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## EMBARGOED FOR RELEASE AT 10 A.M. EST, THURSDAY, DEC. 21, 2006

EDITORS: Results of this year's Monitoring the Future survey are being released at a news conference to be held at the National Press Club in Washington, D.C. jointly by the University of Michigan, which designed and conducts the study, and the National Institute on Drug Abuse, which sponsors the study. Participating will be the director of the White House Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP), John Walters; the United States Attorney General, Alberto Gonzales; the director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), Nora Volkow; and the principal investigator of the study, Lloyd Johnston. For further information, contact Johnston at (734) 763-5043.

## Decline in daily smoking by younger teens has ended

ANN ARBOR, Mich.-----Following a decade of substantial improvement, daily smoking among young people in their early and middle teens has stopped declining, according to the latest Monitoring the Future (MTF) survey.

MTF, which is now in its $32^{\text {nd }}$ year, measures smoking, drinking, and illicit drug use among the nation's secondary school students, surveying about 50,000 eighth, tenth, and twelfth graders in over 400 secondary schools every year.

The study had previously been reporting a large and continuing decline in cigarette smoking in these populations since they reached their recent peak smoking rates in the mid-1990s; current daily smoking has fallen by half among $12^{\text {th }}$ graders, and by more than half among those in $8^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grades.

However, this year no further decline in daily smoking was observed at $8^{\text {th }}$ or $10^{\text {th }}$ grade. Further declines did occur at $12^{\text {th }}$ grade; daily smoking fell a bit further from 13.6 percent in 2005 to 12.2 percent in 2006 (a not quite statistically significant drop). Their half-pack-a-day smoking fell from 6.9 percent to 5.9 percent (which was significant).

Although the proportion reporting daily smoking in the past month has stabilized in the lower grades this year, all grades showed at least a small continuing decline in the proportions of students reporting any past month smoking. Rates for $8^{\text {th }}$ graders were down from 9.3 percent in 2005 to 8.7 percent in 2006, for $10^{\text {th }}$ graders from 14.9 percent to 14.5 percent, and for $12^{\text {th }}$ graders from 23.2 percent to 21.6 percent. No one of these changes was large enough to be statistically significant, but the three in combination were.

The investigators note that even occasional smoking in senior year is important, because many of the light to moderate smokers transition into regular smoking in the years after high school. Like daily smoking, the statistics for monthly smoking are down by large proportions from the mid1990s, when they reached a peak.

Monthly prevalence (the percent smoking at all in the past 30 days) is down by about 60 percent, 50 percent, and 40 percent in grades 8,10 , and 12 , respectively. The $12^{\text {th }}$ grade is currently showing the greatest declines, note the investigators, as the class cohorts of $8^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ graders who have previously shown large declines in their use move into $12^{\text {th }}$ grade.
"Many fewer of today's students have ever even tried smoking than was true a decade ago, when recent peak levels in lifetime prevalence were attained," said University of Michigan researcher Lloyd Johnston, the principal investigator of the study. While 49 percent of the $8^{\text {th }}$ graders in 1996 had tried cigarettes, "only" 25 percent of the $8^{\text {th }}$ graders in 2006 indicated having done so.

Lifetime prevalence of ever smoking a cigarette is down by about half among $8^{\text {th }}$ graders, 40 percent among $10^{\text {th }}$ graders, and 30 percent among $12^{\text {th }}$ graders since the recent peak year of 1996, or 1997 in the case of $12^{\text {th }}$ graders.
"We expect the decline among $12^{\text {th }}$ graders to continue as the younger, less tobacco-experienced class cohorts move into $12^{\text {th }}$ grade," Johnston added.

In addition to Johnston, the authors of the forthcoming report (referenced at the end of this release) include Patrick O’Malley, Jerald Bachman, and John Schulenberg-all research professors at the U-M Institute for Social Research and all social or developmental psychologists.

The investigators believe that some of the forces contributing to the substantial declines in smoking over the past decade have diminished, which likely explains the leveling in teen smoking that is now taking place.

The public debate that led up to the Tobacco Settlement between the major tobacco companies and the state attorneys general centered attention on the hazards of smoking and some of the questionable practices of the industry, all of which likely led to less favorable attitudes toward smoking.

In addition, there were several relevant changes as a direct result of the settlement: the tobacco companies raised cigarette prices considerably to permit the industry to cover the costs of the settlement, the Joe Camel campaign and all billboard advertising were both terminated, and the

American Legacy Foundation was created with settlement monies and launched a successful national anti-tobacco advertising campaign. Moreover, many states mounted their own ad campaigns and initiated other activities aimed at curbing smoking, in part with settlement monies.

Now, however, there is no heated public debate about the behavior of the industry: state antitobacco initiatives, including anti-smoking ad campaigns, have shrunk as settlement monies have been diverted by many states to other activities; the national ad campaign has diminished considerably as a result of time limitations in the settlement's funding of the American Legacy Foundation; and there are fewer states raising tobacco taxes and thus raising the price of cigarettes. The investigators believe that the substantial, and concurrent, diminution of these various forces helps to explain why youth smoking is no longer declining.

## Attitudes and beliefs about smoking

"For some years we were seeing an increase in the proportions of young people who thought smoking was dangerous-what we have labeled 'perceived risk'-but over the past two years perceived risk of cigarette use has held level among $10^{\text {th }}$ graders and has begun to drop among $8^{\text {th }}$ graders," Johnston said. "Generally we have found perceived risk to be an important indicator of changes in future use of a drug, so this is not a favorable development. The good news is that disapproval of cigarette smoking is still rising and is at very high levels among teens."

Certain other attitudes related to smoking have been included in MTF surveys over the years, and they show that negative attitudes about smoking and smokers grew from 1996 or 1997 through about 2004, before leveling.

Some have continued to increase, though, including "Smoking is a dirty habit" and "I think becoming a smoker reflects poor judgment." The most widely endorsed of these attitudinal statements about smoking is "I prefer to date people who don't smoke." Some 80 percent of all $8^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ graders agree with this statement, while 77 percent of $12^{\text {th }}$ graders now endorse it, and the percentage is still rising at $12^{\text {th }}$ grade.
"Wanting to be attractive to the opposite sex should be a major motivation to avoid smoking for nearly all teens today," Johnston said. "Clearly the social stigma attached to smoking has grown, and is now very high, even within their peer group."

## Availability of cigarettes

After 1996, the proportions of $8^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ graders who said that they could get cigarettes fairly easily began to decline; the decline continues today for the $8^{\text {th }}$ graders and pretty much leveled off after 2003 among the $10^{\text {th }}$ graders, though there was some further decline this year. (Twelfth graders are not asked this question.)

In sum, after a very important increase in the rate of smoking among the nation's teens in the early 1990s, there was a turnaround that began after 1996/1997-one that has now more than offset the increases in smoking observed in the early 1990s. However, this more recent period of decline in teen smoking appears to be nearing its end, except insofar as there are cohort effects
still emerging at $12^{\text {th }}$ grade. In the lower grades, perceived risk has begun to decline, and the declines in use are now very small-indeed, they have ended for daily smoking.

## Smokeless Tobacco

Like cigarettes, the 30-day prevalence of using smokeless tobacco, or "spit," reached a recent peak level in the mid-1990s and then began to decline. All three grade levels have shown about a one-half decline in their 30-day prevalence since those peak levels, but the declines have ended at the lower grades, where use remained level this year. Only the $12^{\text {th }}$ graders are showing further evidence of any decline in their use of smokeless tobacco, no doubt the result of a cohort effect working its way up the age scale. At present, the prevalence rates for any use in the prior 30 days are 3.7 percent, 5.7 percent, and 6.1 percent in grades 8,10 , and 12 . That means that one in every sixteen high school seniors is a current user of smokeless tobacco.
"This is a bit deceptive though, because boys account for almost all smokeless tobacco use," Johnston said. "Among $12^{\text {th }}$-grade males, one in nine is a current user."

## Bidis and Kreteks

In 2000, a single question was introduced into the study about the use of bidis-small flavored cigarettes imported from India-because of rising concern at the time about their growing use. In 2001, a single question was introduced for similar reasons about the use of kreteks-cloveflavored cigarettes imported from Indonesia.

Relatively low prevalence rates were observed for both types of specialty cigarettes in the initial years of measurement, and since then use has declined substantially and fairly steadily in all grades.

By 2006, the annual prevalence of bidi use was down by three quarters among $12^{\text {th }}$ graders, from 9 percent in 2000 to 2 percent in 2006, including a statistically significant drop this year. Annual prevalence of kretek use among $12^{\text {th }}$ graders is down by about 40 percent since 2001, including further decline this year.

Therefore, the investigators conclude that both bidis and kreteks constituted short-term fads that have not caught on with mainstream American youth, making it unlikely that they will become the health menace some had feared. The investigators note, however, that mainstream tobacco companies have themselves introduced flavored cigarettes, which may help to explain the demise of these fringe products.
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Monitoring the Future has been funded under a series of competing, investigator-initiated research grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse, part of the National Institutes of Health. Surveys of nationally representative samples of American high school seniors were begun in 1975, making the class of 2006 the $32^{\text {nd }}$ such class surveyed. Surveys of $8^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ graders were added to the design in 1991, making the 2006 nationally representative samples the $16^{\text {th }}$ such classes surveyed. The sample sizes in 2006 are 17,026 eighth graders in 151 schools,

16,620 tenth graders in 123 schools, and 14,814 twelfth graders in 136 schools, for a total of 48,460 students in 410 secondary schools. The samples are drawn separately at each grade level to be representative of students in that grade in public and private secondary schools across the coterminous United States. Schools are selected with probability proportionate to their estimated class size.

The findings summarized here will be published in the forthcoming volume: Johnston, L. D., O’Malley, P. M., Bachman, J. G., \& Schulenberg, J. E. (2007). Monitoring the Future national results on adolescent drug use: Overview of key findings, 2006. (NIH Publication No. [yet to be assigned].) Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse.
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## Cigarettes: Trends in 30-Day Use, Risk, Disapproval, and Availability
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## Smokeless Tobacco: Trends in 30-Day Use, Risk, Disapproval, and Availability
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Trends in Prevalence of Use of Cigarettes for Eighth, Tenth, and Twelfth Graders

|  | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lifetime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12th Grade | 73.6 | 75.4 | 75.7 | 75.3 | 74.0 | 71.0 | 71.0 | 70.1 | 70.6 | 69.7 | 68.8 | 67.6 | 67.2 | 66.4 | 65.7 | 64.4 |
| Thirty-Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12th Grade | 36.7 | 38.8 | 38.4 | 36.7 | 34.4 | 30.5 | 29.4 | 30.0 | 30.3 | 29.3 | 30.1 | 29.6 | 29.4 | 28.7 | 28.6 | 29.4 |
| Daily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12th Grade | 26.9 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 27.5 | 25.4 | 21.3 | 20.3 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 18.7 | 19.5 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.1 | 18.9 | 19.1 |
| 1/2 Pack+per Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12th Grade | 17.9 | 19.2 | 19.4 | 18.8 | 16.5 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 11.3 |
| Approx. Ns: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12th Grade | 9,400 | 15,400 | 17,100 | 17,800 | 15,500 | 15,900 | 17,500 | 17,700 | 16,300 | 15,900 | 16,000 | 15,200 | 16,300 | 16,300 | 16,700 | 15,200 |
| Source: The Monitoring the Future Study, the University of Mic higan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | nific anc sdata nt inco ror. | e of diff not ava nsistenc | erence ilable. y betw | betwee <br> en the | change | o most <br> estimat | recent c <br> e and th | lasses: <br> he prev | $s=.05, s$ <br> alence of | $s=.01, s s$ <br> f use est | $s s=.001 .$ <br> timates for | for the | wo mos | recent | classes is | s due to | rounding error.
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|  | TABLE 2 <br> Trends in Availability and Attitudes about Smoking One or More Packs of Cigarettes per Day for Eighth, Tenth, and Twelfth Graders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
| Perceived Risk ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12th Grade | 51.3 | 56.4 | 58.4 | 59.0 | 63.0 | 63.7 | 63.3 | 60.5 | 61.2 | 63.8 | 66.5 | 66.0 | 68.6 | 68.0 | 67.2 | 68.2 |
| Disapproval ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12th Grade | 67.5 | 65.9 | 66.4 | 67.0 | 70.3 | 70.8 | 69.9 | 69.4 | 70.8 | 73.0 | 72.3 | 75.4 | 74.3 | 73.1 | 72.4 | 72.8 |
| Availability ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approx. Ns: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12th Grade | 2,800 | 2,900 | 3,100 | 3,800 | 3,300 | 3,200 | 3,600 | 3,600 | 3,300 | 3,300 | 3,300 | 3,000 | 3,300 | 3,300 | 2,800 | 2,600 |
| Source: The Monitoring the Future Study, the University of Mic higan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | signific a <br> atesda <br> arent in <br> ing error | nce of ta not consist | ifferenc vailabl ncy be | e betw <br> ween th | en the e chan |  | rece <br> ate an | classes: <br> the pre | $\mathrm{s}=.0$ <br> valenc | $s s=.0$ <br> of use | $\text { , } 555=$ | 01. <br> sfor | e two m | ost rec | nt class | s is du |

TABLE 2 (cont'd)
Trends in Availability and Attitudes about Smoking One or More Packs

|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | $\underline{1995}$ | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2001}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | $\underline{2003}$ | $\underline{2004}$ | $\underline{2005}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { '05-'06 } \\ & \text { change } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perceived Risk ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | 51.6 | 50.8 | 52.7 | 50.8 | 49.8 | 50.4 | 52.6 | 54.3 | 54.8 | 58.8 | 57.1 | 57.5 | 57.7 | 62.4 | 61.5 | 59.4 | -2.1 |
| 10th Grade | 60.3 | 59.3 | 60.7 | 59.0 | 57.0 | 57.9 | 59.9 | 61.9 | 62.7 | 65.9 | 64.7 | 64.3 | 65.7 | 68.4 | 68.1 | 67.7 | -0.3 |
| 12th Grade | 69.4 | 69.2 | 69.5 | 67.6 | 65.6 | 68.2 | 68.7 | 70.8 | 70.8 | 73.1 | 73.3 | 74.2 | 72.1 | 74.0 | 76.5 | 77.6 | +1.1 |
| Disapproval ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | 82.8 | 82.3 | 80.6 | 78.4 | 78.6 | 77.3 | 80.3 | 80.0 | 81.4 | 81.9 | 83.5 | 84.6 | 84.6 | 85.7 | 85.3 | 85.6 | +0.4 |
| 10th Grade | 79.4 | 77.8 | 76.5 | 73.9 | 73.2 | 71.6 | 73.8 | 75.3 | 76.1 | 76.7 | 78.2 | 80.6 | 81.4 | 82.7 | 84.3 | 83.2 | -1.1 |
| 12th Grade | 71.4 | 73.5 | 70.6 | 69.8 | 68.2 | 67.2 | 67.1 | 68.8 | 69.5 | 70.1 | 71.6 | 73.6 | 74.8 | 76.2 | 79.8 | 81.5 | +1.7 |
| Availability ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | 77.8 | 75.5 | 76.1 | 76.4 | 76.9 | 76.0 | 73.6 | 71.5 | 68.7 | 67.7 | 64.3 | 63.1 | 60.3 | 59.1 | 58.0 | -1.1 |
| 10th Grade | - | 89.1 | 89.4 | 90.3 | 90.7 | 91.3 | 89.6 | 88.1 | 88.3 | 86.8 | 86.3 | 83.3 | 80.7 | 81.4 | 81.5 | 79.5 | -2.0 ss |


| prox. Ns: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8th Grade | 17,500 | 18,600 | 18,300 | 17,300 | 17,500 | 17,800 | 18,600 | 18,100 | 16,700 | 16,700 | 16,200 | 15,100 | 16,500 | 17,000 | 16,800 | 16,500 |
| 10th Grade | 14,800 | 14,800 | 15,300 | 15,800 | 17,000 | 15,600 | 15,500 | 15,000 | 13,600 | 14,300 | 14,000 | 14,300 | 15,800 | 16,400 | 16,200 | 16,200 |
| 12th Grade | 2,600 | 2,700 | 2,800 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,400 | 2,600 | 2,500 | 2,300 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,200 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,500 | 2,400 |

[^1]Trends in Various Attitudes Towards Cigarette Use Among Eighth, Tenth, and Twelfth Graders


[^2]Trends in Prevalence of Use of Smokeless Tobacco for Eighth, Tenth, and Twelfth Graders
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TABLE 5
Trends in Attitudes about Regular Smokeless Tobacco Use for Eighth, Tenth, and Twelfth Graders

|  | 1975-85 | $\underline{1986}$ | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | $\underline{1990}$ | 1991 | 1992 | $\underline{1993}$ | $\underline{1994}$ | $\underline{1995}$ | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2001}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | $\underline{2003}$ | $\underline{2004}$ | $\underline{2005}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | '05-06 change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perceived Risk ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - |  |  |  |  |  | 35.1 | 35.1 | 36.9 | 35.5 | 33.5 | 34.0 | 35.2 | 36.5 | 37.1 | 39.0 | 38.2 | 39.4 | 39.7 | 41.3 | 40.8 | 39.5 | -1.2 |
| 10th Grade | - | - |  | - | - | - | 40.3 | 39.6 | 44.2 | 42.2 | 38.2 | 41.0 | 42.2 | 42.8 | 44.2 | 46.7 | 46.2 | 46.9 | 48.0 | 47.8 | 46.1 | 45.9 | -0.2 |
| 12th Grade | - | 25.8 | 30.0 | 33.2 | 32.9 | 34.2 | 37.4 | 35.5 | 38.9 | 36.6 | 33.2 | 37.4 | 38.6 | 40.9 | 41.1 | 42.2 | 45.4 | 42.6 | 43.3 | 45.0 | 43.6 | 45.9 | +2.3 |
| Disapproval ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - |  |  |  |  |  | 79.1 | 77.2 | 77.1 | 75.1 | 74.0 | 74.1 | 76.5 | 76.3 | 78.0 | 79.2 | 79.4 | 80.6 | 80.7 | 81.0 | 82.0 | 81.0 | -1.1 |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75.4 | 74.6 | 73.8 | 71.2 | 71.0 | 71.0 | 72.3 | 73.2 | 75.1 | 75.8 | 76.1 | 78.7 | 79.4 | 80.2 | 80.5 | 80.5 | +0.1 |
| Approx. Ns: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17,500 | 18,600 | 18,300 | 17,300 | 17,500 | 17,800 | 18,600 | 18,100 | 16,700 | 16,700 | 16,200 | 15,100 | 16,500 | 17,000 | 16,800 | 16,500 |  |
| 10th Grade | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14,800 | 14,800 | 15,300 | 15,800 | 17,000 | 15,600 | 15,500 | 15,000 | 13,600 | 14,300 | 14,000 | 14,300 | 15,800 | 16,400 | 16,200 | 16,200 |  |
| 12th Grade | - | 3,000 | 3,300 | 3,300 | 2,800 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,700 | 2,800 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,400 | 2,600 | 2,500 | 2,300 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,200 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,500 | 2,400 |  |
| Source: The Monitoring the Future Study, the University of Michigan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes: Level of signific ance of difference between the two most recent classes: $s=.05, s s=.01, s s s=.00$ - -' indicates data not available. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any apparent inconsistency between the change estimate and the prevalence of use estimates for the two most recent classes is due to rounding emor. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The question text was: How much do you think people risk ha ming themselves (physic ally or in other ways) if they use smokeless tobacco regularly? Answer altematives risk, (3) Moderate risk, (4) Great risk, and (5) Can't say, drug unfa miliar. The percentage saying "great risk" is shown. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10th graders, there was anothercategory- "Can't say, drug unfamiliar"-which was included in the calculation of these percentages. The percentage saying they " disapprove" is shown. This question was not asked of 12th graders. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ring the Future Study，the University of Mic higan．
    Notes：Level of signific ance of difference between the two most recent classes：$s=.05, s s=.01, s s s=.001$ ．
    －- ＇indic ates data not available．
    Approx．Ns：
    
    

    8th Grade
    10th Grade emor．

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The question text was: How much do you think people risk haming themselves (physic ally or in other ways) if they smoke one or more packs of cigarettes perday? Answer altematives were: (1) No nisk, (2) Slight nisk, (3) Moderate nisk, (4) Great nisk, and (5) Can’t say, drug unfamiliar. The percentage saying "great nisk" is shown. For 8th and 10th graders: Beginning in 1999, perceived risk data based on two of four forms; N is two-thirds of N indicated.
    ${ }^{6}$ The question text was: Do you disapprove of people smoking one or more packs of cigarettes perday? Answer altematives were: (1) Don't disapprove, (2) Disapprove, and (3) Strongly disapprove. For 8th and 10th graders, there was another category- "Can't say, drug unfamiliar"-which wasincluded in the calculation of these percentages. The percentage saying they "disapprove" or "strongly disapprove" is shown. For 8th and 10th graders: Beginning in 1999, disapproval data based on two of four forms; $N$ is two-thirds of $N$ indicated.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The question text was: How diffic ult do you think it would be for you to get cigarettes, if you wanted some? Answer altematives were: (1) Probably
    impossible, (2) Very diffic ult, (3) Fairly diffic ult, (4) Fairly easy, (5) Very easy, and (8) Can't say, drug unfamiliar (included in the calculation of these
    percentages). The percentage saying cigarettes are "fairly easy" or "very easy" to get is shown. In 1992 only, availability data based on one of two forms; $N$ is one-half of $N$ indicated. The question was not asked of the 12th graders.

[^2]:    Notes: For 8th graders: Ns range from 6,604 to 8,005. For 10th graders: Ns range from 6,398 to 7,807. For 12th graders: Ns range from 1,795 to 3,112

[^3]:    Source: The Monitoring the Future Study, the University of Mic higan.
    Notes: Level of signific ance of difference between the two most recent classes: $s=.05, s s=.01,5 s s=.001$.
     of one 12th-grade questionnaire form, whereasafter 1991 the question wasplaced earlier and in a different form. This shift could explain the discontinuities between the corresponding data.
    '-' indicates data not a vailable.
    Any apparent inconsistency between the change estimate and the prevalence of use estimatesfor the two most recent classes is due to rounding error. For 8th and 10th graders: Data based on one of two forms for 1991-96 and on two of four forms beginning in 1997; N is one-half of N indicated. For 12th graders: Data based on one form; N is one-fifth of N indic ated in 1986-1988 and one-sixth of N indic ated beginning in 1989.

