

# Contents

Page

## Summary

<b>Chapter 1 Purpose and Need</b> .....	1
Purpose and Scope of Study .....	1
Description of the Area .....	1
History .....	2
Salinity Concentration Problems .....	3
Water Level Problems .....	4
Authority .....	5
Participants .....	6
Relationship to Other Projects .....	7
<b>Chapter 2 Alternative Development Process</b> .....	9
Public Involvement .....	10
Development Process .....	10
Target Salinity: 40 ppt .....	11
Target Water Surface Elevation: - 232 feet m.s.l. ....	11
Proven Technology .....	12
Salinity Model .....	13
Evaporation Rates .....	13
Precipitation Rate .....	14
Drainage to the Sea .....	14
Salinity and Sea Elevation Goals .....	15
Model Behavior .....	15
Cross-Reference Table to 1997 Report .....	16
<b>Chapter 3 Costs of the Alternatives</b> .....	19
<b>Chapter 4 Diked Impoundment Alternatives</b> .....	25
Description of the Concept .....	25
Background .....	26
Diked Impoundment Alternatives .....	27
Static Dike Design .....	31
Seismic Dike Design .....	34
Earthfill Material Sources .....	38
Dike Construction Schedule .....	38
<b>Chapter 5 Pump-Out / Pump-In Alternatives</b> .....	39
Salinity and Water Surface Level Interaction .....	39
Design Considerations .....	40
Pump-Out / Pump-In Water Conveyance Functions .....	41
Water Import Assumptions .....	41
Power Recovery Potential .....	42

## Contents (cont.)

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Chapter 5 Pump-Out / Pump-In Alternatives (continued)</b>	
Design Considerations (continued)	
Saline Water Concerns .....	43
Pipelines Only Design .....	43
Type of Pipe .....	44
Discarded Components .....	44
Evaporation Lakes and Ponds .....	44
Groundwater for Salton Sea Restoration .....	45
Pipeline Routes .....	48
Pump-In Sources .....	49
Pump-Out Locations .....	51
Evaporation Ponds at Palen Dry Lake .....	52
Complete Designs .....	52
Similar Designs .....	56
<b>Chapter 6 Water Treatment Alternatives .....</b>	<b>57</b>
Reverse Osmosis Desalting Plant With Pump-Out / Pump-In .....	57
Analyses .....	58
Pilot Plant .....	59
Salinity and Elevation of the Sea .....	60
Conclusion .....	61
Solar Salt Gradient Pond / MED Desalting Plant	
With Pump-In / Pump-Out .....	61
Proposal Description .....	61
Analyses .....	63
Pilot Plant .....	64
Salinity and Elevation of the Sea .....	65
Conclusion .....	65
<b>Chapter 7 New Combination Alternatives .....</b>	<b>67</b>
Solar Pond / Shipping Channel / Canals / Desalting Facility .....	67
Gulf of California Pump-In / Pump-Out / Diking / Treating	
Inflows .....	68
Phased Approach—Phase One: Salt Stabilized,	
Phase Two: Pump-In Later .....	68
Salt Concentrating Ponds .....	69
South End Off-Shore Dike .....	70
<b>Chapter 8 Alternatives Considered for Elimination .....</b>	<b>71</b>
Original 54 Alternatives .....	71
New Alternatives .....	87
<b>Chapter 9 Analysis of Effectiveness .....</b>	<b>95</b>
Pump-Out / Pump-In Alternatives—Salinity Model Results .....	95
Diked Impoundment Alternatives—Salinity Model Results .....	98
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>103</b>

## Tables

	<i>Page</i>
1 List of alternatives and cross-reference location . . . . .	17
2 Preappraisal costs for the Salton Sea restoration . . . . .	20
3 Basins capable of supplying 30,000 acre-feet annually for 20 years . . . . .	46
4 Pipeline length and maximum elevation achieved, with discharge and pipe size . . . . .	53
5 Pump-out / pump-in alternatives simulation results . . . . .	97
6 Diked impoundment alternatives simulation results . . . . .	99

## Figures

	<i>Page</i>
1 Historical salinity and elevation through time . . . . .	5
2 Pipeline field costs as a function of discharge flowing in one direction . . . . .	21
3 Construction field costs are displayed on the horizontal axis and the annual costs of operation, maintenance, repair, and energy on the vertical axis. . . . .	22
4 The same field costs and operation, maintenance, replacement, and energy costs as in figure 3 are displayed on the horizontal and vertical axis, but only for the lower cost alternatives—a small portion of those in figure 3. . . . .	23
5 The construction field cost decreases as the target salinity increases . . . . .	24
6 Location of 30-, 40-, and 50-square-mile impoundments . . . . .	28
7 Location of 47- and 127-square-mile and phased impoundments . . . . .	29
8 Cross sections show different foundation elevations in Sea bottom . . . . .	33
9 Salton Sea area with recorded seismic events . . . . .	follows 34
10 Map of earthquakes in southern California with a magnitude 4.5 and greater . . . . .	follows 34
11 Cross section showing earthquake design . . . . .	36
12 Sketch shows plan earthquake design . . . . .	37
13 Pipeline routes to and from Salton Sea . . . . .	follows 48
14 Sea salinity at various pump-out rates in various years in the future . . . . .	55
15 Reverse osmosis desalting plant with pump-in / pump-out . . . . .	57

## Figures (cont.)

Page

16	Salinity model results of reverse osmosis desalting plant with 170,000-acre-foot pump-out and 60,000-acre-foot pump-in at 0.45 ppt with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt . . . . .	60
17	Solar salt gradient pond / MED desalting plant with pump-in/pump-out . . . . .	62
18	Salinity model results of solar salt gradient pond / MED desalting plant with 58,600-acre-foot desalted replacement water at 20 ppm with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt . . . . .	65

Alternatives mentioned in figure 19 and later are the alternative numbers shown on table 2; all graphs follow page 101

19	Baseline conditions, no pump-out or pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
20	Alternative 1 water exchange from Camp Pendleton 700,00-acre-foot pump-out with 600,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
21	Alternative 4 water exchange from Point Loma at 1.75 ppt— 250,000-acre-foot pump-out with 153,000-acre-foot replacement with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
22	Alternative 5 water exchange from Hyperion at 0.925 ppt— 250,000-acre-foot pump-out with 153,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
23	Alternative 6 water exchange from Yuma at 4 ppt— 250,000-acre-foot pump-out with 153,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
24	Alternative 11 water exchange at Camp Pendleton at 35 ppt— 400,000-acre-foot pump-out with 303,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
25	Alternative 14 water exchange at Point Loma at 1.75 ppt— 170,000-acre-foot pump-out with 73,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	
26	Alternative 15 water exchange at Hyperion at 0.925 ppt— 170,000-acre-foot pump-out with 73,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt	

## Figures (cont.)

Page

- 27 Alternative 16 water exchange at Yuma at 4 ppt—  
170,000-acre-foot pump-out with 73,000-acre-foot pump-in  
with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 28 Alternative 21 water exchange with pump-out only—  
100,000-acre-foot pump-out with 1.346-million-acre-foot  
drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 29 Conservation baseline 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage  
inflow at 3.5 ppt
- 30 Alternative 23 water exchange with conservation—  
205,000-acre-foot pump-out with 405,000-acre-foot  
pump-in at 4 ppt with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage  
inflow at 3.5 ppt
- 31 Variable impoundment at 7.83-percent surface area or  
30 square miles with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage  
inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 32 48-square-mile impoundment; pump-back activated to  
maintain Sea at 35 ppt with 1.346-million-acre-foot  
drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 33 142-square-mile impoundment; pump-back activated to  
maintain Sea at 35 ppt with 1.346-million-acre-foot  
drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt
- 34 Variable impoundment at 7.83-percent surface area  
or 30 square miles with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage  
inflow at 3.5 ppt
- 35 48-square-mile impoundment with water conservation  
with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 3.5 ppt
- 36 142-square-mile impoundment; pump-back to maintain  
Sea at 35 ppt with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage  
inflow at 3.5 ppt