

Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R1PIPO Ponderosa Pine

General Information

Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")

Modelers

Hugh Safford hughsafford@fs.fed.us
Sydney Smith sesmith@fs.fed.us
Marchel Munnecke marchel.munnecke@ca.usda.gov
v

Reviewers

2 anonymous reviewers

Vegetation Type

Woodland

General Model Sources

- Literature
 Local Data
 Expert Estimate

Rapid Assessment Model Zones

- California Pacific Northwest
 Great Basin South Central
 Great Lakes Southeast
 Northeast S. Appalachians
 Northern Plains Southwest
 N-Cent. Rockies

Dominant Species*

PIPO
PIJE
PUTR
2GP

LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

3 6
4
5

Geographic Range

Ponderosa pine (PIPO) dominated stands occur on the east slope of the Cascades into northern California, Blue Mountains, Wallowa Mountains, Central Idaho, and adjacent northern Great Basin.

Biophysical Site Description

Ponderosa pine is largely found on volcanic substrates, dry sites, usually mesic soil temperature regimes.

Vegetation Description

PIPO stands are a lower montane forest type. Understory may include mountain big sagebrush, bitterbrush, bunchgrasses, mesic shrubs such as service berry and snowberry, and patches of montane chaparral (manzanita and Ceanothus, especially *C. velutinus* and *C. prostratus*).

Disturbance Description

Surface fire regimes dominate this PNVG, with infrequent mixed severity and very infrequent high-severity fires, except in patches of highly flammable early-seral shrubs. Insect and disease outbreaks associated with drought and high stem densities.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

PIPO are primarily adjacent to mixed conifer, juniper, sagebrush, and grassland communities. Jeffrey pine (PIJE) ecosystems should be assessed using R1PIJE (Jeffrey pine PNVG).

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

According to Agee (1993), most fires were apparently small and scattered, although this study may include ecosystems that are not completely similar to R1PIPO. Skinner and Chang (1996) describe a spatially complex pattern.

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Issues/Problems

In these types, stand replacing fire can result in two general types of postfire veg: +/- dense shrub fields, and patches of open areas with grasses and forbs and +/- dense thickets of pine seedlings. In California, we estimated the proportion of the landscape in each type after fire to be about 60/40 (shrubs/pine thickets-open). We were restricted by the five-box constraint to having to lump these two postfire types into one box. We got around this by having the deterministic path from A to C being the shrub type (which requires +/- 75 years to get the pines up and out of the shrub canopy to where they begin to shade the shrubs out and move to C), and adding an alternative succession path to B where 40% of Class A goes to Class B every year after 30 years have passed (which was our best guess at how long it would take seedlings to grow to 5" dbh poles averaged over dense and less dense stands of regenerating pines). We used the min-max age function to do this, setting min age at 30 years. We have also included a lot of different disturbance pathways in B, which drives some of this back to A and some to C. Shlisky reduced the amount of replacement fire, increased the amount of surface fire, and increased the reference percentage of the lateral closed state (E) relative to the original Safford et al. model as per reviewer comments.

Model Evolution and Comments

This type considered generally to be one of the most affected by fire suppression (and other disturbances, including logging). Very little open old-growth left.

| Succession Classes** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|-----|-----|-------|-----|-------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|---------|--|
| <i>Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Class A 20 %</p> <p>Early1 All Struct</p> <p>Description</p> <p>Following canopy-replacing fire, some sites are dominated by dense shrub stands (bitterbrush, manzanita, Ceanothus velutinus, C. prostratus, Ceanothus spp., etc., depending on location). Other postfire sites are more open and dominated by dense pine seedlings, bunchgrasses and forbs. In the dense shrublands: in the absence of fire, growing pines very gradually overtop and shade out understory shrubs and move to Class C. In more open postfire sites: in the absence of fire, pine thickets develop and move to Class B.</p> | <p>Dominant Species* and Canopy Position</p> <p>2GP ARPA6 PUTR CEVE</p> <p>Upper Layer Lifeform</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Herbaceous <input type="checkbox"/> Shrub <input type="checkbox"/> Tree</p> <p>Fuel Model no data</p> | <p>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">Min</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Max</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Cover</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0 %</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height</td> <td style="text-align: center;">no data</td> <td style="text-align: center;">no data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tree Size Class</td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">no data</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:</p> | | Min | Max | Cover | 0 % | 100 % | Height | no data | no data | Tree Size Class | no data | |
| | Min | Max | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cover | 0 % | 100 % | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Height | no data | no data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tree Size Class | no data | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Class B 5%

Mid1 Closed

Description

Dense mid-development forest; "overstocked" pole to large pole size trees susceptible to stagnation. Marginal understory associated with limited site resources. Develops where fire frequency is too low to thin small trees.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PIPO
PIJE

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

| | Min | Max |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Cover | 40 % | 100 % |
| Height | no data | no data |
| Tree Size Class | no data | |

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class C 15%

Mid1 Open

Description

Open mid-development forest with diverse herbaceous understory and scattered woody shrubs. Herbs and shrub species gradually decline as growing trees begin to shade understory. Maintained by frequent burning.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PIPO
PIJE
2GP

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

| | Min | Max |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Cover | 0 % | 40 % |
| Height | no data | no data |
| Tree Size Class | no data | |

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class D 55%

Late1 Open

Description

Open late-development forest; widely spaced trees, open and often diverse understory, and limited surface fuels due to frequent burning.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PIPO
PIJE
2GP

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

| | Min | Max |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Cover | 0 % | 40 % |
| Height | no data | no data |
| Tree Size Class | no data | |

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class E 5%

Late1 Closed

Description

Dense late-development forest, often with significant within-stand mortality. Substantial surface fuel accumulation and ladder fuels.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PIPO
PIJE

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

| | Min | Max |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Cover | 40 % | 100 % |
| Height | no data | no data |
| Tree Size Class | no data | |

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Disturbances

Disturbances Modeled

- Fire
- Insects/Disease
- Wind/Weather/Stress
- Native Grazing
- Competition
- Other:
- Other

Historical Fire Size (acres)

Avg: no data
 Min: no data
 Max: no data

Fire Regime Group: 1

- I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
- III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
- V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

Fire Intervals (FI)

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

Sources of Fire Regime Data

- Literature
- Local Data
- Expert Estimate

| | Avg FI | Min FI | Max FI | Probability | Percent of All Fires |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|----------------------|
| <i>Replacement</i> | 200 | | | 0.005 | 5 |
| <i>Mixed</i> | 60 | | | 0.01667 | 17 |
| <i>Surface</i> | 13 | | | 0.07692 | 78 |
| <i>All Fires</i> | 10 | | | 0.09859 | |

References

- Agee, James K. 1990. The Historical Role of Fire In Pacific Northwest Forests. In: Walstad, J.K., Radosevich, S.R., and Sandberg, D.V. (editors). Natural and Prescribed Fire in Pacific Northwest Forests. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, OR. P. 25-38.
- Agee, James K. 1991. Fire History Along an Elevational Gradient in the Siskiyou Mountains, Oregon. Northwest Science, Vol. 65, No. 4, 1991. p. 188-199.
- Agee, James K. 1993. Fire Ecology of Pacific Northwest Forests. Island Press, Washington D.C. 493 p.
- Agee, James K. 1994. Fire and Weather Disturbances in Terrestrial Ecosystems of the Eastern Cascades. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-320. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 37 p.
- Anderson, Hal E. 1982. Aids to Determining Fuel Models For Estimating Fire Behavior. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-122. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 22 p.
- Arno, Stephen F. 2000. Fire in western forest ecosystems. In: Brown, James K.; Kapler-Smith, Jane, eds. Wildland fire in ecosystems: Effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 97-120.
- Arno, Stephen F., Scott, Joe H., and Hartwell, Michael G. 1995. Age-Class Structure of Old Growth Ponderosa Pine/Douglas-fir Stands and its Relationship to Fire History. Res. Paper INT-RP-481. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 25 p.
- Arno, Stephen F., and Menakis, James P. 1997. Fire Episodes in the Inland Northwest (1540-1940) Based on Fire History Data. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-370. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 17 p.

- Barrett, Stephen W. 1999. A Fire Regimes Classification for Northern Rocky Mountain Forests. 37 p.
- Bork, Joyce L. 1984. Fire History in Three Vegetation Types on the Eastern Side of the Oregon Cascades. PhD. Dissertation, Oregon State University. 56 p.
- Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.
- Crane, Marilyn F. 1982. Fire ecology of Rocky Mountain Region forest habitat types. Final report: Contract No. 43-83X9-1-884. Missoula, MT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Region 1. 272 p. On file with: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory, Missoula, MT.
- Crane, M.F., and Fischer, W.C. 1986. Fire Ecology of the Forest Habitat Types of Central Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. GTR-INT-218. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 86 p.
- Everett, Richard L., Schellhaas, Richard, Keenum, Dave, Spurbecak, Don, and Ohlson, Pete. 2000. Fire history in the ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forests on the east slope of the Washington Cascades. *Forest Ecology and Management* 129 (2000) 207-229.
- Eyre, F. H., ed. 1980. Forest cover types of the United States and Canada. Washington, DC: Society of American Foresters. 148 p.
- Franklin, J.F., and Dyrness, C.T. 1973. Vegetation of Oregon and Washington. Research Paper PNW-80. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 216 p.
- Hall, Frederick C. 1980. Fire History – Blue Mountains, Oregon. Presented at: Fire History Workshop, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- Hardy, Colin C., Kirsten M. Schmidt, James P. Menakis, R. Neil Samson. 2001. Spatial data for national fire planning and fuel management. *Int. J. Wildland Fire*. 10(3&4): 353-372.
- Hessburg, P.F., Smith, B.G., Salter, R.B., Ottmar, R.D., and Alvarado, E. 2000. Recent changes (1930s-1990s) in spatial patterns of interior northwest forests, USA. *Forest Ecology and Management* 136 (2000) 53-83.
- Johnson, C.G., and Simon, S.A. 1987. Plant Associations of the Wallowa-Snake Province. U.S. Forest Service Region 6 Ecological Technical Paper 255A-86.
- Kilgore, B.M. 1981. Fire in ecosystem distribution and structure: western forests and scrublands. P. 58-89. In: H.A. Mooney et al. (Technical Coordinators). Proceedings: Conference on Fire Regimes and Ecosystem Properties, Honolulu, 1978. Gen. Tech. Rep. WO-GTR-26.
- Kuchler, A.W. 1964. Potential Natural Vegetation of the Conterminous United States. American Geographic Society Special Publication No. 36. 116 p.
- Manley, P. N., J. Fites-Kaufmann, M. G. Barbour, M. D. Schlesinger, and D. Rizzo. 2000. Chapter 5:

Biological Integrity. Pp. 401-598, in: D. Murphy and C. Knopp, eds. Lake Tahoe Watershed Assessment, vol. I. General Technical Report PSW-GTR-175. USDA Forest Service Pacific Southwest Research Station, Albany, CA.

Maruoka, Kathleen R. 1993. Fire History of Mixed-Conifer Stands in the Blue Mountains, Oregon and Washington. In: Proceedings, 12th Conference on Fire and Forest Meteorology, Jekyll Island, GA. P. 638-641.

McKenzie, Donald, Peterson, David L., and Agee, James K. 2000. Fire Frequency in the Interior Columbia River Basin: Building Regional Models from Fire History Data. *Ecological Applications*, 10(5), 2000. p. 1497-1516.

Morgan, Penelope, and Parsons, Russ. 2001. Historical range of variability of forests of the Idaho Southern Batholith Ecosystem. Report to Boise Cascade Corporation, Boise, ID. 35 p.

Morrison, Peter H., and Swanson, Frederick J. 1990. Fire History and Pattern in a Cascade Range Landscape. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-254. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 73 p.

Norman, S.P. 2002. Legacies of anthropogenic and climate change in fire prone pine and mixed conifer forests of Northeastern California. Pennsylvania State University, Ph.D. dissertation. 207 pp.

Norman, S.P., and A.H. Taylor. 2003. Tropical and Pacific teleconnections influence fire regimes in pine-dominated forests of north-eastern California, USA. *J. Biogeography* 30:1081-1092.

Ogle, Karen, and DuMond, Valerie. 1997. Historical Vegetation on National Forest Lands in the Intermountain Region. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Region, Ogden, UT. 129 p.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Skinner, C.N., and C. Chang. 1996. Fire regimes, past and present. Pp. 1041-1069 in: Sierra Nevada Ecosystems Project: Final Report to Congress, vol. II. University of California-Davis, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, Davis, CA. Smith, S.E. 1994. Ecological guide to eastside pine plant associations. Publication R5-ECOL-TP-004. USDA Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region, San Francisco, CA.

Skinner, C.N. Historical fire regime of the Blacks Mountain Experimental Forest. Unpublished data

Smith, Jane Kapler, Fischer, William C. 1997. Fire Ecology of the Forest Habitat Types of Northern Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-363. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 142 p.

Steele, Robert, Arno, Stephen F., and Geier-Hayes, Kathleen. 1986. Wildfire Patterns Change in Central Idaho's Ponderosa Pine-Douglas-fir Forest. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry*. Vol. 1. No. 1. p. 16-18.

Steele, Robert, Pfister, Robert D., Ryker, Russell A., Kittams, Jay A. 1981. Forest Habitat Types of Central Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-114. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 138 p. + map.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/> [Accessed: January 30, 2003].