(50)
	1 (2%)	(30)
(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
20 10	50 19	50 35
1	ĩ	
9	30	15
	20 10 1	20 50 10 19 1 1

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED NICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECHOPSIED

A-5

### TABLE A1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-N060	LON DOSE 01-8061	HIGH DOSE 01-n062
TUMOR SUMMARY			
ICHOR SUMARI			
TOTAL AWIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	10	30	4
TOFAL PRIMARY TUMORS	12	40	5
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BERIGE TUMORS	5	23	4
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	5	28	5
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUBORS	7	11	
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUBORS	7	12	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUBURS		4	
TOTAL SECONDARY TUBORS	2	11	
IOTAL SECONDARY TOBORS	2		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-	-		
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUNORS UNCERTAIN-	-		
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
* PRIMARY TUNORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SI			
# SECONDARY FUSORS: METASTATIC TUBORS	OR TUMORS INVI	ASIVE INTO AN A	DJACENT ORGAN

\* SECONDARY FUNORS: NETASTATIC TUNORS OR TUNORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

TABLE A2
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-P060	LOW DOSE 01-P063	HIGH DOSE 01-F064
AMIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY AMIMALS NECROPSIED AMIMALS SECTOPSIED AMIMALS SEAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY :	20 20 ** 20	50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUAENTARY SYSTEM			* - # * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
*SKIN SQUANOUS CELL CARCINOMA KERATOACANTHOMA	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE PIBROMA	(20) 2 (10 <b>%</b> )	(50)	(50)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC SQUAHOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	(20)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) 7 (145) 1 (25)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISPIOCYTIC TYPE	(20)	(50)	(50) 3 (5%)
*SPLEEN CARCINOMA, NOS, METASIATIC SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA	(20)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 4 (8 <b>%</b> )
GRANULOSA-CELL CARCINOMA, METAST HEMANGIOSAKCOMA	1 (5%)	<b>v</b> = <b>i</b>	1 (2%)
*UTERUS MALIG.LIMPHOMA, LYMPHOCITIC TYPE	(20)	(50)	(49) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )

\_\_\_\_

NONL

NUBBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUBBER OF ANIMALS NECFOPSIED
 \*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

### TABLE A2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (VEH)		HIGH DOSE
	01-P060	01-F063	01-F064
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVEN CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	(20)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) ∃ (७%)
*PANCREAS CARCINOMA,NOS SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, MEIASTA	(20)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) 7 (14%)
*ESOPHAGUS Squanous Cell Carcinona, Metasta	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(39)
*STOMACH CARCINOMA, NOS, METASIATIC SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, MEFASTA	(20)	(49) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) 7 (14 <b>%</b> )
#SMALL INTESTINE CARCINOMA, NOS, METASIATIC SQUANGUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA	(19)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(49) 5 (10 <b>%</b> )
<pre>#Large intestine</pre>	(20)	(49)	(49) 3 (6 <b>\$</b> )
BRINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDAEX CARCINOMA, NOS, MEPASTATIC TUBULAR-CELL ADENOCARCINOMA MIXED TUMOK, NALIGNANT HAMARIOMA	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HURINANY BLADDER CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL SARCOMA, MET	(18)	(48) 1 (2\$) 1 (2\$)	(49) 2 (4 <b>%</b> )
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA	(18) 3 (17%)	(49) 15 (3 <b>1%)</b>	(48) 18 (35≴)
*ADRENAL CARCLAOMA, NOS, METASTATIC PHEOCHROMOCYTOBA	(20)	(50)	(49) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECKOPSIED

# TABLE A2 (CONTINUED)

		OL (VEH)	LOW 1 01-1		HIGH 01-F	
*THYROID	(19)		(49)		(50)	
PULLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA	1	(5%)	2	(4%)	4	(8%)
FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINONA	1	(5%)		(2%)		
C-CELL ADEBOMA				(4%)		(2%)
C-CELL CARCINOMA			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
*PANCREATIC ISLETS	(20)		(50)		(50)	
ISLEF-CELL ADENONA	• •			(2%)	• •	
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM		********				
*HANNARY GLAND	(20)		(50)		(50)	
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS		(5%)		(6%)		(8%)
FIBHORA		• •	1	(2%)		• •
FIBROADENOMA	7	(35%)	10	(20%)	12	(24%)
*VAGINA	(20)		(50)		(50)	
CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	• •		• •		1	(2%)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINONA					1	(2%)
#UTERUS	(20)		(50)		(49)	
CARCINOMA, NOS, SETASTATIC			• •		2	(4%)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA			1	(2%)		
ADENOCARCINOMA, MOS				(2%)		(2%)
ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP	2	(10%)		(6%)	1	(2%)
ELDONETRIAL STROMAL SARCOMA			1	(2%)		
#OVARY	(20)		(50)		(49)	
CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC				(2%)	2	(4%)
SQUANOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA				(2%)		-
CISTADENUCARCINOMA, NOS			1	(2%)		
GRANULOSA-CELL TUMOR					4	(81)
GRANULOSA-CELL CARCINONA	)	(5%)	1	(2%)		
ervous system						
NONF						
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*EYE	(20)		(50)		(50)	
SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOBA			,,		(,	

\* NUBBER OF ANIBALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED DICROSCOPICALLY \* NUBBER OF AJIMALS DECROPSIED

### TABLE A2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-F060	LOW DOSE 01-F063	HIGH DOSE 01-P064
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*SKELETAL MUSCLE SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
BUJY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY HEMANGIOSARCOMA	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2 <b>3</b> )
*MESENTERY CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NORL			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
AAIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHØ Moribund Sacripice Scheduled Sacripice	20 4	50 12 1	50 22
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	16	37	28

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* MUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE A2 (CONCLUDED)

		LOW DOSE 01-P063	
UNOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUBORS*	14	31	42
TOTAL PRIMARY TUBORS	19	47	66
FOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	13	26	25
TUTAL BENIGN TUMORS	15	35	39
TUTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	4	10	20
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	4	12	23
TUTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUNORS4	: 1	5	8
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	1	19	44
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-			
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT			4
TOTAL UNCERTAIN FUMORS			4
FOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUBORS UNCERTAIN-			
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC			
FOFAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE	CONDARY TUMORS		
SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS	OR FUMORS INVE	SIVE INTO AN A	DJACENT URGAN

# APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN

 TABLE BI

 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN

		LOW DOSE 02-N068	HIGH DOSE 02-m069
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NIMALS MISSING	20	50	50 1
NIMALS NECROPSIED NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY*	* 20 * 20	44 44	46 46
NTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIB LYNPHANGIONA	(20) 1 (5 <b>%</b> )	(44)	(46)
*SUBCUT TISSUE PIBROMA PIBROSARCOMA Hemangiopericytoma, Nos	(20)	(44) 2 (5%) 8 (18%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(46)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	(20)	/#9\	(#5)
*LUNG HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST Alveular/Bronchiolar Adenoma	(20) 1 (5%)	(48) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(46) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
LMATOPOITTIC SYSTEM			
*NULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCHIC HYPE	(20)	(44) 1 (25)	(46)
#SPLBEN HEMANGIOSARCOMA	• •	(49)	(47) 2 (4 <b>%</b> )
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
*LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR_CARCINONA	(20) 4 (20%)	(49) 36 (73 <b>%</b> )	(48) 46 (961

\*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

# TABLE B1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 02-M067	LOW DOSE 02-M068	HIGH DOSE 02-8069
HERANG IOSA RCORA			2 (4%)
*BILE DUCT BILE DUCT CARCINOMA	(20)	(44) 1 (2%)	(46)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#URINARY BLADDER TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINOMA	(20)		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
NONE			
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
PAPILLOMA, NOS	(18)	1 (2%)	(28)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONF			***
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONF			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
BONS			

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE B1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 02-8067	10# DOSE 02-#068	HIGH DOSS 02-m069
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50
BATURAL DEATH@	18	22	32
MORIBUND SACRIFICE			
SCHEDULED SACRIPICE Accidentally killed		1	
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	2	27	17
ANIMAL MISSING	-		
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ALIMALS			
UNOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	6	38	46
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	6	53	53
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	2	5	1
TOTAL BENIGN FUNORS	2	5	1
******		22	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	4 4	38 47	46 52
TOTAL MALIGRAN TOLOND	•	47	52
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS	8		1
10TAL SECONDARY TUMORS			1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUNORS UNCERTAIN-	-		
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT		1	
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUBORS UNCERTAIN-	-		
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			

\* SECUNDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS ON TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

.

TABLE B2	
THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN	ć

	CONTROL (VEH) 02-P007	LOW DOSE 02-F070	HIGH DOSE 02-P071
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING	20	50 1	50 2
ANIMALS NECROPSIED Animals examined histopathologically	20 20	39 39	43 43
INTEGRMENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST	(20)	(38)	(45) 2 (43)
ALVEOLAR/BROWCHIOLAR ADENORA		1 (3%)	2 (48)
IBRA10POIBTIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE	(20)	(39) 2 (5%)	(43) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
NALIG.LYMPHONA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	1 (5%)	- (04)	• (,
#SPLEEN HEMANGLOSARCONA	(18) 1 (6 <b>%</b> )	(39)	(43)
MALIG.LYMPHONA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(0,4)	1 (3\$)	
#MESSWIERIC L. NODE MALIG.LYMPHONA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(20)	(40)	(45) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
340 <i>8</i>			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
*LIVDR HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINONA HEMANGIOSAKCOMA	(19)	(41) 36 (88 <b>%</b> )	(44) 43 (981 <u>4 (9</u> 5)

-

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED \*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

#### TABLE B2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (VER) 02-F067	LOW DOSE 02-P070	HIGH DOSE 02-F071
URINARY SISTEM			
#URINARY BLADDER TEANSITIONAL-CELL PAPILLOMA		(35)	(41) 1 (2 <b>5</b> )
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#THYROID POLLICULAR-CELL CARCINONA	(20)	(35) 1 (3 <b>%</b> )	(39)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM		ı	
*OVARY CYSTADENOMA, NOS	(19)	(37) 1 (3 <b>%</b> )	(40)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NORE			
NUSCULOSKBLETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY HEMANGIOSARCOMA	(20)		(43) 1 (2%)
ALL OFHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			

\* AUMBER OF ANIMALS AECROPSIED

#### TABLE B2 (CONCLUDED)

		LOW DOSE 02-P070	HIGH DOSE 02-P071
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50
NATURAL DEATHD	3	22	17
MOFIBUND SACRIFICE			
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE			
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED	17	1 26	31
TERMINAL SACRIFICE Animal Missing	17	26 1	2
ANIBAL HISSING			2
# INCLUDES AUFOLYZED ANIMALS			
LUNOR SUMMARY			
FOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	3	36	43
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	3	42	51
NOTAT A START O UTAD DEAT, N. BUMODO		•	1
FOTAL AJIMALS WITH BEBIGN TUMORS LUPAL BENIGN TUMORS		2	<b>'</b> 1
IOIRE DENION IDEORS		2	•
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	3	36	43
FOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	3	40	50
TUTAL ANIMALS WITH SECUNDARY TUMORS	*		2
TUTAL SECONDARY FUNGRS	-		2
POTAL ANIMALS WITH PUMORS UNCERTAIN	-		
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUBORS UNCERTAIN	-		
PRIMARY OR METASPAPIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			

\* SECONJARY TUMORS: METASTAFIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN

# TABLE CI SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN

	CONTROL (VEB) 01-1060	LOW DOSE 01-H061	HIGH DOSE 01-M062
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAL	20 LY ** 20	50 50	50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN INPLAMMATION, NOS	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
METAPLASIA, OSSEOUS		1 (2%)	(23)
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(20)	(50)	(50)
INPLANMATION, GRANULOMATOUS NECROSIS, PAT		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
LSPIRATORY SYSTEM			
*TRACHEA	(20)	(50)	(48)
INFLAMMATION, NOS			2 (4%)
+LUNG	(20)	(50)	(50)
THROMBOSIS, NOS Roema, nos		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HENORRHAGE		2 (4%)	8 (16%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS			1 (2%)
PNEUMONIA, CHROBIC MURINE PIEROSIS, FOCAL	13 (65%)	35 (70%) 1 (2%)	17 (34%
CALCIUM DEPOSIT		1 (2%)	
ENATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
BONE MARRON	(20)	(50)	(48)
NETAMORPHOSIS FATTY		2 (4%)	
#SPLLEN	(20)	(50)	(50)
THROMBUS, ORGANIZED HEMATOPOIESIS		1 (2%) 2 (4%)	
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART	(20)	(50)	(49)
THROBBUS, ORGANIZED	····	2 (4%)	····,

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

#### TABLE CI (CONTINUED)

#1+

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-8060	LOW DOSE 01-m061	HIGH DOSE 01-8062
MEDIAL CALCIPICATION CALCIUM DEPOSIT	2 (10%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, NOS	(20)	(50) 2 (4 <b>1</b> )	(49)
PIBRUSIS DEGENERATION, NOS	1 (5%)	2 (4%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	1 (25) 1 (25)
*AORTÀ MEDIAL CALCIFICATION	(20) 3 (15%)	(50) 6 (12%)	(50)
CORONARY ARTERY BEDIAL CALCIFICATION	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2 <b>5</b> )
*MESENTERIC ARTERY MEDIAL CALCIPICATION CALCIUM DEPOSIT	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)	(50)
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
IIVER Thrombus, organized Inflammation, pocal	(∠0) 1 (5%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50)
NECHOSIS, NOS METANORPHOSIS PATTY	3 (15 <b>%</b> )	9 (18%)	1 (25) 1 (25)
POCAL CELLULAR CHANGE Anglectasis		7 (14%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECROSIS, NOS	(20)	(50)	(50) 21 (42%)
BILE DUCT DILATATION, NOS	(20)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(50)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	7 (35%)	9 (18%)	3 (6%)
<pre>#PANCREAS INFLAMMATION, NOS PERIARTERITIS ATROPHY, NOS ATROPHY, POCAL</pre>	(18) 1 (6%) 1 (6%)	(50) 1 (23) 1 (25) 2 (45) 1 (25)	(48)
STUHACH Ulcer, Pucal Calcium Depusit Hyperkeratosis	(20) 3 (15%)	(50) 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2 <b>5</b> )

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE C1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (VBH) 01-M060		HIGH DOSE 01-N062
*COLON NERATODIASIS	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
RINARY SYSTEM			
4KIDNBY	(20)	(50)	(50)
HTDRONEPHROSIS	<b>-</b> ,	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
PYELONEPHRITIS, MOS		5 (10%)	2 (4%)
ISPLAMMATION, CHRONIC	13 (65%)	37 (74%)	28 (56%)
CALCIUM DEPOSIT	2 (10%)	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
CALCIPICATION, MOS	. ,	• •	1 (2%)
AUKINARY BLADDER	(20)	(49)	(48)
CALCULUS, NOS	(==)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HEMORRHAGE			2 (4%)
INPLAMMATION, HEMOBRHAGIC			1 (2%)
INFLAGNATION, CHRONIC		2 (4%)	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, BPITHBLIAL		1 (2%)	- ()
POLYP, INFLAMMATORY		1 (2%)	
*PITUITARY ANGLECTASIS	(20)	(46) 3 (7%)	(44)
	(20)	(50)	(49)
*ADRS#AL	(20)	(50)	(49) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
	(20)	(50) 1 (2 <b>5</b> )	(49) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
#ADR2#AL HEMORRHAGE	(20)		
#ADRENAL HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC ANGLECPASIS	(20)	1 (2\$)	
#ADRENAL HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC ANGIECPASIS		1 (2\$) 2 (4\$)	1 (2%)
*ADREMAL HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC ANGIECTASIS *ADRENAL CORTEX	(20)	1 (25) 2 (45) (50)	1 (2 <b>%</b> ) (49)
*ADRENAL MEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC ANGIECTASIS *ADRENAL COBTEX DEGENERATION, NOS		1 (2\$) 2 (4\$) (50) 3 (6\$)	1 (2%)
<ul> <li>*ADREMAL HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC AMGIECTASIS</li> <li>*ADRENAL COBTEX DEGENERATION, NOS</li> <li>*THYRUID</li> </ul>	(20)	1 (2\$) 2 (4\$) (50) 3 (6\$) (50)	(49) (47)
<ul> <li>*ADREMAL HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC ANGIECTASIS     </li> <li>*ADRENAL CORTEX DEGENERATION, NOS     </li> <li>*THIROID POLLICULAR CYST, NOS     </li> </ul>	(20)	1 (2\$) 2 (4\$) (50) 3 (6\$) (50) 10 (20\$)	(49) (47)
*ADREMAL HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC ANGIECTASIS *ADREMAL CORTEX DEGENERATION, NOS *THYROID POLLICULAR CYST, NOS HEPERFLASIA, C-CELL	(20) (20)	1 (2\$) 2 (4\$) (50) 3 (6\$) (50) 10 (20\$) 1 (2\$)	(49) (47)
<ul> <li>*ADREMAL HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC AMGIECTASIS</li> <li>*ADRENAL COBTEX DEGENERATION, NOS</li> <li>*THYROID POLLICULAR CIST, NOS HEFERPLASIA, C-CELL</li> <li>*PARATHYROID</li> </ul>	(20) (20) (3)	1 (2\$) 2 (4\$) (50) 3 (6\$) (50) 10 (20\$) 1 (2\$) (3)	(49) (47)
<ul> <li>*ADREMAL HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC ANGIECPASIS</li> <li>*ADRENAL COBTEX DEGENERATION, NOS</li> <li>*THYROID FOLLICULAR CIST, NOS HIFERPLASIA, C-CELL</li> <li>*PARATHYROID HYPERPLASIA, NOS</li> </ul>	(20) (20) (3)	1 (2\$) 2 (4\$) (50) 3 (6\$) (50) 10 (20\$) 1 (2\$) (3)	(49) (47)

RUBBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECKOPSIED

•

#### TABLE C1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (VBH) 01-M060	LOW DOSE 01-M061	HIGH DOSE 01-m062
INFLAMMATION, NGS	1 (6%)	10 (23%)	3 (9%)
IMPLAMMATION, CHRONIC		2 (5%)	4 (12%)
*SEMINAL VESICLE	(20)	(50)	(50)
HEBORRHAGE			5 (10%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS		1 (2%)	4 (8%)
*TESTIS	(20)	(50)	(49)
HEMORRHAGE			5 (10%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS			1 (2%)
PERIARPERITIS		1 (2%)	• •
DEGENERATION, NOS			5 (10%)
ATROPHY, NOS	5 (25%)	23 (46%)	5 (10%)
*EPIDIDIMIS	(20)	(50)	(50)
HEMORRHAGE		• •	5 (10%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS			2 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC			2 (4%)
FISKOSIS			1 (2%)
NECHOSIS, FAT			3 (6%)
*SCROIDN	(20)	(50)	(50)
HEMORRHAGE		• •	4 (8%)
ERVOUS SYSTEM #BRAIN	(20)	(50)	(49)
HYDROCEPHALUS, NOS			1 (2%)
HZNORRHAGE			4 (8%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
ABSCESS, NOS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
CALCIUM DEPOSIT			1 (2%)
*PINEAL BODY	(20)	(50)	(50)
FIBROSIS		1 (2%)	
CALCIFICATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*BYE	(20)	(50)	(50)
CATARACT		<b>- /</b>	1 (2%)

MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM

#### NONE

\* AUBBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE C1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-4060	LOW DOSE 01-M061	81GH DOSE 01-M062
ODY CAVIFIES			
*PERITONEUM	(20)	(50)	(50)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	1 (5%)	<b>(</b> ,	()
*PERICARDIUM	(20)	(50)	(50)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	1 (5%)	2 (4%)	•••
+#BSBNTSRY	(20)	(50)	(50)
PEPIARTERITIS	1 (5%)	3 (6%)	
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
PERINEUN			
HEBORRHAGE			1
SPECIAL NORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED		1	1

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECKOPSIED

 TABLE C2

 SUMMARY OF THF INCIDENCE OF NONNLOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH NITROFEN

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-F060	LOW DOSE 01-F063	HIGH DOSE 01-F064
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED	20 20	50 50	50 50
ANIMALS 2XAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAL	TTA ** 50	50	50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN HYPERKERATOSIS	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(50)
ACANTHOSIS	1 (5%)		
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(20)	(50)	(50)
HEMATOMA, NOS	1 (5%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
# LUNG	(20)	(50)	
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MUKINE	12 (60%)	36 (72%)	34 (68%
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*SPLEEN	(20)	(50)	(50)
THROMBOSIS, NOS HEMATOPOIESIS		1 (2%) 5 (10%)	6 (125
*THYRUS	(17)	(39)	(38)
CYST, NOS Inplammation, Nos	1 (65)	1 (3%)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#MYOCARDIUM	(20)	(50)	(50)
FIBROSIS Degeneration, nos		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	3 (6%) 3 (6%)
+ENDOCARDIUN	(20)	(50)	(50)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS			1 (2%)
*AORTA MEDIAL CALCIFICATION	(20)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%)

\* NUBBER OF ANIMALS WIFH TISSUE BEAMINED MICROSCOFICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

	COM1ROL (VEH) 01-P060	LOW DOSE 01-F063	HIGH DOSE 01-P064
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
AGESTIVE SISTER			
*SALIVARY GLAND	(19)	(42)	(42)
CIST, NOS			1 (2%)
INPLAMMATION, CHRONIC			1 (2%)
#LIVER	(20)	(50)	(50)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	1 (5%)		1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, POCAL			1 (2%)
ABSCESS, NOS	1 (5%)		1 (2%)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL			1 (2%)
FELIOSIS HEPATIS Meramorphosis fatty		1 (2%)	2 (4%)
FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HYFERPLASIA, NOS	1 (5%)	( ()	. (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC			1 (2%)
ANGIECTASIS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR	(20)	(50)	(50)
AECROSIS, NUS	()	2 (4%)	2 (4%)
*SILE OUCT	(∠∪)	(50)	(50)
DILATATION, NOS	(20)	(30)	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	3 (15%)	9 (18%)	5 (10%
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC			3 (6%)
#STOMACH	(20)	(49)	(50)
ULCER, FOCAL	2 (10%)	3 (6%)	3 (6%)
- C (1) ON	4.00	(0.0)	(0.6)
*COLON NEMATUDIASIS	(20) 1 (5%)	(49) 2 (4 <b>%</b> )	(49)
DRINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY	(20)	(50)	(50)
HILL HILL HERDSLS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS	2 (10%)		2 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	4 (20%)	27 (54%)	24 (48%
CALCIUM DEPUSIT			1 (2%)
ENDOCHINE SYSTEM			
#ADRENAL	(20)	(50)	(49)
ANGISCTASIS	2 (10%)	3 (6%)	4 (8%)

# TABLE C2 (CONTINUED)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOFICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECFORSIED

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-P060	LOW DOSE 01-P063	HIGH DOSE 01-F064
#ADRENAL CORTEX Deg_neration, nos	(20) 2 (10 <b>\$</b> )	(50) 3 (6 <b>%)</b>	(49) 4 (8%)
#THYNOID POLLICJLAK CYSF, NOS HYPERFLASIA, C-CELL	(19)	(49) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)	(50) 3 (6%) 2 (4%)
eproductive system			
*VAGINA INFLAMMATION, NOS POLYP, INFLAMMATORY	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
#JTERUS INTUSSUSCEPTION HYDROMETRA HFMORRHAGE	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 8 (16%)	(49) 1 (2%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS #UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM HTFERPLASIA, CYSTIC	(20)	1 (2%) (50) 6 (12%)	1 (2%) (49) 1 (2%)
FOVARY CYST, NOS INFLAMMATION, NUS	(20) 1 (5 <b>%</b> )	(50) 4 (6%)	(49) 6 (12%) 1 (2%)
ERVOUS SYSPEM			
*BRAIN/MENINGES INFLAMMATION, NOS	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(50)
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*ETE Pannus	(20) 1 (5 <b>%</b> )	(50)	(50)
*E1E/IRIS INFLAMMATION, NOS	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(50)
*EYE/LACRINAL GLAND	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)

# TABLE C2 (CONTINUED)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE C2 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 01-P060	LOW DOSE 01-F063	HIGH DOSS 01-F064
ODY CAVITIES			
*PERITONEUM INFLAMMATION, NOS	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2\$)
*PERICARDIUM INFLAMMATION, NOS	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
*BESENTERY PERIARTERITIS	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NOAE			
SPECIAL BORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED	1	1	1
NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE E MUMBER OF ANIMALS MECROPSIED	XANIBED MICROSCOPIC	CALLY	

# APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN

# TABLE DI SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN

	CONTROL (VEH) 02-m067	LOW DOSE 02-n068	HIGH DOSE 02-m069
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING	20	50	50 1
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICA	** 20 LLY 20	44 44 	46 46
INTEGUALNTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST	(20) 2 (10 <b>%</b> )	(44) 1 (23)	(46)
INFLAMMATION, NOS ACANTHOSIS	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*SUBCUI TISSUE Abscess, nos	(20) 2 (10 <b>%</b> )	(44) 1 (2%)	(46)
NONE			
*SPLEEN	(20)	(49)	(47)
AMYLOIDOSIS HEMATOPOIESIS	15 (75%)	4 (8%) 3 (6%)	2 (4%)
*LYMPH NODE INFLAMMATION, NOS	(20)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(46)
ANESENTERIC L. NODE LYNPHANGIBCTASIS	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(46)
INFLAMMATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 (5%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
€HLALT THLOMBUS, ORGANIZED	(20) 2 (10%)	(48)	(46)
#NYOCARD1UM	(20)	(48)	(46)

\* NUBBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED BICROSCOPICALLY \* NUBBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

#### TABLE D1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 02-M067	LOW DOSE 02-N068	HIGH DOSE 02-m069
FENDOCARDIUM Inplammation, Nos	(20) 2 (10%)	(48)	(46)
)IGESTIVE SYSPEM			
*LIVER	(20)	(49)	(48)
THROBBUS, ORGANIZED		6 (12%)	2 (4%)
AMYLOIDOSIS HYPERPLASIA, NODULAK	13 (65%)	2 (4%)	
*PANCREAS	(19)	(46)	(43)
THEOREUS, ORGANIZED	(15)	1 (2%)	(43)
INFLAMMATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
PERIARTERITIS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
CALCIUM DEPOSIT		1 (2%)	
#STOMACH	(20)	(48)	(46)
HYPERKERATOSIS		1 (2%)	
ACANTHOSIS		1 (2%)	
+COLON	(20)	(49)	(44)
PARAS1TISM		3 (6%)	1 (2%)
JEINARY SYSTEM			
*KIDNEY	(20)	(50)	(46)
hYDKONEPHROSIS			3 (7%)
PYELOMEPHRITIS, AUS		1 (2%)	1 1000
INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	16 (80%)	3 (6%)	1 (2%)
AMYLOIDOSIS	15 (75%)	1 (2%)	
#URINARY BLADDER	(20)	(47)	(40)
INPLAMMATION, NOS	******	1 (2%)	
NDOCRINE SYSTEM			
NONE			
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*PENIS	(20)	(44)	(46)
INPLAMMATION, NOS		2 (5%)	

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECKOFSIED

# TABLE DI (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 02-10057	LON DOSE 02-M068	HIGH DOSE 02-M069
*PREPUTIAL GLAND INFLAMMATION, NOS	(20)	(44) 7 (2%)	(46)
TESIIS CALCIUM DEPOSIT AFROPHY, NOS	(16)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(45) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
*EPIDIDYHIS GRANULOMA, SPERMATIC ATROPHY, NOS	(20)	(44) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(46)
ALRVOUS SYSTEM			
NONF		***	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE			
NUSCULOSKEL2TAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
*HESENTERY PERIARFERITIS	(20)	(44) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(46)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
RORF			
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED ANIMAL MISSING/NO NECHOPSY	1	4	1
AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERP AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY	1	6	3

t

TABLE D2
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH NITROFEN

	CONTROL (VEH) 02-P067	LOW DOSE 02-P070	нісн dose 02-р071
ANIMALS INIFIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING	20	50 1	50 2
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20 20	39 39	43 43
Integuarntary system			
NOBL			
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MUMINE	(20)	(38)	(45) 1 (2 <b>\$</b> )
HEMATUPOLETIC SYSTEM			
*SPLEEN HEMATOPOIESIS	(18)	(39) 1 (3 <b>%</b> )	(43)
#LUMBAR LYMPH NODE Hyperplasia, Nus	(20) 1 (5%)	(40)	(45)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE Limphaggiectasis Inplamarion, Nos	(20)	(40) 1 (3%) 2 (5%)	(45) 3 ( <b>7\$</b> )
ANGIECTASIS	1 (5\$)		
#RENAL LYMPH HODE HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(20) 1 (5\$)	(40)	(45)
CLPCULATORY SYSTEM			
NUNE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTER			
*LIVER CYST, NOS	(19)	(41) 1 (2 <b>5</b> )	(44)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS BECKOPSIED \*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

#### TABLE D2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (VEH) 02-F067	LOW DOSE 02-P070	HIGH DOSE 02-F071
THROMEUS, ORGANIZED		3 (7%)	2 (5%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS Calcium deposit		2 (5%)	4 (9%)
*PANCREAS	(20)	(37)	(38)
DILATATION/DUCTS CYST, NOS	1 (5%)	1 (3%)	2 (5%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	. (6%)		1 (3%)
ATROPHY, NOS	1 (5%)	2 (5%)	
*PANCREATIC DUCT	(20)	(37)	(38)
LILATATION, NOS			1 (3%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		1 (3%)	
*5 FUMACH	(∠0)	(39)	(42)
HYPERKERATOSIS ACANTHOSIS			3 (7%) 3 (7%)
JKINARY SYSTEM #RIDNEY HYDRUNEPHROSIS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(20)	(40) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(44) 2 (5%)
PIGNENTATION, NOS			1 (2%)
ANDOCKINE SYSTEM			
NONE			
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#UTERUS	(19)	(37)	(40)
HYDROMETKA Thrombosis, Nos	2 (11%)	4 (11%)	7 (18%) 1 (3%)
IMPLAMMATION, NOS		5 (14%)	(JA)
*UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM	(19)	(37)	(40)
INPLAMMATION, NUS HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	7 (37%) 4 (21%)	3 (8%)	1 (3%)
HIPERPLADIA, CIDILC	4 (21%)	2 (0A)	(AC)
#OVARY/UVIDUCT	(19)	(37)	(40)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	1 (5%)	2 (5%)	
#OVARY	(19)	(37)	(40)
CYST, NOS	8 (42%)	10 (27%)	4 (10%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

•

#### TABLE D2 (CONCLUDED)

	02-P070	02-P071
7 (37%)	13 (35%)	1 (3%) 1 (3%)
1 (5%)		(43)
1	1	
1	1	2 5
	(20) 1 (5%)	(20) 1 (5%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

\*US GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1978-260-899 3202

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-826