15	Untitled CHARLOTTE OMOHUNDRO: Charlotte Omohundro,					
16	O-M-O-H-U-N-D-R-O, ************, 89137. The scope of the					
17	EPA's environmental assessment at Yucca Mountain is too					
18	limited, both in scope and in duration. It does not					
19	consider that the environmental impact of the facility over					
20	time will affect an enormous geographical area and the					
21	people who inhabit it.					
22	After the last EPA hearings, the news coverage					
23	on Channel 8 quoted the EPA as stating that the rise in					
24	radiation levels in Las Vegas after shipping high-level					
25	toxicity radioactive waste materials would be no higher					
	13					
1	than in Denver, Colorado.					
2	That's not at all reassuring to those of us					
3	who are aware of the radioactive contamination in					
4	Colorado after the fires at Rocky Flats and mishandling					
5	of transported radioactive waste to the Lowry Landfill,					
6	or of the subsequent unsuccessful EPA superfund attempts					
7	at remediation.					
8	Dr. Helen Caldicott, the founder of the					
9	Physicians for Social Responsibility, stated that she					
10	believes that the Denver metropolitan area is					
11	uni nhabi table because of the plutonium contamination					
12	from those fires at Rocky Flats, which were virtually					
13	unreported in the local press.					
14	Our Local news coverage here in Las Vegas					
15	failed to disclose the results of the grand jury					
16	investigation of the City of Denver's mishandling of the					

two sites. That occurred as the result of the city's

Untitled

- 18 partnership with Waste Management, Inc., which was found
- 19 to have been associated with organized crime in other
- 20 parts of the country.
- 21 In addition to the plutonium contamination
- 22 from Rocky Flats, the Titan Missile Factory and the
- 23 Rocky Mountain Arsenal dumped at the Lowry Landfill,
- 24 which is now classified as an EPA superfund site. It
- 25 has been investigated for flushing radioactive waste

- 1 into public sewage systems, which rerouted it into
- 2 irrigation for public parks and recreation areas in
- 3 Denver, and for agricultural irrigation.
- 4 Note: The half-life of PU239 is over 24,000
- 5 years, and the half-life of U238 is around 4.5 billion
- 6 years. We are all aware in this part of the country of
- 7 the failed legal attempts by NTSW's and Utah's
- 8 "Downwinders" to seek compensation from the Departments
- 9 of Energy and Defense for medical expenses, loss of
- 10 life, and losses of property from radioactive
- 11 contamination after the nuclear bomb testing at the
- 12 Nevada Test Site in the 1950s and 1960s.
- The majority of the awards made by the lower
- 14 courts were lost on appeal in the federal courts. It
- 15 seems the federal government can't be sued after it made
- 16 misleading statements about the safety of exposure to
- 17 radioactivity, even when the exposures resulted in
- 18 fatalities to civilians.
- 19 Information about the types of diseases and
- 20 fatal illnesses that can be expected from the exposure

Untitled

- 21 to the Lethal toxins that will be stored at
- 22 Yucca Mountain is nearly impossible for the public to
- 23 find.
- 24 Information about the routes of contamination,
- 25 primarily the ground water seepage through earthquake

- 1 faults, has been falsified by the federal government,
- 2 and the corrections have not been made easily available
- 3 to the civilian public (whose taxes are being used to
- 4 build the repository and the rail lines for shipping
- 5 through earthquake country.)
- 6 We have no public health services which will
- 7 provide diagnoses and treatment in the event of spills
- 8 or other types of contamination. There is no evacuation
- 9 plan for the city of Las Vegas, to my knowledge.
- 10 The state of general preparedness for
- 11 disasters at Yucca Mountain is probably similar to that
- 12 at the reactor at Chernobyl in Belorussia. The
- 13 differences are that the amount of material at Chernobyl
- 14 which went critical was a tiny fraction of the amount
- 15 scheduled for deposit at Yucca Mountain, and the
- 16 population in the immediately surrounding area was a
- 17 tiny fraction of the population in southern Nevada,
- 18 California, Utah, and Arizona.
- 19 The environmental impact assessments conducted
- 20 by the EPA for Yucca Mountain do not take these facts
- 21 into consideration, nor does it take into consideration
- 22 that it has been putting the cart before the horse for
- 23 about six decades when it comes to the use of nuclear

Unti tl ed

O 4	· ·	 	
24	faci	 + 1	\sim
24	iaci	 LI	C.O.

25	lf	proper	research	into	the	treatment	of

- 1 nuclear waste to render it harmless after use had
- 2 already been done, we wouldn't have to worry about the
- 3 lethal effects of accidents for the civilian population
- 4 or about the possibility of sabotage by terrorists.
- 5 We need another Manhattan Project for that
- 6 research. And until it is conducted, we shouldn't be
- 7 producing more waste. We need for radioactive waste to
- 8 be deactivated, not stored.