

# "Bottom-Up", "Top-Down" and Non-Convex: Recent Modeling Activities at IIASA-ECS

Leonardo Barreto and Leo Schrattenholzer (Project Leader)

Environmentally Compatible Energy Strategies Project (ECS) International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA)

> AEO/NEMS Conference Washington D.C., March 12, 2002



# Outline

- IIASA Modeling Framework
- Combining "Top-down" and "Bottom-up"
- Endogenizing non-convex technical change
- Conclusions



## The IIASA Modeling Framework





## Other Models Used at IIASA-ECS

#### <u>ERIS</u>

Small-scale model Endogenous Technical Change -Learning-by-Doing -Learning-by-Searching Technology Policy, R&D

**ISPA** Stochastic Meta-model for Multi-Objective Policy Analysis **MERGE** 

Model for Evaluating Regional and Global effects of GHG Policies



## The MESSAGE-MACRO Link-1

- Iterative link between the "bottom-up" MESSAGE and "top-down" MACRO models
- The link keeps consistency between demand and supply cost curves and thus between scenarios
- The models are solved independently

   Nonlinearities are collected in one place
   High flexibility

#### 

### The MESSAGE-MACRO Link-2





# The MESSAGE Model

- Includes 400 individual energy conversion and end-use technologies
- 11 World Regions
- Calculates least-cost optimal energy supply technology structure, which satisfies a given useful-energy demand
- Technological progress in different path dependent directions according to the scenario specification



## 11 World Regions in MESSAGE



**1 NAM North America** 2 LAM Latin America & The Caribbean 6 MEA Middle East & North Africa **3 WEU Western Europe** 4 EEU Central & Eastern Europe

**5 FSU Former Soviet Union** 7 AFR Sub-Saharan Africa 8 CPA Centrally Planned Asia & China

9 SAS South Asia **10 PAS Other Pacific Asia 11 PAO Pacific OECD** 

#### 

## **Examining Carbon Scrubbing**





#### Exploring Hydrogen Futures The B1H2 Scenario



10/22

## The ERIS Model

- ERIS (<u>Energy Research and Investment</u> <u>Strategy</u>)
- Small-scale model with endogenized learning curves (learning-by-doing and learning-by-searching)
- Flexible tool to assess approaches to endogenize technological change
- Global, multi-region, electricity generation model with CO<sub>2</sub> trading





#### **Two-Factor Learning Curves**

- R&D should be examined as a technological learning mechanism
- Specific cost as function of Cumulative Capacity and Knowledge Stock

$$SC_{te,t} = a * CC_{te,t}^{-b} * KS_{te,t}^{-c}$$

b: Learning-by-doing elasticityc: Learning-by-searching elasticity



#### Learning Rates of Energy Technologies



Source: McDonald and Schrattenholzer (2001), 42 technologies



# Endogenizing Learning Curves

- Non-linear, non-convex optimization problem
- Multiple locally optimal solutions: Alternative paths the energy system may follow
- Globally optimal solution: Least-cost energy system path
- No guarantee of globally optimal solution with conventional NLP solvers



# Solving the Problem

- Mixed Integer Programming (MIP) if other nonlinearities do not exist
- "Guided" optimization with conventional NLP algorithms (different solvers/starting points)
- Global optimization algorithms (e.g. BARON)

## Different Model Outcomes with Learning



16/22



# ERIS and Spillovers of Learning

- Multi-regional ERIS endogenizing learningby-doing using MIP approach
- Learning investments in one region may drive to cost reductions also in others
- With spillovers of learning deploying a technology in a region can affect technology choices in other regions
- This phenomenon cannot be captured by models with exogenous technical change



#### Spillovers of Learning Carbon Emissions in Non-Annex B





# Finding Globally Optimal Solutions

- <u>BARON:</u> Branch and <u>Reduce</u> Optimization <u>Navigator</u> (Sahinidis, 2000)
- General purpose global optimization software
- Combines enhanced branch and bound with range reduction techniques
- GAMS/BARON

#### Example: 4 Locally Optimal Solutions R&D Expenditures (Mill. US\$90)



20/22

#### Globally Optimal R&D Expenditures Example with two Technologies





### Conclusions

- MESSAGE-MACRO provides a flexible combination of top-down and bottom-up approaches and allows consistent quantification of E3 scenarios
- ERIS endogenizes learning-by-doing and learning-by-searching mechanisms and allows investigating energy technology dynamics