

- b. Grant Title;
- c. Grant number;
- d. Brief description; and
- e. Amount of Award.

Thank you again for your continued cooperation and assistance in this matter. As you know, in cooperating with the Committee's review, no documents, records, data or

information related to these matters shall be destroyed, modified, removed or otherwise made inaccessible to the Committee.

I look forward to hearing from you by no later than July xx, 2008. All documents responsive to this request should be sent electronically in PDF format to

Brian_Downey@finance-rep.senate.gov. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Paul Thacker at (202) 224-4515.

Sincerely,

CHARLES E. GRASSLEY,
Ranking Member.

SELECTED DISCLOSURES BY DR. SCHATZBERG AND RELATED INFORMATION REPORTED BY PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES AND DEVICE MANUFACTURERS

Year	Company	Disclosure filed with Institution	Amount company reported
2000	Bristol Myers Squibb	No amount provided	\$1,000
	Eli Lilly	No amount provided	\$10,070
2001	Bristol Myers Squibb	No amount provided	\$4,147
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$10,000-\$50,000 ^a	n/a
	Eli Lilly	No amount provided	\$10,788
2002	Bristol-Myers Squibb	Not reported	\$2,134
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$100,000 ^b	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	<\$10,000 ^c	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	<\$10,000 ^d	n/a
	Eli Lilly	No amount provided	\$19,788
	Johnson & Johnson	Not reported	\$22,000
2003	Bristol-Myers Squibb	No amount provided	\$4,000
	Concept Therapeutics	<\$10,000 ^e	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$10,000-\$50,000 ^f	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$100,000 ^g	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	<\$10,000 ^h	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	<\$10,000 ⁱ	n/a
	Eli Lilly	No amount provided ^j	\$18,157.34
2004	Bristol-Myers Squibb	<\$10,000	\$0.00
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$10,000-\$50,000 ^a	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$100,000 ^g	n/a
	Eli Lilly	>\$10,000-\$50,000 ^k	\$52,134
	Pfizer	Not reported	\$2,500
2005	Bristol-Myers Squibb	<\$10,000	\$0
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$10,000-\$50,000 ^a	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$100,000 ^g	na
	Eli Lilly	>\$10,000-\$50,000	\$9,500
	Pfizer	No amount provided	\$2,000
2006	Bristol-Myers Squibb	Not reported	\$6,000
	Concept Therapeutics	<\$10,000 ^h	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$10,000-\$50,000	n/a
	Concept Therapeutics	>\$100,000 ^g	n/a
	Eli Lilly	>\$10,000-\$50,000 ^m	\$20,500
	Pfizer	Not reported	\$300
2007	Eli Lilly	Not reported	\$10,063

^a Physician disclosed payment for Advisory Board Membership, Board of Directors, and consulting.
^b Physician disclosed payment for equity.
^c Physician disclosed payment for serving as a Director, consultant.
^d Physician disclosed payment for royalties.
^e Physician disclosed payment for serving as a Advisory Board Member.
^f Physician disclosed payment for consulting.
^g Physician disclosed stock ownership.
^h Physician disclosed payment for licensing agreement.
ⁱ Physician disclosed payment for serving as Director, Board of Directors.
^j Physician disclosed payment of <\$10,000 for consulting, and did not provide amounts received for research, grants and gift funding.
^k Physician disclosed payment of <\$10,000 for Advisory Board Membership, and >\$10,000-\$50,000 for honoraria for papers or lectures, and consulting.
^l Bristol-Myers Squibb stated that Stanford intended to pay Dr. Schatzberg \$6,000 for conducting an annual course for which the company provides a grant.
^m Physician disclosed payment for serving as a Advisory Board Member and consulting.

Note 1: When a Physician named a company in a disclosure but did not provide an amount, the text reads "no amount reported." When a Physician did not list the company in the disclosure, the column reads "not reported." The Committee contacted several companies for payment information and the notation n/a (not available) reflects that a company was not contacted.
 Note 2: The Committee was not able to estimate the total amount of payments disclosed by Dr. Schatzberg during the period January 2000 through June 2007 due to the fact that some amounts were not provided and in other instances ranges were used. Information reported by the pharmaceutical companies indicate that they made additional payments that are not reflected in his disclosures.

IDAHOANS SPEAK OUT ON HIGH ENERGY PRICES

Mr. CRAPO, Madam President, earlier this week, I asked Idahoans to share with me how high energy prices are affecting their lives, and they responded by the hundreds. The stories, numbering over 1,000, are heart-breaking and touching. To respect their efforts, I am submitting every e-mail sent to me through energy_prices@crapo.senate.gov to the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. This is not an issue that will be easily resolved, but it is one that deserves immediate and serious attention, and Idahoans deserve to be heard. Their stories not only detail their struggles to meet everyday expenses, but also have suggestions and recommendations as to what Congress can do now to tackle this problem and find solutions that last beyond today. I ask unanimous consent to have today's letters printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

MIKE, Thanks for the invitation to vent. Well, that is not what you asked, but here goes. I'm one of those poor widows living on

Social Security supplemented by a little bit of freelance writing, and energy costs are increasingly adding to sleepless nights as I worry about how to keep going. Do not cue the violins.

I agree with your points on increasing our energy independence, and believe that we are indeed stewards of the earth who will be held accountable by our Creator for how we manage it. I contend that these two points are not mutually exclusive, and who better than the great people of the United States to figure out how to do it.

I'm also interested in understanding how futures markets play into the increased cost of gasoline—anything you can tell me about that? Further, how about drastic changes to the red tape required to get nuclear plants up and running?

One last thing: If you have any influence with Senator John McCain, please use it to encourage him to come up with an aggressive energy policy post haste and present it to the voters. If ever there were a time, this is it, and he needs all the help he can get from those of us who are supporting him out of common-sense duty rather than devotion. Help, help.

Thanks for your ear and I hope this gets to you and not only your staff.

KATHY.

Mike, My family and I are making choices to limit our expenses as is rational, but we

have means and discretionary income to juggle. My wife who is a public school administrator tells a different story regarding some of the pupils she sees right now in her summer school programs. They are showing up to school without breakfast, without a lunch, and no money to even buy snacks. Her schools have not offered free and reduced meals for summer school in the past (did not need to), but are trying desperately to do so now. Their parents, many of whom are working lower-paid jobs, are making very hard choices.

Think of the lowest paid tier of workers in our economy. They may not live in comfortable neighborhoods close to their work. Often they drive cars that are affordable up front, but get deplorable gas mileage thereafter. Forget insurance of any kind. In an economy like ours where housing starts and services are down, many of these fathers are working less hours and driving further away to get them. The choices are becoming untenable.

I realize that some of the hesitation to address energy in America is part social engineering (which in my opinion is the realm of the passive-aggressive and grossly irresponsible), and part is Washington's age-old reluctance to govern proactively rendering it ineffectual in matters that matter. But, many of your constituents cannot coast through this crisis until it sorts itself out. Worse, the inaction of your colleagues gives