

# eNAP

Cathy Walker  
CWG Las Vegas  
01/12/2005



# Our Mission Today

- Establish priority for eNAP
- Examine business need
- Review eNAP options
- Where to focus resources



# Definitions

- SNAP – Streamlined Non-Competing Award Process
- eSNAP – SNAP Progress Reports submitted electronically (through Commons)
- eNAP – Progress Reports submitted electronically (through Commons or system-to-system)




# Why eNAP?

---

- Complete electronic submission
- Other Agencies joining eRA




# FY 2004 Non-Competing Awards SNAPs and non-SNAPs



Legend: N (light blue), Y (maroon), (blank) (yellow)

# FY 2004 Non-SNAPs by Major Activity Code



# First Grant Types to Target ?

---

- Fellowships
- Training
- Complex Research (Rs, Ps, Us)



# Fellowships

## Pros:

- Simple grants
- Easily Identified

## Cons:

- Different application/data
- Small number of grants, ~1,500 per year





# Training

## Pros:

- Could present data from 2271 submission
- Easily Identified

## Cons:

- Small number of grants, ~1,500 per year



# Complex Research

## Pros:

- Largest population, ~4,000 per year and growing
- Could eventually provide ability to upload subproject budget
- Committed budget data could imported or viewed.

## Cons:

- Multi-budget adds programming complexity
- Data entry could be a burden



# Options

- Expand eSNAP to add simple budget info for single budget grants (F, T, simple others)
- Expand to include document upload of all other budget data (multi budget Ps Us others)
  - <http://era.nih.gov/UI/eSnap/eSnapScreenDev/eNap.asp>
- Expand to include data import of structured data.
- Create system-to-system transaction set.



# Questions

- Are subproject budgets kept electronically?
- If so, would they be in a form understandable to Agencies?
- Would entering composite budget be a burden?

