# Diabetes and incontinence data: The Norwegian EPINCONT study

Steinar Hunskaar and Yngvild S. Hannestad Section for General Practice University of Bergen, Norway

### Steinar Hunskaar, MD PhD

 Professor in General Practice, University of Bergen, Norway
 Chairman, Epidemiology Committee, WHO/IUCC 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Consultation on Incontinence, next in Monaco, June, 2004

Several population and general practice based epidemiological studies on incontinence HUNT: The Nord-Trøndelag Health Survey 1995-97

Questionnaires, examination and blood sampling
Largest in Norway
100 000 persons aged 20+, 46 709 women
74% participation for women (N= 34 646)
Office and bus based screening stations





#### The EPINCONT study

The Norwegian EPINCONT Study: Epidemiology of Urinary Incontinence in Nord-Trøndelag

Questionnaire distributed at the screening station, returned by mail

27 936 women answered ; 80 %

6 876 (25%) incontinent
21 060 (75%) not incontinent

Key variables for incontinence: (I) ICS definition and type

#### Any incontinence

Symptom based diagnosis (validated) of stress, urge, mixed, or other UI Key variables for incontinence: (II) Severity

Severity index (validated):
Frequency (4) x amount (2)
Index values:
Slight incontinence (6 g/24h)
Moderate incontinence (17 g/24h)
Severe incontinence (56 g/24h)

Sandvik et al. Neurourol Urodyn 2000; 19: 137-46

#### **First: Incontinence**



# Distribution of different types of incontinence within each age group



**Prevalence of urinary incontinence by age group and severity** 



## Major analyses so far

#### Prevalence, type and severity

- J Clin Epidemiol 2000; 53: 1150-7
- Life style factors and UI
  - Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2003; 110: 247-54

#### Parity and delivery factors

- Obstet Gynecol 2001; 98: 1004-10
- N Engl J Med 2003; 348: 900-7
- Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003; 189: 1268-74
- Familial risk
  - Submitted

#### Then: Diabetes patients in EPINCONT

731 women with self reported diabetes and complete UI data

On most patients:

- Type 1/type 2 based on C-peptid, anti-GAD, blood sugar and insulin treatment, duration of insulin treatment, duration of DM, HbA1c, blood sugar (non-fasting), Total-cholesterol, HDL, TG, S-creatinin, microalbuminuria, self management
- Many other variables on comorbidity etc
- Complications: Not optimally covered

# Women with diabetes

Age	No. with	Incontinence
groups	diabetes	N (%)
20-29	14	2 (14%)
30-39	30	6 (20%)
40-49	59	17 (29%)
50-59	104	38 (37%)
60-69	137	49 (36%)
70-79	277	112 (40%)
80+	110	55 (50%)
Total	731	279 (38%)

# Incontinence among women with and without diabetes



# **Planned studies**

Prevalence of urinary incontinence by type (urge, stress, and mixed) and severity among women with diabetes

Risk factors associated with incontinence, especially aspects of diabetes severity, glycemic control, and presence of complications
 Other? Open for discussion!