

Salton Sea Alternatives

Preappraisal Report

DRAFT

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation
Lower Colorado Region
Boulder City, Nevada

September 18, 1998

Salton Sea Alternatives

Preappraisal Report



U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation
Lower Colorado Region
Boulder City, Nevada

September 18, 1998

MISSION STATEMENTS

The mission of the Department of the Interior is to protect and provide access to our Nation's natural and cultural heritage and honor our trust responsibilities to tribes.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

Contents

Page

Summary

Chapter 1 Purpose and Need	1
Purpose and Scope of Study	1
Description of the Area	1
History	2
Salinity Concentration Problems	3
Water Level Problems	4
Authority	5
Participants	6
Relationship to Other Projects	7
Chapter 2 Alternative Development Process	9
Public Involvement	10
Development Process	10
Target Salinity: 40 ppt	11
Target Water Surface Elevation: - 232 feet m.s.l.	11
Proven Technology	12
Salinity Model	13
Evaporation Rates	13
Precipitation Rate	14
Drainage to the Sea	14
Salinity and Sea Elevation Goals	15
Model Behavior	15
Cross-Reference Table to 1997 Report	16
Chapter 3 Costs of the Alternatives	19
Chapter 4 Diked Impoundment Alternatives	25
Description of the Concept	25
Background	26
Diked Impoundment Alternatives	27
Static Dike Design	31
Seismic Dike Design	34
Earthfill Material Sources	38
Dike Construction Schedule	38
Chapter 5 Pump-Out / Pump-In Alternatives	39
Salinity and Water Surface Level Interaction	39
Design Considerations	40
Pump-Out / Pump-In Water Conveyance Functions	41
Water Import Assumptions	41
Power Recovery Potential	42

Contents (cont.)

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 5 Pump-Out / Pump-In Alternatives (continued)	
Design Considerations (continued)	
Saline Water Concerns	43
Pipelines Only Design	43
Type of Pipe	44
Discarded Components	44
Evaporation Lakes and Ponds	44
Groundwater for Salton Sea Restoration	45
Pipeline Routes	48
Pump-In Sources	49
Pump-Out Locations	51
Evaporation Ponds at Palen Dry Lake	52
Complete Designs	52
Similar Designs	56
Chapter 6 Water Treatment Alternatives	57
Reverse Osmosis Desalting Plant With Pump-Out / Pump-In	57
Analyses	58
Pilot Plant	59
Salinity and Elevation of the Sea	60
Conclusion	61
Solar Salt Gradient Pond / MED Desalting Plant	
With Pump-In / Pump-Out	61
Proposal Description	61
Analyses	63
Pilot Plant	64
Salinity and Elevation of the Sea	65
Conclusion	65
Chapter 7 New Combination Alternatives	67
Solar Pond / Shipping Channel / Canals / Desalting Facility	67
Gulf of California Pump-In / Pump-Out / Diking / Treating	
Inflows	68
Phased Approach—Phase One: Salt Stabilized, Phase Two: Pump-In Later	68
Chapter 8 Alternatives Considered for Elimination	71
Original 54 Alternatives	71
New Alternatives	87
Chapter 9 Analysis of Effectiveness	95
Pump-Out / Pump-In Alternatives—Salinity Model Results	95
Diked Impoundment Alternatives—Salinity Model Results	98
Bibliography	103

Tables

	<i>Page</i>
1 List of alternatives and cross-reference location	17
2 Preappraisal costs for the Salton Sea restoration	20
3 Basins capable of supplying 30,000 acre-feet annually for 20 years	46
4 Pipeline length and maximum elevation achieved, with discharge and pipe size	53
5 Pump-out / pump-in alternatives simulation results	97
6 Diked impoundment alternatives simulation results	99

Figures

	<i>Page</i>
1 Historical salinity and elevation through time	5
2 Pipeline field costs as a function of discharge flowing in one direction	21
3 Construction field costs are displayed on the horizontal axis and the annual costs of operation, maintenance, repair, and energy on the vertical axis.	22
4 The same field costs and operation, maintenance, replacement, and energy costs as in figure 3 are displayed on the horizontal and vertical axis, but only for the lower cost alternatives—a small portion of those in figure 3.	23
5 The construction field cost decreases as the target salinity increases	24
6 Location of 30-, 40-, and 50-square-mile impoundments	28
7 Location of 47- and 127-square-mile and phased impoundments	29
8 Cross sections show different foundation elevations in Sea bottom	33
9 Salton Sea area with recorded seismic events	follows 34
10 Map of earthquakes in southern California with a magnitude 4.5 and greater	follows 34
11 Cross section showing earthquake design	36
12 Sketch shows plan earthquake design	37
13 Pipeline routes to and from Salton Sea	follows 48
14 Sea salinity at various pump-out rates in various years in the future	55
15 Reverse osmosis desalting plant with pump-in / pump-out	57

Figures (cont.)

Page

16	Salinity model results of reverse osmosis desalting plant with 170,000-acre-foot pump-out and 60,000-acre-foot pump-in at 0.45 ppt with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	60
17	Solar salt gradient pond / MED desalting plant with pump-in/pump-out	62
18	Salinity model results of solar salt gradient pond / MED desalting plant with 58,600-acre-foot desalted replacement water at 20 ppm with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	65

Alternatives mentioned in figure 19 and later are the alternative numbers shown on table 2; all graphs follow page 101

19	Baseline conditions, no pump-out or pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
20	Alternative 1 water exchange from Camp Pendleton 700,00-acre-foot pump-out with 600,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
21	Alternative 4 water exchange from Point Loma at 1.75 ppt— 250,000-acre-foot pump-out with 153,000-acre-foot replacement with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
22	Alternative 5 water exchange from Hyperion at 0.925 ppt— 250,000-acre-foot pump-out with 153,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
23	Alternative 6 water exchange from Yuma at 4 ppt— 250,000-acre-foot pump-out with 153,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
24	Alternative 11 water exchange at Camp Pendleton at 35 ppt— 400,000-acre-foot pump-out with 303,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
25	Alternative 14 water exchange at Point Loma at 1.75 ppt— 170,000-acre-foot pump-out with 73,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
26	Alternative 15 water exchange at Hyperion at 0.925 ppt— 170,000-acre-foot pump-out with 73,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	

Figures (cont.)

Page

- 27 Alternative 16 water exchange at Yuma at 4 ppt—
170,000-acre-foot pump-out with 73,000-acre-foot pump-in
with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 28 Alternative 21 water exchange with pump-out only—
100,000-acre-foot pump-out with 1.346-million-acre-foot
drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 29 Conservation baseline 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage
inflow at 3.5 ppt
- 30 Alternative 23 water exchange with conservation—
205,000-acre-foot pump-out with 405,000-acre-foot
pump-in at 4 ppt with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage
inflow at 3.5 ppt
- 31 Variable impoundment at 7.83-percent surface area or
30 square miles with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage
inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 32 48-square-mile impoundment; pump-back activated to
maintain Sea at 35 ppt with 1.346-million-acre-foot
drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 33 142-square-mile impoundment; pump-back activated to
maintain Sea at 35 ppt with 1.346-million-acre-foot
drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 34 Variable impoundment at 7.83-percent surface area
or 30 square miles with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage
inflow at 3.5 ppt
- 35 48-square-mile impoundment with water conservation
with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 3.5 ppt
- 36 142-square-mile impoundment; pump-back to maintain
Sea at 35 ppt with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage
inflow at 3.5 ppt