



# Uranium Elemental and Isotopic Constraints on Groundwater Flow Beneath the Nopal I Uranium Deposit, Peña Blanca, Mexico

Presented to: 2005 GSA Annual Meeting

Presented by: Steve Goldstein Mike Murrell Ardyth Simmons

October 17, 2005 Salt Lake City, Utah

This presentation has been funded in whole or in part by the U.S. Department of Energy

### Relationship to Yucca Mtn.

- Groundwater velocity is an important parameter influencing radionuclide transport at Peña Blanca and Yucca Mountain.
- Groundwater hydrology at Peña Blanca is poorly understood: speed and direction.
- Specifically identified need: conduct artificial tracer studies at Peña Blanca to detect SZ groundwater flow and transport.
- This study uses natural U as a tracer of groundwater flow.
- SZ groundwater velocity information is directly used by models of radionuclide transport, including TSPA.



## Outline

- Saturated Zone Uranium Data
  - > Concentrations [U] and isotopics (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U)
- Modeling
  - > One-Dimensional (1-D) Dispersion/Advection
- Conclusions
  - > Limited groundwater flow and mixing are apparent



## **Sample Locations**





El Sauz 1:50,000 Topographic Map (H13C46) North American 1927 datum



NA04-001

#### **Panoramic View of New PB Wells**





#### **U** Isotopic Results



#### **Multiple Components for U**



7

#### **U** Isotopic Summary

- PB-1 and PB-2 isotopically similar, suggesting interconnectivity.
- PB-3 has distinct composition and therefore may be located on a different flow path.
- Generally, regional wells have distinct isotopic characteristics indicating limited mixing over larger length scales (km).
- Newly drilled wells PB-1, PB-2, and PB-3 have elevated U concentrations which are decreasing over time (next slides).



#### **U** Time Series





## **1-D Advection-Dispersion Model**



- Model Assumptions
  - > U introduced as a slug at t=0, x=0
  - > U is a conservative tracer over short timescales (months-year)
  - > Analytical solution in Bear (1979)
- Relative U concentration (C) controlled by position (x), time (t), groundwater velocity (V), and dispersion (D<sub>h</sub>)
- At point of U introduction (x=0),

 $C_2/C_1 = (t_1/t_2)^{0.5} exp\{V^2(t_1-t_2)/4D_h\}$ 

Knowing C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>2</sub>, and t<sub>1</sub>, one can obtain a relationship between velocity and dispersion for each of the three wells:

 $V = \{In[(C_2/C_1)(t_2/t_1)^{0.5}]4D_h/(t_1-t_2)\}^{0.5}$ 



## **Velocity-Dispersivity Relationship**





#### Velocity-Dispersion Correlations from Lab and Field Studies



- Field and laboratory data from Klotz et al. (1980).
- Field site (Upper Bavaria, Germany) is composed of gravels with mean grain size of ~5 mm.
  - Lines 1-5: Lab tests based on natural mixtures of more homogeneous sands with grain size of 0.1 to 1 mm.
  - Lines 6-9: Lab tests based on natural mixtures of gravels from Bavaria
  - > Line 10: Field tests in Bavaria



#### **Velocity Constraints**



## **Modeling Uncertainties**

- Field relationship between velocity and dispersion at Peña Blanca
  - German site is fairly typical of most aquifers (Gelhar et al. 1992).
  - > Limestone aquifer data would provide a better approximation.
- Non-conservative behavior for U
  - > U removal from solution would lower required flow velocity.
  - > U addition to solution from rock-water interaction (aside from U slug) would increase required flow velocity.



## Summary

- U isotopic data indicate multiple (4 or more) components for U in saturated zone water over various length scales (50 m to km).
  - > Limited subsurface mixing apparent
- Decreasing U concentrations in the wells require limited flow and dispersion.
  - > V ~ 20 m/yr
  - >  $D_h \sim 4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$
- Additional work with artificial tracers would better establish flow velocity and direction at this site.



#### **Acknowledgements**

- Ignacio Reyes and Rodrigo de la Garza, Universidad Autonoma de Chihuahua
- Alfredo Rodriguez, Instituto de Ecologia
- Ron Oliver and Andy Nunn, LANL
- Pat Dobson, LBL
- U.S. DOE, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, Office of Science and Technology and International

