

**From:** Paul Maritz (Exchange)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 01, 1996 2:51 PM  
**To:** Sanjay Parthasarathy (Xenix); Hank Vigil (Xenix); Brad Silverberg  
**Cc:** Marshall Brumer  
**Subject:** RE: FW:

After Intel meeting today, I discussed with bradsi whether it might not be wise for use to accomodate Intel in some way wrt the "process" in return for them getting 100% behind our architecture. I know that Frank Gill would endorse this in an instant.

The reason for doing this is that I think we need to have some way of slowing down/containing Netscape - I fear that they can use security to screw us in a big way, and right now "they win" (given their market share).

I am not sure if the "WinSec" idea is the right forum or not, but I think we should get back to Frank Gill next week with a proposal - Sanjay?

---

**From:** Brad Silverberg (Xenix)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 01, 1996 2:12 PM  
**To:** Sanjay Parthasarathy (Xenix); Hank Vigil (Xenix)  
**Cc:** Paul Maritz (Exchange)  
**Subject:** RE: FW:


this is a perfect opportunity for us to pair up with intel. just as we had winsock, we could have an Internet Security group. we can then use this to get netscape into the boat. because you can be assured that if we force netscape to do something different and incompatible, we will lose. it's goal will be to ensure wire protocols for secure communications are open.

---

**From:** Sanjay Parthasarathy (Xenix)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 01, 1996 8:19 AM  
**To:** Brad Silverberg; Hank Vigil (Xenix)  
**Subject:** FW: FW:

---

Privilege Material  
- Redacted



MS98 0168063-A  
Confidential

ENTIRE PAGE REDACTED  
FOR PRIVILEGE

MS98 0168064-A  
Confidential