## Minnesota

http://www.educ.state.mn.us/

School and Teacher Demographics

| Per Pupil Expenditures |  |  |  | \$6,791 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (CCD, 1998-1999) |  |  |  |  |
| Number of districts |  |  |  | 62 |
| (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Number of public schools (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary $1,027$ | Middle <br> 302 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & 592 \end{aligned}$ | Combined $123$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { ed } & \text { Total } \\ \mid 2,072 \end{array}$ |
| Number of charter schools |  |  |  | 413 |
| (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Number of FTE teachers (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary | Middle | High | Combined | ed Total |
| 25,882 | 10,272 | 16,231 | \| 880 | 53,584 |
| Public school enrollment (CCD) |  | 1993-1994 |  | 1999-2000 |
|  |  |  |  | 571,751 |
|  |  | 9-12 | 233,253 | 273,447 |
|  |  | Total | 810,233 | 854,308 |
| (By state definition) |  | Pre-K | 6,656 | 9,110 |

## Sources of funding

District average


Student Demographics


All schools by percent of students eligible
to participate in the Free Lunch Program ${ }^{\dagger}$
(CCD, 1999-2000)


Statewide Accountability Information
(Collected from States, January 2002 for 2001-2002 school year)
Statewide Goal for Schools on State Assessment
Title I -- required score on Minnesota Comprehensive Assessments (MCA)

Expected School Improvement on Assessment Growth towards required score

Indicators for School Accountability MCA, Profiles of Learning

Title I Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Schools Transition: Increase by 2 NCE annually and 60\% of students meet dist. achiev. level ( 80 score on MCA reading, math)

| Title I 1999-2000 | Schoolwide <br> Programs | Targeted <br> Assistance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Schools | 193 | 768 | 961 |
|  | $20 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Schools Meeting AYP Goal | 156 | 744 | 900 |
|  | $81 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Schools Identified for | 37 | 19 | 56 |
| Improvement | $19 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| (ED Consolidated Report, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |

Title I allocation $\$ 94,601,278$
(Includes Basic, Concentration, and LEA grants, Capital Expenditures, Even Start,
Migrant Education, and Neglected \& Delinquent, ED, 1999-2000)

## NAEP State Results

Grade $4 \quad$ Grade 8

| Reading, 1998: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proficient level and above | $36 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Basic level and above | $69 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Math, 2000: |  |  |
| Proficient level and above | $34 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Basic level and above | $78 \%$ | $80 \%$ |


| KEY: * | $=$ Less than 0.5 percent |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\bar{n} /$ a | $=$ Not applicable |
| $\#$ | $=$ Not available |
| $\#$ | $=$ Sample size too small to calculate |

## Minnesota

## Student Achievement 1999-2000 <br> State Definition of Proficien <br> Grade 3: Level 3

## Elementary School

## Minnesota Compiehensive Assessment

## Grade 3

Reading/Language Arts

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in: | Level 1 | Level 2 | Levicient 3 |  |  |
| Stevel 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Schools | $18 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $12 \%$ |  |
| Title I Schools | 20 | 39 | 31 | 10 |  |
| High Poverty Schools | 51 | 37 | 11 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Limited <br> English Proficiency | 57 | 37 | 6 | 1 |  |
| Migratory Students |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 50 | 32 | 14 | 3 |  |


| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| Students in: | $10 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| All Schools | 12 | 45 | 35 | 8 |
| Title I Schools | 32 | 50 | 17 | 2 |
| High Poverty Schools |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Limited <br> English Proficiency | 34 | 54 | 12 | 1 |
| Migratory Students |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 32 | 46 | 18 | 4 |

## Student achievement trend

Reading 3rd grade meets or exceeds Level III
All Students
Students in High Poverty Schools

[^0]
## Middlle School <br> Minnesota Basic Standards Test

## Grade 8

Reading/Language Arts

| Students in:Percent <br> Passing |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Schools | $80 \%$ |
| Title I Schools |  |
| High Poverty Schools |  |
| Students with Limited <br> English Proficiency | 31 |
| Migratory Students | 39 |

## Mathematics

| Students in: | Percent <br> Passing |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Schools | $72 \%$ |
| Title I Schools |  |

Title I Schools
High Poverty S

| Students with Limited <br> English Proficiency |
| :--- |
| Migratory Students |
| Students with Disabilitios |

Students with Disabilities
: High School

## Grade 10

Reading/Language Arts

| Students in: | Percent <br> Passing |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Schools | $53 \%$ |
| Title I Schools |  |
| High Poverty Schools |  |

High Poverty Schools

Students with Limited
English Proficiency
Migratory Students
Students with Disabilities

## Mathematics

| Students in: | Percent <br> Passing |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Schools | $33 \%$ |
| Title I Schools |  |
| High Poverty Schools |  |

Students with Limited
English Proficiency
Migratory Students
Students with Disabilities

## High School Indicators

| High school $1993-94$ $1998-99$ <br> dropout rate (cCD, event) $5 \%$ $5 \%$ <br>  $1994-95$ $1998-99$ <br>  26,790 34,612 <br> Postsecondary enrollment $56 \%$ $63 \%$${ }^{\text {(IPEDS, High school grads enrolled in college) }}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |


[^0]:    High Poverty
    Schools

