

Science of Science Management Systems, Modeling, and Policy Topics

October 2-3, 2008 NIH Campus Bethesda, MD



Thinking Systemically about the Impact of NIH on the Country

NIH Science of Science Management Meeting October 2-3, 2008

Adam B. Jaffe Dean of Arts and Sciences Fred C. Hecht Professor in Economics Brandeis University

What does NIH do?

- Funds specific research projects
- Funds specific researchers and trainees
- Funds research centers

Creates incentives that determine behavior of researchers and research institutions

Incentives created by NIH affect:

- Time spent on preparing grant proposals
- Number of technicians, graduate students and postdocs hired in a given lab
- Purchases of instruments and equipment
- Career decisions of specific researchers
- Creation of faculty positions, departments, centers
- Initiation of collaborations between/among research organizations
- Construction of buildings

NIH Determines the Research Infrastructure for the Life Sciences

Directly (e.g. training grants, equipment purchases)

AND

Indirectly—through influence on researchers and institutional decisions

Huge part of the overall impact of NIH funding

For good or ill...

Be Careful What You Wish For





Science of Science Management Systems, Modeling, and Policy Topics

October 2-3, 2008 NIH Campus Bethesda, MD



Systems of Innovation in biomedicine and health

Susan Cozzens Technology Policy and Assessment Center (TPAC) Georgia Institute of Technology

Innovation Systems

Very common concept in national STI policy

- Stems from evolutionary economics
 - Selection environment set by both market and government
- Learning by
 - Doing
 - Using
 - Interacting

Learning is...

The process of

- Generating new approaches (variants)
- Testing new approaches
- Adopting the successful ones
- Can also refer to
 - Accumulation of knowledge
 - Competence building

Three main variants

National Systems of Innovation - National agencies, research institutions, science-based industry Sectoral Systems of Innovation - Generated around a set of products Regional Systems of Innovation – Place-based, diverse industries

Industrial System of Innovation



Health System of Innovation





Science of Science Management Systems, Modeling, and Policy Topics

October 2-3, 2008 NIH Campus Bethesda, MD



Science & Management of Radical Change Lynne G. Zucker – UCLA & NBER

- "Technology transfer is the movement of ideas in people."
 - Donald Kennedy, 1994
- Breakthroughs high on tacit knowledge
 - Natural excludability yields defacto IPRs, discoverers hold knowledge
 - 81% of new authors in GenBank wrote with previous sequence discoverers, 1969-1992
- Measure: processes underlying diffusion of breakthroughs
 - Co-authors = bench science technology transfers
- Maps for visualization: university and firm scientists co-author nano-bio articles
 - Rapid growth
 - Concentrated change: learning by
 doing and co-location





STAR Database Highly-Cited Scientists & Firm Entry

Example: Cumulative Biology/Chemistry/Medicine Stars (yellow) and Firm Entry (blue), 1981-2004





Center for International Science, Technology & Cultural Policy UCLA School of Public Attains Stars' Debuts, Residence & Migration, 1981-2004 All S&T Areas (Zucker & Darby 2007)

		OECD Europe Top-25 Top-14 S&T S&T		
	U.S.A.	Japan	Countries	Countries
Unique Stars Ever Resident	3670	266	1960	6599
Professional Debuts	3354	176	1194	5105
Net Inward Migration	-23	13	-39	-51
Stars Resident 12/31/2004	3331	189	1155	5054
Net Inward Migration/Debuts	-0.7%	7.4%	-3.3%	-1.0%

- Highly-cited stars' residence
 predicts firm entry
- US shows net loss of stars
 - Opposite of biotech results
 - Main beneficiaries are developing countries , esp. in Asia
- Top US scientists report spending 1/3 of their research time writing grant proposals

Metamorphic Progress

Great leaps forward – yards, not inches, creative destruction

- Firms using old technology cannot compete
- Same science & engineering base
 - Incumbent-enhancing or competence-enhancing (Tushman & Anderson)
- Disjoint science & engineering base
 - Entry generating
 - Normally invented outside of industry of use
- Assessment: Data difficult when create new industries







Policy Issues: NIH Role?

- Virtuous circles? When and where?
- Loss or gain? Scientific productivity of scientists commercializing discoveries
- Reduction/increase in amount of science contributed to the common pool by publishing
- Deflection of development of science toward/away from commercially relevant problems
- Conflict of interest leading to scientists' distorting their findings
- Conflict of commitment to the university





Science of Science Management Systems, Modeling, and Policy Topics

October 2-3, 2008 NIH Campus Bethesda, MD



```
"... social science of
science policy needs to
grow up, and quickly." J. Marburger, April 2005.
```

PATHS TO OUTCOME-BASED INNOVATION POLICY: Theory, Method, Tools

NIH Science of Science Management Meeting Systems/Modeling/Policy Group

Daniel Sarewitz Arizona State University Consortium for Science, Policy, and Outcomes



Consortium for Science, Policy & Outcomes

Theory: Know-How and Progress— 3 Rules for Technological Fixes

- I. R&D is most likely to contribute decisively to solving a social problem when it focuses on improving a standardized technical core that already exists.
- II. The technology must largely embody the cause-effect relations connecting problem to solution.
- III. The effects of the technology must be amenable to measurement and assessment using relatively unambiguous or uncontroversial criteria.

Collaboration with R. Nelson Innovations, 2008; Nature, forthcoming



Consortium for Science, Policy & Outcomes

Theory of Know-How and Progress

DO THE RULES APPLY?

Yes: appropriate R&D investments have the potential to stimulate rapid progress toward the desired goal.





No: R&D programs should not be expected to succeed, or advertised as having any real promise of succeeding, at least in the short and medium run. Success is only possible over the long-term, and the probability of failure will be high.



Method: Public Value Mapping

Traditional Science Policy Logic Model

Research Activities, Institutions, and Translation

Policy Analysis using Standard Market-based Assessment or Output Assessment Approach

Potential Public Value Failure Due to Value Gap

Societal Impact

Enhanced Science Policy Logic Model Adds PVM to Avoid Public Values Failure

Assertions of Public Value Priorities and Societal Benefits, Risk, and Impacts

Public Value Mapping (Non-Economic Analysis)

Case Study Approach

- Summarize case background and identify stakeholders and knowledge value collective (KVC)
- Secure stakeholder and KVC documents including policy statements, plans, memos, web pages
- Public value statement scan
- Design public value chain (hypothetical relationship between values)
- Value chain analysis (links and hierarchies)
- Assessment of institutional and KVC capacities
- Identify potential values failures (individual value failures and chain failures)

Retrospective or Prospective Analysis of Capacities to Achieve Stipulated Public Values



PVM Case Studies

Prospective:

Nanotechnology and Cancer Treatments
Nanotechnology and Water Treatment

Retrospective:•Hurricane Katrina

•University-Industry Tech Transfer

Real-Time: •Climate Change Research •Natural Hazards Research •Green Chemistry

Collaboration w/ B. Bozeman, U GA Supported by NSF SciSIP pgm, Rasmussen Fnd



Tool: Multiple Stressor Analysis

Stressors:	Phoenix Water Supply	Est. annual water loss by 2025 (af)
y	Municipal	328,000
ienc	Indoor water use	89,000
Ineffici	Outdoor water use	239,000
	Agriculture	127,000
Biophysical stress	Biophysical	242,000
	Additional demand due to UHI	25,000
	Reduction of surface water flow in the lower Colorado basin due to the effects of climate change	216,000

Source: N. Chhetri, ASU, in prep.



Tool: Multiple Solution Paths





Science of Science Management Systems, Modeling, and Policy Topics

October 2-3, 2008 NIH Campus Bethesda, MD

