

**ORIGINAL**

Mr. Robert Corbin  
Natural Gas Regulatory Activities Manager  
U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of Oil & Gas  
Global Security and Supply, Office of Fossil Energy  
Room 3E-042  
1000 Independence Ave., SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585



April 3, 2007

Dear Mr. Corbin,

I am writing to encourage the approval from the Department of Energy for the LNG export license extension, requested by ConocoPhillips and Marathon. As a lifetime resident of the Kenai Peninsula, I have a personal as well as an economical interest in the outcome. I hope to stay on the Peninsula for years to come and raise my family here. From the research I have done, it appears that the approval of the Kenai LNG plant export license extension will have many positive impacts for the stability and future of this area.

- ♦ The LNG plant generates wages, taxes, royalties and local spending. In addition, the plant supports many jobs, both directly and indirectly.
- ♦ The LNG plant provides gas supply stability for Southcentral Alaska by backing up gas supplies for local utilities during periods of peak demand on cold days or when disruptions occur in the gas supply system. Currently, this is the only backup gas supply available and saves consumers from paying costs to find an alternative.
- ♦ The LNG plant drives investment in the development of natural gas resources. Resources developed for the LNG plant can and will be used both during the life of the LNG plant and after exports end to meet local demand. Maintaining natural gas demand is vital to the economics of gas exploration and development in the Cook Inlet.
- ♦ All independent third parties that have published studies agree that the resource base in the Cook Inlet is substantial. There is little doubt that the known and potential gas resources in Cook Inlet are sufficient to meet local needs for the foreseeable future as well as the export volumes requested by ConocoPhillips and Marathon.
- ♦ Preservation of the LNG plant infrastructure provides options to either continue exports into the future or convert the plant into a regasification facility should the need ever arise.
- ♦ There is no utility market demand for the gas planned for export in the 2009 to 2011 timeframe. If the gas cannot be exported, it may be shut-in which would likely result in lost reserves and reduced deliverability.

For the reasons described above, I am expressing my support of the LNG export license extension and am asking the Department of Energy to approve this request.

Sincerely,

Tera Doss