

LIST OF TABLES

		Page Number
Table 1-1	Summary of Current U.S. Legislation Related to Ocular Health	1-1
Table 1-2	<i>In Vivo</i> Ocular Irritancy Classification Systems	1-3
Table 3-1	Chemical Classes Tested in the BCOP Test Method	3-5
Table 3-2	Product Classes Tested in the BCOP Test Method.....	3-6
Table 4-1	Scale of Weighted Scores for Grading the Severity of Ocular Lesions.....	4-2
Table 4-2	Test Guidelines for <i>In Vivo</i> Ocular Irritation Test Methods.....	4-3
Table 4-3	Criteria for Classification of Rabbits According to the GHS Classification System	4-12
Table 4-4	Criteria for Classification of Substances According to the GHS Classification System (Modified from UN 2003).....	4-13
Table 4-5	Criteria Required for Classification of Substances According to the EPA Classification System (EPA 1996).....	4-13
Table 4-6	Criteria for Classification of Substances According to the EU Classification System (EU 2001).....	4-14
Table 6-1	Evaluation of the Performance of the BCOP Test Method in Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants Compared to <i>In Vivo</i> Findings, as Defined by the GHS Classification System, by Study and Overall.....	6-4
Table 6-2	False Positive and False Negative Rates of the BCOP Test Method, by Chemical Class and Properties of Interest, for the GHS Classification System	6-3
Table 6-3	Effect of Exclusion of Discordant Classes on False Negative and False Positive Rates of the BCOP Test Method, for the GHS Classification System	6-7
Table 6-4	Evaluation of the Performance of the BCOP Test Method in Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants Compared to <i>In Vivo</i> Findings, as Defined by the EPA Classification System, by Study and Overall	6-10
Table 6-5	False Positive and False Negative Rates of the BCOP Test Method, by Chemical Class and Properties of Interest, for the EPA Classification System	6-11
Table 6-6	Evaluation of the Performance of the BCOP Test Method in Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants Compared to <i>In Vivo</i> Findings, as Defined by the EU Classification System, by Study and Overall	6-13
Table 6-7	False Positive and False Negative Rates of the BCOP Test Method, by Chemical Class and Properties of Interest, for the EU Classification System	6-15
Table 7-1	Intralaboratory Repeatability of <i>In Vitro</i> Irritancy Scores for Replicate Corneas – Laboratory 1, Southee 1998.....	7-3
Table 7-2	Intralaboratory Repeatability of <i>In Vitro</i> Irritancy Scores for Replicate Corneas – Laboratory 2, Southee 1998.....	7-4
Table 7-3	Intralaboratory Repeatability of <i>In Vitro</i> Irritancy Scores for Replicate Corneas – Laboratory 3, Southee 1998.....	7-5

Table 7-4	Intralaboratory Reproducibility of Substances Tested in Multiple Experiments in Laboratory 1, Southee 1998	7-6
Table 7-5	Intralaboratory Reproducibility of Substances Tested in Multiple Experiments in Laboratory 2, Southee 1998	7-7
Table 7-6	Intralaboratory Reproducibility of Substances Tested in Multiple Experiments in Laboratory 3, Southee 1998	7-7
Table 7-7	Intralaboratory Repeatability of <i>In Vitro</i> Irritancy Scores for Replicate Corneas – Laboratory 4 (Dr. Sina, Merck).....	7-9
Table 7-8	Intralaboratory Reproducibility of Substances Tested in Multiple Experiments in Laboratory 5, Microbiological Associates	7-10
Table 7-9	Intralaboratory Repeatability of <i>In Vitro</i> Irritancy Scores for Replicate Corneas -- Laboratory 9 (Gautheron et al. 1994).....	7-11
Table 7-10	Evaluation of the Reliability of the BCOP Test Method in Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants as Defined by the GHS Classification System, by Study	7-14
Table 7-11	Evaluation of the Reliability of the BCOP Test Method in Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants as Defined by the EPA Classification System, by Study	7-15
Table 7-12	Evaluation of the Reliability of the BCOP Test Method in Predicting Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants as Defined by the EU Classification System, by Study	7-17
Table 7-13	Chemical and Product Classes of Test Substances with Discordant Interlaboratory Results in the Gautheron et al. (1994) Study.....	7-19
Table 7-14	Chemical and Product Classes of Test Substances with Discordant Interlaboratory Results in the Balls et al. (1995) Study	7-20
Table 7-15	Coefficient of Variation Analysis of the Interlaboratory Variability of the BCOP Test Method for Gautheron et al. (1994).....	7-21
Table 7-16	Coefficient of Variation Analysis of the Interlaboratory Variability of the BCOP Test Method for Balls et al. (1995).....	7-23
Table 7-17	Coefficient of Variation Analysis of the Interlaboratory Variability of the BCOP Test Method for Southee (1998).....	7-24
Table 7-18	Interlaboratory Correlation Ranges Determined for Various Subsets of Tested Substances in Balls et al. (1995).....	7-25
Table 7-19	Historical Positive Control Data for the BCOP Assay.....	7-26
Table 9-1	<i>In Vitro/In Vivo</i> Range of Correlations Reported in Balls et al. (1995).....	9-3
Table 9-2	Substances Tested in IRAG-Reviewed Studies.....	9-4
Table 9-3	Summary Evaluation of BCOP Data Submitted to IRAG	9-6
Table 9-4	Comparison of <i>In Vivo</i> and <i>In Vitro</i> Data for Irritants Classified as Severe or Stronger in Gautheron et al. (1994) Using Either the Kay-Calandra (1962) or EEC (1984) Classification Systems	9-8
Table 9-5	Substances Used to Evaluate the Use of Corneas from Animals of Different Ages in the BCOP Assay.....	9-21
Table 11-1	Suppliers and Costs of Major Equipment for the BCOP Assay	11-2