

# Status of Cross-Track Infrared Sounder (CrIS) Pre–launch Calibration

Denise Hagan, Chunming Wang, Giovanni DeAmici, Paul Lee

(Northrop Grumman Space Technology)

Denis Tremblay (Science Data Processing Inc.)

#### Gene Kratz

(Raytheon Information Systems)

AIRS and NPP Sounding Team Meeting Greenbelt, Maryland

October 16, 2008



### **Objectives**

- Describe status of evaluation of CrIS TVAC suite of measurements, including radiometric noise, linearity and spectral calibration data
- Provide overview of current efforts to achieve accurate characterization transfer of External Calibration Target (ECT) to the instrument Internal Calibration Target (ICT).



# **CrIS Sensor Overview**



	Spec
Mass, kg	165
Average Power, Allocation W	106/117
Average Data Rate, Mbps	1.5

- The Cross-track Infrared Sounder (CrIS) is a Key Sensor for NPP and NPOESS
  - Fourier Transform Spectrometer providing high resolution IR spectra:
  - Fields of Regard with 3 x 3 FOVs
  - Photovoltaic Detectors in all 3 bands
  - 4-Stage Passive Detector Cooler
  - 14 km nadir FOV spatial resolution
  - 2200 km swath width
  - On-board internal calibration target
- Supplier: ITT Industries
- Key subcontractors:
  - ABB Bomem: Interferometer, ICT, SDR Algorithm
  - **DRS**: Detectors
  - AER: EDR Algorithm

Band	Wavelength Range		Sampling	No.
	(cm-1)	<b>(μm)</b>	(cm-1)	Chan.
SWIR	2155-2550	4.64-3.92	2.5	159
MWIR	1210-1750	8.26-5.71	1.25	433
LWIR	650-1095	15.38-9.14	0.625	713





# **CrIS Sensor: Optical Schematic Showing Key Components for Onboard Calibration**



# **Targets for CrIS Calibration**

- CrIS Internal Calibration Target ICT: Key Features
  - Enhanced Martin Black diffuse anodized coating
  - Relatively deep cavity
  - 4 NIST-traceable 4-wire PRTs (2 per side)
  - Passive thermal design allows ICT temperature to float with instrument; when combined with nearly isothermal instrument surroundings and SDR environmental model, radiometric errors due to non-ideal emissivity are small
  - Proven space heritage (MOPITT)
- CrIS External Calibration Target ECT: Key Features
  - Wedge cavity multiple bounce specular cavity with emissivity>0.995 provided by ABB/Bomem
  - Estimated ECT temperature uncertainty 69 mK, based on capsule-type standard PRT (Rosemount model 162D)
  - Calibration over temperature range 200-310 K against Secondary Standard PRT
- CrIS Spaceview Target SV: Most Key Features same as ECT
- Gas Cell Measurements
  - Hot temperature blackbody measurements of gas cells filled with CO2 (LWIR), CH4 (MWIR) and HBr (SWIR)



∻ ітт

ICT





# TVAC Calibration Critical for CrIS SDR Algorithm

- TVAC measurements used to derive and tune coefficients in the SDR algorithm
- Raw Data Records (RDR) contain engineering data packets populated pre-launch with required coefficients for full spectral/ radiometric calibration
- Calibration coefficients transmitted to ground together with CrIS interferogram telemetry data, including interferogram identifiers and quality control data
- Some tuning of TVAC calibration coefficients anticipated on orbit



#### **CrIS TVAC Testing Comprehensive**

- All CrIS system level TVAC testing has been performed including
  - Cooler, cold start, survival heaters
  - EMI
  - Vibration
  - Dynamic interaction
  - Thermal cycling and balance
  - FOV Slit and Spot Scan
  - Radiometric calibration at 3 different instrument temperature regimes
  - Spectral measurements (Gas Cell) at 3 different instrument temperature regimes (e.g. Mission Nominal, Protoqual Low and Protoqual High)
  - Scan scenario (multiple orbits)
- TVAC testing continues with EDU3 ICT
  - TVAC tests showed lower than expected FM1 ICT effective emissivity
  - Original FM1 ICT emissivity degrades radiometric performance
  - Preliminary testing shows EDU3 ICT emissivity performance near specification levels
  - TVAC radiometric regression testing for EDU3 ICT in progress



### **CrIS Pre-launch Test Phases**

- System Integration
- Bench Level Testing
- EMI Testing
- Vibration
- Post Vibe/Bench Testing
- Thermal Vacuum Testing (see following chart)
- Post Environmental Bench
- Thermal Vacuum Regression Testing (in progress)
- Post TVAC Workmanship-level Vibration and Magnetic Moment Testing



# **Summary of TVAC Data Analyses Performed**

- NEdN Trending
- Spectral overlay and comparisons with line-by-line models
- Non-linearity
- Short and long term repeatability
- Target effective emissivity retrievals
- Spatial registration/spot size and center positions
- Scan scenario
- Dynamic Interaction effects



### **CrIS SDR Analysis Teams**

- Several groups contribute to the CrIS pre-launch calibration, including verification of SDR algorithm:
  - ITT (Instrument Vendor)
  - Government Specialists
    UWisc SSEC
    - MIT
    - SDL
  - NGST
- Teams collaborate in data analyses, trouble-shooting, correction techniques; generally reach consensus on paths forward through bench and TVAC testing
- Results of several key analyses presented at CALCON conference (See papers by D. Tobin, M. Esplin, L. Strow, R. Glumb, J. Predina et al.)



1600 1700

Frequency (cm

FOV1

EOV/2 FOV3 FOV4

FOV5 EOV6 EOV/7

FOV8

FOV9

SPEC

2700

2600

0.3 0.25 0.25 0.3

0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

600

800

1000

1200

1400

1600

Frequency (cm<sup>-1</sup>)

1800

**NEdT – Mission Nominal** 

Plateau

2000

2200



2500

NEdN with considerable margin relative to performance specification; shows some correlation of noise from FOV to FOV

8/25/08

700

800

900

Frequ

1000

NEdN (mW/m<sup>2</sup>/sr/cm<sup>-1</sup>

10 2100

2200

2300

1100

1200

1300

NEdN TVAC upmayzuus Sidezro wich

South of the state

2400 Frequency (cm<sup>-1</sup>) SPEC

2400

2600

#### **Instrument Line Shape**



#### CrIS Spectral Overlay (9 FOVs) LWIR CO2 Transmissivity



<sup>8/25/08</sup> FM1 meets FWHM spec allocation with margin (better than 5 ppm) <sup>13</sup> ILS correction results in less than 0.2% peak to peak residual error



# **Instrument Non-linearity**

Non-linearity highest in MWIR (~0.5%); smallest in SWIR; significant variation from detector to detector, but stable

Non-linearity repeatable effect that can be effectively minimized by corrections in SDR algorithm

Studies indicate corrections can be made to within very small radiance errors (0.1%) over most of the instrument Earth scene measurement range



![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Radiometric Accuracy (1 of 3)**

- Three primary sources of radiometric calibration error
  - Non-linearity
  - ICT radiance error
  - ECT/ICT temperature bias errors
- FM1 TVAC measurements show evidence of wavelength dependent calibration bias error
  - Direct measurement of FM1 ICT radiance using ECT as reference results in lower than expected ICT radiance observed in MWIR and SWIR bands
  - Magnitude of error varies with scene temperature, instrument temperature and FOV
  - Radiance error as large as 1-2% due to combination of non-linearity, ICT emissivity anomaly and ECT temperature offset

![](_page_14_Figure_10.jpeg)

Temperature difference between measured scene brightness temperatures and in situ ECT reference temperature at two different instrument temperatures for FM1 ICT

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Radiometric Accuracy (2 of 3)**

- EDU3 ICT TVAC measurements show significantly less wavelength dependent calibration error note flatness of curves
- Temperature difference relative to external calibration target is reduced
- Plots show ECT PRT reference temperature minus retrieved ICT brightness temperature

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Radiometric Accuracy (3 of 3)**

• Preliminary retrieved EDU3 ICT effective emissivity close to expected values based on NIST measurements of coating coupon B 'dull' Enhanced Martin Black

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

<u>Apparent</u> = Retrieved effective emissivity <u>without</u> modeling radiance reflected by external environment surrounding ICT

<u>ATBD</u> = Retrieved effective emissivity including radiance reflected by external environment surrounding ICT, adapted from Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document

Recent test results from ITT using transfer radiometer show effective emissivity 0.98 at ~2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **FM1 Calibration Work**

- Calibration of ECT/ICT PRTs performed
  - ECT thermal equilibrium soak in vacuum chamber; all ECT PRTs calibrated to NIST ITS-90 temperature scale using highly characterized reference probes
  - 9 temperature point (230 K) re-calibration of EDU3 ICT PRTs by ABB (NIST traceable)
- ITT TSSR relative radiance measurements of EDU3 surface emissivity performed
- TVAC radiometric regression testing of EDU3 ICT in progress includes stepped linearity tests, NEdN, scan scenario, ICT environmental model
- ITT TSSR relative radiance measurements of FM1 ICT cavities for root cause emissivity failure pending

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Summary

- High degree of instrument fidelity
  - Better than expected noise performance
  - High spectral accuracy
- Non-linearity correction methodology in work;
  - Worst case non-linearity about 0.5%
  - SDR algorithm updates planned (non-linearity correctable to ~0.1%)
- Absolute ICT radiometric accuracy determination in progress
  - TVAC regression testing with EDU3 ICT replacement unit With focus on ICT emissivity model and linearity tests
  - PRT re-calibration traceable to NIST