To: EIS_Comments@notes.ymp.gov
cc:
Subject: ANOI Rail Comment

User Filed as: Not Categorized in ERMS

November 20, 2006 12:41:37

IP address: 64.113.172.13

~~~~~~
The Commentors Name:
---> Albert C Raney

~~~~~~
The Commentors Address:
--->
--->Hazen, Nevada 89408

~~~~~~
Email Information:
--->acraney@phonewave.net
---> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes

~~~~~~
Contact Information:
---> fax number :
---> phone number :
---> organization :
---> position :

~~~~~~
Comment Text :
-->Perhaps the best alternative would be to not produce nuclear waste . . But
if we must, then all alternatives of shipping nuclear waste from it's origin
to a repository should be eliminated. The only ethical and moral alternative
to consider here is shipping nuclear waste to qualified recycling centers when
they become available. I understand other counties are successfully recycling
their nuclear waste today. Why are we not doing the same?

Moving nuclear waste from all over America just to store it in another place
is not productive nor does it make economic sense. The American people and our
environment are at far less peril if the waste stays where it originated.
Moving the nuclear waste just to store it in another place produces nothing,
endangers more Americans lives and their environment, wastes American wealth
for no good reason, and the inventory of waste simply increases.

The future viability of our nuclear generating capacity can only be truly
accomplished by directing our money and efforts into recycling plants, not a
repositories. The Yucca Mountain Repository project is nothing more than a
boondoggle that enriches big contactors and big government while the American
people and our environment lose and we become even more ugly in the eyes of
others . . . but by recycling, everyone wins and the World is a better place.

Only when recycling plants are built should nuclear waste be shipped.

~~~~~~