

Combination of reprocessed orbit, clock and ERP products

Gerd Gendt and Thomas Nischan GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam

GFZ Status of IGS Final Products before Reprocessing

- Orbits (with 15-minute clocks) & ERP products since 1994
- SINEX (since 1996; ERP since June 1999)
- Combined Clocks
 - with 5-minute sampling since Oct 2000 (week 1085)
 - with 30-second sampling since Dec 2006 (week 1406)

• ACs:

	# in Combi	COD	ESA	EMR	GFZ	JPL	MIT	NGS	SIO
SINEX	8	у	у	у	у	у	у	у	у
ERP	8	У	у	У	у	У	у	у	у
Orbits	8	у	у	у	у	у	у	у	у
Clocks	6	у	у	у	у	у	у	-	-
Clk 30s	3	у	-	у	-	-	у	-	-

: Reprocessing contribution



- Goal
 - Generation of RF with consistent ERP (contribution to ITRF)
 - Consistent orbits referred to given RF
 - Consistent clocks for PPP in given RF (now possible after Oct 2000 only)
- Test period
 - Jan to Apr 2000, 14 GPS weeks (1042 to 1056)
 - Jun to Dec 2007, 30 GPS weeks (1430 to 1459) (Check with latest official products) [NGS last 10 weeks → used as interval for all statistics]

	ESA	GFZ*	MIT	NGS	PDR	SIO	# in Cmb	RouCmb	
SINEX	у	у	у	у	у	(y)	6	8	
ERP	у	у	у	у	у	у	6	8	
Orbits	у	у	у	у	у	у	6	8	
Clocks	у	у	у	-	-	-	3	6	
Clk 30s	-	-	у	-	-	-		3	
*not 2000					~COD				



Stability of orbit RF

• Number of stations defining the IGS05



- Note: AC's frame rotation from SINEX combination will be used to align the orbits.
 - Consistency between orbits and SINEX solution is important, and is degrading in the early years because of the reduced number of RF stations

Orbits – Differences to combination

POTSDAM

GFZ

• All orbits (including operational IGS Finals) are compared to combined repro orbits.





Orbits – Long Arc Fit

• 7-day long arc fit through all orbits, including the combined ones





Repro

Operational

Clocks – Differences to combination





Orbit – Scale



- ACs have different scale.
- Causing a diff of 0.35 ppb btw 2000 and 2007 in operational time series
- Stability per AC and biases are similar to operational solution



Repro

Operational

Orbit – X-Transformation

X-Translations of AC orbits minus Combi 50 2000 (weekly means) (weekly means) 2007 GF 40 • MI1 O NG1 ranslations [mm] 30 □ PD1 SI1 0 20 ○ IGF 10 0 -10 -20 -30 1042 1044 1046 1048 1050 1052 1054 1056 1432 1436 1440 1444 1448 1452 1456 1460 X-Translations of AC Final orbits minus IGS Final 50 2000 (weekly means) 2007 (weekly means) O GFZ MIT
NGS
□ COD 40 **Translations** [mm] 30 ♦ SIO 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 1042 1044 1046 1048 1050 1052 1054 1056 1432 1436 1440 1444 1448 1452 1456 1460

• Consistency among ACs in 2000 is now comparable to 2007



Orbit – Y-Transformation





Repro

Operational

Orbit – Z-Transformation



• 2000: ACs have smaller scatter, but biases are still there



Repro

Operational

Orbit – X-Rotation



- ACs have smaller scatter, but still biases. Problem at SI1 (not in SNX combi).
- Large biases at PD1 caused by present inconsistency to SNX



Orbit – Y-Rotation





Orbit – Z-Rotation



still biases. Problem at ES1.



X-Pole



- ACs have better quality.
- But not as good as in 2007 (RF!)
- Good repeatability at ACs (PD1!)
- IGF & IRF small scatter, no bias



X-Pole



- ACs have better quality.
- But not as good as in 2007 (RF!)
- Good repeatability at ACs (PD1!)
- IGF & IRF small scatter, no bias



X-Pole



- ACs have better quality.
- But not as good as in 2007 (RF!)
- Good repeatability at ACs (PD1!)
- IGF & IRF small scatter, no bias



Y-Pole



LOD



• ACs have better quality.

GFZ

POTSDAM

- But not as good as in 2007 (RF!)
- Good repeatability at ACs (better ES1)
- IGF & IRF small scatter, no bias



LOD



- ACs have better quality.
- But not as good as in 2007 (RF!)
- Good repeatability at ACs (better ES1)
- IGF & IRF small scatter, no bias



LOD



- ACs have better quality.
- But not as good as in 2007 (RF!)
- Good repeatability at ACs (better ES1)
- IGF & IRF small scatter, no bias



X-Pole rate





Y-Pole rate





Summary

- Reprocessing evaluation
 - 2007: Repro has same quality as latest operational products
 - 2000: Repro quality is better than for the old operational products (better, consist. S/W & models result in more consistent submissions)
 - Problem for clocks (still not enough submissions; especially for 30s)
- More ACs shall join the reprocessing; esp. for clocks
- For the clock solutions the unique 4-character ID (RINEX file name) shall be used.
- All reprocessing ACs have to follow the rules for generating IGS Final products, i.e. all products have to be consistent (esp. to SNX)