MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR ENERGY AND WATER DE-VELOPMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 1997, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

September 12, 1996.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. MYERS of Indiana, from the committee of conference, submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 3816]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3816) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, \$153,872,000, to remain available until expended, of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Norco Bluffs, California, \$180,000;

San Joaquin River Basin, Caliente Creek, California, \$150,000;

Tampa Harbor, Alafia Channel, Florida, \$100,000;

Lake George, Hobart, Indiana, \$100,000;

Little Calumet River Basin, Cady Marsh Ditch, Indiana, \$200,000;

Tahoe Basin Study, Nevada and California, \$100,000;

Barnegat Inlet to Little Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$300,000:

Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$360,000:

Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey, \$200.000:

Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey, \$250,000; Townsends Inlet to Cape May Inlet, New Jersey, \$245,000; South Shore of Staten Island, New York, \$200,000;

Mussers Dam, Middle Creek, Snyder County, Pennsylvania,

\$450,000;

Rhode Island South Coast, Habitat Restoration and Storm Damage Reduction, Rhode Island, \$100,000;

Monongahela River, West Virginia, \$500,000;

Monongahela River, Fairmont, West Virginia, \$100,000; and

Tygart River Basin, Philippi, West Virginia, \$100,000.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction), \$1,081,942,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99–662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 25, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri, Lock and Dam 14, Mississippi River, Iowa, and Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illi-

nois and Missouri, projects, and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

RedRiver Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas,

\$3,000,000;

San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, \$7,000.000:

Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$7,000,000;

Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, \$2,200,000;

Harlan (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$18,000,000;

Martin County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$350,000;

Middlesboro (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River

and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$2,500,000; Pike County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$2,000,000;

Town of Martin (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy

River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$300,000;

Williamsburg (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$4,050,000; Salyersville, Kentucky, \$3,000,000;

Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity, Louisiana, \$17,025,000;

Lake Pontchartrain (Jefferson Parish) Stormwater Discharge, Louisiana, \$4,750,000;

Red River below Denison Dam Levee and Bank Stabiliza-

tion, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Texas, \$100,000;

Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Louisiana, \$3,400,000:

Glen Foerd, Pennsylvania, \$800,000;

South Central Pennsylvania Environmental Restoration Infrastructure and Resource Protection Development Pilot Program, Pennsylvania, \$7,000,000;

Seekonk River, Rhode Island Bridge removal, \$650,000;

Wallisville Lake, Texas, \$7,500,000;

Richmond Filtration Plant, Virginia, \$3,500,000;

Virginia Beach, Virginia \$8,000,000;

Hatfield Bottom (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy Riverand Upper Cumberland River). WestVirginia. \$1,300,000:

Lower Mingo (Kermit) (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$4,000,000:

Lower Mingo, West Virginia, Tributaries Supplement,

\$105.000: and

Upper Mingo County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$3,500,000: Provided, That of the funds provided for the Red River Waterway, Mississippi River to Shreveport, Louisiana, project, \$3,000,000 is provided, to remain available until expended, for design and construction of a regional visitor center in the vicinity of Shreveport, Louisiana at full Federal expense:

Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$1,000,000 of the funds appropriated in Public Law 104–46 for construction of the Ohio River

Flood Protection, Indiana, project: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed, in cooperation with State, county, and city officials and in consultation with the Des Moines River Greenbelt Advisory Committee, to provide highway and other signs appropriate to direct the public to the bike trail which runs from downtown Des Moines, Iowa, to the Big Creek Recreation area at the Corps of Engineers Saylorville Lake project and the wildlife refuge in Jasper and Marion Counties in Iowa authorized in Public Law 101–302: Provided further, That any law, regulation, documents or record of the United States in which such projects are referred to shall be held to refer to the bike trail as the Neal Smith Bike Trail and to such centers as the Neal Smith Prairie Wildlife Learning Center: Provided further, That the Secretary is directed to initiate construction on the Joseph G. Minish Historic Waterfront Park, New Jersey, project; furthermore, the Secretary may transfer not to exceed \$900,000 from General Investigations appropriations made in Title I of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, Public Law 103-126 (107 STAT. 1313) for the Passaic River, Mainstem, New Jersey, to Construction, General for the Joseph G. Minish Historic Waterfront Park, New Jersey, project and that the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate shall be promptly advised of such transfer: Provided further, That of the funds provided herein, \$1,000,000 shall be for payment to the Kansas City Southern Industries, Inc. in partial reimbursement of costs associated with the relocation and modification of the Louisiana and Arkansas (L&A) Railway Bridge at Alexandria, Louisiana, for navigation requirements of the Red River navigation project: Provided further, That using \$500,000 of the funds appropriated for the Passaic River Mainstem, New Jersey, project under the heading "General Investigations" in Public Law 103–126, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to begin implementation of the Passaic River Preservation of Natural Storage Areas separable element of the Passaic River Flood Reduction Project, New Jersey: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized and directed to initiate construction on the following projects in the amounts specified:

Humboldt Harbor, California, \$2,500,000; San Lorenzo River, California, \$200,000; Faulkner's Island, Connecticut, \$1,500,000; Chicago Shoreline, Illinois, \$8,000,000;

Pond Creek, Jefferson City, Kentucky, \$1,500,000;

Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, \$4,500,000;

Wood River, Grand Isle, Nebraska, \$1,000,000;

New York City Watershed, New York, \$1,000,000;

Duck Creek, Čincinnati, Ohio, \$466,000;

Saw Mill Run, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, \$500,000;

West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flooding, West Virginia and Pennsylvania, \$1,000,000;

Upper Jordan River, Utah, \$500,000

San Juan Harbor, Puerto Rico, \$800,000; and

Allendale Dam, Khode Island, \$195,000: Provided further, That no fully allocated funding policy shall apply to construction of the projects listed above, and the Secretary of the Army is directed to undertake these projects using continuing contracts where sufficient funds to complete the projects are not available from funds provided herein or in prior years.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, IL-LINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TEN-NESSEE

For expenses necessary for prosecuting work of flood control, and rescue work, repair, restoration, or maintenance of flood control projects threatened or destroyed by flood, as authorized by law (33 U.S.C. 702a, 702g–1), \$310,374,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the President of the Mississippi River Commission is directed henceforth to use the variable cost recovery rate set forth in OMB Circular A–126 for use of the Commission aircraft authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1946, Public Law 526: Provided further, That notwithstanding the funding limitations set forth in Public Law 104–6 (109. Stat 85), the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized and directed to use additional funds appropriated herein or previously appropriated to complete remedial measures to prevent slope instability at Hickman Bluff, Kentucky.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the preservation, operation, maintenance, and care of existing river and harbor, flood control, and related works, including such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality or other public agency, outside of harbor lines, and serving essential needs of general commerce and navigation; surveys and charting of northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels; and removal of obstructions to navigation, \$1,697,015,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as become available in the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99-662, may be derived from that fund, and of which such sums as become available from the special account established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l), may be derived from that fund for construction, operation, and maintenance of outdoor recreation facilities, and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania, \$4,190,000; and Cooper Lake and Channels, Texas, \$2,601,000:

Provided, That using \$1,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to design and construct a landing at Guntersville, Alabama, as described in the Master Plan Report of the Nashville District titled "Guntersville Landing" dated June, 1996: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to design and implement at full Federal expense an early flood warning system for the Greenbrier and Cheat River Basins, West Virginia within eighteen months from the date of enactment of this Act: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed during fiscal year 1997 to maintain a minimum conservation pool level of 475.5 at Wister

Lake in Oklahoma: Provided further, That no funds, whether appropriated, contributed, or otherwise provided, shall be available to the United States Army Corps of Engineers for the purpose of acquiring land in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use \$600,000 of funding provided herein to perform maintenance dredging of the Cocheco River navigation project, New Hampshire.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, \$101,000,000, to remain available until expended.

FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

For expenses necessary for emergency flood control, hurricane, and shore protection activities, as authorized by section 5 of the Flood Control Act approved August 18, 1941, as amended, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended; Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use up to \$8,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein and under this heading in Public Law 104–134 to rehabilitate non-Federal flood control levees along the Puyallup and Carbon Rivers in Pierce County, Washington.

GENERAL EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers and offices of the Division Engineers; activities of the Coastal Engineering Research Board, the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Engineering Strategic Studies Center, and the Water Resources Support Center, and for costs of implementing the Secretary of the Army's plan to reduce the number of division offices as directed in title I, Public Law 104-46, \$149,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I of this Act shall be available to fund the activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the executive direction and management activities of the Division Offices: Provided further, That with funds provided herein and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Army shall develop and submit to the Congress (including the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives) within 60 days of enactment of this Act, a plan which reduces the number of division offices within the United States Army Corps of Engineers to no less than 6 and no more than 8, with each division responsible for at least 4 district offices, but does not close or change any civil function of any district office: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Army is directed to begin implementing the division office plan on April 1, 1997: Provided further, That up to \$1,500,000 may be transferred to this account from any other appropriation account in this title.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$5,000); and during the current fiscal year the revolving fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. (a) In fiscal year 1997, the Secretary of the Army shall advertise for competitive bid at least 8,500,000 cubic yards of the hopper dredge volume accomplished with government owned

dredges in fiscal year 1992.

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, the Secretary is authorized to use the dredge fleet of the Corps of Engineers to undertake projects when industry does not perform as required by the contract specifications or when the bids are more than 25 percent in excess of what the Secretary determines to be a fair and reasonable estimated cost of a well equipped contractor doing the work or to respond to emergency requirements.

SEC. 102. None of the funds appropriated herein or otherwise made available to the Army Corps of Engineers, including amounts contained in the Revolving Fund of the Army Corps of Engineers, may be used to study, design or undertake improvements or major repair of the Federal vessel, McFARLAND, except for normal maintenance and repair necessary to maintain the vessel McFARLAND's

current operational condition.

SEC. 103. The flood control project for Moorefield, West Virginia, authorized by section 101(a)(25) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-640, 104 Stat. 4610) is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct the project at a total cost of \$26,200,000, with an estimated first Federal cost of \$20,300,000 and an estimated first non-Federal cost of \$5,900,000.

Sec. 104. The project for navigation, Grays Landing Lock and Dam, Monongahela River, Pennsylvania (Lock and Dam 7 Replacement), authorized by section 301(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-662, 100 Stat. 4410) is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct the project at a total cost of \$181,000,000, with an estimated first Federal cost of \$181,000,000.

Sec. 105. From the date of enactment of this Act, non-structural flood control measures implemented under Section 202(a) of Public Law 96-367 shall prevent future losses that would occur from a flood equal in magnitude to the April 1977 level by providing protection from the April 1977 level or the 100-year frequency event,

whichever is greater.

Sec. 106. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized to reprogram, obligate and expend such additional sums as are necessary to continue construction and cover anticipated contract earnings of any water resources project that received an appropriation or allowance for construction in or through an appropriations Act or resolution of the then-current fiscal year or the two fiscal years immediately prior to that fiscal year, in order to prevent the

termination of a contract or the delay of scheduled work.

SEC. 107. The Corps of Engineers is hereby directed to complete the Charleston Riverfront (Haddad) Park Project, West Virginia, as described in the design memorandum approved November, 1992, on a 50–50 cost-share basis with the City. The Corps of Engineers shall pay one-half of all costs for settling contractor claims on the completed project and for completing the wharf. The Federal portion of these costs shall be obtained by reprogramming available Operations Maintenance funds. The project cost limitation in the Project Cooperation Agreement shall be increased to reflect the actual costs of the completed project.

SEC. 108. The flood control project for Arkansas City, Kansas authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–662, 100 Stat. 4116) is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct the project at a total cost of \$38,500,000, with an estimated first Federal cost of \$28,100,000 and an estimated first non-Federal cost of \$10,400,000.

SEC. 109. Funds previously provided under the Fiscal Year 1993 Energy and Water Development Act, Public Law 102–377, for the Elk Creek Dam, Oregon project, are hereby made available to plan and implement long term management measures at Elk Creek Dam to maintain the project in an uncompleted state and to take necessary steps to provide passive fish passage through the project.

SEC. 110. The Secretary of the Army is authorized and directed to modify the project for the Hudson River, New York, New York City to Waterford, authorized by the Act of June 25, 1910 (Public Law 264, 61st Congress, 36 Stat. 635), to include design and construction of a 300-foot wide channel to a depth of 24 feet (mean low water), extending from the existing Federal channel in the vicinity of the Hudson City Light to the north dock at Union Street, Athens, New York.

SEC. 111. Section 109(a) of Public Law 104–46 (109 Stat. 408) with regard to Prestonsburg, Kentucky, is amended by striking

"Modification No. 2" and inserting "Modification No. 3".

SEC. 112. The emergency gate construction project for Abiquiu Dam, New Mexico, authorized by section 1112 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–662, 100 stat. 4232) is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to construct the project at an estimated total cost of \$7,000,000. The non-Federal share of the project shall be 25 percent of those costs of the project attributable to an increase in flood protection as a result of the installation of such gates.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

For the purpose of carrying out provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act, Public Law 102–575 (106 Stat. 4605), and for feasibility studies of alternatives to the Uintah and Upalco Units, \$42,527,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$16,700,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account: Provided, That of the amounts deposited in to the Account, \$5,000,000 shall be considered the Federal contribution authorized by paragraph 402(b)(2) of the Act and \$11,700,000 shall be available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission to carry out activities authorized under the Act.

In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior under the Act, \$1,100,000, to remain available until expended.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

For carrying out the functions of the Bureau of Reclamation as provided in the Federal reclamation laws (Act of June 17, 1902, 32 Stat. 388, and Acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto) and other Acts applicable to that Bureau as follows:

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

For engineering and economic investigations of proposed Federal reclamation projects and studies of water conservation and development plans and activities preliminary to the reconstruction, rehabilitation and betterment, financial adjustment, or extension of existing projects, \$16,650,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities which can be financed by the reclamation fund shall be derived from that fund: Provided further, That funds contributed by non-Federal entities for purposes similar to this appropriation shall be available for expenditure for the purposes for which contributed as though specifically appropriated for said purposes, and such amounts shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, \$250,000 shall be available to complete the appraisal study and initiate preconstruction engineering and design for the Del Norte County and Crescent City, California, Wastewater Reclamation Project, and \$250,000 shall be available to complete the appraisal study, and initiate preconstruction engineering and design for the Fort Bragg, California, Water Supply Project.

CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For construction and rehabilitation of projects and parts thereof (including power transmission facilities for Bureau of Reclamation use) and for other related activities as authorized by law,

\$394,056,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$22,410,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund authorized by section 5 of the Act of April 11, 1956 (43 U.S.C. 620d), and \$58,740,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund authorized by section 403 of the Act of September 30, 1968 (43 U.S.C. 1543), and such amounts as may be necessary shall be considered as though advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund for the Boulder Canyon Project as authorized by the Act of December 21, 1928, as amended: Provided, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities which can be financed by the reclamation fund shall be derived from that fund: Provided further, That transfers to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund may be increased or decreased by transfers within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That funds contributed by non-Federal entities for purposes similar to this appropriation shall be available for expenditures for the purposes for which contributed as though specifically appropriated for said purposes, and such funds shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That all costs of the safety of dams modification work at Coolidge Dam, San Carlos Irrigation Project, Arizona, performed under the authority of the Reclamation Safety of Dams Act of 1978 (43 U.S.C. 506), as amended, are in addition to the amount authorized in section 5 of said Act: Provided further, That section 301 of Public Law 102-250, Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991, is amended by inserting "1996, and 1997" in lieu of "and 1996": Provided further, That the amount authorized by section 210 of Public Law 100-557 (102 Stat. 2791), is amended to \$56,362,000 (October 1996 prices plus or minus cost indexing), and funds are authorized to be appropriated through the twelfth fiscal year after construction funds are first made available.

Provided further, That utilizing funds appropriated for the Tucson Aqueduct System Reliability Investigation, the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to complete, by the end of fiscal year 1997, the environmental impact statement being conducted on the proposed surface reservoir. The Bureau of Reclamation is further directed to work with the City of Tucson on any outstanding issues related to the preferred alternative.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

For operation and maintenance of reclamation projects or parts thereof and other facilities, as authorized by law; and for a soil and moisture conservation program on lands under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Reclamation, pursuant to law, \$267,876,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities which can be financed by the reclamation fund shall be derived from that fund, and the amount for program activities which can be derived from the special fee account established pursuant to the Act of December 22, 1987 (16 U.S.C. 460l-6a, as amended), may be derived from that fund: Provided further, That funds advanced by water users for operation and maintenance of reclamation projects or parts thereof shall be deposited to the credit of this appropriation and may be expended

for the same purpose and in the same manner as sums appropriated herein may be expended, and such advances shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That revenues in the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund shall be available for performing examination of existing structures on participating projects of the Colorado River Storage Project.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans and/or grants, \$12,290,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by the Small Reclamation Projects Act of August 6, 1956, as amended (43 U.S.C. 422a–422l): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$37,000,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the program for direct loans and/or grants, \$425,000: Provided, That of the total sums appropriated, the amount of program activities which can be financed by the reclamation fund shall be derived from the fund.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f) and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102-575, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to levy additional mitigation and restoration payments totaling \$30,000,000 (October 1992 price levels) on a three-year rolling average basis, as authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102-575.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of general administration and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, \$46,000,000 to be derived from the reclamation fund and to be nonreimbursable pursuant to the Act of April 19, 1945 (43 U.S.C. 377): Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted for the current fiscal year as general administrative expenses.

SPECIAL FUNDS

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Sums herein referred to as being derived from the reclamation fund or special fee account are appropriate from the special funds in the Treasury created by the Act of June 17, 1902 (43 U.S.C. 391) or the Act of December 22, 1987 (16 U.S.C. 460l-6a, as amended), respectively. Such sums shall be transferred, upon request of the

Secretary, to be merged with and expended under the heads herein specified.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed 6 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

For expenses of the Department of Energy activities including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for energy supply, research and development activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; purchase of passager motor vehicles (not to exceed 24 for replacement only), \$2,710,908,000, to remain available until expended.

URANIUM SUPPLY AND ENRICHMENT ACTIVITIES

For expenses of the Department of Energy in connection with operating expenses; the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for uranium supply and enrichment activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.) and the Energy Policy Act (Public Law 102-486, section 901), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; purchase of electricity as necessary; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 3 for replacement only); \$43,200,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That revenues received by the Department for uranium programs and estimated to total \$42,200,000 in fiscal year 1997 shall be retained and used for the specific purpose of offsetting costs incurred by the Department for such activities notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302(b) and 42 U.S.C. 2296(b)(2): Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 1997 so as to result in a final fiscal year 1997 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$1,000,000.

Section 161k. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2201k) with respect to the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Kentucky, and the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Ohio, the guidelines shall require, at a minimum, the presence of an adequate number of security guards carrying side arms at all times to ensure maintenance of security at the gaseous diffusion plants.

Section 311(b) of the USEC Privatization Act (Public Law 104–

134, title III, chapter 1, subchapter A) insert the following:

"(3) The Corporation shall pay to the Thrift Savings Fund such employee and agency contributions as are required or authorized by section 8432 and 8351 of title 5, United States Code, for employees who elect to retain their coverage under CSRS or FERS pursuant to paragraph (1)."

$\begin{array}{c} \textit{URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING} \\ \textit{FUND} \end{array}$

For necessary expenses in carrying out uranium enrichment facility decontamination and decommissioning, remedial actions and other activities of title II of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and title X, subtitle A of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, \$200,200,000, to be derived from the Fund, to remain available until expended: Provided, That \$34,000,000 of amounts derived from the Fund for such expenses shall be available in accordance with title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

GENERAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

For expenses of the Department of Energy activities including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for general science and research activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$996,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$182,000,000 to remain available until expended, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided, That none of the funds provided herein shall be distributed to the State of Nevada or affected units of local government (as defined by Public Law 97–425) by direct payment, grant, or other means, for financial assistance under section 116 of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended: Provided further, That the foregoing proviso shall not apply to payments in lieu of taxes under section 116(c)(3)(A) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended: Provided, That no later than September 30, 1998, the Secretary shall provide to the President and to the Congress a viability assessment of the Yucca Mountain site. The viability assessment shall include:

(1) the preliminary design concept for the critical elements

for the repository and waste package;

(2) a total system performance assessment, based upon the design concept and the scientific data and analysis available by September 30, 1998, describing the probable behavior of the repository in the Yucca Mountain geological setting relative to the overall system performance standards;

(3) a plan and cost estimate for the remaining work reauired to complete a license application; and

(4) an estimate of the costs to construct and operate the re-

pository in accordance with the design concept.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for Departmental Administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$35,000), \$215,021,000, to remain available until expended, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1511, et seq.): Provided, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total \$125,388,000 in fiscal year 1997 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95–238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during fiscal year 1997 so as to result in a final fiscal year 1997 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$\$9,633,000.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$23,853,000, to remain available until expended.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 94 for replacement only), \$3,911,198,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental restoration and waste management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construc-

tion, or expansion; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 20, of which 19 are for replacement only), \$5,459,304,000, to remain available until expended and, in addition, \$160,000,000 for privatization initiatives, to remain available until expended.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed 2 for replacement only), \$1,605,733,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$200,000,000, to remain available until expended.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ALASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of projects in Alaska and of marketing electric power and energy, \$4,000,000, to remain available until expended.

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93–454, are approved for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$3,000.

During fiscal year 1997, no new direct loan obligations may be made.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, \$16,359,000 to remain available until expended.

$\begin{array}{c} \textit{OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER} \\ \textit{ADMINISTRATION} \end{array}$

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, and for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500 in carrying out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southwestern power area, \$25,210,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed \$3,787,000 in reimbursements, to remain available until expended.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500, \$193,582,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$185,687,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, \$5,432,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to transfer from the Colorado River Dam Fund to the Western Area Power Administration \$3,774,000 to carry out the power marketing and transmission activities of the Boulder Canyon project as provided in section 104(a)(4) of the Hoover Power Plant Act of 1984, to remain available until expended.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, \$970,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, fiscal years 1994 and 1995.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101, et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$3,000), \$146,290,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$146,290,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 1997 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 1997 so as to result in a final fiscal year 1997 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$0.

General Provisions

SEC. 301. PRIORITY PLACEMENT, JOB PLACEMENT, RETRAINING, AND COUNSELING PROGRAMS FOR UNITED STATES DEPART-MENT OF ENERGY EMPLOYEES AFFECTED BY A REDUCTION IN FORCE.

(a) Definitions.—

(1) for the purposes of this section, the term "agency" means the United States Department of Energy.

(2) For the purposes of this section, the term "eligible em-

ployee" means any employee of the agency who—

(A) is scheduled to be separated from service due to a reduction in force under—

(i) regulations prescribed under section 3502 of

title 5, United States Code; or

(ii) procedures established under section 3595 of title 5, United States Code; or

(B) is separated from service due to such a reduction

in force, but does not include—

(i) an employee separated from service for cause on

charges of misconduct or delinquency; or

(ii) an employee who, at the time of separation, meets the age and service requirements for an immediate annuity under subchapter III of chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code.

(b) PRIORITY PLACEMENT AND RETRAINING PROGRAM.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the United States Department of Energy shall establish an agency-wide priority placement and retraining program for eligible employees.

(c) The priority placement program established under subsection (b) shall include provisions under which a vacant position shall not be filled by the appointment or transfer of any individual

from outside of the agency if—

(1) there is then available any eligible employee who applies for the position within 30 days of the agency issuing a job announcement and is qualified (or can be trained or retrained to become qualified within 90 days of assuming the position) for the position; and

(2) the position is within the same commuting area as the

eligible employee's last-held position or residence.

(d) Job Placement and Counseling Services.—The head of the agency may establish a program to provide job placement and counseling services to eligible employees.

(1) TYPES OF SERVICES.—A program established under subsection (d) may include, but is not limited to, such services as—

(A) career and personal counseling;
(B) training and job search skills: an

(B) training and job search skills; and

(C) job placement assistance, including assistance provided through cooperative arrangements with State and local employment services offices.

SEC. 302. None of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act may be used to implement section 3140 of H.R. 3230 as reported by the Committee of Conference on July 30, 1996. The Secretary of Energy shall develop a plan to reorganize the field activities and management of the national security functions of the Department of

Energy and shall submit such plan to the Congress not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The plan will specifically identify all significant functions performed by the Department's national security operations and area offices and make recommendations as to where those functions should be performed.

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

Appalachian Regional Commission

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, notwithstanding section 405 of said Act, and for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission and for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$160,000,000, to remain available until expended.

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100–456, section 1441, \$16,000,000, to remain available until expended.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Act of 1954, as amended, including the employment of aliens; services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; publication and dissemination of atomic information; purchase, repair, and cleaning of uniforms; official representation expenses (not to exceed \$20,000); reimbursements to the General Services Administration for security guard services; hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft, \$471,800,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, \$11,000,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That from this appropriation, transfer of sums may be made to other agencies of the Government for the performance of the work for which this appropriation is made, and in such cases the sums so transferred may be merged with the appropriation to which transferred: Provided further, That moneys received by the Commission for the cooperative nuclear safety research program, services rendered to foreign governments and international organizations, and the material and information access authorization programs, including criminal history checks under section 149 of the Atomic Energy Act may be retained and sued for salaries and expenses associated with those ac-

tivities, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$457,300,000 in fiscal year 1997 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the funds herein appropriated for regulatory reviews and other activities pertaining to waste stored at the Hanford site, Washington, shall be excluded from license fee revenues, notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 2214: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 1997 from licensing fees, inspection services and other services and collections, excluding those moneys received for the cooperative nuclear safety research program, services rendered to foreign governments and international organizations, and the material and information access authorization programs, so as to result in a final fiscal year 1997 appropriation estimated at not more than \$14,500,000.

Office of Inspector General

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended; and in addition, an amount not to exceed 5 percent of this sum may be transferred from Salaries and Expenses, Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Provided, That notice of such transfers shall be given to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate: Provided further, That from this appropriation, transfers of sums may be made to other agencies of the Government for the performance of the work for which this appropriation is made, and in such cases the sums so transferred may be merged with the appropriation to which transferred: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 1997 from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections, so as to result in a final fiscal year 1997 appropriation estimated at not more than \$0.

Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by Public Law 100–203, section 5051, \$2,531,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

For the purpose of carrying out the provisions of the Tennessee Valley Authority Act of 1933, as amended (16 U.S.C. ch. 12A), including hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft, and purchase and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$106,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the funds provided herein, \$15,000,000 shall be made available for the Environmental Research Center in Muscle Shoals, Alabama: Provided further, That of the funds provided herein, \$6,000,000 shall be made available for operation, maintenance, improvement, and surveillance of Land Between the Lakes: Provided further, That of the amount provided herein, \$15,000,000 shall be available for Economic Development activities: Provided further, That none of the funds provided herein, shall be available for detailed engineering and design or constructing a replacement for Chickamauga Lock and Dam on the Tennessee River System.

TITLE V

General Provisions

Sec. 501. (a) Purchase of American-Made Equipment and Products.—It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available in this Act should be American-made.

(b) Notice Requirement.—In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describ-

ing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.

(c) Prohibition of Contracts With Persons Falsely Labeling Products as Made in America.—If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.

Sec. 502. 42 U.S.C. 7262 is repealed.

SEC. 503. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or nonreimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the "Cleanup Program—Alternative Repayment Plan" and the "SJVDP—Alternative Repayment Plan" described in the report entitled "repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995", prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal Reclamation law.

SEC. 504. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which the funds are made available that such revision provides for an increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in States that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

SEC. 505. Public Law 101–514, the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1991, is amended effective September 30, 1997 or upon operation of the temperature control device, by striking the proviso under the heading "Construction, Rehabilitation, Operation and Maintenance, Western Area Power Administration".

SEC. 506. The Secretary of the Interior shall extend the water service contracts for the following projects, entered into by the Secretary of the Interior under subsection (e) of section 9 of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 (43 U.S.C. 485h) and section 9(c) of the Act of December 22, 1944 (58 Stat. 891, chapter 665), for a period of 1 additional year after the dates on which each of the contracts, respectively, would expire but for this section.

(1) The Bostwick District (Kansas portion), Missouri River Basin Project, consisting of the project constructed and operated under the Act of December 22, 1944 (58 Stat. 887, chapter 665), as a component of the Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program, situated in Republic County, Jewell County, and Cloud County, Kansas.

(2) The Bostwick District (Nebraska portion), Missouri River Basin Project, consisting of the project constructed and operated under the Act of December 22, 1944 (58 Stat. 887, chapter 665), as a component of the Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program, situated in Harlan County, Franklin County, Webster County, and Nuckolls County, Nebraska.

(3) The Frenchman-Cambridge District, Misouri River Basin Project, consisting of the project constructed and operated under the Act of December 22, 1944 (58 Stat. 887, chapter 665), as a component of the Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program, situated in Chase County, Frontier County, Hitchcock County, Furnas

County, and Harlan County, Nebraska.

SEC. 507. Funds made available by this Act to the Department of Energy shall be available only for the purposes for which they have been made available by this Act. The Department of Energy shall report by February 28, 1997 to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate on the Department of Energy's adherence to the recommendations included in the accompanying report.

Sec. 508. (a) Denial of Funds for Preventing ROTC Access to Campus.—None of the funds made available in this Act may be provided by contract or by grant (including a grant of funds

to be available for student aid) to a subelement of an institution of higher education when it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that the subelement of such institution has a policy or practice (regardless of when implemented) that prohibits, or in effect prevents—

(1) the maintaining, establishing, or operation of a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps (in accordance with section 654 of title 10, United States Code, and other applicable

Federal laws) at the subelement of such institution; or

(2) a student at the institution (or subelement) from enrolling in a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps at

another institution of higher education.

(b) Exception.—The limitation established in subsection (a) shall not apply to an institution of higher education when it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that—

(1) the institution (or subelement) has ceased the policy or

practice described in such subsection; or

(2) the institution has a longstanding policy of pacifism

based on historical religious affiliation.

Sec. 509. (a) Denial of Funds for Preventing Federal Military Recruiting on Campus.—None of the funds made available in this Act may be provided by contract or grant (including a grant of funds to be available for student aid) to a subelement of an institution of higher education when it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that the subelement of such institution has a policy or practice (regardless of when implemented) that prohibits, or in effect prevents—

(1) entry to campuses, or access to students (who are 17 years of age or older) on campuses, for purposes of Federal mili-

tary recruiting; or

(2) access to the following information pertaining to students (who are 17 years of age or older) for purposes of Federal military recruiting: student names, addresses, telephone listings, dates and places of birth, levels of education, degrees received, prior military experience, and the most recent previous educational institutions enrolled in by the students.

(b) Exception.—The limitation established in subsection (a) shall not apply to an institution of higher education when it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or

expend such funds that—

(1) the institution (or subelement) has ceased the policy or

practice described in such subsection; or

(2) the institution has a longstanding policy of pacifism

based on historical religious affiliation.

SEC. 510. None of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended to enter into or renew a contract with an entity when it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that—

(1) such entity is otherwise a contractor with the United States and is subject to the requirement in section 4212(d) of title 38, United States Code, regarding submission of an annual report to the Secretary of Labor concerning employment of certain veterans; and

(2) such entity has not submitted a report as required by that section for the most recent year for which such requirement

was applicable to such entity.

SEC. 511. The Administrator may offer employees voluntary separation incentives as deemed necessary which shall not exceed \$25,000. Recipients who accept employment with the United States within five years after separation shall repay the entire amount to the Bonneville Power Administration. This authority shall expire September 30, 2000.

SEC. 512. Following section 4(h)(10)(C) of the Northwest Power

Planning and Conservation Act, insert the following new section:

(4)(h)(10)(D) Independent Scientific Review Panel.—(i) The Northwest Power Planning Council (Council) shall appoint an Independent Scientific Review Panel (Panel), which shall be comprised of eleven members, to review projects proposed to be funded through that portion of the Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) annual fish and wildlife budget that implements the Council's fish and wildlife program. Members shall be appointed from a list of no fewer than 20 scientists submitted by the National Academy of Sciences (Academy), provided that Pacific Northwest scientists with expertise in Columbia River anadromous and non-anadromous fish and wildlife and ocean experts shall be among those represented on the Panel. The Academy shall provide such nominations within 90 days of the date of this enactment, and in any case not later than December 31, 1996. If appointments are required in subsequent years, the Council shall request nominations from the Academy and the Academy shall provide nominations not later than 90 days after the date of this request. If the Academy does not provide nominations within these time requirements, the Council may appoint such members as the Council deems appropriate.

(ii) Scientific Peer Review Groups.—The Council shall establish Scientific Peer Review Groups (Peer Review Groups), which shall be comprised of the appropriate number of scientists, from a list submitted by the Academy to assist the Panel in making its recommendations to the Council for projects to be funded through BPA's annual fish and wildlife budget, provided that Pacific Northwest scientists with expertise in Columbia River anadromous and non-anadromous fish and wildlife and ocean experts shall be among those represented on the Peer Review Groups. The Academy shall provide such nominations within 90 days of the date of this enactment, and in any case not later than December 31, 1996. If appointments are required in subsequent years, the Council shall request nominations from the Academy and the Academy shall provide nominations not later than 90 days after the date of this request. If the Academy does not provide nominations within these time requirements, the Council may appoint such members as the Council

deems appropriate.

(iii) Conflict of Interest and Compensation.—Panel and Peer Review Group members may be compensated and shall be considered subject to the conflict of interest standards that apply to scientists performing comparable work for the National Academy of Sciences; provided that a Panel or Peer Review Group members with a direct or indirect financial interest in a project, or projects, shall recuse him or herself from review of, or recommendations as

sociated with, such project or projects. All expenses of the Panel and the Peer Review Groups shall be paid by BPA as provided for under paragraph (vii). Neither the Panel nor the Peer Review Groups shall be deemed advisory committees within the meaning of the Federal

Advisory Committee Act.

(iv) Project Criteria and Review.—The Peer Review Groups, in conjunction with the Panel, shall review projects proposed to be funded through BPA's annual fish and wildlife budget and make recommendations on matters related to such projects to the Council no later than June 15 of each year. If the recommendations are not received by the Council by this date, the Council may proceed to make final recommendations on project funding to BPA, relying on the best information available. The Panel and Peer Review Groups shall review a sufficient number of projects to adequately ensure that the list of priortizied projects recommended is consistent with the Council's program. Project recommendations shall be based on a determination that projects: are based on sound science principles; benefit fish and wildlife; and have a clearly defined objective and outcome with provisions for monitoring and evaulation of results. The Panel, with assistance from the Peer Review Groups, shall review, on an annual basis, the results of prior year expenditures based upon these criteria and submit its findings to the Council for its review.

(v) Public Review.—Upon completion of the review of projects to be funded through BPA's annual fish and wildlife budget, the Peer Review Groups shall submit its findings to the Panel. The Panel shall analyze the information submitted by the Peer Review Groups and submit recommendations on project priorities to the Council. The Council shall make the Panel's findings available to

the public and subject to public comment.

(vi) RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COUNCIL.—The Council shall fully consider the recommendations of the Panel when making its final recommendations of projects to be funded through BPA's annual fish and wildlife budget, and if the Council does not incorporate a recommendation of the Panel, the Council shall explain in writing its reasons for not accepting Panel recommendations. In making its recommendations to BPA, the Council shall: consider the impact of ocean conditions on fish and wildlife populations; and shall determine whether the projects employ cost effective measures to achieve program objectives. The Council, after consideration of the recommendations of the Panel and other appropriate entities, shall be responsible for making the final recommendations of projects to be funded through BPA's annual fish and wildlife budget.

(vii) Cost Limitation.—The cost of this provision shall not ex-

ceed \$2,000,000 in 1997 dollars.

(viii) Expiration.—This paragraph shall expire on September 30, 2000.

DESIGNATION OF JIM CHAPMAN LAKE

SEC. 513. Cooper Lake, located on the Sulphur River near Cooper, Texas, is named and designated as the "Jim Chapman Lake". Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, or record of the

United States to such lake shall be held to be a reference to the "Jim Chapman Lake".

DESIGNATION OF WILLIAM L. JESS DAM AND INTAKE STRUCTURE

SEC. 514. The dam located at mile 158.6 on the Rogue River in Jackson County, Oregon, and commonly known as the Lost Creek Dam Lake Project, shall be known and designated as the "William" L. Jess Dam and Intake Structure". Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the dam referred to as Lost Creek Dam Lake Project, shall be deemed to be a reference to the "William L. Jess Dam and Intake Structure".

DESIGNATION OF J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY

SEC. 515. The portion of the Red River, Louisiana, from new river mile 0 to new river mile 235 shall be known and designated as the "J. Bennett Johnston Waterway". Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to such portion of the Red River shall be deemed to be a reference to the "J. Bennett Johnston Waterway".

This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1997".

And the Senate agree to the same.

JOHN T. MYERS, HAROLD ROGERS. Joe Knollenberg, Frank Riggs. Rodney P. Frelinghuysen, JIM BUNN, MIKE PARKER, Bob Livingston, Tom Bevill. Vic Fazio. JIM CHAPMAN, Peter J. Visclosky, Managers on the Part of the House.

Pete V. Domenici, Mark O. Hatfield. Thad Cochran, SLADE GORTON. MITCH MCCONNELL, ROBERT F. BENNETT, CONRAD BURNS, J. Bennett Johnston, ROBERT C. BYRD, Fritz Hollings. HARRY REID. J. Robert Kerrey. PATTY MURRAY,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3816) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and rec-

ommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 104– 679 and Senate Report 104-320 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the conference, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The con-

ference agreement includes a revised bill.

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates \$153,872,000 for General Investigations instead of \$153,628,000 as proposed by the House and \$154,557,000 as proposed by the Senate.

On July 11, 1996, the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works advised the committees of a proposal to modify current Corps of Engineers guidance governing the reconnaissance phase of the study process. Under the proposal, the scope of the reconnaissance phase would be returned to that envisioned by section 905(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, which is to develop a preliminary appraisal of the Federal interest, benefits, costs, and environmental impacts of a potential project, develop a scope of work for the feasibility study, and negotiate a feasibility study cost-sharing agreement. The goal would be to complete the reconnaissance phase within six months at a cost of approximately \$100,000. After careful consideration, the conferees have decided to support this initiative and have funded all new reconnaissance studies at the \$100,000 level. The conferees have been assured that this initiative is a true efficiency move aimed at returning reconnaissance efforts back to the original concept for that phase and will not transfer cost and time to the feasibility phase of the study process. The conferees are also aware that the \$100,000 model may not be suitable for all projects and expect the Corps to exercise appropriate judgment in adjusting the scope of the reconnaissance effort to accommodate the needs of particularly complex issues or large geographic areas.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate studies of the navigation needs of several of Alaska's coastal communities. The funds will be used for the Western Harbors, Aleutians East Borough, Arctic Coast Navigation, King Cove, and Akutan Harbor reconnaissance studies. By combining these studies under a single heading the Corps of Engineers is expected to be able to accomplish the work substantially below the

cost of addressing each project separately.

The conferees agree that the Corps of Engineers may include the Southampton Shoal Channel and extension in the San Francisco Bay Bar Channel, California, reconnaissance study to permit a comprehensive examination of the San Francisco-to-Stockton Ship Channel to determine the feasibility of increasing operating depths required for commerce and international trade.

The conference agreement includes \$150,000 for preconstruction engineering and design of the New Harmony, Indi-

ana, project.

The conferees have provided \$10,750,000 for the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway navigation study instead of \$10,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$11,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to accelerate the execution of feasibility study activities in accordance with the approved project study plan in such a manner that schedule recovery will be maximized and a final report will be completed as soon as practicable.

The conference agreement includes \$600,000 equally divided for the Corps of Engineers to undertake preconstruction engineering and design for the project to provide flood protection to the Green Ridge and Plot sections of the Lackawanna River, Scranton, Pennsylvania, project as proposed by the Senate. The House had proposed to fund this work under the Construction, General, ac-

count.

The conferees have provided \$100,000 for a reconnaissance study of the need for channel deepening in the Port of New York and New Jersey and \$100,000 to initiate a feasibility study should the reconnaissance effort demonstrate a Federal interest in the project.

The conference agreement includes \$100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate a reconnaissance study leading to a Master Plan of the Wing Deer Park on Boone Lake in Johnson City, Ten-

nessee.

The conference agreement includes \$100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate a reconnaissance study of environmental restoration opportunities along the Upper Jordan River, Utah, that includes examining water quality, wetland habitat, and flood control as a means of restoring the watershed of the Jordan River Basin. The conferees direct the Corps to review and recommend modifications to the Jordan River Stability Study conducted by Salt Lake

County.

The conference agreement includes a total of \$6,280,000 for Coordination Studies With Other Agencies instead of \$4,280,000 as proposed by the House and \$8,040,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees expect the Corps to use the funds provided to accomplish the highest priority work among the various activities funded under this program. In addition, the Corps is directed to use \$450,000 to continue to participate in the interagency ecosystem management task force's Pacific Northwest forest case study as described in the Senate Report. The conferees agree with the language in the House report regarding the Planning Assistance to States program.

The conferees have provided \$27,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers' Research and Development program. Within the funds provided, the conferees have provided \$300,000 to continue the Corps of Engineers Construction Technology Transfer project and \$1,600,000 for cost-shared research and development and installation of composite pilings as describe in the Senate report. The conferees also are in agreement with the language in the House report

regarding the CFIRMS project.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Norco Bluffs, California, \$180,000; San Joaquin River Basin, Caliente Creek, California, \$150,000; Tampa Harbor, Alafia Channel, Florida, \$100,000; Lake George, Hobart, Indiana, \$100,000; Little Calumet River Basin, Cady Marsh Ditch, Indiana, \$200,000; Tahoe Basin Study, Nevada and California, \$100,000; Barnegat Inlet to Little Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$300,000; Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$260,000; Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey, \$250,000; Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey, \$250,000; Townsends Inlet to Cape May Inlet, New Jersey, \$245,000; South Shore of Staten Island, New York, \$200,000; Mussers Dam, Middle Creek, Snyder County, Pennsylvania, \$450,000; Rhode Island South Coast, Habitat Restoration and Storm Damage Reduction, Rhode Island, \$100,000; Monongahela River, West Virginia, \$500,000; Monongahela River, Fairmont, West Virginia, \$100,000; and Tygart River Basin, Philippi, West Virginia, \$100,000.

The conference agreement deletes funds earmarked in the Senate bill for the Red River Navigation, Southwest, Arkansas, study.

The conference agreement also deletes language contained in the Senate bill earmarking funds for studies of Coastal Navigation Improvements in Alaska, the Walker River Basin in Nevada, and the Bolinas Lagoon in California. Funding for those studies has been included in the overall amount appropriated for General Investigations.

The conferees are aware of recent efforts by the Corps of Engineers to increase the use of the private sector in performing, planning, engineering and design work for Corps projects. However, the conferees believe that the Corps of Engineers needs to intensify those efforts. The conferees expect the Corps, on a programmatic basis, to achieve a goal of having the private sector perform at least 35% of planning, and 40% of engineering, design work and construction phase services for projects as defined in 40 U.S.C. 541-544. Additionally, in those instances where a district office has not achieved a contracting level of at least 25% of planning, engineering, design work and construction phase services for projects in that district, private sector contracting should be increased by 10 percentage points in fiscal year 1997 and in each subsequent fiscal year until the level of work contracted to the private sector reaches at least 25%; however, in no case shall the actual increase per year be less than 5 percentage points. It is not the conferees' intent that the Corps reduce the contracting levels in those offices that are already conducting more than 35% of planning, and 40% of engineering, design work and construction phase services with the private sector. Contracting with the private sector as set forth above shall continue to be conducted in compliance with the normal qualification based selection process found in 40 U.S.C. 541–544.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,081,942,000 for Construction, General, instead of \$1,035,394,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,049,306,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for the Sacramento River, Glen-Colusa Irrigation District, California, project, the same as the budget request and the amount provided by the House and the Senate. This project is an integral part of the effort to develop a long-term solution to the fish passage problem at the Hamilton City pumping plant. It is the conferees' intent that the Corps of Engineers participate in, and, when necessary, provide direct support to this important Federal-state effort.

The conference agreement provides \$4,000,000 for the Palm Beach County, Florida, project. Of the funds provided, \$1,919,000 is for the Jupiter/Carlin segment as proposed in the budget request. The remaining funds are to be used for the Boca Raton and Ocean Ridge segments of the project.

The conference agreement includes \$1,200,000 for the Corps of Engineers to reimburse the local sponsor for the Federal share of costs associated with renourishment of the Captiva Island segment of the Lee County, Florida, project.

The conferees are in agreement with the language in the House and Senate reports regarding the Missouri River Levee Sys-

tem project.

The conference agreement includes \$17,025,000 for the Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection), Louisiana, project. Of the amount provided above the budget request, \$4,500,000 shall be used for levee raising and landside runoff control for Jefferson Parish lakefront levees and \$8,500,000 shall be used to continue construction of parallel protection along the Orleans Avenue and London Avenue outfall canals. In addition, \$1,500,000 has been provided for the West Bank-East of Harvey

Canal, Louisiana, project.

The conferees have provided \$17,500,000 for the Southeast Louisiana, Louisiana, project. These funds are to be used to continue engineering, design, and construction of projects to provide for flood control and improvements to rainfall drainage systems in Jefferson, Orleans, and St. Tammany Parishes, Louisiana, in accordance with the following reports of the New Orleans District Engineer: Jefferson and Orleans Parishes, Louisiana, Urban Flood Control and Water Quality Management, July 1992; Tangipahoa, Techefuncte and Tickfaw Rivers, Louisiana, June 1991; St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana, June 1996; and Schneider Canal, Slidell, Louisiana, Hurricane Protection, May 1990; all of which are authorized for construction by Public Law 104–46.

The conferees have provided \$250,000 for the Grand Isle and Vicinity, Louisiana, project to initiate preconstruction engineering and design on the modifications to the authorized hurricane protection project to include shoreline protection features on the north side of the island and to continue construction of breakwaters.

Within funds provided for the South Central Pennsylvania Environmental Restoration Infrastructure and Resource Protection Development Pilot Program, the conferees have provided \$500,000

for the Redstone Township project.

The conference agreement provides \$1,000,000 each for the Arkansas City, Kansas, and Winfield, Kansas, projects as proposed by the Senate. The conferees are aware that the Winfield project is ahead of schedule and, therefore, the two-phase approach to construction described in the Senate report is not required for that

project.

The conferees recognize the need to widen the Port of Freeport, Texas, navigation channel at the intersection of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway and the bend located in the inner harbor in order to complete the channel deepening project. The conferees are also aware that during the period of construction, approximately \$16,000,000 appropriated for the project was reprogrammed by the Corps of Engineers to other projects. Therefore, the conferees would not object to the Corps of Engineers' reprogramming of available funds back to the Freeport Harbor project to complete this important work.

The conference agreement includes \$32,650,000 for the section 205 program as proposed by the Senate. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to undertake the projects described in the House and Senate reports. The conference agreement includes \$3,916,000 for the Muscle Shoals, Alabama, project,

\$2,950,000 for the St. Peters Old Town Levee, Missouri, project, and \$3,370,000 for the Cedar River at Renton, Washington, project. In addition, the conferees have learned of the harmful effects of local flooding along St. Asaph's Creek in Stanford, Kentucky, and along Hanging Fork Creek in Hustonville, Kentucky, and direct the Corps of Engineers to conduct a study to determine causes and possible remedies to this condition.

The conference agreement includes \$9,500,000 for the section 14 program as proposed by the House. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to undertake the projects described in the House and Senate reports. The conference agreement includes \$395,000 for the Washington-on-the-Brazos, Texas, project

as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes \$5,800,000 for the section 103 program as proposed by the House. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to undertake the projects described in the House and Senate reports. The amount provided for the Lummi Shore Road, Washington, project is \$1,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$11,632,000 for the section 107 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to undertake the projects described in the House and Senate reports. In addition, within available funds, \$100,000 is provided to initiate a feasibility study for the Tennessee River in Bridgeport, Jackson County, Alabama.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to undertake the Walker River Basin, Nevada, project under the section 208 pro-

gram as described in the House report.

The conference agreement includes \$17,000,000 for the section 1135 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to undertake the projects described in the House and Senate reports except the Bernado Waterfowl Management Area project in New Mexico. The conferees understand that the local sponsor for that project no longer wishes to participate in the project and,

therefore, funding is not needed.

The conference agreement includes a total of \$41,426,000 for the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project. In addition to the amounts provided in the budget request, the conference agreement includes: \$18,000,000 for the Harlan, Kentucky, element; \$4,050,000 for the Williamsburg, Kentucky, element; \$2,500,000 for the Middlesboro, Kentucky, element; \$2,000,000 for the Pike County, Kentucky, element; \$350,000 for the Marin County, Kentucky, element; \$300,000 for the Town of Martin, Kentucky, element; \$3,500,000 for the Upper Mingo County, West Virginia, element; \$4,000,000 for the Lower Mingo (Kermit), West Virginia, element; \$1,300,000 for the Hatfield Bottom, West Virginia, element; and \$105,000 for the Lower Mingo, West Virginia, to carry out the work described in the House and Senate reports. In addition, the conference agreement deletes \$1,600,000 requested by the Administration for detailed project reports.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas, \$3,000,000; San Timoteo

Creek, California, \$7,000,000; Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$7,000,000; Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, \$2,200,000; Harlan, Kentucky, \$18,000,000; Martin County, Kentucky, \$350,000; Middlesboro, Kentucky, \$2,500,000; Pike County, Kentucky, \$2,000,000; Town of Martin, Kentucky, \$300,000; Williamsburg, Kentucky, \$4,050,000; Salyersville, Kentucky, \$3,000,000; Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity, Louisiana, \$17,025,000; Lake Pontchartrain (Jefferson Parish) Stormwater Discharge, Louisiana, \$4,750,000; Red River below Denison Dam Levee and Bank Stabilization, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Texas, \$100,000; Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Louisiana, \$3,400,000; Glen Foerd, Pennsylvania, \$800,000; South Central Pennsylvania Environmental Restoration Infrastructure and Resource Protection Development Pilot Program, Pennsylvania, \$7,000,000; Seekonk River, Rhode Island, \$650,000; Wallisville Lake, Texas, \$7,500,000; Richmond Filtration Plant, Virginia, \$3,500,000; Virginia Beach, Virginia, \$8,000,000; Hatfield Bottom, West Virginia, \$1,300,000; Lower Mingo (Kermit), West Virginia, \$4,000,000; Lower Mingo Tributaries Supplement, West Virginia, \$105,000; and Upper Mingo County, West Virginia, \$3,500,000.

The funds provided for the Red River Emergency Bank Protection project in Arkansas are to be used for construction of the Hurricane revetment. Of the funds provided for the Red River Emergency Bank Protection project in Louisiana, \$3,000,000 is for design and construction of the Cat Island revetment and \$400,000 is for the sediment transport study described in the Senate report.

The conference agreement includes language in the bill directing the Secretary of the Army to: use \$3,000,000 of the funds provided for the Red River Waterway, Mississippi River to Shreveport, Louisiana, project to construct a regional visitor center in the vicinity of Shreveport, Louisiana; use \$1,000,000 of the funds provided for the Red River Waterway, Mississippi River to Shreveport, Louisiana, project for partial reimbursement of costs associated with relocation and modification of the Louisiana and Arkansas Railway Bridge at Alexandria, Louisiana; use \$1,000,000 of the funds appropriated in Public Law 104–46 for construction of the Ohio River Flood Protection, Indiana, project; provide signs to direct the public to facilities associated with the Saylorville Lake, Iowa, project and the wildlife refuge in Jasper and Marion Counties in Iowa as described in the House report; and use \$500,000 of the funds appropriated in Public Law 103–126 to begin implementation of the Passaic River Preservation of Natural Storage Areas separable element of the Passaic River Flood Reduction, New Jersey, project. In addition, the conference agreement includes language directing the Secretary of the Army to initiate construction of the Joseph G. Minish Historic Waterfront Park, New Jersey, project using funds appropriated in Public Law 103–126. The bill also includes language naming the bike trail associated with the Saylorville Lake, Iowa, project as the Neal Smith Bike Trail and centers in Jasper and Marion Counties as the Neal Smith Prairie Wildlife Learning Cen-

The conference agreement includes language in the bill which authorizes and directs the Secretary of the Army to initiate construction of the following projects in the amounts specified: Hum-

boldt Harbor, California, \$2,500,000; San Lorenzo River, California, \$200,000; Faulkner's Ísland, Connecticut, \$1,500,000; Chicago Shoreline, Illinois, \$8,000,000; Pond Creek, Jefferson City, Kentucky, \$1,500,000; Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, \$4,500,000; Wood River, Grand Isle, Nebraska, \$1,000,000; New York City Watershed, New York, \$1,000,000; Duck Creek, Cincinnati. Ohio. \$466,000; Saw Mill Run, Pennsylvania, \$500,000; West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flooding, Pennsylvania and West Virginia, \$1,000,000; San Juan Harbor, Puerto Rico, \$800,000; Allendale Dam, Rhode Island, \$195,000; and Upper Jordan River, Utah, \$500,000. The funds provided for the West Virginia and Pennsylvania project are for work as described in section 583 of S. 640 as passed by the House and shall be used for the following flood control projects: Huntingdon County Orbisonia/Rock Hill Furnace, Pennsylvania, Black Log Creek (\$150,000); Huntingdon County Coalmont Borough, Coal Bank Run (\$75,000); Huntingdon County Carbon Township, Shoups Run (\$75,000); Blair County Logan Township (\$500,000); and Blair County Altoona, Pennsylvania (\$200,000). The funds provided for the New York City Watershed project are for work as described in section 558 of S. 640 as passed by the House.

The conference agreement deletes funds earmarked in the House bill for the Ohio River Flood Protection, Indiana, project and deletes funds earmarked in the Senate bill for the Red River Chloride Control, Texas, project.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill earmarking funds for the following projects: Larsen Bay Harbor, Alaska; Ouzinkie Harbor, Alaska; Valdez Harbor, Intertidal Water Retention, Alaska; Kake Harbor, Alaska; Panama City Beaches, Florida; Boston Harbor, Massachusetts; Poplar Island, Maryland; Ouachita River Levees, Louisiana; and Mill Creek, Ohio. Funding for these projects has been provided in the overall amount appropriated for Construction, General. The conference agreement also deletes language contained in the Senate bill for the Helena and Vicinity, Arkansas, project. Funding for that project has been provided in the Mississippi River and Tributaries account.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

The conference agreement appropriates \$310,374,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries instead of \$302,990,000 as proposed by the House and \$312,513,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes an additional \$2,860,000 for work to bring Mississippi River levees up to grade. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to undertake additional work in Louisiana and Mississippi as described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes an additional \$3,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers to undertake additional work on the Big Sunflower River in Yazoo Basin in Mississippi, including Black

Bayou Item 2, Black Bayou Item 3, and the purchase of mitigation lands.

The conferees are aware of a sedimentation problem in Union County, Mississippi, resulting from recurring flooding of the Little Tallahatchie River in the vicinity of New Albany, Mississippi. The Corps of Engineers shall provide the Committee on Appropriations with a report, not later than April 1, 1997, which provides details on: a) the nature of the problem; b) options to solve the flooding problem, along with a time line and associated costs for each option; and c) statutory authority for the Corps of Engineers to do the work necessary to resolve the problem.

The conferees direct the Army Corps of Engineers to submit a report to the Congress, by January 31, 1997, on the status of the Bonnet Carre' Freshwater Diversion Project. The conferees further direct the Corps to provide its assessment of whether the project, as currently formulated, would achieve its goals, and to provide recommendations of the Corps as to future program options and potential enhancement which would achieve these goals in the most

timely and cost effective manner.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate that directs the President of the Mississippi River Commission to use the variable cost recovery rate set forth in OMB Circular A–126 for use of the Commission aircraft.

In addition, the conference agreement includes language directing the Secretary of the Army to use additional funds appropriated in this Act or previously appropriated funds to complete the

Hickman Bluff, Kentucky, project.

The conferees have provided \$965,000 to continue the Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, feasibility study. The conferees recommended that the Corps of Engineers use an appropriate amount of the funds provided to prepare a report on the feasibility of expending the construction of a lock structure in the Houma Navigation Canal as an independent feature of this study authority

The conferees are concerned about the abnormal annual flooding that occurs to industries and businesses along the waterfront areas of Morgan City and Berwick, Louisiana. The conferees understand that a means to solve the problem is pending authorization. This plan includes provisions for temporary flood proofing and for the study of a long-term solution including the relocation of riverside industries to a safe non-flood area in the vicinity. The Corps of Engineers should proceed immediately to construction upon passage of the authorization of this project with funds available to the Mississippi River and Tributaries project.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,697,015,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General, instead of \$1,701,180,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,688,358,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are concerned about the Administration's plans to stop requesting funds for the maintenance of a smaller navigation projects beginning in fiscal year 1998. Failure to adequately maintain those projects will cause economic hardship for many communities throughout the nation and result in hazardous navigation conditions that could directly lead to the loss of life and property. The conferees expect the Administration to continue to

request adequate funds for maintenance of these projects.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to use funds appropriated in this Act to conduct and continue their participation in the comprehensive water resources study of the Alabama-Coosa-Tallapoosa and Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint River Basins with the states of Alabama, Florida, and Georgia, as specified in the Memorandum of Agreement dated January 3, 1992, as supplemental or amended, between the parties (the states of Alabama, Georgia, Florida, and the Army Corps of Engineers), through December 31, 1997 or the completion of the Comprehensive Study. Further funding contributions made by the states up to and including fiscal year 1996 will be considered in any additional funding requirement for contract studies or elements thereof.

The conferees have provided an additional \$550,000 for the Corps of Engineers to continue repairs to the damaged east and west jetties and to construct a concrete cap on the east jetty at

Newport Bay Harbor in California.

The Secretary of the Army is encouraged to conduct a study assessment and report to the Congress no later than one year from the date of enactment of this Act on the need and suitability to modify the Local Cooperation Agreement under which the Port of Santa Cruz now performs the Federal operations and maintenance mission at Santa Cruz Harbor in California. The study will particularly examine the need for an inflationary and cost of living increase adjustment that was not specified in the original agreement.

Of the funds provided for the Sepulveda Dam, California, project, it is the conferees' intent that a significant portion shall be

used for environmental restoration and wildlife habitat.

The conference agreement includes \$8,000,000 for the New York Harbor, New York project. The funds provided above the budget request are to be used to perform remaining dredged material management plan study activities and to implement short term disposal alternatives which have been determined to be feasible and quickly implementable and to investigate methods to reduce sediment contamination within the harbor.

The conferees agree that the Corps of Engineers may use non-traditional means for erosion control on the Missouri River below

the Fort Peck Dam in Montana to the North Dakota border.

The conference agreement includes \$7,552,000 for the Manteo (Shallowbag Bay), North Carolina, project to be used for additional maintenance dredging and monitoring of the terminal groin constructed at Oregon Inlet.

The conference agreement includes language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania, \$4,190,000; and Cooper Lake and

Channels, Texas, \$2,601,000.

Language has been included in the bill which directs the Secretary of the Army to: use \$1,000,000 of the funds provided in the bill to design and construct a landing at Guntersville, Alabama; design and implement an early flood warning system for the Greenbrier and Cheat River Basins in West Virginia; maintain a

minimum conservation pool of 475.5 feet at Wister Lake in Oklahoma; and use \$600,000 to perform maintenance dredging of the Cocheco River, New Hampshire, project. Language has also been included in the bill which provides that no funds available to the Corps of Engineers shall be used to acquire land in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in Senate bill earmarking funds for the Compton Creek Channel, California, project and the Buford-Trenton Irrigation District erosion control project in North Dakota. Funding for these projects has been included in the overall amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$101,000,000 for the Regulatory Program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees agree that the Corps of Engineers should seek ways to implement the proposed administrative appeals process within the resources provided.

FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$10,000,000 for Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies as proposed by the House and the Senate. In addition, the conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Secretary of the Army to use up to \$8,000,000 of the funds appropriated in this Act and in Public Law 104–134 to rehabilitate non-Federal flood control levees along the Puyallup and Carbon Rivers in Pierce County, Washington.

OIL SPILL RESEARCH

The conference agreement provides no funds for the Oil Spill Research program.

GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$149,000,000 for General Expenses instead of \$145,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$153,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill which would have prohibited the Secretary of the Army from obligating funds for the closure of the Pacific Ocean Division.

The conferees have, however, included language in the bill which directs the Secretary of the Army to begin implementing a plan to reduce the number of division offices to no more than eight and no less than six on April 1, 1997, and which provides authority for the Corps of Engineers to transfer up to \$1,500,000 into this account from other accounts in this Title to investigate impacts in the delay in implementation of the division closure plan.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The conference agreement, in Section 101, includes language which provides that the Secretary of the Army, in fiscal year 1997, shall advertise for competitive bid at least 8,500,000 cubic yards of the hopper dredge volumes accomplished with Government-owned dredges in fiscal year 1992 instead of 10,000,000 cubic yards as proposed by the House and 7,500,000 cubic yards as proposed by the Senate. During the period in which any of the Federal hopper dredges are placed in the reserve fleet or on standby status, or out of service for lengthy repair or rehabilitation, reallocating the entire 8,500,000 cubic yards among the remaining Federal dredges would require further reduction in their days of service, thus making their operation more costly and less competitive. Therefore, if any of the Federal hopper dredges is removed from service for repair or rehabilitation or placed in the reserve fleet or on standby status and is prevented from accomplishing the level of work it has carried out during the past three fiscal years, the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to reduce the 8,500,000 cubic yards by the share allocated to that dredge over the past three fiscal years which has been put out for bid to the private sector.

The conference agreement, in Section 102, includes language prohibiting the use of funds available to the Corps of Engineers to study, design, or undertake improvements or major repair to the hopper dredge *McFarland*, except for normal maintenance and repair necessary to maintain the vessel in its current operational condition. This language is identical to language contained in the fiscal year 1996 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act. The House bill contained a similar provision, while the Senate bill did not address the issue.

The conference agreement, in Section 103, includes language proposed by the Senate which modifies the authorization for the Moorfield, West Virginia, project by increasing the project's estimated cost.

The conference agreement, in Section 104, includes language proposed by the Senate which modifies the authorization for the Grays Landing Lock and Dam, Monongahela River, Pennsylvania, project by increasing the project's estimated cost.

The conference agreement, in Section 105, includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that flood control measures implemented under the authority of Section 202(a) of Public Law 96–367 shall prevent future losses that would occur from a flood equal in magnitude to the flood of April 1977 or the 100-year frequency event, whichever is greater. The Senate language has been amended to clarify that it applies to nonstructural flood control measures.

The conference agreement, in Section 106, includes language proposed by the Senate which will prevent the termination of contracts or the delay of scheduled work at specifically funded ongoing construction projects because of insufficient funding. When exercising this authority, the Secretary of the Army should be guided by the direction contained in the Senate report.

The conference agreement, in Section 107, includes language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to complete the Charleston Riverfront (Haddad) Park, West Virginia, project.

The conference agreement, in Section 108, includes language proposed by the Senate which modifies the authorization for the Arkansas City, Kansas, project by increasing the project's estimated cost with an amendment to reflect the current Federal and non-Federal costs.

The conference agreement, in Section 109, includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that funds appropriated in the fiscal year 1993 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act for the Elk Creek Dam, Oregon, project are available to plan and implement long term management measures at Elk Creek Dam to maintain the project in an uncompleted state and to take necessary steps to provide fish passage through the project.

The conference agreement, in section 110, includes language authorizing and directing the Secretary of the Army to modify the Hudson River, New York, project, to provide for a 300-foot wide channel to a depth of 24 feet from the existing Federal channel in the vicinity of Hudson City Light to the north dock at Union

Street, Athens, New York.

The conference agreement, in section 111, includes a provision amending language contained in the fiscal year 1996 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act regarding the conveyance of land to the City of Prestonsburg, Kentucky.

The conference agreement, in section 112, includes language modifying the authorization for the project to perform emergency

gate construction at Abiquiu Dam in New Mexico.

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	ALABAMA			-	
(X	ALABAMA RIVER BELOW CLAIBORNE LOCK AND DAM, AL	250,000	! !	100	; i
Z	DOG RIVER, AL VILLAGE CREEK, JEFFERSON CNTY (BIRMINGHAM WATERSHEDS).	100,000		900,00	
	ALASKA				
(FDP)	ANIAK, AK.	113,000	1 1	113,000	1
Ê	CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK.		61,000	223,000	61,000
S S	COOK INLET, AK	1.40	150,000	100,000	150,000
E	KENAI RIVER, AK	000,00	! !	100,000	• i
ΞΞ	KUSKOKWIM RIVER, AK	238,000 160.000	* 1	238,000	! !
Ê	ARB.	00,00	!	000,000	
3	SITKA LIGHTERING FACILITY AK	20,00	! !	90,00	
33	ST PAUL HARBOR, AKST PAUL HARBOR AK	15,000	150	15,000	100
Ê	WRANGELL HARBOR, AK	200,000	00,00	200,000	000,000
	ARIZONA				
(G () ()	ALAMO LAKE, AZ. GILDARIES, N SCOTTSDALE DRAINAGE AREA,	267,000	11	257,000	! !
	GILA RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, SANTA CRUZ RIVER BASIN, AZGILA RIVER, TORTOLITA DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	290,000 200,000	***	290,000	
(FDP)	RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ. RIO SALADO WATERSHED ECOSYSTEM, AZ.	500,000 500,000		500,000 500,000	
(FC)	TRES RIOS, AZTUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	! !	500,000	200,000	500,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANN	ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	ARKANSAS				
(FDP) (FDP)	MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR	265,000 250,000		265,000	
	CALIFORNIA			•	
(FC)	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA	-	3.500.000	!	3 500 000
(100)	BOLINAS LAGOON, CA	1		100,000	200,000
SPE)	CENIKAL BASIN GROUNDWATER PROJECT, CA	363,000	!	363,000	1
	CITY OF HENTINGTON DEADL OF		!	300,000	1
ĝ	CRESCENT CITY HARBOR CA		100	100,000	
	DRY CREEK (MIDDLETOWN), CA		000,064	100	495,000
(E)	IMPERIAL COUNTY WATERSHED STUDY, CA.	380 000		000,000	!
	KAWEAH RIVER, CA.	000 (685	100	389,000	
	LACDA WATER CONS & SUP (HANSEN & LOPEZ DAMS), CA	370.000	000,000	000 075	1,000,000
	LACDA WATER CONS & SUP (WHITTIER NARROWS & SANTA FE DAM	430,000	-	430,000	! !
	MARINO COASTAL AREA, CA	-	!	200,000	¦
2	MADINA DEL DEV AND DALLONA DELEMENTE CREEK, CA	1	ŀ		250 000
	MOLAVE RIVER FORKS DAM CA	200,000	!	200,000	200
(E)	N CA STREAMS CALL DEFEN FINATOCHMENTAL DISTRICTS		!	100,000	1
	N CA STREAMS, COLLISA RASIN DRAINAGE EXCEPTION CA	250,000		250,000	!
(E)	N CA STREAMS, SACRAMENTO RIVER FISH MIGRATION CA	300	}	100,000	!
	STREAMS,	201		300,000	!
(EC)	SIKEAMS, VACAVILI	1	1		
(FDP)	≥}	1	150,000		150.000
(FDP)	=	30,000	-	30,000	
, S	NAPA RIVER CA	120,000	}	120,000	-
<u>(</u>	NAPA RIVER, SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	277 000	700,000	100	700,000
(E)	NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA.	200,000	1	200,000	! !
	MOVO HADDOD DDEAKWATED OA	!	!		180 000
(E)	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA STREAMS, MIDDLE CREEK, CA.	410		16	300,000
		2000	i	410.000	¦

194,000	1111111111	250,000	1,210,000
252,000 250,000 200,000 350,000 386,000		550,000 540,000 100,000 365,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 579,000 252,000 100,000 100,000 100,000	45,000 2857,000 288,000
194,000		260,000	1,210,000
252,000 250,000 200,000 360,000 386,000	600,000 150,000 128,000 1,000,000	550,000 540,000 360,000 365,000 350,000 579,000 579,000 579,000 430,000	45,000 557,000 288,000
		\$~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	DELAWARE C&D CANAL - BALTIMORE HBR CONN CHANNELS, DE & MD (DEEP CANAL - BALTIMORE HBR CONN CHANNELS, DE & MD (DEEP DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE & NJ. DELAWARE COAST FROM CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENNICK ISLAND, D DELAWARE RIVER MAIN CHANNEL DEEPENING, DE, NJ & PA FLORIDA BIG BEND CHANNEL
SSSSE G	(SPE) (E) (FDP) (FDP)	(467.) (407.) (407.) (407.) (407.) (407.) (407.) (407.) (407.) (407.) (407.)	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

ALLOWANCE PLANNING	\$00,000 \$200,000 \$00,000 \$00,000 \$00,000	400,000	365,000	
CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANN	246,000 260,000 100,000 100,000 246,000 260,000 112,000 100,000	325,000 250,000 100,000 400,000 100,000	350,000 120,000 230,000	175,000
ESTIMATES PLANNING	200,000 200,000 200,000 400,000	400,000	365,000	}
BUDGET INVESTIGATIONS	39,000 246,000 26,000 112,000	325,000 250,000 260,000 400,000	350,000 120,000 230,000	175,000
OF PROJECT TITLE	BREVARD COUNTY, FL CHICOPIT BAY, FL CHICOPIT BAY, FL CHICOPIT BAY, FL CHICLED BAY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL INDO KEY SARASOTA COUNTY, FL INDO KEY SARASOTA COUNTY, FL INDO KEY SARASOTA COUNTY, FL NASSAU COUNTY, FL PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL ST JOHNS RIVER, FL ST LUCIE INLET, FL	ATLANTA WATERSHED, GA. BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA. FLINT RIVER BASIN STUDY GA. LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER, GA. & SC. SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA. SAVANNAH/CHATHAM COUNTY REGIONAL FLOOD CONTROL, GA.	BARBERS POINT HARBOR WO KIKIAOLA SMALL BOAT HAR MAUI SECOND HARBOR, MAU WAILUPE STREAM FLOOD CO	(FDP) ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTIES, IL
TYPE OF PROJECT	<u> </u>	SS SS	ESSE ESSE ESSE ESSE ESSE ESSE ESSE ESS	(F0P)

350,000	475,000 100,000 200,000 150,000 200,000	I	26,000	3,000,000
200,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000	100,000	235,000	129,000 25,000 145,000 302,000 367,000 79,000	180,000 149,000 100,000 315,000 80,000
100,000	475,000	1	25,000	3,000,000
200,000	105,000	235,000	129,000 25,000 145,000 302,000 367,000 159,000	380,000 149,000 315,000 80,000
	INDIANAPOLIS, WHITE RIVER (NORTH), IN. LAKE GEORGE, HOBART, IN. LITLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN (CADY WARSH DITCH), IN. NEW HARMONY, IN. OHIO RIVER GREENWAY, IN. TIPPECANOE RIVER, IN. INWABASH RIVER BASIN (MIDDLE REACHES), IN & IL.) CORALVILLE LAKE, IAKANSAS	GRAND (NEOSHO) RIVER, KS. KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS. MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, KS. MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, KS. TOPEKA, KS. TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO. TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO. WILSON LAKE, KS.	GREEN AND BARREN RIVER KENTUCKY LOCK, KY LEXINGTON, FAYETTE COU LOWER CUMBERLAND RIVER METROPOLITAN CINCINNAT METROPOLITAN LOUISVILL METROPOLITAN LOUISVILL METROPOLITAN LOUISVILL
(FC) (RCP)	(FC)	(RCP)	(RCP) (RCP) (RCP) (RCP)	(

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

TYPE OF PROJECT	F PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ALLOWANCE PLANNING
(FDP) (N)	METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK, KY	262,000 7,719,000		100,000 262,000 7,719,000 100,000	
	LOUISIANA				
(FDP)	AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LA			200,000	
(FDP)	BAYOU TIGRE, ERATH, LA	100,000	!	000	-
<u> </u>	COMITE RIVER, LA.	000,000	200,000	200,000	200,000
ÛŹ	EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LAINTRACOASTAL WATERWAY LOCKS. LA	600,000	400,000	600,000	400,000
(F0P)	JEFFERSON PARISH, LA.	593,000	1	593,000	!
Ž Ž	MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS, LA	278,000		278,000	; ;
(FDP)	ORLEANS PARISH, LA	300,000	:	300,000	1
(FDP)	SABINE DIVERSION CANAL, LAST TAMMANY PARISH LA	200 000	! !	200,000	
<u>6</u>	WEST BANK - EAST OF HARVEY CANAL, LA.		50,000		50,000
(FDP)	WEST SHORE - LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA	129,000	1	129,000	
	MARYLAND				
(FDP)	ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC	700,000		700,000	1
ωź	ANACOSTIA	442,000	100	442,000	1000
ĒĒ	BALTIMORE HARBOR ANCHORAGES & CHANNELS, MU	191.000	338,000	191,000	338,000
(FDP)	BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN WATER RESOURCES STUDY	296,000	-	296,000	1
(SPE)	CHESAPEAKE BAY TIME VARIABLE MODEL, MD, VA, PA & DC	522,000	1000	522,000	1 000
(g)	JENNINGS R	34.000	90,76	34.000	9 !
E	OCEAN CITY, MD AND VICINITY	740,000		740,000	150,000
(FDP)	PATUXENT RIVER WATER RESOURCES, MD.	200,000	!	200,000	!
	SMITH ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MU	300,000	1	300,000	1

1	1	
١		
Ì		
ı	1	
(1	
:		
ō	3	
ζ	į	
Š	Š	
200		
2000		
CACCAM	Ċ	

000,06	250,000	190,000	2,790,000	450,000		
300,000	-	26,000		285,000 318,000 250,000 50,000 150,000 65,000	175,000 420,000	210,000 250,000 250,000 100,000 100,000 300,000 100,000
000'06	1	190,000	2,790,000	450,000		
300,000	ļ	56,000		285,000 318,000 50,000 50,000 55,000	175,000 420,000	210,000 250,000 250,000 100,000 650,000
BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	SAULT STE MARIE, MI	CROOKSTON, MN	MISSISSIPPI JACKSON METROPOLITAN AREA, MS	BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO. P) CHESTERFIELD, MO. P) FABIUS RIVER LEVEE AND DRAINAGE DISTRICT, MO. P) FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MO. P) LOWER RIVER DES PERES, MO. SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO. ST. LOUIS HARBOR, MO AND IL. NEBRASKA	ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOL LOWER PLATTE RIVER & T	LOWER LAS VEGAS WASH WETLANDS, NV LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, PAIUTE, NV LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, WASHOE COUNTY, NV LOWER TAS VEGAS, CHANNEL "A" NV TRUCKEE MEADOWS, RENO, NV TAHOE BASIN, NV AND CA TAHOE BASIN, NV NO WALKER RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, NV
Q Q		(FC) (FDP)	(FC)	(FDP) (FDP) (FDP) (FDP) (FDP) (FDP)	(FDP)	

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

E ALLOWANCE IS PLANNING	1	200,000	2,781,000		207,000		1,200,000	742,000			11
CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNI	100,000	200,000 231,000 250,000	100,000 725,000 400,000 45,000		150,000		75,000	375,000 600,000 200,000	250,000 120,000 100,000	200,000 209,000 100,000	100,000
F ESTIMATES PLANNING	11		2,781,000		207,000		1,200,000	742,000	111		
BUDGET	11	160,000	25,000 590,000 400,000 45,000		150,000		75,000	375,000 600,000 	120,000	209,000	25,000
PROJECT TITLE	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT BAY ESTUARY AND WATERSHED, NJBARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET. NJ.	BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ. GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSENDS INLET, NJ. LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS - CAPE MAY POINT, NJ. MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.	NEW JERSEY INTERCOASTAL WATERMAY, NJ. RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY (CLIFFWOOD BEACH), NJ. RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ. RARITAN BIYER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ. SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ. TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ.	NEW MEXICO	LAS CRUCES, EL PASO AND VICINITY, NM	NEW YORK	ABDISON, NY. ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL - HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL, NY ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK, NY. CHEMUNG RIVER BASIN, NY.	JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, NY. LONG BEACH ISLAND, NY. NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ. NARTH GLORE OF LONG STATEMENT AND PLUMB BEACH, NY.	ONONDAGA LAKE, NY. SOUTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY. SOUTH SHORE OF CATALTH TELAND, NY.	SUSQUEHANNE RIVER BASIN WITER MANAGEMENT, NY, PA & MD. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, NY & PA.	OFFER DELAWARE KIVEK WATERSHED, NYYONKERS SHORELINE, NY
TYPE OF PROJECT		(SP)	(SP) (SP) (FC) (SP) (SP)		(FC) (SPE)			S B S	(SPE)	(FDP)	(SP)

1,000,000	11	11	1,000,000	600,000	600,000 329,000 150,000
400,000	1,100,000	100,000	600,000 179,000 230,000 400,000	100,000 600,000 600,000 100,000 73,000 175,000 175,000	111
5007,000			1,000,000		600,000 329,000 150,000
400,000	1,100,000	; ;	600,000 179,000 230,000 400,000	50,000 600,000 73,000 175,000	111
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC	DEVILS LAKE, NDGRAND FORKS, ND	OHIO HOCKING RIVER BASIN, OH	COLUMBIA RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL DEEPENING, OR & WA. MIDDLE FORK WILLAMETTE FISHERY RESTORATION, OR. WALLA WALLA RIVER WATERSHED, OR & WA. WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW, OR. PENNSYLVANIA	BEAVER RIVER, PA CONEMALGH RVR BASIN, JUNIATA RIVER BASIN, LACKAMANNA RIVER, (G LOWER WEST BRANCH SU MILTON, PA MUSSERS DAM, MIDDLE SCHYULKILL RIVER BAS TIOGA RIVER WATERSHE YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER L	RIO GUANAJIBO, PR
SSS S	(SPE) (FDP)		S.O.O.S.	(FDP) (FDP) (FDP) (FDP)	Ĉ. Ĉ.

NORTH CAROLINA

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

ALLOWANCE PLANNING		225,000	300,000	400,000 830,000 857,000 860,000
CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	100,000	100,000 207,000 254,000 100,000	100,000	520,000 100,000 50,000 1,200,000 100,000
ESTIMATES PLANNING	1	225,000		1,110,000 400,000 930,000 857,000 50,000 860,000
BUDGET INVESTIGATIONS	1	207,000	330,000	178,000 320,000 100,000 50,000 1,200,000
TYPE OF PROJECT TITLE PROJECT	RHODE ISLAND SOUTH COAST, HABITAT REST. AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, RI	(N) ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC. (N) CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (DEEPENING & WIDENING). (N) GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC. (N) SANTEE, COOPER, CONGARE RIVERS, SC. (N) YADKIN/PEE DEE RIVERS WATERSHED, SC. TENNESSEE	BLACK FOX, MURFREE AND OAKLAND SPRINGS, WETLANDS, TN. DUCK RIVER, TN. (FDP) EAST RIDGE, HAMILTON COUNTY, TN. (FDP) EMILY AVE & TIMOTHY ST., KNOXVILLE, TN. (FDP) METRO CENTER LEVEE, DAVIDSON COUNTY, TN. WING DEER PARK, BOONE LAKE, TN.	(FC) BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX (FC) BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX (N) CORPUS ALONG U.SMEXICO BORDER, TX & AZ (N) CORPUS CRREKI SHIP CHANNEL, TX (FC) CYPRESS CREEK, HOUSTON, TX (FC) CYPRESS VALLEY WATERSHED, TX (FC) DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER TX (N) GIWW - ARANSAS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, TX (N) GIWW - HIGH ISLAND TO PORT O'CONNOR (RDP) GIWW - HIGH ISLAND TO CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX (FC) GREENS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX

1,900,000 150,000 520,000 110,000 110,000 110,000	11	100,000	375,000		l
100,000	320,000 100,000	!	301,000 100,000 100,000 226,000 100,000	100,000 300,000 150,000 320,000 330,000 350,000 31,000	350,000
520,000		100,000	344,000		1
300,000	320,000	ł	301,000 620,000 226,000	300,000 150,000 320,000 330,000 350,000	350,000
N) HOUSTON – GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX. LOWER RIO GRANDE BASIN, TX. N) NECHES RIVER & TRIBUTARIES SALTWATER BARRIER, TX. FDP) NORTHWERST EL PASO, TX. FDP) PECAN BAYOU, BROWNWOOD, TX. E) PLAINVIEW, BRAZOS RIVER BASIN, TX. FC) SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX. UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX.	PROVO AND VICINITY, UT UPPER JORDAN RIVER RESTORATION, UT VIRGIN ISLANDS	CROWN BAY CHANNEL, VIVIGINIA	AIWW BRIDGE AT GREAT BRIDGE, VA. CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE, POQUOSON, VA ELIZABETH RIVER BASIN, VA ELIZABETH RIVER BASIN, VA JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC LOWER POTOWAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, VA & MD NORSEMOND RIVER BASIN, SUFFOLK, VA NORFOLK VIRGALA, VICINITY OF WILLOUGHBY SPIT, VA POWELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA) SANDBRIDGE, VIRGINIA BEACH, VA WASHINGTON	BLAIR WATERWAY, POR CHIEF JOSEPH POOL R DUWAMISH AND GREEN HOWARD HANSON DAW, LAKE WASHINGTON SHI PUGET SOUND COMFINE SKAGIT RIVER, WA	WEST VIRGINIA CHEAT R B, N BRANCH, LICK RUN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATIO
S SEEEN	(FDP) (E)	Ê	(N) (SP) (RCP) (SPE)	(SPE) (E) (RCP) (RCP) (FDP) (E)	(E)

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

CROP OWNERHER RIVER BASIN W A 400,000 1,850,00	ALLOWANCE PLANNING	366,000	500,000	1	1	44. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44. 44.	
GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV. KANNAHA RIVER NAVIGATION, WV KANNAHA RIVER NAVIGATION, WV KANNAHE RIOKS AND DAM, WV MARRIER CHOKS AND DAM, WV MARRIER CONTY MANONGAHELA RIVER MANONGAHELA RIVER MISCORSIN (PHILIPPDI), WV TYGART RIVER BASIN (PHILIPPDI), WV TYGART RIVER BASIN (PHILIPPDI), WV TYGART RIVER BASIN (PHILIPPDI), WV TYGART VALLEY R B, GRASSY RUN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION MISCELLANEOUS AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT AUTOMATED INFORMATION OF PORTS AND HARBORS BOOGNOOD AND STATION OF PORTS AND HARBORS COORDINATIONAL WATER STUDIES HANDOAL DESCRIPTIONAL WATER STUDIES HANDOR AND DEVELOPMENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS TOTAL GE		800,000 400,000	100,000 100,000 100,000 350,000	187,000	200,000	6.280,000 6.280,000 8.260,000 8.000,000 500,000 27.000,000 770,000 108.827,000	
GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV. LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, WV. LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, WV. MANDAGAELEA RIVER WY. MONONGAHELA RIVER WY. MISCONSIN FESTORATION, WY. MYOMING JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY. MYOMING MISCELLANEOUS AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION FLOOD DAMAGE DATA. FLOOD DAMAGE WAIT SERVICES FLOOD DAMAGE WAIT SERVICES FLOOD DAMAGE WAIT SERVICES FLOOD DAMAGE WAIT STUDIES INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES MATIONAL DERECAIN MATER STUDIES MATIONAL DERECAIN MATER STUDIES PRECIPITATION STUDIES MATIONAL DERECAIN MATER STUDIES PRECIPITATION STUDIES MATIONAL DERECAIN MATER STUDIES PRECIPITATION STUDIES MATIONAL WEATER STUDIES MATIONAL DERECAIN MATER STUDIES PRECIPITATION STUDIES MATIONAL DERECAIN MATER STUDIES PRECIPITATION STUDIES STERMATIONAL WATER STUDIES PRECIPITATION STUDIES TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS REBUTER SERVICES REBUTER SERVICES REBUTER SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS REBUTER SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS REBUTER SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS TOTAL GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	ESTIMATES PLANNING	366,000		8 8 8	1	• •	
	BUDGET		550, 350,	187,000	200,000	650,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 10,000,000 10,000,000 500,000 27,000,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000	
(E)		GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV. KANAWHA RIVER NAVIGATION, WV. LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, WV. MARMET LOCKS AND DAM, WV.	MONONGAHELA RIVER, WV MONONGAHELA RIVER (FAIRMONT), WV MONONH BRANCH POTOMAC RVK ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, WV TYGART RIVER BASIN (PHILIPPI), WV TYGART VALLEY R B, GRASSY RUN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATIO	FOX RIVER, WIWYOMING	JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY	MISCELLANEOUS AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION COORDINATION STUDIES WITH OTHER AGENCIES FLOOD DAMAGE DATA FLOOD DAMAGE DATA FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SENJCES. INTERNATIONAL WARNES STUDIES INTERNATIONAL WARNES STUDIES INTERNATIONAL WARNES STUDIES INTERNATIONAL WARNES STUDIES NATIONAL DEEDGING (ENTINEES STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURFEY) TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS. REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.	
	TYPE OF PROJECT	S S S S	(FDP) (E)	(RCP)	Œ		

TYPE OF	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	ALABAMA		
(N) (N) (N) (MP)	BAYOU LA BATRE, AL. BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, VICINITY OF JACKSO TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAW, AL & GA (MAJOR REHAB)	1,123,000 600,000 4,281,000 900,000	1,123,000 600,000 4,281,000 500,000
	ALASKA		
(FC) (N)	DILLINGHAM, AK (SHORELINE EROSION). BETHEL BANK STABILIZATION, AK	3,800,000 4,000,000	3,302,000 3,800,000 3,500,000
	ARIZONA		
(FC)	CLIFTON, AZ	204,000 4,406,000	204,000 4,406,000
	ARKANSAS		
(MP) (N) (N)	DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB) MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR. MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK & DAM, AR. RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR &LA	6,000,000 1,414,000 5,886,000	6,000,000 1,414,000 7,000,000 3,000,000
	CALIFORNIA		
(FC) (FC)	COYTE MADERA, CA. COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA. GUADALUPE RIVER, CA.	2,400,000 5,000,000	200,000 2,400,000 7,500,000 2,500,000 14,400,000 10,000,000 500,000
(FC)	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	14,400,000	14,400,000
(N) (FC)	LOWER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	50,000	500,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	800,000	4,200,000 800,000
(N) (N)	DAKLAND HARBOR, CA	4,306,000	4,306,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	6,100,000	8,000,000
(FC) (N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN COLOSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA	500,000	500,000
(FC)	SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	51,020,000	51,020,000
(FC)	SANTA PAULA CREEK, CASILVER STRAND SHORELINE, IMPERIAL BEACH, CA	4,200,000	4,200,000 400,000
(BE) (FC) (FC)	CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA CORTE MADERA, CA. COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA. GUADALUPE RIVER, CA. HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA. LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA. LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA. LOWER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA. MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA. MID-VALLE/YUBA CITY LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA. MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA. MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA. OAKLAND HARBOR, CA. RICHMOND HARBOR, CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA SAN LORENZO RIVER, CA. SANTA PAULA CREEK, CA. SANTA PAULA CREEK, CA. SILVER STRAND SHORELINE IMPERIAL BEACH, CA. SURFSIDE - SUNSET - NEWPORT BEACH, CA. SURFSIDE - SUNSET - NEWPORT BEACH, CA. WEST SACRAMENTO, CA.	5,604,000 300,000 5,700,000	4,200,000 1,500,000 4,306,000 4,000,000 8,000,000 2,000,000 200,000 500,000 4,200,000 4,200,000 5,604,000 5,900,000
	COLORADO		
(FC)	ALAMOSA, CO	100,000	100,000
	CONNECTICUT		
	FAULKNER'S ISLAND, CT		1,500,000
	DELAWARE		
(BE)	DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE	214,000	214,000
	FLORIDA		
/E2\	CANAVERAL HARBOR SAND BYPASS, FL	17 227 000	3,500,000
(FC)	DADE COUNTY, FL	2,100,000	3,500,000 17,237,000 2,100,000 580,000
(FC)	FT PIERCE BEACH, FL	580,000	3,000,000
(MP) (E)	JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL	3,000,000	3,000,000
(N) (BE)	MANATEE HARBOR, FL	2,800,000	1,200,000 2,800,000
(BE) (N)	MARTIN COUNTY, FL	109,000 500,000	109,000 3,000,000
(BE)	PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSEMENT)PANAMA CITY BEACHES, FL	1,919,000	4,000,000 400,000
(BE)	PINELLAS COUNTY, FL	2,800,000 109,000 500,000 1,919,000 5,865,000	3,000,000 1,400,000 3,000,000 1,200,000 2,800,000 1,000,000 4,000,000 4,000,000 7,500,000 300,000 900,000
	CANAVERAL HARBOR SAND BYPASS, FL. CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL. DADE COUNTY, FL. FOUR RIVER BASINS, FL. FT PIERCE BEACH, FL. JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL. LEE COUNTY, FL. MARTIN COUNTY, FL. MARTIN COUNTY, FL. MARTIN COUNTY, FL. MARTIN COUNTY, FL. PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSEMENT) PANAMA CITY BEACHES, FL. PINELLAS COUNTY, ST AUGUSTINE BEACH, FL. SARASOTA COUNTY, ST AUGUSTINE BEACH, FL. SARASOTA COUNTY, FL (VENICE SEGMENT)		900,000
	GEORGIA		
(MP) (MP) (MP)	HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB) RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB) TYBEE ISLAND, GA	8,300,000 1,500,000 4,900,000	8,300,000 1,500,000 4,900,000 2,500,000
	HAWAII		
(FC) (FC) (N) (N)	ALENAIO STREAM, HAWAII, HI	500,000 345,000 2,238,000 517,000	500,000 345,000 2,238,000 617,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	ILLINOIS		
(BE) (FC) (N) (N) (FC) (N) (FC) (N) (FC) (N)	CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL. EAST ST LOUIS IL. EAST ST LOUIS AND VICINITY (INTERIOR FLOOD CONTROL), I LOCK AND DAM 24, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH LOCK AND DAM 25, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH LOVES PARK, IL. MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO. O'HARE RESERVOIR IL. OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, IL & KY. REND LAKE, IL (DEF CORR). UPPER MISS RIVER SYSTEM ENV MCMIT PROG, IL, IA, MO, MN.	1,300,000 2,300,000 3,000,000 3,000,000 2,000,000 4,000,000 1,918,000 70,352,000 15,694,000	8,000,000 2,300,000 300,000 3,000,000 2,000,000 4,000,000 1,918,000 70,352,000 500,000
	INDIANA		
(N) (FC) (FC)	BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN (MAJOR REHAB) FORT WAYNE METROPOLITAN AREA, IN INDIANA SHORELINE EROSION, IN INDIANAPOLIS CENTRAL WATERFRONT, IN LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN	4,000,000 7,000,000 11,000,000	4,000,000 7,000,000 2,200,000 7,000,000 11,000,000
	IOWA		
(N) (N) (FC) (FC) (FC)	LOCK AND DAM 14, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB). MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION, IA, NE, K MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO. MUSCATINE ISLAND, IA. PERRY CREEK IA. WEST DES MOINES, DES MOINES, IA. KANSAS	2,800,000 1,600,000 400,000 500,000 5,363,000 2,814,000	2,800,000 3,000,000 650,000 500,000 5,363,000 2,814,000
(FC) (FC)	ARKANSAS CITY, KS	50,000 50,000	1,000,000 1,000,000
(MP) (FC) (N) (FC)	KENTUCKY BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN. DEWEY LAKE, KY (DAM SAFETY). MCALPINE LOCKS & DAMS, KY & IN. METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY. SALYERSVILLE, KY.	4,400,000 2,000,000 7,501,000 3,089,000	4,400,000 2,000,000 8,401,000 1,500,000 3,000,000
	LOUISIANA		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (N) (FC) (FC) (N) (FC) (FC)	ALOHA - RIGOLETTE, LA. GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY, LA. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN STORMMATER DISCHARGE, LA. LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA. (HURRICANE PROTECTION). MISSISSIPPI RIVER - GULF OUTLET, LA. MISSISSIPPI RIVER - GULF OUTLET, LA. MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, GULF TO BATON ROUGE, L NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE, LA. (HURRICANE PROTECTION). OUACHITA RIVER LEVEES, LA. RED RIVER BELOW DERISON DAM LEVEE/BANK STAB, LA, AR & TX RED RIVER WATERWAY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA, LA. WEST BANK - EAST OF HARVEY CANAL, LA. WEST WENK - EAST OF HARVEY CANAL, LA. WESTWEGO TO HARVEY CANAL, LA. (HURRICANE PROTECTION).	1,600,000 4,025,000 517,000 3,100,000 752,000 2,300,000 4,800,000 10,000,000 4,206,000	17,025,000 4,750,000 517,000 3,100,000 1,252,000 2,300,000
	MARYLAND		
(E) (E)	CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD POPLAR ISLAND, MD	206,000 22,000,000	9,500,000
(N) (FC) (FC) (FC)	BOSTON HARBOR, MA. HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA (MAJOR REHAB) ROUGHAND POINT, REVERE, MA. TOWN BROOK, QUINCY AND BRAINTREE, MA.	5,200,000 2,663,000 3,137,000	500,000 2,000,000 2,663,000 3,137,000
	MINNESOTA	1 800 000	1 609 000
(FC) (N) (FC)	CHASKA, MN. MARSHALL, MN PINE RIVER DAM, CROSS LAKE, MN (DAM SAFETY). ST. CROIX RIVER, STILLWATER, MN.	1,609,000 500,000 680,000	1,609,000 400,000 680,000 500,000
	MISSISSIPPI NATCHEZ BLUFF, MS		4,500,000
	MISSOURI		•
(FC) (FC) (FC) (N) (MP)	BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO	8,300,000 1,000,000 1,600,000 3,400,000 460,000	10,300,000 1,000,000 1,600,000 3,400,000 460,000

TYPE OF	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	NEBRASKA		
(FC)	MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD	100,000	100,000 1,000,000
	NEVADA		
(FC)	TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV	10,260,000	10,260,000
	NEW JERSEY		
(BE) (BE) (FC) (FC) (BE)	CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ. GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ. MOLLY ANN'S BROOK AT HALEDON, PROSPECT PARK AND PATERS RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ. SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.	1,965,000 380,000 8,150,000 250,000 24,118,000	1,965,000 380,000 8,150,000 250,000 24,118,000
	NEW MEXICO		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	ABIQUIU DAM EMERGENCY GATES, NM. ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM. ALAMOGOROD, NM. GALISTEO DAM, NM (DAM SAFETY) MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELE RIO GRANDE FLOODY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE. TWO RIVERS DAM, NM (DAM SAFETY)	1,000,000 300,000 100,000 150,000 3,700,000 100,000 250,000	1,000,000 1,000,000 100,000 150,000 3,700,000 100,000 250,000
	NEW YORK		
(BE) (BE) (N) (N)	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY, FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY. FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUR POINT, NY. KILL VAN KULL AND NEWARK BAY CHANNEL, NY & NJ. NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR COLLECTION AND REMOVAL OF DRIFT, NY &.	1,298,000 13,900,000 600,000 100,000	1,298,000 4,471,000 13,900,000 600,000 1,000,000 100,000
	NORTH CAROLINA		
(N) (BE)	AIWW - REPLACEMENT OF FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES, NC	6,400,000 6,533,000	6,400,000 6,533,000
	NORTH DAKOTA		
(MP) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REHAB)	337,000 450,000 1,450,000 1,200,000 500,000 1,700,000	337,000 450,000 1,450,000 1,200,000 500,000 1,700,000
	оніо		
(FC) (FC) (FC)	BEACH CITY LAKE, MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH (DAM SAFETY HOLES CREEK, WEST CARROLLTON, OH METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH MILL CREEK, OH WEST COLUMBUS, OH	220,000 592,000 466,000 11,400,000	220,000 592,000 466,000 500,000 11,400,000
(50)	OKLAHOMA		
(FC) (FC) (MP)	FRY CREEKS, BIXBY, OK	5,000,000 5,100,000 690,000	5,000,000 5,100,000 690,000
(MP)	OREGON		
(MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (N) (FC)	BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE I, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB) BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB) BONNEVILLE SECOND POWERHOUSE, OR & WA COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA ELK CREEK LAKE, OR.	500,000 6,600,000 600,000 4,300,000 4,900,000 500,000	500,000 6,600,000 600,000 4,300,000 4,900,000 500,000
	PENNSYLVANIA		
(N) (FC) (FC) (FC) (N) (BE) (FC)	GLEN FOERD, PA. GRAYS LANDING LOCK AND DAM, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA. JOHNSTOWN PA (MAJOR REHAB) LACKAWANNA RIVER, OLYPHANT PA. LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA. LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA. LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA. LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA. PRESQUE ISLE PENINSULA, PA (PERMANENT) SAW MILL RUN, PITTSBURGH, PA. SOUTH CENTRAL PA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, PA. WEST VIRGINIA & PENSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, PA & WV. WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING)	100,000 2,200,000 610,000 358,000 17,100,000 485,000 500,000	800,000 100,000 2,200,000 610,000 358,000 17,100,000 485,000 500,000 7,000,000 1,000,000
	RHODE ISLAND		
	ALLENDALE DAM, RIINDIA POINT RAILCOAD BRIDGE, SEEKONK RIVER, RI		195,000 650,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	PUERTO RICO		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (N)	ARECIBO RIVER, PR. PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR. RIO DE LA PLATA, PR. RIO GRANDE DE LOIZA, PR. RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR. SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR.	350,000 7,500,000 600,000 2,540,000 7,663,000	7,500,000 600,000 7,663,000 800,000
	SOUTH CAROLINA		
(BE)	MYRTLE BEACH, SC	13,000,000	13,000,000
(FC)		2 200 000	
(, 0)	TEXAS	1,200,000	
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	BEALS CREEK, BIG SPRING, TX. CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX. CLEAR CREEK, TX. EL PASO, TX. GIWM - SARGENT BEACH, TX. MCGRATH CREEK, WICHLTA FALLS, TX. RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX. SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX (DAM SAFETY) SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT TX. SAN SAYBURN DAM SAFETY) WACO LAKE, TX (DAM SAFETY) WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX. UTAH	1,396,000 9,550,000 1,700,000 8,200,000 18,300,000 900,000 1,200,000 1,200,000 11,600,000 11,200,000	1,395,000 9,550,000 1,700,000 8,200,000 18,300,000 900,000 1,200,000 1,600,000 1,200,000 1,500,000 7,500,000
(FC)	LITTLE DELL LAKE, UT		3,300,000 500,000
	UPPER JORDAN RIVER, UT		500,000
(FC) (N) (FC) (BE)	JAMES R OLIN FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, VA. NORFOLK MARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA. RICHMOND FILTRATION PLANT VA. ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA. VIRGINIA BEACH, VA. VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (REIMBURSEMENT).	6,800,000 1,200,000 1,100,000	6,800,000 1,200,000 3,500,000 1,100,000 8,000,000
(62)	WASHINGTON	487,000	487,000
(MP) (FC) (MP) (MP)	COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA. OR & ID	107,000,000 1,400,000 3,600,000 3,000,000	95,000,000 1,400,000 3,600,000 2,000,000
	WEST VIRGINIA		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (N) (N)	LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V MOOREFIELD, WV. PETERSBURG, WV. ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, WV & OH. WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, WV.	6,921,000 6,385,000 4,516,000 12,158,000 30,900,000	41,426,000 6,385,000 4,516,000 12,158,000 30,900,000
	WISCONSIN		
(FC)	LAFARGE LAKE, KICKAPOO RIVER, WI	1,700,000	20,000 1,700,000
	MISCELLANEOUS		
	AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM. BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 103). BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL (SECTION 204). CLEARING AND SNAGGING PROJECT. DAM SAFETY ASSURANCE PROGRAM. EMPLOYERS' COMPENSATION. EMPLOYERS' COMPENSATION. INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSES. INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSES. INLAND MATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSES. INLAND MATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSES. NAVIGATION MITIGATION PROJECT NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.	2,500,000 3,000,000 4,000,000 500,000 2,000,000 7,500,000 18,892,000 24,500,000 500,000 5,000,000 15,000,000	2,000,000 5,800,000 1,500,000 500,000 500,000 9,500,000 18,892,000 18,500,000 185,000 500,000 11,632,000 17,000,000 -52,500,000
	TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION GENERAL	914,000,000	1,081,942,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

CFDP REELFOOT LAKE TH.	TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
GENERAL STUDIES: (FDP) (FDP)		GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
GENERAL STUDIES: (FDP) (FDP)		SURVEYS:		
CONSTRUCTION	(FDP)	GENERAL STUDIES: MEMPHIS METROPOLITAN AREA, TN B MS. MORGANZA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO. MISSISSIPPI DELTA, MS. RELFOOT LAKE, TN. WOLF RIVER, MEMPHIS, TN. COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA.	965,000 338,000 350,000 130,000 335,000	100,000 965,000 338,000 350,000 130,000 335,000
CONSTRUCTION	(FC)	PRECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND DESIGN: EASTERN ARKANSAS REGION (COMPREHENSIVE STUDY), AR	788,000	788,000
FC CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.		SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	2,906,000	3,006,000
MAINTENANCE (FC) CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 65,101,000 65,101,000 (FC) INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR 475,000 475,000 (FC) LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - NORTH BANK, AR 156,000 156,000 (FC) LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - SOUTH BANK, AR 121,000 121,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 5,458,000 (FC) ST FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO. 9,815,000 9,815,000 (FC) TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA 2,631,000 2,631,000 (FC) MITER RIVER BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA 2,631,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,000 50,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,2000 12,223,000 (FC) BAYOU COCCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA 92,000 1,228,000 (FC) BORNET CARRE, LA 1,226,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,61,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER - SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 2,849,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND 1,700,000 (FC) BAYOU COCORTE BANC LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,700,		CONSTRUCTION		
MAINTENANCE (FC) CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 65,101,000 65,101,000 (FC) INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR 475,000 475,000 (FC) LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - NORTH BANK, AR 156,000 156,000 (FC) LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - SOUTH BANK, AR 121,000 121,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 5,458,000 (FC) ST FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO. 9,815,000 9,815,000 (FC) TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA 2,631,000 2,631,000 (FC) MITER RIVER BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA 2,631,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,000 50,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,2000 12,223,000 (FC) BAYOU COCCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA 92,000 1,228,000 (FC) BORNET CARRE, LA 1,226,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,61,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER - SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 2,849,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND 1,700,000 (FC) BAYOU COCORTE BANC LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,700,	(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT. AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. EIGHT MILE CREEK, AR. HELENA & VICINITY AR. MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO. ST JOHNS BAYOU - NEW MADRID, MO. WHITEMAN'S CREEK, AR. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, IA. MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, LA & MS. MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, LA & MS. MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, LA & MS. MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE MISSISSIPPI BELTA REGION, LA. TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA YAZOO BASIN, MS: BACKWATER LESS ROCKY BAYOU, MS. BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS. DEMONSTRATION EROSION CONTROL, MS. FAWL MITIGATION LANDS, MS. MAIN STEM, MS. REFORMULTION LINIT MS.	50,800,000 841,000 150,000 24,369,000 8,900,000 5,020,000 18,600,000 11,800,000 11,893,000 (33,164,000) 20,000 12,700,000 480,000	50,800,000 841,000 150,000 27,229,000 100,000 1,000,000 5,445,000 800,000 800,000 11,800,000 11,393,000 (44,484,000) 9,807,000 480,000 480,000 25,000
MAINTENANCE (FC) CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 65,101,000 65,101,000 (FC) INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR 475,000 475,000 (FC) LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - NORTH BANK, AR 156,000 156,000 (FC) LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - SOUTH BANK, AR 121,000 121,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 5,458,000 (FC) ST FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO. 9,815,000 9,815,000 (FC) TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA 2,631,000 2,631,000 (FC) MITER RIVER BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA 2,631,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,000 50,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,2000 12,223,000 (FC) BAYOU COCCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA 92,000 1,228,000 (FC) BORNET CARRE, LA 1,226,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,61,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER - SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 2,849,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND 1,700,000 (FC) BAYOU COCORTE BANC LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,700,	(FC)	TRIBUTARIES, MS	3,459,000 904,000	3,459,000 904,000
MAINTENANCE (FC) CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 65,101,000 65,101,000 (FC) INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR 475,000 475,000 (FC) LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - NORTH BANK, AR 156,000 156,000 (FC) LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - SOUTH BANK, AR 121,000 121,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 5,458,000 (FC) ST FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO. 9,815,000 9,815,000 (FC) TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA 2,631,000 2,631,000 (FC) MITER RIVER BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA 2,631,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,458,000 2,631,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. 5,000 50,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,2000 12,223,000 (FC) BAYOU COCCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA 92,000 1,228,000 (FC) BORNET CARRE, LA 1,226,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,61,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER - SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 2,849,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,226,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA 2,21,000 2,849,000 (FC) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND 1,700,000 (FC) BAYOU COCORTE BANC LEVEES, LA 5,000 1,700,	(FC) (FC)	NONCONNAH CREEK, FLOOD CONTROL FEATURE, TN & MS WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN	4,000,000 3,024,000	4,000,000
REDUCTION FOR SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE		SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	173,861,000	188,546,000
REDUCTION FOR SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE		MAINTENANCE		
REDUCTION FOR SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	(FC)	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT. AR. II KY LA MS MO & TN	65 101 000	6E 101 000
REDUCTION FOR SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	(FFFC) (F	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR. LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - NORTH BANK, AR. LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - SOUTH BANK, AR. LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - SOUTH BANK, AR. SITERANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO. SITERANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO. TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA. WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR. WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR. HISPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA. SITCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA. SITCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA. SITCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA. SITCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA. LOWER ROSE HARBOR — DEVIL SWAMP, LA. BAYOU COCOMPLETED WORKS, LA. LOWER RED RIVER - SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA. MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARYON, LA. LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA. MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARYON, LA. OLD RIVER, LA. TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA. GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS. VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS. VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS. SARDIS LAKE, MS. BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS. ENID LAKE, MS. SARDIS LAKE, MS. MAIN STEM, MS. SARDIS LAKE, MS. WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS. YAZOO BASIN, MS. TRIBUTARIES MS. WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS. YAZOO CITY, MS. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO. MAPPARPELLO LAKE, MS. TRIBUTARIES MS. WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS. YAZOO CITY, MS. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN. MEMPHIS HARBOR (MCKELLAR LAKE), TN.	475,000 156,000 151,000 5,458,000 9,815,000 2,631,000 1,300,000 150,000 1,300,000 150,000 12,223,000 1,228,000 1,228,000 1,228,000 1,228,000 2,631,000 2,849,000 1,22,000 (18,658,000) 2,849,000 1,22,000 (18,658,000) 2,849,000 1,22,000 (18,658,000) 2,838,000 6,838,000 6,838,000 6,838,000 1,2849,000 1,2847,000	5,458,000 9,815,000 2,631,000 1,300,000 28,000 15,000 12,223,000 172,203,000 172,203,000 172,203,000 172,203,000 172,203,000 172,000 261,000 261,000 273,000 28,200,000 195,000 2,849,000 195,000 2,849,000 195,000 2,849,000 195,000 2,849,000 195,000 2,849,000 195,000 2,849,000 1,700,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 2,233,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 2,233,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 2,233,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,287,000 1,297,000 1,297,000
REDUCTION FOR SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE		SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE	133,481,000	136,720,000
TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES			-17.748.000	-17.898.000
TRIBUTARIES		TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND		**********
		INIBUTARIES	292,500,000	310,374,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	ALABAMA		
(X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X)	ACF-ACT COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY. ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER AL. BAYOU CODEN, AL. BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL. DOG AND FOWL RIVERS, AL. GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL. MILLERS FERRY LOCK & DAM - WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LAK MOBILE HARBOR, AL. PERDIDO PASS CHANNEL, AL. PERDIDO PASS CHANNEL, AL. ROBERT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL. SCHEDULING RESERVOIT OPERATIONS, AL. TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS. WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & SCHEDULING RESERVOIT OPERATIONS, AL. TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS. WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA.	5,839,000 5,000 5,000 16,693,000 550,000 3,054,000 35,000 17,918,000 392,000 392,000 4,491,000 90,000 19,192,000 5,972,000	250,000 5,839,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 55,000 3,054,000 35,04,000 17,918,000 389,000 389,000 392,000 4,491,000 90,000 5,972,000
(N)		1 200 000	1 200 000
(N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N)	ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK BETHEL HARBOR, AK CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK CRESCENT BAY HARBOR, SITKA, AK. DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK DOUGLAS HARBOR, AK DOUGLAS HARBOR, AK HOMER HARBOR, AK INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK NIMILCHIK HARBOR, AK NOME HARBOR, AK PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK	1,200,000 325,000 1,726,000 551,000 551,000 345,000 23,000 24,000 181,000 260,000	1,200,000 1,726,000 70,000 551,000 396,000 345,000 233,000 24,000 181,000 260,000
	ARIZONA		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	ALAMO LAKE, AZ. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ. PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ. WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ. ARKANSAS	1,069,000 72,000 1,136,000 70,000 112,000	1,069,000 72,000 1,136,000 70,000 112,000
(MP)		2 051 000	2 061 000
(MP) (FC) (MP) (MP) (MP)	BEAVER LAKE, AR. BLAKELY MT DAM - LAKE OUACHITA, AR. BULE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR. BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR. DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR. DEGRAY LAKE, AR.	3,961,000 4,595,000 1,088,000 4,416,000 5,793,000 4,088,000	3,961,000 4,595,000 1,088,000 4,416,000 5,793,000 4,088,000
(FC) (FC) (MP) (N) (FC) (MP) (FC) (MP) (FC) (MP) (FC) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP	DEQUEEN LAKE, AR. DIERKS LAKE AR. GILLHAM LAKE AR. GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR. GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR. HELENA HARBOR, AR. HELENA HARBOR, AR. HISPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR. MICLIELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR. MILLWOOD LAKE, AR. MILLWOOD LAKE, AR. MILLWOOD LAKE, AR. MILLWOOD LAKE, AR. MORFORK LAKE, AR. OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR. & LA. OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR. & LA. OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR. & LA. MILLWOOD LATE AT ATYLOR LOCK AND DAM, AR. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR. MILTE RIVER, AR. WHITE RIVER, AR. WHITE RIVER, AR.	1,051,000 1,034,000 1,935,000 4,264,000 209,000 24,155,000 1,743,000 1,285,000 1,285,000 2,257,000 3,986,000 2,257,000 113,000	1.051.000 1.034.000 995.000 4.264.000 209.000 1.743.000 1.743.000 1.295.000 4.255.000 4.255.000 4.255.000 5.255.000 2.555.000 2.575.000 1.255.000
	CALIFORNIA		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA. BUCHANAN DAM - H V EASTMAN LAKE, CA. CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA. COYOTE VALLEY DAM (LAKE MENDOCINO), CA. REVERSIAND DAM CA. REVERSIAND COMPLETED WORKS, CA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA. WORD SAY HARBOR, CA. WOSS LANDING HARBOR, CA. WOSS LANDING HARBOR, CA. NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA.	1,576,000 1,376,000 2,000,000 2,432,000 3,177,000 192,000 3,155,000 1,224,000 1,224,000 1,224,000 1,224,000 1,229,000 221,000 222,000 2,056,000 1,651,000	1,576,000 1,376,000 2,000,000 2,432,000 192,000 3,177,000 192,000 1,446,000 3,155,000 1,224,000 1,225,000 1,55,000 1,55,000 1,55,000 1,500,000 2,000,000 1,130,000 2,056,000

TYPE PROJECT	CT	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	NEW MELONES LAKE (DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL), CA. NEWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA. NOYO RIVER & HARBOR, CA. OXELAND HARBOR, CA. OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA. PORT HUSENEE, CA. PORT HUSENEE, CA. PORT HUSENEE, CA. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA. RICHMOND HARBOR, CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA. SAN DIEGO HARBOR, CA. SAN DIEGO HARBOR, CA. SAN IEGO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA. SAN FRANCISCO BAY — DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA. SAN FRANCISCO BAY — DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA. SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL), CA. SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL), CA. SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA. SAN LANDRO MARINA (JACK D MALTESTER CHANNEL), CA. SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA. SUCCESS LAKE,	\$10,000 40,000 736,000 2,525,000 680,000 3,91,000 3,000 1,115,000 3,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,960,000 1,960,000 1,410,000 2,3365,000 1,960,000 1,410,000 2,739,000 1,410,000 2,739,000 1,515,000	910,000 590,000 736,000 736,000 680,000 3,99,000 3,99,000 3,000 1,410,000 105,000 105,000 105,000 105,000 105,000 1,410,000 2,395,000 2,395,000 1,410,000 2,395,000 1,410,000 2,739,000 1,410,000 2,739,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,450,000 1,265,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO. CHATFIELD LAKE, CO. CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO. JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO. TRINIDAD LAKE, CO.	423,000 793,000 1,084,000 63,000 1,415,000 330,000 632,000	423,000 793,000 1,084,000 63,000 1,415,000 330,000 632,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	CONNECTICUT BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT HOP BROOK LAKE, CT INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CT MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CT STAMFORD HORICANE BARRIER, CT THOMASTON DAM, CT. WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT.	396,000 419,000 459,000 858,000 3,000 470,000 415,000 1,210,000 402,000 477,000 426,000	396,000 419,000 469,000 888,000 3,000 470,000 415,000 1,210,000 402,000 477,000 426,000
(N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N)	DELAWARE CHESAPEAKE AND DELAWARE CANAL - ST GEORGE'S BRIDGE REP INDIAN RIVER INLET AND BAY, DE INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE R TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, D INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, REHOBOTH BAY TO DELAWARE BAY, D MURDERKILL RIVER, DE WATERWAY INDIAN RIVER INLET TO REHOBOTH BAY, DE WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	14,000,000 100,000 11,602,000 42,000 265,000 315,000 4,810,000	14,000,000 100,000 11,602,000 42,000 265,000 315,000 4,810,000
(FC) (N) (N) (N) (N)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, DC. POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL), DC. POTOMAC RIVER BELOW WASHINGTON, DC. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DC. WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC.		7,000 829,000 62,000 30,000 34,000
(N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N)	AIWW, NORFOLK TO ST JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC & VA. APALACHICOLA BAY, FL CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL CHARLOTTE HARBOR, FL EAST PASS CHANNEL, FL ESCAMBIA AND CONEGUH RIVERS, FL FERRANDINA HARBOR, FL FORT MYERS BEACH, FL FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALODSAHATCHEE R TO ANCLOTE R. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALODSAHATCHEE R TO ANCLOTE R. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALODSAHATCHEE R TO MIAMI, FL JIM WOODRUFF, LOCK AND DAW, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA. JOHNS PASS, PINELLAS COUNTY, FL LA GRANGE BAYOU, FL	1,436,000 150,000 9,513,000 9,513,000 35,000 136,000 1,848,000 50,000 50,000 209,000 3,538,000 5,965,000 5,965,000 40,000 80,000	1,436,000 160,000 3,545,000 9,513,000 2,400,000 886,000 1,848,000 375,000 50,000 50,000 3,538,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 6,000,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	LONG BOAT PASS, FL. MIAMI HARBOR FL. NEW PASS SARASOTA, FL. OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL. OKLEWAHAR RIVER. PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL. PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL. PONCE DE LEON INLET FL. PONT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL. PROJECT COMDITION SURVEYS, FL. REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL. ST AUGUSTINE HARBOR, FL. ST AUGUSTINE HARBOR, FL. ST PETERSBURG HARBOR, FL. WITHLACCOCHIE RIVER, FL.	40,000 343,000 30,000 4,276,000 35,000 35,000 120,000 113,000 55,000 500,000 10,000 10,000 4,086,000 4,086,000 4,086,000	40,000 343,000 30,000 4,276,000 155,000 2,233,000 120,000 113,000 55,000 500,000 10,000 68,000 4,068,000
	GEORGIA		
(MP) (N) (N) (N) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (N) (N) (MP)	ALLATOONA LAKE, GA. APALACHICOLA CHATTA-HOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL &. ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA. BRIUNSWICK HARBOR, GA. BUFOND DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA. CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA. J. STROM THURMOND LAKE GA & SC. RICHARD & RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC. SAVANNAH HARBOR GA. SAVANNAH HARBOR GA. SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA. WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL.	4,514,000 4,109,000 1,710,000 2,883,000 6,649,000 4,324,000 9,441,000 40,000 6,357,000 14,714,000 277,000 4,311,000	4,514,000 4,109,000 1,710,000 3,400,000 6,649,000 4,324,000 9,081,000 40,000 6,117,000 16,000,000 1,277,000 4,911,000
	THE PARTY AND TH		
(N) (FC) (N)	BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI. IDAHO	150,000 200,000 275,000	150,000 200,000 275,000
(MP) (MP) (FC) (FC) (FC) (N)	ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID. DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID. LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ID.	4,535,000 7,939,000 114,000 1,151,000 272,000 45,000	4,535,000 7,939,000 114,000 1,151,000 272,000 45,000
	ILLINOIS		
(H2) (H2) (H2) (H2) (H2) (H2) (H2) (H2)	CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN. CARLYLE LAKE IL. CHICAGO HARBOR IL. CHICAGO RIVER IL. ILLINOIS WATERWAY (LMVD PORTION), IL. ILLINOIS WATERWAY (NCD PORTION), IL. ILLINOIS WATERWAY (NCD PORTION), IL. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL. KASKASKI RIVER NAVIGATION, IL. LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL. LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL. MISS R BETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS (LMVD PORTION), IL. MISS R BETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS, IL, IA, MN, MO &. NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER, IL. REND LAKE, IL. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL. WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL.	1,258,000 4,497,000 3,528,000 507,000 881,000 257,000 881,000 712,000 1,556,000 498,000 15,001 150,000 150,000 3,568,000 1,187,000	1,258,000 4,497,000 4,100,000 507,000 881,000 712,000 1,556,000 498,000 13,081,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000
	INDIANA		
(FC) (N) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC	BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN. BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN. BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN. CAGLES WILL LAKE, IN. CAGLES WILL LAKE, IN. CECIL M. HARDEN LAKE, IN. HUNTINGTON LAKE, IN. HINDIANA HARBOR, IN. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN. MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN. MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN. MONROE LAKE, IN. PATOKA LAKE, IN. PATOKA LAKE, IN. SALAMONIE LAKE, IN. SALAMONIE LAKE, IN. SALAMONIE LAKE, IN. SALAMONIE LAKE, IN. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN.	815,000 1,193,000 651,000 661,000 739,000 733,000 117,000 62,000 993,000 749,000 26,000 799,000	815,000 1,193,200 5,000 661,000 739,000 733,000 600,000 117,000 939,000 749,000 605,000 799,000 110,000
(FC)	CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	2,726,000	2,726,000
(FC)	CORALVILLE LAKE, IA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA	874,000 64,000	874,000 64,000

TYPE OF	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N) (N) (FC) (FC) (FC)	MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IA. RATHBUN LAKE, IA. RED ROCK DAM - LAKE RED ROCK, IA. SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA. KANSAS		6,210,000 61,000 1,884,000 3,518,000 3,635,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	CLINTON LAKE KS. COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS. ED DORADO LAKE, KS. EL DORADO LAKE, KS. EL DORADO LAKE, KS. EL DORADO LAKE, KS. EL STALL RIVER KS. HILLSDALE LAKE, KS. HILLSDALE LAKE, KS. JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS. KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS. MARION LAKE, KS. MARION LAKE, KS. MELYERN LAKE, KS. MILFORD LAKE, KS. MILFORD LAKE, KS. PERRY LAKE, KS. PERRY LAKE, KS. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS. TORONTO LAKE, KS. TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS. WILSON LAKE, KS.	1,473,000 1,032,000 4,032,000 723,000 737,000 807,000 78,000 1,955,000 1,938,000 1,938,000 1,580,000 1,759,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000 1,788,000	1,473,000 1,032,000 489,000 723,000 807,000 807,000 4,054,000 1,385,000 1,385,000 1,789,000
(MP)	KENTUCKY BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY KY & TH		
(C)	KENTUCKY BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN. BARREN RIVER LAKE. KY BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY BUCKHORN LAKE. KY CARR FORK LAKE. KY CARR FORK LAKE. KY CARR FORK LAKE. KY CARR FORK LAKE. KY CAYE RUN LAKE. KY CAYE RUN LAKE. KY DEWEY LAKE. KY DEWEY LAKE. KY DEWEY LAKE. KY OF LAKE. KY OF LAKE. KY OREEN AND BARREN RIVERS. KY GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS. KY GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS. KY INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS. KY KENTUCKY RIVER LAKE. KY LAUREL RIVER LAKE. KY LAUREL RIVER LAKE. KY MARTINS FORK LAKE. KY MARTINS FORK LAKE. KY OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY MIDDLESBORD CHANNEL WORK, KY MIDDLESBORD CHANNEL WORK, KY MOLIN LAKE. KY OHIO RIVER OFEN CHANNEL WORK, KY. II. IN. OH, PA & WV OHIO RIVER OFEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, II. IN. OH, PA & WV PAINTSVILLE LAKE. KY ROUGH RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, II. IN. OH, PA & WV PAINTSVILLE LAKE. KY ROUGH RIVER OFEN CHANNEL WORK, KY. ROUGH RIVER OFEN CHANNEL WORK, KY. ROUGH RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, II. IN. OH, PA & WV PAINTSVILLE LAKE. KY TAYLORSVILLE LAKE. KY WOLF CREEK DAM – LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY AYTESVILLE LAKE, KY LOUISIANA	8, 429, 000 1, 968, 000 1, 986, 000 1, 232, 000 1, 232, 000 420, 000 1, 240, 000 1, 249, 000 1, 249, 000 1, 249, 000 1, 249, 000 1, 249, 000 1, 249, 000 1, 249, 000 1, 249, 000 1, 235, 000 692, 000 1, 791, 000 1, 148, 000	8,429,000 1,988,000 1,080,000 1,232,000 1,337,000 1,330,000 1,340,000 1,249,000 1,249,000 1,249,000 1,249,000 1,249,000 1,249,000 1,249,000 1,249,000 1,791,000 1,791,000 1,148,000 1,235,000 692,000 1,745,000 5,146,000 5,146,000 1,041,000 1,041,000 1,041,000 1,041,000 1,041,000 1,041,000 1,041,000 1,041,000
(N) (FG) (NG) (NG) (NG) (NG) (NG) (NG) (NG) (N	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA. BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOUR, LA. BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOUR, LA. BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA. BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA. BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND VERMILION RIVER, LA. CALCASIEN RIVER AND PASS, LA. FRESHWATER BAYOU, A. CALCASIEN RIVER AND PASS, LA. GALEASIEN RIVER AND FASS, LA. GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA & TX. HOUMA NAVICATION CANA LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR LA. MOUMA NAVICATION CANA MADISON PARISH PORT, LA. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BAYON ROUGE TO GULF OF MEXICO, LA. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BAYON ROUGE TO GULF OF MEXICO, LA. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA. RED RIVER WATERWAY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L RED RIVER WATERWAY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA. MATERWAY - EMPIRE TO THE GULF, LA. MATERWAY - EMPIRE TO THE GULF, LA. MATERWAY - FROM INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY TO B DULAC, LA.	8,281,000 497,000 520,000 10,000 25,000 25,000 119,000 138,000 4,535,000 1,947,000 6,603,000 2,321,000 321,000 321,000 418,000 321,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 12,828,000 144,000 1,985,000 145,155,000 145,155,000 145,155,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000 150,000	8, 281, 000 497, 000 520, 000 10, 000 25, 000 119, 000 138, 000 4, 535, 000 16, 603, 000 2, 321, 000 38, 000 1, 947, 000 10, 000, 000 46, 155, 000 12, 828, 000 21, 828, 000 10, 853, 000 150, 000
(N) I	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME	1,131,000 1,167,000 714,000	1,131,000 1,167,000 714,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	MARY! AND		
(N) BALTIM (N) BALTIM (N) BALTIM (N) CRISFI (FC) CUMBER (N) FISHIN (N) HONGA (FC) INSPEC (FC) JENNIN (N) MORTHE (N) OCEAN (N) PROJEC (FC) SCHEDU (N) TWITCH (N) WICOMI	ORE HARBOR & CHANNELS, MD (50 FT). ORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), MD. ORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), EA HARBOR, MD. EA HARBOR, MD. G BAY, G BAY, G SAY, G SAY, G SAY, HARBOR, H	10,711,000 420,000 455,000 478,000 108,000 695,000 32,000 1,600,000 117,000 582,000 300,000 119,000 50,000 744,000 70,000	10,711,000 420,000 478,000 478,000 695,000 400,000 65,000 32,000 1,600,000 17,000 582,000 19,000 50,000 744,000 70,000
(N) ANDREW	R RIVER MA	165 000	165 000
(N) ANDREW (FC) BARRE (FC) BUFFUM (N) CAPE C (FC) CHARLE (FC) CHARLE (FC) CHARLE (FC) CHARLE (FC) CHARLE (FC) ANDREM (FC) EAST B (N) HYANNI (FC) INSPEC (FC) KNIGHT (FC) LITTLE (FC) TULLY (FC) TULLY (FC) WESTVI	MASSACHUSETTS SRIVER, MA. FALLS DAM, MA. HILL DAM, MA. VILLE LAKE, MA. OD CANAL, MA. SRIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA. BROOK LAKE, MA. UNK HARBOR, MA. Y HARBOR, MA. VILLAGE DAM, MA. SHARBOR, MA. VILLAGE DAM, MA. SHARBOR, MA. VILLE DAM, MA. SHARBOR, MA. VILLE DAM, MA. DEORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER, T CONDITION SURVEYS, MA. LLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MA. LLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MA. LLAKE, MA. LLANCE JAM, MA. LLE LAKE, MA. MICHIGAN	165,000 324,000 451,000 348,000 8,191,000 168,000 101,000 294,000 252,000 338,000 112,000 331,000 371,000 331,000 371,000 371,000 371,000 371,000 371,000 371,000	165,000 324,000 451,000 348,000 168,000 101,000 294,000 262,000 358,000 112,000 358,000 112,000 371,000 371,000 371,000 371,000 371,000 371,000 371,000
	MICHIGAN		
(N) ALPENA (N) ALPENA (N) AL SABI (N) AL SABI (N) CASEVI (N) CASEVI (N) CHARLE (N) CHARLE (N) CHEBOY (N) CHEBO	MICHIGAN HARBOR MI. A HARBOR MI. LE HARBOR MI. RIVER (PORT HURON), MI LLE HARBOR MI. RIVER HARBOR, MI. RIVER HARBOR, MI. SI ML AAKE ST CLAIR, MI. VOIX HARBOR, MI. OVIX HARBOR, MI. N RIVER, MI. T RIVER, MI. T RIVER, MI. ORT HARBOR, MI. BEACH HARBOR, MI. UTLLE HARBOR, MI. UTLLE HARBOR, MI. TION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI. LIN RIVER, MI. AND MATERWAY, MI. BELLE, MI. TON HARBOR, MI. TEE HARBOR, MI. TEFALO HARBOR, MI. SEE HARBOR, MI. TER HARBOR, MI. ON HARBOR, MI. TER HARBOR, MI. SET HARBOR, MI. TER HARBOR, MI. USTIN HARBOR, MI. STIN HARBOR, MI. SE LAKE HARBOR, MI. STIN HARBOR, MI. ST	254.000 293.000 293.000 23.000 124.000 125.000 80.000 105.000 13.000 1.78.000 1.78.000 1.78.000 205.000 225.000	254,000 293,000 22,000 23,000 124,000 125,000 80,000 105,000 113,000 3,486,000 38,000 1,278,000 1,278,000 1,278,000 205,000

TYPE OF	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N) (MP) (N) (N) (N)	ST JOSEPH HARBOR, MI. ST MARYS RIVER MI SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI. WHITE LAKE HARBOR, MI. WHITEFISH POINT HARBOR, MI.	671,000 16,557,000 2,301,000 1,688,000 22,000	996,000 16,557,000 2,301,000 1,688,000 22,000
	MINNESOTA		
(FC) (N) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (N) (FC) (N) (N)	BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD. DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI. GRAND MARAIS HARBOR, MN INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MM. LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN MINNESOTA RIVER, MN. ORWELL LAKE, MN. ORWELL LAKE, MN. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN. RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN. RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN. TWO HARBORS, MN.	179,000 2,665,000 9,000 835,000 145,000 2,909,000 59,000 87,000 2,397,000 2337,000	179,000 2,665,000 22,000 9,000 835,000 145,000 2,909,000 87,000 2,397,000 231,000 157,000
	MISSISSIPPI		
(N) (N) (FC) (N) (FC) (N) (FC) (N) (N) (N)	BILOXI HARBOR, MS. CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS. EAST FORK, TOMBIGBER RIVER, MS. GULFPORT HARBOR, MS. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS. MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS. OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS. PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS. PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MS. ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS. YAZOO RIVER, MS.	800,000 3,000 200,000 114,000 78,000 1,693,000 3,001,000 1,983,000 5,000 348,000 15,000	800,000 3,000 200,000 2,999,000 114,000 78,000 3,001,000 1,983,000 5,000 348,000
	MISSOURI		
(NP) (MP) (FC) (FC) (FC) (N) (FN) (FN) (FN) (MP) (MP) (FC)	MISSOURI CARUTHERSVILLE MARBOR MO. CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE MO. CLEARWATER LAKE MO. HARRY S TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR MO. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO. LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO. LONG BRANCH LAKE MO. LONG BRANCH LAKE MO. NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO. NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO. POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO. SOUTHEAST MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO. SOUTHEAST MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO. SOUTHEAST MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO. URBLE ROCK LAKE, MO. URBLE ROCK LAKE, MO. WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO.	315,000 5,197,000 2,025,000 8,418,000 203,000 747,000 747,000 265,000 5,000 1,046,000 1,046,000 1,046,000 1,501,000 5,501,000 6,501,000 20,000	315,000 5,197,000 8,418,000 203,000 747,000 747,000 265,000 1,845,000 5,000 1,046,000 101,000 3,391,000 5,501,000
	mon and		
(MP) (FC) (MP) (FC) (N)	FT PECK DAM AND LAKE MT INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT LIBBY DAM, LAKE KOCANUSA, MT SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MT NEBRASKA	3,684,000 16,000 8,127,000 47,000 14,000	3,684,000 16,000 8,127,000 47,000 14,000
(MD)			
(MP) (FC) (MP) (MP) (FC) (FC) (FC)	GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD	6,193,000 1,382,000 1,000,000 500,000 736,000 928,000 442,000	6,193,000 1,382,000 200,000 1,000,000 500,000 736,000 928,000 442,000
	NEVADA		
(FC)	MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CAPINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV	483,000 164,000	483,000 164,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	BLACKWATER DAM, NH. BHACKWATER DAM, NH. CDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH. FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH. HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH. STER BROOK LAKE, NH. FROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NH. SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH.	415,000 468,000 731,000 1,887,000 489,000 355,000 532,000	415,000 468,000 731,000 1,887,000 489,000 355,000 532,000
	NEW JERSEY		
(N) E	BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	1,275,000 430.000	1,275,000 430,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N) (N) (N) (N) (FC) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N)	COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ. DELAWARE RIVER PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ. PA & DE. DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NJ. KEYPORT HARBOR, NJ. MANASCUAN RIVER, NJ. MATAMAN CREEK, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. SHOAL HARBOR AND COMPTON CREEK, NJ.	500,000 20,000 15,195,000 1,445,000 50,000 2,300,000 2,000 2,079,000 1,190,000 420,000 375,000	500,000 20,000 15,195,000 1,445,000 23,000 2,300,000 2,300,000 2,079,000 1,190,000 420,000 375,000
	NEW MEXICO		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	ABIQUIU DAM, NM. COCHITI LAKE, NM. COCHITI LAKE, NM. COCHITI LAKE, NM. GALISTEO DAM, NM. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM. JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM. SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM. TWO RIVERS DAM, NM. UPPER RIO GRANDE, WATER OPERATIONS MODEL.	1,340,000 1,987,000 1,105,000 356,000 109,000 425,000 966,000 455,000	1,340,000 1,987,000 1,105,000 356,000 109,000 425,000 966,000 455,000 455,000 210,000
	NEW YORK		
(FC) (FO) (FO) (FO) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N	NEW YORK ALMOND LAKE, NY. ARKPORT DAM, NY. ARKPORT DAM, NY. BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY. BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY. BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY. BUFFALO HARBOR, NY. DUNKIRK HARBOR, NY. EAST SIDNEY LAKE NY. EAST SIDNEY LAKE NY. EAST SIDNEY LAKE NY. FIRE ISLAND INLET, NY. HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY. HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY. HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY. JONES INLET, NY. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY. JAMAICA BAY, NY. JONES INLET, NY. LITTLE SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY. MATTITUCK HARBOR NY. MORICHES INLET, NY. MI MORRIS LAKE, NY. MEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY. & NJ. NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY. & NJ. NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), NEW YORK HARBOR (NY. NEW YORK HARBOR (NY. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. SURVEITHARBOR, NY. SURVEITHARBOR, NY. SURVEITHARBOR, NY. SURVEITHARBOR, NY. SURVEITHARBOR, NY. SURVEITHARBOR, NY. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY. WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY. WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY. WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY.	525.000 259.000 3,906.000 3,906.000 3,906.000 3,906.000 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,000 1,000 9,000 1,000 9,000 1,00	525,000 259,000 259,000 259,000 3,906,000 3,906,000 1,476,000 90,000 625,000 900,000 925,000 1,300,000 1,300,000 1,700,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 2,361,000 2,361,000 4,273,000 4,273,000 4,273,000 4,273,000 4,273,000 6,000,000 109,000 900,000 109,000 900,000 900,000 918,000 900,000 15,000 900,000 900,000 900,000 900,000 527,000 500,000 500,000
(FC)	WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	510,000	510,000
	NORTH CAROLINA ATLANTIC BEACH CHANNELS, NC. ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC. AVON HARBOR, NC. B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC. BEAUFORT HARBOR, NC. BELHAVEN HARBOR, NC. BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC. CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC. CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC. CHANNEL FROM BACK SOUND TO LOOKOUT BIGHT, NC. DRUM INLET. CHANNEL FROM BACK SOUND TO LOOKOUT BIGHT, NC. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC. LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC. MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC. MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC. MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC. MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC. NCW RIVER INLET, NC. NCW RIVER INLET, NC. NCW RIVER INLET, NC. NCW RIVER INLET, NC. NCW ROPAMLICO AND TAR RIVERS, NC. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC. ROANCKE RIVER, NC.	20,000 5,328,000 20,000 1,128,000 20,000 955,000 955,000 852,000 2,000,000 1,043,000 22,000 6,171,000 890,000 2,748,000 1,595,000 1,595,000 1,595,000 1,595,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000 1,125,000	20,000 5,328,000 20,000 1,128,000 20,000 655,000 655,000 852,000 20,000 21,000 22,000 7,552,000 2,748,000 2,748,000 1,595,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(FC) (FC) (E) (E)	STUMPY POINT BAY, NC. W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC. WATERWAY CONNECTING PAMLICO SOUND AND BEAUFORT HARBOR, WATERWAY CONNECTING SWANQUARTER BAY AND DEEP BAY, NC. WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC.		20,000 1,904,000 20,000 20,000 5,757,000
	NORTH DAKOTA		
(FC) (MP) (FC) (FC) (FC)	BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND. GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND. LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND. MISSOURI RIVER BETWEEN FT. PECK DAM, MT AND GAVINS PT. DAM, SD & NE, BTID (SEC. 33) LAKE SAKAKWEA, ND (MOSGUITO CONTROL) PIPESTEM LAKE, ND. SURIS RIVER, ND. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ND.	229,000 8,445,000 150,000 104,000 933,000	
	LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND (MOSQUITO CONTROL)		750,000 50,000
(FC) (FC) (N)	PIPESTEM LAKE, ND. SOURIS RIVER, ND. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS. ND	418,000 261,000 33,000	50,000 418,000 261,000 33,000
	OHIO	,	
(FC) (N) (FC) (FC)	ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH. ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH. BERLIN LAKE, OH.	693,000 718,000 1,429,000	693,000 718,000 1,429,000
(FC) (N) (FC) (FC)	CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH. CLEVELAND HABBOR, OH. DEER CREEK LAKE, OH. DELAWARE LAKE, OH.	17,142,000 808,000 17,938,000 628,000 671,000	693,000 718,000 1,429,000 1,142,000 808,000 17,938,000 628,000 671,000 866,000 1,030,000 335,000
(FC) (N) (N) (FC)	DILLON LAKE, OH. FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH. HURON HARBOR, OH. HUSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS. OH.	503,000 866,000 1,030,000	503,000 866,000 1,030,000 335,000
(N) (FC) (FC)	LORAIN HARBOR, OH. MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH. MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAW AND RESERVOIR, OH.	445,000 25,000 887,000	445,000 25,000 887,000 899,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (N)	MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES OH NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH. PARTIEL HARRON HOLD OH OF THE HARRON HOLD OH	5,793,000 312,000 1,664,000	5,793,000 312,000 1,664,000
(N) (FC) (N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS OH ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH SANDUSKY HARBON, OH	15,000 26,000 30,000 1,013,000	15,000 26,000 30,000 1,013,000
(N) (N) (FC) (FC)	OHIO ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH. ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH. BERLIN LAKE, OH. CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH. CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH. CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH. CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH. DEER CREEK LAKE, OH. DELAWARE LAKE, OH. DILLON LAKE, OH. FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH. HURON HARBOR, OH. HURON HARBOR, OH. LORAIN HARBOR, OH. LORAIN HARBOR, OH. MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH. MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH. MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH. MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH. MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH. NORTH BRANCH KONGSING RIVER LAKE, OH. PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH. PORTSMOUTH HARBOR, OH. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH. ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH. SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH. TOLEDO HARBOR, OH. OKLAHOMA	693,000 718,000 1,142,000 1,142,000 1,142,000 17,938,000 671,000 671,000 866,000 1,030,000 335,000 445,000 25,000 887,000 887,000 887,000 1,664,000 1,664,000 1,664,000 1,664,000 1,013,000 30,000 3,340,000 3,340,000 3,340,000 3558,000	30,000 1,013,000 283,000 3,340,000 367,000 558,000 802,000
	OKLAHOMA		
(FC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC) (FFC)	OKLAHOMA ARCADIA LAKE, OK. BIRCH LAKE, OK. BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK. CANTON LAKE, OK. CANTON LAKE, OK. CANTON LAKE, OK. COPAN LAKE, OK. EUFAULA LAKE, OK. FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK. FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK. FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK. FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK. HEYBURN LAKE, OK. HEYBURN LAKE, OK. HULAH LAKE, OK. HULAH LAKE, OK. HULAH LAKE, OK. HULAH LAKE, OK. OCLOGAH LAKE, OK	295,000 812,000 1,691,000 39,000 1,848,000 916,000 3,522,000 3,269,000 300,000 764,000 1,619,000 424,000 1,781,000 1,781,000 1,781,000 1,781,000 247,000 1,326,000 247,000 1,326,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,546,000 3,556,000	295,000 812,000 1,691,000 39,000 1,848,000 916,000 3,522,000 30,000 602,000 330,000 444,000 84,000 1,781,000 3,545,000 1,326,000 247,000 1,182,000 3,546,000 932,000 474,000 932,000 474,000 932,000 932,000 932,000 932,000 932,000 932,000 932,000 932,000 93,554,000 93,554,000 922,000 93,554,000 93,554,000 922,000 93,554,000 93,554,000
(FC) (MP) (FC) (MP) (FC)	SKIATOOK LAKE, OK. TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK. MAURIKA LAKE, OK. WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM. OK. WISTER LAKE, OK. OREGON	474,000 922,000 3,554,000 1,521,000 2,902,000 856,000	474,000 922,000 3,554,000 1,521,000 2,902,000 856,000
(FC) (FC) (MP) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (FC)	APPLEGATE LAKE, OR. BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR. BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR. CHETCO RIVER, OR. CHETCO RIVER, OR. COLUMBIA & UMR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA. COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O COOS BAY, OR. COUTLAGE RIVER, OR. COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR.	699,000 273,000 17,109,000 530,000 11,739,000 8,021,000 344,000 4,433,000 559,000 756,000	699,000 273,000 17,109,000 530,000 14,139,000 8,021,000 344,000 4,433,000 559,000 756,000

TYPE OF		BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(MP) (N)	COUGAR LAKE, OR. DEPOE BAY, OR. DETROIT LAKE, OR. DORENA LAKE, OR. DORENA LAKE, OR. FALL CREEK LAKE, OR. FALL CREEK LAKE, OR. FALL CREEK LAKE, OR. HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR. HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR. HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR. JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA. LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR. LOST CREEK LAKE, OR. LOST CREEK LAKE, OR. MORRAT LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA. PROJUE TO THE LAKE OR. DOREN LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA. SURVEY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA. DOREN LAW RIVER OR & WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR. WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR. WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN, OR.	1,466,000 3,000 2,217,000 597,000 551,000 964,000 2,549,000	1,466,000
(MP) (FC)	DETROIT LAKE, OR	2,217,000	3,000 2,217,000 597,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	FALL CREEK LAKE, OR.	551,000	551.000
(MP)	GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR	964,000 2.549.000	964,000 2,549,000
(MP) (FC)	HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR.	764,000 184,000 14,558,000 4,138,000	764,000 184,000
(MP)	JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	184,000 14.558.000	184,000 14,558,000
(MP) (MP)	LOST CREEK LAKE, OR	4,138,000	4.138.000
(MP)	MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	4,021,000 11,242,000 396,000	4,021,000 11,242,000
(N) (N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS OR	396,000 154,000	
(N)	ROGUE RIVER, OR	1,153,000 102,000 753,000 17,000 56,000	154,000 1,153,000 102,000 753,000
(FĆ) (N)	SIUSLAW RIVER, OR	102,000 753.000	102,000 753 000
(N)	SKIPANON CHANNEL, OR.	17,000	17,000 56,000
(N) (N)	TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR	56,000 13.000	56,000 13.000
(N) (N)	UMPQUA RIVER, OR	13,000 1,228,000 1,201,000 60,000	13,000 1,228,000 1,201,000 60,000
(FĆ)	WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	60,000	60,000
(FC)	WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN, OR	603 000	600,000
(N)	YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	603,000 2,192,000	600,000 603,000 2,192,000
	PENNSYLVANIA ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA. ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA. ATLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA. BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA. BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA. BELE MARSH AKE, PA. COMANESQUE LAKE, PA. COMANESQUE LAKE, PA. COMANESQUE LAKE, PA. CURNENSYLLE LAKE, PA. CURNENSYLLE LAKE, PA. EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA. EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA. FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA. FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA. GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA. JOHNSTOWN, PA. KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA. LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA. MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA. MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA. MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA. MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA. PROMPTON LAKE, PA. PROMPTON LAKE, PA. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA. SILLWATER LAKE, PA. SILLWATER LAKE, PA. TIONESTA LAKE, PA. TIONESTA LAKE, PA. TIONESTA LAKE, PA. UNION CITY LAKE, PA. UNION CITY LAKE, PA. UNION CITY LAKE, PA. WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA. TORESTA LAKE, PA. VORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA. RHODE ISLAND		
(N)	ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	7,586,000 635,000 219,000 830,000 2,194,000 2,076,000 1,301,000 754,000 1,071,000 25,000 744,000 818,000	7,586,000 635,000 219,000 830,000
(FC)	AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	635,000 219.000	635,000 219,000
(FC) (FC)	BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA	830,000	830,000
(FC)	CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA	2,194,000	2,194,000 2,252,000 2,076,000 1,301,000 754,000 1,071,000
(FC)	CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA	2,076,000	2,076,000
(FC) (FC)	CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	754,000	754,000
(N)	ERIE HARBOR, PA	1,071,000 25,000	1,071,000 25,000
(FC)	FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	744,000	25,000 744,000
(FC)	GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA	587,000	587.000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA	744,000 818,000 587,000 181,000 222,000 1,399,000 1,138,000 1,317,000 16,940,000	181,000 222,000
(FC)	KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA	1,399,000	1,399,000
(FC)	MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA	1,138,000	1,399,000 1,138,000 1,317,000 16,940,000
(N) (N)	MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	16,940,000	16,940,000
(FC)	PROMPTON LAKE, PA	1,228,000 586,000	1,228,000 586,000 12,000 4,190,000 60,000
(FC)	PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA	12,000	12,000
(FC)	SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA	60,000	4,190,000
(N) (FC)	SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PASHENANGO RIVER LAKE PA	350,000	350,000 2,418,000 345,000 2,577,000 1,231,000 297,000
(FC)	STILLWATER LAKE, PA	345,000	345,000
(FC)	TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA	2,577,000	2,577,000
(FC)	UNION CITY LAKE, PA	297,000	297,000
(FC) (FC)	YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA	919,000 1,297,000	919,000 1,297,000 2,154,000
(FC)	YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD	586,000 12,000 3,689,000 60,000 350,000 2,418,000 345,000 2,577,000 1,231,000 297,000 919,000 1,297,000 2,154,000	2,154,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, RI	444,000	444,000
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC. CHARLESTON HARBOR SC. COOPER RIVER CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC. FOLLY RIVER. GEORGETOWN HARBOR SC. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC. LITTLE RIVER INLET, SC & NC. MURRELLS INLET, SC. POOTR GOYAL HARBOR, SC. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC. SHIPYARD RIVER, SC. TOWN CREEK, SC.	2 500 000	
(N)	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	2,589,000 4,609,000 3,287,000 392,000 3,088,000 27,000 40,000 42,000	2,989,000 4,859,000
(N) (N)	COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	3,287,000	3,900,000
(N) (FC)	GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	3,088,000	604,000 3,088,000
(N) (N)	LITTLE RIVER INLET, SC & NC	27,000 40.000	27,000 40,000
(N) (N)	MURRELLS INLET, SC	42,000	42,000
(N)	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC	81,000 23,000	151,000 23,000
(N) (N)	SHIPYARD RIVER, SC	395,000 488,000	545,000 588,000
****	SOUTH DAKOTA	400,000	566,000
(MP) (FC)	BIG BEND DAM - LAKE SHARPE, SD	6,457.000	6.457.000
(FC)	COLD BROOK LAKE, SD	201,000	6,457,000 201,000 186,000
(MP)	FT RANDALL DAM - LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD	8,041,000	8,041,000
(FC) (MP) (FC)	CARE INAVERSE, SD & MR	430,000 9.911.000	8,041,000 430,000 9,911,000 311,000
(FC)	BIG BEND DAM - LAKE SHARPE, SD. COLD BROOK LAKE, SD. COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD. FT RANDALL DAM - LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD. LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN. OAHE DAM - LAKE OAHE SD & ND. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD.	6,457,000 201,000 186,000 8,041,000 430,000 9,911,000 311,000	311,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	TENNESSEE		
(MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (FC) (MP) (MP) (N) (N)	CENTER HILL LAKE, TN. CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN. CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN. DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN. J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN. OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN. TENNESSEE RIVER, TN. WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN.	4,938,000 5,559,000 4,694,000 3,908,000 130,000 4,039,000 6,833,000 7,000 13,612,000	4,938,000 5,559,000 4,694,000 3,908,000 130,000 4,039,000 6,833,000 7,000 13,612,000 650,000
	TEXAS		
3833288233388232333333223333332833233323223333282333333	TEXAS AQUILLA LAKE, TX. ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI BANDREL LAKE, TX. BANDREL LAKE, TX. BENBROOK LAKE, TX. CEDAR BAYOU, AND TRIBUTARIES, TX. CANYON LAKE, TX. CEDAR BAYOU, TX. CHANNEL TO PORT MANSFIELD, TX. COOPER LAKE AND CHANNELS, TX. COOPER LAKE AND CHANNELS, TX. COPPIS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX DENISON DAM - LAKE TEXOMA, TX. DOUBLE BAYOU, TX. ESTELLINE SPRINGS, TX. FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM - LAKE O'THE PINES, TX. FREEPORT HARBOR, TX. GIWM - CHOCOLATE BAYOU, TX. GIWM - CHOCOLATE BAYOU, TX. GIWM - CHOCOLATE BAYOU, TX. GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX. GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX. GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX. HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX. HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX. HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX. LAVON LAKE, TX. LAVON LAKE, TX. LAVON LAKE, TX. LAYON LAKE, TX. LAYON LAKE, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX.	627,000 1,162,000 1,162,000 1,162,000 1,160,000	627,000 1,162,000 1,162,000 1,344,000 1,346,000 1,328,000 3,413,000 2,001,000 4,360,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,
	UTAH		
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	41,000 159,000	41,000 159,000
(FC) (FC) (N) (FC) (FC)	VERMONT BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VT. NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY. NORTH HARILAND LAKE, VT HORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT.	854,000 133,000 46,000 555,000 668,000	854,000 133,000 46,000 555,000 668,000 592,000 392,000
(FC)		592,000 392,000	392,000
(N) (N) (N) (N) (N)	VIRGINIA APPOMATTOX RIVER, VA. ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, VA. BROAD CREEK, VA. CHANNEL TO NEWFORT NEWS, VA. CHINCOTEAGUE BAY CHANNEL, VA. CHINCOTEAGUE HARBOR OF REFUGE, VA.	5,000 2,290,000 1,000 50,000 125,000 144,000	5,000 2,290,000 1,000 50,000 125,000 144,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA. GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA. HAMPTON CREEK, VA. HAMPTON CREEK, VA. HAMPTON TOS. NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM HORN HARBOR, VA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA. JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA. JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC. JOHN W FLANNACAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA. LYNNHAVEN INLET, VA. NCHANGAVEN INLET, VA. NORFOLK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), V NORFOLK HARBOR, VA. PARKER CREEK, VA. PARKER CREEK, VA. PARKER CREEK, VA. PARKER CREEK, VA. POTOMAC RIVER AT ALEXANDRIA, VA. POTOMAC RIVER AT ALEXANDRIA, VA. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA. RUDEE INLET, VA. THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA. TYLERS BEACH, VA. WASHINGTON		887,000 1,481,000 210,000 700,000 125,000 84,000 3,567,000 1,498,000 712,000 137,000 232,000 5,000,000 234,000 2,203,000 711,000 6,682,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 110,000
(N)	WASHINGTON	1,246,000	
(MP) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (FC) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP) (MP	WASHINGTON COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR. COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA. EDIZ HOOK, WA. EVERETT HARBOR AND SONDHOMISH RIVER, WA. FRIDAY HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA. GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA. HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA. ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA. ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA. LAKE CROCKETT (KEYSTONE HARBOR), WA. LAKE CROCKETT (KEYSTONE HARBOR), WA. LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA. LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA. LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA. LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA. LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA. MILL CREEK LAKE, VIRGIL B BENNINGTON LAKE, WA. MIT ST HELENS, WA. MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA. OLYMPIA HARBOR, WA. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA. PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA. GUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA. SSEATTLE HARBOR, WA. SITLLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA. SIVEVEILLARBOR, WA. SIVEVEILLARBOR, WA. SIVEVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA. SIVEVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA. SIVEVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA. WEST VIRGINIA WEST VIRGINIA	12,830,000 44,000 38,000 746,000 853,000 57,479,000 1,198,000 1,198,000 1,16,000 34,000 5,187,000 7,547,000 414,000 1,860,000 1,860,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 282,000 185,000 185,000 87,000	12,830,000 44,000 38,000 746,000 853,000 85,000 8,009,000 1,198,000 116,000 34,000 5,187,000 4,186,000 9,000 1,186,000 9,000 1,100,000 1
(N) (FC) (MP)	SWINOMISH CHANNEL, WA. TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR	365,000 66,000 10,820,000	87,000 365,000 66,000 10,820,000 1,002,000
(N)	WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	1,002,000	1,002,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (NFC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	BEECH FORK LAKE, WV BLUESTONE LAKE, WV BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV EAST LYNN LAKE, WV ELK RIVER HARBOR, WV ELKINS, WV INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV R D BAILEY LAKE, WV SYOMERSVILLE LAKE, WV SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV SUTTON LAKE, WV TYGART LAKE, WV	1,069,000 1,647,000 1,427,000 1,520,000 3,000 11,000 73,000 8,759,000 1,504,000 940,000 1,512,000 1,481,000 780,000	1,069,000 1,647,000 1,427,000 1,520,000 3,000 73,000 8,759,000 1,504,000 940,000 1,512,000 1,811,000 780,000
(N) (FC) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N)	ASHLAND HARBOR, WI EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI COX RIVER, WI GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI (DIKE DISPOSAL) INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI KENOSHA HARBOR, WI KENOSHA HARBOR, WI LA FARGE LAKE, WI LA FARGE LAKE, WI LA FOINTE HARBOR, WI MILIMAUKE HARBOR, WI MILIMAUKE HARBOR, WI OORT MASHINGTON WI OORT WASHINGTON WI OORT WASHINGTON WI OORT WASHINGTON HARBOR, WI OORT MASHINGTON HARBOR, WI	276,000 585,000 5602,000 1,018,000 46,000 465,000 329,000 120,000 120,000 22,000 187,000 58,000 40,000 109,000 78,000 188,000	276,000 585,000 2,752,000 1,018,000 3,793,000 465,000 329,000 22,000 22,000 22,000 58,000 40,000 109,000 78,000 188,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N) (N) (N) (N)	SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI. STURGEON BAY HARBOR & LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CAMAL, WISURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WITWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI	299,000	560,000 299,000 424,000 29,000
	WYOMING		
(FC)	JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	1,041,000 36,000	1,041,000 36,000
	MISCELLANEOUS		
	COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION) DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM. DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER). EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS PROGRAM FOR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES MISSISSIPPI RIVER BASIN MAINSTEM MODEL DEVELOPMENT. MONITORING OF COMPLETED COASTAL PROJECTS. NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. NATIONAL BURGREDCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP). OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT PEER REVIEW PROGRAM. PROTECT, CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SECTION 3). REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS. REPAIR EVALUATION MAINTENANCE RESEARCH (REMR II). RIVER CONFLUENCE ICE RESEARCH. WATERBORNE COMMENCE STATISTICS. REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.	2,000,000 2,000,000 480,000 1,000,000 700,000 1,900,000 20,000 20,000 2,650,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 4,000,000 4,000,000 -32,216,000	1,250,000 1,000,000 480,000 700,000 500,000 20,000 20,000 21,175,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 4,000,000 -32,966,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,663,000,000	1,697,015,000

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriate \$43,627,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act as proposed by the House and the Senate.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates \$16,650,000 for General Investigations instead of \$14,548,000 as proposed by the House and \$18,105,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to undertake feasibility studies for water conservation projects in the Deschutes and Rogue River basins in Oregon.

The conference agreement includes language in the bill providing \$250,000 for the Del Norte County and Crescent City, California, Wastewater Reclamation project, and \$250,000 for the Fort Bragg, California, Water Supply project.

The conferees understand that the Bureau of Reclamation has been working cooperatively with interested parties in efforts to secure reliable and safe water supplies for the City and County of Santa Fe, New Mexico. The Bureau is to be commended and is encouraged to continue to provide assistance and work cooperatively with the City and County in the regional planning process to resolve critical issues associated with providing clean, reliable drinking water for the region.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill earmarking funds for the Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation, South Dakota, study. Funding for this study is included in the overall amount provided for General Investigations.

CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$394,056,000 for the Construction Program instead of \$367,496,000 as proposed by the House and \$398,596,700 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have included \$444,000 for the In-Situ Copper Mining Research Project, located near Casa Grande, Arizona, which has been transferred to the Bureau of Reclamation from the Bureau of Mines, for the continuation of the field test as proposed by the House. The funds are to be cost-shared by the private sector partner as provided for in the contract. It is the conferees' understanding that sufficient funds were transferred with the project to support Reclamation's in-house research and oversight responsibilities through the conclusion of the project. The Bureau of Reclama-

tion should closely examine the research data to explore the application of the technology to other of its programs.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000, \$250,000 above the budget request, for the Bureau of Reclamation to undertake an environmental analysis and perform engineering for screening the Contra Costa Canal intake at Rock Slough in California.

In lieu of the directive contained in the House report, the conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to provide private entities with a fair and reasonable opportunity to construct, rather than design and construct, new fish screen and fish recovery facilities associated with the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District's Hamilton City Pumping Plant, with oversight responsibility by the Bureau of Reclamation in cooperation with the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District.

The conferees have provided \$1,100,000, the same as the level provided by the House, for work on alternative fish guidance systems and for new work on a positive barrier screen at Reclamation District 108's Wilkins Slough pumping plant. Of the funds provided, \$500,000 shall be allocated to work on alternative fish guidance systems.

The conferees have provided an additional \$2,500,000 for the Endangered Species Recovery Implementation program for a wetland restoration project to be carried out along the Williamson River in Klamath County, Oregon, pursuant to the Upper Klamath Basin Working Group. Within 30 days of enactment of this Act, these funds shall be transferred in their entirety to a nonprofit entity with expertise in fish and wildlife management, and with a memorandum of understanding with the Bureau of Reclamation, to hold in an interest-bearing account and disburse as appropriate to other entities to accomplish the project purposes. This project shall be carried out jointly between the private entity, the Bureau of Reclamation, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture, and non-Federal interests in the project area.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House that directs the Bureau of Reclamation to complete, by the end of fiscal year 1997, the environmental impact statement being conducted on the proposed Tucson, Arizona, surface reservoir.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in the Senate bill for the Mid-Dakota Rural Water System in South Dakota. Funding for this project has been provided within the overall amount appropriated for the Construction Program.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate extending the authority for the Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991, Public Law 102–250, through 1997. The bill also includes language proposed by the Senate increasing the cost ceiling for the Umatilla Basin project in Oregon.

The conference agreement deletes funding proposed by the Senate for the McCall Wastewater Treatment facility in Idaho and the Devils Lake, North Dakota, Desalination project.

The conference agreement includes \$58,740,000 for the Central Arizona Project instead of \$51,155,000 as proposed by the House and \$58,325,700 as proposed by the Senate. The specific items which comprise the total reduction of \$12,988,000 are as follows: Hayden-Rhodes Aqueduct, Siphon Repairs, Noncontract Costs—

\$1,616,000; Hayden-Rhodes Aqueduct, Other Repairs, Noncontract Costs—\$1,509,000; Other Project Costs, Water Allocations, Noncontract Costs—\$500,000; Other Project Costs, Curation Facilities, O&M During Construction—\$350,000; Other Project Costs, Curation Facilities, Noncontract Costs—\$400,000; Other Project Costs, Native Fish Protection, Major Contracts—\$2,775,000; Other Project Costs, Native Fish Protection, Noncontract Costs—\$332,000; Other Project Costs, Environmental Enhancement, Major Contracts—\$900,000; Other Project Costs, Environmental Enhancement, Noncontract Costs—\$801,000; New Wadell Dam, Recreation Facilities—\$1,550,000; and New Wadell Dam, Noncontract Costs—\$2,255,000. The amount provided for the Central Arizona Project includes \$200,000 for the Sierra Vista effluent recharge project and \$1,470,000 for the Roadrunner Campground at New Wadell Dam.

The conference agreement includes \$225,000 each for the Spring Run Salmon and Coho Salmon programs as proposed by the House. The conferees expect that the funds deposited with the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation for these programs shall be subject to the same process, including matching requirements and competitive selection, as are other grants administered by the Foundation. The conferees emphasize the desire to build partnerships between diverse communities, leverage the use of taxpayer funds and maximize the value of projects selected for funding. The Foundation shall continue to have authority to select projects for funding through this program in order to realize the greatest fish and wildlife benefits.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The conference agreement appropriates \$267,876,000 for Operation and Maintenance instead of \$286,232,000 as proposed by the House and \$280,876,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are aware that the Bureau of Reclamation and the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) have been negotiating an agreement under which BPA will provide direct funding for the annual operations and maintenance costs associated with Reclamation's hydropower generation facilities in the Pacific Northwest. This approach would replace the existing procedure under which Reclamation requests annual appropriations to cover those costs with BPA providing reimbursement to the Treasury. The conferees believe the agreement should provide greater assurance of an appropriate level of funding for maintenance of power facilities thereby reducing the frequency of costly overhauls and increasing the reliability of BPA's power supply. The funding level for Reclamation's operation and maintenance program contained in the conference agreement assumes that direct funding by BPA will be implemented beginning in fiscal year 1997.

The conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to carry out the items of work described in the House and Senate reports.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$12,715,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation Loan Program Account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

Funding for the Contra Costa Canal Rock Slough fish screen project is contained within the amounts appropriated under the Construction Program.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$46,000,000 for General Administrative Expenses instead of \$45,150,000 as proposed

by the House and \$48,307,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The bill includes appropriations to continue work of the Western Water Policy Review Advisory Commission authorized under Public Law 102–575, Title 30, Western Water Policy Review Act. A question has arisen regarding the authority of the Commission to hire temporary staff from outside of the Federal government. It is not the intent of Congress in Section 3007 (c)(1) of the subject Act to require the Commission to obtain permission from the Secretary of the Interior for each temporary position to be filled. This section is included only to advise the Commission of the desirability of utilizing Federal staff where they can be made available to the Commission at no cost. However, given the special needs of the Commission for independent analysis of Federal programs, and the very abbreviated time frame for their work, it is recognized that the Commission must be able to fill temporary positions where necessary with persons from outside of the Federal government.

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
ARIZONA		
HOPI WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY	80,000 100,000 150,000	150,000 200,000
CALIFORNIA DEL MODIF CHITY/ODECCENT CITY WASTEWATER RECLAMATION ST		250,000
DEL NORTE CNTY/CRESCENT CITY WASTEWATER RECLAMATION ST DELTA MODEL DEVELOPMENT GROUP. FORT BRAGG WATER RECLAMATION STUDY. FRIANT UPPER BASIN OPTIMIZATION AND REUSE STUDY. IMPERIAL VALLEY WATER RECLAMATION. LOWER OWENS RIVER STUDY. MYSTIC LAKE WATERSHED MANAGEMENT INVESTIGATION. NEW MELONES TEMPERTURE CONTROL EVALUATION. NEW MELONES TEMPERTURE CONTROL EVALUATION. NEW MELONES WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY. SACRAMENTO COUNTY WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY. SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT. SAN FRANCISCO AREA RECLAMATION STUDY. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COASTAL WATER SUPPLY STUDY. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY. VERDE RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT STUDY.	90,000 75,000 175,000 100,000 100,000 90,000	250,000 75,000 175,000 100,000 100,000 150,000 200,000
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COASTAL WATER SUPPLY STUDY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY VERDE RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT STUDY	250,000 750,000 125,000	1,500,000 250,000 750,000 125,000
COLORADO		
DOLORES RIVER BASIN RUNOFF MODEL UPPER ARKANSAS RIVER NEEDS ASSESSMENT	75,000 100,000	
IDAHO		
IDAHO RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT	250,000 300,000 150,000	250,000 300,000 150,000
KANSAS		
CHENEY RESERVOIR WATER QUALITY INVESTIGATION	100,000	100,000
MONTANA		
COLD CLIMATE WASTEWATER TREATMENT. FORT PECK INDIAN RESERVATION. JEFFERSON RIVER BASIN RETURN FLOW STUDY. MONTANA RIVER SYSTEMS STUDY. WESTERN MONTANA WATER CONSERVATION STUDY. YELLOWSTONE RIVER BASIN STUDY	35,000 80,000 150,000 150,000 100,000	35,000 210,000 80,000 100,000 150,000
NEBRASKA		
NEBRASKA WATER SUPPLY ASSESSMENT	100,000	100,000
CARSON RIVER BASIN		200,000 250,000
	100.000	100.000
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE WATER CONVEYANCE PLAN RIO GRANDE/LOW FLOW CONVEYANCE CHANNEL STUDY SAN JUAN GALLUP-NAVAJO PIPELINE	100,000 200,000 	100,000 200,000 150,000
OKLAHOMA		
OKLAHOMA WATER SUPPLY STUDY	125,000	125,000
OREGON CENTRAL OREGON IRRIGATION SYSTEM CONSERVATION FEASIBIL GRANDE RONDE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY	250,000 150,000 200,000 150,000 100,000 200,000 100,000	250,000 150,000 200,000 150,000 100,000 1,000,000 200,000 200,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BLACK HILLS REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX RESERVATION	75,000 	75,000 150,000

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
TEXAS		
EDWARDS AQUIFER REGIONAL WATER RESOURCES AND MANAGEMEN RINCON BAYOU-NUECES MARCH WETLANDS RESTORATION/ENHANCE RIO GRANDE/RIO BRAVO INTERNATIONAL BASIN ASSESSMENT RIO GRANDE CONVEYANCE CANAL/PIPELINE	190,000 100,000 200,000	190,000 100,000 200,000 200,000
UTAH		
ASHLEY/BRUSH CREEK OPTIMIZATION STUDY CARBON/EMERY COUNTY WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN OGDEN RIVER BASIN WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT INVESTIGATI	200,000 100,000 50,000	200,000 50,000 50,000
WASHINGTON		
WASHINGTON RIVER BASIN PLANNING	125,000	125,000
VARIOUS		
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. ENVIRONMENTAL AND INTERAGENCY COORDINATION ACTIVITIES. GENERAL PLANNING STUDIES. INVESTIGATION OF EXISTING PROJECTS. MINOR WORK ON COMPLETED PROJECTS. MISSOURI RIVER BASIN TRIBES IN NO/SO WATER RESOURCES M PALLID STURGEON RECOVERY DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES. UPPER SNAKE RIVER BASIN STORAGE OPTIMIZATION	360,000 1,745,000 1,985,000 705,000 145,000 250,000 140,000 1,925,000	360,000 1,250,000 1,785,000 705,000 145,000 250,000 1,500,000 50,000
TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	15.095.000	16.650.000
	***********	***********
CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM		
CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION AND		
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECTS		
ARIZONA		
CRBSCP, TITLE I DIVISION IN SITU COPPER MINING RESEARCH PROJECTSOUTHERN ARIZONA WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT	2,300,000 7,000,000	2,300,000 440,000 7,000,000
CALIFORNIA		
BRACKISH WATER RECLAMATION DEMONSTRATION FACILITY CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT: AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION. AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT. DELTA DIVISION. MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS. SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION. SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION. SAN JUS UNIT. SHASTA DIVISION. TRINITY RIVER RESTORATION PROGRAM. LOS ANGELES AREA WATER RECLAMATION / REUSE PROGRAM. SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM. SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM. SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT, WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE SAN JOSE WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM.	11,000,000 2,500,000 8,850,000 14,200,000 7,200,000 4,737,000 2,900,000 500,000 14,300,000 9,340,000 5,800,000 5,800,000	1,000,000 11,000,000 2,500,000 9,100,000 14,850,000 9,115,000 4,737,000 500,000 5,000,000 14,300,000 9,340,000 5,800,000 2,760,000
IDAHO		
MINIDOKA NORTH SIDE DRAINWATER PROJECT	180,000	180,000
NORTH DAKOTA		
GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, P-SMBP	21,600,000	23,000,000
OREGON		
UMATILLA BASIN PROJECT	4,900,000	6,100,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BELLE FOURCHE UNIT, P-SMBP MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER SYSTEM	5,100,000 2,500,000 28,350,000	5,100,000 10,000,000 28,350,000

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
TEXAS		
NORTHWEST WASTEWATER REUSE PROJECT		2,000,000
WASHINGTON		
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT, IRRIGATION FACILITIES YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	2,590,000 4,475,000	2,590,000 4,475,000
VARIOUS		
COLUMBIA / SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT	15,000,000 10,500,000 4,350,000 14,511,000 6,759,000 2,500,000	15,000,000 10,500,000 2,000,000 1,500,000 17,011,000 6,759,000 1,300,000
SUBTOTAL, REGULAR CONSTRUCTION	53,620,000	54,070,000
DRAINAGE AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION: BOISE PROJECT, ID BRANTLEY PROJECT, NM. COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM, AZ, CA, CRSP, DALLAS CREEK PROJECT, CO. KLAMATH PROJECT, OR, CA. LAKE MEREDITH SALINITY CONTROL PROJECT, NM, TX. LEADVILLE / ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT, CO. MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT, CA, NV. PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM: NORTH LOUP DIVISION, NE. OAHE UNIT, SD. CRECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT ACT-TITLE 28, VARI RIO GRANDE PROJECT, NM, TX. SAN LUIS VALLEY, CLOSED BASIN DIVISION, CO. TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION, AZ. VELARDE COMMUNITY DITCH, NM. WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT, VARIOUS. YAKIMA FISH PASSAGE/PROTECTIVE FACILITIES, WA. SUBTOTAL, DRAINAGE AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION.	200,000 700,000 2,100,000 300,000 2,245,000 100,000 650,000 1,700,000 6,550,000	200,000 700,000 2,100,000 300,000 2,245,000 100,000 650,000 1,700,000 6,550,000
PICK-SLOAM MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM: NORTH LOUP DIVISION, NE	900,000 85,000 3,515,000 1,000,000 400,000 500,000 2,000,000 3,938,000 370,000	900,000 85,000 1,155,000 1,000,000 400,000 500,000 3,200,000 4,138,000 370,000
SUBTOTAL, DRAINAGE AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION	27,253,000	27,293,000
SAFETY OF DAMS PROGRAM: CROOKED RIVER PROJECT, OCHOCO DAM, OR. CVP, FOLSOM DAM (MORMON ISLAND), CA DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM, VARIOUS. INITIATE SAFETY OF DAMS CORRECTIVE ACTION STUDIES. SALT RIVER PROJECT, BARTLETT DAM, AZ. SALT RIVER PROJECT, BORDED DAM, AZ. SAN ANGELO PROJECT TWIN BUTTES DAM, TX. SAN CARLOS IRRIGATION PROJECT, COOLIDGE DAM, AZ. SCOFIELD PROJECT, SCOFIELD DAM, UT. YAKIMA PROJECT, BUMPING LAKE DAM, WA.	900.000 1.750.000 1.200.000 25,050.000 2.500.000 3.097.000 403.000 23.000.000 21.000.000 500.000 640.000	900,000 1,750,000 1,200,000 25,050,000 2,500,000 3,097,000 403,000 23,000,000 23,000,000 600,000
SUBTOTAL, SAFEY OF DAMS PROGRAM		59,261,000
REHABILITATION AND BETTERMENT: SHOSHONE PROJECT, WY	1,459,000	1,459,000
SUBTOTAL REHABILITATION AND BETTERMENT	3,159,000	3.159.000
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM. IMPROVED RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT CONTROL SYSTEM (PHAS TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT. WATERSHED RIVER SYSTEM MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. WATER TREATHENT TECHNOLOGY. WATER TECHNOLOGY/ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH. SUBTOTAL, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY. TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION AND	540,000 400,000 400,000 1,000,000 2,000,000 3,800,000	1,415,000 300,000 1,000,000 1,300,000 2,800,000
SUBTOTAL, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	8,140,000	6,815,000
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION AND COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECTS	319,515,000	335,035,000

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT		
UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN FUND AND PARTICIPATING PROJECTS		
COLORADO		
ANIMAS-LA PLATA PARTICIIPATING PROJECT		8,500,000 6,115,000
UTAH		
CENTRAL UTAH PARTICIPATING PROJECT, BONNEVILLE UNIT RECREATIONAL AND FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES	7,495,000 2,440,000	7,495,000 2,440,000
TOTAL, COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT	25,550,000	24,550,000
COLORADO RIVER BASIN PROJECT		
CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT		
ARIZONA		
CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, WATER DEVELOPMENT (LCRBDF) CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, SAFETY OF DAMS	71,728,000 4,918,000	58,740,000 4,918,000
TOTAL, COLORADO RIVER BASIN PROJECT	76,646,000	63,658,000
ASSOCIATED ITEMS		
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS	-29,187,000	-29,187,000
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	392,524,000	394,056,000
LOAN PROGRAM		
ARIZONA		
TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION - SCHUK TOAK DISTRICT	1,810,000	1,810,000
CALIFORNIA		
CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER SUPPLY PROJECT	1,030,000	2,000,000 1,650,000 1,030,000 1,500,000 1,650,000
OREGON		
MILLTOWN HILL PROJECT, DOUGLAS COUNTY	2,650,000	2,650,000
VARIOUS		
LOAN ADMINISTRATION	425,000	425,000
TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM	12,715,000	12,715,000

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreements are discussed below.

REPROGRAMMINGS

The conference agreement does not provide the Department of Energy with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 1997 unless specifically identified in the House, Senate, or conference reports. Any reallocations of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees in advance in writing and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees. This action has been taken as a result of liberal use of this authority by the Department to fund activities which were neither presented to nor approved by Congress. The Committees will review the need for this authority as part of the fiscal year 1998 appropriations process.

USE OF RECEIPTS FROM LEASING OR SELLING GOVERNMENT PROPERTY OR ASSETS

The conferees expect the receipts from either the lease or sale of government assets, less the costs directly related to the lease or sale, to be remitted to the United States Treasury unless specific authority is contained in the Appropriations Act permitting the Department to retain these receipts to offset funding requirements.

The Department should perform a comprehensive review of current government assets which may be available for lease or sale and the potential revenues available from such sources, and be prepared to discuss this issue and the need for additional legislation during the fiscal year 1998 appropriations process.

PROGRAM DIRECTION ACCOUNTS

The conferees expect the Department to adhere to the funding levels provided for each program direction account in fiscal year 1997. If any funds other than the unobligated balances available for these specific activities at the end of fiscal year 1996 are to be used, the Department is expected to submit a reprogramming to Congress. This requirement pertains to the use of any prior year deobligations or any other reserve or other program accounts which may be used to augment the program direction funding.

GENERAL REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC PROGRAM DIRECTIONS

In the event that specific program guidance contained in the House, Senate, or conference reports requires a general reduction of available funding, such reductions shall not be applied disproportionately against any program, project, or activity.

ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$2,710,908,000 for Energy Supply, Research and Development Activities instead of \$2,668,573,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,764,043,000 as proposed by the Senate. The agreement deletes language proposed by the House directing that \$1,440,000 be made available for FTE reductions, and deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$5,000,000 for research of converting saline water to fresh water.

SOLAR AND RENEWABLE ENERGY PROGRAMS

Funding of \$29,000,000 is provided for the wind energy program, of which \$2,000,000 shall be for the Kotzebue, Alaska project.

Funding of \$55,300,000 for biofuels energy systems is equally divided between two distinct programs, the power systems program and the transportation program. The \$27,650,000 provided for the power systems program includes the budget request amount to complete demonstration of the hot-gas filtration system at the gasifier in Hawaii. This year's funding is the final year of funding for this project. The power systems program also includes funding for the gasifier in Burlington, Vermont, and \$4,000,000 for the biomass cogeneration turbine development program, \$1,000,000 less than the amount requested. The transportation program includes \$3,000,000 for the cost-shared biomass ethanol plant in Gridley, California, and \$1,000,000 for testing forest residue feedstocks at the Department's biomass ethanol user facility, with the remainder of the funds made available for biochemical conversion. The conferees direct that funding for the regional biomass program and the feedstock program be allocated equally between the power systems and transportation programs.

Funding of \$750,000 for the solar international program is to be allocated to nongovernmental organizations which are active in joint implementation activities to develop specific international energy projects. The conferees direct that any carryover funds available on October 1, 1996 in the solar international and solar transfer programs be used only to honor existing contracts. Carryover funds from these two programs are not to be available for obligation for new contracts or agreements.

Funding of \$30,000,000 is provided for the geothermal program, including \$300,000 for the Geo-Heat Center at the University of Oregon Institute of Technology and \$2,000,000 for the Geysers geothermal project, which represents the final Federal contribution to this program.

Funding of \$1,000,000 is provided for hydropower for the cost-shared fish-friendly turbine research and development program.

Funding of \$4,000,000 is provided for renewable Indian energy resources, including \$1,000,000 for the final Federal share of the Haida Alaska Native Village Corporation's Reynolds Creek hydroelectric project, \$2,000,000 for the Eyak Native Corporation's Power Creek hydroelectric project and \$1,000,000 for the Klawock-Thorne Bay-Kasaan electrical intertie.

Due to severe budget constraints, the conferees have not included the Senate language encouraging the Department to start a new program developing metal matrix composites.

The conference agreement does not direct a specific reduction

in the number of federal employees at Headquarters.

NUCLEAR ENERGY

The conferees have provided \$38,000,000 for the light water reactor program, \$2,000,000 less than the budget request and the Senate amount. This is the final Federal contribution to the light water reactor program. The conferees have not included funding to demonstrate or study annealment of reactor cores.

The conferees note that there is insufficient funding to support a viable nuclear engineering and radiation science research program. This program is underfunded to the point where the viability of the nuclear engineering academic departments in the United States, and the nuclear science capability of the nation, are at risk. The health and vitality of the academic infrastructure in nuclear science and engineering in the U.S. depends on an adequately funded research program. Therefore, the conferees urge the Department to include sufficient funding to reinstate the Nuclear Engineering Education Research program in the fiscal year 1998 budget request.

The conference agreement includes \$12,704,000 for the isotope support program including \$5,000,000 to implement the Department's record of decision on the production of molybdenum-99.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The Radiation Effects Research Foundation (RERF) is a private foundation co-funded by the governments of the United States and Japan to study the effects of radiation on the survivors of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombings. The conferees agree that this program is a defense-related activity and have included the fiscal year 1997 funding of \$15,000,000 in the environment, safety and health program under Other Defense Activities.

ENERGY RESEARCH

Biological and environmental research

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the final phase of the Biomedical Information Communication Center at the Oregon Health Sciences University. The database resulting from the project will be used to track the efficacy and effect of medical treatments, and assist in research efforts associated with the long-term effects of low-level exposure to potential environmental hazards such as radiation or electromagnetic fields. The conference agreement also includes \$3,000,000 for the Indiana University School of Medicine. The University is nationally renowned for its achievements in the field of nuclear medicine. This contribution will allow the university to expand its efforts in the research and treatment of cancer, AIDS and other life-threatening diseases.

Within available funds, \$1,000,000 is provided to establish a collaborative Boron Neutron Capture Therapy (BNCT) program utilizing the nuclear radiation capabilities at the McClellan Nuclear

Radiation Center (MNRC). This program will help establish the efficacy of BNCT for the treatment of inoperable brain tumors and will expand to include other difficult-to-treat malignancies such as melanoma, skull-base tumors, inherently radio-resistant tumors, long-bone sarcoma in children and pediatric brain tumors.

Fusion

The conferees have provided \$232,500,000 for the fusion energy program, an increase of \$7,500,000 over the House recommendation. The conferees support the House and Senate inclusion of program direction and computational support within the amount provided for the fusion program. The conferees encourage the Department to reduce the amount identified for program direction, but do not stipulate amounts for program direction or computational support. To further provide maximum flexibility, the conferees have not included the prescriptive language included in the House report.

The conferees have provided funds to continue and complete operations and provide for safe shutdown of the TFTR in fiscal year 1997. This is the final year of funding for fusion operations at the TFTR.

The conference agreement includes funding to continue the U.S. participation in the engineering design activities phase of the international thermonuclear experimental reactor (ITER) project, to which the United States is committed through fiscal year 1998.

Basic energy sciences

Funding of \$7,000,000 is provided for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR) program. Also, the conference agreement provides \$3,200,000, for the Midwest Superconductivity Consortium. The conferees support collaborative multi-institution, multi-discipline materials research efforts involving ion exchange membranes, ion exchange resins, and solidification-stabilization for immobilization of hazardous wastes. The conferees are aware of an industrial multi-institutional consortium in the southeast which is exploring research in these applications and encourages the Department to determine whether there is a Departmental interest in joining this consortium.

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the University of Alabama. Funding of \$9,500,000 is provided to complete the Energy, Minerals, and Materials Research Center which focuses on fundamental research in state-of-the-art manufacturing technologies related to energy efficiency and conservation, environmentally responsible production techniques and advanced information systems at the University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa. The remaining \$500,000 is provided to the University of Alabama-Birmingham in support of a cooperative research agreement to use magnetic resonance imaging systems to develop advanced cardiovascular imagapplications. The conference agreement also\$7,000,000 for the Center for Technological Research with Industry at Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology. This project will complement the school's ongoing efforts to increase our nation's competitiveness by coordinating technology-based research with industrial and governmental sponsors.

Other energy research activities

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the establishment of the energy and environmental technology applications project at the University of Southwestern Louisiana. The project will enhance fundamental automation research in areas designed to improve the nation's global competitiveness and energy efficiency.

The conferees have included the House recommendation for program direction, \$30,600,000, but do not agree with the House direction that \$2,500,000 be available for expenses related to workforce reduction. The conferees have not recommended a specific amount for the technology transfer program.

ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

The conferees agree with the House recommendation that funding for University and Science Education programs be provided from the sponsoring programs in the Department. The Department of Energy spends well over \$100,000,000 throughout its programs to support science and education activities. To the extent such activities benefit and are a byproduct of the line programs, those programs should, within available funds, be the educational sponsor.

IN-HOUSE ENERGY MANAGEMENT

Last year, Congress eliminated the In-House Energy Management program as a stand-alone program. Notwithstanding this direction, the Department defied the clear intent of Congress and continued the program by using other available Departmental resources. The conferees encourage the Department to continue to carry out energy conservation activities, but do not support the resurrection of a separate program which was eliminated last year. To the extent the Department has not already done so, the conferees recommend that the Department conform its procurement regulations to the procurement authorities provided by subsections (a) and (c) of section 546 of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8256). The conferees expect the Department to set an example and continue to lead the Federal Government in the procurement of energy saving devices and services.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT (NON-DEFENSE)

The conferees agree with the House report language on the Wayne, New Jersey project.

The university robotics program is funded in the Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management program.

URANIUM SUPPLY AND ENRICHMENT ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates net funding of \$1,000,000 instead of \$11,772,000 as proposed by the House and no funding as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes bill language proposed by the Senate which would permit security guards to carry side arms at the gaseous diffusion plants. The conference agreement retains bill language proposed by the Senate providing for payment by the United States Enrichment Corporation of necessary employee and agency contributions to the Thrift Savings Fund.

The conferees agree to provide up to \$10,000,000 of program funds for transparency measures.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$200,200,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$205,200,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement retains language proposed by the House providing \$34,000,000 for the uranium and thorium reimbursement program.

GENERAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$996,000,000 for General Science and Research Activities as proposed by the House instead of \$1,000,626,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$182,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$200,028,000 as proposed by the Senate and deletes language proposed by the House making the appropriation subject to authorization. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House prohibiting distribution of funds appropriated under this heading for the State of Nevada or affected units of local government. The agreement also includes language proposed by the Senate requiring the Secretary to prepare a viability assessment of the Yucca Mountain site, amended to impose a deadline of September 30, 1998 instead of June 30, 1998 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees direct that the appropriated funds be used in accordance with the Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Draft Program plan issued by the Department in May 1996 and for interim storage activities as authorized by law.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$215,021,000 for Departmental Administration instead of \$194,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$218,017,000 as proposed by the Senate. Revenues of \$125,388,000 are estimated to be received in fiscal year 1997, resulting in a net appropriation of \$89,633,000. The proposed funding level includes \$6,000,000 available only for severance, termination, and related costs resulting from the reduction in personnel in Departmental Administration. The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 in environmental policy studies for the Department to continue analytic global climate change studies.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the House specifying end-of-year employment levels by organization in the Department of Energy. However, the conferees are cognizant of these proposed employment levels and strongly urge the Department to use these as a guideline for proportionate reductions in fiscal year 1997.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate to provide voluntary separation incentives for the Department of Energy and to require payment by the Department of Energy to the Office of Personnel Management.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$23,853,000 instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$23,103,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree that the current case load of the Office of Contractor Employee Protection does not support a separate office of the current size, and direct the Inspector General to assume the responsibility for these activities.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

Weapons activities

The conference agreement appropriates \$3,911,198,000 instead of \$3,684,378,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,988,602,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement supports the direction provided in the Senate report for the stockpile stewardship program with the following adjustments. An increase of \$30,000,000 over the budget request is provided for the accelerated strategic computing initiative. An additional \$10,000,000 over the budget request has been provided for the technology transfer program; within this program up to \$10,000,000 should be allocated for the American Textile Partnership (AMTEX), and up to \$10,000,000 should be allocated for the Advanced Computational and Technology Initiative (ACTI). The conference agreement provides specific funding levels identified by the House and Senate for the University of Rochester and the Naval Research Laboratory in the inertial confinement fusion program.

For core stockpile management, the conference agreement provides \$1,834,470,000. Funding of \$150,000,000 is provided for a new tritium source, instead of \$100,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$160,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees direct the Department to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations prior to initiating in-reactor tests of tritium target rods at a commercial light water reactor. Enhanced surveillance activities are increased by \$15,000,000; advanced manufacturing activities are increased by \$80,000,000; and \$6,000,000 is included for upgrades for the tritium recycling facility.

For program direction funding, the conference agreement provides \$325,000,000 which includes \$22,600,000 for the final settlement payment for the community assistance program at Los Alamos, New Mexico. The funding provided is \$31,404,000 less than the budget request for salaries and other expenses, and the conferees agree that these reductions should be taken proportionately as recommended in the House report.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement appropriates \$5,459,304,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of \$5,409,310,000 as proposed by the House and \$5,605,210,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes language included by the Senate earmarking \$2,000,000 for demonstration of stir-melter technology and \$5,000,000 for the electrometallurgical treatment of

spent nuclear fuel.

The conferees have provided \$15,000,000 for "Closure Projects," a new initiative to accelerate the closure of facilities or significantly reduce outyear mortgage costs. The conferees direct the Department to include funding at an appropriate level for this activity as part of the fiscal year 1998 budget request.

The conference agreement provides \$1,762,194,000 for environ-

mental restoration, the same as the budget request.

Within the waste management program, up to \$2,000,000 is provided for demonstration of stir-melter technology developed by the Department and previously intended to be used at the Savannah River Site. The Department is directed to seek alternative use of this technology to maximize the investment already made in this technology.

The conferees encourage the Department to support planning and concepts refinement for a Systems Approach to Precision Farming and Technology Integration consistent with the 1995 Department of Energy/U.S. Department of Agriculture Memorandum of Understanding.

The conference agreement provides the budget request for site operations activities which were transferred to the nuclear materials and facility stabilization program. This includes the training and transportation budget requests which were reduced by the House.

The conferees also direct the Department to provide \$2,000,000 from the Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management account, through the Department's Memorandum of Understanding with the Department of Health and Human Services, for the Hanford Thyroid study.

Within the budget request for robotics in the technology development program, \$4,000,000 is provided for the university robotics

program as proposed by the House.

The conferees are pleased with the progress to date in implementing the environmental basic research program. In a recent review by the National Research Council, the Council endorsed this program and acknowledged, "* * * establishment of this mission-directed, basic research program as both an urgent and a prudent investment for the nation." The National Research Council report further notes that the, "* * * long-term success of this program is highly dependent on the continuing partnership between EM, which understands the cleanup problems and research needs, and ER, which, through its mission to manage the department's basic research programs, understands how to select and manage research. The committee endorses the efforts made by EM and ER staff to work together and encourages them to continue their ef-

forts to build an effective Environmental Management Science Program."

Due to budget constraints, the conference agreement provides \$170,000,000 for the environmental privatization program at Richland, Washington. The conferees note with much interest the recent National Academy of Sciences (NAS) report on the Hanford high-level waste tank remediation program. The conferees agree with the NAS statement that, "* * a time during which funding is constrained is precisely the wrong time to drop work on alternatives that might achieve satisfactory results at a significantly lower cost." The NAS report notes that developing such backup technologies "* * would still allow remediation to proceed expeditiously." The conferees therefore recommend allocating up to \$15,000,000 for technology development of such alternatives. An example of such alternatives brought to the attention of the Committees is a recent industry proposal to develop small, modular inductive in-can vitrification and in-tank processing as high-payoff backup technologies.

The conferees have provided \$411,511,000 for the program direction account, a reduction of \$35,000,000 from the budget request. The number of Headquarters Federal employees are to be reduced, and travel expenses and advisory and assistance services at Headquarters and the field are to be reduced. The conferees are very concerned with the Department's current plan to reduce employees at Headquarters by transferring them to the field. Any attempt to move employees to field offices without sufficient justification and a demonstrated critical need in the field will not be supported by Congress. Funding for environmental cleanup programs will continue to be constrained next year, and the Department must ensure that it is not just moving the problem to another year

and another location.

The conference agreement maintains the current policy that no cleanup funds are to be used for economic development activities. The conferees have provided \$62,000,000 in the worker and community transition program which was established and authorized to fund such activities, and expect all economic development activities to be funded from that program.

The conferees note with concern the tendency of the FY 1997 defense authorization Act to disregard an equitable allocation of funds added above the budget request in the Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management account. Therefore, the conferees encourage the Department to prioritize those programs and activities which would benefit from these additional funds and submit a reprogramming request necessary to implement such programs and activities which the Department deems a priority for the Defense Environmental Management mission.

Hanford Tank Farms privatization

The conferees support statements in the House report that steps should be taken to minimize any negative budgetary impact on current cleanup activities at Hanford resulting from the creation of a privatization fund for the Hanford Tank Waste Remediation System program. The Department has also advised the conferees that this privatization fund does not take monies away from the

Hanford cleanup operating budget for FY 1997. Despite these assurances, however, concerns persist that the privatization fund will result in further funding cuts to Hanford's operating budget and accompanying job losses at the site. In response to these concerns, the conferees state their agreement with the Department that the specific establishment of the privatization fund will not directly cause additional delays in cleanup schedules or layoffs at Hanford in FY 1997.

Furthermore, the conferees strongly encourage the Department, to the maximum extent possible, to allocate savings that result from the new management contract at Hanford and any prior year balances to the privatization program for the treatment of high and low level waste at the Hanford site.

FIXED ASSET ACQUISITION

The conference agreement provides \$160,000,000 for this activity, instead of \$134,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$182,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. This funding is included in the Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management appropriation account.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,605,733,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of \$1,459,533,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,606,833,000 as proposed by the Senate. Details of the conference agreement are provided below.

NONPROLIFERATION AND NATIONAL SECURITY

The conference agreement provides \$634,472,000 for non-proliferation and national security instead of \$564,272,000 as proposed by the House and \$649,872,000 as proposed by the Senate.

In the nonproliferation and verification research and development program, the conferees have provided an additional \$17,000,000 to the Department to undertake a research and development program to address the technical means for detecting the presence, transportation, production, and use of materials to make biological and chemical weapons.

Within the funding for arms control, a total of \$30,000,000 is for the Industrial Partnering Program, \$7,900,000 is to complete the canning of spent nuclear fuel rods in North Korea, and an additional \$20,000,000 over the budget request of \$92,637,000 is provided for the materials protection, control, and accounting program.

The conference agreement includes the Senate proposal for the intelligence program, and provides \$88,122,000 for the program direction account.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$78,800,000, an increase of \$15,000,000 over the budget request, for defense-related environment, safety and health activities. The conferees have recommended funding the budget request of \$15,000,000 for the Radiation Effects Research Foundation in fiscal year 1997 in this ac-

count. The Foundation had previously been funded in the environment, safety and health (nondefense) account.

WORKER AND COMMUNITY TRANSITION

The conference agreement provides \$62,000,000 for the worker and community transition program instead of \$57,000,000 as provided by the House and \$67,000,000 as provided by the Senate.

NUCLEAR ENERGY (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$45,000,000 for the international nuclear safety program to improve the safety of Soviet-designed nuclear reactors. The conferees have provided \$3,500,000 for preparatory work for converting the fuel in three Russian production reactors so that they do not produce weapons-grade plutonium while providing heat and electricity.

FISSILE MATERIALS DISPOSITION

The conference agreement provides \$103,796,000 for fissile materials disposition, an increase of \$10,000,000 over the budget request. As proposed by the Senate, the additional funding will permit the Department to undertake a cooperative technology effort on the verifiable dismantlement and conversion of plutonium from former Soviet Union weapons. This effort will use new ARIES technology to transform weapons grade plutonium removed from Russian weapons into plutonium oxide or hydride which is unsuitable for weapons.

NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement provides \$681,932,000, as proposed by the House, instead of \$663,932,000 as proposed by the Senate. The additional \$18,000,000 over the budget request will be used to continue test reactor inactivation efforts.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

Alaska Power Marketing Administration

The conference agreement appropriates \$4,000,000, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Bonneville Power Administration

A total of \$3,750,000,000 has been made available to Bonneville as permanent borrowing authority. For fiscal year 1997, the conferees recommend \$277,000,000 in new borrowing authority, a reduction of \$10,000,000 from the budget request. During fiscal year 1997, Bonneville plans to repay the Treasury \$835,000,000, of which \$278,000,000 is to repay principal on the Federal investment in these facilities. The conferees agree that no new direct loans may be made in fiscal year 1997. The conferees agree with the Senate report language pertaining to fish and wildlife agreements and mid-Columbia hydroelectric plants. While the conferees recognize Bonneville's need to remain competitive and assure its payments to the Treasury, Bonneville should make every effort to fulfill the commitments it has made to renewable energy and energy conservation resources.

The conferees have agreed to retain the voluntary separation incentive language provided in fiscal year 1996, but have agreed to limit the authority to September 30, 2000.

BPA energy services business

The changes occurring in the electric utility industry are expected to result in changes to the authorities and responsibilities of the Bonneville Power Administration. The conferees support the efforts of the Governors, through establishment of the Regional Review, to develop consensus recommendations for restructuring Bonneville. The conferees have heard legitimate concerns expressed about Bonneville's formation of an energy services business. While the conferees are not eliminating funding for this venture, it should only be continued in the context of the historic energy efficiency services Bonneville has offered to its existing customers.

The conferees have agreed to limit Bonneville's borrowing authority to \$10 million for their energy services business line, a decrease of \$10 million from Bonneville's request. Including this amount should not be viewed as an endorsement by the conferees of Bonneville's ESB activities. Bonneville should limit its activities to the continuation of historic services to existing customers, including new contracts with existing customers, not to cumulatively exceed \$3 million until the Regional Review has determined the appropriateness of the activities and developed clear parameters. If the Regional Review or ultimately Congressional action does not support Bonneville's proposed new venture, Bonneville should not expand its activities into this area. When entering into these contracts with existing customers, such contracts shall provide full cost recovery. The parameters developed by the Regional Review should address the appropriate level of capitalization, competitive implications and maintenance of a competitive energy services market, and minimize the risk of cross-subsidies from BPA's core power marketing and transmission customers. The conferees expect Bonneville to act consistent with the recommendations made by the Regional Review.

The conferees share the concern of the House report that Bonneville's activities in this area may compete with the private sector. Bonneville shall work with representatives of the energy services industry in the Northwest to reach agreement on principles which assure that Bonneville's activities are structured to enlarge the energy services market and do not compete with work that the private sector could reasonably perform. The conferees understand that, with the exception of Federal agencies, Bonneville has committed to doing virtually no work with retail consumers without the support of the local utility and the conferees expect Bonneville to carry out this commitment.

The Northwest Power Planning Council shall prepare a report on Bonneville's implementation of the Regional Review recommendations regarding the Energy Services Business within 180 days of enactment of this legislation, but in any case not later than May 1, 1997. The Council is encouraged to provide greater definition to the recommendations provided by the Regional Review.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$16,359,000, \$2,500,000 more than the amount proposed by the Senate and \$2,500,000 less than the amount proposed by the House.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$25,210,000, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$193,582,000, instead of \$211,582,000 as proposed by the House and \$201,582,000 as proposed by the Senate.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$970,000, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

The conference agreement appropriates \$146,290,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$141,290,000 as proposed by the House. Revenues are established at a rate equal to the amount provided for program activities, resulting in a net appropriation of zero.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House pertaining to priority placement, job placement, retraining, and counseling programs for Department of Energy employees affected by a reduction in force.

The conference agreement includes language providing that none of the funds appropriated by this or any other Act may be used to implement section 3140 of H.R. 3230 as reported by the Committee on Conference on July 30, 1996. The Secretary of Energy shall develop a plan to reorganize the field activities and management of the national security functions of the Department of Energy and shall submit such plan to the Congress not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The plan will specifically identify all significant functions performed by the Department's national security operations and area offices and make recommendations as to where those functions should be performed.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate pertaining to use of voluntary separation incentives by the Department of Energy and payments to the Office of Personnel Management for retirement benefits.

	Budget Estimate	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT		
SOLAR AND RENEWABLE ENERGY		
Solar energy Solar building technology research. Photovoltaic energy systems. Solar thermal energy systems.	. 86.994	2,500 60,000 22,250
Biomass/biofuels energy systems Power systems Transportation		27,650 27,650
Subtotal, Biomass/biofuels energy systems	80,890	55,300
Wind energy systems Renewable energy production incentive program International solar energy program	2 400	29,000 2,000 750
National renewable energy laboratory	2,200	500
Golden, CO	2,800	2,800
Subtotal, National renewable energy laboratory	5,000	3,300
Solar and renewable energy deployment	8,509	
Total, Solar Energy	263,282	175,100
Geothermal technology development		30,000
Hydrogen research	11,012	15,000
Renewable Indian energy resources		1,000 4,000
Electric energy systems and storage Electric and magnetic fields R&D. High temperature superconducting R&D. Energy storage systems. Climate challenge.	8,000 23,050 4,000	8,000 19,750 4,000
Total, Electric energy systems and storage	36,050	31,750
Program direction	17,301	13,102
TOTAL, SOLAR AND RENEWABLE ENERGY		269,952
NUCLEAR ENERGY		
Nuclear energy R&D		
Light water reactor	40,000	38,000
Nuclear technology R&D	30.000	38,810 20,000
out niege tendiora	16,000	11,520
Test reactor area landlord	3,000	2,000
safety improvements, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	1,000	1,000
Subtotal, Test reactor area landlord	4,000	3,000
Advanced test reactor fusion irradiation University reactor fuel assistance and support	800 6,950	800 4,000
Total, Nuclear energy R&D	137,750	116,130
Termination costs	76,900	76,900
97-E-200 Modifications to reactors, sodium system drain and closure, Argonne National Lab - West, ID	1,200	1,200

	Budget Estimate	Conference
97-E-201 Modifications to reactors, hot fuel		
examination facility equipment upgrades, ANL-W	1,000	1,000
Subtotal, Construction	2,200	2,200
Total Tampiantian acets	70 100	70 100
Total, Termination costs	79,100	79,100
Isotope supportProgram direction	12,704 18,500	12,704 14,800
/ vg an all account	10,500	14,600
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	248,054	222,734
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
Environment, safety and health	73,160	48,200
Program direction	39,046	37,300
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH	112,206	85,500
ENERGY RESEARCH		
Biological and environmental research		
Biological and environmental research R&D	342,962	352,962
91-EM-100 Environmental & molecular sciences laboratory, PNL, Richland, WA	35,113	35,113
Total, Biological and environmental research	379,075	389,075
Fusion energy	255,600	232,500
Fusion energy		
Basic energy sciences Materials sciences	334,560	332,060
Chemical Sciences	173,370 41,250	171,870 41,250 28,185
Engineering and geosciences	41,250	41,250
Energy biosciences	28,185 45,695	45,695
Construction GPE-400 General plant projects	9,275	9,275
97-E-305 Accelerator and reactor improvements and		
modifications, various locations	2,500	2,500
95-E-305 Accelerator improvement projects	9,840	9,840
96-E-300 Combustion research facility, Phase II, SNL/L	9,000	9,000
Subtotal, Construction	30,615	30,615
Total, Basic energy sciences	653,675	649,675
Other energy research		
Computational and technology research	158,143	153,500
Energy research analyses	2,000	2,000
Program direction	42,154	30,600
Multiprogram energy labs — facility support Multiprogram general purpose facilities	7,625	
Construction MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory		
infrastructure projects, various locations	21,260	
95-E-301 Central heating plant rehabilitation, Phase I (ANL)		2,500
95-E-303 Electrical safety rehab (PNL)		1,500
95-E-310 Multiprogram laboratory		2.000
rehabilitation, phase I (PNL)		2,960
Subtotal, Construction	21,260	6,960
Subtotal, Multiprogram gen. purpose facilities	28,885	6,960

***	Budget Estimate	Conference
Environment, safety and health Construction		
96-E-333 Multiprogram energy laboratories upgrades, various locations		7,424
95-E-307 Fire Safety imp. III (ANL)		1,000
95-E-308 Sanitary system mods. II (BNL)		1,032
95-E-309 Loss prevention upgrades (BNL)		4,620
93-E-320 Fire and safety improvements, phase II (ANL)		224
Subtotal, Environment, safety and health		14,300
Subtotal, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. suppor	28,885	21,260
Total, Other energy research	231,182	207,360
TOTAL, ENERGY RESEARCH	1,519,532	1,478,610
ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		
University and science education programs	19,900	
Technical information management program	2,300	2,300
Program direction	8,700	8,700
Construction	1,000	1,000
Total, Technical information management program	12,000	12,000
Field offices and management	121,723 14,900	98,400
In-house energy management	3,941	
IHE - 500 Modifications for energy mgmt	1,759	
Total, In-house energy management	5,700	
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	174,223	110,400
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION & WASTE MGMT. (NON-DEFENSE)		
Environmental restoration	358,239	328,000
Waste management	192,799	177,994
Construction 97-E-600 ANL waste handling facility, CH	360	360
94-E-602 Bethel Valley federal facility agreement upgrades, ORNL	1,106	1,106
91-E-600 Rehabilitation of waste management building 306, ANL	2,066	2,066
88-R-830 Liquid low-level waste collection and transfer system upgrade, ORNL	2,692	2,692
Subtotal, Construction	6,224	6,224
Total, Waste management	199,023	184,218
Nuclear materials and facilities stabilization	84,782	73,100
93-E-900 Long-term storage of TMI-2 fuel, INEL	6,571	6,571
Total, Nuclear materials and fac stabilization	91,353	79,671

	Budget Estimate	
Site operations	2,799	
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT	651,414	591,889
Subtotal, Energy supply, research and development.	3,068,674	2,759,085
Use of prior year balances	-48,177	-48,177
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	3,020,497	2,710,908
URANIUM SUPPLY AND ENRICHMENT ACTIVITIES		
Uranium program activities Program direction. Construction	77,594 5,672	52,466 4,000
96-U-201 depleted UF6 cylinder storage yards, Paducah, Kentucky gaseous diffusion plant	4,000	4,000
Subtotal, Uranium supply & enrichment activities	87,266	60,466
Revenues - Sales	-42,200 -17,266	-42,200 -17,266
TOTAL, URANIUM SUPPLY AND ENRICHMENT ACTIVITIES	27,800	1,000
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND		
Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund	240,200	200,200
GENERAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH		
High energy physics Research and technology. Physics research.	141,290	210,000
Facility operations		360,075
97-G-303 Master substation upgrade, SLAC	3,000	3,000
94-G-304 B-Factory, SLAC	45,000	45,000
92-G-302 Fermilab main injector, Fermilab	52,000	52,000
Subtotal, Construction	100,000	100,000
Subtotal, Facility operations	462,955	460,075
High energy technology	74,880	
Total, High energy physics	679,125	670,075
Nuclear physics	253,425	250,925
91-G-300 Relativistic heavy ion collider, BNL	65,000	65,000
Total, Nuclear physics	318,425	315,925
General science program direction	11,600	10,000
TOTAL, GENERAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH	1,009,150	996,000
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations Office of the Secretary - salaries and expenses	2,850	2,000

	Budget Estimate	
General management - personnel compensation and		
benefits		100,695
Severance, termination and related cost		6,000 74,900
Program support Minority economic impact	2,900	1,500
Policy analysis and system studies	3,493	500
Consumer affairsPublic affairs	40 65	40 50
Environmental policy studies	4.928	2,500 500
Subtotal, Program support	12,426	5,090
Total, Administrative operations	218,527	188,685
Cost of work for others	26,336	26,336
Total, Departmental administration (gross)	244,863	215,021
Miscellaneous revenues		-125,388
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	119,475	89,633
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL		
Office of Inspector General	30,502 -897	24,750 -897
TOTAL, OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL	29,605	23,853
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Stockpile stewardship Core stockpile stewardship	1,062,570	1,132,570
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization, Phase VI, various locations	19,250	19,250
96-D-103 ATLAS, Los Alamos National Laboratory	15,100	15,100
96-D-104 Process and environmental technology laboratory, SNL	14,100	14,100
96-D-105 Contained firing facility addition,	17,100	17,100
95-D-102 Chemistry and metallurgy research (CMR) upgrades project, LANL	15,000	15,000
94-D-102 Nuclear Weapons Research, development and testing facilities revitalization, Phase V,		
various locations	7.787	7,787
Subtotal, Construction	88,337	88,337
Subtotal, Core stockpile stewardship	1,150,907	1,220,907
Inertial fusion	234,560	234,560
96-D-111 National ignition facility, TBD	131,900	131,900
Subtotal, Inertial fusion	366,460	366,460
Technology transfer	49,400 10,000	59,400 10,000
Subtotal, Technology transfer/education	59,400	69,400

	Budget Estimate	
Stockpile management	1,704,470	1,834,470
Production base 88-D-122 Facilities capability assurance program (FCAP), various locations	21,940	21,940
Environmental, safety and health 97-D-121 Consolidated pit packaging system, Pantox plant, Amarillo, TX	870	870
97-D-122 Nuclear materials storage facility renovation, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	4,000	4,000
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS	1,400	1,400
97-D-124 Steam plant waste water treatment facility, upgrade, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN	600	600
96-D-122 Sewage treatment quality upgrade (STQU) Pantex plant		100
96-D-123 Retrofit HVAX and chillers, for Ozone protection Y-12 plant	7,000	7,000
95-D-122 Sanitary sewer upgrade, Y-12 plant	10,900	10,900
94-D-124 Hydrogen fluoride supply system, Y-12 plant	4,900	4,900
94-D-125 Upgrade life safety, Kansas City plant.	5,200	5,200
94-D-127 Emergency notification system, Pantex plant	2,200	2,200
93-D-122 Life safety upgrades, Y-12 plant	7,200	7,200
Subtotal, Environmental, safety and health	44,370	44,370
Safeguards and security 88-D-123 Security enhancement, Pantex plant	9,739	9,739
Nuclear weapons incident response 96-D-125 Washington measurement operations facility, Andrews Air Force Base, MD	3,825	3,825
Reconfiguration 93-D-123 Non-nuclear reconfiguration, various locations	14,487	14,487
Subtotal, Construction		94,361
Total, Stockpile management	1,798,831	1,928,831
Program direction	334,404	325,600
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	3,710,002	3,911,198
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.		
Environmental restoration	1,385,546 376,648	1,385,546 376,648
Total, Environmental restoration		1,762,194
Waste managemen*	1,448,326	1,490,320
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	7,584	7,584
96-D-408 Waste mgmt upgrades, various locations		11,246
95-D-402 Install permanent electrical service WIPP, AL	752	752

· 	Budget Estimate	Conference
95-D-405 Industrial landfill V and construction/ demolition landfill VII, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN	200	200
94-D-404 Melton Valley storage tank capacity increase, ORNL	6,345	6,345
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA	12,600	12,600
93-D-182 Replacement of cross-site transfer system, Richland, WA	8,100	8,100
93-D-187 High level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	20,000	20,000
89-D-174 Replacement high level waste evaporator, Savannah River, SC	11,500	11,500
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	10,000	10,000
Subtotal, Construction	88,327	88,327
Total, Waste management	1,536,653	1,578,647
Nuclear materials and facilities stabilization	818,664	1,173,718
97-D-450 Actinide packaging and storage facility, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	7,900	7,900
97-D-451 B-Plant safety class ventilation upgrades, Richland, WA	1,500	1,500
97-D-470 Environment monitoring laboratory, Savannah River, Aiken, SC		2,500
97-D-473 Health physics site support facility, Savannah River, Aiken, SC		2,000
96-D-406 Spent nuclear fuels canister storage and stabilization facility, Richland, WA	60,672	60,672
96-D-461 Electrical distribution upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID		6,790
96-D-464 Electrical & utility systems upgrade, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	10,440	10,440
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC		8,541
95-E-600 Hazardous materials training center, Richland, WA		7,900
95-D-155 Upgrade site road infrastructure, Savannah River, South Carolina		4,137
95-D-456 Security facilities consolidation, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, INEL, Idaho	4,645	4,645
94-D-401 Emergency response facility, INEL, ID		547
Subtotal, Construction	85,157	117,572
Total, Nuclear materials & fac. stabilization	903,821	1,291,290
Site operations	297,054	
National Engineering Laboratory, ID	6,790	
97-D-470 Environment monitoring laboratory, Savannah River, Aiken, SC	2,500	
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	8,541	

	Budget Estimate	Conference
97-D-473 Health physics site support facility, Savannah River, Aiken, SC	2,000	
95-E-600 Hazardous materials management and emergency response training center, Richland, WA	7,900	
95-D-1.55 Upgrade site road infrastructure, Sevannah River, SC	4,137	
94-D-401 Emergency response facility, INEL, ID	547	
Total, Site operations	329,469	
Technology development. Policy and management Environmental science program Environmental management privatization Closure projects. Program direction.	48,155 52,136 185,000	303,771 23,155 62,136 170,000 15,000 411,511
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	5,567,710	5,617,704
Savannah river pension refund	-8,000 -150,400	-8,000 -150,400
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT	5,409,310	5,459,304
FIXED ASSET ACQUISITIONS (SEC. 621)		
Defense Environmental Restoration & Waste Management Privatization initiative, various locations	182,000	160,000
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Other national security programs Nonproliferation and national security Verification and control technology Nonproliferation and verification, R&D Arms control	194,919 181,244 29,185	211,919 216,244 34,185
Subtotal, Verification and control technology.	405,348	462,348
Emergency management Nuclear safeguards and security Security investigations Program direction - NN	16,794 47,208 22,000 95,622	16,794 47,208 20,000 88,122
Subtotal, Nonproliferation and national security	586,972	634,472
Environment, safety and health (Defense)	53,094 10,706	68,094 10,706
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	63,800	78,800
Worker and community transitionProgram direction: - WT	62,659 4,341	57,659 4,341
Subtotal, Worker and community transition	67,000	62,000
Fissile materials disposition	73,163 3,633	83,163 3,633
97-D-140 Consolidated special nuclear materials storage plant, site TBD	17,000	17,000
Subtotal, Fissile materials control/disposition.	93,796	103,796
Nuclear energy (Defense) International nuclear safety Nuclear security	66,200 6,000	45,000 3,500
Subtotal, Nuclear energy (Defense)	72,200	48,500
Total, Other national security programs	883,768	927,568

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Naval reactors Naval reactors development	623,130	641,130
GPN-101 General plant projects, various locations	8,200	8,200
97-D-201 Advanced test reactor secondary coolant system refurbishment, INEL, ID	400	400
95-D-200 Laboratory systems and hot cell upgrades, various locations	4,800	4,800
95-D-201 Advanced test reactor radioactive waste system upgrades, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	500	500
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	8,000	8,000
Subtotal, Construction	21,900	21,900
Subtotal, Naval reactors development	645,030	663,030
Program direction	18,902	18,902
Total, Naval reactors	663,932	681,932
Subtotal, Other defense activities	1,547,700	1,609,500
Use of prior year balances		-3,767
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	1,547,700	1,605,733
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	200,000	200,000
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	11,049,012	11,336,235
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
ALASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance/program direction	4,000	4,000
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		=============
Operation and maintenance Operation and maintenance/program direction Purchase power and wheeling	3,989 23,456	3,989 23,456
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	27,445	27,445
Use of prior year balances	-6,545	-11,086
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	20,900	16,359
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance Operating expenses Purchase power and wheeling. Program direction. Construction.	2,793 1,095 17,862 6,054	2,793 1,095 17,862 6,054
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	27,804	27,804
Use of prior year balances	-904	-2,594
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	26,900	25,210

	Budget Estimate	Conference
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance Construction and rehabilitation. System operation and maintenance. Purchase power and wheeling. Program direction. Utah mitigation and conservation.	33,453 74,235	29,764 33,453 74,235 105,807 5,432
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	248,691	248,691
Use of prior year balances	-30,800 3,774	-55.109 3,774
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	217,891	193,582
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
Operation and maintenance	970	970
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS	270,661	240,121
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commission	159,397 -159,397	156,290 -10,000 -146,290
TOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		,
FIXED ASSET ACQUISITIONS (SEC. 621)		
Energy Supply, Research and Development Basic sciences 95-E-300, Combustion research facility, Phase II, SNL/L	13,000	
General Science and Research Activities High energy physics 94-G-304, B-factory, SLAC	35,100 36,750	
Nuclear physics 91-G-300, Relativistic heavy ion collider, BNL	131,216	
Subtotal, General Science and Research Activities.		
TOTAL, FIXED ASSET ACQUISITIONS (SEC. 621)	216,066	
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND		
Discretionary funding	200,028	182,000
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS		
Energy Programs: Energy Supply, Research and Development	3,020,497	2,710,908
Uranium Supply and Enrichment Activities	70,000 -42,200	43,200 -42,200
Total, Uranium supply and enrichment	27,800	1,000
Uranium enrichment D&D fund	240,200 1,009,150 200,028	200,200 996,000 182,000
Departmental AdministrationRevenues	244,863 -125,388	215,021 -125,388
Total, Departmental administration	119,475	89,633
Office of the Inspector General	29,605	23,853
Total, Energy programs	4,646,755	4,203,594

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Defense function	(5,591,310) (891,614)	(5,619,304) (792,089)
Total, Environmental Restoration and Waste Mgmt	(6,482,924)	
Atomic Energy Defense Activities Weapons Activities Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Mgmt Fixed asset acquisitions (sec. 521)	3,710,002 5,409,310 182,000 1,547,700 200,000	3,911,198 5,459,304 160,000 1,605,733 200,000
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	11,049,012	11,336,235
Power Marketing Administrations Alaska Power Administration	20.900	4,000 16,359 25,210 193,582 970
Total, Power Marketing Administrations	270,661	240,121
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Salaries and expenses	159,397 -159,397	146,290 -146,290
Total, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission		
Fixed asset acquisitions (sec. 621)	216,066	
TOTAL, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS	16,182,494	15,779,950

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement appropriates \$160,000,000 instead of \$155,331,000 as proposed by the House and \$165,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Of the total amount appropriated, \$57,000,000 is provided for area development, \$3,331,000 is provided for salaries and expenses, and \$99,669,000 is provided for highway development.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates \$16,000,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board instead of \$12,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$17,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

The conference agreement appropriates no funding for Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$342,000 as proposed by the Senate and appropriates no funding as a contribution to the Delaware River Basin Commission instead of \$500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

INTERSTATE COMMISSION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN

The conference agreement appropriates no funding as proposed by the House instead of \$508,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

The conference agreement appropriates \$471,800,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. Of this amount, \$14,500,000 is to be provided from general funds; the remainder, \$457,300,000, is to be fully offset by fees and collections.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates \$2,531,000 as proposed by the House and Senate.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House making the appropriation subject to the authorization required under the heading "Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund" and includes technical language proposed by the House to derive funds from the Nuclear Waste Fund instead of technical language proposed by the Senate to transfer funds from the Nuclear Waste Fund.

SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

The conference agreement appropriates no funds for Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$322,000 as proposed by the Senate and appropriates no funds as a contribution to the Susquehanna River Commission as propose by the House instead of \$300,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The conference agreement appropriates \$106,000,000 for the Tennessee Valley Authority instead of \$97,169,000 as proposed by the House and \$113,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement earmarks \$15,000,000 for the Environmental Research Center in Muscle Shoals, Alabama instead of prohibiting the use of funds for the center (except for necessary termination expenses) as proposed by the House and \$20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement earmarks \$6,000,000 for Land Between the Lakes instead of \$5,000,000 as proposed by the House

and \$8,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement earmarks \$15,000,000 for economic development instead of \$16,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$9,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$70,000,000 for steward-

ship and land and water activities of the TVA.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds for detailed engineering, design and construction of a replacement for Chickamauga Lock and Dam on the Tennessee River system.

The conferees agree to require TVA to comply with reprogramming guidelines. The House and Senate Committees will work with TVA to establish detailed guidelines to improve the Authority's financial accountability.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501.—The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House and Senate regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and language proposed by the House prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America.

Sec. 502.—The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House repealing 42 U.S.C. 7262 which provides authority to the Secretary of Energy to accept gifts, bequests, and de-

vises of money.

SEC. 503.—The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which provides that none of the funds made available by this Act may be used to determined the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for San Luis Unit of the Central Valley Project until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters. The language also provides that the costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified as reimbursable or nonreimbursable by the Secretary of the Interior as described in the Bureau of Reclamation report entitled, "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February

1995" and that any future obligation of funds for drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries pursuant to Reclamation law.

SEC. 504.—The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual if such revision provided for an increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in states that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

SEC. 505.—The conference agreement amends language proposed by the House repealing a provision included in the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1991, which made bypass releases for temperature control purposes at the Shasta Dam nonreimbursable. The conferees have included this provision to make reimbursable any replacement power purchases necessitated by water releases for fishery purposes that must bypass the generators in Shasta Dam, and have made the provision effective upon operation of the Shasta temperature control device or September 30, 1997. The temperature control device construction should be completed early in fiscal year 1997. The conferees anticipate that it will eliminate waste of electrical energy and the need for replacement power purchases, and urge the Bureau of Reclamation to achieve operation as soon as possible.

SEC. 506.—The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which extends the water service contracts for the Bostwick District (Kansas portion), and Bostwick District (Nebraska portion) projects for a period of one additional year after the dates on which each of the contracts would have otherwise expired. The language has been amended to make technical corrections.

SEC. 507.—The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate requiring the Department of Energy to submit a monthly report on adherence to recommendations included in the reports accompanying this appropriations Act. The language has been modified to make this a one-time report, due on February 28, 1997. This report should describe the status and expected actions to be taken for each recommendation included in the House, Senate, or conference report.

Sec. 508, 509, 510.—The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House denying funds to institutions of higher learning which prevent campus access to units of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps or Federal military recruiting on campus, amended to apply only to such subelements of affected institutions which prevent campus access. The language also prohibits the use of funds to enter into or renew contracts with entities failing to comply with statutory reporting requirements concerning the employment of certain veterans.

SEC. 511.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House repealing section 508(f) of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1996, providing the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration the authority to offer employees voluntary separation incentives up to \$25,000. The voluntary separation incentive language is retained and modified to extend the buyout authority until September 30, 2000.

Sec. 512.—The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate regarding scientific review of the Bonneville Power Administration's fish and wildlife programs.

The Managers believe that successful implementation of the Northwest Power Planning Council's (Council) fish and wildlife program would be benefited by the advice of independent scientists with expertise on the enhancement of Columbia River fish and wildlife. The Managers understand that the Council, together with the National Marine Fisheries Service, has established an "Independent Scientific Advisory Board" (ISAB) in order to provide scientific advice to the Council and NMFS on the Council's plan for fish and wildlife for the River system. The Managers have included language in its bill directing the National Academy of Sciences to submit a list of individuals to the Council to serve on an "Independent Scientific Review Panel" (Panel) to review projects for funding under BPA's annual fish and wildlife program. The Managers note that nothing in the bill language precludes NAS from recommending the same scientists that serve on the ISAB to serve on the newly created Independent Scientific Review Panel, provided that members meet the conflict of interest standards spelled out in the bill language. If ISAB scientists are selected to serve on the newly created Panel, such scientists should not be compensated twice for their services.

The Managers understand that the Council has also developed multi-year work plans that are used to make decisions for fish and wildlife projects. The Managers note that nothing in the bill language prohibits the Panel and Peer Review Groups from reviewing such multi-year work plan proposals.

BPA's annual fish and wildlife budget for the Council's program totals well over \$100 million. Its purpose is to protect, mitigate, and enhance fish and wildlife populations along the Columbia and Snake River system. The Managers recognize that the Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority (CBFWA) is presently responsible for prioritizing Council program measures and making recommendations to the Council on projects to be funded through BPA's annual fish and wildlife budget. The Managers understand that each year roughly four hundred proposals are submitted for review by CBFWA in order to receive funding from BPA's annual budget. CBFWA's advice is important. CBFWA members, however, are also the Federal and State fish and wildlife agencies and the tribes who financially benefit from the program. The Managers believe that independent scientific review would remove any suggestion of conflict of interest in prioritizing programs, and add an important element of independent scientific review to the Council decision making process.

The bill language seeks to ensure that Northwest ratepayer dollars are being spent in a cost effective and objective manner. The bill language requires that the Council establish, from a list submitted by NAS, Scientific Peer Review Groups to assist the Panel in making its recommendations to the Council. Projects shall be reviewed based upon the following criteria: projects benefit fish and wildlife in the region; have a clearly defined objective and outcome; and are based on sound science principles.

After review of the projects by the Panel and Peer Review Groups, the Panel shall submit its recommendations on project priorities to the Council for consideration. The Council shall make the Panel's recommendations available to the public for review.

The Council shall review recommendations of the Panel, the Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority, and others, in making its final recommendations to BPA of projects to be funded through BPA's annual fish and wildlife budget. If the Council does not follow the advice of the Panel, it is to explain in writing the basis for its decision. The Managers understand that ocean conditions are a contributing factor to the health of fish and wildlife populations in the region, and have directed the Council to consider the impacts of ocean conditions in making its recommendations to BPA to fund projects. Bill language also directs the Council to determine whether project recommendations employ cost effective measures to achieve its objectives. The bill language expressly states the Council, after review of Panel and other recommendations, has the authority to make final recommendations to BPA on project(s) to be funded through BPA's annual fish and wildlife budget.

The provision shall go into effect upon the date of enactment, and the Managers intend that the provision be used to start the planning process for the expenditure of BPA's FY98 fish and wild-life budget. This provision shall expire on September 30, 2000.

SEC. 513.—The conference agreement includes language renaming Cooper Lake in Texas as the "Jim Chapman Lake."

SEC. 514.—The conference agreement includes language naming a dam on the Rogue River in Jackson County, Oregon, as the "William L. Jess Dam and Intake Structure."

SEC. 515.—The conference agreement includes language designating a portion of the Red River in Louisiana as the "J. Bennett Johnston Waterway."

GENERAL PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House prohibiting the Tennessee Valley Authority from imposing a performance deposit in connection with permits issued for docks and other residential shoreline alterations.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate pertaining to the authority of the State of Oregon to enter into memorandum of understanding with the State of Washington to address issues regarding the Hanford Reservation.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate which gives the State of Oregon an opportunity to review and comment on certain remedial actions at the Hanford Nuclear Reservation in the State of Washington.

The conference agreement deletes language contained in sections 507 and 508 of the Senate bill which would have deferred principal and interest payments for one year on the water service contracts for the Nueces River and Canadian River projects in Texas.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate, the text of S. 534, to provide authority for states to limit the interstate transportation of municipal solid waste and to pro-

vide for state and local government control of the movement of municipal solid waste and recyclable material.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate expressing the sense of the Senate regarding a United States semiconductor trade agreement with Japan.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 1997 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1996 amount, the 1997 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 1997 follow:

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1996	
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1997	20,648,952,000
House bill, fiscal year 1997	19,838,990,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1997	20,736,858,700
Conference agreement, fiscal year 1997	20,401,108,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1996	+465,454,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal	
year 1997	-247,844,000
House bill, fiscal year 1997	+562,118,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1997	-335,750,700

John T. Myers,
Harold Rogers,
Joe Knollenberg,
Frank Riggs,
Rodney P. Frelinghuysen,
Jim Bunn,
Mike Parker,
Bob Livingston,
Tom Bevill,
Vic Fazio,
Jim Chapman,
Peter J. Visclosky,
Managers on the Part of the House.

Pete V. Domenici,
Mark O. Hatfield,
Thad Cochran,
Slade Gorton,
Mitch McConnell,
Robert F. Bennett,
Conrad Burns,
J. Bennett Johnston,
Robert C. Byrd,
Fritz Hollings,
Harry Reid,
J. Robert Kerrey,
Patty Murray,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

 \bigcirc