Guidance for Industry Nonclinical Evaluation of Late Radiation Toxicity of Therapeutic Radiopharmaceuticals

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 90 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact Adebayo Laniyonu or Renee Tyson at 301-827-7510.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> June 2005 Pharmacology and Toxicology

Guidance for Industry Nonclinical Evaluation of Late Radiation Toxicity of Therapeutic Radiopharmaceuticals

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Training and Communications Division of Drug Information, HFD-240 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20857 (Tel) 301-827-4573 http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> June 2005 Pharmacology and Toxicology

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	ACUTE VS. LATE RADIATION TOXICITY	3
IV.	NONCLINICAL RADIATION TOXICITY STUDIES	4
А.	Goals	.4
B.	Late Radiation Toxicity Nonclinical Study Design	.5
1.	Good Laboratory Practices	. 5
2.	Species Selection	. 5
3.	Timing of Study	. 5
4.	General Study Design	. 6
5.	Dose Levels	. 6
6.	Clinical Pathology	. 6
7.	Necropsy and Histopathology	. 7
V.	CONCLUSIONS	7
REFERENCES		
GLOSSARY 10		

Guidance for Industry¹ Nonclinical Evaluation of Late Radiation Toxicity of Therapeutic Radiopharmaceuticals

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

14 15

9

10

11

12

13

1

2

16

17

18

19

I. INTRODUCTION

20 The objective of this guidance is to provide recommendations to industry for designing 21 nonclinical late radiation toxicity studies to determine potential late radiation effects of

22 therapeutic radiopharmaceutical agents. The purpose of conducting nonclinical late radiation

23 toxicity studies is to help minimize the risk of late-occurring radiation toxicities in clinical

24 studies of therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals. Because there are other CDER guidances available

25 for conventional nonclinical safety studies,² this guidance focuses solely on late radiation safety

26 concerns that are unique to therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals. These unique safety concerns

result from the risk of irreversible late radiation toxicity when these agents deliver high doses ofionizing radiation to normal organs.

28 29

30 This guidance is not intended for radiobiologicals (e.g., radiolabeled monoclonal antibodies).

31 The exclusion of radiolabeled biologics is based on the lack of an established animal model for

32 human biodistribution and the associated residence time of investigational monoclonal antibodies

33 or other biologics. This guidance is also not intended for diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals whose

34 low doses are not expected to elicit late radiation toxic effects.

35

36 FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable

37 responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should

38 be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are

39 cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or

40 recommended, but not required.

¹ This draft guidance has been prepared by the Late Radiation Toxicity Working Group, which includes representatives from the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

² See http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm.

41

42 43 **II. BACKGROUND**

44

45 Therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals are typically administered systemically to treat cancer. For 46 cancer therapy with curative intent, the radiation absorbed doses delivered by therapeutic 47 radiopharmaceuticals are comparable to those delivered with external beam radiotherapy (XRT) 48 and are orders of magnitude higher than doses delivered by diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals. At 49 therapeutic doses of radiation, the late radiation toxicities commonly associated with XRT (renal, 50 pulmonary, neurologic, late bone marrow failures, and others) can also be seen. With XRT, if 51 the total dose given to an organ is less than its tolerance dose, the probability of symptomatic late 52 radiation toxicity to that organ will be minimal (Perez and Brady et al. 2004). This type of 53 toxicity should not be confused with the radiation-induced secondary malignancies for which the 54 risk is known and accepted as unavoidable. The tolerance doses of most human organs for 55 conventionally fractionated XRT (2 Gy once per day, 5 days per week) are known, and are 56 routinely used to direct the safe administration of XRT. In the FDA's experience, however, there 57 are few clinical data from which to estimate organ tolerance doses for therapeutic 58 radiopharmaceuticals.

59

60 Organ tolerance doses for systemically administered therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals can differ

61 significantly from the published tolerance doses for conventionally fractionated high dose rate

62 XRT. With XRT, the dose received by an organ is determined by the geometric arrangement of

63 the radiation beams. Organs in close proximity to the tumor are at greatest risk. In the case of

64 systemically administered radiopharmaceuticals, the dose received by each organ is determined

by the pharmacokinetics and biodistribution of the radiopharmaceutical agent. Available

66 radiation dosimetry software programs (e.g., Medical Internal Radiation Dose (MIRDOSE) and

67 Organ Level Internal Dose Assessment (OLINDA)) can be used to provide only rough estimates

68 of radiation absorbed doses received by specific organs following administration of therapeutic

69 pharmaceuticals. The accuracy of such estimates is determined by the accuracy of the

- 70 pharmacokinetic data that are used in the model.
- 71

72 The organ tolerance doses for XRT are based on conventionally fractionated high dose rate

73 therapy. Fractionation allows for repair of radiation damage between fractions, whereas

therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals usually deliver a single dose of radiation at a low dose rate,

75 where damage and repair of that damage occur simultaneously as competing processes.

76 Therefore, organ tolerance doses for systemically administered therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals

are not directly comparable to those for XRT. In fact, late radiation toxicity has been observed

78 with therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals at estimated organ doses that were below the XRT

tolerance doses for the target organs (Giralt and Bensinger et al. 2003). The recently described

80 entity of low dose hypersensitivity may account for the discrepancy as could anatomic

concentration of isotope not captured in the MIRDOSE (Joiner and Marples et al. 2001; Marples
 and Wouters et al. 2004).

82 83

84 Irreversible late radiation toxicities in the kidneys and bladder were observed in clinical trials

85 with two therapeutic radiopharmaceutical agents where administered doses were estimated based

86 upon external beam tolerance dose limits. In one study of radiopharmaceutical treatment of

- 87 multiple myeloma, 30 out of 83 patients developed renal dysfunction. Seven patients developed
- 88 severe thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) that required renal dialysis, and five of the seven
- 89 patients died (Giralt and Bensinger et al. 2003). In a second clinical study of 36 patients
- 90 receiving radiopharmaceutical therapy for somatostatin receptor-positive tumors, five patients
- 91 developed TMA; three of whom progressed to end stage renal failure (Moll and Nickeleit et al.
- 92 2001). These toxicities were not immediately recognized as complications of the treatment
- because they did not begin to occur until at least 3 months after radiopharmaceutical therapy.
 This type of delayed onset is typical of late radiation toxicity.
- 95

96 Therefore, there is a need to gain additional knowledge in this area to support the safe

- 97 administration of therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals to humans. Because studies in humans would
- 98 be unethical, the best means to gain insight into this issue is by conducting nonclinical late 99 radiation toxicity studies. These studies will aid in identifying organs at risk and establish a
- margin of safety for late radiation toxicity. As a result, these studies will help to minimize the
- risk of late-occurring radiation toxicities in clinical studies of therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals.
- 102

103104 III. ACUTE VS. LATE RADIATION TOXICITY

105

106 Ionizing radiation causes injury to cells and tissues by damaging nuclear DNA (Hall 2000),

107 although non-DNA targets are now described (Coppes and Meter et al. 2005). Most damaged

108 cells will continue to function normally until they die while attempting to undergo mitosis. Thus

the time frame in which radiation injury becomes clinically apparent is determined in part by cell

110 turnover time (Rubin 1984). In organs with a rapid cell turnover (early reacting normal tissue)

- (e.g., bone marrow, epidermis, small intestine, and oropharyngeal mucosa), symptoms of
 radiation injury (e.g., bone marrow failure, desquamation, nausea, vomiting and diarrhea, and
- 112 radiation injury (e.g., bone marrow faiture, desquamation, nausea, volinting and diarmea, and 113 oral mucositis) will appear within days to weeks of an acute dose of radiation. Radiation injury
- to these organs is called early or acute radiation toxicity and is often self-limiting and reversible.

115 However, in organs with a slow cell turnover rate (late responding normal tissue) (e.g., the brain,

- spinal cord, heart, lungs, liver, kidneys, bone, and bladder), symptoms of radiation injury (e.g.,
- brain necrosis, paralysis, pericardial and myocardial fibrosis with left ventricular failure,

118 interstitial pneumonitis and pulmonary fibrosis, liver or kidney failure, osteoradionecrosis, and

hemorrhagic cystitis) do not occur until after a latency period of several months to years during which relatively normal organ function continues. Radiation injury to these organs is referred to

as late radiation toxicity and is usually progressive and irreversible (Yaes 1992; Tubiana and

- 122 Dutreix et al. 1990; Fajardo and Berthrong et al. 2001).
- 123

124 Since acute radiation toxicity becomes apparent within a short time period after administration,

125 proximity in time to radiation exposure can be used as an important criterion in determining

126 whether the radiopharmaceutical is the cause of a particular complication or adverse effect. Such

127 toxicities will become apparent early in a clinical trial and the study can be revised or terminated,

as appropriate. In contrast, late radiation toxicity in organs such as the kidneys, liver, or central

129 nervous system (CNS) will not become apparent until months or years after treatment,

130 necessitating longer term follow-up of treated patients.

132	With 1	XRT, radiation injury is limited to organs within the radiation beams. With
133	radior	bharmaceutical therapy, the risk of radiation injury to an organ is determined by the organ's
134	radios	sensitivity and by the concentration time-activity curve of the agents in that organ or at a
135	specif	ic anatomical target. For example, late radiation effects can occur if the kidneys receive a
136	signif	icant radiation absorbed dose from radionharmaceuticals that are removed from the
127	avetor	nia airculation by glomorular filtration. The kidneys are known to have a relatively low
120	Syster.	inconcuration by giomerular mutation. The kinneys are known to have a relatively low
138	radiat	ion tolerance dose (23 Gy for conventionally fractionated XRT); therefore, late radiation
139	nephr	itis may be a dose-limiting toxicity for many therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals. Although
140	the bla	adder tolerance dose is considerably higher (65 Gy), hemorrhagic cystitis can occur as a
141	late ef	ffect unless the bladder is adequately irrigated to reduce residency time.
142		
143		
144	IV.	NONCLINICAL RADIATION TOXICITY STUDIES
145		
146		A. Goals
147		
148	For tr	eatment with therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals with curative intent, radiation absorbed
149	doses	comparable to doses delivered by XRT must be delivered to the tumor. Since similarly
150	high c	loses may be unavoidably delivered to normal tissue, radiation toxicities commonly
151	associ	ated with XRT may also be seen with radiopharmaceutical therapy. Because the
152	prescr	tibed radioactivity is given with a very small mass dose of the carrier drug, radiation
153	toxici	ty, rather than pharmacological toxicity associated with the cold (nonradioactive) drug
154	substa	ince (formulation) is often dose-limiting. In the past nonclinical toxicity studies have
155	been r	performed mainly with the cold formulation Although these studies have usually shown
156	that th	be no observable adverse effect levels (NOAFLs) are many times the clinical mass dose
157	such s	studies assess the toxicity of the cold formulation only. Therefore to assess the risk of late
158	radiat	ion toxicity in humans, it is necessary to perform late radiation toxicity studies in animals
150	Such	studies may allow the sponsor to:
159	Such	studies may allow the sponsor to.
100		
161	•	Perform controlled experiments that are not ethically feasible in humans.
162		
163	•	Identify organs at risk for late radiation toxicity.
164		
165	•	Establish a NOAEL for late-occurring, irreversible radiation effects in an appropriate
166		animal species, to help select the clinical doses.
167		
168	•	Compare the biological effects and tolerance doses of radiation delivered with
169		radiopharmaceutical therapy to those of radiation delivered by XRT in specific organs.
170		
171	•	Examine the pathologic changes and possible mechanism of injury
172		
173	•	Distinguish the toxicity of radionharmaceutical therapy from that of other concomitant
174	•	therapies
175		ultrupico.
176	-	Determine the amount of organ snaring that could be obtained by fractionating the
177	•	radionharmagentical daga
1//		radiopharmacculcar dose.

178 179

180

B. Late Radiation Toxicity Nonclinical Study Design

181 There are challenges associated with the design and conduct of nonclinical late radiation toxicity 182 studies. Therapeutic doses of radiopharmaceuticals require the administration of large amounts of radioactivity. The animals and animal waste will be radioactive, requiring radiation 183 184 precautions to protect personnel and the general public. Precautions will also be necessary for 185 the disposal of radioactive waste. Despite these challenges, such studies have been conducted, 186 and are recommended to optimize dosing and thus ensure safe clinical trials and patient care. 187 Before initiating late radiation toxicity studies, the sponsor should discuss the specifics of the 188 study design with representatives of the Division of Medical Imaging and Radiopharmaceutical 189 Drug Products and consider the following factors.

190 191

192

1. Good Laboratory Practices

193 Late radiation toxicity studies performed for the safety evaluation of a radiopharmaceutical drug 194 product should be conducted in accordance with pre-existing requirements under the regulations 195 for good laboratory practices (21 CFR part 58) and the Animal Welfare Act (7 U.S.C. 2131 et 196 seq.).

197 198

199

2. Species Selection

200 When choosing a species, the sponsor should take into consideration the similarity in dosimetry, 201 biodistribution, and pharmacokinetic profile of the radiopharmaceutical in the selected species 202 and in humans. Suitable animal models to study late radiation toxicity are available. In published studies, rats (Moulder and Fish et al. 1998; Moulder and Fish 1989; Molteni and 203 204 Moulder et al. 2000) and dogs (Prescott and Hoopes et al. 1990; McChesney and Gillette et al. 205 1989) have been shown to develop late radiation nephropathy and pulmonary fibrosis after 206 external beam irradiation. Radiation-induced myocardial fibrosis has been shown to occur in 207 rabbits (Fajardo and Stewart 1973) and dogs (Gavin and Gillette 1982).

208 209

3. Timing of Study

210

211 We recommend that the animal studies be scheduled to facilitate the conduct of clinical trials, 212 including the selection of appropriate safety monitoring methods based on findings in such 213 studies. To select the most appropriate species, human dosimetry and pharmacokinetic data 214 using tracer doses should be obtained before initiation of the late radiation toxicity study. 215 Ideally, the studies should be completed before the start of phase 2 dose escalation clinical trials 216 because late radiation toxicity may not be seen in the first dose cohort until after the entire trial 217 has been completed. In certain cases, a phase 2 clinical study can be initiated before complete submission of data from the late radiation toxicity study based on a risk-benefit analysis. 218 219 However, we will evaluate the appropriateness of this approach on a case-by-case basis. 220

- 221 4. General Study Design
- 222

223 The study design should capture acute (occurring within the first few weeks after irradiation) as 224 well as delayed (occurring after a prolonged latency) radiation effects. Clinically, late radiation 225 toxicity is not observed until at least several months to years following the radiotherapy. In 226 animals, late radiation toxicity usually occurs on a shorter timescale than in humans. For 227 example, the latent period for radiation nephritis in rats ranges from 3 to 7 months. In dogs, 228 renal dysfunction is observed by 10 months. Therefore, to obtain a reasonable estimate of the 229 incidence of specific adverse effects, animals should be monitored for late radiation toxicity for 230 at least 1 year post-dosing. Study duration of less than 1 year should be justified.

231

232 The preclinical study design should closely mimic the design of the anticipated clinical studies including the injected amount of radioactivity (mCi/m²), number of doses, frequency of dosing, 233 234 and dosing interval. If both single and fractionated dosing will be studied in clinical trials, a

235 two-arm study design evaluating late radiation toxicity after single as well as fractionated dosing

- 236 may be necessary. If planned radiation doses in humans will require hematopoietic growth
- 237 factor support or bone marrow rescue, it may be necessary to support or rescue the irradiated
- 238 animals so that they will survive comparable doses to allow for late radiation toxicity
- 239 observations.
- 240

241 Parameters that should be monitored are similar to those evaluated in expanded single or repeat-242 dose toxicity studies. These include clinical observations, food consumption, body weight, 243 ophthalmologic examination, hematology, clinical chemistry, urinalysis, and post-mortem 244 investigations (e.g., necropsy, organ weights, macroscopic and microscopic examinations).

245 246

247

5. Dose Levels

248 Late radiation toxicity studies in animals should include at least four dose levels to identify the 249 NOAEL and dose-related mild-to-severe late radiation toxicity. The study should also include 250 the cold formulation (ideally, the cold isotope equivalent to the highest mass dose) as a control 251 group to distinguish specific radiation effects from potential pharmacological effects of the cold 252 formulation. The dose-limiting toxicities will be severe but are usually reversible (e.g., acute 253 radiation toxicity related to the gastrointestinal tract, bone marrow). Therefore, the highest dose 254 selected should produce acute radiation toxicity. This dose should be at least twice the 255 maximum planned human dose or radiation tolerance dose for the critical organ (TD5/5 external 256 beam radiation) identified as a possible dose-limiting factor in clinical studies. The dose-257 multiples should be expressed in terms of body surface area (mCi/m^2) and radiation absorbed 258 dose to the critical organs, when critical organs have been identified. The number of animals in 259 each group should be sufficient to ensure survival of an adequate number to perform proper 260 analysis at the completion of study.

261

262 263

6. *Clinical Pathology*

Hematology, urinalysis, and clinical chemistries should be performed pre-dosing, 2 weeks post-264 265 dosing, then once every 3 months afterward and at termination. In addition to a standard battery 266 of hematology and clinical chemistry parameters, the study should also include the assessment of

267 relevant biomarkers, if available, to identify late radiation toxicity for the target organ. For 268 example, urinary glutathione-S-transferase isoenzyme levels can be monitored in addition to 269 blood urea nitrogen and creatinine levels as markers for renal injury. It is recommended that the 270 study design be developed in consultation with the FDA to ensure that appropriate long-term 271 toxicity indices are monitored.

272 273

274

7. Necropsy and Histopathology

275 Necropsy, including organ weights and macroscopic examination of various organs, should be 276 performed for all animals in the study, including those that died during the study observation 277 period. Detailed histopathologic/microscopic evaluation should be performed at termination. 278

- 279 280
- 281

V. **CONCLUSIONS**

282 Late radiation toxicity has been observed where doses of radiopharmaceuticals were determined 283 based on external beam organ tolerance dose limits. Therefore, there is clearly a need to gain 284 additional knowledge in this area to support the safe administration of these products. Because 285 studies in humans would be unethical, the best means to gain insight into the potential 286 irreversible late radiation toxicity with these products is by conducting nonclinical toxicity 287 studies. These studies will aid in identifying at-risk organs, establish a margin of safety for late 288 radiation toxicity, quantify potential organ sparing when dose fractionation is used, and compare 289 organ tolerance doses for radiopharmaceutical therapy to tolerance doses for fractionated 290 external beam treatment.

291

292 Late radiation toxicity protocols should be submitted to the Agency for review before the studies 293 are initiated. Ideally, radiation toxicity studies in animals should be completed and analyzed 294 before phase 2 dose escalation toxicity studies are initiated in patients. Until we have a better 295 understanding of tolerance doses for radiopharmaceutical therapy, the safest way to proceed is to 296 prescribe doses in mCi/m^2 to individualize patient doses by body surface area. Since 297 pharmacokinetic parameters for some of these agents have been known to vary significantly 298 from patient to patient, before any patient is treated, biodistribution and pharmacokinetic data 299 should be obtained for that individual patient using quantitative gamma camera imaging with 300 diagnostic doses of the therapeutic agent where possible. These data should be used to estimate 301 radiation absorbed doses to each individual patient's critical organs using MIRDOSE-3 or 302 OLINDA (or other adequate) dosimetry software. For patients who would receive unusually 303 high doses to critical organs, it may be necessary to decrease the injected activity, or exclude the 304 patient from the study.

307	REFERENCES
308	
309	Coppes, RP, A Meter, SP Laturalea, AF Roffel, HH Kampinga, 2005, Defects in Muscarinic
310	Receptor-Coupled Signal Transduction in Isolated Parotid Gland Cells After in Vivo Irradiation:
311	Evidence for a Non-DNA Target of Radiation, Br J Cancer, 92:539-46.
312	
313	Faiardo, L. M Berthrong, R Anderson, 2001, Radiation Pathology, Oxford University Press.
314	Oxford England.
315	
316	Faiardo, LF and JR Stewart, 1973, Pathogenesis of Radiation-Induced Myocardial Fibrosis, Lab
317	Invest. 29:244-257.
318	····, ···
319	Gavin, PR, and EL Gillette, 1982, Radiation Response of the Canine Cardiovascular System.
320	Radiat Res. 90:489-500.
321	
322	Giralt, S. W Bensinger, M Goodman, D Podoloff, J Eary et al., 2003, ¹⁶⁶ Ho-DOTMP Plus
323	Melphalan Followed by Peripheral Blood Stem Cell Transplantation in Patients with Multiple
324	Myeloma: Results of Two Phase 1-2 Trials, Blood, 102:2684-2691.
325	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
326	Hall, EJ, 2000, Radiobiology for the Radiologist (5th edition), Lippincott Williams & Wilkins,
327	Philadelphia Pa.
328	1
329	Joiner, MC, B Marples, P Lambin, SC Short, I Turesson, 2001, Low-Dose Hypersensitivity:
330	Current Status and Possible Mechanisms, Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 49:379-89.
331	
332	Marples, B, BG Wouters, SJ Collis, AJ Chalmers, MC Joiner, 2004, Low-Dose Hyper-
333	Radiosensitivity: A Consequence of Ineffective Cell Cycle Arrest of Radiation-Damaged G ₂ -
334	Phase Cells, Radiat Res, 161:247-55.
335	
336	McChesney, SL, EL Gillette, BE Powers, 1989, Response of the Canine Lung to Fractionated
337	Irradiation: Pathologic Changes and Isoeffect Curves, Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 16(1):125-
338	32.
339	
340	Moll, S, V Nickeleit, J Mueller-Brand, F Brunner, H Maecke, M Mihatsch, 2001, A New Cause
341	of Renal Thrombotic Microangiopathy: Yttrium 90-DOTATOC Internal Radiotherapy, Am J
342	Kidney Dis, 37:847-851.
343	
344	Molteni, A, JE Moulder, EF Cohen, WF Ward, BL Fish et al., 2000, Control of Radiation-
345	Induced Pneumopathy and Lung Fibrosis by Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and an
346	Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor Blocker, Int J Radiat Biol, 76(4):523-532.
347	
348	Moulder, JE and BL Fish, 1989, Late Toxicity of Total Body Irradiation with Bone Marrow
349	Transplantation in a Rat Model, Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 16 (6):1501-9.
350	
351	Moulder, JE, BL Fish, EP Cohen, 1998, Angiotensin II Receptor Antagonists in the Treatment
352	and Prevention of Radiation Nephropathy, Int J Radiat Biol, 73(4):415-21.

- Perez, CA, LW Brady, EC Halperin, RK Schmidt-Ullrich, (eds), 2004, Principles and Practice of
 Radiation Oncology (4th edition), Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia Pa.
- 356
- 357 Prescott, DM, PJ Hoopes, DE Thrall, 1990, Modification of Radiation Damage in the Canine
- 358 Kidney by Hyperthermia: A Histologic and Functional Study, Radiat Res, 124(3):317-25.
- 359
- 360 Rubin, P, 1984, The Franz Buschke Lecture: Late Effects of Chemotherapy and Radiation
- 361 Therapy: A New Hypothesis, Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 10:5-34.
- 362
- 363 Tubiana, M, J Dutreix, A Wambersie, 1990, Radiobiologie, Hermann, Paris France, Translated
- by DR Bewley as Introduction to Radiobiology, Taylor and Francis, New York.
- 365
- 366 Yaes, R, 1992, Radiation Damage to the Kidney, Advances in Radiation Biology, 15:1-35.
- 367

368	GLOSSARY
369 370 371 372	Acute Radiation Syndrome — The symptoms, when taken together, characterize a person suffering from the effects of intense radiation. The effects occur within hours or days.
373 374 375	Dose Fractionation — A method of administering therapeutic radiation in which relatively small doses are given daily or at longer intervals.
376 377 378	Early Effects (of radiation exposure) — Effects that appear within 60 days of an acute exposure.
379 380 381	Late Effects (of radiation exposure) — Effects that appear 60 days or more following an acute exposure.
382 383 384 285	Radiation Absorbed Dose — The energy imparted to matter by ionizing radiation per unit mass of irradiated material at the place of interest. In SI units, the unit of radiation absorbed dose is the Gray (Gy), which is 1 J/Kg. One Gy equals 100 rads.
385 386 387	Radionuclide — Any radioactive isotope of an element.
388 389 390 391	Radiosensitivity — Relative susceptibility of cells, tissues, organs, organisms, or any living substance to the injurious action of radiation. Radiosensitivity and its antonym, radioresistance, are currently used in a comparative sense, rather than in an absolute one.
392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399	Therapeutic Radiopharmaceutical — A radiopharmaceutical drug product or radiobiological that is intended for use in the treatment of cancer in humans and that contains a radioactive isotope which exhibits spontaneous disintegration of unstable nuclei with the emission of nuclear radiation. The isotopes used in therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals are usually beta emitters whereas the isotopes used in diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals are gamma emitters. Therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals are given in much higher activities and deliver much higher radiation absorbed doses than diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals.