## North Carolina

School and Teacher Demographics

| Per Pupil Expenditures |  |  |  | \$5,656 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (CCD, 1998-1999) |  |  |  |  |
| Number of districts |  |  |  | 120 |
| (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Number of public schools (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary $1,276$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Middle } \\ 438 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & 337 \end{aligned}$ | Combined 92 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 2,148 \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of charter schools |  |  |  | 82 |
| (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Number of FTE teachers (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary | Middle | High | Combined | Total |
| 41,887 | 19,503 | 22,481 | 1,935 | \| 86,044 |


| Public school |  | $1993-1994$ | $1999-2000$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| enrollment | K-8 | 798,816 | 926,188 |
| (CCD) | $9-12$ | 305,060 | 341,200 |
|  | Total | $1,133,231$ | $1,275,925$ |
| (By state definition) | Pre-K | 8,469 | 8,515 |

## Sources of funding

District average


Student Demographics


Statewide Accountability Information
(Collected from States, January 2002 for 2001-2002 school year)
Statewide Goal for Schools on State Assessment Sixty percent students at/above grade level in Reading, Writing and Math (grades 3-8); and 60 percent in Reading, Writing, Math, Science \& Social Studies (grades 9-12).

Expected School Improvement on Assessment Annual growth/gain over a baseline set for each school. Indicators for School Accountability
Primarily End of Grade and End of Course Tests; additional components in high school
Title II Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Schools Meet growth expectations and 50\% students at grade level, or above $60 \%$ at grade level without growth.
$\left.\begin{array}{lc|c|c}\text { Title I 1999-2000 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Schoolwide } \\ \text { Programs }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Targeted }\end{array} & \text { Total } \\ \text { Assistance }\end{array}\right]$

Title I allocation
\$128,256,938
(Includes Basic, Concentration, and LEA grants, Capital Expenditures, Even Start,
Migrant Education, and Neglected \& Delinquent, ED, 1999-2000)
All schools by percent of students eligible
to participate in the Free Lunch Program ${ }^{\dagger}$
(CCD, 1999-2000)


## NAEP State Results

Grade 4 Grade 8
Reading, 1998:

| Proficient level and above | $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Basic level and above | $62 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Math, 2000: |  |  |
| Proficient level and above | $28 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Basic level and above | $76 \%$ | $70 \%$ |

# North Carolina 

## Student Achievement 1999-2000

## Elementary School

## Grade 4

Reading/Language Arts

|  |  |  | Proficient $»$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in: | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| All Schools | $7 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Title I Schools | 10 | 27 | 44 | 20 |
| High Poverty Schools | 13 | 33 | 42 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Limited <br> English Proficiency | 20 | 40 | 37 | 3 |
| Migratory Students | 14 | 28 | 42 | 16 |
| Students with Disabilities | 24 | 37 | 31 | 8 |


| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in: | Level 1 | \\| Proficient ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| All Schools | 2\% | 13\% | 44\% | 41\% |
| Title I Schools | 3 | 18 | 150 | 30 |
| High Poverty Schools | 4 | 24 | 52 | 21 |
|  |  |  | I |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Students with Limited |  |  | 1 |  |
| English Proficiency | 4 | 24 | 55 | 17 |
| Migratory Students | 3 | 19 | 46 | 32 |
| Students with Disabilities | 8 | 31 | 46 | 15 |

## Student achievement trend

Reading 4th grade meets or exceeds Level 3


KEY: $\quad{ }^{*} \quad=$ Less than 0.5
$\quad-\quad=$ Not applicable

\# = Sample size too few to calculate

High Poverty
Schools
Schools $=75-100 \%$ students receiving free/reduced lunch

## Middle School

## Grade 8

Reading/Language Arts

|  |  | $\llbracket$ Proficient $»$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in: | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| All Schools | $3 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Title I Schools | 4 | 20 | 50 | 27 |
| High Poverty Schools | 7 | 27 | 49 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Limited <br> English Proficiency | 16 | 42 | 38 | 4 |
| Migratory Students | 11 | 28 | 38 | 22 |
| Students with Disabilities | 16 | 39 | 36 | 9 |

## Mathematics

|  |  | Proficient $»$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in: | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |  |
| All Schools | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $44 \%$ |  |
| Title I Schools | 6 | 20 | 41 | 33 |  |
| High Poverty Schools | 11 | 25 | 41 | 23 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Limited <br> English Proficiency | 17 | 31 | 38 | 14 |  |
| Migratory Students | 13 | 20 | 37 | 30 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 21 | 35 | 33 | 12 |  |

## Student achievement trend

Math 8th grade meets or exceeds Level 3


Assessment
State Definition of Proficient

North Carolina End of Grade/End of Course Test
Level 3-mastery of grade level subject matter and skills and are prepared for next grade level

