



webserver@yucca-web1.ymp.gov on 11/27/2006 01:54:22 AM

To: SEIS_Comments@notes.ymp.gov
CC:
Subject: NOI SEIS Comment

User Filed as: Not Categorized in ERMS

November 27, 2006 01:54:22

IP address: 4.228.225.129

The Commentors Name:

----> Stewart M. Freshwater

The Commentors Address:---->5213 Mountain View Dr.
---->Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-----
Email Information:---->smfreshwater@earthlink.net
----> Add commentor to the mailing list : no-----
Contact Information:----> fax number :
----> phone number : 7028782208
----> organization :
----> position :-----
Comment Text :

-->I have no problem with honest and professional scientific studies of altering nature and the environment, but I do not believe that the DOE has credibility in performing such studies. Time and time again, DOE has exhibited a dishonest, deceptive and biased nature in performing scientific work and issuing reports. I have personal experience to such behavior. DOE is clearly operating the Yucca project in favor of the nuclear industry and certain political adgendas as apposed to the best interest of the public. There are plenty of scientific community studies and reports that point out the many reservations and the faults to the Yucca Mountain project and DOE's execution of the project. The Government Accounting Office finds DOE at fault with many accounting practices. If these Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement studies are for the purpose of altering or overhauling the Yucca Mountain Project, I say, "NO, do not do them." As already stands, I do not believe that the Yucca Mountain project has scietific merit and should be stopped. I believe that the project is not in the best interest of the American public. I believe a "No Action Alternative" should be accepted. There should be no plans for an interim storage plan and project. There is no license for such a plan and it would be potentially illegal under the Nuclear Waste Pollution Act.
