



Department of Justice

STATEMENT

OF

**WILLIAM E. MOSCHELLA
PRINCIPAL ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

BEFORE THE

**COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

CONCERNING

**“H.R. 580, RESTORING CHECKS AND BALANCES IN THE NOMINATION
PROCESS OF U.S. ATTORNEYS”**

PRESENTED ON

MARCH 6, 2007

**Testimony
of**

**William E. Moschella
Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice**

**Committee on the Judiciary
United States House of Representatives**

**“H.R. 580, Restoring Checks and Balances in the Nomination Process of U.S.
Attorneys”**

March 6, 2007

Chairwoman Sanchez, Congressman Cannon, and members of the Subcommittee, thank you for the invitation to discuss the importance of the Justice Department’s United States Attorneys.

Although – as previously noted by the Attorney General and the Deputy Attorney General in their testimony – the Department of Justice continues to believe the Attorney General’s current interim appointment authority is good policy, and has concerns about H.R. 580, the “Preserving United States Attorneys Independence Act of 2007,” the Department looks forward to working with the Committee in an effort to reach common ground on this important issue. It should be made clear, however, that despite the speculation, it was never the objective of the Department, when exercising this interim appointment authority, to circumvent the Senate confirmation process.

Some background. As the chief federal law-enforcement officers in their districts, our 93 U.S. Attorneys represent the Attorney General and the Department of Justice throughout the United States. U.S. Attorneys are not just prosecutors; they are government officials charged with managing and implementing the policies and priorities of the President and the Attorney General. The Attorney General has set forth key priorities for the Department of Justice, and in each of their districts, U.S. Attorneys lead the Department's efforts to protect America from terrorist attacks and fight violent crime, combat illegal drug trafficking, ensure the integrity of government and the marketplace, enforce our immigration laws, and prosecute crimes that endanger children and families — including child pornography, obscenity, and human trafficking.

United States Attorneys serve at the pleasure of the President and report to the Attorney General in the discharge of their offices. Like any other high-ranking officials in the Executive Branch, they may be removed for any reason or no reason. The Department of Justice — including the office of United States Attorney — was created precisely so that the government's legal business could be effectively managed and carried out through a coherent program under the supervision of the Attorney General. Unlike judges, who are supposed to act independently of those who nominate them, U.S. Attorneys are accountable to the Attorney General. And while U.S. Attorneys are charged with making prosecutorial decisions, they are also duty bound to implement and further the Administration's and Department's priorities and policy decisions. Prosecutorial authority should be exercised by the Executive Branch in a unified manner,

consistent with the application of criminal enforcement policy under the Attorney General. In no context is accountability more important to our society than on the front lines of law enforcement and the exercise of prosecutorial discretion. Thus, United States Attorneys are, and should be, accountable to the Attorney General.

The Attorney General and the Deputy Attorney General are responsible for evaluating the performance of the United States Attorneys and ensuring that they are leading their offices effectively. In an organization as large as the Justice Department, U.S. Attorneys are removed or asked or encouraged to resign from time to time. However, in this Administration U.S. Attorneys are never — repeat, never — removed, or asked or encouraged to resign, in an effort to retaliate against them, or interfere with, or inappropriately influence a particular investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil case.

Turnover in the position of U.S. Attorney is not uncommon and should be expected, particularly after a U.S. Attorney's four-year term has expired. When a presidential election results in a change of administration, every U.S. Attorney is asked to resign so the new President can nominate a successor for confirmation by the Senate. Moreover, U.S. Attorneys do not necessarily stay in place even during an administration. For example, more than 40 percent of the U.S. Attorneys appointed at the beginning of the Bush Administration had left office by the end of 2006. Of the U.S. Attorneys whose resignations have been the subject of recent discussion, each one had served longer than four years prior to being asked to resign.

Given the reality of turnover among the U.S. Attorneys, our system depends on the dedicated service of the career investigators and prosecutors. While a new Administration may articulate new priorities or emphasize different types of cases, the effect of a U.S. Attorney on an ongoing investigation or prosecution is, in fact, minimal, as it should be. The career civil servants who prosecute federal criminal cases are dedicated professionals and an effective U.S. Attorney relies on the professional judgment of those prosecutors.

The leadership of an office is more than the direction of individual cases. It involves managing limited resources, maintaining high morale in the office, and building relationships with federal, state and local law enforcement partners. When a U.S. Attorney submits his or her resignation, the Department must first determine who will serve temporarily as interim U.S. Attorney. The Department has an obligation to ensure that someone is able to carry out the important function of leading a U.S. Attorney's Office during the period when there is not a presidentially-appointed, Senate-confirmed U.S. Attorney. Often, the Department looks to the First Assistant U.S. Attorney or another senior manager in the office to serve as U.S. Attorney on an interim basis. When neither the First Assistant nor another senior manager in the office is able or willing to serve as interim U.S. Attorney, or when the appointment of either would not be appropriate in the circumstances, the Department has looked to other, qualified Department employees. For example, in the District of Minnesota and the Northern District of Iowa, the First Assistant took federal retirement at or near the same time that the U.S. Attorney resigned, which required the Department to select another official to lead the office.

As stated above, the Administration has not sought to avoid the confirmation process in the Senate by appointing an interim U.S. Attorney and then refusing to move forward — in consultation with home-state Senators — on the selection, nomination, confirmation and appointment of a new U.S. Attorney. In every case where a vacancy occurs, the Administration is committed to having a Senate-confirmed U.S. Attorney. And the Administration's actions bear this out. In each instance, the President either has made a nomination, or the Administration is working to select candidates for nomination. The appointment of U.S. Attorneys by and with the advice and consent of the Senate is unquestionably the appointment method preferred by the Senate, and it is unquestionably the appointment method preferred by the Administration.

Since January 20, 2001, 124 new U.S. Attorneys have been nominated by the President and confirmed by the Senate. On March 9, 2006, the Congress amended the Attorney General's authority to appoint interim U.S. Attorneys, and 18 vacancies have occurred since that date. This amendment has not changed our commitment to nominating candidates for Senate confirmation. In fact, the Administration has nominated a total of 16 individuals for Senate consideration since the appointment authority was amended, with 12 of those nominees having been confirmed to date. Of the 18 vacancies that have occurred since the time that the law was amended, the Administration has nominated candidates to fill six of these positions, has interviewed candidates for nomination for eight more positions, and is waiting to receive names to set up interviews for the remaining positions — all in consultation with home-state Senators.

However, while that nomination process continues, the Department must have a leader in place to carry out the important work of these offices and to ensure continuity of operations. To ensure an effective and smooth transition during U.S. Attorney vacancies, the office of the U.S. Attorney must be filled on an interim basis, either under the Vacancy Reform Act ("VRA"), 5 U.S.C. § 3345(a)(1), when the First Assistant is selected to lead the office, or the Attorney General's appointment authority in 28 U.S.C. § 546 when another Department employee is chosen. Ensuring that the interim and permanent appointment process runs smoothly and effectively will be the focus of the Department's efforts to reach common ground with the Congress on this issue.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify, and I look forward to answering the Committee's questions.