## School and Teacher Demographics

Per Pupil Expenditures
\$5,123
(CCD, 1998-1999)

| Number of districts |  |  |  | 138 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Number of public schools (CCD, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary | Middle | High | Combined | Total |
| 932 | 263 | 288 | 58 | 1,554 |

Number of charter schools
0
(CCD, 1999-2000)

Number of FTE teachers (CCD, 1999-2000)

| Elementary <br> n/a | Middle <br> n/a |  | High <br> n/a | Combi <br> n/a |  | $\begin{array}{c\|c} \text { d } & \text { Total } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public school |  |  |  | 1993-1994 |  | 999-2000 |
| enrollment |  | K-8 |  | 603,041 |  | 626,946 |
| (CCD) |  | 9-12 |  | 236,542 |  | 249,933 |
|  |  | Total |  | 866,557 |  | 894,538 |
| (By state definition) |  | Pre-K |  | 9,542 |  | 3,434 |

Sources of funding
District average


Student Demographics


| Students with Limited | 3,450 | 11,039 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| English proficiency | $*$ | $1 \%$ |

(ED NCBE, K-12)
Migratory students
391

All schools by percent of students eligible to participate in the Free Lunch Program (CCD, 1999-2000)

Statewide Accountability Information
(Collected from States, January 2002 for 2001-2002 school year)
Statewide Goal for Schools on State Assessment Above 50th percentile on NRT in reading and math

Expected School Improvement on Assessment Attain value-added score of 100, over 3 years improvement on test scores

Indicators for School Accountability NRT (CTBS) value-added assessment, attendance, promotion, dropout

Title I Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Schools Improve mean performance level across grades by average of . 05

| Title I 1999-2000 ${ }_{\text {Pr }}^{\text {Pr }}$ | Schoolwide Programs | Assistance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Schools | $\begin{aligned} & 513 \\ & 64 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 293 \\ & 36 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 806 \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Schools Meeting AYP Goal | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \\ & 34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137 \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 312 \\ & 39 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Schools Identified for Improvement | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 14 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| (ED Consolidated Report, 1999-2000) |  |  |  |
| Title I allocation |  |  |  |
| NAEP State Results |  |  |  |
|  | Grade 4 Grade 8 |  |  |
| Reading, 1998: |  |  |  |
| Proficient level and above | ove 25\% 26\% |  |  |
| Basic level and above | 58\% 71\% |  |  |
| Math, 2000: |  |  |  |
| Proficient level and above | ve 18\% 17\% |  |  |
| Basic level and above | 60\% 53\% |  |  |

## Tennessee

## Student Achievement 1999-2000

Assessment Tennessee Comprehensive Achievement Program


```
- = Not applicable
    /a =Not available
    # = Sample size too few to calculate
High Poverty
\(=75-100 \%\) students receiving free/reduced lunch
```

