

### 3.2 Water Resources

There are no perennial, intermittent, or ephemeral drainages within the project area that would be affected. There are no jurisdictional wetlands located within the project area.

There are currently ten groundwater wells, owned by Carlsbad City, located within the surrounding area. No additional groundwater wells are located within the surrounding area. Currently nine of the ten wells are used to provide the municipal water supply for Carlsbad City under their existing water rights. The other existing city well is not properly functioning, and does not contribute to the Carlsbad City municipal water supply. The static groundwater level in this well is approximately 400 feet below surface grade. Water from the wells is pumped from the Capitan subsurface aquifer. The Capitan aquifer is a recharging aquifer and is of good quality in the Carlsbad Area (Uliana 2001).

#### No Action Alternative

There would be no effects on surface water resources under the No Action Alternative. Under the No Action Alternative the nine existing, functioning city wells would continue to pump groundwater from the area to supply water to Carlsbad City under their existing water rights.

#### Proposed Action Alternative

There would be no effects on surface water resources under the Proposed Action Alternative. The currently proposed well would be drilled to approximately 750 feet below the existing land surface into the Capitan aquifer. The proposed well would be used to replace the existing, non-functioning city well and would be used in conjunction with the functioning wells to supply water to Carlsbad City. No new impacts on groundwater resources in the area would be anticipated since the proposed well would withdraw water from the same aquifer as the existing wells. The proposed well would be used to supplement the Carlsbad City municipal water supply infrastructure. No additional water would be removed from the Capitan aquifer beyond what is currently being removed to supply Carlsbad City under their existing water rights.

### 3.3 Federal and State-Listed Species

Eleven Federally protected and 43 State protected species were identified for Eddy County (Appendix A, BISON-M 2008, NMNHP 2008). Based on specific habitat requirements for these species, the highly disturbed nature of the project area, and the lack of suitable and/or potentially suitable habitat, no State or Federally protected species are known to occur within the approximately 2-acre project area.

#### No Action Alternative

There would be no change to the existing conditions and no effects to State or Federally listed species under the No Action Alternative.

#### Proposed Action Alternative

No suitable or potentially suitable habitat exists for any State or Federally listed species within the project area. A "no effect" determination for listed species has been made by a qualified wildlife biologist for the Proposed Action Alternative.

### 3.4 Vegetation and Wildlife

As reported by Bailey (1995), the project area lies within the Southwest Plateau/Plains Dry Steppe and Shrub province, which is generally characterized by arid grasslands in which shrubs and low trees grow. In New Mexico, this province is best described by xerophytic grasses such as blue grama (*Bouteloua*

*gracilis*) and buffalo grass (*Bouteloua dactyloides*); however, mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*) also grows in open stands among the grasses.

Mammal species common to the Southwest Plateau/Plains Dry Steppe and Shrub province include the Mexican ground squirrel (*Spermophilus mexicanus*), gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*), coyote (*Canis letrans*), skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*), and various species of mice, rats, bats, rabbits, and other small mammals.

#### **No Action Alternative**

Under the No Action Alternative, existing vegetation, including native and non-native species, would remain in place and would not provide suitable habitat for most wildlife. Disturbance related vegetation species would likely persist and areas void of vegetation would likely be susceptible to erosion from wind and water.

#### **Proposed Action Alternative**

Activities associated with implementation of the Proposed Action Alternative would not disturb portions of the landscape that are not currently highly disturbed. Native grasses and wildflowers would be seeded in areas disturbed by construction that are not needed for well operation to re-establish an appropriate vegetative cover. Although construction activities may displace existing wildlife temporarily, most animal species in the project area would be able to return after project completion. Some mortality of less mobile species would be expected as a result of construction, but not in quantities that would damage local populations.

### **3.5 Noxious Weeds**

No populations of State-listed noxious weeds were observed in the project area during a recent site visit.

#### **No Action Alternative**

Under the No Action Alternative, no additional ground-disturbing activities would be undertaken. Therefore, there would be no effect on existing noxious weed infestations.

#### **Proposed Action Alternative**

Whenever land is disturbed, the potential exists for the intrusion and establishment of noxious weeds. The Proposed Action Alternative could disturb up to 2 acres of land, depending upon how much space is ultimately needed for construction and staging activities. To minimize the potential for the continued establishment and spread of State-listed and other noxious weeds, a revegetation plan would be implemented. In addition to re-seeding areas disturbed during construction, the introduction of noxious weed seeds would be minimized by a requirement that all equipment used on the project be pressure washed before arriving and leaving the site. As such, the potential for noxious weeds becoming established in the project area over time would be minimal.

### **3.6 Soil Erosion**

Any activities that reduce or eliminate vegetation have the potential to result in soil erosion until vegetation is re-established. The project area has been disturbed as a result of past water well development and is currently surrounded by a region of ranching activities. Ranching (e.g., livestock grazing) and development (e.g., housing and infrastructure) activities often eliminate or reduce vegetation cover, even if only temporarily, and thus become a potential cause of soil erosion during periods of precipitation runoff. Some limited soil erosion at the project area was observed during recent site visits.

**No Action Alternative**

Erosion of existing soils within the project area would continue under the No Action Alternative until such time as the vegetation becomes re-established naturally.

**Proposed Action Alternative**

During construction, the removal of vegetation and disturbance of soil could result in localized soil erosion at the project area. However, standard construction Best Management Practices (BMPs) would be implemented to minimize runoff during construction. Consequently, most runoff would be contained within the active construction site. The re-establishment of native vegetation in the project area following construction would ultimately reduce soil erosion. Because the proposed project could result in the disturbance of more than 1 acre of land, a notice of intent (NOI) would be submitted by the contractor under the New Mexico Construction General Permit and a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPP) would be prepared and implemented.

**3.7 Air Quality**

The Clean Air Act of 1970, as amended, established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) (40 CFR 1 Section 81.332) to protect the public from exposure to dangerous levels of several air pollutants. Eddy County is in Air Quality Control Region (AQCR) 155, also known as the Pecos-Permian Basin AQCR (NMED 2008). The AQCR 155 has been classified as an attainment area for all air pollutants identified in the NAAQS (eCFR 2008). Because of this classification, the proposed project is not subject to Environmental Protection Agency requirements for ambient monitoring. The project area is occasionally used by people driving utility vehicles, which results in the generation of a small amount of exhaust and fugitive dust during dry conditions.

**No Action Alternative**

There would be no effects to air quality under the No Action Alternative.

**Proposed Action Alternative**

Fugitive dust generation from drilling and grading activities in the project area, along with exhaust emissions from heavy equipment and vehicles working on the project, are the only anticipated effects to air quality during construction. These temporary effects would not be expected to be significantly adverse. Fugitive dust would be suppressed by spreading water over disturbed areas where heavy equipment is working during dry conditions. Exhaust emissions from heavy equipment and vehicles working on the project would dissipate rapidly before leaving the project area. There would be no effects to air quality following completion of construction activities and re-establishment of vegetation in disturbed areas.

**3.8 Cultural and Archaeological Resources**

Reclamation conducted a check in the Archaeological Records Management Section (ARMS) and found no recorded sites within the proposed project site. The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) also produced a report dated October 2, 2002. The proposed well pad site was previously surveyed and no cultural resources were identified.

A cultural resource survey and data recovery excavations were performed near the project area in 2001 and 2002, respectively, (Mesa Field Services 2002) as part of the environmental evaluation for installing the 30-inch collector line to service the City of Carlsbad's well Number 10. Four sites that represent significant cultural properties and are eligible for nomination into the National Register of Historical Properties (NRHP) were identified. The purpose of the data recovery excavations was to record and



mitigate the portions of the sites to be affected by the proposed pipeline. The mitigation was completed by the BLM and Mesa Field Services in 2002.

**No Action Alternative**

There would be no effects to cultural or archaeological resources, or sacred sites, under the No Action Alternative.

**Proposed Action Alternative**

There are no structures or sites eligible for the NRHP that would be affected by the Proposed Action Alternative. It has been determined that the Proposed Action Alternative would have no effect to cultural or archaeological resources due to the previous surveys and mitigation on the site. If cultural or archaeological resources are encountered during site construction or drilling activities, work would stop and the Reclamation Area Archaeologist would be notified immediately.

In addition, no sacred sites or traditional cultural properties are known to exist in the project area. However should consultation with Tribes result in the identification of any such sites or properties, then Reclamation would consult with the Tribes concerned to ensure no adverse effects result from the Proposed Action Alternative.

**3.9 Indian Trust Assets (ITAs)**

Indian Trust Assets or resources are defined as legal interests in assets held in trust by the U.S. Government for Native American Indian tribes or individual tribal members. Examples of ITAs are lands, minerals, water rights, other natural resources, money, or claims. An ITA cannot be sold, leased, or otherwise alienated without approval of the Federal government. Reclamation consultation with potentially affected Tribes and the Bureau of Indian Affairs has yielded no known ITAs within the project area.

**No Action Alternative**

There would be no effects to ITAs under the No Action Alternative.

**Proposed Action Alternative**

Because there are no known ITAs within the project area, there would be no effects to ITAs under the Proposed Action Alternative.

**3.10 Socioeconomics**

According to the most recent data from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Accounts (2008), the annual per capita income for the State of New Mexico in 2006 was \$29,725. The 2005 annual per capita income for Eddy County was \$29,132. According to the most recent data from the U.S. Census Bureau (2008), 38.8 percent of the residents of Eddy County were Hispanic or Latino, 1.6 percent were Black or African American, and 1.3 percent were American Indian or Alaska Native in the year 2000. There are no residences located in proximity to the project area.

**No Action Alternative**

There would be no effects to socioeconomics under the No Action Alternative.

**Proposed Action Alternative**

Implementation of the Proposed Action Alternative would result in the creation of a small number of jobs for contractors during site construction and drilling activities. Construction and drilling activities are

anticipated to take approximately 30 days to complete and would employ 2 to 3 individuals during that time period. Assuming materials would be purchased and workers would be employed from the Carlsbad City area, the Proposed Action Alternative would result in minor beneficial effects on the local economy.

### **3.11 Environmental Justice**

Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, requires that the effects on minority and low-income populations within a project area be given special consideration to determine if the proposed action would result in disproportionate adverse effects to their communities.

#### **No Action Alternative**

A water shortage can be expected to have an impact on the poorest members of a community first; those least able to afford alternative water sources or unable to relocate to areas without shortage. The No Action Alternative could lead to insufficient water for some members of the community during an emergency situation, and would likely impact those with the lowest incomes. Insufficient water may affect health, safety and welfare of the community's poor through lack of water for bathing, clothes washing, cleaning and fire-fighting.

#### **Proposed Action Alternative**

No adverse effects to low-income or minority populations are anticipated under the Proposed Action Alternative. Implementation of the Proposed Action Alternative would provide a supplemental water supply for the City of Carlsbad in times of drought, as well as an emergency water source during power outages.

### **3.12 Visual Resources**

Visual quality in this portion of Eddy County varies somewhat, depending on the specific site in question and the viewer. In general, the regional landscape near the project area is well vegetated with upland plant species. Human-made features within this portion of the landscape are visible, such as roads, utility corridors, water tanks, and ranch houses. However, most of these features do not dominate the natural landscape. At a more site-specific level, a well house building, fencing, and ground disturbance from well pad development are visually prominent at the project area.

#### **No Action Alternative**

There would be no effects to visual resources under the No Action Alternative.

#### **Proposed Action Alternative**

Impacts of the proposed project include temporary construction effects: dust, noise, increased vehicle traffic to and from the site, and visual impacts of the drill rig and equipment. Once completed, the well and associated equipment would be housed in a small shed, and would blend with the surrounding area. None of these temporary impacts are significant on a local or regional scale.

### **3.13 Cumulative Impacts**

No cumulative impacts from the proposed project are anticipated. This project, in combination with other planned projects in the area (e.g., one mile of 30-inch collector pipeline construction), would not be expected to result in any long-term adverse cumulative effects to identified resources. The short-term cumulative effects of construction activities would be small in the overall regional context and would be temporary in nature.

Permanent impacts include the effects on the Capitan Aquifer tapped by the well; the water produced from the proposed well would not be available to others. These effects were considered by the NMOSE in issuing a permit. The water produced would enter the city water system, and ultimately be released as effluent. There would be few, if any, operational impacts of the well on the natural environment. Because the well supplements the existing City of Carlsbad water supply and is limited in volume by the NMOSE permit, it is unlikely to contribute to additional population growth in the area.

### **3.14 Environmental Commitments**

- Should evidence of possible scientific, prehistoric, historic, or archeological data be discovered during the course of this action, work will cease at that location and the Reclamation archaeologist will be notified by phone (505-462-3644) immediately, with the location and nature of the findings. Care will be exercised so as not to disturb or damage artifacts uncovered during operations, and the proponents will provide such cooperation and assistance as may be necessary to preserve the findings for removal or other disposition by the Government. Any person who knows or has reason to know that he or she has inadvertently discovered human remains on Federal or tribal lands, must provide immediate telephone notification of the inadvertent discovery, with written confirmation, to the responsible Federal agency official with respect to Federal lands, and, with respect to tribal lands, to the responsible Indian tribe official. The requirement is prescribed under the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (P.L. 101-601; 104 Stat. 3042) of November 1990 and National Historic Preservation Act, Section 110(a)(2)(E)(iii) (P.L. 102-575, 106 Stat. 4753) of October 1992.
- Native grasses and wildflowers will be seeded in areas disturbed by construction to re-establish vegetation. Only the amount of the proposed staging and drilling areas needed would be used or disturbed. Upon completion of stabilization activities, all work areas would be cleaned up and all materials and equipment removed.
- To minimize the potential for the establishment of State-listed and other noxious weeds, an aggressive revegetation plan will be implemented. In addition to seeding, the introduction of noxious weed seeds would be minimized by requiring that all project equipment be pressure washed before arriving and leaving the project area.
- To minimize soil erosion during rain storms, standard construction BMPs will be utilized to minimize runoff during construction activities.
- Fugitive dust will be suppressed by spreading water over disturbed areas where heavy equipment is working during dry conditions.