Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R8PIVIap Appalachian Virginia Pine General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Roger D. Fryar rfryar@fs.fed.us Ron Stephens rstephens@fs.fed.us **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type** ✓ Literature Forested California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast ✓ S. Appalachians PIVI2 **EPIGA LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest GAYL SCHIZ 57 48 N-Cent.Rockies VACCI 59 53

Geographic Range

CHUM

Throughout the Southern Appalachians into the Cumberlands and Piedmont. VA,WV,KY,NC, TN, GA (North), AL North),MS (North), SC and others.

Biophysical Site Description

Similar to NatureServe (2005) Ecological System CES202.332 Southern Appalachian Low Mountain Pine Forest. Usually found at lower elevations and on infertile, shallow soils. The natural habitat of Virginia pine is xeric fire refuges such as exposed rock outcrops with patchy and light fuels. It is thus somewhat comparable to Table Mountain pine, but at lower elevations. Under natural conditions, it would occupy minor land area as a type but would have scattered individuals surviving in mixture with the other yellow pines

Vegetation Description

This potential natural vegetation group is common to the Southern Appalachians, typically occupying xeric to dry sites at elevations generally below 3500 feet on ridge tops, western, south and southwestern aspects. Occasionally Virginia pine is also found dry-mesic sites as a pioneering vegetation. In the absence of fire to maintain the ecosystem, natural Virginia pine stands could succeed to varying vegetation cover: (a) xeric oaks such as scarlet oak, chestnut oak, blackjack oak, and post oak; (b) mountain laurel, sourwood, red maple, and huckleberry; and (c) eastern white pine overstory Virginia pine dominates with up to 70% species specific. Other overstory components vary with moisture regimes but could include several other pine species, red and white oaks, other hardwoods and/or eastern red cedar. Many stands are strongly evenaged and density-dependent based on age. Virginia pine is an aggressive invader following disturbance and might be considered uncharacteristic vegetation on some sites. The frequency of its occurrence in the Southern Appalachian forested landscapes today is undoubtedly greater than in pre-settlement times. Its niche appears best fitted to xeric sites on thin soils (e.g. "necklace" stands adjacent to bluff lines in the Cumberlands and Appalachians). Virginia pine is increasingly at risk of mortality to disturbance agents as it matures. Older trees are particularly susceptible to pine beetle attacks due to slow radial growth and

54

47

relatively high growing densities on often poor sites. Older trees are also more prone to windthrow. Few stands reach 100 years of age with most stands "breaking up" at 50 to 75 years of age.

Disturbance Description

Virginia pine is very shallow rooted and susceptible to windthrow. Heavy snow and ice can create significant stand openings. Initial openings give rise to further windthrow and even larger openings as trees fall into gaps. As a tree species. Virginia pine (VAP) is less adapted to fire with thinner bark and higher mortality rates (particularly in young stands) than other southern yellow pines, and VAP seedlings are easily killed by fire and will not resprout. It can survive repeated low intensity fires however. The natural occurrence of VAP on infertile, thin soils allows the community to persist in a specialized edaphic niche. It is a prolific seeder and is able to pioneer on these and other disturbed sites. VAP often develops 'red heart' rot, caused by Fomes pini, at ages beyond about 60 years

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

NatureServe description groups VAP with Shortleaf pine with an elevational range to 2300 feet. While the two communities do often occur together at lower elevations, there are distinct differences in how disturbances affect regeneration and sustain ecological patterns and processes.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	✓ Literature	Local Data	✓ Expert Estimate
-----------------------	---------------------	------------	-------------------

Spatial scale and pattern are generally characterized as large patch. In its most natural setting, topography generally limits the patch size of the ecological community.

Issues/Problems

This PNVG has invaded and supplanted other ecological communities where altered fire regimes and/or land use have provides it with an opportunity. It may persist on these sites but is more subject to stand-replacing perturbations that more stable fire-adapted communities.

Model Evolution and Comments

QA/QC changes: No model changes. Changed Upper Layer Lifeform max heights from herb to tree. Removed incomplete sentence in Disturbance Description. Added missing Mixed Fire probability. Peer review changes: wove additional descriptive comments in Biophysical Site Description, Vegetation Description and Disturbance Description. Did not reorganize into Fire and NonFire at this time as suggested, although this could be done in a LANDFIRE workshop. Peer Review Changes: Added comments from reviewer into various descriptions.

Succession Classes** Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Dominant Species* and Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Class A 30% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 All Struct PIVI2 Upper Cover 1% 10% **Description GAYLU** Upper Heiaht Tree Short 5-9m Tree Regen <5m VACCI Upper Dense seedling and sapling stands Tree Size Class | Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH CHUM Upper with very little herbaceous or Upper Layer Lifeform woody understory vegetation Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Herbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: \square Shrub **✓**Tree Fuel Model 8

Class B	60 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Mid1 Closed	l	PIVI2 Upper		Min	Max	
Description		VACCI Upper	Cover	35 %	100 %	
Poletimber and small sawtimber stands with minor components of		GAYLU Upper	Height	Tree Short 5-9m	Tree Medium 10-24m	
		CHUM Upper	Tree Size Class Pole 5-9" DBH Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
other woody and herbaceous vegetation. Stands are often dense.	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Fuel Model 8					
Class C	10%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Late1 Closed	1	PIVI2 Upper		Min	Max	
Description	ı	VACCI Upper	Cover	35 %	100 %	
	ber stands with gaps	GAYLU Low-Mid	Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Medium 10-24m	
		CHUM Low-Mid	Tree Size	e Class Medium 9-21"DE	ВН	
occurring from tree mortality caused by native insects, wind, ice and snow.	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Fuel Model 8	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class D	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Late1 Open		Upper		Min	Max	
<u>Description</u>		Low-Mid	Cover	%	%	
Description		Low-Mid	Height			
		Upper	Tree Size	e Class		
	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
		Canopy Position		Min	Max	
Late1 Closed		Upper	Cover	%	%	
<u>Description</u>		Mid-Upper	Height			
		Mid-Upper	Tree Size	e Class		
	Middle Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub ✓ Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				

Disturbances **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Fire II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition Fire Intervals (FI) Other: Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and Historical Fire Size (acres) maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: 100 Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: 10 estimates and not precise. Max: 1000 Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 25 110 125 0.00909 20 **✓** Literature Mixed 145 0.0069 15 Local Data Surface 35 10 40 0.02857 64 **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 22. 0.04456

References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Little, E.L., Jr., 1971, Atlas of United States trees, volume 1, conifers and important hardwoods: U.S. Department of Agriculture Miscellaneous Publication 1146, 9 p., 200 maps. [Online]. Available: http://esp.cr.usgs.gov/data/atlas/little.

NatureServe. 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA. U.S.A. Data current as of March 14, 2005.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Research Station, Southern Forest Resource Assessment, [Online]. Available: http://www.srs.fs.fed.us/sustain